Biol. Rev. (2018), **93**, pp. 1339–1362. doi: 10.1111/brv.12398

1339

Integrins promote axonal regeneration after injury of the nervous system

Bart Nieuwenhuis^{1,2*}, Barbara Haenzi¹, Melissa R. Andrews³, Joost Verhaagen^{2,4} and James W. Fawcett^{1,5*}

ABSTRACT

Integrins are cell surface receptors that form the link between extracellular matrix molecules of the cell environment and internal cell signalling and the cytoskeleton. They are involved in several processes, e.g. adhesion and migration during development and repair. This review focuses on the role of integrins in axonal regeneration. Integrins participate in spontaneous axonal regeneration in the peripheral nervous system through binding to various ligands that either inhibit or enhance their activation and signalling. Integrin biology is more complex in the central nervous system. Integrins receptors are transported into growing axons during development, but selective polarised transport of integrins limits the regenerative response in adult neurons. Manipulation of integrins and related molecules to control their activation state and localisation within axons is a promising route towards stimulating effective regeneration in the central nervous system.

Key words: axon regeneration, integrin, kindlin, receptor activation state, selective polarised transport, traumatic injury of the nervous system.

CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	1340
	(1) Structure	1340
	(2) Signalling	1340
	(3) Integrin subunit knockouts	
II.	The localisation of integrins in the nervous system and implications for axonal regeneration	1341
	(1) mRNA expression	1341
	(2) Subcellular localisation	1342
	(3) Correlation between integrin localisation and regeneration	1343
	(4) Integrins in the somatodendritic compartment	1344
III.	Integrins and axonal regeneration in the peripheral nervous system	1344
	(1) Laminin-associated integrins	1344
	(2) Fibronectin-associated integrins	1345
	(3) Collagen-associated integrins	1346
IV.	Integrins that bind to tenascin-c promote axonal regeneration in the central nervous system	1346
	(1) Tenascin-C-associated integrins	1346

¹John van Geest Centre for Brain Repair, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge CB2 0PY, U.K.

²Laboratory for Regeneration of Sensorimotor Systems, Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), 1105 BA Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Biological Sciences, University of Southampton, Southampton SO17 1BJ, U.K.

⁴ Centre for Neurogenomics and Cognitive Research, Amsterdam Neuroscience, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁵ Centre of Reconstructive Neuroscience, Institute of Experimental Medicine, 142 20 Prague 4, Czech Republic

^{*} Address for correspondence (Bart Nieuwenhuis – Tel: +44 1223 331160; E-mail: bn246@cam.ac.uk; James W. Fawcett – Tel: +44 1223 331160; E-mail: jf108@cam.ac.uk)

	(2) Viral vector-mediated delivery of α 9 integrin in dorsal root ganglia promotes sensory axon rege	eneration
	in the central nervous system	1346
V.	Integrins become inactivated at the lesion site after central nervous system injury	1347
	(1) Nogo-A	1347
	(2) Myelin-associated-glycoprotein	
	(3) Aggrecan	1348
	(4) Class III semaphorins	
VI.	Integrin activators promote sensory axonal regeneration in the spinal cord	1349
	(1) Manganese	1349
	(2) Integrin-activating antibodies	
	(3) Intracellular proteins	1350
	(a) Talins	1350
	(b) Kindlins	
	(c) Kindlin-1 and α9 integrin overexpression	1351
VII.	Developmental changes in neuronal integrin localisation	1352
	(1) Exclusion of integrins from the axon of certain adult central nervous system neurons	1352
	(2) Developmental changes in the integrin transport machinery	1352
VIII.	The localisation of other regeneration-associated receptors	1354
	(1) Tropomyosin receptor kinase B	1354
	(2) Insulin-like growth factor receptor	1354
IX.	Perspectives	1355
X.	Conclusions	1355
XI.	Acknowledgements	1355
XII.	References	1355

I. INTRODUCTION

The integrin receptor family plays a role in a variety of processes including the development of various tissues (reviewed in Danen & Sonnenberg, 2003; Avraamides, Garmy-Susini & Varner, 2008), the formation of the nervous system (reviewed in Colognato & Tzvetanova, 2011; Gardiner, 2011; Kazanis & ffrench-Constant, 2011; Myers, Santiago Medina & Gomez, 2011), the immune response (reviewed in Means & Luster, 2010), cancer (reviewed in Guo & Giancotti, 2004; Desgrosellier & Cheresh, 2010; Schittenhelm, Tabatabai & Sipos, 2016; Paolillo, Serra & Schinelli, 2016), synaptic plasticity (reviewed in Park & Goda, 2016) and axonal regeneration in the peripheral nervous system (PNS) (reviewed in Gardiner, 2011; Eva & Fawcett, 2014). This review describes and discusses the role of integrins in axonal regeneration and their use as therapeutic targets to stimulate repair after spinal cord injury.

(1) Structure

The structure of integrins is well characterised and has been described in many reviews (Arnaout, Goodman & Xiong, 2007; Takada, Ye & Simon, 2007; Wegener *et al.*, 2007; Campbell & Humphries, 2011; Hu & Luo, 2013). Integrins are heterodimeric receptors that consist of one alpha (α) and one beta (β) subunit. In mammals, 18 α and eight β subunits have been identified giving rise to 24 unique integrin receptors (reviewed in Hynes, 2002). Integrins are type I (C-terminus located intracellularly) glycoproteins. The ectodomain is the largest part of both the α and β subunits containing the metal-ion and extracellular-matrix

(ECM) ligand-binding sites. The interaction between the transmembrane domains of the subunits determines the conformation and therefore the activation state of the receptor. Inactivated integrins exist in a bent orientation as the two transmembrane domains interact closely. By contrast, activated integrins have less interaction between the transmembrane parts, resulting in a straight conformation and allowing them to bind to ligands in the ECM. The cytoplasmic tails of integrins are relatively short. They lack enzymatic activity and integrins are therefore reliant on multi-protein complexes for signal transduction. The particularly short tail of the α subunit indicates a limited role for this subunit in intracellular processes. The cytoplasmic tail of the β subunit is also short, but contains two NPXY motifs that can interact with phosphotyrosine binding domains of intracellular proteins, such as talins (Tadokoro et al., 2003), kindlins (Moser et al., 2008; Harburger, Bouaouina & Calderwood, 2009) and various other signalling and scaffolding molecules.

(2) Signalling

Each integrin bears a unique binding affinity for components in the heterogeneous ECM (reviewed in van der Flier & Sonnenberg, 2001; Hynes, 2002; Humphries, 2006), such as laminin, fibronectin, collagen and tenascin-C. Importantly, integrins mediate bi-directional signalling between the extracellular matrix and the cytoskeleton across the plasma membrane. Activated integrins bind to specific ECM ligands and induce signalling to the intracellular compartment of the cell, a process known as 'outside-in' signalling. The activated integrin signalling regulates the actin cytoskeleton *via* many

proteins. Firstly, talin, which interacts with the cytoplasmic tail of integrins, links them directly, or via vinculin, to the actin cytoskeleton. Secondly, focal adhesion kinase (FAK) is recruited to activated integrins and is a key signalling scaffold protein that activates downstream proteins such as paxillin and Src. Thirdly, integrin-linked kinase (ILK) is another important signalling scaffold protein that phosphorylates downstream proteins. Conversely, 'inside-out' signalling refers to the mechanism in which intracellular proteins bind integrins thereby inducing a conformational change that enhances the binding activity of integrins towards their ligands in the ECM, enabling intracellular signalling. Talin and kindlin, the main mediators of inside-out signalling, are subject to various regulatory pathways that thereby affect integrin function (reviewed in Calderwood, Campbell & Critchley, 2013; Ye, Lagarrigue & Ginsberg, 2014; Rognoni, Ruppert & Fässler, 2016). Importantly, the integrin receptor family can form hundreds of protein complexes to link the ECM with the cytoskeleton. These protein complexes are also referred to as the integrin adhesome (Zaidel-Bar et al., 2007; Robertson et al., 2015; Horton et al., 2015; reviewed in Winograd-Katz et al., 2014; Humphries et al., 2015).

(3) Integrin subunit knockouts

Whole-system and tissue-specific knockout studies of integrins have been fruitful in demonstrating their functional importance. It has been demonstrated in integrin-knockout mice that integrins are important for tissue development. Depending on which integrin subunit is knocked out, the mouse phenotypes range from mild developmental defects to embryonic or perinatal lethality (reviewed in Hynes, 2002; Bouvard et al., 2013). The architecture and function of the nervous system is also reliant on the coordinated expression of integrin receptors and components of the ECM. Several studies examining deletion of different integrin subunits have shown varying degrees of impairment and/or changes in gross morphology, thereby confirming their fundamental role in the development and maintenance of the nervous system. For example, mutant mice carrying brain-specific (neurons and glia) deletion of $\alpha 6$ integrin had abnormalities in the foliation of the cerebellum along with a reduction in process outgrowth of the Bergmann glia, yet the cerebral cortex developed normally (Marchetti et al., 2013). Selective deletion of αV integrin in the brain resulted in severe neurological abnormalities including seizures and ataxia as well as cerebral haemorrhage (beginning in utero), leading to death by 4 weeks of age in the majority of cases (McCarty et al., 2005). Deletion of the β 1 subunit influences the majority of integrin heterodimers and not surprisingly a whole-body knockout is embryonically lethal (Fässler & Meyer, 1995). Deletion of β 1 integrins in the brain leads to death shortly after birth (Graus-Porta et al., 2001) highlighting that expression of β 1 integrin heterodimers in neurons and glia is essential. Several integrins have specific roles in axon regeneration that are discussed below (see Sections III and IV.1). The fact that integrins are located at the growth cone (Robles & Gomez, 2006) and respond to diverse extracellular molecular signals




Fig. 1. Integrins are localised to the growth cone of immature and peripheral nervous system neurons. Active and inactive integrins are present on the surface of the neuronal growth cone. However, only active integrins bind molecules of the extracellular matrix.

present in the environment of the injured PNS and central nervous system (CNS) makes them an interesting target to study axonal regeneration (Fig. 1).

II. THE LOCALISATION OF INTEGRINS IN THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND IMPLICATIONS FOR AXONAL REGENERATION

Integrins are expressed by every cell in the body (except red blood cells) which in the CNS includes neurons, astrocytes, microglia, oligodendrocytes, and endothelial cells (reviewed in Milner & Campbell, 2002; Schmid & Anton, 2003). Integrin function depends on the cellular localisation of the receptor. For the purposes of this review, we confine our discussion below to integrin localisation in the nervous system. Various integrins are expressed in particular sets of neurons and glia. There is also specific localisation of integrins within neurons to the somatodendritic and axonal compartments.

(1) mRNA expression

Much of our knowledge of patterns of integrin expression comes from *in situ* hybridisation and reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) studies (Table 1). In two whole-brain expression studies, differential expression patterns of several integrin subunits were demonstrated in various brain regions. Messenger RNA (mRNA) labelling

mRNA	α1	α 2	α 3	α4	α 5	α 6	α7	α 8	αV	β1	β3	β 5	β6	β7
Cerebellum	3	3	1, 3	3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3		1, 3	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	3
Cortex layer V	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	3	3	1, 3		1, 3	3	3	1, 3	3	3
DRGs					6, 7	4	4, 7			4				
Hippocampus	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1	3	1, 3	3	1, 3	3	3
Olfactory bulb	3	3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1, 3	1	1, 3	3	3	1, 3	3	3
Red nucleus			5				5		5	5				
Spinal motor neurons			2			2*	2			2				

Table 1. Integrin mRNA expression in the adult nervous system

Shaded squares indicate that integrin mRNA was detected and they are labelled with the corresponding reference; white squares illustrate that mRNA expression was not tested or not detected.

The asterisk (*) indicates that expression was observed only following axotomy. The integrins α 9, α 10, α 11, α D, α E, α L, α M, α 2b, α X, β 2, β 4 and β 8 are not included because they were not tested or there was no mRNA detected in any of the cell types analysed.

within CNS neurons varying from relatively low to significantly high levels was detected in layer V of the cortex, hippocampus (CA1, CA3 pyramidal neurons and granule neurons of the dentate gyrus), olfactory bulb, and cerebellar Purkinje neurons for $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 6$, $\alpha 7$, αV , β 1, β 3, β 5, β 6, and β 7. Furthermore, it has been found that $\alpha 8$ integrin can be detected in the hippocampus and olfactory bulb (Pinkstaff et al., 1999; Chan et al., 2003). In the red nucleus, mRNA of $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 7$, αV and $\beta 1$ was detected, including an up-regulation in β 1 mRNA following axotomy (Plantman et al., 2005). In addition, examination of rat dorsal root ganglia (DRGs) also revealed expression of $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 6$, $\alpha 7$, and β 1 integrins (Wallquist *et al.*, 2004; Gardiner *et al.*, 2007; Gonzalez Perez et al., 2016), whereas spinal motor neurons expressed $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 7$, and $\beta 1$ integrins with $\alpha 6$ expression appearing in these neurons after axotomy (Hammarberg et al., 2000).

(2) Subcellular localisation

In order to assess the subcellular localisation of integrin receptors, immunohistochemical approaches or expression of labelled integrins are required. Determining whether integrins are expressed in the axonal or somatodendritic compartment is useful for understanding their potential function. In this regard, numerous studies have examined integrin expression in cultured cells, with fewer studies documenting expression in tissue sections. There are many studies demonstrating integrins in axons during embryonic development, using both immunohistochemistry and staining of cultured embryonic neurons. This is not surprising; integrins are necessary for axon growth during development (reviewed in Gardiner, 2011; Myers *et al.*, 2011). However, in the mature CNS the picture is very different as discussed below.

Integrins have been localised within the somatodendritic compartment of adult layer V pyramidal neurons, CA1 and CA3 hippocampal neurons, granule neurons of the dentate gyrus, and Purkinje cells (Grooms, Terracio & Jones, 1993; Murase & Hayashi, 1996; Rodriguez *et al.*, 2000; Bi *et al.*, 2001; Schuster *et al.*, 2001; Chan *et al.*, 2003; Kawaguchi & Hirano, 2006; Mortillo *et al.*, 2012) (see Table 2). Interestingly, certain integrin subunits including α 3, α 5 and β 1 are found in the somatodendritic compartment

Table 2. Integrins localised in the somatodendritic compartment of adu	dult neurons
--	--------------

Somatodendritic	α3	α5	α7	α8	αV	β1	β3	β8
Cerebellum	10	8			4	3, 10		4
Cortex layer V	6, 10	8		2		10		
Hippocampus	10	8		2	4, 11	1, 9, 10, 12	11	4
Olfactory bulb				2				
RGCs		13					13	
Facial motor neurons			7*			5*, 7*		

Shaded squares indicate that integrin protein levels were detected in the somatodendritic compartment of neurons and they are labelled with the corresponding reference; white squares illustrate that protein expression was not tested or not detected.

The asterisk (*) indicates that expression was observed only following axotomy. The integrins, $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 6$, $\alpha 9$, $\alpha 10$, $\alpha 11$, αD , αE , αL , αM , $\alpha 2b$, αX , $\beta 2$, and $\beta 4-\beta 7$ are not included because they were not tested or there was no protein detected in the somatodendritic compartment. Dorsal root ganglia are pseudo-unipolar neurons and have therefore been excluded from this somatodendritic compartment analysis.

1, Grooms et al. (1993); 2, Einheber et al. (1996); 3, Murase & Hiyashi (1996); 4, Nishimura et al. (1998); 5, Kloss et al. (1999); 6, Rodriguez et al. (2000); 7, Werner et al. (2000); 8, Bi et al. (2001); 9, Schuster et al. (2001); 10, Chan et al. (2003); 11, Kang et al. (2008); 12, Mortillo et al. (2012); 13, Vecino et al. (2015). RGCs, retinal ganglia cells.

^{1,} Pinkstaff et al. (1999); 2, Hammarberg et al. (2000); 3, Chan et al. (2003); 4, Wallquist et al. (2004); 5, Plantman et al. (2005); 6, Gardiner et al. (2007); 7, Gonzales Perez et al. (2016). DRGs, dorsal root ganglia.

Axon	α1	α3	$\alpha 4$	α 5	α 6	α7	αV	β1
Cerebellum								1
Cortex layer V				5				
DRGs			7	2	9	4*, 8, 9		2, 4*, 6, 8, 9
RGCs	10	10		10			10	3, 10

Table 3. Integrins localised in the axonal compartment of adult neurons

Shaded squares indicate that integrin protein levels were detected in the axon compartment of neurons and they are labelled with the corresponding reference; white squares illustrate that protein expression was not tested or not detected.

The asterisk (*) indicates that expression was observed only following axotomy. The integrins, $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 8$, $\alpha 9$, $\alpha 10$, $\alpha 11$, αD , αE , αL , αM , $\alpha 2b$, αX , and $\beta 2 - \beta 8$ are not included because these were not tested or there was no protein detected in the axon. Integrins were not detected or analysed in the axonal compartment of the hippocampus and olfactory bulb. We hypothesise that the presence of integrins in the axonal compartment of neurons corresponds with axonal regeneration capacity of the tissue.

1, Murase & Hiyashi (1996); 2, Yanagida et al. (1999); 3, Hernandez (2000); 4, Werner et al. (2000); 5, King et al. (2001); 6, Schuster et al. (2001); 7, Vogelezang et al. (2001); 8, Ekström et al. (2003); 9, Wallquist et al. (2004); 10, Vecino et al. (2015). DRGs, dorsal root ganglia; RGCs, retinal ganglia cells.

of diverse neuronal types, which may indicate an important role in dendritic function. Other somatodendritic integrins displayed a more restricted neuron sub-type distribution. For instance, $\alpha 8$ is expressed in layer V pyramidal neurons, olfactory bulb, and hippocampal neurons (Einheber *et al.*, 1996), αV and $\beta 8$ in cerebellar and hippocampal neurons (Nishimura *et al.*, 1998; Kang *et al.*, 2008) whereas $\beta 3$ was detected in hippocampal neurons and the inner plexiform layer of the retina in addition to $\alpha 5$ (Kang *et al.*, 2008; Vecino *et al.*, 2015). Additionally, following injury, $\alpha 7$ and $\beta 1$ subunits were found to be expressed in facial motor neurons (Kloss *et al.*, 1999; Werner *et al.*, 2000). The localisation of integrins in the somatodendritic compartment of adult neurons is summarised in Table 2.

The question of whether integrins are found in axons during development and in adulthood is important to understand their function in regeneration. Very few studies however have demonstrated the presence of integrin receptors in the axonal compartment in tissue sections from the mature CNS. This is partly due to the lack of suitable antibodies, but mainly due to the down-regulation of expression of many integrins in the adult CNS in addition to the active exclusion of integrins from most mature CNS axons as discussed below (Section VII). Some studies however have succeeded in localising endogenous integrins specifically (see Table 3); for instance $\alpha 5$ integrin has been found within rodent axons of layer V pyramidal neurons and reticular formation (King, McBride & Priestley, 2001; Bi et al., 2001). Interestingly, the majority of studies demonstrating axonal localisation of integrins have been in retinal ganglia cells (RGCs) and DRGs, two neuronal subtypes that have been shown experimentally to have increased regenerative capacity relative to many other CNS neuronal subtypes (Richardson & Issa, 1984; Neumann & Woolf, 1999; Leon et al., 2000; Qiu et al., 2002; Monsul et al., 2004). Within adult RGCs, $\alpha 1$, $\alpha 3$, $\alpha 5$, αV , and $\beta 1$ subunits have been detected in axons (Hernandez, 2000; Vecino et al., 2015). $\alpha 4$, $\alpha 5$, $\alpha 6$, $\alpha 7$ and $\beta 1$ subunits have been found in both processes of DRGs (Bossy, Bossywetzel & Reichardt, 1991; Yanagida, Tanaka & Maruo, 1999; Vogelezang et al., 2001; Schuster et al., 2001; Ekström et al., 2003; Wallquist et al., 2004). Overall, it appears that integrins are present in most axons during embryonic development, but in adulthood they are excluded from many CNS axons but present in retinal and sensory axons. The localisation of integrins in the axonal compartment of adult neurons is summarised in Table 3.

(3) Correlation between integrin localisation and regeneration

The neurons that have been shown to regenerate most readily are also those in which integrins are localised within axons. It is therefore interesting to link the subcellular localisation of integrins to the regenerative ability of the nervous system. As discussed above, DRGs express high levels of integrins in their axons and at least some RGC axons contain integrins (see Table 3). Furthermore, it is also known that these neurons have the capacity to regenerate successfully under certain conditions. Mature RGCs project axons through the optic nerve. These cells do not regenerate readily without intervention. However, many groups have demonstrated robust levels of axonal regeneration of RGCs following implantation of a peripheral nerve graft, generation of a lens injury, injection of zymosan (a pro-inflammatory compound), genetic ablation of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) or suppressor of cytokine signalling 3 (SOCS3), and other interventions (So & Aguayo, 1985; Leon et al., 2000; Yin et al., 2003; Monsul et al., 2004; Park et al., 2008; P.D. Smith et al., 2009). Likewise, central projections of DRGs readily grow through crushed dorsal roots (Baer, Dawson & Marshall, 1899), but are prohibited from growing into the spinal cord through the dorsal root entry zone without interventions including implantation of a peripheral nerve graft, a (pre-)conditioning lesion of the sciatic nerve, injection of dibutyryl cyclic AMP, or forced expression of α 9 integrin among many others (David & Aguayo, 1981; Richardson & Issa, 1984; Neumann & Woolf, 1999; Qiu et al., 2002; Andrews et al., 2009). We have mentioned above that integrins are localised within the somatodendritic compartments of many cells in the brain (see Table 2), but are barely detected in the axons of Purkinje cells or within the corticospinal tract that originates from layer V cortical neurons (see Table 3). At the same time adult motor tracts are largely resistant to long-distance regeneration in the mature CNS, presenting a major problem in promoting repair after spinal cord injury (reviewed in Case & Tessier-Lavigne, 2005). Taken together, these data suggest that there is a strong correlation between pathways that have or retain axonal localisation of integrins and those that have the ability (albeit with growth-promoting enhancement) to regenerate over long distances.

(4) Integrins in the somatodendritic compartment

Discussion on the diverse function of integrins in the somatodendritic compartment is beyond the scope of this review but a recent review can be found in Park & Goda (2016). Furthermore, there is an extensive literature on the role of integrins in dendrites, spines and synapses, including participation in spine dynamics and plasticity (Rohrbough *et al.*, 2000; Shi & Ethell, 2006; McGeachie, Cingolani & Goda, 2011; Babayan *et al.*, 2012; Levy, Omar & Koleske, 2014; Heintz, Eva & Fawcett, 2016).

III. INTEGRINS AND AXONAL REGENERATION IN THE PERIPHERAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

Certain integrins are up-regulated after peripheral nerve injury (Kloss et al., 1999; Werner et al., 2000; Hammarberg et al., 2000; Wallquist et al., 2004; Gardiner et al., 2005; Gonzalez Perez et al., 2016) and can therefore be regarded as regeneration-associated genes (reviewed in Fagoe, van Heest & Verhaagen, 2014). After injury of the peripheral nerve, the composition of the ECM changes and collagen, fibronectin and laminin become major components of the basal lamina and the endoneurium of the peripheral nerve stump distal to the lesion (reviewed in Gonzalez Perez, Udina & Navarro, 2013). Together, this creates an environment that stimulates cell adhesion and axonal regeneration (reviewed in Gardiner, 2011; Jessen, Mirsky & Arthur-Farraj, 2015). Here, we outline the important role of integrins in promoting axonal regeneration in the injured PNS. Knockout of several integrin subunits have inhibitory effects on peripheral nerve regeneration. It is unclear whether a single knockout will prevent regeneration in the PNS, due to the presence of many integrins in the axons recognising several ligands.

(1) Laminin-associated integrins

Laminins are secreted by Schwann cells and are a major component of the basal lamina (Wallquist *et al.*, 2002). They consist of α , β and γ chains that form 18 different isoforms (reviewed in Timpl & Brown, 1994; Aumailley *et al.*, 2005; Durbeej, 2010). Many *in vitro* studies have shown that laminin promotes adhesion, migration and regeneration of sensory axons and Schwann cells. The laminin-interacting

Table 4. Laminin-associated integrins with their laminin ligands

Integrin receptor	Laminin isoform	References
$\alpha 1\beta 1$	LN-111	Condic (2001) and Desban et al. (2006)
	LN-211/221	Colognato et al. (1997)
	LN-511	Desban et al. (2006)
	LN-521	Desban et al. (2006)
$\alpha 2\beta 1$	LN-111	Colognato et al. (1997)
,	LN-211/221	Colognato et al. (1997)
$\alpha 3\beta 1$	LN-111	Ivins <i>et al.</i> (1998) and Plantman <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	LN-211/221	Tomaselli <i>et al.</i> (1993) and Plantman <i>et al.</i> (2008)
	LN-332	Gout et al. (2001), Mechai et al. (2005) and B.E. Smith et al. (2009)
	LN-511	Kikkawa <i>et al.</i> (1998) and Eble <i>et al.</i> (1998)
	LN-521	Kikkawa <i>et al.</i> (1998)
$\alpha 6\beta 1$	LN-111	Condic & Letourneau (1997), Ivins et al. (1998); and Schöber et al. (2000)
	LN-211/221	Delwel et al. (1994)
	LN-332	Gout et al. (2001)
	LN-411	Geberhiwot et al. (1999) and Plantman et al. (2008)
	LN-511	Plantman et al. (2008)
$\alpha 7 \beta 1$	LN-111	Schöber et al. (2000), Gardiner et al. (2005) and Plantman et al. (2008)
	LN-211/221	Schöber et al. (2000) and Plantman et al. (2008)

The laminin (LN) isoforms are shown according to current laminin nomenclature (Aumailley *et al.*, 2005). The isoforms LN-211 and LN-221 were assumed to be identical in the above studies and are therefore labelled LN-211/221.

integrins are $\alpha 1\beta 1$, $\alpha 2\beta 1$, $\alpha 3\beta 1$, $\alpha 6\beta 1$ and $\alpha 7\beta 1$ with each bearing different affinities for the different isoforms of laminin (Table 4). The interaction of integrins and laminins was discovered *in vitro* by using function-blocking antibodies as well primary cultures generated from wild type or integrin-knockout mice that were grown on various laminin isoforms.

The high diversity of laminin-associated integrins contributes to the ability of peripheral neurons to grow and regenerate on laminin-rich areas in vivo. The lamininassociated integrins $\alpha 6\beta 1$ and $\alpha 7\beta 1$ are up-regulated in various peripheral nerve-injury models (Table 5). A causal relationship of laminin-associated integrins promoting regeneration was shown in mice that are deficient in α 7, which exhibited reduced facial- (Werner et al., 2000) and sciatic nerve- (Gardiner et al., 2005) regeneration after axotomy. More specifically, depletion of $\alpha 7$ reduced axonal regeneration by 2 mm (35%) at 4 days after facial nerve crush and delayed the re-connection of the nerve with the whisker pad compared to wild-type mice (Werner et al., 2000). Gardiner et al. (2005) found that fewer axons in α 7-depleted mice regenerated beyond the injury site compared to controls 2 days post-sciatic nerve crush. Another study found that inhibiting α 7 and β 1 function (using function-blocking

Integrin receptor	Injury model	Main finding regarding integrin expression	References
α6β1	Ventral root avulsion	Up-regulation of mRNA until 42 days after injury (2.5-fold increase at 7 days post-injury)	Hammarberg et al. (2000)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of mRNA until 42 days after injury (2.5-fold increase at 7 days post-injury)	Hammarberg et al. (2000)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of mRNA until 14 days after injury (3.0-fold increase at 3 days post-injury)	Wallquist et al. (2004)
	Sciatic nerve crush	Protein present in regenerating axons at 3 days after injury	Wallquist et al. (2004)
α7β1	Ventral root avulsion	Up-regulation of mRNA until 42 days after injury (6.0-fold increase at 3 days post-injury)	Hammarberg et al. (2000)
	Facial nerve transection	Up-regulation of protein until 42 days after injury (6.0-fold increase at 7 days-post injury)	Werner et al. (2000)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of protein at 4 days after injury (quantification was not performed)	Werner et al. (2000)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of mRNA at least 42 days after injury (ninefold increase at 14 and 21 days post-injury)	Hammarberg et al. (2000)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of mRNA until 14 days after injury (3.0-fold increase at 3 days post-injury)	Wallquist et al. (2004)
	Sciatic nerve transection	Up-regulation of mRNA at 2 days after injury (2.5-fold increase)	Gonzalez Perez et al. (2016)
	Sciatic nerve crush	Protein present in regenerating axons at 3 days after injury	Wallquist et al. (2004)
	Sciatic nerve crush	Up-regulation of protein for at least 14 days in medium- to large-diameter (NF200 positive) dorsal root ganglion neurons and to a lesser extent in smaller peptidergic neurons. No expression in smaller non-peptidergic neurons	Gardiner et al. (2005)

Table 5. Summary of studies that assessed the expression of laminin-associated integrins after peripheral nerve injury

antibodies) impaired neurite outgrowth of cultured DRGs following a conditioning lesion in vivo (Ekström et al., 2003; Gardiner et al., 2005). Thus, loss of expression or function of laminin-associated integrins results in less-efficient regeneration of peripheral neurons. In addition, the expression of laminin-associated integrins seems to correlate with the regenerative state of neurons. For example, neurons with a poor regenerative capacity including DRGs after a dorsal root injury (Wallquist et al., 2004), red nucleus neurons (Plantman et al., 2005), pyramidal cells and septal neurons (Werner et al., 2000) have unaltered integrin expression after axotomy.

(2) Fibronectin-associated integrins

Fibronectin is another important component of the ECM that stimulates the pro-regenerative state of PNS neurons. Fibronectin is a large glycoprotein that consists of two subunits which form a dimer (reviewed in Singh, Carraher & Schwarzbauer, 2010; Schwarzbauer & DeSimone, 2011). Fibronectin is secreted mainly by fibroblasts (Zhu et al., 2015) but also by astrocytes and Schwann cells (Baron-Van Evercooren et al., 1986; Egan & Vijayan, 1991; Tom et al., 2004). Fibronectin is enriched in the injured PNS and contributes to an environment that is permissive for integrin-mediated adhesion and regeneration. Integrins bind to fibronectin via an Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) domain, which is also found on other matrix molecules such as tenascin-C and some laminins.

Fibronectin-associated integrins in adult neurons include $\alpha 4\beta 1$, $\alpha 5\beta 1$, $\alpha 8\beta 1$ and αV integrins. $\alpha 4\beta 1$ binds to

fibronectin, however its main role is as a thrombospondin and osteopontin receptor and as a vascular cell adhesion molecule (VCAM) receptor in inflammatory cells. $\alpha 4\beta 1$ and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ integrins are expressed at high levels in native DRG neurons and growth cones of regenerating neurons (Lefcort et al., 1992; Mathews & ffrench-Constant, 1995; Yanagida et al., 1999; Vogelezang et al., 2001; Hu & Strittmatter, 2008; Saunders et al., 2014). Several studies have shown that the expression of fibronectin-associated integrins is enhanced acutely after injury. $\alpha 5\beta 1$ mRNA expression levels were shown to double in DRGs and spinal cord at 2 days post-sciatic nerve transection (Gonzalez Perez et al., 2016), but were found to remain unaltered 7 days post-sciatic nerve crush (Gardiner et al., 2007). At longer time points after injury, a few studies suggest that there are changes in the localisation of integrins. For instance, the localisation of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ was targeted towards the growth cones favouring neurite elongation of cultured preconditioned DRG neurons (Gardiner et al., 2007). Consistently, $\alpha 4\beta 1$ has been detected at the growth cones in vivo while expression levels were unaltered at 4 days after a sciatic nerve injury (Vogelezang et al., 2001).

The pro-regenerative phenotype of fibronectin-associated integrins has been investigated in vitro. PC12 cells, that grow poorly on fibronectin, were shown to express $\alpha 5\beta 1$ at low levels and $\alpha 4\beta 1$ not at all (Tomaselli, Damsky & Reichardt, 1987; Vogelezang et al., 2001). However, cells engineered to express $\alpha 4\beta 1$ showed a 2.5-fold increase in outgrowth on fibronectin compared to controls, indicating that $\alpha 4\beta 1$ expression promotes neurite growth on fibronectin

(Vogelezang et al., 2001, 2007). The regenerating effects of $\alpha 5\beta 1$ on a fibronectin substrate was first shown when it was overexpressed in vitro in adult DRGs that had a roughly threefold increase in neurite count and length on fibronectin compared to controls (Condic, 2001). Taken together, both $\alpha 4\beta 1$ and $\alpha 5\beta 1$ enhance neurite outgrowth on fibronectin in vitro. There are no reports on axonal regeneration experiments in transgenic mice that lack $\alpha 4$ or $\alpha 5$ because these animals are not viable (Yang, Rayburn & Hynes, 1993, 1995).

(3) Collagen-associated integrins

Collagen is another ECM molecule that is highly up-regulated after peripheral nerve injury and is synthesised by Schwann cells and fibroblasts (reviewed in Koopmans, Hasse & Sinis, 2009). The high amount of collagen at the injury site could indicate an important role for axonal integrins that interact with collagen. The collagen-associated integrins expressed by neurons are $\alpha 1\beta 1$ (Ivins, Yurchenco & Lander, 2000; Vecino et al., 2015), $\alpha 2\beta 1$ (Bradshaw et al., 1995; Emsley et al., 2000; Khalsa et al., 2000), and $\alpha V\beta 8$ (Venstrom & Reichardt, 1995; Nishimura et al., 1998). $\alpha 10\beta 1$ and $\alpha 11\beta 1$, two other collagen-associated integrins, are not expressed in the nervous system. The neuronal collagen-associated integrins have been shown to contribute to neurite outgrowth on collagen in cell cultures (Bradshaw et al., 1995; Venstrom & Reichardt, 1995; Ivins et al., 2000; Vecino et al., 2015). However, to our knowledge, there are no reports on manipulation of collagen-associated integrins after injury in vivo. It would therefore be interesting to explore whether activation or overexpression of the collagen-associated integrins is beneficial for regeneration in the PNS.

In summary, peripheral nerve injury leads to an up-regulation of many ECM molecules including laminin, fibronectin and collagen. Neurons in the PNS express many of the integrins that respond to this post-injury ECM environment, which contributes to the spontaneous regeneration observed after peripheral nerve injury. Thus, studies in the PNS have shown that matching the ECM environment with the appropriate integrin expression pattern promotes axonal regeneration of mature neurons. It is therefore reasonable to try the same approach in the CNS and promote regeneration *via* integrin overexpression.

IV. INTEGRINS THAT BIND TO TENASCIN-C PROMOTE AXONAL REGENERATION IN THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM

(1) Tenascin-C-associated integrins

Tenascin-C is a ligand for integrins (reviewed in Tucker & Chiquet-Ehrismann, 2015) and is predominantly expressed in the CNS during development. However, injury results in a steep up-regulation of this ECM glycoprotein by reactive astrocytes (reviewed in Silver & Miller, 2004; Gervasi,

Kwok & Fawcett, 2008; Wiese, Karus & Faissner, 2012). Tenascin-C is enriched within and surrounding the glial scar after spinal cord injury (Zhang et al., 1997; Tang, Davies & Davies, 2003; Andrews et al., 2009), and it is expressed at the dorsal root entry zone after a dorsal root injury (Andrews et al., 2009; Cheah et al., 2016). Tenascin-C is expressed not only by astrocytes but also by fibroblasts and spinal neurons among others (Zhang et al., 1995, 1997; Tang et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2015). Thus, tenascin-C is enriched at the site of injury which regenerating axons have to penetrate in order to reconnect to their target tissue. Therefore, tenascin-C is a promising target to promote axonal regeneration after CNS trauma.

The tenascin-C-associated integrins include $\alpha 2\beta 1$ (Sriramarao, Mendler & Bourdon, 1993; Schaff et al., 2011). $\alpha 7\beta 1$ (Mercado et al., 2004), $\alpha 8\beta 1$ (Schnapp et al., 1995; Varnum-Finney et al., 1995; Denda, Reichardt & Müller, 1998) and $\alpha 9\beta 1$ (Yokosaki et al., 1994, 1998). They are expressed in developing neurons and most of them recognise the fibronectin type 3 repeat domain of tenascin-C through its RGD attachment site. $\alpha 9\beta 1$ is an exception as it recognises a different sequence in this domain, AEIDGIEL (Yokosaki et al., 1998). Tenascin-C-associated integrins have been shown to be required for neurite outgrowth, as assessed in experiments with function-blocking antibodies in vitro (Varnum-Finney et al., 1995; Mercado et al., 2004; Andrews et al., 2009). Providing that neurons express an appropriate integrin, tenascin-C is a substrate that favours neurite outgrowth and axonal regeneration (Götz et al., 1996; Rigato et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011), but for neurons lacking the appropriate receptors tenascin-C is inhibitory (reviewed in Faissner, 1997). Adult CNS neurons do not express tenascin-C-binding integrins within their axons, even after injury (Pinkstaff et al., 1999; Andrews et al., 2009). Although glial cell types retain the ability to interact with tenascin-C, it is anti-adhesive to most adult neurons due to their lack of expression of tenascin-C-binding integrins (Zhang et al., 1995; Golding et al., 1999). Thus, up-regulation of tenascin-C results in an anti-adhesive and growth-inhibiting environment for neurons in the CNS. In the next section, we will discuss experiments that show that tenascin-C is only an axon-regeneration ligand in the injured adult CNS when neurons are engineered to express an appropriate integrin, such as $\alpha 9\beta 1$.

(2) Viral vector-mediated delivery of $\alpha 9$ integrin in dorsal root ganglia promotes sensory axon regeneration in the central nervous system

We hypothesised that low or absent integrin expression in CNS axons (see Table 3) contributes to the poor regenerative capacity of most CNS neurons. To achieve regeneration in the CNS, expression of tenascin-C-binding integrins in neurons might provide a promising tool to overcome the tenascin-C-rich injury site. Viral vector-mediated delivery of $\alpha 9$ into DRGs results in integrin localisation in the axon and could therefore induce integrin-mediated axonal regeneration (Andrews *et al.*, 2009, 2016; Cheah *et al.*, 2016).

Indeed, exogenous expression of $\alpha 9$ allowed cultured adult DRGs to extend neurities on tenascin-C substrates in vitro, while neurite outgrowth was largely absent in controls (Andrews et al., 2009). Furthermore, in vivo reintroduction of α 9 in DRGs improved sensory axonal regeneration into tenascin-C-rich regions after a dorsal root injury or dorsal column crush lesion (Andrews et al., 2009). However, regeneration was limited to the lesion site; there was no axonal growth extending beyond the lesion. Nevertheless, this was enough to result in limited sensory recovery (Andrews et al., 2009). These results demonstrate that tenascin-C-associated integrins such as $\alpha 9\beta 1$ are a viable target to promote axonal regeneration in the CNS. However, this approach should be combined with additional factors, such as integrin activators, to promote long-distance regeneration as well as functional recovery in vivo. Section V will demonstrate that integrins become inactivated by stimuli of the extracellular environment, and thus methods that target the activation of the receptor (discussed in Section VI) could enhance axonal regeneration (discussed in Section VI.3c).

V. INTEGRINS BECOME INACTIVATED AT THE LESION SITE AFTER CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM INJURY

Axon-repulsive molecules at the injury site, such as chondroitin sulphate proteoglycans (CSPGs) (reviewed in Kwok *et al.*, 2011), myelin-derived molecules (reviewed in Alizadeh, Dyck & Karimi-Abdolrezaee, 2015; Boghdadi, Teo & Bourne, 2017) and classical repulsive axon-guidance molecules (reviewed in de Wit & Verhaagen, 2003; Giger, Hollis & Tuszynski, 2010; Hollis, 2015) have a broad range of functions. Here we highlight that most axon-repulsive molecules initiate inactivation of integrins (see Fig. 2).

(1) Nogo-A

Nogo-A is a myelin-derived axon repulsive molecule that restricts axonal regeneration after CNS injury (Schnell & Schwab, 1990; Bregman et al., 1995; Brösamle et al., 2000; Kim et al., 2003; Simonen et al., 2003; Zheng et al., 2003; Sicotte et al., 2003; Dimou et al., 2006; Cafferty & Strittmatter, 2006; Lee et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2015). Nogo receptor 1 (NgR1) is a glycosylphosphatidylinositol (GPI)-linked molecule, and was the first receptor identified for Nogo proteins (Fournier, GrandPre & Strittmatter, 2001). NgR1 has been shown to transduce Nogo signalling across the plasma membrane by interacting with several other receptors such as leucine-rich repeat and immunoglobulin-like domain-containing Nogo receptor-interacting protein (Lingo-1), p75, and Troy (Wang et al., 2002; Mi et al., 2004; Park et al., 2005; Shao et al., 2005). Interestingly, Nogo-A has been shown to suppress integrin signalling through integrin inactivation in vitro (Hu & Strittmatter, 2008; Tan et al., 2011) and in vivo (Huo et al., 2015). Specifically, it has been shown in cell lines that Nogo-A interferes with the function of fibronectin-associated integrins $\alpha 4\beta 1$, $\alpha 5\beta 1$ and $\alpha V\beta 3$, but not laminin-associated integrin $\alpha 6\beta 1$ (Hu & Strittmatter, 2008). Nogo-A's attenuation of DRGs neurite outgrowth in vitro has been consistently greater on fibronectin than on laminin (Hu & Strittmatter, 2008). Further, it has been shown in vivo after an optic nerve crush that Nogo-A down-regulates the expression of αV integrins and thereby reduces integrin signalling, in this case the phosphorylation of FAK (Huo et al., 2015). The same study showed that the expression of another fibronectin-associated integrin, $\alpha 5$, was unaltered by Nogo-A in the injured optic nerve suggesting that Nogo-A has varied effects on different fibronectin-associated integrins, perhaps dependent on the function of the integrin. Taken together, both studies suggest that Nogo-A inhibits specific integrin signalling by inactivation and internalisation (Hu & Strittmatter, 2008; Huo et al., 2015). However, the mechanisms that dictate the interaction between Nogo proteins and integrins require further investigation.

(2) Myelin-associated-glycoprotein

Myelin-associated-glycoprotein (MAG) is another myelinderived axon-repulsive molecule (Mukhopadhyay et al., 1994; McKerracher et al., 1994; Schäfer et al., 1996). MAG binds to NgR1 (Domeniconi et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2002; Liu et al., 2002; Laurén et al., 2007) and NgR2 (Venkatesh et al., 2005) and many other neuronal receptors (Wong et al., 2002; Atwal et al., 2008; Stiles et al., 2013). It has been known for more than two decades that MAG antagonises integrin signalling and function (Bachmann et al., 1995). More recently, the underlying mechanism became clearer when it was shown that MAG is axon repulsive in cultured postnatal hippocampal neurons and cerebellar granule cells by modulating integrin-signalling independently of NgRs (Goh et al., 2008). This study found that β 1 integrin is a direct receptor of MAG and led to increased phosphorylation of FAK. This result is unexpected since FAK signalling is associated with axonal growth. It may therefore be that the signalling is only locally affected and shifts to sites of axon attraction at the growth cone, where new integrin adhesion complexes form to initiate axon guidance. This asymmetrical signalling hypothesis is supported by a study that showed that a local MAG gradient removed integrins at the site of the MAG source only, while untreated neurons had a symmetric distribution of integrins at the growth cone (Hines, Abu-Rub & Henley, 2010). MAG signalling has also been shown to initiate changes in intracellular Ca²⁺, thereby inducing clathrin-mediated endocytosis of integrins from the growth cones of Xenopus laevis spinal neurons (Hines et al., 2010). Taken together, MAG mediates its axon-repulsive effects by modulating integrin signalling, partly through direct interaction and partly through another signalling complex most likely including NgRs that cause Ca²⁺-dependent internalisation of integrins.




Fig. 2. Molecular mechanisms of integrin inactivation after trauma in the nervous system. Integrins at the growth cones of regenerating axons are exposed to the extracellular environment of the lesion site. Integrins recruit focal adhesion kinases (FAKs) among others, which in turn, activate downstream signalling molecules such as protein kinase B (Akt3), phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K), Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA), and Src kinase. However, most integrins exist in a bent, inactive state at the cell surface. The lesion site is rich in axon-repulsive molecules, including Nogo-A, myelin-associated glycoprotein (MAG), class III semaphorins (Sema3s), and chondroitin sulphate proteoglycans (CSPGs). These molecules bind to several receptors, such as leukocyte common antigen-related phosphatase (LAR), Nogo receptors (NgR1, NgR2), the plexin/neuropilin (PLXN/NRP) complex and protein tyrosine phosphatase σ (PTP σ), to suppress integrin signalling and axon regeneration. Nogo-A binds to NgR1 and inhibits the phosphorylation of FAK. MAG is a direct ligand for integrins and stimulates integrin signalling. However, MAG also has an opposing effect by NgRs signalling that indirectly elevates intracellular calcium levels and stimulates clathrin-mediated endocytosis of integrins. Most Sema3s mediate signalling via the PLXN/NRP receptor complex that results in inactivation of R-Ras, which in turn interferes with integrin signalling, and activates ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6) to remove integrins from the cell surface. Sema3A signalling results in the phosphorylation (Tyr397, Tyr576, Tyr577, Tyr925) and de-phosphorylation (Tyr407, Tyr861) of different residues of FAK for Sema3A-mediated axonal remodelling. CSPGs interact with many receptors, including LAR, NgR1 and PTP σ . The CSPG aggrecan has been shown to reduce FAK signalling, but the exact mechanisms remain to be identified. Other ligands such as ephrins, netrins and slits are also known to interfere with integrin signalling. In addition, there is evidence that integrin activation by kindlins and talins is inhibited by various regulatory mechanisms (illustrated as x).

(3) Aggrecan

Aggrecan is one of the CSPGs produced by neurons and astrocytes, and is present in the scar tissue that restricts axonal regeneration (Lemons et al., 2003; reviewed in Silver & Miller, 2004). Not surprisingly, adult DRG neurons have restricted neurite outgrowth when cultured on substrates that contain the glycan chains of CSPGs (Tom et al., 2004; Steinmetz et al., 2005). Aggrecan has been shown to cause a temporary but rapid decrease in integrin-mediated phosphorylation of FAK, and a long-term decrease of Src phosphorylation

which is downstream of FAK, leading to inhibition of DRG neurite outgrowth (Tan et al., 2011). The molecular mechanism of how aggrecan inhibits integrin signalling is currently unknown. However, it is known that aggrecan does not affect the number of integrin receptors at the plasma membrane (Tan et al., 2011). Thus, it interferes with integrin signalling independent of receptor endocytosis. It may interfere indirectly with integrin signalling via activation of CSPG receptors such as protein tyrosine phosphatase σ (PTP σ) (Shen et al., 2009; Fry et al., 2010), leukocyte

Sema3s	Main finding regarding integrins after Sema3 overexpression	References	
Sema3A	Inhibiting the signalling of α IIb β 3 in vitro	Kashiwagi et al. (2005)	
	Inhibiting the activation of $\beta 1$ via NRP1/PLXN in vivo	Serini <i>et al.</i> (2003)	
Sema3C	Phosphorylation of β 1, but not FAK, via NRP/PLXN in vitro	Banu <i>et al.</i> (2006)	
Sema3E	Inhibiting the activation of integrins by inactivation of R-Ras in vitro	Sakurai <i>et al.</i> (2010)	
	Endocytosis of integrins by activation of ARF6-postive vesicles in vitro	Sakurai et al. (2010)	
Sema3F	Inhibiting the activation of β1 via NRP1/PLXN in vivo	Serini <i>et al.</i> (2003)	

Table 6. Summary of studies in the field of angiogenesis that show that class III semaphorins (Sema3s) modulate integrins

ARF6, ADP-ribosylation factor 6; FAK, focal adhesion kinase; NRP, neuropilin; PLXN, plexin; R-Ras, Ras-related protein R-Ras.

common antigen-related phosphatase (LAR) (Fisher *et al.*, 2011; Xu *et al.*, 2015) or the Nogo receptors NgR1 and NgR3 (Dickendesher *et al.*, 2012).

(4) Class III semaphorins

Class III semaphorins (Sema3s) are classical axon-guidance molecules that are mainly produced by migrating fibroblasts, pericytes and vascular cells in the core of the scar (Pasterkamp, Giger & Verhaagen, 1998; Pasterkamp et al., 1999; de Winter et al., 2002; Tannemaat et al., 2007; Mire et al., 2008; Minor et al., 2011). It has been shown that Sema3s restrict axonal regeneration after spinal cord injury (Kaneko et al., 2006; Mire et al., 2008; Lee et al., 2010; Minor et al., 2011; reviewed in Mecollari, Nieuwenhuis & Verhaagen, 2014). Most Sema3s interact with neuropilins (NRPs), while signal transduction is mediated via the plexin (PLXN) co-receptor (reviewed in Sharma, Verhaagen & Harvey, 2012). The pleiotropic NRPs have also been shown to interact with integrins (Fukasawa, Matsushita & Korc, 2007; Valdembri et al., 2009) which could suggest that Sema3s affect integrin signalling via NRPs. Nonetheless, it has been shown that PLXN signalling leads to rapid disassembly of integrin adhesion at the cell surface and causes actin depolymerisation in various non-neuronal cell lines (Barberis et al., 2004). It has been observed in cultured corticaland hippocampal neurons that Sema3A-induced collapse of growth cones requires FAK signalling downstream of integrins (Bechara et al., 2008; Chacón, Fernández & Rico, 2010). More specifically, Sema3A resulted in the phosphorylation (Tyr397, Tyr576, Tyr577, Tyr925) and de-phosphorylation (Tyr407, Tyr861) of different residues of FAK, confirming the central signalling role of this kinase, and this may result in the activation and inhibition of several signalling pathways to induce growth-cone collapse (Chacón et al., 2010). The strongest evidence that Sema3s regulate the activation of integrins originates from studies of angiogenesis. Sema3s, except for Sema3C, inhibit integrin signalling in blood vessels (Table 6). Sakurai et al. (2010) highlighted two mechanisms by which Sema3E signalling via PLXN reduces the function of integrins in endothelial cells: (i) activation of endosomes that contain ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6) removes integrins from the cell surface; and (ii) inactivation of R-Ras GTPases, which normally activate integrins (Zhang et al., 1996; Keely et al., 1999; Wang et al., 2000; Ivins et al., 2000; Self et al., 2001) via the

phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K) signalling pathway (Berrier et al., 2000; Oinuma et al., 2010). Sema3s could exert the same and other integrin-mediated mechanisms in neurons resulting in axon repulsion after CNS injuries.

Taken together, a variety of molecules in the scar and lesion milieu have the ability to regulate integrin function (Fig. 2). These molecules affect integrin binding to their ECM ligands and thereby subsequent downstream signalling as well as integrin levels at the cell surface by endocytosis. Integrins are not the only receptors and ligands affecting growth and regeneration. There are other signalling cascades that feed positively or negatively into integrin downstream signalling. For instance, molecules such as protein kinase B (Akt), Ras homolog gene family member A (RhoA) and PI3K are regulated by many receptors. Finally, another level of control is the pathways that influence integrin activation through kindlins and talin. Studying integrin inhibition has revealed integrin-specific and general mechanisms whereby axonal regeneration fails in adult CNS neurons. Inactivation of integrins in the injured spinal cord also explains the modest axonal regeneration that was observed after forced expression of $\alpha 9$ in vivo (Andrews et al., 2009). Expression of an appropriate integrin and overcoming integrin inactivation could therefore be a general approach to promote axonal regeneration in the CNS.

VI. INTEGRIN ACTIVATORS PROMOTE SENSORY AXONAL REGENERATION IN THE SPINAL CORD

Integrins need to be in their active state to interact with components of the ECM and thereby induce an increase in neurite outgrowth and axonal regeneration. Once activated they stimulate FAK and other downstream signalling molecules that are essential for growth cone dynamics and axonal guidance (Robles & Gomez, 2006; reviewed in Mitra, Hanson & Schlaepfer, 2005). Here we discuss the best-characterised integrin activators with regard to axonal regeneration (see Fig. 3).

(1) Manganese

Manganese (Mn²⁺) is widely used in *in vitro* experiments to enhance the ligand-binding affinity of integrins to the ECM. Divalent cations such as Ca^{2+} and Mn^{2+} interact with metal-ion binding sites of the α integrin subunit and




Fig. 3. Molecular mechanisms for integrin activation. Integrins exist in two activation states on the cell surface: a bent inactive and a straight active state. There are several ways to activate integrins: (i) cations such as Ca²⁺ and Mn²⁺ interact with a metal ion-binding site at the ectodomain of the integrin to activate the receptor; (ii) kindlins and talins are two families of intracellular proteins that bind to the cytoplasmic tail of β 1 integrins to activate the heterodimeric complex; (iii) the monoclonal antibody TS2/16 binds to the ectodomain of human β 1 integrins to induce a conformational change and receptor activation. Activated integrins have their ectodomain exposed and bind extracellular matrix ligands, which leads to intracellular signalling and changes of the cytoskeleton. Activation of certain integrins can result in cell adhesion and axonal regeneration. FAK, focal adhesion kinase; ILK, integrin-linked kinase; PI3K, phosphoinositide 3-kinase.

facilitate integrin signalling (Mould, Akiyama & Humphries, 1995; Oxvig & Springer, 1998). This 'outside-in' activation of integrins by Mn²⁺ has been shown to increase neurite outgrowth in various neuronal cell culture assays (Ivins *et al.*, 2000; Lein *et al.*, 2000; Lemons & Condic, 2006; Tan *et al.*, 2011). Importantly, activation of integrins has been shown to reverse the growth-inhibitory effects of Nogo-A and aggrecan in cultured DRG neurons (Tan *et al.*, 2011). Recently, Mn²⁺ has also been shown to abolish ephrinA3-mediated collapse of proximal dendritic spines in Purkinje cells *via* integrin activation *in vitro* (Heintz *et al.*, 2016). Thus, it is possible to reverse integrin inactivation with Mn²⁺ treatment *in vitro*. However, Mn²⁺ is not suitable for *in vivo* studies because excess and long-term exposure to Mn²⁺ causes neuronal toxicity (reviewed in Guilarte, 2013).

(2) Integrin-activating antibodies

Another classic approach to activate integrins is using antibodies that bind selectively to the ligand-binding region of activated β 1 integrin, which can be used both for detecting activated integrins and for maintaining them in the activated state (Takada & Puzon, 1993; Takagi *et al.*, 1997); these antibodies are mostly effective on human integrins. The anti- β 1-activating monoclonal antibody TS2/16 interacts with all human integrin heterodimers that contain β 1 and

less strongly with rodent β 1, regardless of the α subunit (Tsuchida et al., 1997). Due to the wide spectrum of integrins that can be targeted, the antibody TS2/16 is particularly interesting and has been used in outgrowth assays. For example, TS2/16-mediated activation of integrins has been shown to reverse the inhibitory effects of Nogo-A on a human T-lymphocyte cell line grown on fibronectin (Hu & Strittmatter, 2008) as well as to inhibit the effects of aggrecan on axon growth of motor neurons that were derived from human embryonic stem cells (Tan et al., 2011). Thus, the TS2/16 antibody reverses axon-repulsive effects of molecules such as Nogo-A and aggrecan. However, a limitation of applying integrin antibodies is that these need frequent or continuous delivery in vivo. In addition, masking of epitopes due to integrin interactions with ECM ligands can reduce the efficiency of integrin-binding antibodies (Mould et al., 2016).

(3) Intracellular proteins

The kindlins and talins are two families of intracellular proteins that bind to the cytoplasmic tail of β integrins and activate the heterodimeric receptor. Integrin activation is ubiquitous throughout the body, but the exact mechanism of the 'inside-out' activation by kindlin and talin is subject to intense debate (reviewed in Meves *et al.*, 2009; Moser *et al.*, 2009; Shattil, Kim & Ginsberg, 2010; Campbell & Humphries, 2011; Calderwood *et al.*, 2013; Eva & Fawcett, 2014). Despite the limited number of studies investigating the role of these molecules in the nervous system, they have been utilised to enhance integrin-ligand binding and axonal outgrowth of neurons (Tan *et al.*, 2012, 2015; Dingyu *et al.*, 2015; Cheah *et al.*, 2016) as discussed below.

(a) Talins

Talin isoforms 1 and 2 are expressed in the nervous system (Monkley, Pritchard & Critchley, 2001; Senetar, Moncman & McCann, 2007; Debrand et al., 2009; Tan et al., 2015). In nerve growth factor-stimulated PC12 cells, overexpression of the full-length and constitutively activated isoforms of talin has been shown to promote neurite outgrowth in the presence of the repulsive extracellular matrix protein aggrecan (Tan et al., 2015). Dingyu et al. (2015) examined the structural tensions of the cytoskeleton in this cell line by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) imaging and application of genetically encoded optical force probes. They found that CSPGs including aggrecan reduce intracellular structural forces and that overexpression of full-length talin rescued these tensions. In addition, talin decreased the phosphorylation of Rho-associated protein kinase 1 (ROCK1) and increased the activation of extracellular signal-related kinase (ERK) and FAK proteins (Dingyu et al., 2015). Based on these results in vitro, full-length talin could be a valuable activator of integrins to reverse the effects of the axon-repulsive molecules that are present in the injured spinal cord. However, the large size of the full-length protein presents a challenge for talin expression in neurons. In studies using primary cultures of DRG neurons,

only the talin head domain has been overexpressed (Tan et al., 2015). The talin-head domain is required to interact with the cytoplasmic tail of the β integrin subunit and to activate the heterodimeric receptor (García-Alvarez et al., 2003; Tadokoro et al., 2003; Wegener et al., 2007). However, the talin head domain alone acted as a dominant negative for endogenous talin, and DRG neurite outgrowth on laminin and on aggrecan-laminin substrates was reduced (Tan et al., 2015). Based on these results, the talin head domain alone is not suitable to promote integrin signalling. The limited effect of the talin head is possibly due to the endogenous expression of full-length talins in neurons or because the rod domain is required to link integrins directly with the cytoskeleton. Another disadvantage of talin-targeted experiments and therapeutics is the fact that full-length talins are so large that they are not suitable for an adeno-associated viral vector (AAV)-based gene-delivery approach. The coding sequence for talin is roughly 7500 base pairs, which exceeds the AAV packaging limit of approximately 4700 base pairs. Taken together, talin overexpression would be a promising target to enhance axonal regeneration since it enables integrin signalling directly to the cytoskeleton but is not feasible with the AAV technologies currently available. Talin itself is subject to several regulatory influences, which in turn affect integrin activation and function (reviewed in Ye et al., 2014).

(b) Kindlins

There are three isoforms of kindlin: kindlin-1, kindlin-2 and kindlin-3. Localisation of the kindlin isoforms in the nervous system is described here. Kindlin-1 is not expressed by cells of the nervous system (Ussar et al., 2006; Tan et al., 2012), but is primarily found in epithelial cells (Lai-Cheong et al., 2008; Ussar et al., 2008). Kindlin-2 is ubiquitously expressed throughout the body (Ussar et al., 2006) and in situ hybridisation and RT-PCR studies on whole-brain lysate demonstrated that kindlin-2 mRNA is present in the brain, while kindlin-1 and kindlin-3 were not detected (Ussar et al., 2006; Tan et al., 2012). Immunochemistry on cultured cells confirmed that this isoform is expressed by neurons including DRGs, RGCs, and hippocampal and Purkinje neurons (Tan et al., 2012). In these cultures, kindlin-2 was also found in non-neuronal cells like astroctyes, fibroblasts and Schwann cells (Tan et al., 2012). The latter study demonstrated by short hairpin RNA knockdown that kindlin-2 is required for integrin signalling and axonal growth of neurons. Thus, kindlin-2 is the only isoform endogenously expressed in neurons and plays a role in normal axonal growth. Kindlin-3 is predominantly expressed by cells of the immune system (Malinin et al., 2009; Moser et al., 2009; Feigelson et al., 2011; Cohen et al., 2013; Moretti et al., 2013) and recently has been discovered in microglia of the brain (Meller et al., 2017).

Kindlin-1 has been used *in vivo* to promote integrin activation and sensory axonal regeneration in rats. Forced expression of kindlin-1 (but not the overexpression of the endogenously present kindlin-2) enhanced the signalling of the integrins that are expressed by DRG neurons. Importantly, kindlin-1 promoted neurite outgrowth on

the axon-repulsive substrates aggrecan and Nogo-A (Tan et al., 2012). Furthermore, kindlin-1 counteracted the inhibiting effects of aggrecan on neurite outgrowth of $\alpha 9$ integrin-transfected DRG neurons in vitro (Cheah et al., 2016). In accordance with the enhanced outgrowth, the decreased phosphorylation of FAK induced by repulsive substrates was reversed by kindlin-1 (Tan et al., 2012; Cheah et al., 2016). Thus, kindlin-1 overcomes aggrecanand Nogo-A-mediated inhibition of integrin signalling and restores DRG neurite outgrowth in vitro. Furthermore, after a dorsal root crush injury in vivo, forced expression of kindlin-1 in the DRG enhanced sensory axonal regeneration. In this study, kindlin-1 treatment using viral vectors resulted in a fairly large number of axons extending towards the spinal cord, while the regenerating axons of the control animals did not pass the axon-repulsive dorsal root entry-zone boundary. Consistent with the improved sensory axonal regeneration, kindlin-1 treatment also improved recovery of thermal sensation after injury (Tan et al., 2012). Thus, kindlin-1 activates integrins that are expressed by DRG neurons and overcomes the inactivation of the axon-repulsive environment to promote sensory axonal regeneration. In other words, kindlin-1 overexpression renders integrins less vulnerable to integrin inactivation and thereby restriction of axonal regeneration. Kindlins are subject to regulation by other pathways, although at present this is not well understood (reviewed in Rognoni et al., 2016).

(c) Kindlin-1 and α 9 integrin overexpression

Integrin-mediated regeneration is most successful when the appropriate integrin is both present and activated. Thus, co-overexpression of kindlin-1 and α 9 integrin forms a strong stimulus for axonal regeneration in tenascin-C-rich areas such as the dorsal root entry zone and spinal cord after a dorsal root crush (Cheah et al., 2016). Viral vector-mediated delivery of both molecules to DRGs indeed resulted in a synergistic effect on sensory axonal regeneration. The α 9and kindlin-1-overexpressing axons that reached the spinal cord regenerated from the cervical dorsal root at levels C8 to C5 all the way up into the medulla (Cheah et al., 2016). Mechanical pressure and thermal sensation in the paw as well as limb proprioception improved after injury in animals that had combined $\alpha 9$ and kindlin-1 overexpression. Furthermore, electrophysiological recordings demonstrated that sensory pathways from the paw to the dorsal horn of the spinal cord had regrown following injury and α 9/kindlin-1 overexpression. Thus, the combination of α 9 and kindlin-1 leads to robust axonal regeneration of at least 25 mm and partial functional recovery after a dorsal root crush. Furthermore, these results demonstrate that there is a synergistic effect exceeding that of overexpression of α 9 (Andrews et al., 2009) or kindlin-1 (Tan et al., 2012) alone. Surprisingly, no severe degree of axonal misguidance occurred in this study, with regenerating axons being found mainly in the dorsal column and terminations in the dorsal horn being predominantly in the correct laminae. These results suggest that when activated integrins

encounter an appropriate ECM environment, the remaining structures in the CNS can exert guidance effects on the α 9/kindlin-1-overexpressing sensory neurons.

Taken together, there are various approaches to activate integrins, each with a unique mechanism to promote integrin signalling (Fig. 3). We have reviewed the evidence that stimulation of integrin signalling in injured neurons is a powerful strategy to boost sensory axon regeneration following CNS injury because it can overcome the repulsive molecules that prevent axonal regeneration in the injured spinal cord. To date, the synergistic effects of kindlin-1 and α9 delivery achieved the longest regeneration observed in the dorsal column pathway by modulating integrin signalling in vivo (Cheah et al., 2016). Identifying the integrin adhesome is an active field of research and novel integrin activators are therefore continuously being discovered, such as reelin (Lin et al., 2016), sema7A (Pasterkamp et al., 2003), shank (Lilja et al., 2017) and vimentin (Kim et al., 2016). The identification of new integrin-activating molecules also offers opportunities for future regeneration research.

VII. DEVELOPMENTAL CHANGES IN NEURONAL INTEGRIN LOCALISATION

(1) Exclusion of integrins from the axon of certain adult central nervous system neurons

Integrins are expressed in developing neurons and have essential roles in the formation of a functional nervous system. They are important for migration (Tate et al., 2004; Andressen et al., 2005; Marchetti et al., 2010), proliferation (Blaess et al., 2004; Leone et al., 2005), adhesion (Tate et al., 2004), differentiation (Tate et al., 2004; Andressen et al., 2005), axon outgrowth (Sakaguchi & Radke, 1996; Harper et al., 2010), axon guidance (Huang et al., 2006; Myers et al., 2011) and lamination (Georges-Labouesse et al., 1998; Marchetti et al., 2010) of neuronal precursor cells of the nervous system. However, during maturation of CNS neurons selective transport mechanisms are set up that send some molecules to dendrites and others to axons (reviewed in Lasiecka & Winckler, 2011; Britt et al., 2016; Bentley & Banker, 2016). This selective polarised transport is essential for giving axons a set of molecules and properties appropriate for their function. As part of this general acquisition of polarity, integrins become excluded from CNS axons (Bi et al., 2001; Franssen et al., 2015). The overall result of these polarity changes is that mature neurons are not able to regenerate, probably due to the absence of various receptors including integrins in their axons.

The distribution of integrins in axons during maturation has been intensively studied, since any treatment involving integrin expression aiming at promoting axon regeneration requires the expressed integrins to reach the axonal compartment and growth cone. By examining localisation of tagged integrins (α 6, α 9, and β 1) in vivo in mature and immature sensory, retinal, cortical and red nucleus neurons,

a differential ability for integrins to localise within axons became apparent (Andrews et al., 2016). Integrins were transported into the still-developing early postnatal axons of the corticospinal tract, but the investigated α 6, α 9 and β 1 integrins were excluded from mature corticospinal tract and rubrospinal tract axons. High levels of integrins were found in both branches of adult DRG axons and in some RGC axons (Andrews et al., 2016). It is tempting to correlate this transport with the ability of immature and sensory axons to successfully sprout and regrow following damage (Bregman & Bernstein-Goral, 1991; Bates & Stelzner, 1993). In addition and as reviewed earlier, overexpression of $\alpha 9$ integrin in the DRGs indeed stimulated axonal regeneration (Andrews et al., 2009; Cheah et al., 2016). Integrin-driven regeneration in the spinal cord and elsewhere will require an intervention to ensure that the molecules are transported into the axons. However, it is not just integrins that are excluded from axons, but many growth-related molecules, as described below (Section VIII).

(2) Developmental changes in the integrin transport machinery

The exclusion of integrins from the axons of many adult CNS neurons, such as the corticospinal tract, is mediated by the development of selective transport mechanisms that are responsible for neuronal polarity (Fig. 4). Studying integrins provides a good tool to study these mechanisms. Integrin trafficking is well studied in cancer cells, where it was found to be transported in recycling endosomes, which are regulated by small GTPases (Powelka et al., 2004). In neurons axonal integrins are mostly transported in Ras-associated binding (Rab) protein 11 (Rab11)- (Caswell et al., 2008; Eva et al., 2010) and ARF6- (Powelka et al., 2004; Eva et al., 2012) positive recycling endosomes. These GTPases control endosomal targeting and are turned on by GTP exchange factors (GEFs) and turned off by GTP activating proteins (GAPs). Rab11 and ARF6 are responsible for transporting integrins into axons probably as part of a complex with scaffolding molecules, such as the JNK-interacting protein 3 (JIP3) and JIP4 and kinesin- and dynein-motors (Isabet et al., 2009; Suzuki et al., 2010; Montagnac et al., 2011). In immature neurons there exists anterograde integrin transport, but with maturation there is gradually less anterograde and more retrograde integrin transport in the axon, leading to the exclusion of integrins. In cultured cortical neurons from embryonic day 18 rat pups, expression levels of $\alpha 5$, αV and $\beta 1$ integrins started to decrease after 7 days in culture and were undetectable in the axon after 14 days (Franssen et al., 2015). This exclusion of integrins from the axon coincides with the formation of the axon initial segment (AIS) (Song et al., 2009), which plays a part in the exclusion of integrins since disruption of the AIS increased the amount of integrin within mature axons (Franssen et al., 2015). The AIS exhibits a dense network of proteins including actin, which can restrict access of molecules to axons by acting as a size filter or by supporting retrograde myosin-driven transport (Song et al., 2009; Lewis et al., 2009; Arnold, 2009). There




Fig. 4. Comparison of immature and mature central nervous system neurons. (A) Immature neurons do not have a fully developed axon initial segment and their axons have been shown to transport integrins both antero- and retrograde to an equal extent. Vesicles bound to ADP-ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6)- and Ras-associated binding (Rab) protein 11 (Rab11)-GTP are retrograde transported, while vesicles bound to ARF6- and Rab11-GDP move in the anterograde direction. (B) Mature neurons have developed an axon initial segment and are characterised with predominant retrograde axonal transport of integrins.

is also a role for actin and modifications of the microtubule cytoskeleton in regulating integrin transport (Franssen *et al.*, 2015). However, the main mechanism for exclusion is the gradual change of the transport direction during maturation and the establishment of the AIS. The direction of transport is defined by the activation state of ARF6. ARF6 can be inactivated by its GAP ArfGAP with coiled-coil, ankyrin

repeat and PH domains 1 (ACAP1) and in its inactive state favours anterograde transport (Jackson *et al.*, 2000; Dai *et al.*, 2004). In turn, active ARF6 favours retrograde transport (Eva *et al.*, 2012). Activators of ARF6 are GEFs; two known ARF6 GEFs are cytohesin 2 (ARNO) and plekstrin homology and SEC7 domain-containing protein (EFA6) (Sakagami *et al.*, 2006). Importantly, it has been found that during

cortical neuronal maturation ARNO and EFA6 are strongly up-regulated (Sakagami et al., 2006; Franssen et al., 2015) and EFA6 localises to the AIS (Eva et al., 2017). Both, ARNO and EFA6 are important for the exclusion of integrins from axons (Franssen et al., 2015; Eva et al., 2017). Interestingly, it has also been found that Rab11 was largely excluded from mature axons, being present at low levels in axons compared to dendrites in primary cortical neurons grown in culture for more than 14 days (Franssen et al., 2015; Koseki et al., 2017). Overexpression of Rab11 in these neurons permitted integrin transport into the axon and promoted regeneration after laser-induced axotomy in vitro (Koseki et al., 2017).

In summary, a developmental switch in the transport of essential growth molecules, such as integrins, results in the exclusion of these molecules from mature CNS axons, likely rendering them unable to regenerate after injury. Interfering with this developmental switch will result in the presence of integrins and other excluded molecules in the axon (Franssen *et al.*, 2015; Eva *et al.*, 2017; Koseki *et al.*, 2017). Based on our observations *in vitro*, we further hypothesise that interfering with this developmental transport switch will lead to increased regeneration after injury.

VIII. THE LOCALISATION OF OTHER REGENERATION-ASSOCIATED RECEPTORS

Cell surface receptors are promising targets to promote axonal regeneration (reviewed in Cheah & Andrews, 2016). Rab11-positive recycling endosomes contain integrins, but also regulate the transport of other regeneration-associated receptors including tropomyosin receptor kinase receptors (Trks) (Ascaño et al., 2009; Lazo et al., 2013) and insulin-like growth factor receptors (IGFRs) (Romanelli et al., 2007). The observation that Rab11 vesicles are excluded from the axon in vitro (Franssen et al., 2015; Koseki et al., 2017) is consistent with an in vivo study that observed a somatodendritic distribution of Rab11 in the forebrain, cerebellum, thalamus and brainstem (Sheehan et al., 1996). Here, we discuss that TrkB and insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor (IGF-1R) are also excluded from axons of the adult corticospinal tract and the implications of this for regeneration.

(1) Tropomyosin receptor kinase B

TrkB is a cell-surface receptor that can boost the regenerative response of injured neurons. It binds several neurotrophic factors including brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF), neurotrophin-3, and neurotrophin-4. These neurotrophic factors promote neuronal survival and axonal growth and are involved in synaptic plasticity (reviewed in Minichiello, 2009; Park & Poo, 2013; Harrington & Ginty, 2013). Due to the important role of these factors, it may not be surprising that there is a widespread distribution of TrkB in the adult brain (Yan et al., 1997). Interestingly, adult corticospinal neurons express TrkB in their cell bodies and dendrites, but not in the axon (Yan et al., 1997; Lu,

Blesch & Tuszynski, 2001). Furthermore, TrkB and its other family members, TrkA and TrkC, are not up-regulated after spinal cord contusion (Liebl et al., 2001). Consistent with the absence of TrkB in the corticospinal tract, BDNF-secreting cell grafts in a spinal cord lesion site did not promote axonal regeneration of this motor pathway (Lu et al., 2001). Viral vector-mediated overexpression of TrkB has been shown to result in receptor trafficking into the axon at the level of the subcortical white matter but not further down into the spinal cord (Hollis et al., 2009b). These neurons were able to regenerate into BDNF-secreting cell grafts that were placed into subcortical lesions (Hollis et al., 2009b). However, as elaborated above for integrin receptors, additional interventions would be required to enhance the transport of TrkB into the corticospinal tract to promote substantial regeneration after spinal cord injury. In addition, it had been shown in hippocampal slice cultures that the activation state of TrkB correlates with axonal sprouting (Aungst, England & Thompson, 2013). The activation state of Trk receptors may therefore influence the regeneration response as well. Taken together, the absence of TrkB in corticospinal tract axons likely contributes to the restricted axonal regeneration and responsiveness to BDNF treatments after spinal cord injury.

(2) Insulin-like growth factor receptor

IGFR is the transmembrane receptor for insulin-like growth factors (IGFs) and has been shown to promote neuronal survival and outgrowth (reviewed in Sullivan, Kim & Feldman, 2008). Its mechanism of axonal transport is unknown. IGF-1R has been shown to be essential for the formation of the axon in adult RGCs in vitro (Dupraz et al., 2013), highlighting its crucial role in promotion of axonal growth. IGF-1R and insulin receptors were also found to be localised in adult DRGs after injury (Craner et al., 2002; Xu et al., 2004), with their presence likely correlating with the pro-regenerative response of these sensory neurons. IGFs play an important role during the development of the corticospinal tract (Arlotta et al., 2005; Ozdinler & Macklis, 2006), but IGFRs become excluded from axons during maturation of this motor pathway. More specifically, IGF-IR is exclusively localised in the somatodendritic compartment of the neurons in layer V motor cortex (Hollis et al., 2009a). Consistent with the absence of the receptor in the axonal compartment, corticospinal tract axons were not able to regenerate through IGF-secreting cell grafts that were transplanted into the lesion after a spinal cord injury in vivo (Hollis et al., 2009a). Interestingly, the latter study showed that the ceruleospinal and raphespinal axons did regenerate into these grafts. We therefore hypothesise that these two descending motor pathways retained IGFRs in their axonal compartments, but the authors did not examine the receptor expression in these neurons. A recent study took an alternative approach and delivered IGF-1 together with osteopontin into the sensorimotor cortex in order to promote corticospinal tract regeneration. Viral vector-mediated delivery of both ligands in the cortex, but not

IGF-1 or osteopontin alone, promoted axonal regeneration approximately 1 mm beyond the lesion site after spinal cord hemisection (Liu et al., 2017). The treatment showed the strongest effect on compensatory sprouting from the uninjured side of the spinal cord (Liu et al., 2017). The axonal regeneration and sprouting contributed to the improved hindlimb function of the animals after spinal cord injury. The mechanism by which IGF-1 and osteopontin in the cortex mediate their growth-promoting effect is unclear. It is possible that both ligands activate their receptors in the somatodendritic domain of cortical neurons, which in turn, activate the PI3K/mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) signal transduction cascade. This is sufficient for robust sprouting in the spinal cord, and short-range regeneration (Liu et al., 2017).

Taken together, like integrins, the deficit of TrkB and IGF-IR in the axons within the corticospinal tract may limit its regeneration. Further investigation is required to determine whether the exclusion of these receptors in corticospinal tract axons also depends on the presence of the axon initial segment as a barrier and whether the same transport vesicles are involved for their transport as for integrins.

IX. PERSPECTIVES

Integrins are important mediators of axonal regeneration in the injured nervous system. Integrins stimulate axonal regeneration when they are activated and localised at the growth cone to interact with the ECM. In order to use receptors as potential therapeutic targets to promote axonal regeneration, the mechanisms of axonal transport and trafficking need to be better understood. The successful use of activated integrins to promote regeneration of sensory axons leading to recovery of mechano- and temperature sensations in vivo (Cheah et al., 2016) indicates that the overall strategy can be successful. Regeneration of the corticospinal pathway is a key event that is necessary to restore motor control after spinal cord injury. If in addition to integrin activation, the integrin trafficking barrier in descending corticospinal motor neurons could be overcome, then motor recovery could be a surmountable obstacle. Strategies to initiate trafficking to the axonal compartment of the corticospinal tract could therefore be based on: (i) overcoming the transport block of the axon initial segment and; (ii) stimulation of anterograde transport by modulation of transport vesicles; or (iii) adding axonal localisation signals to growth promoting receptors to enter the axon.

X. CONCLUSIONS

(1) Integrins are localised at the growth cone of immature and regenerating neurons and connect the extracellular and intracellular compartments of the neuron.

- (2) Matching the extracellular matrix environment with the appropriate integrins promotes limited axonal regeneration of mature neurons.
- (3) Presence of integrins in the axon correlates with the regenerative capacity of neuronal pathways.
- (4) Integrins participate in spontaneous axonal regeneration after peripheral nerve injuries.
- (5) Axon-repulsive molecules at the lesion site of spinal cord injuries inactive integrins and thereby inhibit axonal regeneration in the central nervous system.
- (6) Stimulation of integrin signalling can overcome the repulsive molecules at the site of injury and promote limited sensory axon regeneration in the central nervous system.
- (7) Integrins become excluded from the axon during maturation of most central nervous system neurons and this correlates with the loss of the regeneration ability of mature neurons.
- (8) Pioneering work targeting integrins to the axons of mature neurons to promote regeneration serves as a model for other regeneration-associated receptors that are excluded, such as TrkB and IGF-1R.

XI. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This review was funded by a Nathalie Rose Barr award (NRB110) from the International Spinal Research Trust, a grant from the Medical Research Council (G1000864), an ERA-NET NEURON grant AxonRepair (013-16-002) and support from the Hersenstichting Nederland, NWO, and the Laboratory for Regeneration of Sensorimotor Systems at the Netherlands Institute for Neuroscience.

XII. REFERENCES

ALIZADEH, A., DYCK, S. M. & KARIMI-ABDOLREZAEE, S. (2015). Myelin damage and repair in pathologic CNS: challenges and prospects. Frontiers in Molecular Neuroscience 8, 35.

Andressen, C., Adrian, S., Fässler, R., Arnhold, S. & Addicks, K. (2005). The contribution of betal integrins to neuronal migration and differentiation depends on extracellular matrix molecules. *European Journal of Cell Biology* **84**, 973–982.

ANDREWS, M. R., CZVITKOVICH, S., DASSIE, E., VOGELAAR, C. F., FAISSNER, A., BLITS, B., GAGE, F. H., FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2009). Alpha9 integrin promotes neurite outgrowth on tenascin-C and enhances sensory axon regeneration. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 29, 5546–5557.

Andrews, M. R., Soleman, S., Cheah, M., Tumbarello, D. A., Mason, M. R. J., Moloney, E., Verhaagen, J., Bensadoun, J.-C., Schneider, B., Aebischer, P. & Fawcett, J. W. (2016). Axonal localization of integrins in the CNS is neuronal type and age dependent. *eNeuro* 3(4), ENEURO.0029–16.2016.

ARLOTTA, P., MOLYNEAUX, B. J., CHEN, J., INOUE, J., KOMINAMI, R. & MACKLIS, J. D. (2005). Neuronal subtype-specific genes that control corticospinal motor neuron development in vivo. *Neuron* 45, 207–221.

Arnaout, M. A., Goodman, S. L. & Xiong, J. P. (2007). Structure and mechanics of integrin-based cell adhesion. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* **19**, 495–507.

ARNOLD, D. B. (2009). Actin and microtubule-based cytoskeletal cues direct polarized targeting of proteins in neurons. Science Signaling 2, pe49.

ASCAÑO, M., RICHMOND, A., BORDEN, P. & KURUVILLA, R. (2009). Axonal targeting of Trk receptors via transcytosis regulates sensitivity to neurotrophin responses. The Journal of Neuroscience 29, 11674–11685.

ATWAL, J. K., PINKSTON-GOSSE, J., SYKEN, J., STAWICKI, S., WU, Y., SHATZ, C. & TESSIER-LAVIGNE, M. (2008). PirB is a functional receptor for myelin inhibitors of axonal regeneration. Science (New York, N.Y.) 322, 967–970.

- Aumailley, M., Brucknertuderman, L., Carter, W., Deutzmann, R., Edgar, D., Ekblom, P., Engel, J., Engvall, E., Hohenester, E. & Jones, J. (2005). A simplified laminin nomenclature. *Matrix Biology* 24, 326–332.
- AUNGST, S., ENGLAND, P. M. & THOMPSON, S. M. (2013). Critical role of trkB receptors in reactive axonal sprouting and hyperexcitability after axonal injury. *Journal of Neurophysiology* 109, 813–824.
- AVRAAMIDES, C. J., GARMY-SUSINI, B. & VARNER, J. A. (2008). Integrins in angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. *Nature Reviews. Cancer* 8, 604–617.
- BABAYAN, A. H., KRAMÁR, E. Á., BARRETT, R. M., JAFARI, M., HÄETTIG, J., CHEN, L. Y., REX, C. S., LAUTERBORN, J. C., WOOD, M. A., GALL, C. M. & LYNCH, G. (2012). Integrin dynamics produce a delayed stage of long-term potentiation and memory consolidation. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 32, 12854—12861.
- BACHMANN, M., CONSCIENCE, J. F., PROBSTMEIER, R., CARBONETTO, S. & SCHACHNER, M. (1995). Recognition molecules myelin-associated glycoprotein and tenascin-C inhibit integrin-mediated adhesion of neural cells to collagen. *Journal* of Neuroscience Research 40, 458–470.
- BAER, W. S., DAWSON, P. M. & MARSHALL, H. T. (1899). Regeneration of the dorsal root fibres of the second cervical nerve within the spinal cord. *The Journal of Experimental Medicine* 4, 29–45.
- BANU, N., TEICHMAN, J., DUNLAP-BROWN, M., VILLEGAS, G. & TUFRO, A. (2006). Semaphorin 3C regulates endothelial cell function by increasing integrin activity. FASEB Journal 20, 2150–2152.
- BARBERIS, D., ARTIGIANI, S., CASAZZA, A., CORSO, S., GIORDANO, S., LOVE, C. A., JONES, E. Y., COMOGLIO, P. M. & TAMAGNONE, L. (2004). Plexin signaling hampers integrin-based adhesion, leading to Rho-kinase independent cell rounding, and inhibiting lamellipodia extension and cell motility. FASEB Journal 18, 592–594.
- BARON-VAN EVERCOOREN, A., GANSMÜLLER, A., GUMPEL, M., BAUMANN, N. & KLEINMAN, H. K. (1986). Schwann cell differentiation in vitro: extracellular matrix deposition and interaction. *Developmental Neuroscience* 8, 182–196.
- BATES, C. A. & STELZNER, D. J. (1993). Extension and regeneration of corticospinal axons after early spinal-injury and the maintenance of corticospinal topography. *Experimental Neurology* 123, 106–117.
- BECHARA, A., NAWABI, H., MORET, F., YARON, A., WEAVER, E., BOZON, M., ABOUZID, K., GUAN, J. L., LAVIGNE, M. T., LEMMON, V. & CASTELLANI, V. (2008). FAK—MAPK-dependent adhesion disassembly downstream of L1 contributes to semaphorin3A-induced collapse. *The EMBO Journal* 27, 1549—1562.
- BENTLEY, M. & BANKER, G. (2016). The cellular mechanisms that maintain neuronal polarity. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 17, 611–622.
- BERRIER, A. L., MASTRANGELO, A. M., DOWNWARD, J., GINSBERG, M. & LAFLAMME, S. E. (2000). Activated R-ras, Racl, PI 3-kinase and PKCepsilon can each restore cell spreading inhibited by isolated integrin beta1 cytoplasmic domains. Journal of Cell Biology 151, 1549–1560.
- BI, X., LYNCH, G., ZHOU, J. & GALL, C. M. (2001). Polarized distribution of alpha5 integrin in dendrites of hippocampal and cortical neurons. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 435, 184–193.
- BLAESS, S., GRAUS-PORTA, D., BELVINDRAH, R., RADAKOVITS, R., PONS, S., LITTLEWOOD-EVANS, A., SENFTEN, M., GUO, H., LI, Y., MINER, J. H., REICHARDT, L. F. & MÜLLER, U. (2004). Beta1-integrins are critical for cerebellar granule cell precursor proliferation. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 24, 3402–3412.
- BOGHDADI, A. G., TEO, L. & BOURNE, J. A. (2017). The involvement of the myelin-associated inhibitors and their receptors in CNS plasticity and injury. *Molecular Neurobiology* 30, 1–16.
- Bossy, B., Bossywetzel, E. & Reichardt, L. F. (1991). Characterization of the integrin alpha-8 subunit a new integrin beta-1-associated subunit, which is prominently expressed on axons and on cells in contact with basal laminae in chick-embryos. *The EMBO Journal* 10, 2375–2385.
- BOUVARD, D., POUWELS, J., DE FRANCESCHI, N. & IVASKA, J. (2013). Integrin inactivators: balancing cellular functions in vitro and in vivo. *Nature Reviews. Molecular Cell Biology* 14, 430–442.
- Bradshaw, A. D., McNagny, K. M., Gervin, D. B., Cann, G. M., Graf, T. & Clegg, D. O. (1995). Integrin alpha 2 beta 1 mediates interactions between developing embryonic retinal cells and collagen. *Development* 121, 3593–3602.
- BREGMAN, B. S. & BERNSTEIN-GORAL, H. (1991). Both regenerating and late-developing pathways contribute to transplant-induced anatomical plasticity after spinal-cord lesions at birth. Experimental Neurology 112, 49–63.
- BREGMAN, B. S., KUNKEL-BAGDEN, E., SCHNELL, L., DAI, H. N., GAO, D. & SCHWAB, M. E. (1995). Recovery from spinal cord injury mediated by antibodies to neurite growth inhibitors. *Nature* 378, 498–501.
- BRITT, D. J., FARÍAS, G. G., GUARDIA, C. M. & BONIFACINO, J. S. (2016). Mechanisms of polarized organelle distribution in neurons. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 10, 88.
- BRÖSAMLE, C., HUBER, A. B., FIEDLER, M., SKERRA, A. & SCHWAB, M. E. (2000). Regeneration of lesioned corticospinal tract fibers in the adult rat induced by a recombinant, humanized IN-1 antibody fragment. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 20, 8061–8068.
- CAFFERTY, W. B. J. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (2006). The Nogo-Nogo receptor pathway limits a spectrum of adult CNS axonal growth. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 26, 12242–12250.

- CALDERWOOD, D. A., CAMPBELL, I. D. & CRITCHLEY, D. R. (2013). Talins and kindlins: partners in integrin-mediated adhesion. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 14, 503-517.
- CAMPBELL, I. D. & HUMPHRIES, M. J. (2011). Integrin structure, activation, and interactions. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3, a004994.
- CASE, L. C. & TESSIER-LAVIGNE, M. (2005). Regeneration of the adult central nervous system. Current Biology 15, R749—R753.
- CASWELL, P. T., CHAN, M., LINDSAY, A. J., McCAFFREY, M. W., BOETTIGER, D. & NORMAN, J. C. (2008). Rab-coupling protein coordinates recycling of alpha5betal integrin and EGFR1 to promote cell migration in 3D microenvironments. *The Journal of Cell Biology* **183**, 143–155.
- CHACÓN, M. R., FERNÁNDEZ, G. & RICO, B. (2010). Focal adhesion kinase functions downstream of Sema3A signaling during axonal remodeling. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience* 44, 30–42.
- CHAN, C.-S., WEEBER, E. J., KURUP, S., SWEATT, J. D. & DAVIS, R. L. (2003). Integrin requirement for hippocampal synaptic plasticity and spatial memory. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 23, 7107–7116.
- CHEAH, M. & ANDREWS, M. R. (2016). Targeting cell surface receptors for axon regeneration in the central nervous system. Neural Regeneration Research 11, 1884.
- CHEAH, M., ANDREWS, M. R., CHEW, D. J., MOLONEY, E. B., VERHAAGEN, J., FÄSSLER, R. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2016). Expression of an activated integrin promotes long-distance sensory axon regeneration in the spinal cord. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 36, 7283–7297.
- CHEN, J., LEE, H. J., JAKOVCEVSKI, I., SHAH, R., BHAGAT, N., LOERS, G., LIU, H.-Y., MEINERS, S., TASCHENBERGER, G., KÜGLER, S., IRINTCHEV, A. & SCHACHNER, M. (2009). The extracellular matrix glycoprotein tenascin-c is beneficial for spinal cord regeneration. *Molecular Therapy* 18, 1769–1777.
- COHEN, S. J., GUREVICH, I., FEIGELSON, S. W., PETROVICH, E., MOSER, M., SHAKHAR, G., FÄSSLER, R. & ALON, R. (2013). The integrin coactivator Kindlin-3 is not required for lymphocyte diapedesis. *Blood* 122, 2609–2617.
- COLOGNATO, H., MACCARRICK, M., O'REAR, J. J. & YURCHENCO, P. D. (1997). The laminin alpha2-chain short arm mediates cell adhesion through both the alpha1 beta1 and alpha2beta1 integrins. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 272, 29330–29336.
- COLOGNATO, H. & TZVETANOVA, I. D. (2011). Glia unglued: how signals from the extracellular matrix regulate the development of myelinating glia. *Developmental Neurobiology* 71, 924–955.
- CONDIC, M. L. (2001). Adult neuronal regeneration induced by transgenic integrin expression. *Journal of Neuroscience* 21, 4782–4788.
- CONDIC, M. L. & LETOURNEAU, P. C. (1997). Ligand-induced changes in integrin expression regulate neuronal adhesion and neurite outgrowth. *Nature* 389, 852–856.
- CRANER, M. J., KLEIN, J. P., BLACK, J. A. & WAXMAN, S. G. (2002). Preferential expression of IGF-I in small DRG neurons and down-regulation following injury. *Neurorebort* 13, 1649–1652.
- DAI, J., LI, J., Bos, E., PORCIONATTO, M., PREMONT, R. T., BOURGOIN, S., PETERS, P. J. & HSU, V. W. (2004). ACAP1 promotes endocytic recycling by recognizing recycling sorting signals. *Developmental Cell* 7, 771–776.
- DANEN, E. H. & SONNENBERG, A. (2003). Erratum: Integrins in regulation of tissue development and function. J Pathol; 200: 471–480. The Journal of Pathology 201, 632–641.
- DAVID, S. & AGUAYO, A. J. (1981). Axonal elongation into peripheral nervous-system bridges after central nervous-system injury in adult-rats. Science (New York, N.Y.) 214, 931–933.
- Debrand, E., El Jai, Y., Spence, L., Bate, N., Praekelt, U., Pritchard, C. A., Monkley, S. J. & Critchley, D. R. (2009). Talin 2 is a large and complex gene encoding multiple transcripts and protein isoforms. *The FEBS Journal* 276, 1610, 1629.
- Delwel, G. O., De Melker, A. A., Hogervorst, F., Jaspars, L. H., Fles, D. L., Kuikman, I., Lindblom, A., Paulsson, M., Timpl, R. & Sonnenberg, A. (1994). Distinct and overlapping ligand specificities of the alpha 3A beta 1 and alpha 6A beta 1 integrins: recognition of laminin isoforms. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 5, 203–215.
- DENDA, S., REICHARDT, L. F. & MÜLLER, U. (1998). Identification of osteopontin as a novel ligand for the integrin alpha8 beta1 and potential roles for this integrin-ligand interaction in kidney morphogenesis. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 9, 1425–1435.
- Desban, N., Lissitzky, J.-C., Rousselle, P. & Duband, J.-L. (2006). α1β1-integrin engagement to distinct laminin-1 domains orchestrates spreading, migration and survival of neural crest cells through independent signaling pathways. *Journal of Cell Science* 119, 3206–3218.
- DESGROSELLIER, J. S. & CHERESH, D. A. (2010). Integrins in cancer: biological implications and therapeutic opportunities. *Nature Reviews. Cancer* 10, 9–22.
- DICKENDESHER, T. L., BALDWIN, K. T., MIRONOVA, Y. A., KORIYAMA, Y., RAIKER, S. J., ASKEW, K. L., WOOD, A., GEOFFROY, C. G., ZHENG, B., LIEPMANN, C. D., KATAGIRI, Y., BENOWITZ, L. I., GELLER, H. M. & GIGER, R. J. (2012). NgR1 and NgR3 are receptors for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans. *Nature Neuroscience* 15, 703–712.
- DIMOU, L., SCHNELL, L., MONTANI, L., DUNCAN, C., SIMONEN, M., SCHNEIDER, R., LIEBSCHER, T., GULLO, M. & SCHWAB, M. E. (2006). Nogo-A-deficient mice

- reveal strain-dependent differences in axonal regeneration. The Journal of Neuroscience 26, 5591–5603.
- DINGYU, W., FANJIE, M., ZHENGZHENG, D., BAOSHENG, H., CHAO, Y., YI, P., HUIWEN, W., JUN, G. & GANG, H. (2015). Regulation of intracellular structural tension by talin in the axon growth and regeneration. *Molecular Neurobiology* 53(7), 4582–4595.
- Domeniconi, M., Cao, Z. U., Spencer, T., Sivasankaran, R., Wang, K. C., Nikulina, E., Kimura, N., Cai, H., Deng, K. W., Gao, Y., He, Z. G. & Filbin, M. T. (2002). Myelin-associated glycoprotein interacts with the Nogo66 receptor to inhibit neurite outgrowth. *Neuron* 35, 283–290.
- DUPRAZ, S., GRASSI, D., KARNAS, D., NIETO GUIL, A. F., HICKS, D. & QUIROGA, S. (2013). The insulin-like growth factor 1 receptor is essential for axonal regeneration in adult central nervous system neurons. *PLoS One* 8, e54462.
- DURBEEJ, M. (2010). Laminins. Cell and Tissue Research 339, 259-268.
- EBLE, J. A., WUCHERPFENNIG, K. W., GAUTHIER, L., DERSCH, P., KRUKONIS, E., ISBERG, R. R. & HEMLER, M. E. (1998). Recombinant soluble human alpha 3 beta 1 integrin: purification, processing, regulation, and specific binding to laminin-5 and invasin in a mutually exclusive manner. *Biochemistry* 37, 10945–10955.
- EGAN, R. A. & VIJAYAN, V. K. (1991). Fibronectin immunoreactivity in neural trauma. Brain Research 568, 330–334.
- EINHEBER, S., SCHNAPP, L. M., SALZER, J. L., CAPPIELLO, Z. B. & MILNER, T. A. (1996). Regional and ultrastructural distribution of the alpha 8 integrin subunit in developing and adult rat brain suggests a role in synaptic function. *The Journal of Combarative Neurology* 370, 105–134.
- EKSTRÖM, P. A. R., MAYER, U., PANJWANI, A., POUNTNEY, D., PIZZEY, J. & TONGE, D. A. (2003). Involvement of alpha7beta1 integrin in the conditioning-lesion effect on sensory axon regeneration. Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences 22, 383–395.
- EMSLEY, J., KNIGHT, C. G., FARNDALE, R. W., BARNES, M. J. & LIDDINGTON, R. C. (2000). Structural basis of collagen recognition by integrin alpha2beta1. Cell 101, 47–56.
- EVA, R., CRISP, S., MARLAND, J. R. K., NORMAN, J. C., KANAMARLAPUDI, V. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. & FAWCETT, J.W. (2012). ARF6 directs axon transport and traffic of integrins and regulates axon growth in adult DRG neurons. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 32, 10352–10364.
- EVA, R., DASSIE, E., CASWELL, P. T., DICK, G. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C., NORMAN, J.C. & FAWCETT, J.W. (2010). Rab11 and its effector Rab coupling protein contribute to the trafficking of beta 1 integrins during axon growth in adult dorsal root ganglion neurons and PC12 cells. The Journal of Neuroscience 30, 11654–11669.
- EVA, R. & FAWCETT, J. (2014). Integrin signalling and traffic during axon growth and regeneration. Current Opinion in Neurobiology 27, 179–185.
- Eva, R., Koseki, H., Kanamarlapudi, V. & Fawcett, J. W. (2017). EFA6 regulates selective polarised transport and axon regeneration from the axon initial segment. *Journal of Cell Science* 130(21), 3663–3675.
- FAGOE, N. D., VAN HEEST, J. & VERHAAGEN, J. (2014). Spinal cord injury and the neuron-intrinsic regeneration-associated gene program. Neuromolecular Medicine 16, 799–813.
- FAISSNER, A. (1997). The tenascin gene family in axon growth and guidance. Cell and Tissue Research 290, 331–341.
- FÄSSLER, R. & MEYER, M. (1995). Consequences of lack of beta-1 integrin gene-expression in mice. Genes & Development 9, 1896–1908.
- Feigelson, S. W., Grabovsky, V., Manevich-Mendelson, E., Pasvolsky, R., Shulman, Z., Shinder, V., Klein, E., Etzioni, A., Aker, M. & Alon, R. (2011). Kindlin-3 is required for the stabilization of TCR-stimulated LFA-1:ICAM-1 bonds critical for lymphocyte arrest and spreading on dendritic cells. *Blood* 117, 7042–7052.
- VAN DER FLIER, A. & SONNENBERG, A. (2001). Function and interactions of integrins. Cell and Tissue Research 305, 285–298.
- FISHER, D., XING, B., DILL, J., LI, H., HOANG, H. H., ZHAO, Z., YANG, X.-L., BACHOO, R., CANNON, S., LONGO, F. M., SHENG, M., SILVER, J. & LI, S. (2011). Leukocyte common antigen-related phosphatase is a functional receptor for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan axon growth inhibitors. *Journal of Neuroscience* 31, 14051–14066.
- FOURNIER, A. E., GRANDPRE, T. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (2001). Identification of a receptor mediating Nogo-66 inhibition of axonal regeneration. *Nature* 409, 341–346.
- FRANSSEN, E. H. P., ZHAO, R.-R., KOSEKI, H., KANAMARLAPUDI, V., HOOGENRAAD, C. C., EVA, R. & FAWGETT, J. W. (2015). Exclusion of integrins from CNS axons is regulated by Arf6 activation and the AIS. The Journal of Neuroscience 35, 8359–8375.
- FRY, E. J., CHAGNON, M. J., LÓPEZ-VALES, R., TREMBLAY, M. L. & DAVID, S. (2010). Corticospinal tract regeneration after spinal cord injury in receptor protein tyrosine phosphatase sigma deficient mice. Glia 58, 423–433.
- FUKASAWA, M., MATSUSHITA, A. & KORC, M. (2007). Neuropilin-1 interacts with integrin β1 and modulates pancreatic cancer cell growth, survival and invasion. Cancer Biology & Therapy 6, 1184–1191.
- GARCÍA-ALVAREZ, B., DE PEREDA, J. M., CALDERWOOD, D. A., ULMER, T. S., CRITCHLEY, D., CAMPBELL, I. D., GINSBERG, M. H. & LIDDINGTON, R. C. (2003). Structural determinants of integrin recognition by talin. *Molecular Cell* 11, 49–58.

- GARDINER, N. J. (2011). Integrins and the extracellular matrix: key mediators of development and regeneration of the sensory nervous system. *Developmental Neurobiology* 71, 1054–1072.
- GARDINER, N. J., FERNYHOUGH, P., TOMLINSON, D. R., MAYER, U., VON DER MARK, H. & STREULI, C. H. (2005). Alpha? integrin mediates neurite outgrowth of distinct populations of adult sensory neurons. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 28, 229–240.
- GARDINER, N. J., MOFFATT, S., FERNYHOUGH, P., HUMPHRIES, M. J., STREULI, C. H. & TOMLINSON, D. R. (2007). Preconditioning injury-induced neurite outgrowth of adult rat sensory neurons on fibronectin is mediated by mobilisation of axonal alpha5 integrin. Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences 35, 249–260.
- Geberhiwot, T., Ingerpuu, S., Pedraza, C., Neira, M., Lehto, U., Virtanen, I., Kortesmaa, J., Tryggvason, K., Engvall, E. & Patarroyo, M. (1999). Blood platelets contain and secrete laminin-8 (alpha4beta1gamma1) and adhere to laminin-8 via alpha6beta1 integrin. Experimental Cell Research 253, 723–732.
- GEORGES-LABOUESSE, E., MARK, M., MESSADDEQ, N. & GANSMÜLLER, A. (1998).
 Essential role of alpha 6 integrins in cortical and retinal lamination. Current Biology:
 CB 8, 983–986.
- GERVASI, N. M., KWOK, J. C. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2008). Role of extracellular factors in axon regeneration in the CNS: implications for therapy. Regenerative Medicine 3, 907–923
- GIGER, R. J., HOLLIS, E. R. II & TUSZYNSKI, M. H. (2010). Guidance molecules in axon regeneration. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2, a001867.
- GOH, E. L. K., YOUNG, J. K., KUWAKO, K., TESSIER-LAVIGNE, M., HE, Z., GRIFFIN, J. W. & MING, G.-L. (2008). beta1-integrin mediates myelin-associated glycoprotein signaling in neuronal growth cones. *Molecular Brain* 1, 10.
- GOLDING, J. P., BIRD, C., MCMAHON, S. & COHEN, J. (1999). Behaviour of DRG sensory neurites at the intact and injured adult rat dorsal root entry zone: postnatal neurites become paralysed, whilst injury improves the growth of embryonic neurites. *Glia* 26, 309–323.
- GONZALEZ PEREZ, F., ALÉ, A., SANTOS, D., BARWIG, C., FREIER, T., NAVARRO, X. & UDINA, E. (2016). Substratum preferences of motor and sensory neurons in postnatal and adult rats. The European Journal of Neuroscience 43, 431–442.
- GONZALEZ PEREZ, F., UDINA, E. & NAVARRO, X. (2013). Extracellular matrix components in peripheral nerve regeneration. *International Review of Neurobiology* 108, 257–275.
- GÖTZ, B., SCHOLZE, A., CLEMENT, A., JOESTER, A., SCHÜTTE, K., WIGGER, F., FRANK, R., SPIESS, E., EKBLOM, P. & FAISSNER, A. (1996). Tenascin-C contains distinct adhesive, anti-adhesive, and neurite outgrowth promoting sites for neurons. *Journal of Cell Biology* 132, 681–699.
- GOUT, S. P., JACQUIER-SARLIN, M. R., ROUARD-TALBOT, L., ROUSSELLE, P. & BLOCK, M. R. (2001). RhoA-dependent switch between alpha2beta1 and alpha3beta1 integrins is induced by laminin-5 during early stage of HT-29 cell differentiation. *Molecular Biology of the Cell* 12, 3268–3281.
- GRAUS-PORTA, D., BLAESS, S., SENFTEN, M., LITTLEWOOD EVANS, A., DAMSKY, C., HUANG, Z., ORBAN, P., KLEIN, R., SCHITTNY, J. C. & MÜLLER, U. (2001). Beta1-class integrins regulate the development of laminae and folia in the cerebral and cerebellar cortex. *Neuron* 31, 367–379.
- GROOMS, S. Y., TERRACIO, L. & JONES, L. S. (1993). Anatomical localization of beta 1 integrin-like immunoreactivity in rat brain. Experimental Neurology 122, 253–259.
- GUILARTE, T. R. (2013). Manganese neurotoxicity: new perspectives from behavioral, neuroimaging, and neuropathological studies in humans and non-human primates. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience 5, 23.
- GUO, W. & GIANCOTTI, F. G. (2004). Integrin signalling during tumour progression. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 5, 816–826.
- HAMMARBERG, H., WALLQUIST, W., PIEHL, F., RISLING, M. & CULLHEIM, S. (2000). Regulation of laminin-associated integrin subunit mRNAs in rat spinal motoneurons during postnatal development and after axonal injury. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 428, 294–304.
- HARBURGER, D. S., BOUAOUINA, M. & CALDERWOOD, D. A. (2009). Kindlin-1 and -2 directly bind the C-terminal region of beta integrin cytoplasmic tails and exert integrin-specific activation effects. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284, 11485–11497.
- HARPER, M. M., YE, E.-A., BLONG, C. C., JACOBSON, M. L. & SAKAGUCHI, D. S. (2010). Integrins contribute to initial morphological development and process outgrowth in rat adult hippocampal progenitor cells. *Journal of Molecular Neuroscience: MN* 40, 269–283.
- HARRINGTON, A. W. & GINTY, D. D. (2013). Long-distance retrograde neurotrophic factor signalling in neurons. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 14, 177–187.
- HEINTZ, T. G., EVA, R. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2016). Regional regulation of Purkinje cell dendritic spines by integrins and Eph/ephrins. PLoS One 11, e0158558-15.
- HERNANDEZ, M. R. (2000). The optic nerve head in glaucoma: role of astrocytes in tissue remodeling. Progress in Retinal and Eye Research 19, 297–321.
- HINES, J. H., ABU-RUB, M. & HENLEY, J. R. (2010). Asymmetric endocytosis and remodeling of beta1-integrin adhesions during growth cone chemorepulsion by MAG. Nature Neuroscience 13, 829–837.
- HOLLIS, E. R. (2015). Axon guidance molecules and neural circuit remodeling after spinal cord injury. Neurotherapeutics 13(2), 360–369.

- HOLLIS, E. R., JAMSHIDI, P., LÖW, K., BLESCH, A. & TUSZYNSKI, M. H. (2009). Induction of corticospinal regeneration by lentiviral trkB-induced Erk activation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106, 7215–7220.
- HOLLIS, E. R. II, Lu, P., Blesch, A. & Tuszynski, M. H. (2009). IGF-I gene delivery promotes corticospinal neuronal survival but not regeneration after adult CNS injury. *Experimental Neurology* 215, 53-59.
- HORTON, E. R., BYRON, A., ASKARI, J. A., NG, D. H. J., MILLON-FRÉMILLON, A., ROBERTSON, J., KOPER, E. J., PAUL, N. R., WARWOOD, S., KNIGHT, D., HUMPHRIES, J. D. & HUMPHRIES, M. J. (2015). Definition of a consensus integrin adhesome and its dynamics during adhesion complex assembly and disassembly. *Nature Cell Biology* 17, 1577–1587.
- Hu, F. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (2008). The N-terminal domain of Nogo-A inhibits cell adhesion and axonal outgrowth by an integrin-specific mechanism. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 28, 1262–1269.
- Hu, P. & Luo, B.-H. (2013). Integrin bi-directional signaling across the plasma membrane. Journal of Cellular Physiology 228, 306–312.
- HUANG, Z., SHIMAZU, K., WOO, N. H., ZANG, K., MÜLLER, U., LU, B. & REICHARDT, L. F. (2006). Distinct roles of the beta 1-class integrins at the developing and the mature hippocampal excitatory synapse. The Journal of Neuroscience 26, 11208–11219.
- HUMPHRIES, J. D. (2006). Integrin ligands at a glance. Journal of Cell Science 119, 3901–3903.
- HUMPHRIES, J. D., PAUL, N. R., HUMPHRIES, M. J. & MORGAN, M. R. (2015).
 Emerging properties of adhesion complexes: what are they and what do they do?
 Trends in Cell Biology 25, 388–397.
- HUO, Y., YIN, X.-L., JI, S.-X., ZOU, H., LANG, M., ZHENG, Z., CAI, X.-F., LIU, W., CHEN, C.-L., ZHOU, Y.-G., YUAN, R.-D. & YE, J. (2015). Amino-Nogo inhibits optic nerve regeneration and functional recovery via the integrin αV signaling pathway in rats. Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry 35, 616–626.
- HYNES, R. O. (2002). Integrins: bidirectional, allosteric signaling machines. Cell 110, 673–687.
- ISABET, T., MONTAGNAC, G., REGAZZONI, K., RAYNAL, B., EL KHADALI, F., ENGLAND, P., FRANCO, M., CHAVRIER, P., HOUDUSSE, A. & MÉNÉTREY, J. (2009). The structural basis of Arf effector specificity: the crystal structure of ARF6 in a complex with JIP4. *The EMBO Journal* 28, 2835–2845.
- IVINS, J. K., COLOGNATO, H., KREIDBERG, J. A., YURCHENCO, P. D. & LANDER, A. D. (1998). Neuronal receptors mediating responses to antibodyactivated laminin-1. Journal of Neuroscience 18, 9703–9715.
- IVINS, J. K., YURCHENCO, P. D. & LANDER, A. D. (2000). Regulation of neurite outgrowth by integrin activation. Journal of Neuroscience 20, 6551–6560.
- JACKSON, T. R., BROWN, F. D., NIE, Z. Z., MIURA, K., FORONI, L., SUN, J. L., HSU, V. W., DONALDSON, J. G. & RANDAZZO, P. A. (2000). ACAPs are Arf6 GTPase-activating proteins that function in the cell periphery. *Journal of Cell Biology* 151, 627–638.
- JESSEN, K. R., MIRSKY, R. & ARTHUR-FARRAJ, P. (2015). The role of cell plasticity in tissue repair: adaptive cellular reprogramming. Developmental Cell 34, 613–620.
- KANEKO, Š., IWANAMI, A., NAKAMURA, M., KISHINO, A., KIKUCHI, K., SHIBATA, S., OKANO, H. J., IKEGAMI, T., MORIYA, A., KONISHI, O., NAKAYAMA, C., KUMAGAI, K., KIMURA, T., SATO, Y., GOSHIMA, Y., TANIGUCHI, M., ITO, M., HE, z., TOYAMA, Y. & OKANO, H. (2006). A selective Sema3A inhibitor enhances regenerative responses and functional recovery of the injured spinal cord. Nature Medicine 12, 1380–1389.
- KANG, W.-S., CHOI, J.-S., SHIN, Y.-J., KIM, H.-Y., CHA, J.-H., LEE, J.-Y., CHUN, M.-H. & LEE, M.-Y. (2008). Differential regulation of osteopontin receptors, CD44 and the alpha(v) and beta(3) integrin subunits, in the rat hippocampus following transient forebrain ischemia. *Brain Research* 1228, 208–216.
- KASHIWAGI, H., SHIRAGA, M., KATO, H., KAMAE, T., YAMAMOTO, N., TADOKORO, S., KURATA, Y., TOMIYAMA, Y. & KANAKURA, Y. (2005). Negative regulation of platelet function by a secreted cell repulsive protein, semaphorin 3A. *Blood* **106**, 913–921.
- KAWAGUCHI, S.-Y. & HIRANO, T. (2006). Integrin alpha3beta1 suppresses long-term potentiation at inhibitory synapses on the cerebellar Purkinje neuron. Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences 31, 416–426.
- KAZANIS, I. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. (2011). Extracellular matrix and the neural stem cell niche. *Developmental Neurobiology* **71**, 1006–1017.
- KEELY, P. J., RUSYN, E. V., COX, A. D. & PARISE, L. V. (1999). R-Ras signals through specific integrin alpha cytoplasmic domains to promote migration and invasion of breast epithelial cells. *Journal of Cell Biology* 145, 1077–1088.
- KHALSA, P. S., ZHANG, C., SOMMERFELDT, D. & HADJIARGYROU, M. (2000).
 Expression of integrin alpha2beta1 in axons and receptive endings of neurons in rat, hairy skin. Neuroscience Letters 293, 13–16.
- KIKKAWA, Y., SANZEN, N. & SEKIGUCHI, K. (1998). Isolation and characterization of laminin-10/11 secreted by human lung carcinoma cells: laminin-10/11 mediates cell adhesion through integrin alpha3 beta1. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 273, 15854–15859.
- KIM, J., YANG, C., KIM, E. J., JANG, J., KIM, S.-J., KANG, S. M., KIM, M. G., JUNG, H., PARK, D. & KIM, C. (2016). Vimentin filaments regulate integrin-ligand interactions by binding to the cytoplasmic tail of integrin β3. Journal of Cell Science 129(10), 2030–2042.

- Kim, J.-E., Li, S., GrandPré, T., Qiu, D. & Strittmatter, S. M. (2003). Axon regeneration in young adult mice lacking Nogo-A/B. Neuron 38, 187–199.
- KING, V. R., McBride, A. & Priestley, J. V. (2001). Immunohistochemical expression of the alpha 5 integrin subunit in the normal adult rat central nervous system. *Journal of Neurocytology* 30, 243–252.
- KLOSS, C. U. A., WERNER, A., KLEIN, M. A., SHEN, J., MENUZ, K., PROBST, J. C., KREUTZBERG, G. W. & RAIVICH, G. (1999). Integrin family of cell adhesion molecules in the injured brain: regulation and cellular localization in the normal and regenerating mouse facial motor nucleus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 411, 162–178.
- KOOPMANS, G., HASSE, B. & SINIS, N. (2009). The role of collagen in peripheral nerve repair. *International Review of Neurobiology* 87, 363–379.
- Koseki, H., Donegá, M., Lam, B. Y., Petrova, V., van Erp, S., Yeo, G. S., Kwok, J. C. & Ffrench-Constant, C., Eva, R. & Fawcett, J. (2017). Selective Rab11 transport and the intrinsic regenerative ability of CNS axons. *eLife* 6, e26956.
- KWOK, J. C. F., DICK, G., WANG, D. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2011). Extracellular matrix and perincuronal nets in CNS repair. *Developmental Neurobiology* 71, 1073–1089.
- LAI-CHEONG, J. E., USSAR, S., ARITA, K., HART, I. R. & McGrath, J. A. (2008). Colocalization of kindlin-1, kindlin-2, and migfilin at keratinocyte focal adhesion and relevance to the pathophysiology of Kindler syndrome. *The Journal of Investigative Dermatology* 128, 2156–2165.
- LASIECKA, Z. M. & WINCKLER, B. (2011). Mechanisms of polarized membrane trafficking in neurons – focusing in on endosomes. *Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience* 48, 278–287.
- LAURÉN, J., HU, F., CHIN, J., LIAO, J., AIRAKSINEN, M. S. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (2007). Characterization of myelin ligand complexes with neuronal Nogo-66 receptor family members. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 282, 5715-5725.
- LAZO, O. M., GONZALEZ, A., ASCAÑO, M., KURUVILLA, R., COUVE, A. & BRONFMAN, F. C. (2013). BDNF regulates Rab11-mediated recycling endosome dynamics to induce dendritic branching. *Journal of Neuroscience* 33, 6112–6122.
- LEE, J. K., CHOW, R., XIE, F., CHOW, S. Y., TOLENTINO, K. E. & ZHENG, B. (2010). Combined genetic attenuation of myelin and semaphorin-mediated growth inhibition is insufficient to promote serotonergic axon regeneration. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 30, 10899–10904.
- LEE, J. K., GEOFFROY, C. G., CHAN, A. F., TOLENTINO, K. E., CRAWFORD, M. J., LEAL, M. A., KANG, B. & ZHENG, B. (2010). Assessing spinal axon regeneration and sprouting in Nogo-, MAG-, and OMgp-deficient mice. *Neuron* 66, 663–670.
- LEFCORT, F., VENSTROM, K., McDONALD, J. A. & REICHARDT, L. F. (1992).
 Regulation of expression of fibronectin and its receptor, alpha 5 beta 1, during development and regeneration of peripheral nerve. *Development* 116, 767–782.
- LEIN, P., GALLAGHER, P. J., AMODEO, J., HOWIE, H. & ROTH, J. A. (2000). Manganese induces neurite outgrowth in PC12 cells via upregulation of αv integrins. Brain Research 885, 220–230.
- LEMONS, M. L. & CONDIC, M. L. (2006). Combined integrin activation and intracellular cAMP cause Rho GTPase dependent growth cone collapse on laminin-1. Experimental Neurology 202, 324–335.
- LEMONS, M. L., SANDY, J. D., ANDERSON, D. K. & HOWLAND, D. R. (2003). Intact aggrecan and chondroitin sulfate-depleted aggrecan core glycoprotein inhibit axon growth in the adult rat spinal cord. Experimental Neurology 184, 981–990.
- LEON, S., YIN, Y. Q., NGUYEN, J., IRWIN, N. & BENOWITZ, L. I. (2000). Lens injury stimulates axon regeneration in the mature rat optic nerve. *Journal of Neuroscience* 20, 4615–4626.
- LEONE, D. P., RELVAS, J. B., CAMPOS, L. S., HEMMI, S., BRAKEBUSCH, C., FÄSSLER, R., FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. & SUTER, U. (2005). Regulation of neural progenitor proliferation and survival by beta1 integrins. *Journal of Cell Science* 118, 2589–2599.
- LEVY, A. D., OMAR, M. H. & KOLESKE, A. J. (2014). Extracellular matrix control of dendritic spine and synapse structure and plasticity in adulthood. Frontiers in Neuroanatomy 8, 116.
- LEWIS, T. L. J., MAO, T., SVOBODA, K. & ARNOLD, D. B. (2009). Myosin-dependent targeting of transmembrane proteins to neuronal dendrites. *Nature Neuroscience* 12, 568-576.
- LIEBL, D. J., HUANG, W., YOUNG, W. & PARADA, L. F. (2001). Regulation of Trk receptors following contusion of the rat spinal cord. Experimental Neurology 167, 15–26.
- LILJA, J., ZACHARCHENKO, T., GEORGIADOU, M., JACQUEMET, G., FRANCESCHI, N. D., PEUHU, E., HAMIDI, H., POUWELS, J., MARTENS, V., NIA, F. H., BEIFUSS, M., BOECKERS, T., KREIENKAMP, H.-J., BARSUKOV, I. L. & IVASKA, J. (2017). SHANK proteins limit integrin activation by directly interacting with Rap1 and R-Ras. Nature Cell Biology 14, 430.
- LIN, L., YAN, F., ZHAO, D., LV, M., LIANG, X., DAI, H., QIN, X., ZHANG, Y., HAO, J., SUN, X., YIN, Y., HUANG, X., ZHANG, J., LU, J. & GE, Q. (2016). Reclin promotes the adhesion and drug resistance of multiple myeloma cells via integrin β 1 signaling and STAT3. *Oneotarget* 7, 9844–9858.
- LIU, B. P., FOURNIER, A., GRANDPRÉ, T. & STRITTMATTER, S. M. (2002). Myelin-associated glycoprotein as a functional ligand for the Nogo-66 receptor. Science (New York, N.Y.) 297, 1190–1193.
- Liu, K., Lu, Y., Lee, J. K., Samara, R., Willenberg, R., Sears-Kraxberger, I., Tedeschi, A., Park, K. K., Jin, D., Cai, B., Xu, B., Connolly, L., Steward,

- O., ZHENG, B. & HE, Z. (2010). PTEN deletion enhances the regenerative ability of adult corticospinal neurons. *Nature Neuroscience* 13, 1075–1081.
- LIU, Y., WANG, X., LI, W., ZHANG, Q., LI, Y., ZHANG, Z., ZHU, J., CHEN, B., WILLIAMS, P. R., ZHANG, Y., YU, B., GU, X. & HE, Z. (2017). A sensitized IGF1 treatment restores corticospinal axon-dependent functions. *Neuron* 95, 817–833.c4.
- LU, P., BLESCH, A. & TUSZYNSKI, M. H. (2001). Neurotrophism without neurotropism: BDNF promotes survival but not growth of lesioned corticospinal neurons. *The Journal of Comparative Neurology* 436, 456–470.
- MALININ, N. L., ZHANG, L., CHOI, J., CIOCEA, A., RAZORENOVA, O., MA, Y.-Q., PODREZ, E. A., TOSI, M., LENNON, D. P., CAPLAN, A. I., SHURIN, S. B., PLOW, E. F. & BYZOVA, T. V. (2009). A point mutation in KINDLIN3 ablates activation of three integrin subfamilies in humans. *Nature Medicine* 15, 313–318.
- MARCHETTI, G., DE ARCANGELIS, A., PFISTER, V. & GEORGES-LABOUESSE, E. (2013). α6 integrin subunit regulates cerebellar development. Cell Adhesion & Migration 7, 325–332.
- MARCHETTI, G., ESCUIN, S., VAN DER FLIER, A., DE ARCANGELIS, A., HYNES, R. O. & GEORGES-LABOUESSE, E. (2010). Integrin alpha5beta1 is necessary for regulation of radial migration of cortical neurons during mouse brain development. *The European Journal of Neuroscience* 31, 399–409.
- MATHEWS, G. A. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. (1995). Embryonic fibronectins are up-regulated following peripheral nerve injury in rats. *Journal of Neurobiology* 26, 171–188.
- McCarty, J. H., Lacy-Hulbert, A., Charest, A., Bronson, R. T., Crowley, D., Housman, D., Savill, J., Roes, J. & Hynes, R. O. (2005). Selective ablation of alpha v integrins in the central nervous system leads to cerebral hemorrhage, seizures, axonal degeneration and premature death. *Development* 132, 165–176.
- McGeachie, A. B., Cingolani, L. A. & Goda, Y. (2011). A stabilising influence: integrins in regulation of synaptic plasticity. *Neuroscience Research* 70, 24–29.
- MCKERRACHER, L., DAVID, S., JACKSON, D. L., KOTTIS, V., DUNN, R. J. & BRAUN, P. E. (1994). Identification of myelin-associated glycoprotein as a major myelin-derived inhibitor of neurite growth. *Neuron* 13, 805–811.
- MEANS, T. K. & LUSTER, A. D. (2010). Integrins limit the toll. Nature Immunology 11, 691–693.
- MECHAI, N., WENZEL, M., KOCH, M., LUCKA, L., HORSTKORTE, R., REUTTER, W. & DANKER, K. (2005). The cytoplasmic tail of the alpha3 integrin subunit promotes neurite outgrowth in PG12 cells. *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 82, 753–761.
- MECOLLARI, V., NIEUWENHUIS, B. & VERHAAGEN, J. (2014). A perspective on the role of class III semaphorin signaling in central nervous system trauma. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 8, 328.
- Meller, J., Chen, Z., Dudiki, T., Cull, R. M., Murtazina, R., Bal, S. K., Pluskota, E., Stefl, S., Plow, E. F., Trapp, B. D. & Byzova, T. V. (2017). Integrin-Kindlin3 requirements for microglial motility in vivo are distinct from those for macrophages. *JCI Insight* 2, e93002.
- MERCADO, M. L. T., NUR-E-KAMAL, A., LIU, H.-Y., GROSS, S. R., MOVAHED, R. & MEINERS, S. (2004). Neurite outgrowth by the alternatively spliced region of human tenascin-C is mediated by neuronal alpha7beta1 integrin. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 24, 238–247.
- MEVES, A., STREMMEL, C., GOTTSCHALK, K. & FÄSSLER, R. (2009). The Kindlin protein family: new members to the club of focal adhesion proteins. *Trends in Cell Biology* 19, 504–513.
- MI, S., LEE, X., SHAO, Z., THILL, G., JI, B., RELTON, J., LEVESQUE, M., ALLAIRE, N., PERRIN, S., SANDS, B., CROWELL, T., CATE, R. L., McCoy, J. M. & PEPINSKY, R. B. (2004). LINGO-1 is a component of the Nogo-66 receptor/p75 signaling complex. Nature Neuroscience 7, 221–228.
- MILNER, R. & CAMPBELL, I. L. (2002). The integrin family of cell adhesion molecules has multiple functions within the CNS. Journal of Neuroscience Research 69, 286–291.
- MINICHIELLO, L. (2009). TrkB signalling pathways in LTP and learning. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 10, 850–860.
- MINOR, K. H., BOURNAT, J. C., TOSCANO, N., GIGER, R. J. & DAVIES, S. J. A. (2011). Decorin, erythroblastic leukaemia viral oncogene homologue B4 and signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 regulation of semaphorin 3A in central nervous system scar tissue. *Brain: A Journal of Neurology* 134, 1140–1155.
- MIRE, E., THOMASSET, N., JAKEMAN, L. B. & ROUGON, G. (2008). Modulating Sema3A signal with a L1 mimetic peptide is not sufficient to promote motor recovery and axon regeneration after spinal cord injury. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 37, 222–235.
- MITRA, S. K., HANSON, D. A. & SCHLAEPFER, D. D. (2005). Focal adhesion kinase: in command and control of cell motility. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 6, 56–68.
- Monkley, S. J., Pritchard, C. A. & Critchley, D. R. (2001). Analysis of the mammalian talin2 gene TLN2. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications 286, 880—885
- Monsul, N. T., Geisendorfer, A. R., Han, P. J., Banik, R., Pease, M. E., Skolasky, R. L. & Hoffman, P. N. (2004). Intraocular injection of dibutyryl cyclic AMP promotes axon regeneration in rat optic nerve. *Experimental Neurology* 186, 124–133.
- Montagnac, G., de Forges, H., Smythe, E., Gueudry, C., Romao, M., Salamero, J. & Chavrier, P. (2011). Decoupling of activation and effector

- binding underlies ARF6 priming of fast endocytic recycling. *Current Biology* 21, 574–579.
- MORETTI, F. A., MOSER, M., LYCK, R., ABADIER, M., RUPPERT, R., ENGELHARDT, B. & FÄSSLER, R. (2013). Kindlin-3 regulates integrin activation and adhesion reinforcement of effector T cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 110, 17005–17010.
- MORTILLO, S., ELSTE, A., GE, Y., PATIL, S. B., HSIAO, K., HUNTLEY, G. W., DAVIS, R. L. & BENSON, D. L. (2012). Compensatory redistribution of neuroligins and N-cadherin following deletion of synaptic β1-integrin. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 520, 2041–2052.
- Moser, M., Bauer, M., Schmid, S., Ruppert, R., Schmidt, S., Sixt, M., Wang, H.-V., Sperandio, M. & Fässler, R. (2009). Kindlin-3 is required for beta2 integrin-mediated leukocyte adhesion to endothelial cells. *Nature Medicine* 15, 300–305.
- MOSER, M., LEGATE, K. R., ZENT, R. & FÄSSLER, R. (2009). The tail of integrins, talin, and kindlins. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 324, 895–899.
- MOSER, M., NIESWANDT, B., USSAR, S., POZGAJOVA, M. & FÄSSLER, R. (2008).
 Kindlin-3 is essential for integrin activation and platelet aggregation. *Nature Medicine* 14, 325–330.
- MOULD, A. P., AKIYAMA, S. K. & HUMPHRIES, M. J. (1995). Regulation of integrin alpha 5 beta 1-fibronectin interactions by divalent cations. Evidence for distinct classes of binding sites for Mn2+, Mg2+, and Ca2+. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 270, 26270–26277.
- MOULD, A. P., ASKARI, J. A., BYRON, A., TAKADA, Y., JOWITT, T. A. & HUMPHRIES, M. J. (2016). Ligand-induced epitope masking: dissociation of integrin α5β1-fibronectin complexes only by monoclonal antibodies with an allosteric mode of action. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 291, 20993–21007.
- МИКНОРАДНУАУ, G., DOHERTY, P., WALSH, F. S., CROCKER, P. R. & FILBIN, M. T. (1994). A novel role for myelin-associated glycoprotein as an inhibitor of axonal regeneration. *Neuron* **13**, 757–767.
- MURASE, S. & HAYASHI, Y. (1996). Expression pattern of integrin beta 1 subunit in Purkinje cells of rat and cerebellar mutant mice. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 375, 225–237.
- MYERS, J. P., SANTIAGO MEDINA, M. & GOMEZ, T. M. (2011). Regulation of axonal outgrowth and pathfinding by integrin—ECM interactions. *Developmental Neurobiology* 71, 901–923.
- NEUMANN, S. & WOOLF, C. J. (1999). Regeneration of dorsal column fibers into and beyond the lesion site following adult spinal cord injury. *Neuron* 23, 83–91.
- NISHIMURA, S. L., BOYLEN, K. P., EINHEBER, S., MILNER, T. A., RAMOS, D. M. & PYTELA, R. (1998). Synaptic and glial localization of the integrin alphavbeta8 in mouse and rat brain. *Brain Research* **791**, 271–282.
- OINUMA, I., ITO, Y., KATOH, H. & NEGISHI, M. (2010). Semaphorin 4D/Plexin-B1 stimulates PTEN activity through R-Ras GTPase-activating protein activity, inducing growth cone collapse in hippocampal neurons. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 285, 28200–28209.
- OXVIG, C. & SPRINGER, T. A. (1998). Experimental support for a beta-propeller domain in integrin alpha-subunits and a calcium binding site on its lower surface. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 95, 4870–4875.
- OZDINLER, P. H. & MACKLIS, J. D. (2006). IGF-I specifically enhances axon outgrowth of corticospinal motor neurons. *Nature Neuroscience* **9**, 1371–1381.
- PAOLILLO, M., SERRA, M. & SCHINELLI, S. (2016). Integrins in glioblastoma: still an attractive target? *Pharmacological Research* 113, 55–61.
- PARK, H. & POO, M.-M. (2013). Neurotrophin regulation of neural circuit development and function. *Nature Reviews. Neuroscience* 14, 7–23.
- PARK, J. B., YIU, G., KANEKO, S., WANG, J., CHANG, J. F. & HE, Z. G. (2005). A TNF receptor family member, TROY, is a coreceptor with Nogo receptor in mediating the inhibitory activity of myelin inhibitors. *Neuron* 45, 345–351.
- PARK, K. K., LIU, K., HU, Y., SMITH, P. D., WANG, C., CAI, B., XU, B., CONNOLLY, L., KRAMVIS, I., SAHIN, M. & HE, Z. (2008). Promoting axon regeneration in the adult CNS by modulation of the PTEN/mTOR pathway. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 322, 963–966.
- PARK, Y. K. & GODA, Y. (2016). Integrins in synapse regulation. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 17, 745–756.
- Pasterkamp, R. J., Giger, R. J., Ruitenberg, M. J., Holtmaat, A. J., de Wit, J., de Winter, F. & Verhaagen, J. (1999). Expression of the gene encoding the chemorepellent semaphorin III is induced in the fibroblast component of neural scar tissue formed following injuries of adult but not neonatal CNS. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 13, 143–166.
- Pasterkamp, R. J., Giger, R. J. & Verhaagen, J. (1998). Regulation of semaphorin III/collapsin-1 gene expression during peripheral nerve regeneration. *Experimental Neurology* **153**, 313–327.
- Pasterkamp, R. J., Peschon, J. J., Spriggs, M. K. & Kolodkin, A. L. (2003). Semaphorin 7A promotes axon outgrowth through integrins and MAPKs. *Nature* **424**, 398–405.
- PINKSTAFF, J. K., DETTERICH, J., LYNCH, G. & GALL, C. (1999). Integrin subunit gene expression is regionally differentiated in adult brain. *Journal of Neuroscience* 19, 1541–1556.

- PLANTMAN, S., NOVIKOVA, L., NOVIKOV, L., HAMMARBERG, H., WALLQUIST, W., KELLERTH, J.-O. & CULLHEIM, S. (2005). Integrin messenger RNAs in the red nucleus after axotomy and neurotrophic administration. *Neuroreport* 16, 709–713.
- PLANTMAN, S., PATARROYO, M., FRIED, K., DOMOGATSKAYA, A., TRYGGVASON, K., HAMMARBERG, H. & CULLHEIM, S. (2008). Integrin-laminin interactions controlling neurite outgrowth from adult DRG neurons in vitro. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 39, 50–62.
- POWELKA, A. M., SUN, J., LI, J., GAO, M., SHAW, L. M., SONNENBERG, A. & HSU, V. W. (2004). Stimulation-dependent recycling of integrin beta1 regulated by ARF6 and Rab11. Traffic (Copenhagen, Denmark) 5, 20–36.
- QIU, J., CAI, D., DAI, H., McATEE, M., HOFFMAN, P. N., BREGMAN, B. S. & FILBIN, M. T. (2002). Spinal axon regeneration induced by elevation of cyclic AMP. *Neuron* 34, 895–903.
- RICHARDSON, P. M. & ISSA, V. M. (1984). Peripheral injury enhances central regeneration of primary sensory neurones. *Nature* 309, 791–793.
- RIGATO, F., GARWOOD, J., CALCO, V., HECK, N., FAIVRE-SARRAILH, C. & FAISSNER, A. (2002). Tenascin-C promotes neurite outgrowth of embryonic hippocampal neurons through the alternatively spliced fibronectin type IIIBD domains via activation of the cell adhesion molecule F3/contactin. *Journal of Neuroscience* 22, 6596–6609.
- ROBERTSON, J., JACQUEMET, G., BYRON, A., JONES, M. C., WARWOOD, S., SELLEY, J. N., KNIGHT, D., HUMPHRIES, J. D. & HUMPHRIES, M. J. (2015). Defining the phospho-adhesome through the phosphoproteomic analysis of integrin signalling. *Nature Communications* **6**, 6265.
- ROBLES, E. & GOMEZ, T. M. (2006). Focal adhesion kinase signaling at sites of integrin-mediated adhesion controls axon pathfinding. *Nature Neuroscience* 9, 1274–1283.
- RODRIGUEZ, M. A., PESOLD, C., LIU, W. S., KRIHO, V., GUIDOTTI, A., PAPPAS, G. D. & COSTA, E. (2000). Colocalization of integrin receptors and reelin in dendritic spine postsynaptic densities of adult nonhuman primate cortex. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 97, 3550–3555.
- ROGNONI, E., RUPPERT, R. & FÄSSLER, R. (2016). The kindlin family: functions, signaling properties and implications for human disease. *Journal of Cell Science* 129, 17–27
- ROHRBOUGH, J., GROTEWIEL, M. S., DAVIS, R. L. & BROADIE, K. (2000). Integrin-mediated regulation of synaptic morphology, transmission, and plasticity. *Journal of Neuroscience* 20, 6868–6878.
- ROMANELLI, R. J., LEBEAU, A. P., FULMER, C. G., LAZZARINO, D. A., HOCHBERG, A. & WOOD, T. L. (2007). Insulin-like growth factor type-I receptor internalization and recycling mediate the sustained phosphorylation of Akt. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 282, 22513–22524.
- SAKAGAMI, H., SUZUKI, H., KAMATA, A., OWADA, Y., FUKUNAGA, K., MAYANAGI, H. & KONDO, H. (2006). Distinct spatiotemporal expression of EFA6D, a guanine nucleotide exchange factor for ARF6, among the EFA6 family in mouse brain. *Brain Research* 1093, 1–11.
- SAKAGUCHI, D. S. & RADKE, K. (1996). Beta 1 integrins regulate axon outgrowth and glial cell spreading on a glial-derived extracellular matrix during development and regeneration. Brain Research. Developmental Brain Research 97, 235–250.
- SAKURAI, A., GAVARD, J., ANNAS-LINHARES, Y., BASILE, J. R., AMORNPHI-MOLTHAM, P., PALMBY, T. R., YAGI, H., ZHANG, F., RANDAZZO, P. A., LI, X., WEIGERT, R. & GUTKIND, J. S. (2010). Semaphorin 3E initiates antiangiogenic signaling through plexin D1 by regulating Arf6 and R-Ras. Molecular and Cellular Biology 30, 3086–3098.
- SAUNDERS, N. R., NOOR, N. M., DZIEGIELEWSKA, K. M., WHEATON, B. J., LIDDELOW, S. A., STEER, D. L., EK, C. J., HABGOOD, M. D., WAREFIELD, M. J., LINDSAY, H., TRUETTNER, J., MILLER, R. D., SMITH, A. I. & DIETRICH, W. D. (2014). Age-dependent transcriptome and proteome following transection of neonatal spinal cord of Monodelphis domestica (South American grey short-tailed opossum). PLoS One 9, e99080.
- SCHÄFER, M., FRUTTIGER, M., MONTAG, D., SCHACHNER, M. & MARTINI, R. (1996). Disruption of the gene for the myelin-associated glycoprotein improves axonal regrowth along myelin in C57BL/Wlds mice. Neuron 16, 1107–1113.
- SCHAFF, M., RECEVEUR, N., BOURDON, C., WURTZ, V., DENIS, C. V., OREND, G., GACHET, C., LANZA, F. & MANGIN, P. H. (2011). Novel function of tenascin-C, a matrix protein relevant to atherosclerosis, in platelet recruitment and activation under flow. *Arteriosclerosis, Thrombosis, and Vascular Biology* 31, 117–124.
- SCHITTENHELM, J., TABATABAI, G. & SIPOS, B. (2016). The role of integrins in primary and secondary brain tumors. *Histology and Histopathology* 31, 1069–1078.
- SCHMID, R. S. & ANTON, E. S. (2003). Role of integrins in the development of the cerebral cortex. Cerebral Cortex 13, 219–224.
- SCHNAPP, L. M., HATCH, N., RAMOS, D. M., KLIMANSKAYA, I. V., SHEPPARD, D. & PYTELA, R. (1995). The human integrin alpha-8-beta-1 functions as a receptor for tenascin, fibronectin, and vitronectin. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 270, 23196–23202.
- SCHNELL, L. & SCHWAB, M. E. (1990). Axonal regeneration in the rat spinal cord produced by an antibody against myelin-associated neurite growth inhibitors. *Nature* 343, 269–272.

- Schöber, S., Mielenz, D., Echtermeyer, F., Hapke, S., Pöschl, E., von der Mark, H., Moch, H. & von der Mark, K. (2000). The role of extracellular and cytoplasmic splice domains of α7-integrin in cell adhesion and migration on laminins. *Experimental Cell Research* 255, 303–313.
- SCHUSTER, T., KRUG, M., STALDER, M., HACKEL, N., GERARDY SCHAHN, R. & SCHACHNER, M. (2001). Immunoelectron microscopic localization of the neural recognition molecules L1, NCAM, and its isoform NCAM180, the NCAM-associated polysialic acid, beta1 integrin and the extracellular matrix molecule tenascin-R in synapses of the adult rat hippocampus. Journal of Neurobiology 49, 142–158.
- Schwarzbauer, J. E. & DeSimone, D. W. (2011). Fibronectins, their fibrillogenesis, and in vivo functions. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology* 3, a005041.
- SELF, A. J., CARON, E., PATERSON, H. F. & HALL, A. (2001). Analysis of R-Ras signalling pathways. Journal of Cell Science 114, 1357–1366.
- SENETAR, M. A., MONCMAN, C. L. & McCANN, R. O. (2007). Talin2 is induced during striated muscle differentiation and is targeted to stable adhesion complexes in mature muscle. *Cell Motility and the Cytoskeleton* 64, 157–173.
- SERINI, G., VALDEMBRI, D., ZANIVAN, S., MORTERRA, G., BURKHARDT, C., CACCAVARI, F., ZAMMATARO, L., PRIMO, L., TAMAGNONE, L., LOGAN, M., TESSSIER-LAVIGNE, M., TANIGUCHI, M., PUSCHEL, A. W. & BUSSOLINO, F. (2003). Class 3 semaphorins control vascular morphogenesis by inhibiting integrin function. *Nature* 424, 391–397.
- SHAO, Z., BROWNING, J. L., LEE, X., SCOTT, M. L., SHULGA-MORSKAYA, S., ALLAIRE, N., THILL, G., LEVESQUE, M., SAH, D., McCOY, J. M., MURRAY, B., JUNG, V., PEPINSKY, R. B. & MI, S. (2005). TAJ/TROY, an orphan TNF receptor family member, binds Nogo-66 receptor 1 and regulates axonal regeneration. *Neuron* 45, 353—359
- SHARMA, A., VERHAAGEN, J. & HARVEY, A. R. (2012). Receptor complexes for each of the Class 3 Semaphorins. Frontiers in Cellular Neuroscience 6, 28.
- SHATTIL, S. J., KIM, C. & GINSBERG, M. H. (2010). The final steps of integrin activation: the end game. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 11, 288–300.
- SHEEHAN, D., RAY, G. S., CALHOUN, B. C. & GOLDENRING, J. R. (1996). A somatodendritic distribution of Rabl1 in rabbit brain neurons. *Neuroreport* 7, 1297–1300.
- SHEN, Y., TENNEY, A. P., BUSCH, S. A., HORN, K. P., CUASCUT, F. X., LIU, K., HE, Z., SILVER, J. & FLANAGAN, J. G. (2009). PTPsigma is a receptor for chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan, an inhibitor of neural regeneration. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 326, 592–596.
- SHI, Y. & ETHELL, I. M. (2006). Integrins control dendritic spine plasticity in hippocampal neurons through NMDA receptor and Ca²⁺/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II-mediated actin reorganization. Journal of Neuroscience 26, 1813–1822.
- SICOTTE, M., TSATAS, O., JEONG, S. Y., CAI, C.-Q., HE, Z. & DAVID, S. (2003). Immunization with myelin or recombinant Nogo-66/MAG in alum promotes axon regeneration and sprouting after corticospinal tract lesions in the spinal cord. Molecular and Cellular Neuroscience 23, 251–263.
- SILVER, J. & MILLER, J. H. (2004). Regeneration beyond the glial scar. Nature Reviews. Neuroscience 5, 146–156.
- SIMONEN, M., PEDERSEN, V., WEINMANN, O., SCHNELL, L., BUSS, A., LEDERMANN, B., CHRIST, F., SANSIG, G., VAN DER PUTTEN, H. & SCHWAB, M. E. (2003). Systemic deletion of the myelin-associated outgrowth inhibitor Nogo-A improves regenerative and plastic responses after spinal cord injury. *Neuron* 38, 201–211.
- SINGH, P., CARRAHER, C. & SCHWARZBAUER, J. E. (2010). Assembly of fibronectin extracellular matrix. Annual Review of Cell and Developmental Biology 26, 397–419.
- SMITH, B. E., BRADSHAW, A. D., CHOI, E. S. H., ROUSELLE, P., WAYNER, E. A. & CLEGG, D. O. (2009). Human SY5Y neuroblastoma cell interactions with laminin isoforms: neurite outgrowth on laminin-5 is mediated by integrin α3β1. Cell Communication & Adhesion 3, 451–462.
- SMITH, P. D., SUN, F., PARK, K. K., CAI, B., WANG, C., KUWAKO, K., MARTINEZ-CARRASCO, I., CONNOLLY, L. & HE, Z. (2009). SOCS3 deletion promotes optic nerve regeneration in vivo. Neuron 64, 617–623.
- So, K. F. & AGUAYO, A. J. (1985). Lengthy regrowth of cut axons from ganglion-cells after peripheral-nerve transplantation into the retina of adult-rats. *Brain Research* 328, 349–354.
- Song, A.-H., Wang, D., Chen, G., Li, Y., Luo, J., Duan, S. & Poo, M.-M. (2009).
 A selective filter for cytoplasmic transport at the axon initial segment. *Cell* 136, 1148–1160.
- SRIRAMARAO, P., MENDLER, M. & BOURDON, M. A. (1993). Endothelial-cell attachment and spreading on human tenascin is mediated by alpha-2-beta-1 and alpha-v-beta-3 integrins. *Journal of Cell Science* 105, 1001–1012.
- STEINMETZ, M. P., HORN, K. P., TOM, V. J., MILLER, J. H., BUSCH, S. A., NAIR, D., SILVER, D. J. & SILVER, J. (2005). Chronic enhancement of the intrinsic growth capacity of sensory neurons combined with the degradation of inhibitory proteoglycans allows functional regeneration of sensory axons through the dorsal root entry zone in the mammalian spinal cord. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 25, 8066–8076.
- STILES, T. L., DICKENDESHER, T. L., GAULTIER, A., FERNANDEZ-CASTANEDA, A., MANTUANO, E., GIGER, R. J. & GONIAS, S. L. (2013). LDL receptor-related protein-1 is a sialic-acid-independent receptor for myelin-associated glycoprotein

- that functions in neurite outgrowth inhibition by MAG and CNS myelin. Journal of Cell Science 126, 209-220.
- SULLIVAN, K. A., KIM, B. & FELDMAN, E. L. (2008). Insulin-like growth factors in the peripheral nervous system. *Endocrinology* 149, 5963–5971.
- SUZUKI, A., ARIKAWA, C., KUWAHARA, Y., ITOH, K., WATANABE, M., WATANABE, H., SUZUKI, T., FUNAKOSHI, Y., HASEGAWA, H. & KANAHO, Y. (2010). The scaffold protein JIP3 functions as a downstream effector of the small GTPase ARF6 to regulate neurite morphogenesis of cortical neurons. FEBS Letters 584, 2801–2806.
- TADOKORO, S., SHATTIL, S. J., ETO, K., TAI, V., LIDDINGTON, R. C., DE PEREDA, J. M., GINSBERG, M. H. & CALDERWOOD, D. A. (2003). Talin binding to integrin beta tails: a final common step in integrin activation. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 302, 103–106.
- TAKADA, Y. & PUZON, W. (1993). Identification of a regulatory region of integrin beta 1 subunit using activating and inhibiting antibodies. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **268**, 17597–17601.
- TAKADA, Y., YE, X. & SIMON, S. (2007). The integrins. Genome Biology 8, 215.
- Takagi, J., Isobe, T., Takada, Y. & Saito, Y. (1997). Structural interlock between ligand-binding site and stalk-like region of betal integrin revealed by a monoclonal antibody recognizing conformation-dependent epitope. *Journal of Biochemistry* 121, 914–921.
- TAN, C. L., ANDREWS, M. R., KWOK, J. C. F., HEINTZ, T. G. P., GUMY, L. F., FÄSSLER, R. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2012). Kindlin-1 enhances axon growth on inhibitory chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans and promotes sensory axon regeneration. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 32, 7325–7335.
- TAN, C. L., KWOK, J. C. F., HELLER, J. P. D., ZHAO, R., EVA, R. & FAWGETT, J. W. (2015). Full length talin stimulates integrin activation and axon regeneration. Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences 68, 1–8.
- TAN, C. L., KWOK, J. C. F., PATANI, R., FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C., CHANDRAN, S. & FAWCETT, J. W. (2011). Integrin activation promotes axon growth on inhibitory chondroitin sulfate proteoglycans by enhancing integrin signaling. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 31, 6289–6295.
- TANG, X., DAVIES, J. E. & DAVIES, S. J. A. (2003). Changes in distribution, cell associations, and protein expression levels of NG2, neurocan, phosphacan, brevican, versican V2, and tenascin-C during acute to chronic maturation of spinal cord scar tissue. Journal of Neuroscience Research 71, 427–444.
- Tannemaat, M. R., Korecka, J., Ehlert, E. M. E., Mason, M. R. J., van Duinen, S. G., Boer, G. J., Malessy, M. J. A. & Verhaagen, J. (2007). Human neuroma contains increased levels of semaphorin 3A, which surrounds nerve fibers and reduces neurite extension in vitro. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 27, 14260–14264.
- TATE, M. C., GARCÍA, A. J., KESELOWSKY, B. G., SCHUMM, M. A., ARCHER, D. R. & LAPLACA, M. C. (2004). Specific beta1 integrins mediate adhesion, migration, and differentiation of neural progenitors derived from the embryonic striatum. *Molecular and Cellular Neurosciences* 27, 22–31.
- TIMPL, R. & Brown, J. C. (1994). The laminins. Matrix Biology 14, 275-281.
- TOM, V. J., DOLLER, C. M., MALOUF, A. T. & SILVER, J. (2004). Astrocyte-associated fibronectin is critical for axonal regeneration in adult white matter. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 24, 9282–9290.
- Tom, V. J., Steinmetz, M. P., Miller, J. H., Doller, C. M. & Silver, J. (2004). Studies on the development and behavior of the dystrophic growth cone, the hallmark of regeneration failure, in an in vitro model of the glial scar and after spinal cord injury. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 24, 6531–6539.
- TOMASELLI, K. J., DAMSKY, C. H. & REICHARDT, L. F. (1987). Interactions of a neuronal cell line (PC12) with laminin, collagen IV, and fibronectin: identification of integrin-related glycoproteins involved in attachment and process outgrowth. *Journal of Cell Biology* 105, 2347–2358.
- Tomaselli, K. J., Doherty, P., Emmett, C. J., Damsky, C. H., Walsh, F. S. & Reichardt, L. F. (1993). Expression of beta 1 integrins in sensory neurons of the dorsal root ganglion and their functions in neurite outgrowth on two laminin isoforms. *Journal of Neuroscience* 13, 4880–4888.
- TSUCHIDA, J., UEKI, S., SAITO, Y. & TAKAGI, J. (1997). Classification of 'activation' antibodies against integrin beta1 chain. FEBS Letters 416, 212–216.
- Tucker, R. P. & Chiquet-Ehrismann, R. (2015). Tenascin-C: its functions as an integrin ligand. International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology $\mathbf{65}$, 165-168.
- USSAR, S., MOSER, M., WIDMAIER, M., ROGNONI, E., HARRER, C., GENZEL-BOROVICZENY, O. & FÄSSLER, R. (2008). Loss of Kindlin-1 causes skin atrophy and lethal neonatal intestinal epithelial dysfunction. *PLoS Genetics* 4, c1000289.
- USSAR, S., WANG, H.-V., LINDER, S., FÄSSLER, R. & MOSER, M. (2006). The Kindlins: subcellular localization and expression during murine development. *Experimental Cell Research* 312, 3142–3151.
- VALDEMBRI, D., CASWELL, P. T., ANDERSON, K. I., SCHWARZ, J. P., KÖNIG, I., ASTANINA, E., CACCAVARI, F., NORMAN, J. C., HUMPHRIES, M. J., BUSSOLINO, F. & SERINI, G. (2009). Neuropilin-1/GIPC1 signaling regulates alpha5beta1 integrin traffic and function in endothelial cells. *PLoS Biology* 7, e25.
- VARNUM-FINNEY, B., VENSTROM, K., MÜLLER, U., KYPTA, R., BACKUS, C., CHIQUET, M. & REICHARDT, L. F. (1995). The integrin receptor alpha 8 beta 1 mediates interactions of embryonic chick motor and sensory neurons with tenascin-C. Neuron 14, 1213–1222.

- VECINO, E., HELLER, J. P., VEIGA-CRESPO, P., MARTIN, K. R. & FAWGETT, J. W. (2015). Influence of extracellular matrix components on the expression of integrins and regeneration of adult retinal ganglion cells. *PLoS One* 10, e0125250.
- Venkatesh, K., Chivatakarn, O., Lee, H., Joshi, P. S., Kantor, D. B., Newman, B. A., Mage, R., Rader, C. & Giger, R. J. (2005). The Nogo-66 receptor homolog NgR2 is a sialic acid-dependent receptor selective for myelin-associated glycoprotein. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 25, 808–822.
- VENSTROM, K. & REICHARDT, L. (1995). Beta 8 integrins mediate interactions of chick sensory neurons with laminin-1, collagen IV, and fibronectin. *Molecular Biology of the* Cell 6, 419–431.
- Vogelezang, M., Forster, U. B., Han, J., Ginsberg, M. H. & ffrench-Constant, C. (2007). Neurite outgrowth on a fibronectin isoform expressed during peripheral nerve regeneration is mediated by the interaction of paxillin with α4β1 integrins. *BMC Neuroscience* 8(1), 44.
- VOGELEZANG, M. G., LIU, Z., RELVAS, J. B., RAIVICH, G., SCHERER, S. S. & FFRENCH-CONSTANT, C. (2001). Alpha4 integrin is expressed during peripheral nerve regeneration and enhances neurite outgrowth. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 21, 6732–6744.
- Wallquist, W., Patarroyo, M., Thams, S., Carlstedt, T., Stark, B., Cullheim, S. & Hammarberg, H. (2002). Laminin chains in rat and human peripheral nerve: distribution and regulation during development and after axonal injury. *Journal of Comparative Neurology* **454**, 284–293.
- WALLQUIST, W., ZELANO, J., PLANTMAN, S., KAUFMAN, S. J., CULLHEIM, S. & HAMMARBERG, H. (2004). Dorsal root ganglion neurons up-regulate the expression of laminin-associated integrins after peripheral but not central axotomy. *The Journal* of Comparative Neurology 480, 162–169.
- WANG, B., ZOU, J. X., EK-RYLANDER, B. & RUOSLAHTI, E. (2000). R-Ras contains a proline-rich site that binds to SH3 domains and is required for integrin activation by R-Ras. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 275, 5222-5227.
- WANG, J.-W., YANG, J.-F., MA, Y., HUA, Z., GUO, Y., GU, X.-L. & ZHANG, Y.-F. (2015). Nogo-A expression dynamically varies after spinal cord injury. Neural Regeneration Research 10, 225–229.
- WANG, K. C., KIM, J. A., SIVASANKARAN, R., SEGAL, R. & HE, Z. G. (2002). p75 interacts with the Nogo receptor as a co-receptor for Nogo, MAG and OMgp. *Nature* 420, 74–78.
- Wegener, K. L., Partridge, A. W., Han, J., Pickford, A. R., Liddington, R. C., Ginsberg, M. H. & Campbell, I. D. (2007). Structural basis of integrin activation by talin. *Cell* 128, 171–182.
- WERNER, A., WILLEM, M., JONES, L. L., KREUTZBERG, G. W., MAYER, U. & RAIVICH, G. (2000). Impaired axonal regeneration in alpha7 integrin-deficient mice. The Journal of Neuroscience 20, 1822–1830.
- WIESE, S., KARUS, M. & FAISSNER, A. (2012). Astrocytes as a source for extracellular matrix molecules and cytokines. Frontiers in Pharmacology 3, 120.
- WINOGRAD-KATZ, S. E., FÄSSLER, R., GEIGER, B. & LEGATE, K. R. (2014). The integrin adhesome: from genes and proteins to human disease. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology* 15, 273–288.
- DE WINTER, F., OUDEGA, M., LANKHORST, A. J., HAMERS, F. P., BLITS, B., RUITENBERG, M. J., PASTERKAMP, R. J., GISPEN, W. H. & VERHAAGEN, J. (2002). Injury-induced class 3 semaphorin expression in the rat spinal cord. *Experimental Neurology* 175, 61–75.
- DE WIT, J. & VERHAAGEN, J. (2003). Role of semaphorins in the adult nervous system. *Progress in Neurobiology* 71, 249–267.
- WONG, S. T., HENLEY, J. R., KANNING, K. C., HUANG, K.-H., BOTHWELL, M. & POO, M.-M. (2002). A p75NTR and Nogo receptor complex mediates repulsive signaling by myelin-associated glycoprotein. *Nature Neuroscience* 5, 1302–1308.
- XU, B., PARK, D., OHTAKE, Y., LI, H., HAYAT, U., LIU, J., SELZER, M. E., LONGO, F. M. & LI, S. (2015). Role of CSPG receptor LAR phosphatase in restricting axon regeneration after CNS injury. *Neurobiology of Disease* 73, 36–48.
- XU, Q. G., LI, X. Q., KOTECHA, S. A., CHENG, C., SUN, H. S. & ZOCHODNE, D. W. (2004). Insulin as an in vivo growth factor. Experimental Neurology 188, 43-51.
- YAN, Q., RADEKE, M. J., MATHESON, C. R., TALVENHEIMO, J., WELCHER, A. A. & FEINSTEIN, S. C. (1997). Immunocytochemical localization of TrkB in the central nervous system of the adult rat. The Journal of Comparative Neurology 378, 135–157.
- Yanagida, H., Tanaka, J. & Maruo, S. (1999). Immunocytochemical localization of a cell adhesion molecule, integrin $\alpha 5\beta 1$, in nerve growth cones. *Journal of Orthopaedic Science: Official Journal of the Japanese Orthopaedic Association* **4**, 353–360.
- YANG, J. T., RAYBURN, H. & HYNES, R. O. (1993). Embryonic mesodermal defects in alpha 5 integrin-deficient mice. Development 119, 1093–1105.
- YANG, J. T., RAYBURN, H. & HYNES, R. O. (1995). Cell adhesion events mediated by alpha 4 integrins are essential in placental and cardiac development. *Development* 121, 549–560.
- YE, F., LAGARRIGUE, F. & GINSBERG, M. H. (2014). SnapShot: talin and the modular nature of the integrin adhesome. Cell 156, 1340-1340.e1341.

- YIN, Y., CUI, Q., LI, Y., IRWIN, N., FISCHER, D., HARVEY, A. R. & BENOWITZ, L. I. (2003). Macrophage-derived factors stimulate optic nerve regeneration. *The Journal of Neuroscience* 23, 2284–2293.
- Yokosaki, Y., Matsuura, N., Higashiyama, S., Murakami, I., Obara, M., Yamakido, M., Shigeto, N., Chen, J. & Sheppard, D. (1998). Identification of the ligand binding site for the integrin alpha9 beta1 in the third fibronectin type III repeat of tenascin-C. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 273, 11423–11428.
- YOKOSAKI, Y., PALMER, E. L., PRIETO, A. L., CROSSIN, K. L., BOURDON, M. A., PYTELA, R. & SHEPPARD, D. (1994). The integrin alpha 9 beta 1 mediates cell attachment to a non-RGD site in the third fibronectin type III repeat of tenascin. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* **269**, 26691–26696.
- YU, Y. M., CRISTOFANILLI, M., VALIVETI, A., MA, L., YOO, M., MORELLINI, F. & SCHACHNER, M. (2011). The extracellular matrix glycoprotein tenascin-C promotes locomotor recovery after spinal cord injury in adult zebrafish. *Neuroscience* 183, 238–250.
- Zaidel-Bar, R., Itzkovitz, S., Ma'ayan, A., Iyengar, R. & Geiger, B. (2007). Functional atlas of the integrin adhesome. *Nature Cell Biology* **9**, 858–867.
- ZHANG, Y., ANDERSON, P. N., CAMPBELL, G., MOHAJERI, H., SCHACHNER, M. & LIEBERMAN, A. R. (1995). Tenascin-C expression by neurons and glial cells in the

- rat spinal cord: changes during postnatal development and after dorsal root or sciatic nerve injury. *Journal of Neurocytology* **24**, 585–601.
- ZHANG, Y., WINTERBOTTOM, J. K., SCHACHNER, M., LIEBERMAN, A. R. & ANDERSON, P. N. (1997). Tenascin-C expression and axonal sprouting following injury to the spinal dorsal columns in the adult rat. *Journal of Neuroscience Research* 49, 433–450.
- ZHANG, Z., VUORI, K., WANG, H.-G., REED, J. C. & RUOSLAHTI, E. (1996). Integrin activation by R-ras. *Cell* 85, 61–69.
- ZHANG, Z., YU, B., GU, Y., ZHOU, S., QIAN, T., WANG, Y., DING, G., DING, F. & GU, X. (2015). Fibroblast-derived tenascin-C promotes Schwann cell migration through β1-integrin dependent pathway during peripheral nerve regeneration. Glia 64, 374—385.
- ZHENG, B., HO, C., LI, S., KEIRSTEAD, H., STEWARD, O. & TESSIER-LAVIGNE, M. (2003). Lack of enhanced spinal regeneration in Nogo-deficient mice. Neuron 38, 213–224.
- ZHU, Y., SODERBLOM, C., TROJANOWSKY, M., LEE, D.-H. & LEE, J. K. (2015). Fibronectin matrix assembly after spinal cord injury. *Journal of Neurotrauma* 32, 1158–1167.

(Received 7 July 2017; revised 23 December 2017; accepted 11 January 2018; published online 15 February 2018)