Research Article

The Characteristics of Ubiquitous and Unique *Leptospira* Strains from the Collection of Russian Centre for Leptospirosis

Olga L. Voronina, Marina S. Kunda, Ekaterina I. Aksenova, Natalia N. Ryzhova, Andrey N. Semenov, Evgeny M. Petrov, Lubov V. Didenko, Vladimir G. Lunin, Yuliya V. Ananyina, and Alexandr L. Gintsburg

N.F. Gamaleya Institute for Epidemiology and Microbiology, Ministry of Health of Russia, Gamaleya Street 18, Moscow 123098, Russia

Correspondence should be addressed to Olga L. Voronina; kirolg3@newmail.ru

Received 22 May 2014; Revised 29 July 2014; Accepted 5 August 2014; Published 2 September 2014

Academic Editor: Vassily Lyubetsky

Copyright © 2014 Olga L. Voronina et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background and Aim. Leptospira, the causal agent of leptospirosis, has been isolated from the environment, patients, and wide spectrum of animals in Russia. However, the genetic diversity of *Leptospira* in natural and anthropurgic foci was not clearly defined. *Methods*. The recent MLST scheme was used for the analysis of seven pathogenic species. 454 pyrosequencing technology was the base of the whole genome sequencing (WGS). *Results*. The most wide spread and prevalent *Leptospira* species in Russia were *L. interrogans*, *L. kirschneri*, and *L. borgpetersenii*. Five STs, common for Russian strains: 37, 17, 199, 110, and 146, were identified as having a longtime and ubiquitous distribution in various geographic areas. Unexpected properties were revealed for the environmental *Leptospira* strain Bairam-Ali. WGS of this strain genome suggested that it combined the features of the pathogenic and nonpathogenic strains and may be a reservoir of the natural resistance genes. Results of the comparative analysis of *rrs* and *rpoB* genes and MLST loci for different *Leptospira* species. *Conclusions*. Thus, the natural and anthropurgic foci supported ubiquitous *Leptospira* species and the pool of genes important for bacterial adaptivity to various conditions.

1. Introduction

Leptospira is a genus of bacteria that is encountered in all geographical areas, except arctic and arid regions. Some Leptospira are responsible for leptospirosis, a natural-focus disease. According to the List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature, the Leptospira genus has 21 species [1]. Seven of the species have been established as pathogenic: L. interrogans, L. borgpetersenii, L. kirschneri, L. noguchii, L. santarosai, L. weilii, and L. alexanderi. Two species, L. alstonii and L. kmetyi, are candidates for assignment to the pathogenic group; they are rarely isolated, and the sources of their isolation are not ill humans. L. alstonii was isolated from a frog and L. kmetyi was isolated from soil. Phylogenetic analysis with 16S rRNA gene sequences showed that L. alstonii and L. kmetyi clustered with the pathogenic Leptospira species [2, 3]. Five species, L. broomii, L. fainei, L. inadai,

L. licerasiae, and *L. wolffii*, are classified as intermediate, and six species, *L. biflexa*, *L. meyeri*, *L. terpstrae*, *L. vanthielii*, *L. wolbachii*, and *L. yanagawae*, are nonpathogenic [4]. One new species, *L. idonii*, isolated from the environmental water, is candidate for assignment to the nonpathogenic group. This species is placed within the clade of the known saprophytic species of the genus *Leptospira* on the 16S rRNA gene-based phylogenetic analysis [5].

According to WHO guidance [6], the incidence of leptospirosis ranges from about 0.1–1 per 100 000 persons per year in temperate climates to 10–100 per 100 000 in the humid tropics. In the Russian Federation, only 0.01 cases per 100 000 were reported in 2013. During 2012-2013, 506 cases were registered in Russia according to the report of the Federal Service for Supervision of Consumer Rights Protection and Human Welfare (http://rospotrebnadzor.ru/). Long-term control of the multiple natural and anthropurgic foci in the USSR has been organized with the participation of the MoH Centre for Leptospirosis, an action which may be responsible for the decrease in incidence. During the registration period, strains of *Leptospira* species were isolated, from the animals (maintenance and supplementary hosts of the *Leptospira*), human patients, and environment, and saved in the Gamaleya Institute Microbial Collection (GIMC).

Among the strains of Leptospira species in GIMC one strain was mysterious and not closely related to any Leptospira, either pathogenic or saprophytic; it was named Leptospira spp. strain Bairam-Ali. Bairam-Ali was isolated from the water of a drainage canal in Turkmenia in 1971. On the basis of phenotypic tests, it was classified as a saprophytic species, although its resistance to the leptospiracidal activity of normal mammal sera and some other features made it closer to the pathogenic leptospires. Thus, Leptospira sp. strain Bairam-Ali was used in a diagnostic system on the basis of macroagglutination reaction. This diagnostic system was different from the original genus-specific microagglutination test, which requires multiple serovars of live Leptospira and involves a risk of infection. Strain Bairam-Ali is a natural substitute for the microcapsule of synthetic polymer [7] as carrier of antigens similar to pathogenic strains, and it is safe for humans.

Only whole genome sequence could help resolve the mystery of the strain Bairam-Ali and clarify its phylogenetic position in the *Leptospira* genus and relationships with the pathogenic *Leptospira* species.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Bacterial Strains. Leptospira strains were cultured by the Russian MoH Centre for Leptospirosis laboratory at the N.F. Gamaleya Institute for Epidemiology and Microbiology, Moscow, according to WHO guidance [6]. Fifty-eight strains, including 29 reference strains and 29 isolates from various sources and geographical regions, were analyzed. Twenty-six reference strains were members of seven pathogenic species. By the start of this study, seven reference strains were absent from the Leptospira MLST database [8].

2.2. Phenotypic and Serological Characterization of Strain Bairam-Ali. Methods for differentiation of pathogenic versus saprophytic strains and for cross-agglutination-absorption reactions were performed according to WHO guidance [6].

2.3. Scanning Electron Microscopy. Samples were prepared as described in detail [9] and analyzed with dual-beam focused ion beam/scanning electron microscope, Quanta 200 3D (FEI Company, USA), in both high and low vacuum, mostly at 5 kV electron beam acceleration [10].

2.4. DNA Isolation. DNA for PCR analysis was extracted from the bacterial cultures as described previously [11]. Preparation of genomic DNA for the whole genome sequencing was performed according to [12].

2.5. Leptospira Species Identification. The species of isolates were identified by amplification and sequencing of the *rpoB* gene (coding β subunit of bacterial RNA polymerase), according to La Scola et al. [13]. Sequence data for *rpoB* has been deposited in GenBank, with accession numbers KJ701730–KJ701749.

2.6. *MLST*. MLST for the strains of *L. interrogans* and *L. kirschneri* was performed by use of the original scheme of Thaipadungpanit et al. [14]. After publication of the modified MLST scheme [15], we completed earlier results by using *caiB* gene sequences. For the new isolates and strains of five other species, we used only the modified MLST scheme. Some modifications were inserted in the published protocol. The conditions of the amplification were modified for the *glmU*, *pntA*, and *sucA* genes by raising the melting temperature to 50°C. Also, the MgCl₂ concentration was changed to 3.5 mM for the *glmU*, *pntA*, and *sucA* genes. Reference collection strains were used for adaptation of the method to our laboratory and for control of the reproducibility of the results.

2.7. PCR Products Sequencing. PCR products were sequenced according to the protocol of BigDye Terminator 3.1 Cycle Sequencing kit for the Genetic Analyzer 3130 of Applied Biosystems/Hitachi.

2.8. Nucleotide Sequence Analysis. The alignment of *rpoB* and MLST gene sequences was made by use of ClustalW2 [16]. BLAST search was used for species identification; similarity of *rpoB* gene sequences was more than 98%.

Allele numbers for MLST genes were assigned with the help of website MLST Home. Allelic profiles, in the order glmU-pntA-sucA-tpiA-pfkB-mreA-caiB, were used to assign sequence types (STs) to strains. New alleles and ST were controlled and submitted by the curator of *Leptospira* spp. MLST database [8]. All new *Leptospira* strains were submitted in the *Leptospira* spp. MLST database under the identification numbers indicated in Table 1.

Relatedness between STs on the base of allelic profiles was analyzed by use of BURST version 1.00 [17, 18].

2.9. Nucleotide Sequence Polymorphism. BLAST search was used for retrieving homologues rrs (16S rRNAcoding), rpoB, and MLST gene sequences from Gen-(http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/genome/browse/). Bank For comparative sequence analysis and phylogenetic reconstruction, nucleotide sequences of 92 additional Leptospira strains were retrieved. Seventy-five nucleotide sequences represented either complete or partial cds of rrs gene and rpoB gene, and 15 sequences represented whole genome sequencing data and genome drafts (Table 1). Turneriella parva have been included in the analysis as an out-group taxon from Leptospiraceae, for which whole genome sequence data are available (Table 1). Sequences of seven concatenated MLST loci for 201 ST available at the time of analysis were retrieved [8]. The alignments of rrs, rpoB, and MLST gene sequences and analysis nucleotide similarity (in %) were performed by use of ClustalW2 [16].

Number	Strain	Species	ST	Our submission ID or GenBank accession number for MLST genes	rpoB GenBank accession number	16S rDNA GenBank accession number
1	*GIMC2029:Li130	<i>L. alexanderi</i> , serogroup Manhao, serovar lichuan	207	337	KJ701742	
2	*GIMC2051:Veldrat Bataviae 46	 L. borgpetersenti, serogroup Javanica, serovar javanica 	143	In MLST Home data base	KJ701733	
3	* GIMC2052:Sari	L. borgpetersenti, serogroup Mini, serovar mini	142	In MLST Home data base	KJ701736	
4	GIMC2002:101PJ	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Javanica, serovar poi	146	339		
Ŋ	GIMC2003:29PJ	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Javanica, serovar poi	146	340	KJ701734	
6	GIMC2004:Yaroslavl 7	L. borgpetersenti, serogroup Javanica, serovar poi	146	341		
7	GIMC2005:1217PJ	L. borgpetersenti, serogroup Javanica, serovar javanica	146	342		
8	GIMC2006:1622PJ	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Javanica	146	343		
6	GIMC2007:5-I	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Javanica	146	344		
10	* GIMC2049:Castellon 3	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Ballum, serovar castellonis	149	In MLST Home data base	KJ701737	
11	*GIMC2050:Perepelitsin	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Tarassovi, serovar tarassovi	153	In MLST Home data base	KJ701735	
12	* GIMC2008:Mus 24	L. borgpetersenii, serogroup Sejroe, serovar saxkoebing	155	345		
13	* GIMC2048:Naam	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar naam	23	In MLST Home data base	KJ701731	
14	GIMC2014:AV4	L. interrogans, serovar no data	23	351		
15	* GIMC2047:Djasiman	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Djasiman, serovar djasiman	11	In MLST Home data base		
16	*GIMC2046:RGA	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar icterohaemorrhagiae	17	In MLST Home data base		
17	GIMC2010:Sv-19	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae	17	347		
18	GIMC2009:Rn-2010	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	17	346		
19	GIMC2011:Rn-493	L. interrogans, serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	17	348		

TABLE 1: Strains used in genotyping and phylogenetic analysis.

)NA GenBank sion number																			
16S rD acces																			
rpoB GenBank accession number					KJ701732											KJ701730			
Our submission ID or GenBank accession number for MLST genes	349	350	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	352	353	354	355	356	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	In MLST Home data base	357	358	359	336
ST	12	17	24	27	31	36	37	37	37	37	37	51	58	73	140	199	199	199	206
Species	L. interrogans, serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	L. interrogans, serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	L. interrogans, serogroup Australis, serovar bratislava	L. interrogans, serogroup Autumnalis, serovar autumnalis	L. interrogans, serogroup Pyrogenes, serovar zanoni	L. interrogans, serogroup Hebdomadis, serovar hebdomadis	L. interrogans, serogroup Canicola, serovar canicola	L. interrogans, serogroup Australis, serovar australis	L. interrogans, serogroup Sejroe, serovar woffi	L. interrogans, serogroup Mini	L. interrogans, serogroup Pomona, serovar pomona	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	<i>L. interrogans</i> , serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni	L. interrogans, serogroup Icterohaemorrhagiae, serovar copenhageni				
Strain	GIMC2012:Rn-16	GIMC2013:Rn-77	*GIMC2042:Ezh-1 = Jez Bratislava	*GIMC2043:Akiyami A	*GIMC2044:Zanoni	*GIMC2045:Hebdomadis	GIMC2015:Kashirsky	GIMC2016:Mitronov	GIMC2017:Bugay	GIMC2018:Sobaka 2000	GIMC2019:Udalov	*GIMC2038:Ballico	*GIMC2039:3705	* GIMC2040:Szwajizak	*GIMC2041:Pomona	*GIMC2020:M-20R	GIMC2021:Abduloev	GIMC2022:CL-11	GIMC2030:CL-17
Number	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38

		IAB	LE I: Cont	inued.		
Number	Strain	Species	ST	Our submission ID or GenBank accession number for MLST genes	rpoB GenBank accession number	16S rDNA GenBank accession number
39	*GIMC2023:Vleermuis 3868	L. kirschneri, serogroup Cynopteri, serovar cynopteri	70	360		
40	*GIMC2024:Moskva V	L. kirschneri, serogroup Grippotyphosa, serovar grippotyphosa	110	361		
41	GIMC2025:181PG	L. kirschneri, serogroup Grippotyphosa, serovar grippotyphosa	110	362	KJ701738	
42	GIMC2026:617PG	L. kirschneri, serogroup Grippotyphosa, serovar grippotyphosa	110	363		
43	GIMC2027:859PG	L. kirschneri, serogroup Grippotyphosa, serovar grippotyphosa	110	364		
44	GIMC2028:1106PG	L. kirschneri, serogroup Grippotyphosa, serovar grippotyphosa	110	365		
45	*GIMC2037:5621	L. kirschneri, serogroup Pomona, serovar mozdok	117	In MLST Home data base		
46	*GIMC2031:HS 26R	L. kirschneri, serogroup Bataviae, serovar djatsi	204	334	KJ701739	
47	*GIMC2033:LSU 1945	L. <i>noguchi</i> i, serogroup Louisiana, serovar panama	169	In MLST Home data base	KJ701740	
48	*GIMC2034:CZ 214 K	<i>L. noguchii</i> , serogroup Panama, serovar panama	171	In MLST Home data base	KJ701741	
49	* GIMC2035:Celledoni	L. <i>weilii</i> , serogroup Celledoni, serovar celledoni	185	In MLST Home data base	KJ701746	
50	* GIMC2036:Sarmin	L. weilii, serogroup Sarmin, serovar sarmin	191	In MLST Home data base		
51	*GIMC2032:CZ299U	L. santarosai, serogroup Pomona, serovar tropica	208	338		
52	GIMC2001:Bairam-Ali	L. sp., serogroup Bairam-Ali, serovar bairam-ali		KJ676852-KJ676858	KJ701604	KJ701750
53 54	* GIMC2055:Lyme GIMC2056:EMJH 86	L. inadai, serogroup Lyme, serovar lyme L. inadai, serovar lyme			KJ701743 KJ701744	
55	GIMC2057:Enr 88	L. inadai, serogroup Lyme-Detroit, serovar lyme-detroit			KJ701745	
56	* GIMC2060:Sao Paulo	L. <i>yanagawae</i> , serogroup Semaranga, serovar sao paulo			KJ701747	
57	GIMC2058:LT-8	L. <i>biflexa</i> , serovar patoc			KJ701748	
58	GIMC2059:GR	L. biflexa, serovar andamana			KJ701749	
59	DSM 21527	Turneriella parva		NC_018020	CP002959	CP002959
61 61	80-412 79601	<i>L. alstoni</i> , serovar pingcnang <i>L. alstoni</i> , serovar sichuan			NZ_AUHD02000041.1	NZ_AUHDU2000066.1 AY631881

16S rDNA GenBank accession number		AY996803.1	DQ991481.1	AY996804		FJ154586				AY887899.1		JQ988861.1		JQ765635.1	FJ154556.1	AM050580.1		AY996792.1	FJ154561.1		FJ154550.1	JQ988854.1	NR_029361.1	AY461868.1		FJ154545.1	DQ991471.1	AY996800.1	FJ154552.1		DQ991466.1		DQ991473	JQ988841.1
rpoB GenBank accession number	NZ_AHMT02000060.1				EU747302		CP000348.1	CP000350	EU747305		EU747311	EU747307	EU747314				EU747300			AE016823.1	EU747312				CP001221			EU747306		EU747313		EU747308		
Our submission ID or GenBank accession number for MLST genes																				AE016823.1														
ST																				17														
Species	L. alexanderi, serovar manhao 3	L. <i>alexanderi</i> , serovar manzhuang	L. alexanderi, serovar mengla	L. alexanderi, serovar nanding	L. borgpetersenii, serovar ballum	L. borgpetersenti, serogroup Sejroe, serovar hardjo	L. borgpetersenii, serovar hardjo-bovis	L. borgpetersenii, serovar hardjo-bovis	L. borgpetersenii, serovar hardjo-bovis	L. borgpetersenii, serovar javanica	L. borgpetersenii, serovar sejroe	L. borgpetersenii, serovar tarassovi	L. borgpetersenii, serovar whitticombi	L. borgpetersenii, serovar no data	L. interrogans, serovar australis	L. interrogans, serovar autumnalis	L. interrogans, serovar bratislava	L. interrogans, serovar bulgarica	L. interrogans, serovar canicola	L. interrogans, serovar copenhageni	L. interrogans, serovar djasiman	L. interrogans, serovar hardjo	L. interrogans, serovar icterohaemorrhagiae	L. interrogans, serovar kremastos	L. interrogans, serovar lai	L. interrogans, serovar manilae	L. interrogans, serovar medanesis	L. interrogans, serovar pomona	L. interrogans, serovar pyrogenes	L. interrogans, serovar schueffneri	L. interrogans, serovar szwajizak	L. interrogans, serovar wolffi	L. interrogans, serovar zanoni	L. interrogans, serovar no data
Strain	L 60	A23	A85	M 6901	Mus 127	Lely 607	L550	JB197	Lely 607	Veldrat Batavia 46	M84	Perepelitsin	Whitticombi	Mini-CTG	Ballico	Akiyami A	Jez-bratislava	Mallika	Hond Utrecht IV	Fiocruz L1-130	Djasiman	Hardjo_DB33	RGA	Kremastos	IPAV	LT 398	Hond HC	Pomona	Salinem	Vleermuis	Szwajizak	3705	Zanoni	Bataviae_DB59
Number	62	63	64	65	66	67	68	69	70	71	72	73	74	75	76	77	78	79	80	81	82	83	84	85	86	87	88	89	06	91	92	93	94	95

6

,				Our submission ID or	rnoß GenBank accession	16S rDNA GenBank
Number	Strain	Species	ST	GenBank accession number for MLST genes	number	accession number
96	Agogo	L. kirschneri, serovar agogo				DQ991476.1
97	Bafani	L. kirschneri, serovar bafani				DQ991477.1
98	1051	L. kirschneri, serovar bim				AY996802.1
66	Butembo	L. kirschneri, serovar butembo				Q991478.1
100	3522 C	L. kirschneri, serovar cynopteri				FJ154546.1
101	Moskva V	L. kirschneri, serovar grippotyphosa			EU747301	
102	Kambale	L. kirschneri, serovar kambale				AY461878.1
103	5621	L. kirschneri, serovar pomona				FJ154559.1
104	Wumalasena	L. kirschneri, serovar ratnapura				DQ991479.1
105	Bejo-Iso9	L. kmetyi, serovar malaysia		AHMP02000003	AHMP02000003	NR_041544.1
106	Hook	L. noguchii, serogroup Australis			EU349504	
107	Bonito	L. noguchii, serogroup Autumnalis			EU349501	
108	Caco	L. noguchii, serogroup Autumnalis			EU349498	
109	Cascata	L. noguchii, serogroup Bataviae			EU349502	
110	LSU 1945	L. noguchii, serogroup Louisiana			EU349505	
111	1348U	L. noguchii, serovar claytoni				DQ991498.1
112	1996K	L. noguchii, serovar cristobali				DQ991497.1
113	M7	L. noguchii, serovar huallaga				DQ991499.1
114	1011	L. noguchii, serovar nicaragua			EU349499	
115	LSU 2580	L. noguchii, serovar orleans			EU349500	
116	CZ 214 K	L. noguchii, serovar panama			EU349497	NR_043050.1
117	DB57	L. noguchii, serovar panama			EU349501	JQ988837.1
118	HS 616	L. santarosai, serovar alexi				FJ154585.1
119	Alice	L. santarosai, serovar alice				DQ991493.1
120	MAVJ 401	L. santarosai, serovar arenal			AHMU02000055	
121	LT 79	L. santarosai, serovar bakeri				FJ154589.1
122	LT 117	L. santarosai, serovar georgia				AY996805.1
123	CZ320	L. santarosai, serovar kobbe				DQ991495.1

BioMed Research International

TABLE 1: Continued.

NTL			Ц	Our submission ID or	rpoB GenBank accession	16S rDNA GenBank
INUMBER	r ourain	species	10	Genbank accession number for MLST genes	number	accession number
124	1342	L. santarosai, serovar shermani				FJ154576.1
125	CZ390	L. santarosai, serovar weaveri				DQ991496.1
126	CBC613	L. santarosai, serovar no data			ANIH01000040	
127	ST188	L. santarosai, serovar no data			AOHA02000081	
128	MOR084	L. santarosai, serovar no data			AHON02000051	
129	2000030832	L. santarosai, serovar no data			AFJN02000030	
130	Celledoni	L. weilii, serovar celledoni				DQ991486.1
131	H27	L. weilii, serovar hekou				DQ991487.1
132	M39090	L. weilii, serovar langati				DQ991488.1
133	WB46	L. weilii, serovar sarmin				U12673.1
134	LT 89-68	L. weilii, serovar vughia				FJ154590.1
135	5399	L. broomii, serovar hurstbridge		AHMO02000008	AHMO02000008	AHMO02000008.1
136	BUT 6	L. fainei, serovar hurstbridge		AKWZ02000010	AKWZ02000010	NR_043049.1
137	BKID 6	L. fainei, serovar hurstbridge				AY 996789.1
138	10	L. inadai, serovar lyme		AHMM02000025	AHMM02000015	AHMM02000015.1
139	VAR 010	L. licerasiae, serovar varillal		AHOO02000005	AHOO02000005	NR_044310.1
140	Khorat-H2	L. wolffii, serovar khorat		AKWX02000020		NZ_AKWX02000004.1
141	Patoc Ames	L. biflexa, serovar patoc		NC_010842	NC_010842	NC_010842
142	Patoc Paris	L. biflexa, serovar patoc		NC_010602	NC_010602	
143	CH II	L. biftexa, serovar andamana				FJ154577.1
144	Veldrat Semarang 173	<i>L. meyer</i> i, serovar semaranga				AF157089.1
145	Went 5	L. meyeri, serovar hardjo		AKXE01000002	AKXE01000001	NZ_AKXE0100007.1
146	LT 11-33 ATCC 700639	L. terpstrae, serovar hualin		AOGW02000006	AOGW02000010	NZ_AOGW02000008.1
147	Waz Holland ATCC 700522	L. vanthielii, serovar holland		AOGY02000070	AOGY02000051	NZ_AOGY02000072.1
148	CDC; ATCC 43284	L. wolbachii, serovar codice		AOGZ02000014	AOGZ02000008	NR_043046.1
149	Sao Paulo ATCC 700523	<i>L. yanagawae</i> , serovar saopaulo		AOGX02000015	AOGX02000024	Z_AOGX02000022.1
150	Eri-l(T) DSM 26084(T) = JCM 18486(T)	L. idonii, serogroup Hebdomadis				AB721966

*The reference strains from GIMC.

2.10. Phylogenetic Analysis. Phylogenetic analysis of Leptospira species was performed based on the *rrs* gene fragment, *rpoB* gene fragment, and seven concatenated sequences of MLST loci. Phylogenetic trees were constructed by use of neighbor-joining, maximum likelihood, and maximum parsimony methods.

Genetic distances between Leptospira genotypes were evaluated by use of Kimura's two-parameter model [19], which was chosen as an optimal evolution distance model derived from model test based on the Akaike information criterion [20]. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method based on the general time reversible model [21]. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying neighbor-joining and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated by use of the maximum composite likelihood approach and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (six categories (+G, parameter = 0.4818)). Maximum parsimony trees were constructed with an algorithm implemented in MEGA version 6.0 [22]. Bootstrap analyses were performed with 1,000 replicates.

2.11. Whole Genome Sequencing. Whole genome sequencing of Leptospira spp. strain GIMC2001:Bairam-Ali was performed according to the manufacturer's guidelines (Roche) for the next generation sequencing (NGS). Two protocols were used for a shotgun-sequencing library preparation: rapid library and pair-end library. The rapid library was made according to the Rapid Library Preparation Method Manual (Roche). The pair-end library was performed according to the 3 kb protocol provided by the manufacturer to aid in scaffold building. The paired-end library insert size was from 1347 to 4364 bp, with an average of 2695 bp.

Assembly was performed with 454 Sequencing System Software v.2.7 (Roche), yielding 14 scaffolds, with the largest size being 3 342 467 bp. Gap closure was performed by use of Contig Graph result file generated by GS De Novo Assembler program (Roche). For the oriC region search, Ori-Finder program was used [23].

2.12. Gene Annotation. The software Rapid Annotations Subsystems Technology and SEED [24, 25] were used for annotating the genome of *Leptospira* spp. strain GIMC2001:Bairam-Ali.

3. Results and Discussion

A sample of the collection (GIMC) of the Russian MoH Centre for Leptospirosis was used for the verification the species and strains based on the currently recommended molecular-genetic methods. The sample included 29 reference strains and 29 isolates of *Leptospira* from various geographical regions (Table 1, *—marker of the reference strains from GIMC).

The analysis of *rpoB* gene sequences demonstrated that 29 reference strains belonged to seven pathogenic

species, one nonpathogenic species (*L. yanagawae*), and one intermediate species (*L. inadai*). Among 29 isolates of *Leptospira* twenty-four field isolates were related to the three pathogenic species (*L. interrogans*, *L. borgpetersenii*, and *L. kirschneri*). Four cultures were isolated from the commercially available Ellinghausen-McCullough-Johnson-Harris (EMJH) medium: two isolates from this group belonged to the nonpathogenic species *L. biflexa* and the other two isolates belonged to the intermediate species *L. inadai*. Thus, the prevailing species among the isolates collected on the territory of Russia comprised *L. interrogans*, *L. borgpetersenii*, and *L. kirschneri*.

The modified MLST scheme was applied to the isolates and reference strains representing 7 pathogenic *Leptospira* species. Twenty-four isolates of pathogenic species belonged to 8 different STs (Table 1). Five STs, common for Russian strains of *Leptospira* (*L. interrogans* ST37, 17, and 199; *L. kirschneri* ST110; and *L. borgpetersenii* ST146), were identified as having a longtime and ubiquitous distribution in various geographic areas.

Among the strains of *Leptospira* species available in GIMC, one strain seemed to be mysterious and not closely related to any *Leptospira*, either pathogenic or saprophytic, or intermediate. It was named *Leptospira* spp. strain Bairam-Ali, because it was isolated in 1971 in Turkmenia from the water of a drainage canal.

3.1. Morphology of the Leptospira spp. Strain Bairam-Ali. The morphology of the mysterious strain Bairam-Ali is typical of that of the Leptospira genus (Figure 1). Electron microscopy demonstrated that its cells are corkscrew-shaped with end hooks. They are thin and helical, like the cells of all known leptospires. Also, the cells have a diameter (*d*) of 0.12 μ m and length (*l*) from 9.44 to 10.14 μ m, like that of known leptospires (*d* = 0.15–0.3 μ m and *l* = 6,00–20,00 μ m) [26].

3.2. Phenotypic Characterization of the Leptospira spp. Strain Bairam-Ali. Physiological characteristics of Bairam-Ali, demonstrated in Table 2, suggest that strain Bairam-Ali can be classified as saprophytic Leptospira.

For pathogenicity experiment, six four-week-old male golden Syrian hamsters were inoculated subcutaneously with 10⁸ cells of strain Bairam-Ali in 1 mL of PBS. No hamster died from infection even at such a high bacterial dose. *Leptospira* cells were not detected during following bacterioscopic and bacteriological examination of the hamsters' viscera. This is an additional evidence of saprophytic quality of Bairam-Ali.

On the other hand, Bairam-Ali was resistant to the bactericidal (leptospiracidal) activity of the normal serum of human and of some other mammal animals. The most of pathogenic *Leptospira* species were resistant too, whereas the saprophytic species *L. biflexa* was sensitive.

3.3. Serological Characterization of the Leptospira spp. Strain Bairam-Ali. The strain Bairam-Ali had no antigenic affinity with 18 different serovars represented by reference strains. So the conclusion about the original serotype of Bairam-Ali was



FIGURE 1: Dual-beam scanning electron microscopy image of *L*. species Bairam-Ali cells. (a) Original image; (b) with measuring the object in the program "Scandium" (green, length 10,14 μ m; yellow, length (diameter) 0,12 μ m; and green, length 9,44 μ m).

TABLE 2: Physiological characteristics of the Leptospira spp. strain Bairam-Ali.

Strain	Grov	vth at temp	(°C) of	Growth in the presence of	Lipase activity	Hemolytic activity against sheen erythrocytes				
Stram	11	30	30 37 8-Azaguanine 225		Lipase activity	Themolytic activity against sheep erythrocytes				
Bairam-Ali	+	+	+	+	+	-				

made. The serovar of Bairam-Ali was named bairam-ali. It formed the separated serogroup Bairam-Ali.

3.4. The Whole Genome Sequence Analysis. The whole genome sequence could help to resolve the mystery of the strain Bairam-Ali and clarify its phylogenetic position in the *Leptospira* genus.

According to the NGS data the whole Bairam-Ali genome is 4,4 Mb, with GC % 34,74 and two chromosomes. The first chromosome is 4059 463 bp and the second is 325 649 bp. Plasmid, typical of nonpathogenic *Leptospira* species, was absent from the Bairam-Ali genome. On the base of genome size and GC content, demonstrated in Table 3, Bairam-Ali is more similar to the pathogenic species *L. interrogans* and *L. noguchii.*

Based on *rpoB* gene sequence, we established that Bairam-Ali is more similar to pathogenic strain *L. interrogans* Fiocruz-L1-130 (but the level of similarity is only 70.00%). However, by sequence of *rDNA* genes it is similar to nonpathogenic strains: for gene *rrs*, coding 16S rRNA, *L. meyeri* was most closely related (92.74%); for gene *rrl*, coding 23S rDNA, *L. biflexa* was more similar (95.00% fragment 1 and 93.00% fragment 2). Sequences of *rDNA* genes of Bairam-Ali were deposited in GenBank, accession numbers KJ701750, KJ701751, and KJ701752.

These data suggest dual phenotypic characteristics of the strain Bairam-Ali. In spite of having differences from both pathogenic and nonpathogenic species, many of the functional categories that are involved in essential housekeeping functions are represented in its core gene [27, 28]. Thus, basic groups of proteins involved in cell metabolism, survival, environmental adaptability, and potential pathogenic factors were TABLE 3: Comparison L. spp. Bairam-Ali strain genome characteristics with most closely related *Leptospira* species.

Leptospira strain or species	Genome size, Mbp	GC%
L-BA*	4,4	34,7
L. interrogans	$4,56 \pm 0,32$	$35,22 \pm 0,27$
L. noguchii	$4,76 \pm 0,16$	$35,63 \pm 0,27$
L. terpstrae	4,09	38,2
L. meyeri	4,15	38,05
L. vanthielii	4,23	38,9
L. biflexa	3,95	38,9

*L-BA: Leptospira spp. Bairam-Ali.

present. Enzyme complexes participating in implementation of genetic information, in particular in DNA replication, were identified in strain Bairam-Ali: chromosomal replication initiator protein DNA, single-stranded DNA-binding protein; all subunits of DNA polymerase III, DNA polymerase I, and DNA polymerase IV; DNA gyrase; ligase; and helicase [29]. Also, the large groups of enzymes that take part in DNA reparation [29], for example, excinuclease ABC subunits A-C and exodeoxyribonuclease (III, V, and VII), and proteins of postreplicative mismatch repair system (Mutator S and Mutator L) were present. Archaeal DNA polymerase I gene was detected in the Bairam-Ali genome; this gene is a member of Family B and bacterial DNA polymerase II. The same gene was present in nonpathogenic strain L. biflexa Patoc genome but not in pathogenic L. interrogans Fiocruz-L1-130 and L. borgpetersenii Hardjo-bovis-L550 genomes [27, 30]. The sequences of DNA metabolome have been deposited in GenBank, with accession numbers KJ701710-KJ701729.

According to Bourret et al. [31], enteric bacteria usually have about 50 genes coding for structural and functional proteins involved in motility. Motility is a distinctive feature of Leptospira; forty-seven different proteins provide bacterial locomotion [32]. In the Bairam-Ali genome, the genes for proteins of the basic parts of periplasmic flagella were detected: basal-body (Flg B-D, FlgF, and FlgG), hook (FlgE, FlgK, FlgL, FliE, FliD, and fliK), and four copies of FlaA (sheath protein of filament). The genes for proteins of flagellar rings L (FlgH), MS (FliF), and P (FlgI); biosynthesis protein (FlhA, FlhB (2), FlhF, FliL, and Fli Q-S); motors (MotB (3), MotA (2), FliG (3), FliM, and FliN); flagellar assembly factor (FliW and FliH), cell division (BolA (2), FtsA, FtsH (2), FtsI, FtsK, FtsW, and FtsZ), and gliding motility (GldF and GldG) proteins also were registered. Strain Bairam-Ali was found to have more than ten genes for the regulation of flagellum genes transcription and for signal transduction to flagella motor. Sequences of the flagellum genes have been deposited in GenBank, accession numbers KJ701653-KJ701709.

It should be noted that the important structural element, that is, numerous groups of predicted lipoproteins (Lip), which may be either surface-exposed or located in the periplasm, is present in both saprophytic and pathogenic Leptospira species. In the strain Bairam-Ali genome, as in the genome of nonpathogenic species L. biflexa [27], genes or orthologs of the major lipoproteins LipL32 and LipL41, which are important immunodominant antigens in pathogenic *Leptospira* species [33, 34], were not identified. Nevertheless, in the genome of Bairam-Ali, we detected six genes of LipL45 (GenBank accession numbers KJ701647-KJ701652), which are processed to a peripheral membrane associated with the outer membrane complex. According to Matsunaga et al. [35] expression of P31, derived from the carboxy terminus of LipL45, is upregulated in stationary phase cultures; thus it may have a membrane-stabilizing function. Also, in a hamster model infected with pathogenic L. kirschneri, antibodies to LipL45 [35] were produced, suggesting its involvement in pathogenesis.

Further analysis of the genome of Bairam-Ali revealed the presence of genes for more than 40 different classes of proteins, ensuring its natural resistance to a wide range of antibiotics (β -lactams, tetracyclines, glycopeptides (vancomycin), and polymyxin); efflux system and resistance to heavy metals (Czc A–C and arsenical-resistance proteins); and possible abortive infection phage-resistance protein. The broad resistance characteristics of strain Bairam-Ali are consistent with it being a natural reservoir for storage and possible transmission of these properties to other free-living *Leptospira* species. Sequences of the resistome genes and their proteins have been deposited in GenBank, accession numbers KJ701605–KJ701646.

3.5. Sequence Polymorphism. To determine the place of the original strain Bairam-Ali in the *Leptospira* genus, we undertook phylogenetic analysis of the *Leptospira* groups with different pathogenicity.

Based on performed alignments, the percent nucleotide similarities of *rrs*, *rpoB*, and MLST gene

sequences of analyzed *Leptospira* genotypes were determined; see Supplementary Material available online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/649034 (S1, S2, and S3). According to the obtained data, aligned sequences of 16S rRNA-coding *rrs* gene were 1305 bp, aligned sequences of gene *rpoB* were 493 bp, and aligned sequences of seven MLST tags (*glmU-pntA-sucA-tpiA-pfkB-mreA-caiB*) were 3105 bp.

Among the investigated *Leptospira* sequences, gene *rpoB* showed the highest variability, reaching 40.61%. 16S rRNA-coding gene was more conservative, and general sequence variability of the *rrs* gene across all strains and species reached 11.52%. Sequence variability of seven MLST tags reached 34.88%.

Detectable intraspecific sequence polymorphism for studied loci also was different. Intraspecific differences detected by *rrs* were less than 1%. Intraspecific differences detected by most polymorphic *rpoB* genes ranged from 0.61% (*L. kirschneri*) to 11.63% (*L. borgpetersenii*), with, in some cases, overlapping of intraspecific and interspecific values (S1, S2, and S3).

Notably, the intraspecific differences detected by seven MLST tags resolved all of the *Leptospira* genotypes and matched 3% accepted threshold values for prokaryote species divergence [36, 37]. The exception was *L. weilii*; interspecies differences of *L. weilii* strains revealed by seven MLST tags reached 5.77% (S1, S2, and S3).

Despite the differences in resolution ability of studied loci, all of them confirmed the unique nature of Leptospira spp. strain Bairam-Ali. rrs, rpoB, and MLST tags obtained for Bairam-Ali had low similarity with other sequences. Maximum similarity of Bairam-Ali MLST tags (69.7%) was detected for L. interrogans (Table 3). At the same time, related levels of sequence similarity also characterized nonpathogenicand pathogenic *Leptospira* species (67.29–68.95%) and intermediate and pathogenic Leptospira species (70.0-72.8%). Sequence similarity in pairs of Bairam-Ali, to intermediate Leptospira species, to nonpathogenic Leptospira species, and to pathogenic Leptospira species, was 65.8-67.31%, 67.6-69.18%, and 66.27-69.7%, respectively. The level of sequence similarity between Bairam-Ali and Turneriella parva was the lowest (55.3%), which is evidence of Bairam-Ali belonging to the genus Leptospira.

3.6. Leptospira Phylogeny and L. spp. Bairam-Ali Location in the Leptospira Genus. The most prominent phylogenetic information was obtained through concatenated sequences of seven MLST tags. All genotypes were divided into three species groups: pathogenic, nonpathogenic, and intermediate. T. parva formed a distinct basal out-group branch.

The genetic diversity of pathogenic *Leptospira* reached 0.159 (MLST data), 0.006 (*rrs* data), and 0.121 (*rpoB* data). The most variable species were *L. borgpetersenii* (0.086 *rpoB*) and *L. noguchii* (0.069). Despite the fact that *L. interrogans* was the most representative species in the analysis (120 MLST genotypes), intraspecies genetic diversity for *L. interrogans* was only 0.002 (Figure 2).

The genetic diversity of nonpathogenic Leptospira was similar to that of the pathogenic Leptospira species and



FIGURE 2: The number of base substitutions per site from averaging over all sequence pairs within each group is shown. Analyses were conducted using the Kimura 2-parameter model.

reached 0.063 (MLST data), 0.007 (*rrs* data), and 0.139 (*rpoB* data).

Interestingly, the intermediate group *Leptospira* had broader genetic diversity than did the nonpathogenic or pathogenic *Leptospira* (0.167, MLST data; 0.013, *rrs* data; and 0.188 *rpoB* data) (Figure 2).

In pathogenic group accessions of each species, excluding *L. weilii*, clearly distinct phylogenetic clusters, with high bootstrap supporting values, were present. In some data sets, significant subdivision of *L. weilii* genotypes, combined with a close relationship between *L. weilii* and *L. alexanderi*, was found. Possible polyphyletic nature of *L. weilii* has been described previously, particularly in the highly divergent *tpiA* locus [15]. According to our data, excluding from analysis *glmU* and *pfkB* loci, *L. weilii* and *L. alexanderi* genotypes fall into two different but closely related subclusters. This differentiation was found also with *rrs* gene phylogeny (S4).

Three species in the pathogenic group, *L. interrogans, L. kirschneri*, and *L. noguchii*, formed one close genetic subgroup (BI = 99%, bootstrap index). The strains of these species are often the cause of the human leptospirosis. Four species, *L. weilii*, *L. alexanderi*, *L. borgpetersenii*, and *L. santarosai*, formed another phylogenetic subgroup (BI = 98%) (Figure 3). The strains of these species are usually isolated in natural foci. The candidate pathogenic species, *L. alstoni* and *L. kmetyi*, fell in the common big cluster of pathogenic *Leptospira* species. On the MLST tree, *L. alstoni* formed a sister clade to the *L. weilii/L. alexanderi/L. borgpetersenii/L. santarosai* group of pathogenic *Leptospira* species (BI = 70%), whereas *L. kmetyi* formed a basal branch (BI = 99) (Figure 3). The levels of genetic similarity of *L. alstoni* and *L. kmetyi* to pathogenic *Leptospira* species (0.913 and 0.926) were much higher than to nonpathogenic (0.13 and 0.09) or intermediate species (0.58 and 0.54). Our data confirm the results of previous characterization for *L. alstoni* on the base of *16SrDNA* gene and for *L. kmetyi* on the base of *16SrDNA*, gyrB, and rpoB genes analysis [2, 3].

The single *Leptospira* spp. strain, Bairam-Ali, formed a separate distinct branch on dendrogram, with genetic distances comparable to those of distantly related species of different *Leptospira* groups. For example, differences between pathogenic and nonpathogenic, pathogenic and intermediate, and intermediate and nonpathogenic groups were 1.208, 0.873, and 0.845, respectively (Figure 2), whereas the differences between *Leptospira* spp. Bairam-Ali and pathogenic, intermediate, and nonpathogenic species were 1.232, 0.803, and 0.727, respectively; this result points to significant differences between Bairam-Ali and other *Leptospira* species, a result that is consistent with whole genome sequencing data.

It should be noted that the comparative studies of different *Leptospira* groups based on the *rrs* gene variability, which added to the analysis the newly described saprophytic *L. idonii* strain Eri-1T [5] and recently approved 16S rDNA sequences of leptospires from the Peruvian Amazon, previously termed "clade C" [38, 39], did not change separate phylogenetic position of *Leptospira* spp.strain Bairam-Ali.

Thus, according to our results the *Leptospira* strain Bairam-Ali forms a separate phylogenetic branch of the *Leptospira* genus and cannot be attributed to any group of



FIGURE 3: Phylogenetic tree of Leptospira species based on concatenated sequences of seven MLST loci.

pathogenic, intermediate, or saprophytic *Leptospira*, illustrating its uniqueness.

In conclusion, we found that the natural and anthropurgic foci supported ubiquitous pathogenic, intermediate, and nonpathogenic *Leptospira* species. They have circulated for a long time, interacting with maintenance and supplementary animal hosts. The relationships between the different *Leptospira* strains provide for horizontal gene transfer and create in the bacterial population the pool of genes important for adaptivity to various conditions. Modern molecular genetic methods are suitable for investigation of *Leptospira* and control of the pathogenic species, which are the causal agent of leptospirosis. Also, the taxonomic position of the new strains with unexpected properties can be founded on the base of these methods. Further investigation of the genetic diversity in the natural and anthropurgic foci is required for the identification of the *Leptospira* genotypes, control of the strain's transmission, and better understanding of the origin and evolution of the *Leptospira* species.

Abbreviations

- L int: L. interrogans
- L kir: L. kirschneri
- L nog: L. noguchii
- L wei: L. weilii
- L ale: L. alexanderi
- L bor: L. borgpetersenii
- L san: L. santarosai
- L als: L. alstoni
- L kme: L. kmetyi
- L lic: L. licerasiae

L wlf:	L. wolffii
fai:	L. fainei
bro:	L. broomii
L ind:	L. inadai
bif:	L. biflexa
yan:	L. yanagawae
mey:	L. meyeri
ter:	L. terpstrae
van:	L. vanthielii
wlb:	L. wolbachii
LBA:	L. species Bairam-Ali
TURPA:	Turneriella parva
INT:	Intermediate species
NON-PATH:	Nonpathogenic species.

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests regarding the publication of this paper.

References

- [1] The List of Prokaryotic names with Standing in Nomenclature, Site founded by: J. P. Euzéby, http://www.bacterio.net/.
- [2] L. Smythe, B. Adler, R. A. Hartskeerl, R. L. Galloway, C. Y. Turenne, and P. N. Levett, "Classification of *Leptospira* genomospecies 1, 3, 4 and 5 as *Leptospira alstonii* sp. nov., *Leptospira vanthielii* sp. nov., *Leptospira terpstrae* sp. nov. and *Leptospira yanagawae* sp. nov., respectively," *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, vol. 63, no. 5, pp. 1859–1862, 2013.
- [3] A. T. Slack, S. Khairani-Bejo, M. L. Symonds et al., "Leptospira kmetyi sp. nov., isolated from an environmental source in Malaysia," International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, vol. 59, no. 4, pp. 705–708, 2009.
- [4] G. M. Cerqueira and M. Picardeau, "A century of Leptospira strain typing," *Infection, Genetics and Evolution*, vol. 9, no. 5, pp. 760–768, 2009.
- [5] M. Saito, S. Y. Villanueva, Y. Kawamura et al., "Leptospira idonii sp. nov., isolated from environmental water," *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology*, vol. 63, part 7, pp. 2457–2462, 2013.
- [6] Human Leptospirosis: Guidance for Diagnosis, Surveillance and Control, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2003, http://www.who.int/csr/don/en/WHO_CDS CDS_CSR_EPH_2002.23.pdf.
- [7] Y. Arimitsu, E. Kmety, Y. Ananyina et al., "Evaluation of the one-point microcapsule agglutination test for diagnosis of leptospirosis," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 72, no. 3, pp. 395–399, 1994.
- [8] Leptospira MLST database which is located at Imperial College London and is funded by the Wellcome Trust, http://leptospira.mlst.net/.
- [9] L. V. Didenko, G. A. Avtandilov, N. V. Shevlyagina et al., "Biodestruction of polyurethane by Staphylococcus aureus (an investigation by SEM, TEM and FIB)," in *Current Microscopy Contributions to Advances in Science and Technology*, A. Méndez-Vilas, Ed., vol. 1, pp. 323–334, Formatex Research Center, Badajoz, Spain, 2012.
- [10] M. Milani, D. Drobne, and F. Tatti, Atlas of FIB/SEM in Soft Materials and Life Sciences, Aracne, Rome, Italy, 2006.

- [11] O. L. Voronina, M. Y. Chernukha, I. A. Shaginyan et al., "Characterization of genotypes for Burkholderia cepacia complex strains isolated from patients in hospitals of the Russian federation," *Molecular Genetics, Microbiology and Virology*, vol. 28, no. 2, pp. 64–73, 2013.
- [12] K. Wilson, "Unit 2.4. Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria," in *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY, USA, 2001.
- [13] B. La Scola, G. Baranton, A. Khamis, and D. Raoult, "Partial rpoB gene sequencing for identification of Leptospira species," *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, vol. 263, no. 2, pp. 142–147, 2006.
- [14] J. Thaipadungpanit, V. Wuthiekanun, W. Chierakul et al., "A dominant clone of Leptospira interrogans associated with an outbreak of human leptospirosis in Thailand," *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 1, no. 1, article e56, 2007.
- [15] S. Boonsilp, J. Thaipadungpanit, P. Amornchai et al., "A Single Multilocus Sequence Typing (MLST) scheme for seven pathogenic Leptospira species," *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases*, vol. 7, no. 1, Article ID e1954, 2013.
- [16] The Main Site EMBL-EBI, European Bioinformatics Institute, http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/clustalw2.
- [17] E. J. Feil, E. C. Holmes, D. E. Bessen et al., "Recombination within natural populations of pathogenic bacteria: short-term empirical estimates and long-term phylogenetic consequences," *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 98, no. 1, pp. 182–187, 2001.
- [18] K. A. Jolley, E. J. Feil, M. S. Chan, and M. C. J. Maiden, "Sequence type analysis and recombinational tests (START)," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 17, no. 12, pp. 1230–1231, 2002.
- [19] M. Kimura, "A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences," *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, vol. 16, no. 2, pp. 111– 120, 1980.
- [20] D. Posada and K. A. Crandall, "MODELTEST: testing the model of DNA substitution," *Bioinformatics*, vol. 14, no. 9, pp. 817–818, 1998.
- [21] M. Nei and S. Kumar, *Molecular Evolution and Phylogenetics*, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, USA, 2000.
- [22] K. Tamura, G. Stecher, D. Peterson, A. Filipski, and S. Kumar, "MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 6.0," *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, vol. 30, no. 12, pp. 2725– 2729, 2013.
- [23] F. Gao and C.-T. Zhang, "Ori-finder: a web-based system for finding oriCs in unannotated bacterial genomes," *BMC Bioinformatics*, vol. 9, article 79, 2008.
- [24] R. K. Aziz, D. Bartels, A. Best et al., "The RAST Server: rapid annotations using subsystems technology," *BMC Genomics*, vol. 9, article 75, 2008.
- [25] R. Overbeek, T. Begley, R. M. Butler et al., "The subsystems approach to genome annotation and its use in the project to annotate 1000 genomes," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 33, pp. 5691–5702, 2005.
- [26] M. Picardeau, "Diagnosis and epidemiology of leptospirosis," *Médecine et Maladies Infectieuses*, vol. 43, no. 1, pp. 1–9, 2013.
- [27] M. Picardeau, D. M. Bulach, C. Bouchier et al., "Genome sequence of the saprophyte Leptospira biflexa provides insights into the evolution of *Leptospira* and the pathogenesis of leptospirosis," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 3, no. 2, Article ID e1607, 2008.
- [28] S.-X. Ren, G. Fu, X.-G. Jiangk et al., "Unique physiological and pathogenic features of *Leptospira interrogans* revealed by wholegenome sequencing," *Nature*, vol. 422, no. 6934, pp. 888–893, 2003.

- [29] M. Singer and P. Berg, Genes & Genomes: A Changing Perspective, University Science Books, 1991.
- [30] A. L. T. O. Nascimento, A. I. Ko, E. A. L. Martins et al., "Comparative genomics of two *Leptospira interrogans* serovars reveals novel insights into physiology and pathogenesis," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 186, no. 7, pp. 2164–2172, 2004.
- [31] R. B. Bourret, N. W. Charon, A. M. Stock, and A. H. West, "Bright lights, abundant operons—fluorescence and genomic technologies advance studies of bacterial locomotion and signal transduction: review of the BLAST meeting, Cuernavaca, Mexico, 14 to 19 January 2001," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 184, no. 1, pp. 1–17, 2002.
- [32] C. Li, C. W. Wolgemuth, M. Marko, D. G. Morgan, and N. W. Charon, "Genetic analysis of spirochete flagellin proteins and their involvement in motility, filament assembly, and flagellar morphology," *Journal of Bacteriology*, vol. 190, no. 16, pp. 5607– 5615, 2008.
- [33] A. L. T. O. Nascimento, S. Verjovski-Almeida, M. A. van Sluys et al., "Genome features of *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Copenhageni," *Brazilian Journal of Medical and Biological Research*, vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 459–478, 2004.
- [34] P. A. Cullen, S. J. Cordwell, D. M. Bulach, D. A. Haake, and B. Adler, "Global analysis of outer membrane proteins from *Leptospira interrogans* serovar Lai," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 70, no. 5, pp. 2311–2318, 2002.
- [35] J. Matsunaga, T. A. Young, J. K. Barnett, D. Barnett, C. A. Bolin, and D. A. Haake, "Novel 45-kilodalton leptospiral protein that is processed to a 31-kilodalton growth-phase-regulated peripheral membrane protein," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 70, no. 1, pp. 323–334, 2002.
- [36] E. Stackebrandt and J. Ebers, "Taxonomic parameters revisited: tarnished gold standards," *Microbiology Today*, vol. 33, pp. 152– 155, 2006.
- [37] P. Vandamme and P. Dawyndt, "Classification and identification of the Burkholderia cepacia complex: past, present and future," *Systematic and Applied Microbiology*, vol. 34, no. 2, pp. 87–95, 2011.
- [38] C. A. Ganoza, M. A. Matthias, D. Collins-Richards et al., "Determining risk for severe leptospirosis by molecular analysis of environmental surface waters for pathogenic *Leptospira*," *PLoS Medicine*, vol. 3, no. 8, article e308, 2006.
- [39] J. S. Lehmann, M. A. Matthias, J. M. Vinetz, and D. E. Fouts, "Leptospiral pathogenomics," *Pathogens*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 280– 308, 2014.