

Antimicrobial dosing in critically ill patients with sepsis-induced acute kidney injury

Anish Kumar, Narinder Pal Singh

Severe sepsis often leads to multiple organ dysfunction syndromes (MODS) with acute kidney injury (AKI). AKI affects approximately, 35% of Intensive Care Unit patients, and most of these are due to sepsis. Mortality rate of sepsis-induced AKI is high. Inappropriate use of antimicrobials may be responsible for higher therapeutic failure, mortality rates, costs and toxicity as well as the emergence of resistance. Antimicrobial treatment is particularly difficult due to altered pharmacokinetic profile, dynamic changes in patient's clinical status and, in many cases, need for renal replacement therapy. This article aims to describe the appropriate antimicrobial dosing and reviews the factors contributing to the difficulties in establishing precise guidelines for antimicrobial dosing in sepsis-induced AKI patients. Search strategy:Text material was collected by systematic search in PubMed, Google (1978–2013) for original articles.





Introduction

Sepsis is a common heterogeneous clinical entity that is defined by the physiological changes collectively known as a systemic inflammatory response syndrome, which occurs in response to a presumed infectious etiology.^[1] Severe sepsis and septic shock are frequent reasons for patient's admission to Intensive Care Units (ICU). In septic shock, patients fail to maintain their blood pressure despite adequate hydration. Severe sepsis is defined as sepsis plus sepsis-induced organ dysfunction or tissue hypoperfusion.^[2] Severe sepsis often leads to multiple organ dysfunction syndromes (MODS) with acute kidney injury (AKI).^[3] AKI affects approximately, 35% of ICU patients,^[4] and around 50% of these are due to sepsis.^[5] AKI has an overall mortality rate of 45%, mortality rate of sepsis-induced AKI is much higher, at over 70%.^[4,6] A study from the USA by Angus and Wax^[7] has reported that there are approximately, 7.5 lakh new

From:

Correspondence:

Dr. Narinder Pal Singh, Department of Nephrology, Pushpanjali Crosslay Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: nanu_singh@yahoo.com cases of severe sepsis annually, with an economic impact approaching \$17 billion, and it is the 3rd leading cause of infectious death and the 10th leading cause of death overall. There is a paucity of data in India regarding the true incidence and prevalence of AKI. In a study from North India, Kohli et al.,^[8] reported the incidence of hospital-acquired AKI was 2.1/1000 admissions and the incidence of community-acquired AKI (CAAKI) was 6.6/1000 admissions. Similarly in recent study by Kaul et al. from North India, the prevalence of sepsis-induced CAAKI was 13.9% and overall mortality rate among patients with CAAKI was 26.2% but sepsis-induced CAAKI had the highest mortality. Majority of patients with CAAKI required dialysis mainly hemodialysis.^[9] Thus, it has an enormous impact on resource depleted ICUs in developing the country like India. The only solution of such huge problem is an early institution of appropriate resources. Recent published Surviving Sepsis Campaign (SSC) guideline hoped that over time, particularly through education programs and feedback performance improvement initiatives, the guideline will influence bedside health care practitioner behavior that will reduce the burden of sepsis worldwide.^[2] Appropriate antimicrobial treatment in terms of spectrum of activity or dose and frequency of administration will result in

Department of Nephrology, Pushpanjali Crosslay Hospital, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh, India

better outcomes in such patients.^[10] However, because of various alterations in the pharmacokinetics (PK) of antimicrobials during sepsis, standard antimicrobial regimens can result in subtherapeutic serum drug concentrations in such patients.^[11,12]

Disturbances of Renal Function in Critically Ill Patients

Critically ill-patients are diagnosed with various stages of AKI. Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes have recently published new stages of AKI and their diagnostic criteria [Table 1].^[13] Approximately, two-third of patients are diagnosed within the first 24 h after admission to the ICU.^[6,14] It is emphasized that disturbances of renal function are not limited to glomerular filtration rate, but also affect the process of tubular secretion and reabsorption.

Need for Individualized Antimicrobial Dosing in Sepsis-Induced Acute Kidney Injury

In addition to the *in vitro* susceptibility of the isolated strains and timely antimicrobial administration,^[15] antimicrobial efficacy is dependent on the serum and tissue concentrations of the agent used.^[16] Sepsis significantly alters the PK of antimicrobial agents,

Table 1: Stages of acute kidney injury according to KDIGO Stage Serum creatinine Urine output $1.5-1.9 \times \text{baseline or} \ge 0.3 \text{ mg/dl} (\ge 26.5 \text{ mmol/l})$ <0.5 ml/kg/h increase for 6-12 h 2 2.0-2.9×baseline <0.5 ml/kg/h for > 12 h<0.3 ml/kg/h for 3 3.0×baseline, or increase in serum creatinine \geq 24 h or anuria \geq 4.0 mg/dl (\geq 353.6 mmol/l), or initiation of RRT, or decrease in eGFR <35 ml/min/1.73 m² for $\geq 12 h$ for patients < 18 years

KDIGO: Kidney disease: Improving global outcomes; RRT: Renal replacement therapy; eGFR: Estimated glomerular filtration rate

including increasing the volume of distribution (Vd), protein binding and drug clearance. The effect of hypoproteinemia, organ dysfunction and the presence of augmented renal clearance may lead to unexpectedly high or low plasma antimicrobial concentrations.^[17] The problem of optimal antimicrobial doses becomes even more complex when there is concomitant renal failure because drug clearance is reduced, and accumulation of antimicrobials in the blood and tissues may potentially contribute to increased adverse side effects.^[16]

Pharmacokinetics of antimicrobials in a heterogeneous group is altered to varying extent compared with a healthy population and their clinical state and drug PK can fluctuate significantly on the day to day basis. Therefore, indicators routinely employed in designing the antimicrobial regimen in individuals without organ dysfunction are entirely inadequate. In such patient, these disparities can result in inappropriate antimicrobial treatment.

Antimicrobial agents are a group of drugs with "silent" pharmacodynamics (PD) (i.e. the pharmacologic effect is not perceivable immediately after administration), it is almost impossible to assess whether therapeutic concentrations are being achieved during the early phase of therapy. Therefore, situations likely to alter antimicrobial PK [Figure 1] and necessitate dosage adjustment are necessary to enable the individualization of antimicrobial therapy.^[18]

Factors Affecting Antimicrobial Dosing in Patients with Acute Kidney Injury

Factors contribute to the difficulties in establishing precise guidelines for antimicrobial dosing in critically ill-patients with AKI [Table 2] are mainly: (1) Patient related (2) hemofiltration-related and (3) drug-related variables.



Figure 1: Clinical scenarios likely to alter antimicrobial pharmacokinetics in Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndrome^[18]

Table 2: Factors contributing to the difficulties inestablishing precise guidelines for antimicrobial dosing inpatients with acute kidney injury

Differences in baseline characteristics: Age, sex, body mass and surface, fat
tissue and muscle tissue content
Altered drug pharmacokinetics (individual variations)
Changes in volume of distribution
Hypoalbuminemia
Changes in renal clearance
Commonly observed disturbances in drug metabolism in the
liver (individual variations)
Dynamic changes in patient's clinical state and organ function
Hemofiltration-related variables: renal replacement therapy
Various techniques and their modifications
Differences in ultrafiltrate and dialysate flow rates
Various dialysis membranes
Varving treatment times

Drug-related variables

Patient-related variables

Most of the antimicrobials are acidic and protein binding is often significantly altered in critical illness due to the fall in serum albumin, decreased systemic pH and the presence of uremic toxins, bilirubin and free fatty acids, all of which may be present in renal failure and sepsis.^[19-21] Most antimicrobial agents are eliminated via the kidney, and therefore a significant reduction in creatinine clearance may result in an extensive prolongation of the half-life of some antimicrobials. Hepatic metabolism and biliary or gut excretion may substantially increase in the presence of renal failure. Sepsis causes the damage of vascular endothelium with an increase of capillary permeability and redistribution of fluid into the extracellular compartment. As a result, Vd of water soluble antimicrobials increases with a subsequent drop in their concentration to the subtherapeutic level.

Hemodialysis and hemofiltration-related variables

Continuous venovenous hemofiltration (CVVH) removes plasma water by producing an ultrafiltrate and clears molecules of varying sizes by convection – by dragging molecules with the fluid. This process of the molecular clearance is influenced by:

- The sieving coefficient of the molecules removed:
 - Sieving coefficient is defined as the concentration of drug in the ultrafiltrate divided by mean of concentrations in pre-and post-filter blood and it reflects the capacity of a drug to pass through a hemofilter membrane. It varies from 0 (drugs that do not pass) to 1 (drugs that pass freely).
- The ultrafiltration rate:
 - In addition, drug clearance is directly proportional to the ultrafiltration rate; a higher proportion of the drug is removed at higher filtration rates.

- The proportion of replacement fluid given predilution:
 - Transfer of drug across the filter membrane depends on the concentration of drug. Infusion of a proportion of the total replacement fluid before the filter (predilution) may decrease local concentration and results in a decrease in drug clearance.
- Membrane characteristics:
 - Use of large surface area membranes and frequent changes of the filter membrane will increase the amount of drug being removed. Solute-membrane interaction, leading to the formation of plasma protein layers on the membrane and reduce its permeability.^[22]

Drug-related variables

Several drug factors [Figure 2] play an important role in determining the final amount of drug removed by hemofiltration, notably:

- The molecular weight of the drug
- Protein binding
- The degree of renal clearance.

Molecular size influences drug clearance, as the contribution of convective transport relative to diffusion increases with increasing molecular weight of the drug.

Dosage Adaptations

Commonly used drug-dosing technique involves calculating the total creatinine clearance rate by adding any estimated residual renal creatinine clearance to the expected extracorporeal creatinine clearance. The extracorporeal creatinine clearance rate can be assumed to be approximately equivalent to the dialyzate, ultrafiltrate, or effluent rate, and medication dosing guidelines specified for the total creatinine clearance can be used to guide dose selection. This method assumes that drugs only undergo glomerular filtration, not tubular secretion or reabsorption.^[23] For drugs that do undergo tubular secretion, this method could lead to increased drug exposures, and in patients with impaired reabsorption, underdosing can potentially occur. Thus, drug dosing technique on the basis of creatinine clearance rate is not effective.

Pharmacokinetics and Pharmacodynamic of Antimicrobials in Sepsis-Induced Acute Kidney Injury Patients

Intrinsic PK and PD properties are the major determinants of *in vivo* efficacy of antimicrobial agents.^[24]

The PK and PD properties of each antimicrobial agent and the typical susceptibilities of relevant pathogens are considered in Table 3.^[25-30]

Pharmacokinetics

Pharmacokinetics is the study of the interrelationship between drug dose and variations in concentrations



Figure 2: Drug related factors affecting antimicrobial dosing in critical ill patients

Table 3: Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic parameters of antimicrobial agents ^[25-30]								
Drug	Concentration versus time- dependent	Vd (l/kg)	PBC (%)	Main elimination route ^a	T _{1/2} for normal renal function (h)	Target trough level (mg/l)⁵	Comments	
Gentamycin	Concentration	0.2-0.3	<30	Renal			Optimal C _m /MIC ≥8-10	
Tobramycin	Concentration	0.2-0.3	<30	Renal			• 111dA	
Amikacin	Concentration	~0.25	0-11	Renal				
Cefazolin	Time	~0.14	74-86	Renal			2× increase in Vd in critically ill reported ^a	
Cefepime	Time	0.23-0.29	16-20	Renal	2.1	8		
Cefotaxim	Time	0.15-0.55	27-38	Renal	I	8		
Ceftazidime	Time	0.23	17-21	Renal	1.6	8		
Ceftriaxone	Time	0.09-0.2	85-95	Hepatic	8	8		
Cefuroxime	Time		33-50	Renal				
Ciprofloxacine	Concentration	1.8-2.7	20-40	Renal	4.1	I	Optimal AUC 24/MIC > 125 for Gram-negative, >40 for	
Levofloxacine	Concentration	1.05-1.6	24-38	Renal	7-8	2	Gram-negative Vd is not increased in critically ill ^a	
Moxifloxacine	Concentration	1.7-2.7	50	Hepatic	12	2	,	
Ampicilin	Time	0.29	I-28	Renal	1.2	8		
Clavulanate	-	0.3	30	Hepatic	I	NA		
Vancomycin	Time/	0.4-1.0	50-55	Renal	6	10		
	concentration							
Piperacilin	Time	0.18	16	Renal	I	16		
Tazobactam	Time	0.18-0.33	20-23	Renal	I	4		
Sulbactam	Time	0.25-0.50	38	Renal	I	1-4		
Imipenem	Time	0.23	20	Renal	I	4	Vd is not increased in critically ill ^a	
Meropenema	Time	0.21-0.29	2	Renal	I	4	MIC ≤2 mg/l MIC=4 mg/l or meningitis ^a	
Linezolid	Time/	0.57-0.71	31	Hepatic	4.8-5.4	4	Optimal AUC 24/MIC~50 for S. pneumoniae and 82 for	
	concentration			•			S. aureus	
Daptomycin	Concentration	0.1-0.13	90-93a	Renal	8	4	84-88% for CrCl <30 l/min ^a	
Fluconazolea	Time	0.6-0.65	12	Renal	30	8-16d	It undergoes postfiltration reabsorption therefore in anuric	
Itraconazole	Time	10	~99	Hepatic	21	0-125-0.25 ^d	patients on CRRT its clearance \uparrow necessitating dose \uparrow^a	
Voriconazol ^e	Time	4.6	58	Hepatic	12	0.5		
Acyclovir	Time	0.6	15	Renal	2-4	NAc		
Aztreonam	Time	0.2	56	Renal	1.7-2.9	8		
Clindamycin	Time	0.6-1.2	60-95	Hepatic	3	2		
Colistin	Concentration	0.34	55	Renal	2	4		

NA: Not applicable; PBC: Protein-binding capacity. ^aData are for the parent compound; ^bThe highest MIC in the susceptible range for applicable pathogens, such as the b-lactam MIC for *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*; ^cTrough concentrations of acyclovir are not routinely measured because this agent is phosphorylated into the active form acyclovir triphosphate; ^aThe higher level is the recommended target trough concentration for *Candida* species with an MIC in the dose dependent, susceptible range (fluconazole MIC, 16-32 mg/mL; itraconazole MIC, 0.25-0.5 mg/mL); ^eThe oral bioavailability of voriconazole is estimated to be 96%. Vd: Volume of distribution; MIC: Minimum inhibitory concentration; *S. pneumoniae*: *Streptococcus pneumonia*; *S. aureus*: *Streptococcus aureus*; CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy; AUC: Area under the curve

in plasma and tissue over time. The most relevant PK parameters [Figure 3] include the following.^[31]

- Cmax: Peak concentration achieved after a single doseCmin: The lowest (trough) concentration that a drug
- reaches before the next dose is administered
- Vd: The apparent volume of fluid that contains the total drug dose administered at the same concentration as in plasma
- Clearance (CL): Quantification of the irreversible loss of drug from the body by metabolism and excretion
- Elimination half-life: Time required for the plasma concentration to fall by one-half
- Protein binding: Proportion of drug binding to plasma proteins
- Area under the curve (AUC) 0–24: Total area under the concentration curve over 0–24 h.

Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics/ pharmacodynamics models

Pharmacodynamics is the study of the relationship between drug concentrations and effect [Figure 4].^[31] Several PK/PD models have been constructed using the three most popular parameters: Cmax/minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC), %T > MIC, and AUC24/ MIC.

• Cmax/MIC: How many times the peak serum concentration of a given antimicrobial is higher than MIC





- %T > MIC: Percentage of a dosage interval in which the serum drug concentration remains above the MIC
- AUC24/MIC: Area under the concentration curve over 0–24 h-to-minimum inhibitory concentration ratio.

Classification of Antimicrobials Based on Pharmacokinetics-Pharmacodynamics Models Associated with their Optimal Killing Activity^[32-35]

Time-dependent antimicrobial agents

Optimal activity is achieved when unbound plasma concentrations are maintained above the MIC of the bacteria for the longest period, and %T > MIC is the best predictor of their efficacy. Time – dependent antimicrobials, including cephalosporins, carbapenems, and penicillins. After administering the loading dose, timedependent antimicrobials should be readministered in several lower doses per 24 h.^[32]

Concentration-dependent antimicrobial agents

Concentration – dependent antimicrobials, including aminoglycosides, fluorochinolones, daptomycin, amphotericin B, should be administered in high doses once per 24 h in order to obtain optimal activity of Cmax/ MIC to maximize killing, followed by very low troughs to minimize toxicity.^[33]

Time and concentration dependent antimicrobial agents

AUC24/MIC is the most reliable predictor of antimicrobial (vancomycin, linezolid, tetracyclines, azithromycin) efficacy, and it is also related to the type of the pathogen involved.^[34-35]

Antimicrobial Dosing in Sepsis-Induced Acute Kidney Injury on Renal Replacement Therapy

In patients with sepsis, sustained oliguria or severe metabolic acidosis, refractory volume overload



Figure 4: Interrelationship among pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamic, and pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics^[31]

and severe electrolyte disarray may be the reasons enough to start renal replacement therapy (RRT).^[36] In sepsis-induced AKI, therapeutic antimicrobial drugs are often required, but standard dosing regimens are affected by RRT. Continuous renal replacement therapy, particularly CVVH, is becoming more commonly used in the routine management of critically ill patients with AKI.^[37] These modalities [Table 4] may change dosing of antimicrobial agents.^[38] The SSC recommends that intravenous antimicrobials are begun within the 1st h after diagnosis of severe sepsis and septic shock.^[39] Dosage of antimicrobial by type of RRT are showed in Table 5.^[18,26,30,40-50]

Dosing of Antimicrobials in Sepsis Related Multiple Organ Dysfunction Syndromes

In sepsis-related MODS, homeostasis cannot be maintained without intervention, usually involving two or more organ systems.^[51] Hemodynamic alterations lead to sepsis-induced tissue hypoperfusion, which affect PK leading to inadequate

Table 4: Modalities of renal replacement therapy ^[38]						
Acronym	Definition	Description				
HD	Hemodialysis	Conventional intermittent dialysis over 3-4 h, 3 times/week				
CRRT	Continuous renal replacement therapy	Generic term for the following modalities				
CVVH	Continuous venovenous hemofiltration	Filtration without dialysis over 24 h				
CAVH	Continuous arteriovenous hemofiltration	Filtration without dialysis over 24 h				
CVVHD	Continuous venovenous hemodialysis	Dialysis over 24 h				
CAVHD	Continuous arteriovenous hemodialysis	Dialysis over 24 h				
SLED	Sustained low efficiency dialysis	Dialysis over 6-12 h				
SLEDD	Slow extended daily dialysis or sustained low efficiency daily dialysis	Dialysis over 6-12 h				
EDD	Extended daily dialysis	Dialysis over 6-12 h				
SCD	Slow continuous dialysis	Dialysis over 6-12 h				

Table 5: Dose aujustments of selected intravenous antimicrobiais in patients with renai dysiunction and nepatic failure.							
Antimicrobial	Normal renal function	CICr 30-50 ml/min	ClCr 10-30 ml/min	ClCr <10 ml/min	HD	CRRT	Recommended MD for patients with hepatic failure ^a
Aminoglycosides							
Gentamicin	I-2.5 mg/kg Q8-12 h or 46 mg/kg Q24 h	I-2.5 mg/kg Q12 h	I-2.5 mg/kg Q24 h (<20 ml/min Q48 h) TDM	LD: I-2.5 mg/ kg MD: Q48-72 h TDM	LD: 2-3 mg/kg MD: 1-1.5 mg/kg Q48-72 h (a.HD) TDM In systemic Gram-negative infections 1.5-2 mg/kg O48-72 h	All CRRT: LD: 2-3 mg/kg, MD: 1-1.5 mg Q24-36 h TDM In systemic Gram-negative infections 1.5-2 mg/kg Q24-48 h	5 mg/kg q24 h; monitor C _{min} after 24 h, aiming for levels, 0.5 mg/L
Tobramycin	I-2.5 mg/kg Q8-12 h 4-6 mg/kg Q24 h	I-2.5 mg/kg Q12 h	I -2.5 mg/kg Q24 h (<20 ml/min Q48 h) TDM	LD: I-2.5 mg/kg MD: Q72 hTDM	LD: 2-3 mg/kg MD: 1-1.5 mg/kg Q48-72 h (a.HD) TDM In systemic Gram-negative infections 1.5-2 mg/kg Q48-72 h	All CRRT: LD: 2-3 mg/kg, MD: 1-1.5 mg Q24-36 h TDM In systemic Gram-negative infections 1.5-2 mg/kg Q24-48 h	
Amikacin	5-7.5 mg/kg Q8 h I5-20 mg/kg Q24 h	5-7.5 mg/kg Q12 h	5-7.5 mg/kg Q24 h (<20 ml/min LD and TDM)	LD: 5-7.5 mg/kg MD: TDM	LD: 5-7.5 mg/kg MD: 5-7.5 mg/kg Q48-72 h (a.HD) TDM	LD: 10 mg/kg MD: 7.5 mg/kg Q24-48 h TDM	I 5 mg/kg q24 h monitor C _{min} after 24 h, aiming for levels, 5 mg/L
Fluoroquinolones			,				
Ciprofloxacin	400 mg Q8-12 h	400 mg Q8-12 h	200-400 mg Q18-24 h or 75-50% of the dose Q12 h	200-400 mg Q24 h or 50% of the dose Q12 h	200-400 mg Q24 h (a.HD)	All CRRT: 200-400 Q1224 h	400 mg q12-24 h
Levofloxacine	500 mg Q24 h 750 mg Q24 h	250 mg Q24 h 750 mg Q48 h	LD+250 mg Q48 h LD+500 mg Q48 h	LD+250 mg Q48 h LD+500 mg Q48 h	LD+250 mg Q48 h (a.HD) LD+500 mg Q48 h (a.HD)	LD: 500-750 mg MD: CVVHF 250 mg Q24 h, CVVHD 250-500 Q24 h, CVVHDF 250-750 mg Q24 h	500-750 mg q24 h
							Contd

Antimicrobial	Normal renal function	CICr 30-50 ml/min	CICr 10-30 ml/min	CICr <10 ml/min	HD	CRRT	Recommended MD for patients with hepatic failure ^a
Cephalosporin Cefazolin	I -2 g Q6-8 h	1-1.5 g Q8-12 h	0.5-1 g Q12 h	0.5-0.75 g Q18-24 h	0.5-1 g Q24 h or 12 g Q48-72 (a.HD)	LD: 2 g MD: CVVHF I-2 g Q I 2 h CVVHD/HDF I g Q8 h or 2 g Q I 2 h	
Cefepime	2 g Q I 2 h	2 g Q12-24 h	I-2 g Q24 h	0.5-1 g Q24 h	LD: 1-2 g MD 0.5-1 g Q24 h or 1-2 g Q48- 72 h (a.HD)	LD: 2 g MD: CVVHF I-2 g Q I2 h CVVHD/HDF I g Q8 h or 2 g Q I2 h	l-2 g q8-12 h
Cefotaxim	2 g Q6-8 h	2 g Q6-12 h	2 g Q6-12	2 g Q24 or 1 g Q6-12	I-2 g Q24 (a.HD)	CVVHF 1-2 g Q8-12 h, CVVHD1-2 g Q8 h, CVVHDF 1-2 g Q6-8 h	
Ceftazidime	I-2 g Q8 h	I-2 g QI2 h	I-2 g Q24 h	I-2 g Q48-72 h	LD: I-2 g MD: 0.5-I g Q24 h or I-2 g Q48- 72 h (a.HD)	LD: 2 g MD: CVVHF I-2 g Q I2 h CVVHD/HDF I g Q8 h or 2 g Q I2 h	2 g q8 h
Ceftriaxone	l-2 g Q12- 24 h	I-2 g Q24 h	I-2 g Q24 h	I-2 g Q24 h	l-2 g Q24 h	2 g Q I 224 h	lgql2h
Cefuroxime	0.75-1.5 g Q8 h	0.75-1.5 g Q8 h	0.75-1.5 g Q12 h	0.75-1.5 g Q24 h	0.75-1.5 g Q24 h (a.HD)	0.75-1.5 g Q12 h	
Carbapenems Imipenem	500 mg Q6 h	500 mg Q8 h	250 mg Q6-12 h	250 mg Q12 h	250 mg Q12 h (a.HD) after HD	LD I g, MD: CVVHF 250 mg Q6 h or 500 mg Q68 h, CVVHD: 250 mg Q6 h or 500 mg Q8 h, CVVHDF: 250-500 mg Q6 h	
Meropenem	0.5-2 g (usually I g) Q8 h	0.5-2 g (usually I g) Q12 h	50% of the dose Q12 h	50% of the dose Q24 h	LD I g: MD: 500 mg Q24 (a.HD)	LD I g, MD: CVVHF 0.5 Q8 hCVVHD/CVVHDF 0.5 g Q6-8 h or 1 g Q8-12 h	l g q8 h
Vancomycin	LD: 25-30 mg/kg MD: 15-20 mg/kg Q8-12 h	15-20 mg/kg Q24 h	15-20 mg/kg Q24 h1 (<20 ml/min TDM)	15-20 mg/kg MD-TDM	LD 15-25 mg/kg, a.HD reload with 5-10 mg/kg or base on TDM	LD 15-25 mg/kg MD: CVVHF I g or 1015 mg/kg Q24 CVVHD I g or 10-15 mg/kg Q24 CVVHDF I g Q24 or 7.5-10 mg/kg Q12 h	5-20 mg/kg q 2 h
Metronidazole	500 mg Q8 h	500 mg Q8 h	500 mg Q12 h	500 mg Q12 h or 50% of the dose Q8 h	500 mg Q8-12 h (a.HD)	500 mg Q6-12 h	Dialysable 50-100% reduce dose in severe liver disease
Ampicillin	I-2 g Q4-6 h	I-2 g Q6-I2 h	I-2 g Q6-I2 h	I-2 g QI2- 24 h	I-2 g QI2-24 h	LD: 2 g MD: CVVHF I-2 g Q8- I2 h; CVVHD I-2 g Q8 h; CVVHDF I-2 g Q 6-8 h	Dialysable in 20-50%

^aActual dose prescribed will be guided by the actual level of organ dysfunction; HD: Hemodialysis; CRRT: Continuous renal replacement therapy; MD: Maintenance dose; LD: Frontloaded dose; TDM: Therapeutic drug monitoring; CVVHF: Continuous veno-venous haemofiltration; CVVHD: Continuous veno-venous hemodialysis; CVVHDF: Continuous veno-venous hemodialitration; HDF: Hemodialitration; Q: Number of hours between each antimicrobial dose

dosing of antimicrobials [Figure 1]. Several pathophysiological conditions may increase Vd and an increase in dosage should be considered with the intent of ensuring optimal care [Figure 5].^[52] Dose recommendations and general principles for loading and maintenance dosing of antimicrobial agents^[18,53-55] in patients with renal failure and hepatic failure are showed in Tables 5 and 6.

Conclusion

Sepsis is a common and remains a major cause

of multiorgan dysfunction syndrome, indicating a crucial role in efficient antimicrobial treatment. Inappropriate use of antimicrobials may be responsible for higher therapeutic failure, mortality rates, costs and patient toxicity as well as the emergence of resistance. Antimicrobial treatment is particularly difficult due to altered PK profile, dynamic changes in patient's clinical status and, in many cases, need for RRT. Instructions on antimicrobial dosing in package inserts provided by drug manufacturers are frequently insufficient to guide dosing in the critically ill patients appropriately and current

Antimicrobial	LD in patients with increased Vd	Dosing in acute kidney injury	Dosing in hepatic failure	Comments
b-lactams	Administration of high LD on day I	Decrease the dose by increasing the dosing interval	Normal dosing	It increases the susceptibility of patients to hepatotoxic reactions
Aminoglycosides (gentamicin, tobramycin, amikacin)	Use high doses (e.g., gentamicin 7 mg/kg)	Reduction in MD preferentially by prolonging the dosing intervals and tirrate dosing according to TDM	Normal dosing	Dosing regimens must be altered daily after assessment of renal function
Glycopeptides	Administer high LD on day I to ensure adequate distribution	Dose adjustments should occur according to C_{min} concentrations	Normal dosing	
Fluoroquinolones	Administer dosing for conserved organ function on day I	Dose adjustment is probably only required in renal impairment for levofloxacin, gatifloxacin, and ciprofloxacin; where possible reduce frequency and maintain dose	Decrease dose based on the degree of organ dysfunction. Moxifloxacin dose adjustment does not appear to be necessary for elderly patients with mild to moderate hepatic function	Dosage adjustments based on estimated creatinine clearance values must be made for the agents with significant renal elimination
Lincosamides (lincomycin, clindamycin)	Administer dosing for conserved organ function on day I	Decrease dose or frequency	Decrease dose or frequency	
Linazolide	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	
Macrolides	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	
Nitroimidazoles (metronidazole)	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	Decrease dosing (50% normal dose) if severe hepatic failure	
Cyclic lipopeptides	Administer a high LD on day I	Increase dosing interval	Normal dosing	
Glycylcyclines (tigecycline)	Administer LD per product information	Normal dosing	Decrease dosing (in severe hepatic impairment-100-mg LD of tigecycline followed by a MD of 25 mg every 12 h)	dose adjustments are not recommended in impaired renal function or in ESRD on hemodialysis
Oxazolidinones	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	Normal dosing	

Table 6: Antibiotic dosing adjustment for critically ill patients with multiple organ involvement^[18,53-55]

LD: Front-loaded dose; MD: Maintenance dose; TDM: Therapeutic drug monitoring; Vd: Volume of distribution; ESRD: End stage renal disease



Figure 5: Schematic representat	tion of the	pathophysiolo	gical or iatrogenic
conditions in patients affecting dr	rug distrib	ution and elimi	nation ^[52]

guidelines concerning the dosing of antimicrobials are not particularly reliable because they are based on studies involving small and heterogeneous groups of patients, often treated with different RRT modalities. Shortage of reliable data regarding antimicrobial dosing in critically ill patients possesses an enormous clinical dilemma. Consequently, there is an urgent need for establishing new sets of recommendations corroborated by large-scale prospective clinical studies conducted in homogenous patient populations treated according to the uniform RRT procedures.

References

- Bone RC, Balk RA, Cerra FB, Dellinger RP, Fein AM, Knaus WA, et al. Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. The ACCP/SCCM Consensus Conference Committee. American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine. Chest 1992;101:1644-55.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: International guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012. Intensive Care Med 2013;39:165-228.
- Rangel-Frausto MS, Pittet D, Costigan M, Hwang T, Davis CS, Wenzel RP. The natural history of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS). A prospective study. JAMA 1995;273:117-23.
- 4. Ostermann M, Chang RW. Acute kidney injury in the intensive care unit according to RIFLE. Crit Care Med 2007;35:1837-43.
- Uchino S, Kellum JA, Bellomo R, Doig GS, Morimatsu H, Morgera S, et al. Acute renal failure in critically ill patients: A multinational, multicenter study. JAMA 2005;294:813-8.
- Hoste EA, Clermont G, Kersten A, Venkataraman R, Angus DC, De Bacquer D, *et al.* RIFLE criteria for acute kidney injury are associated with hospital mortality in critically ill patients: A cohort analysis. Crit Care 2006;10:R73.

- Angus DC, Wax RS. Epidemiology of sepsis: An update. Crit Care Med 2001;29:S109-16.
- Kohli HS, Bhat A, Jairam A, Aravindan AN, Sud K, Jha V, et al. Predictors of mortality in acute renal failure in a developing country: A prospective study. Ren Fail 2007;29:463-9.
- Kaul A, Sharma RK, Tripathi R, Suresh KJ, Bhatt S, Prasad N. Spectrum of community-acquired acute kidney injury in India: A retrospective study. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl 2012;23:619-28.
- Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Carlet JM, Bion J, Parker MM, Jaesehke R, et al. Surviving sepsis campaign: International guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock: 2008. Crit Care Med 2008;36:296-327.
- Taccone FS, Laterre PF, Dugernier T, Spapen H, Delattre I, Wittebole X, et al. Insufficient β-lactam concentrations in the early phase of severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care 2010;14:R126.
- Taccone FS, Laterre PF, Spapen H, Dugernier T, Delattre I, Layeux B, et al. Revisiting the loading dose of amikacin for patients with severe sepsis and septic shock. Crit Care 2010;14:R53.
- John AK, Norbert L, Peter A, Rashad SB, Burdmann EA, Goldstein SL, et al. KDIGO elinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. Kidney Int Suppl 2012;2:19-36.
- Piccinni P, Cruz DN, Gramaticopolo S, Garzotto F, Dal Santo M, Aneloni G, et al. Prospective multicenter study on epidemiology of acute kidney injury in the ICU: A critical care nephrology Italian collaborative effort (NEFROINT). Minerva Anestesiol 2011;77:1072-83.
- Kumar A, Roberts D, Wood KE, Light B, Parrillo JE, Sharma S, et al. Duration of hypotension before initiation of effective antimicrobial therapy is the critical determinant of survival in human septic shock. Crit Care Med 2006;34:1589-96.
- Roberts JA, Lipman J. Pharmacokinetic issues for antibiotics in the critically ill patient. Crit Care Med 2009;37:840-51.
- Roberts JA, Joynt GM, Choi GY, Gomersall CD, Lipman J. How to optimise antimicrobial prescriptions in the intensive care unit: Principles of individualised dosing using pharmacokinetics and pharmacdynamics. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2012;39:187-92.
- Ulldemolins M, Roberts JA, Lipman J, Rello J. Antibiotic dosing in multiple organ dysfunction syndrome. Chest 2011;139:1210-20.
- Gulyassy PF, Depner TA. Impaired binding of drugs and endogenous ligands in renal diseases. Am J Kidney Dis 1983;2:578-601.
- Suh B, Craig WA, England AC, Elliott RL. Effect of free fatty acids on protein binding of antimicrobial agents. J Infect Dis 1981;143:609-16.
- Craig WA, Suh B. Changes in protein binding during disease. Scand J Infect Dis Suppl 1978:239-44.
- Golper TA, Bennett WM. Drug removal by continuous arteriovenous haemofiltration. A review of the evidence in poisoned patients. Med Toxicol Adverse Drug Exp 1988;3:341-9.
- Schetz M. Drug dosing in continuous renal replacement therapy: General rules. Curr Opin Crit Care 2007;13:645-51.
- Scaglione F, Paraboni L. Influence of pharmacokinetics/ pharmacodynamics of antibacterials in their dosing regimen selection. Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther 2006;4:479-90.
- Choi G, Gomersall CD, Tian Q, Joynt GM, Freebairn R, Lipman J. Principles of antibacterial dosing in continuous renal replacement therapy. Crit Care Med 2009;37:2268-82.
- 26. Patel K, Roberts JA, Lipman J, Tett SE, Deldot ME, Kirkpatrick CM. Population pharmacokinetics of fluconazole in critically ill patients receiving continuous venovenous hemodiafiltration: Using Monte Carlo simulations to predict doses for specified pharmacodynamic targets. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2011;55:5868-73.
- Ulldemolins M, Roberts JA, Rello J, Paterson DL, Lipman J. The effects of hypoalbuminaemia on optimizing antibacterial dosing in critically ill patients. Clin Pharmacokinet 2011;50:99-110.
- Scaglione F. Can PK/PD be used in everyday clinical practice. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2002;19:349-53.
- Trotman RL, Williamson JC, Shoemaker DM, Salzer WL. Antibiotic dosing in critically ill adult patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy. Clin Infect Dis. 2005;41:1159-66.
- Fish DN, Teitelbaum I, Abraham E. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of imipenem during continuous renal replacement therapy in critically ill patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49:2421-8.

- Rowland M, Tozer TN. Clinical Pharmacokinetics: Concepts and Applications. 3rd ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins; 1995.
- Craig WA. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: Rationale for antibacterial dosing of mice and men. Clin Infect Dis 1998;26:1-10.
- Craig WA. Does the dose matter? Clin Infect Dis 2001;33 Suppl 3:S233-7.
 Craig WA. Basic pharmacodynamics of antibacterials with clinical
- applications to the use of beta-lactams, glycopeptides, and linezolid.
 Infect Dis Clin North Am 2003;17:479-501.
 35. Pea F, Viale P. The antimicrobial therapy puzzle: Could
- 55. Fearr, viale F. The antimicrobial therapy puzzle: Could pharmacokinetic-pharmacodynamic relationships be helpful in addressing the issue of appropriate pneumonia treatment in critically ill patients? Clin Infect Dis 2006;42:1764-71.
- 36. Uchino S, Bellomo R, Morimatsu H, Morgera S, Schetz M, Tan I, et al. Continuous renal replacement therapy: A worldwide practice survey. The beginning and ending supportive therapy for the kidney (B.E.S.T. kidney) investigators. Intensive Care Med 2007;33:1563-70.
- Wright SE, Bodenham A, Short AI, Turney JH. The provision and practice of renal replacement therapy on adult intensive care units in the United Kingdom. Anaesthesia 2003;58:1063-9.
- Mushatt DM, Mihm LB, Dreisbach AW, Simon EE. Antibiotic dosing in slow extended daily dialysis. Clin Infect Dis 2009;49:433-7.
- McKenzie C. Antibiotic dosing in critical illness. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;66 Suppl 2:ii25-31.
- Udy AA, Roberts JA, Boots RJ, Paterson DL, Lipman J. Augmented renal clearance: Implications for antibacterial dosing in the critically ill. Clin Pharmacokinet 2010;49:1-16.
- Rybak MJ, Abate BJ, Kang SL, Ruffing MJ, Lerner SA, Drusano GL. Prospective evaluation of the effect of an aminoglycoside dosing regimen on rates of observed nephrotoxicity and ototoxicity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 1999;43:1549-55.
- Andes D, van Ogtrop ML, Peng J, Craig WA. *In vivo* pharmacodynamics of a new oxazolidinone (linezolid). Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:3484-9.
- 43. Bergner R, Hoffmann M, Riedel KD, Mikus G, Henrich DM, Haefeli WE, et al. Fluconazole dosing in continuous veno-venous haemofiltration (CVVHF): Need for a high daily dose of 800 mg. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006;21:1019-23.
- 44. Heintz BH, Matzke GR, Dager WE. Antimicrobial dosing concepts and recommendations for critically ill adult patients receiving continuous renal replacement therapy or intermittent hemodialysis. Pharmacotherapy 2009;29:562-77.
- Ahern JW, Possidente CJ, Hood V, Alston WK. Cefazolin dosing protocol for patients receiving long-term hemodialysis. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2003;60:178-81.
- Aronoff GR, Bennett WM, Berns JS. Drug prescribing in renal failure: Dosing guidelines for adults and children. American College of Physicians. 5th ed. Philadelphia: PA; 2007. p. 97.
- 47. Rybak M, Lomaestro B, Rotschafer JC, Moellering R Jr, Craig W, Billeter M, et al. Therapeutic monitoring of vancomycin in adult patients: A consensus review of the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists, the Infectious Diseases Society of America, and the Society of Infectious Diseases Pharmacists. Am J Health Syst Pharm 2009;66:82-98.
- Vilay AM, Grio M, Depestel DD, Sowinski KM, Gao L, Heung M, et al. Daptomycin pharmacokinetics in critically ill patients receiving continuous venovenous hemodialysis. Crit Care Med 2011;39:19-25.
- 49. Salama NN, Segal JH, Churchwell MD, Patel JH, Gao L, Heung M, et al. Intradialytic administration of daptomycin in end stage renal disease patients on hemodialysis. Clin J Am Soc Nephrol 2009;4:1190-4.
- Adembri C, Fallani S, Cassetta MI, Arrigucci S, Ottaviano A, Peeile P, et al. Linezolid pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic profile in critically ill septic patients: Intermittent versus continuous infusion. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2008;31:122-9.
- American College of Chest Physicians/Society of Critical Care Medicine Consensus Conference: Definitions for sepsis and organ failure and guidelines for the use of innovative therapies in sepsis. Crit Care Med 1992;20:864-74.
- 52. Scaglione F, Paraboni L. Pharmacokinetics/pharmacodynamics of

antibacterials in the intensive care unit: Setting appropriate dosing regimens. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2008;32:294-301.

- Hooper D. Quinolones. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin RM, editors. Douglas, Bennett's. Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases. 5th ed. Philadelphia: Churchill Livingstone; 2000. p. 404-23.
- Greer ND. Tigecycline (Tygacil): The first in the glycylcycline class of antibiotics. Proc (Bayl Univ Med Cent) 2006;19:155-61.
- Robert SP. Health care professionals: Bacteria and antibacterial drugs. The Merck Manual. 19th ed. NJ, USA: Merck and Co. Publication; 2013.

How to cite this article: Kumar A, Singh NP. Antimicrobial dosing in critically ill patients with sepsis-induced acute kidney injury. Indian J Crit Care Med 2015;19:99-108. Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: None declared.