

Original Article



Determinants of the Volumetric Markers of Left Atrial Contraction Function in Coronary Artery Disease: A Cross-sectional Study

Taimoor Etemad , MD, Ali Hosseinsabet , MD, Negar Omidi , MD, and Reza Mohseni-Badalabadi , MD

Department of Cardiology, Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Feb 13, 2021

Revised: May 19, 2021

Accepted: May 23, 2021

Published online: May 28, 2021

Address for Correspondence:

Ali Hosseinsabet, MD

Department of Cardiology, Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, North Kargar Ave., Tehran 1411713138, Iran.

Email: Ali_Hosseinsabet@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2022 Korean Society of Echocardiography

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Taimoor Etemad

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3329-7149>

Ali Hosseinsabet

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2927-3742>

Negar Omidi

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2344-1661>

Reza Mohseni-Badalabadi

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3135-8526>

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no financial conflicts of interest.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A; Data curation: Hosseinsabet A, Omidi N, Mohseni-Badalabadi R; Formal analysis:

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND: A body of research advocates the prognostic role and usefulness of the volumetric markers of left atrial (LA) phasic functions in the diagnosis of LA dysfunction. We aimed to determine the independent determinants of the volumetric markers of LA contraction function in candidates for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery.

METHODS: This cross-sectional study enrolled 516 candidates for CABG. The biplane maximal, minimal, and pre-P volumes of the LA were measured with two-dimensional echocardiography, and LA active emptying fraction was calculated. The standardized correlation coefficient for the correlation between each factor and LA active emptying fraction was calculated by using univariate and backward multivariable regression analyses.

RESULTS: The multivariable regression analysis demonstrated that the heart rate ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.001$), S ($\beta = 0.09$; $p = 0.036$), E/e' ratio ($\beta = -0.11$; $p = 0.014$), left ventricle (LV) ejection fraction ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.001$), and LA enlargement ($\beta = -0.19$; $p < 0.001$) were the independent determinants of LA active emptying fraction.

CONCLUSIONS: The independent determinants of LA contraction function were the heart rate, S, LV ejection fraction, LA enlargement, and E/e' ratio in candidates for CABG surgery.

Keywords: Left atrium; Echocardiography; Coronary artery disease

INTRODUCTION

The left atrial (LA) is a cardiac chamber with multiple functions: reservoir, conduit, and contraction. The coordination and dynamic interactions between the LA and the left ventricle (LV) result in the modulated filling of the latter.¹ Each phasic function of the LA contributes to LV filling and, thus, 25% to 40% of LV stroke volume.² During LA reservoir phase, the mitral annulus is stretched by LV contraction toward the apex, the LA relaxes, and the pulmonary vein blood flows into the LA. During LA conduit phase, the relaxation of LV myocardium causes the mitral annulus to return to its baseline position, and the stored blood is delivered to the LV. During LA contraction phase, the remaining blood is actively pushed into the LV.^{1,3)}

The phasic functions of the LA can be clearly depicted by echocardiography, which is deemed the main method for the evaluation of LA functions.⁴⁾ The measurement of the

Hosseinsabet A; Funding acquisition: Hosseinsabet A; Investigation: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A, Omid N, Mohseni-Badalabadi R; Methodology: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A, Omid N, Mohseni-Badalabadi R; Project administration: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A, Mohseni-Badalabadi R; Resources: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A, Omid N; Software: Hosseinsabet A; Supervision: Hosseinsabet A; Validation: Hosseinsabet A; Visualization: Hosseinsabet A; Writing - original draft: Hosseinsabet A; Writing - review & editing: Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A, Omid N, Mohseni-Badalabadi R.

maximal, minimal, and pre-contraction volumes of the LA provides markers that facilitate the evaluation of the phasic functions of this chamber.⁵⁾ Research corpus underscores the usefulness of the volumetric markers of LA phasic functions in the diagnosis of LA dysfunction in such conditions as coronary artery disease (CAD),⁶⁾ LV diastolic dysfunction,⁷⁾ heart failure,⁸⁾ diabetes,⁹⁾ hypertension,¹⁰⁾ and obesity.¹¹⁾ Previous investigations have also demonstrated the prognostic role of these markers vis-à-vis atrial fibrillation (AF), heart failure, death after myocardial infarction,¹²⁾¹³⁾ in-hospital mortality, and AF following coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) surgery.¹⁴⁾¹⁵⁾

Factors that can determine the volumetric markers of LA functions have been previously assessed in studies with small samples. Nonetheless, these investigations each focused on only a single factor and failed to assess data regarding the clinical or echocardiographic determinants of LA phasic functions. Moreover, most of the aforementioned studies excluded patients with CAD, amongst whom the prevalence of factors that affect the phasic functions of the LA is high. Additionally, the inflammatory milieu in patients with CAD may differentiate them from other patients¹⁶⁾ inasmuch as inflammation can affect LA phasic functions.¹⁷⁾

Several investigations have reported alterations in LA phasic functions among patients suffering from CAD by comparison with control groups.⁶⁾¹⁸⁻²⁰⁾ The LA in patients with CAD is in interaction with LV that has impaired diastolic function and systolic deformation. Additionally, LA phasic functions are not usually cited in echocardiography reports. Regrettably, there is a paucity of information on the assessment of the phasic functions of the LA in the presence of CAD. One of the few studies conducted on this topic found no difference between patients with diabetes and those without it concerning LA phasic functions,²¹⁾ which is in contrast to the results obtained in a group of patients without CAD in another investigation.²²⁾ In sum, what such findings indicate is that patients suffering from CAD differ from those without it with respect to the factors that influence LA phasic functions.

The reservoir and conduit functions of the LA are significantly correlated with the systolic and diastolic functions of the LV, respectively. The contraction function of the LA presents intrinsic LA contractility properties. However, this property is to some extent dependent on LV compliance and diastolic pressure.³⁾ Accordingly, we selected the contraction function of the LA, which represents the systolic function of this chamber, for the evaluation of LA phasic functions. We previously defined the independent determinants of left intra-atrial electromechanical delay as a marker of pump synchronicity in patients who were candidates for CABG.²³⁾ In the present study, we sought to determine the independent determinants of LA contraction function in the same sample by reanalyzing the same data.

METHODS

Study population

We previously presented the characteristics of the study population and the method of echocardiography in detail.²³⁾ Briefly, our cross-sectional study recruited 516 patients in sinus rhythm who were candidates for isolated CABG. The study population was without a history of AF, cancer, previous cardiac surgery, cardiomyopathies, pericardial disease, significant valvular disease, thyroid disease, liver disease, end-stage renal disease, and inflammatory disease. The research proposal was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Tehran Heart Center (No. 1397/08/14-920), and written informed consent was obtained from the study subjects.²³⁾

Echocardiography

The maximal, minimal, and pre-P volumes of the LA in the apical 4 and 2-chamber views were measured according to the modified Simpson method, and the average value of these 2 views was presented. The pre-P LA volume was measured in the pre-P wave frame of electrocardiography on the echocardiography machine monitor. The active emptying fraction of the LA was defined as $100 \times (1 - [\text{LA minimal volume} \div \text{LA pre-A volume}])$ and was considered the marker of LA contraction function.

In addition, the early and late diastolic peak velocities of the mitral flow (E and A, respectively); the systolic and diastolic peak flow velocities of the pulmonary vein (S and D, correspondingly); and the peak systolic, early diastolic, and peak diastolic (s', e', and a', respectively) velocities of the septal and lateral mitral annuli were obtained, and the mean values of the velocities of the septal and lateral mitral annuli were presented.

Statistical analysis

Categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentages. Continuous data with normal distributions were presented as the mean \pm the standard deviation and those with non-normal distributions as the median and the interquartile range. The correlation between each continuous variable and LA active emptying fraction was determined by using the Pearson or the Spearman rank correlation coefficient. The LA active emptying fraction values in the presence and absence of the categorical data were compared by using the Mann-Whitney U test. Statistical significance was defined as a p-value of less than 0.05.

Next, physiologically related variables with a p-value of less than 0.15 in the univariate analysis were entered in a backward multivariable regression analysis to define the independent determinants of LA active emptying fraction after the assumptions of the multivariable regression analysis were checked. The use of angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers (ACEIs/ARBs), heart rate, S, LV ejection fraction, LA enlargement, LV mass index, and E/e' ratio fulfilled the criteria for entrance into the multivariable regression analysis. The unstandardized correlation coefficient (B) with a 95% confidence interval and a standardized correlation coefficient were presented. In this analysis, a p-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Inter and intraobserver variabilities were assessed by applying intraclass correlation coefficients, and 95% limits of agreement were demonstrated. The statistical analyses were conducted with the IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) for Windows, version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

RESULTS

The results of the univariate analysis of LA active emptying fraction and the categorical variables are presented in **Table 1** and the results of the univariate analysis of LA active emptying fraction and the continuous variables in **Table 2**. The active emptying fraction of the LA was $37 \pm 9\%$, and the maximal volume index of the LA was $28 \pm 7 \text{ mL/m}^2$.

In the univariate analysis, LA active emptying fraction was correlated with the consumption of ACEIs/ARBs, heart rate, LV ejection fraction, LV end-diastolic volume index, LV end-systolic volume index, LA enlargement, LV mass index, S, S/D ratio, E, A, E/A ratio, s', e', a', E/e' ratio, minimal LA volume index, and pre-P LA volume index ($p < 0.05$) (**Tables 1 and 2**).

Table 1. Univariate analysis of LA active emptying fraction and categorical variables

Variables	Frequency	LA active emptying fraction	Mann-Whitney U	p-value
Demographic and clinical data				
Sex (female)	122 (24)	38 (31–44)	23,349.0	0.634
Sex (male)		37 (31–43)		
Obesity +	142 (28)	37 (31–42)	25,616.0	0.535
Obesity –		37 (31–43)		
Hypertension +	305 (59)	37 (31–43)	30,325.0	0.266
Hypertension –		37 (30–43)		
Diabetes +	245 (47)	37 (31–42)	31,571.5	0.336
Diabetes –		38 (31–43)		
Cigarette smoking +	126 (24)	37 (31–42)	24,390.0	0.902
Cigarette smoking –		37 (31–43)		
History of STEMI +	47 (9)	37 (27–41)	9,892.5	0.247
History of STEMI –		37 (31–43)		
History of NSTEMI +	19 (4)	37 (27–43)	4,618.5	0.872
History of NSTEMI –		37 (31–43)		
History of cerebrovascular accident +	33 (16)	36 (31–44)	7,801.0	0.839
History of cerebrovascular accident –		37 (31–43)		
Beta-blockers +	356 (69)	36 (31–42)	26,439.5	0.193
Beta-blockers –		38 (31–44)		
Calcium-channel blockers +	89 (17)	37 (30–45)	18,887.0	0.929
Calcium-channel blockers –		37 (31–43)		
Statins +	457 (88)	37 (31–43)	12,104.0	0.201
Statins –		34 (30–43)		
ACEIs/ARBs	317 (61)	38 (31–43)	29,012.0	0.125
ACEIs/ARBs		36 (31–42)		
Nitrates +	391 (76)	37 (31–43)	23,163.0	0.380
Nitrates –		36 (29–43)		
Diuretics +	87 (17)	37 (31–43)	18,044.0	0.626
Diuretics –		37 (31–43)		
Antiplatelet agents +	488 (94)	37 (31–43)	6,592.0	0.754
Antiplatelet agents –		37 (30–45)		
Left main stenosis +	40 (8)	40 (32–46)	8,212.0	0.149
Left main stenosis –		37 (31–43)		
LAD stenosis	516 (100)	-	-	-
LCX stenosis +	467 (90)	37 (31–43)	9,693.0	0.078
LCX stenosis –		37 (29–40)		
RCA stenosis +	435 (84)	37 (31–43)	16,998.5	0.615
RCA stenosis –		36 (30–42)		
Single-vessel disease +	26 (5)	35 (30–39)	5,349.0	0.168
Single-vessel disease –		37 (31–43)		
Two-vessel disease +	79 (15)	37 (29–43)	16,768.0	0.686
Two-vessel disease –		37 (31–43)		
Three-vessel disease +	411 (80)	37 (31–43)	20,063.5	0.267
Three-vessel disease –		36 (29–42)		
Echocardiography data				
LA volume index > 34 mL/m ²	83 (16)	32 (25–40)	11,834.0	< 0.001
LA volume index < 34 mL/m ²		38 (32–43)		
Aneurysmal interatrial septum +	28 (15)	36 (32–43)	6,636.0	0.798
Aneurysmal interatrial septum –		37 (31–43)		
Mitral annular calcification +	49 (10)	35 (30–42)	10,027.0	0.154
Mitral annular calcification –		37 (31–43)		

Values are presented as number (%) or number (range). + indicates presence condition and – indicated absence of a condition.

ACEIs/ARBs: angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitors/angiotensin receptor blockers, LA: left atrial, LAD: left anterior descending artery, LCX: left circumflex artery, NSTEMI: non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction, RCA: right coronary artery, STEMI: ST-elevation myocardial infarction.

In the multivariable regression analysis of the determinants of LA active emptying fraction, the heart rate ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.001$), S ($\beta = 0.09$; $p = 0.036$), E/e' ratio ($\beta = -0.11$; $p = 0.014$),

Table 2. Univariate analysis of left atrial active emptying fraction and continuous variables

Variables	Values	Correlation coefficient	p-value
Demographic and laboratory data			
Age (year)	62 ± 9	-0.04	0.357
Fasting blood sugar (mg/dL)	102 (89–137)	0.03	0.475
Hemoglobin (g/dL)	13.6 ± 1.8	-0.04	0.421
Creatinine (mg/dL)	1.0 ± 0.3	0.04	0.335
Cholesterol (mg/dL)	138 ± 40	-0.02	0.636
LDL (mg/dL)	75 (59–101)	-0.06	0.211
HDL (mg/dL)	36 ± 9	-0.01	0.854
Triglyceride (mg/dL)	137 (104–187)	0.05	0.302
Echocardiographic data			
Heart rate (bpm)	68 ± 11	0.15	0.001
Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)	118 ± 13	-0.03	0.536
Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)	74 ± 9	0.02	0.742
LVEDV index (mL/m ²)	50 ± 13	-0.17	< 0.001
LVESV index (mL/m ²)	24 (19–30)	-0.18	< 0.001
LVEF (%)	48 ± 9	0.18	< 0.001
Septal thickness (mm)	9 ± 1	0.01	0.778
Posterior wall thickness (mm)	9 ± 1	0.02	0.606
LV mass index (g/m ²)	87.6 ± 22.1	-0.16	< 0.001
Aortic root (mm)	32 ± 4	-0.05	0.266
E (cm/s)	64 ± 17	-0.11	0.012
A (cm/s)	77 ± 19	0.09	0.049
E/A ratio	0.8 (0.7–1.0)	-0.09	0.036
Deceleration time (ms)	208 ± 58	0.03	0.535
S (cm/s)	52 ± 11	0.11	0.015
D (cm/s)	36 ± 9	-0.05	0.273
S/D ratio	1.5 ± 0.3	0.09	0.034
Mean s' (cm/s)	7.0 ± 1.5	0.18	< 0.001
Mean e' (cm/s)	7.3 ± 1.2	0.12	0.005
Mean a' (cm/s)	9.4 ± 1.8	0.27	< 0.001
Mean e'/a' ratio	0.8 ± 0.3	-0.08	0.085
E/e' ratio	9 (7–11)	-0.16	< 0.001
Pre-P LA volume index (mL/m ²)	19 ± 6	-0.30	< 0.001
Minimal LA volume index (mL/m ²)	12 (9–15)	-0.61	< 0.001

HDL: high-density lipoprotein, LA: left atrial, LDL: low-density lipoprotein, LV: left ventricle, LVEDV: left ventricular end-diastolic volume, LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction, LVESV: left ventricular end-systolic volume.

Table 3. Multivariable regression analysis results to define the independent determinants of left atrial active emptying fraction

Variables	β	B (95% CI)	p-value
S (cm/s)	0.09	0.07 (0.01, 0.14)	0.036
Heart rate (bpm)	0.15	0.11 (0.05, 0.18)	0.001
E/e' ratio	-0.11	-0.27 (-0.49, -0.06)	0.014
LA volume index > 34 mL/m ²	-0.19	-4.41 (-6.41, -2.41)	< 0.001
LVEF (%)	0.15	0.15 (0.06, 0.23)	0.001

CI: confidence interval, LA: left atrial, LVEF: left ventricular ejection fraction.

LV ejection fraction ($\beta = 0.15$; $p = 0.001$), and LA enlargement ($\beta = -0.19$; $p < 0.001$) were the independent determinants of the active emptying fraction of the LA (**Table 3**).

The intraclass correlation coefficients and the 95% limits of agreement for the intraobserver variabilities regarding LA maximal, minimal, and pre-P volumes were 0.964 (0.937–0.979), 0.847 (0.732–0.912), and 0.840 (0.722–0.908), respectively. The intraclass correlation coefficients and the 95% limits of agreement for the interobserver variabilities concerning LA maximal, minimal, and pre-P volumes were 0.925 (0.787–0.966), 0.779 (0.585–0.878), and 0.847 (0.734–0.912), correspondingly.

DISCUSSION

We evaluated the determinants of LA contraction function in terms of its volumetric parameter, LA active emptying fraction, in patients with CAD. Briefly, we found that the heart rate, S, E/e' ratio, LV ejection fraction, and LA enlargement were independently correlated with the active emptying fraction of the LA.

LA enlargement is a marker of the structural remodeling of this chamber and is indicative of the severity and chronicity of LV diastolic dysfunction. In other words, chronically increased LA afterload can lead to the structural remodeling of this chamber, manifested as enlargement.³⁾ Decreased LA contraction in patients suffering from CAD with LA enlargement has been previously demonstrated.¹⁸⁾ Some evidence suggests that LA functional remodeling precedes the structural remodeling of this chamber.²⁴⁾²⁵⁾

The contraction function of the LA is dependent on the compliance and systolic function of the LV.³⁾²⁶⁾ Previous research has shown diminished LA active emptying fraction in patients with ischemic cardiomyopathy by comparison with normal subjects.²⁷⁾²⁸⁾ This finding is concordant with our finding regarding diminished LV ejection fraction as an independent determinant of LA contraction function.

The E/e' ratio is an index of LV filling pressure. Patients suffering from heart failure with preserved ejection fraction tend to have reduced LA contraction. It appears that increased afterload is detrimental to myocardial contraction function.²⁹⁾³⁰⁾

The S wave of the pulmonary vein is a maker of LA reservoir function,⁴⁾ which is correlated with LA contraction function.³¹⁾ This may be explained by the Frank-Starling law as preload to some extent accentuates contraction.³²⁾

According to our results, increased heart rate is in tandem with increased LA active emptying fraction. An increase in heart rate concurs with a decrease in LA filling and contraction time and, thus, maintains the filling of the heart. What ensues as a compensatory mechanism might be an increase in LA contraction.³³⁾

The evaluation of LA phasic functions has several clinical implications that should be interpreted with caution. In its different phases, the LA functions in a manner that is not entirely independent from the function of the LV.³⁾⁴⁾²⁶⁾ Indeed, some researchers have considered the systolic longitudinal strain of the LA, which is a marker of the reservoir function of the LA, to be a parameter that is no more informative than the global longitudinal strain of the LV.⁴⁾ On the other hand, the contraction function of the LA is one of the components of LA intrinsic myocardial contraction.³⁾ The reservoir function of the LA as evaluated by volumetric or deformation study is in correlation with the occurrence of postoperative AF, increased in-hospital mortality, elevated LV diastolic pressure, and prolonged lengths of stay in the intensive care unit in patients who undergo CABG.¹⁴⁾¹⁵⁾³⁴⁾³⁵⁾ The conduit function of the LA correlates with postoperative AF and longer stays in the intensive care unit in the aforementioned population.³⁵⁾ The ability of LA contraction function to predict postoperative AF has yet to be elucidated.³⁶⁾ Nevertheless, one of the few investigations in this regard demonstrated that postoperative LA contraction function could predict the occurrence of postoperative AF.³⁷⁾ This may imply that not only is LA contraction function homogeneously impaired in patients with CAD, which precludes the capability

to predict postoperative AF and other clinical events, but also revascularization creates a condition whereby variability in LA contraction function appears. This hypothesis is supported by the evidence that LA contraction function is impaired in patients with CAD¹⁸⁾ and that this impairment is aggravated by the severity of CAD.³⁸⁾ This hypothesis should be evaluated in a well-designed study.

In the current study, we evaluated LA contraction function in terms of its two-dimensional (2D) volumetric parameter. Such an echocardiographic evaluation relies on geometrical assumptions, which may not be accurate. Furthermore, not only is this assessment modality load dependent but also it is less sensitive than other imaging modalities and only confers an indirect estimation of the phasic functions of the LA. Despite these shortcomings, the 2D measurement of this volumetric parameter expedites the evaluation of LA contraction function, lacks serious contraindications, is low cost and rapid, and does not require complex hardware/software, high expertise, radiation, or contrast injection. The issue with other imaging modalities is that some present vendor-dependent values, some suffer from a dearth of normative values, and some need confirmation of their clinical value in future investigations.¹³⁾⁴⁾ It is deserving of note that the values obtained by the 2D echocardiographic measurement of the volumetric parameters of LA phasic functions correlate with those obtained by other imaging modalities.³⁹⁾

Our findings should assist in the identification of patients more likely to develop LA dysfunction. Patients who are candidates for CABG need stringent adherence to a therapeutic regimen that prevents the aggravation of LV systolic function and increased filling pressure, optimally controls factors that affect LV diastolic function, and prevents/treats factors associated with LA structural remodeling.

In the main, the cross-sectional design of our study precludes the establishment of causal relationships. Another salient limitation is that our investigation is a single-center study. Our results would be more robust had we been able to perform patient follow-up to evaluate the following: the phasic functions of the LA by other imaging modalities, other conditions that affect LA phasic functions such as obstructive sleep apnea and airway disease, and the presence of AF by long-term Holter monitoring and not merely by snapshot electrocardiography. What should also be taken into account concerning our study is that the results may be generalized only to candidates for CABG.

The findings of our study demonstrated that the heart rate, S, LV ejection fraction, LA enlargement, and E/e' ratio were the independent determinants of LA contraction function as evaluated by LA active emptying fraction in 2D echocardiography.

REFERENCES

1. Kebed KY, Addetia K, Lang RM. Importance of the left atrium: more than a bystander? *Heart Fail Clin* 2019;15:191-204.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. Todaro MC, Choudhuri I, Belohlavek M, et al. New echocardiographic techniques for evaluation of left atrial mechanics. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2012;13:973-84.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Thomas L, Marwick TH, Popescu BA, Donal E, Badano LP. Left atrial structure and function, and left ventricular diastolic dysfunction: JACC state-of-the-art review. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2019;73:1961-77.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

4. Hoit BD. Assessment of left atrial function by echocardiography: novel insights. *Curr Cardiol Rep* 2018;20:96.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Leischik R, Littwitz H, Dworrak B, et al. Echocardiographic evaluation of left atrial mechanics: function, history, novel techniques, advantages, and pitfalls. *BioMed Res Int* 2015;2015:765921.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Yang L, Ma L, Li Y, Mu Y, Liu L. Real-time three-dimensional echocardiography of left atrial volume and function in patients with severe multi-vessel coronary artery disease. *J Med Ultrason (2001)* 2017;44:71-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Worley E, Rana B, Williams L, Robinson S. Left ventricular diastolic dysfunction: identifying presence by left atrial function. *Echo Res Pract* 2018;5:97-104.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
8. Bytyçi I, Bajraktari G. Left atrial changes in early stages of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. *Echocardiography* 2016;33:1479-87.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Gulmez O, Parildar H, Cigerli O, Demirağ N. Assessment of left atrial function in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus with a disease duration of six months. *Cardiovasc J Afr* 2018;29:82-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Antit S, Zakhama L, Amri A, Boussabeh E, Thameur M, Ben Youssef S. Assessment of left atrial mechanical function by two-dimensional echocardiography in hypertensive patients. *Tunis Med* 2019;97:882-90.
[PUBMED](#)
11. Tugcu A, Russo C, Jin Z, et al. Association of body size metrics with left atrial phasic volumes and reservoir function in the elderly. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2018;19:1157-64.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
12. Modin D, Olsen FJ, Pedersen S, Jensen JS, Biering-Sørensen T. Measures of left atrial function predict incident atrial fibrillation in STEMI patients treated with primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *Int J Cardiol* 2018;263:1-6.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
13. Modin D, Pedersen S, Fritz-Hansen T, Gislason G, Biering-Sørensen T. Left Atrial function determined by echocardiography predicts incident heart failure in patients with STEMI treated by primary percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Card Fail* 2020;26:35-42.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
14. Wang WH, Hsiao SH, Lin KL, Wu CJ, Kang PL, Chiou KR. Left atrial expansion index for predicting atrial fibrillation and in-hospital mortality after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;93:796-803.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
15. Hidayet Ş, Yağmur J, Bayramoğlu A, Taşolar MH, Kurtoğlu E, Özyalın F. Prediction of postoperative atrial fibrillation with left atrial mechanical functions and NT-pro ANP levels after coronary artery bypass surgery: a three-dimensional echocardiography study. *Echocardiography* 2018;35:661-6.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
16. Ali M, Giris S, Hassan A, Rudick S, Becker RC. Inflammation and coronary artery disease: from pathophysiology to Canakinumab Anti-Inflammatory Thrombosis Outcomes Study (CANTOS). *Coron Artery Dis* 2018;29:429-37.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
17. Sani CM, Pogue EP, Hrabia JB, et al. Association between low-grade chronic inflammation and depressed left atrial compliance in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction: a retrospective analysis. *Folia Med Cracov* 2018;58:45-55.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
18. Liu YY, Xie MX, Xu JF, et al. Evaluation of left atrial function in patients with coronary artery disease by two-dimensional strain and strain rate imaging. *Echocardiography* 2011;28:1095-103.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
19. Lin QW, Wang WG, Wu WC, Wang H. Left atrial minimum volume by real-time three-dimensional echocardiography as an indicator of diastolic dysfunction. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 2013;126:4227-31.
[PUBMED](#)
20. El Missiri A, Awadalla H. Left atrial contractility in ischemic heart disease patients with left ventricular systolic dysfunction. *J Cardiovasc Echogr* 2016;26:11-5.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

21. Hosseinsabet A, Mohseni-Badalabadi R, Jalali A. Two-dimensional speckle-tracking echocardiography evaluation of left atrial function according to glycemic state in patients with coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc Endocrinol* 2017;6:101-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
22. Tadic M, Ilic S, Cuspidi C, et al. Left and right atrial phasic function and deformation in untreated patients with prediabetes and type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2015;31:65-76.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
23. Etemad T, Hosseinsabet A. Determinants of left intra-atrial electromechanical delay as evaluated by tissue Doppler imaging in candidates for coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Echocardiography* 2020;37:260-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
24. Hoit BD. Left atrial remodeling: more than just left atrial enlargement. *Circ Cardiovasc Imaging* 2017;10:e006036.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
25. Pagel PS, Kehl F, Gare M, Hettrick DA, Kersten JR, Wartier DC. Mechanical function of the left atrium: new insights based on analysis of pressure-volume relations and Doppler echocardiography. *Anesthesiology* 2003;98:975-94.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
26. Inoue K, Khan FH, Remme EW, et al. Determinants of left atrial reservoir and pump strain and use of atrial strain for evaluation of left ventricular filling pressure. *Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2021;35:1618-25.
[PUBMED](#)
27. Abdelghani Abdelzaher M, Atteia WM. Left atrial geometry and pump function in ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Int J Cardiol Heart Vasc* 2014;5:45-50.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
28. Cao S, Zhou Q, Chen JL, Hu B, Guo RQ. The differences in left atrial function between ischemic and idiopathic dilated cardiomyopathy patients: a two-dimensional speckle tracking imaging study. *J Clin Ultrasound* 2016;44:437-45.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
29. Fan JL, Su B, Zhao X, et al. Correlation of left atrial strain with left ventricular end-diastolic pressure in patients with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. *Int J Cardiovasc Imaging* 2020;36:1659-66.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
30. Murai D, Yamada S, Hayashi T, et al. Relationships of left ventricular strain and strain rate to wall stress and their afterload dependency. *Heart Vessels* 2017;32:574-83.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
31. Piros GÁ, Domsik P, Kalapos A, et al. Left atrial ejection force correlates with left atrial strain and volume-based functional properties as assessed by three-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography (from the MAGYAR-Healthy Study). *Rev Port Cardiol* 2016;35:83-91.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
32. Voigt JU, Cvijic M. 2- and 3-dimensional myocardial strain in cardiac health and disease. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2019;12:1849-63.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
33. Zhang Q, Kum LC, Lee PW, et al. Effect of age and heart rate on atrial mechanical function assessed by Doppler tissue imaging in healthy individuals. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2006;19:422-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
34. Borde D, Joshi S, Jasapara A, Joshi P, Asegaonkar B, Apsingekar P. Left atrial strain as a single parameter to predict left ventricular diastolic dysfunction and elevated left ventricular filling pressure in patients undergoing off-pump coronary artery bypass grafting. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2021;35:1618-25.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
35. Hadadi M, Mohseni-Badalabadi R, Hosseinsabet A. Assessment of the ability of the CHA₂DS₂-VASc scoring system to grade left atrial function by 2D speckle-tracking echocardiography. *BMC Cardiovasc Disord* 2021;21:94.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
36. Gabrielli L, Corbalan R, Córdova S, et al. Left atrial dysfunction is a predictor of postcoronary artery bypass atrial fibrillation: association of left atrial strain and strain rate assessed by speckle tracking. *Echocardiography* 2011;28:1104-8.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
37. Leung JM, Bellows WH, Schiller NB. Impairment of left atrial function predicts post-operative atrial fibrillation after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Eur Heart J* 2004;25:1836-44.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)

38. Said KM, Nassar AI, Fouad A, Ramzy AA, Abd Allah MF. Left atrial deformation analysis as a predictor of severity of coronary artery disease. *Egypt Heart J* 2018;70:353-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
39. van den Berg NW, Chan Pin Yin DR, Berger WR, et al. Comparison of non-triggered magnetic resonance imaging and echocardiography for the assessment of left atrial volume and morphology. *Cardiovasc Ultrasound* 2018;16:17.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)