Original Article

Diagnostic Immunology



Ann Lab Med 2016;36:28-35 http://dx.doi.org/10.3343/alm.2016.36.1.28 ISSN 2234-3806 elSSN 2234-3814

ANNALS OF LABORATORY MEDICINE

Basophil Markers for Identification and Activation in the Indirect Basophil Activation Test by Flow Cytometry for Diagnosis of Autoimmune Urticaria

Zehwan Kim, M.D.¹, Bong Seok Choi, M.D.², Jong Kun Kim, M.D.³, and Dong II Won, M.D.¹ Departments of Clinical Pathology¹, Pediatrics², and Emergency Medicine³, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, Daegu, Korea

Background: The indirect basophil activation test using flow cytometry is a promising tool for autoimmune urticaria diagnosis. We aimed to identify better donor basophils (from atopic vs. non-atopic donors and interleukin-3 primed vs. unprimed basophils) and improve basophil identification and activation markers (eotaxin CC chemokine receptor-3 [CCR3] vs. CD123 and CD63 vs. CD203c).

Methods: Donor basophils were obtained from non-atopic and atopic group O donors. Positive control sera were artificially prepared to simulate autoimmune urticaria patients' sera. Patient sera were obtained from nine children with chronic urticaria. Assay sensitivity was compared among each variation by using positive control sera (n=21), applying cut-off values defined from negative control sera (n=20).

Results: For basophil identification, a combination of CCR3 and CD123 markers revealed a higher correlation with automated complete blood count (r=0.530) compared with that observed using CD123 (r=0.498) or CCR3 alone (r=0.195). Three activation markers on the atopic donor basophils attained 100% assay sensitivity: CD203c on unprimed basophils, CD63+CD203+ or CD63 alone on primed basophils; however, these markers on the non-atopic donor basophils attained lower assay sensitivity.

Conclusions: For basophil identification markers, a combination of CD123 and CCR3 is recommended, while CD123 alone may be used as an alternative. Donor basophils should be obtained from an atopic donor. For basophil activation markers, either CD203c alone on unprimed basophils or CD203c and CD63 on primed basophils are recommended, while CD63 alone on primed basophils may be used as an alternative.

Key Words: Chronic urticaria, Autoimmune urticaria, Basophil, Markers, Donor, Flow cy-tometry

Received: February 16, 2015 Revision received: July 2, 2015 Accepted: August 27, 2015

Corresponding author: Dong II Won Department of Clinical Pathology, Kyungpook National University School of Medicine, 130 Dongdeok-ro, Jung-gu, Daegu 41944, Korea Tel: +82-53-200-5291 Fax: +82-53-426-3367 E-mail: wondi@knu.ac.kr

© The Korean Society for Laboratory Medicine

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Urticaria has been traditionally classified into two subtypes, acute and chronic, on the basis of a symptom duration cutoff of six weeks [1]. The etiology of chronic urticaria is heterogeneous; physical urticaria (PU) accounts for an estimated 35% of the cases, while autoimmune urticaria (AIU) accounts for approxi-

mately 25% or more. The remaining cases (~35%), which have no known etiological factors despite extensive investigations, are diagnosed as chronic idiopathic urticaria (CIU). In patients with the AIU subtype, autoantibodies react with the α -chain of IgE receptor (FccRI α) or with IgE itself, thereby directly cross-linking the IgE receptor and inducing histamine release [2].

The AIU subtype represents the most severe form of chronic

urticaria. In addition, the effect of antihistamine therapy on this subtype is lower than that on the PU or CIU subtypes [3]. These clinical manifestations emphasize the necessity of differentiating AIU from other subtypes. Identification of the disease etiology is also beneficial to AIU patients, as it would help avoid extensive allergy testing and aggressive elimination of potentially allergenic food.

Conventionally, the basophil activation test (BAT) is performed to identify allergens that stimulate a patient's basophils *in vitro* (direct BAT). In the basophil histamine release assay (BHRA), supernatant histamine released from activated basophils is measured by immunoassay (e.g., enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay). The discovery of CD63 enabled BAT via flow cytometry (flow BAT) on a single cell level [4]. Flow BAT determines whether various allergens activate a patient's basophils *in vitro* (direct flow BAT) and can be used to diagnose atopic allergy or anaphylaxis.

Direct BAT cannot be used for AIU diagnosis, as the circulating basophils in these patients display reduced histamine releasability owing to their in vivo desensitization or downregulation [5, 6]. In such cases, the activation of donor basophils in patient serum is tested *in vitro* (indirect BAT) [4]. Indirect BHRA is currently regarded as the gold standard for AIU diagnosis [7]. The autologous serum skin test (ASST) is a relatively simple in vivo screening test, in which the patient's serum is injected into his/her own skin intradermally to observe a wheal and flare reaction caused by activated mast cells or basophils in the skin. Unfortunately, this technique has both low assay sensitivity (70%) and specificity (80%) when compared with those of BHRA as a reference [8].

The basophils from highly sensitized atopic donors suffering from extrinsic atopic dermatitis or allergic rhinitis are good targets for demonstrating functional autoantibodies to $Fc\epsilon RI\alpha$ [4, 9]. Such atopic donors have a higher number of circulating basophils in an already primed state that express a larger number of surface $Fc\epsilon RI\alpha$ and increased levels of serum IgE [10]. Furthermore, if the assay protocol uses whole blood as the basophil source and a red cell lysis step instead of a basophil enrichment step, the atopic donor should be blood group O to avoid agglutination of donor red cells by ABO antibodies in test serum. Therefore, if indirect flow BAT is performed as a routine test in the clinical laboratory, a suitable voluntary atopic donor with blood group O will be required, which may not always be possible.

In CD63-based direct flow BAT, a patient's basophils should be primed with interleukin (IL)-3 to increase assay sensitivity [11, 12], except in the case of potent proteinaceous allergens [13]. When indirect flow BAT is used to diagnose AIU, Gyimesi *et al.* [10] have proposed that priming of donor basophils might not be crucial, even when the assay is based on CD63.

Indirect flow BAT is a promising tool for AIU diagnosis [4]; however, its diagnostic performance is highly dependent on the method used to prepare donor basophils and on the selection of basophil markers. Therefore, in this study, we searched for better donor basophils (from atopic vs. non-atopic donors and primed vs. unprimed basophils) and better basophil markers (eotaxin CC chemokine receptor-3 [CCR3] vs. CD123 as an identification marker and CD63 vs. CD203c as an activation marker).

METHODS

1. Donor basophils

Whole blood anticoagulated with EDTA was obtained daily from non-atopic and atopic blood group O donors. Non-atopic donors (D_{NA}) were healthy individuals, without atopy history, that visited the Health Promotion Center at Kyungpook National University Hospital (Daegu, Korea) for routine health checkups (n=23). A male patient with blood group O that displayed a higher level of atopy compared with that of the other patients was selected as an atopic donor (D_A). His total serum IgE level was 156 IU/mL. Allergen-specific IgE was identified for yeast (0.35 IU/mL), *Alternaria* (0.49 IU/mL), and *Candida* (0.88 IU/mL). Blood was obtained from this atopic donor repeatedly throughout the study. Informed consent was obtained from all patients involved in the study. Indirect flow BAT was performed within four hrs of donor blood sampling. The study protocol was approved by the Kyungpook National University Hospital Institutional Review Board.

2. Negative and positive control sera

1) Negative control sera

These samples were obtained from healthy male individuals (n=20) visiting the Health Promotion Center. These individuals were selected to avoid the presence of preformed HLA alloantibodies.

2) Positive control sera

These samples (n=21) were artificially prepared to simulate the serum of AIU patient: 100 μ L of negative control serum was spiked with various volumes of stimulation control reagent (3.0 μ L [n=1], 6.0 μ L [n=13], 8.0 μ L [n=1], 10.0 μ L [n=1], 13.0 μ L [n=3], 25.0 μ L [n=1], and 50.0 μ L [n=1]).



3) Stimulation control reagent

This reagent was prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions by reconstituting lyophilized monoclonal anti-Fc ϵ RI α antibody with stimulation buffer, both of which were obtained from the Flow2 CAST kit (Bühlmann Laboratories AG, Schönenbuch, Switzerland). The stimulation buffer contained calcium, heparin, and IL-3.

4) Patient sera

These samples were obtained from nine children with chronic urticaria of unknown etiology who consecutively visited the outpatient pediatric clinic of Kyungpook National University Hospital and displayed negative ASST.

3. Indirect flow BAT

1) Basophil activation and fluorescent staining

Based on a four-color flow cytometry strategy, CCR3 phycoerythrin (PE) (Bühlmann Laboratories AG) and CD123 PE-cyanine 5 (PECy5) (BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA, USA) were used as basophil identification markers. CD63 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (Bühlmann Laboratories AG) and CD203c allophycocyanine (APC) (BD Biosciences) were used as basophil activation markers [4].

When primed basophils were required, donor whole blood (100 μ L) was incubated with an equal volume of stimulation buffer (Bühlmann Laboratories AG) for 10 min at 37°C [14]. Test serum was incubated with primed or unprimed whole blood for 30 min at 37°C. The volume of the test serum added

was equal to that of the primed (200 μ L) or unprimed (100 μ L) whole blood. Negative control serum for each variation was run simultaneously. The mixture was then washed once with 3 mL EDTA-PBS (2mM EDTA in phosphate-buffered saline) at 4°C. After washing, the pellet was resuspended and stained with 20 μ L of CD123 PECy5, 5 μ L of CD203c APC, and 20 μ L of a mixture of CD63 FITC and CCR3 PE for 30 min at 4°C. Following red blood cell lysis with 3 mL lysing solution (Bühlmann Laboratories AG) and a washing step, the remaining pellet was resuspended in PBS for data acquisition using flow cytometry.

2) Flow cytometry

A FACSCalibur flow cytometer with CellQuest Pro software was calibrated daily by using CaliBRITE beads and FACSComp software (all from BD Biosciences). All the available cellular events were acquired with an unlimited target event count (Fig. 1). For the data analysis, leukocyte and potential basophil events were defined by using "Leukocyte" and "BasoScatter" gates, respectively, on the forward/side scatter (FSC/SSC) plot (Fig. 1, left plot). All potential basophil events defined by the BasoScatter gate were purified further by three variations of basophil gates set on the CCR3/CD123 plot (Fig. 1, middle plot). Finally, the double-gated basophils were analyzed on the CD63/CD203c plot. The expression percentage of each activation marker (CD203c+, double positive DP [CD203c+CD63+], or CD63+) was determined by setting previously defined quadrants (Fig. 1, right plot) such that CD63 or CD203c positive events would be less than 1.0% in the negative control tube. To measure



Fig. 1. Data acquisition and analysis of indirect flow BAT for autoimmune urticaria diagnosis. On the FSC/SSC plot (left), the basophil scatter gate (BasoScatter) and leukocyte gate are defined to calculate the basophil percentage among total leukocytes. On the CCR3/CD123 plot (middle), three basophil gates, gates 1, 2, and 3, are defined. The upper left subset, indicated by a curved red arrow within gate 1 and just outside gate 3, appears to be monocyte doublets, an assumption based on their back-gated location on the FSC/SSC plot. On the CD63/CD203c plot (right), a quadrant is set to obtain the expression percentage of CD203c (two upper quadrants), DP (red-shaded quadrant, CD203c+CD63+), or CD63 (two right quadrants).

Abbreviations: FITC, fluorescein isothiocyanate; PE, phycoerythrin; PECy5, PE-cyanine 5; APC, allophycocyanine; FSC, forward scatter; SSC, side scatter; DP, double positivity; CCR3, eotaxin CC chemokine receptor-3.



CD203c expression (an ectoenzyme expressed constitutively even at a resting state), the stimulation index was calculated as the ratio of mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of total gated basophils to MFI of sample/MFI of negative control (cutoff=1.38) [11]. To compare diagnostic performance among basophil markers, the signal to noise ratio (SNR) was calculated as the ratio of its expression percentage to the average of expression percentages/corresponding cutoff.

The complete blood count (CBC) was performed using Advia 2120i (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany), and the ASST was performed as described previously [8].

The basophil preparation method and the measured activation marker are described throughout this report as basophil donor "D"+(atopic "_A" or non-atopic "_{NA}")+pretreatment (primed "^P" or unprimed "^{UP}")+activation marker ("CD63" or "CD203c"). For example, "D_A^P CD63" denotes atopic donor primed basophil CD63.

4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed by using Excel 2007 (Microsoft Corporation, Redmond, WA, USA) and SPSS v. 11.5 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA). Comparisons between the two groups were performed by using a correlation coefficient, t-test, Z-test, McNemar's test, χ^2 test, or Fisher's exact test. *P* value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. Results are expressed as mean±SD with range.

RESULTS

1. Test sample volume

We investigated whether the whole blood aliquot volume (100 μ L) was adequate for indirect flow BAT. For samples obtained from non-atopic donors, the total acquired basophil event count per tube was 2,949±1,376 (231-7,328, n=87) with 95.4%

(83/87) of the counts \geq 1,000. For samples obtained from the atopic donor, the total acquired basophil event count was 1,905±522 (378-3,125, n=82) with 96.3% (79/82) of the counts \geq 1,000. The total acquired basophil event count per tube was \geq 1,000 in over 95% of the cases in which a 100 µL aliquot volume was used.

2. Definition of the cutoff value in negative control sera

We set cutoff values for the expression percentage of each activation marker as the average+(3×SD) in negative control sera (n=20, Table 1). The cutoff values for primed basophils were higher than those for unprimed basophils. The cutoff value for primed basophil CD203c was always over 50%. D_A^P CD203c (50.0% ± 13.7%) tended to display a higher expression percentage than that of D_{NA}^P CD203c (43.7% ± 22.3%). This result was consistent with that of another report on atopic allergy [15], although the difference was not significant in our study (*P*= 0.287, t-test, Table 1).

3. Correlation of basophil percentage between flow cytometry and CBC

Using flow cytometry, we identified basophils on the basis of three basophil gating strategies (gate 1, 2, or 3; Fig. 1, middle plot). The basophil percentage of total leukocytes was calculated by using the gate event counts (basophil/leukocyte, %). The leukocyte gate was set on the FSC/SSC plot. The correlation coefficients between flow cytometry and CBC were 0.498, 0.195, and 0.530 for gate 1, 2, and 3, respectively (n=142) (Fig. 2). This indicated that gate 3 is the optimal gate because of the benefit of combining CCR3 and CD123. The difference between basophil gate 2 and 3 was significant (P=0.001), whereas that between basophil gate 1 and 3 was not (P=0.717, Z-test, Fig. 1, 2).

Back-gating of CCR3+CD123+ events on the FSC/SSC plot

 Table 1. Definition of the cutoff values* for basophil activation markers according to four basophil preparation methods and three basophil gating strategies

	D_{NA}^{UP} basophils			D_{NA}^{P} basophils			D_A^{up} basophils			D_{A}^{P} basophils		
	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63
Gate 1	1.8	0.6	5.6	76.4	3.8	8.8	5.1	0.3	4.5	70.0	6.2	10.9
Gate 2	5.2	3.5	10.4	70.8	4.9	13.9	3.7	0.8	10.0	56.6	6.1	14.8
Gate 3	2.0	0.6	2.9	110.5	4.6	8.4	1.7	0.3	4.3	91.3	7.8	10.9

Data are presented as percentages.

*The cutoff value for an activation marker was defined as the average of its expression percentages+($3 \times SD$) in negative control sera (n=20), which were measured on the CD63/CD203c plot of gated basophils (Fig. 1, right plot).

Abbreviations: D_{NA}^{UP} , non-atopic donor unprimed; D_{NA}^{P} , non-atopic donor primed; D_{A}^{UP} , atopic donor unprimed; D_{A}^{P} , atopic donor primed basophils; DP, double positive (CD63+CD203c+).

demonstrated that activated basophils had much higher FSC values than did resting basophils (data not shown). Therefore, we drew the basophil scatter gate (BasoScatter) as a polygon, the upper border of which extended to the mid-line of the elliptical monocyte gate, while the right border extended maximally (Fig. 1, left plot), unlike that used in a previous report [15].

ANNALS OF

MEDICINE

LABORATORY

4. Assay sensitivity for each variation in positive control sera As the cutoff value for activation marker expression percentages was defined as the average of the negative control sera+($3 \times$ SD), the assay specificity using this cutoff value might be assumed to be 99%. When this cutoff value was applied to positive control sera measurements (n=21), the markers that attained 100% assay sensitivity were all found to be associated with basophil gate 3 or the atopic donor primed basophils (Table 2). The primed basophil CD203c, which had a high cutoff value



Fig. 2. Correlation of the basophil percentage between flow cytometry using basophil gate 3 and automated CBC using Advia 2120i. CBC was more highly correlated with basophil counts using gate 3 (r=0.530) than with gates 1 (r=0.498) or 2 (r=0.195). Abbreviation: CBC, complete blood count.

irrespective of donor type, did not appear to be a valuable marker to discriminate between AIU and non-AIU owing to its low assay sensitivity (Table 2).

1) Comparison of basophil gating strategies

The assay sensitivity of the three gating strategies was compared (Table 2). No single activation marker displayed a significant difference between basophil gate 1 and 3 or between basophil gate 2 and 3. However, when the determinations of all four markers (D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c, D_{NA}^{P} CD63, D_{A}^{UP} CD203c, and D_{A}^{UP} DP, which showed relatively large differences among the three gating strategies) were summated, the sensitivity of gate 3 (79.8%) was significantly higher than that of gate 2 (66.3%; P=0.043; n=178). Therefore, we used gate 3 for all further investigations.

2) Comparison of basophil activation markers according to the basophil preparation method

The three activation markers, all originating from the atopic donor, that attained 100% assay sensitivity were D_A^{UP} CD203c, D_A^P DP, and D_A^P CD63 (Table 2, Fig. 3). In the unprimed basophil CD203c, D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c (95.2%, 20/21) was not different from D_A^{UP} CD203c (100%, 21/21; P=1.000). A single false-negative D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c case displayed an expression percentage of 0.74%, and a stimulation index of 1.05. D_{NA}^P CD63 (76.2%, 16/21) was significantly higher than D_A^{UP} CD63 (14.3%, 3/21; P=0.001), while D_A^P CD63 (100%, 21/21) was significantly higher than D_{NA}^P CD63 (75%, 18/24; P=0.023).

The basophil activation markers in order of SNR (>7.0) were D_A^{UP} CD203c (18.1), D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c (11.0), D_A^P DP (9.1), D_{NA}^P DP (8.0), and D_A^P CD63 (7.3) (Fig. 3). The two markers displaying the highest SNR originated from unprimed basophil CD203c, while the others originated from primed basophil CD63.

Table 2. Comparison of assay sensitivity (%) among basophil activation markers according to four basophil preparation methods and three basophil gating strategies in positive control sera (n=21-24)*

	D_{NA}^{UP} basophils			D_{NA}^{P} basophils			D_A^{UP} basophils			D_A^P basophils		
	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63	CD203c	DP	CD63
Gate 1	95.2	9.5	0.0	8.3	79.2	79.2	95.5	45.5	13.6	57.1	100	100
Gate 2	76.2	9.5	4.8	0.0	75.0	66.7	95.5	27.3	13.6	52.4	100	100
Gate 3	95.2	4.8	4.8	0.0	75.0	75.0	100	50.0	13.6	9.5	100	100

Data are presented as percentages.

*See Table 1 for the cutoff values of each marker, which were used to discriminate the expression percentages as positive or negative. The assay specificity using such a cutoff value can be regarded as 99%.

Abbreviations: D_{NA}^{UP} , non-atopic donor unprimed; D_{NA}^{P} , non-atopic donor primed; D_{A}^{UP} , atopic donor unprimed; D_{A}^{P} , atopic donor primed; $D_{A}^{$





Fig. 3. Comparison of basophil activation markers according to basophil variables. Indirect flow BAT was performed in positive control sera. The expression percentage for each activation marker (CD203c, DP, and CD63) is plotted according to the four basophil preparation methods (D_{NA}^{UP} , D_{NA}^{P} , D_{A}^{UP} , and D_{A}^{P}). To prepare artificial positive control serum, negative control serum was spiked with reconstituted monoclonal anti-FccRIa antibody. The spiking volume (μ L) per 100 μ L of negative control serum is indicated by colored markings (see legend). All four types of basophils were tested in parallel by changing the volume of the monoclonal anti-FccRIa antibody in series of batches. D_{A}^{P} basophils, however, were tested only with the minimum volume of 6 μ L. Nevertheless, D_{A}^{P} basophils displayed the highest SNR and positivity rate (PR, assay sensitivity). Expression percentages of each activation marker were measured by using basophil gate 3.

Abbreviations: $FceRI\alpha$, α -chain of IgE receptor; DP, double positive (CD63+CD203c+); SNR, signal to noise ratio; PR, positivity rate, D_{NA}^{UP} , non-atopic donor unprimed; D_{A}^{P} , atopic donor primed; D_{A}^{P} , atopic donor primed; D_{A}^{P} , atopic donor primed.

5. Pilot study in nine children with chronic urticaria

We attempted to validate the adopted assay protocol for indirect flow BAT in nine consecutive children with chronic urticaria of unknown etiology (undetermined between autoimmune and idiopathic) and negative ASST. A positive indirect flow BAT result in any of these cases would be evidence in support of our *in vitro* findings. One child was indeed determined as positive. In this child, only two activation markers were positive (D_A^{UP} CD203c [2.3%; stimulation index of 1.56] and D_A^P DP [8.7%]), whereas all other activation markers were negative (D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c [0.3%; stimulation index of 0.64]; D_{NA}^P CD63 [2.0%]; D_{NA}^P DP [0.6%]; and D_A^P CD63 [10.4%]).

DISCUSSION

Our study revealed four findings. First, we determined that CD203c should be measured on unprimed basophils. Second, in cases where basophils were primed, CD63 must be included among the activation markers (i.e., CD63+ or CD203c+CD63+). Third, for the unprimed basophil CD203c assay, the difference between atopic and non-atopic donors was not statistically significant, suggesting that in the case of group O atopic donor unavailability, non-atopic donors may be used if potential false negativity can be accepted. Fourth, the assays based on CD63 alone (i.e., CD63+ irrespective of combining with CD203c) in

order of sensitivity were $D_A^P CD63 (100\%) > D_{NA}^P CD63 (75.0\%) > D_A^{UP} CD63 (13.6\%)$. Therefore, the basophils of non-atopic donors, even after being primed, are not comparable to the primed basophils of atopic donors. Furthermore, unprimed basophils of atopic donors are not comparable to primed basophils of non-atopic donors.

On the basis of these observations, we recommend the following activation markers from atopic donor basophils: D_A^{UP} CD203c, D_A^P DP, and D_A^P CD63. In the pilot study involving nine children with chronic urticaria and negative ASST, one patient displayed a positive result in indirect flow BAT. In this sample, only the atopic donor basophil markers (D_A^{UP} CD203c and D_A^P DP) scored positive expression percentages, confirming that basophil donors should be atopic irrespective of whether the basophils are primed or unprimed.

Some of our results contradict previous knowledge about basophil identification markers. We found that using a combination of CD123 and CCR3 to identify basophils obviated the need for CD14 and CD3 to facilitate exclusion of CD123+ monocytes and CCR3+ T lymphocytes, respectively. Furthermore, gate 3 displayed higher sensitivity than that of gate 2 and similar sensitivity to that of gate 1, suggesting that CD123 alone might be sufficient to identify basophils. However, in a comparison of basophil identification between CBC and flow cytometry, CBC displayed a higher correlation with gate 3 than with gate 1 or 2. Furthermore, CD203c expression percentages based on gates 1, 2, and 3 in the positive control sera were 23.7%, 16.7%, and 30.2%, and the SNRs were 10.5, 5.3, and 11.8, respectively. Taken together, these findings suggest that gate 3, combining CCR3 and CD123, might be superior to gate 1 or 2 for assay sensitivity.

Unfortunately, the artificially prepared positive control serum (n=21) contained traces of IL-3. This was because lyophilized anti-FccRIa antibody was reconstituted in a stimulating buffer containing IL-3, according to the manufacturer's instructions. IL-3 upregulates CD203c expression on basophils even in the absence of anti-Fc \in RI α antibody. Indeed, we found that sera spiked with 6 µL and 13 µL of pure stimulation buffer without anti-FccRIa antibody displayed DAUP CD203c expression percentages of 2.3% (SNR 1.4) and 3.9% (SNR 2.3), respectively. Therefore, the weak positive expression percentage of CD203c might be due to potential false positivity induced by IL-3 when the positive control sera were used. We investigated an incidence of weak positive CD203c expression with an SNR below 3.0 (1.7-5.0% for $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{A}}{}^{\mathsf{UP}}$ CD203c and 2.0-5.9% for $\mathsf{D}_{\mathsf{NA}}{}^{\mathsf{UP}}$ CD203c). Only one case fell within this range for D_A^{UP} CD203c. whereas three such cases fell within this range for D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c. In addition, the expression percentage SNR for D_A^{UP} CD203c (18.1 ± 12.4) was significantly higher than that for D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c (11.0±7.9; P=0.004), which suggests that D_{A}^{UP} CD203c should have lower susceptibility to false positivity than D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c. These findings indicate that the sensitivity of D_{NA}^{UP} CD203c might be overestimated owing to its higher susceptibility to false positive results under the influence of IL-3 compared with that observed with D_A^{UP} CD203c.

We tried to reconstitute the lyophilized anti-FccRI α antibody in distilled water instead of the stimulation buffer. However, we found that sera spiked with the reagent prepared by using distilled water failed to activate basophils (data not shown). The cytokines involved in basophil and mast cell activation are present in the sera of patients with chronic urticaria [22]. Indeed, the IL-3 level in patients with chronic urticaria was higher than that in healthy control subjects, although the difference did not reach statistical significance [14]. Therefore, we propose that the issue pertaining to the authenticity of IL-3-induced "false" positivity warrants further discussion.

The kinetics of CD203c upregulation are rapid and peak after 5-15 min, whereas those of CD63 are slow and peak after 20-40 min [23]. In our study, donor basophils in a test serum sample were incubated for 30 min at 37°C. The 30 min-incubation period was adopted in consideration of the time required for

CD63 upregulation. Fortunately, CD63 expression on basophils appeared simultaneously with CD203c in all but a few cases. When determining positive or negative CD203c expression, we found that the stimulation index did not enhance assay sensitivity above that of the expression percentage (data not shown). Our study revealed that CD203c alone (D_A^{UP} CD203c) or in combination with CD63 (D_A^P DP) is a necessary activation marker, a finding that is consistent with those of previous reports that favor CD203c over CD63 [11, 16, 24].

Finally, the establishment of a mast cell line, such as a stable humanized rat basophil leukemia cell line, is highly desirable as a basophil source for indirect flow BAT [14, 25, 26]. However, Gentinetta *et al.* [14] reported low assay sensitivity of a basophil cell line (RBL 7003/21), making it less ideal for usage. Nevertheless, establishing such a cell line would circumvent the need to procure a highly sensitized atopic donor with blood group O or to prime basophils with IL-3, making this test more robust and reliable.

In conclusion, the use of indirect flow BAT to diagnose autoimmune urticaria should be performed with basophils from atopic donors by using an optimized protocol. A combination of CD123 and CCR3 markers is recommended for basophil identification, while CD123 alone may also be used as an alternative. To detect basophil activation, either CD203c alone on unprimed basophils or CD203c and CD63 on primed basophils are recommended, while CD63 alone on primed basophils may also be used as an alternative.

Authors' Disclosures of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

REFERENCES

- 1. Marrouche N and Grattan C. Childhood urticaria. Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol 2012;12:485-90.
- Fiebiger E, Hammerschmid F, Stingl G, Maurer D. Anti-FcepsilonRlalpha autoantibodies in autoimmune-mediated disorders. Identification of a structure-function relationship. J Clin Invest 1998;101:243-51.
- Irinyi B, Széles G, Gyimesi E, Tumpek J, Herédi E, Dimitrios G, et al. Clinical and laboratory examinations in the subgroups of chronic urticaria. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2007;144:217-25.
- Ebo DG, Bridts CH, Hagendorens MM, Aerts NE, De Clerck LS, Stevens WJ. Basophil activation test by flow cytometry: present and future applications in allergology. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2008;74:201-10.
- 5. Marone G, Spadaro G, Patella V, Genovese A. The clinical relevance of basophil releasability. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1994;94:1293-303.



- Zuberbier T, Schwarz S, Hartmann K, Pfrommer C, Czarnetzki BM. Histamine releasability of basophils and skin mast cells in chronic urticaria. Allergy 1996;51:24-8.
- Szegedi A, Irinyi B, Gál M, Hunyadi J, Dankó K, Kiss E, et al. Significant correlation between the CD63 assay and the histamine release assay in chronic urticaria. Br J Dermatol 2006;155:67-75.
- Sabroe RA, Grattan CE, Francis DM, Barr RM, Kobza Black A, Greaves MW. The autologous serum skin test: a screening test for autoantibodies in chronic idiopathic urticaria. Br J Dermatol 1999;140:446-52.
- Frezzolini A, Provini A, Teofoli P, Pomponi D, De Pità O. Serum-induced basophil CD63 expression by means of a tricolour flow cytometric method for the in vitro diagnosis of chronic urticaria. Allergy 2006;61: 1071-7.
- Gyimesi E, Sipka S, Dankó K, Kiss E, Hidvégi B, Gál M, et al. Basophil CD63 expression assay on highly sensitized atopic donor leucocytes-a useful method in chronic autoimmune urticaria. Br J Dermatol 2004; 151:388-96.
- Ocmant A, Peignois Y, Mulier S, Hanssens L, Michils A, Schandené L. Flow cytometry for basophil activation markers: the measurement of CD203c up-regulation is as reliable as CD63 expression in the diagnosis of cat allergy. J Immunol Methods 2007;320:40-8.
- Cozon G, Ferrándiz J, Peyramond D, Brunet J. Detection of activated basophils using flow cytometry for diagnosis in atopic patients. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 1999;27:182-7.
- Ebo DG, Lechkar B, Schuerwegh AJ, Bridts CH, De Clerck LS, Stevens WJ. Comments regarding 'Marked improvement of the basophil activation test by detecting CD203c instead of CD63' by Boumiza et al. Clin Exp Allergy 2003;33:849; author reply 852-3.
- Gentinetta T, Pecaric-Petkovic T, Wan D, Falcone FH, Dahinden CA, Pichler WJ, et al. Individual IL-3 priming is crucial for consistent in vitro activation of donor basophils in patients with chronic urticaria. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;128:1227-34.e5.
- Marone G, Giugliano R, Lembo G, Ayala F. Human basophil releasability. II. Changes in basophil releasability in patients with atopic dermatitis. J Invest Dermatol 1986;87:19-23.
- 16. Sturm EM, Kranzelbinder B, Heinemann A, Groselj-Strele A, Aberer W,

Sturm GJ. CD203c-based basophil activation test in allergy diagnosis: characteristics and differences to CD63 upregulation. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2010;78:308-18.

- Wedi B, Novacovic V, Koerner M, Kapp A. Chronic urticaria serum induces histamine release, leukotriene production, and basophil CD63 surface expression--inhibitory effects of anti-inflammatory drugs. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2000;105:552-60.
- Chirumbolo S. The use of IL-3 in basophil activation tests is the real pitfall. Cytometry B Clin Cytom 2011;80:137-8; author reply 139.
- Hausmann OV, Gentinetta T, Fux M, Ducrest S, Pichler WJ, Dahinden CA. Robust expression of CCR3 as a single basophil selection marker in flow cytometry. Allergy 2011;66:85-91.
- Ducrest S, Meier F, Tschopp C, Pavlovic R, Dahinden CA. Flowcytometric analysis of basophil counts in human blood and inaccuracy of hematology analyzers. Allergy 2005;60:1446-50.
- Chirumbolo S, Ortolani R, Vella A. CCR3 as a single selection marker compared to CD123/HLADR to isolate basophils in flow cytometry: some comments. Cytometry A 2011;79:102-6.
- Ferrer M, Luquin E, Sanchez-Ibarrola A, Moreno C, Sanz ML, Kaplan AP. Secretion of cytokines, histamine and leukotrienes in chronic urticaria. Int Arch Allergy Immunol 2002;129:254-60.
- Hennersdorf F, Florian S, Jakob A, Baumgärtner K, Sonneck K, Nordheim A, et al. Identification of CD13, CD107a, and CD164 as novel basophil-activation markers and dissection of two response patterns in time kinetics of IgE-dependent upregulation. Cell Res 2005;15:325-35.
- Yasnowsky KM, Dreskin SC, Efaw B, Schoen D, Vedanthan PK, Alam R, et al. Chronic urticaria sera increase basophil CD203c expression. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006;117:1430-4.
- Laidlaw TM, Steinke JW, Tiñana AM, Feng C, Xing W, Lam BK, et al. Characterization of a novel human mast cell line that responds to stem cell factor and expresses functional FccRI. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011; 127:815-22.e1-5.
- 26. Rashid A, Sadroddiny E, Ye HT, Vratimos A, Sabban S, Carey E, et al. Review: diagnostic and therapeutic applications of rat basophilic leukemia cells. Mol Immunol 2012;52:224-8.