Original Article

Comparison of pulmonary function and back muscle strength according to the degree of spinal curvature of healthy adults

J. Phys. Ther. Sci. 27: 1787–1789, 2015

JAE EUNG YOU, PhD, PT¹), HYE YOUNG LEE, PhD, PT²), KYOUNG KIM, PhD, PT²)*

¹⁾ Department of Tourism Leisure Welfare, Korea Tourism College, Republic of Korea

²⁾ Department of Physical Therapy, College of Rehabilitation Science, Daegu University:

201 Daegudae-ro, Gyeongsan-si, Gyeongsangbuk-do 712-714, Republic of Korea

Abstract. [Purpose] Degree of curvature on the spine is known to affect respiratory function and back muscle activation. We compared pulmonary function and back muscle strength according to the degree of curvature of the spine of healthy adults. [Subjects and Methods] Twenty-three healthy volunteers were enrolled. They were divided into two groups according to the degree of curvature of the spine: the below 2° group, and the above 2° group. The degree of curvature was assessed using the Adams forward bending test and a scoliometer. A pulmonary function test (PFT) was conducted, and back muscle strength was measured. [Results] No significant differences in PFT were found between the below 2° group and the above 2° group, in terms of forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC), or peak expiratory flow (PEF). However, back muscle strength in the below 2 group was significantly higher than that of the above 2 group. [Conclusion] Our findings indicate that the degree of curvature of the spine is associated with back muscle strength in subjects who have spinal curvature within the normal range. Therefore, evaluation and treatment of back muscle strength might be helpful for preventing the progress of curvature of the spine in adolescents with potential scoliosis.

Key words: Scoliosis, Pulmonary function, Back muscle strength

(This article was submitted Jan. 6, 2015, and was accepted Feb. 26, 2015)

INTRODUCTION

Scoliosis is a 3-dimensional abnormal curvature of the spine, and is the most common deformity of the spine¹). Its causes include neurological or neuromuscular dysfunction in cerebral palsy, Duchenne myopathy, medullary lesion, and others²). However, even though the main causes of most scoliosis cases are unknown, it can be classified as a congenital factor by vertebral or rib malformation, a secondary factor by systemic or neuromuscular disorders, and idiopathic by no specific cause³). The prevalence reported by previous studies varies from 0.3 to $15.3\%^{4-7}$. The criterion for scoliosis is generally defined as a spinal curvature of 10 degrees in the sagittal plane⁸).

Most researchers who have studied pulmonary dysfunction in patients with scoliosis agree that there is a possibility of cardiorespiratory failure in cases with a Cobb angle greater than over 90 degrees, lung function abnormalities when the Cobb angle is in the range of 50 to 60 degrees, and that there is correlation of the severity of abnormal curvature and pulmonary function^{3, 9)}. In addition, accumulating evidence indicates that abnormal curvature of the spine is affected by asymmetry of the back musculatures^{2, 10, 11}). Likewise, abnormality of spinal curvature has a strong effect on pulmonary function and back muscle activation. However, to the best of our knowledge, few studies have investigated the relationship between pulmonary function and asymmetry according to the degree of curvature of the spine in normal adolescents¹²).

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to investigate whether or not there are any differences in pulmonary function and back muscle strength according to the degree of curvature of the spine of healthy adults.

SUBJECTS AND METHODS

Twenty-three healthy subjects with no previous history of neuromuscular or neurologic dysfunction participated in this study. They understood the purpose of this study, and gave their written informed consent before experimental participation. The experimental protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Daegu University. The subjects were divided according to the angular degree of their spinal curvature: into two groups, the below 2 group (9 males, 4 females, age: 23.2 \pm 2.1) and the above 2 group (4 males, 6 females, age: 23.5 \pm 2.0).

^{*}Corresponding author. Kyoung Kim (E-mail: kykim257@ hanmail.net)

^{©2015} The Society of Physical Therapy Science. Published by IPEC Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives (by-ncnd) License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/>.

The degree of spinal curvature was measured using a scoliometer (National Scoliosis Foundation, Watertwon, MA, USA) in the Adams forward bending test. The Adams forward bending test was used to evaluate the rib hump forms on the side of the convexity. All subjects were instructed to bend forward, starting at the waist until the back became horizontal, with the feet together, arms hanging and the knees in extension. The examiner stood at the back of the subject and measured the degree of rib hump in the horizontal plane of the spine using the scoliometer.

The pulmonary function test (PFT) was performed by all participants. The PFT was measured using a spirometer (Vmax 229, SensorMedics, USA), which calculated and recorded forced vital capacity (FVC), forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁), ratio of forced expiratory volume in one second to forced vital capacity (FEV₁)/(FVC), and peak expiratory flow (PEF). The subjects sat in a chair with a backrest and were instructed to breathe in as deeply as possible, and then breathe out through a mouthpiece as quickly as possible, with their noses occluded. The measurement was performed by the same tester throughout the entire experiment, and was performed two times with enough rest between each trial to prevent hyperventilation. The best performance of three trials was adopted.

Back muscle strength test was assessed using a muscle strength meter (TKK 5102, Takei Co., Japan). Before the test, the length from the handle to the footplate was adjusted so that the subject could reach the handle when the subject bent his/her back by 30 in the standing position with his/her heels together and the toes 15 cm apart. In this position, the subject was asked to extend his/her back gradually, increasing his/her strength. The back muscle strength was measured twice in kilograms. The best performance of two trials was adopted.

For comparison of demographic data (i.e., age, sex, height, weight, degree of curvature), PFT (FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, and PEF), and back muscle strength between the two groups, the independent t-test and the χ^2 test were conducted. Statistical software, PAWS 18.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA), was used to analyze the data, and statistical significance was accepted at values of p<0.05.

RESULTS

The degree of curvature of the spine of all subjects was below 5. No significances were found, in terms of age, gender, height, or weight in the comparison of demographic data between the two groups (Table 1). However, the degree of curvature in the above 2 group was significantly greater than that of the below 2 group. For PFT, no significant results were found between the two groups, in terms of FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, or PEF. In the test of back muscle strength, a significant difference was found between the two groups (Table 2).

DISCUSSION

In the current study, we compared pulmonary function and back muscle strength according to the degree of curvature of the spine of healthy subjects who had spinal curvature within the normal range. We adopted 2 of spinal curvature as the cutoff point, because it clearly discriminated between the two-cluster distribution of the scatter plot showing the degree of spinal curvature of all the subjects. The muscle strength of the back musculatures of the below 2 group was significantly stronger than that of the above 2 group. However, the pulmonary function of the above 2 group and the below 2 group showed no significant difference, in terms of FVC, FEV₁, FEV₁/FVC, and PEF. Therefore, our results suggest that the degree of curvature of the spine within the normal range directly affects back muscle strength, but not pulmonary function.

Many previous studies have reported that abnormal curvature of the spine has a negative effect on pulmonary function in various ways, including cardiorespiratory impairment, decrease in lung volume, and chest wall movement^{3, 10, 11, 13–16}). These possible items of pulmonary dysfunction are suggested to be caused by thoracic deformity due to abnormality of the spinal curvature¹⁷). However, our findings show that the degree of spinal curvature did not negatively affect pulmonary function. Tsiligiannis and

Table 1. Demographic information of the two groups

	Below 2° group	Above 2° group
Age (years)	23.2±2.1	23.5±2.0
Gender (M/F)	13 (9/4)	10 (4/6)
Height (cm)	169.9±8.9	165.6±4.2
Weight (kg)	67.1±9.4	60.7±7.9
Degree of curvature (°)	0.8 ± 0.3	30+15

Values are expressed as frequencies or means \pm standard deviation.

 Table 2. Pulmonary function and back muscle strength of the two groups

		Below 2° group	Above 2° group
Pulmonary function test	FVC (l)	5.2±2.2	4.9±2.0
	FEV_1 (l)	4.43±1.4	3.8±1.9
	FEV ₁ / FVC (%)	0.8 ± 0.2	0.8±0.3
	PEF (l/sec)	8.5±2.2	7.3±2.8
Back muscle strength	(kg)	99.5±39.8*	68.1±26.4

* indicates a significance difference (p<0.05) between the results of the below 2 group and the above 2 group.

Grivas suggested that mild to moderate scoliosis actually produced very few respiratory signs and symptoms³⁾. In addition, Redding and Mayer reported that some measurements that are often used, such as the Cobb angle are not related to pulmonary function¹⁸⁾. Taken together, these results strongly suggest that the degree of spinal curvature within the normal range is not associated with pulmonary function.

The significant finding in the comparison of back muscle strength according to the degree of spinal curvature is in agreement with previous^{19–22}). Several studies have reported that strength asymmetry is observed in patients with scoliosis due to the difference in the ratio of the convex and concave angles of the spine^{22–25}). Mooney et al.²⁵) proposed that inhibition of the paraspinal muscles by abnormal curvature of the spine might be a cause of weak trunk muscle function, which is known to contribute about 5% of the total torque involved in trunk rotation²⁶). Stiff spine, trunk strength and asymmetry might occur, due to abnormal curvature of the spine causing abnormal muscle geometry, and ligament deformity²²).

Abnormal structure of the spine in the sagittal and frontal planes affects progressive deformity of the spine and thoracic rib cage, cardiorespiratory function, low back pain, and neurologic complications³⁾. Therefore, multiple impairments related to abnormal curvature of the spine need to be considered carefully when treating patients with abnormal structure of the spine. Our findings suggest that the degree of spinal curvature is associated with back muscle strength, not with respiratory function, in individuals with normal spinal curvature. However, limitations of this study are that radiographic measurement of the degree of spinal curvature was not performed, and that no comparisons with patients with scoliosis were presented. In further study, these limitations will be addressed to elucidate clinical features related to the degree of spinal curvature.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This research was supported by a Daegu University Research Grant, 2012.

REFERENCES

- Stokes IA: Three-dimensional terminology of spinal deformity. A report presented to the Scoliosis Research Society by the Scoliosis Research Society Working Group on 3-D terminology of spinal deformity. Spine, 1994, 19: 236–248. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Vialle R, Thévenin-Lemoine C, Mary P: Neuromuscular scoliosis. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res, 2013, 99: S124–S139. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Tsiligiannis T, Grivas T: Pulmonary function in children with idiopathic scoliosis. Scoliosis, 2012, 7: 7. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 4) Koukourakis I, Giaourakis G, Kouvidis G, et al.: Screening school chil-

dren for scoliosis on the island of Crete. J Spinal Disord, 1997, 10: 527–531. [Medline] [CrossRef]

- Lonstein JE: Adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. Lancet, 1994, 344: 1407– 1412. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Stirling AJ, Howel D, Millner PA, et al.: Late-onset idiopathic scoliosis in children six to fourteen years old. A cross-sectional prevalence study. J Bone Joint Surg Am, 1996, 78: 1330–1336. [Medline]
- Trobisch P, Suess O, Schwab F: Idiopathic scoliosis. Dtsch Arztebl Int, 2010, 107: 875–883, quiz 884. [Medline]
- Zakaria A, Hafez AR, Buragadda S, et al.: Stretching versus mechanical traction of the spine in treatment of idiopathic scoliosis. J Phys Ther Sci, 2012, 24: 1127–1131. [CrossRef]
- Praud JP, Canet E: Chest Wall Function and Dysfunction. In: Chernick V., Boat TF, Wilmott RW, B. A. (Eds.), In Kendig's disorders of the respiratory tract in children. Philadelpha: Saunders Elsvier, 2006, pp 733–746.
- Hahn F, Hauser D, Espinosa N, et al.: Scoliosis correction with pedicle screws in Duchenne muscular dystrophy. Eur Spine J, 2008, 17: 255–261. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Roberto R, Fritz A, Hagar Y, et al.: The natural history of cardiac and pulmonary function decline in patients with duchenne muscular dystrophy. Spine, 2011, 36: E1009–E1017. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 12) Kwon YH, Lee HY: Differences of the truncal expansion and respiratory function between children with spastic diplegic and hemiplegic cerebral palsy. J Phys Ther Sci, 2013, 25: 1633–1635. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Fauroux B, Aubertin G, Clément A, et al.: Which tests may predict the need for noninvasive ventilation in children with neuromuscular disease? Respir Med, 2009, 103: 574–581. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Nigro G, Politano L, Passamano L, et al.: Cardiac treatment in neuro-muscular diseases. Acta Myol, 2006, 25: 119–123. [Medline]
- Pruijs JE, van Tol MJ, van Kesteren RG, et al.: Neuromuscular scoliosis: clinical evaluation pre- and postoperative. J Pediatr Orthop B, 2000, 9: 217–220. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 16) Zahi R, Vialle R, Abelin K, et al.: Spinopelvic fixation with iliosacral screws in neuromuscular spinal deformities: results in a prospective cohort of 62 patients. Childs Nerv Syst, 2010, 26: 81–86. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Horimoto Y, Osuda Y, Takada C, et al.: Thoracic deformity in the transverse plane among adults with severe cerebral palsy. J Phys Ther Sci, 2012, 24: 763–766. [CrossRef]
- Suh SW, Modi HN, Yang J, et al.: Posterior multilevel vertebral osteotomy for correction of severe and rigid neuromuscular scoliosis: a preliminary study. Spine, 2009, 34: 1315–1320. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Cheung J, Halbertsma JP, Veldhuizen AG, et al.: A preliminary study on electromyographic analysis of the paraspinal musculature in idiopathic scoliosis. Eur Spine J, 2005, 14: 130–137. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Cheung J, Veldhuizen AG, Halberts JP, et al.: Geometric and electromyographic assessments in the evaluation of curve progression in idiopathic scoliosis. Spine, 2006, 31: 322–329. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- Cheung J, Veldhuizen AG, Halbertsma JP, et al.: The relation between electromyography and growth velocity of the spine in the evaluation of curve progression in idiopathic scoliosis. Spine, 2004, 29: 1011–1016. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 22) McIntire KL, Asher MA, Burton DC, et al.: Trunk rotational strength asymmetry in adolescents with idiopathic scoliosis: an observational study. Scoliosis, 2007, 2: 9. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- McGill SM: The influence of lordosis on axial trunk torque and trunk muscle myoelectric activity. Spine, 1992, 17: 1187–1193. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 24) Mooney V, Brigham A: The role of measured resistance exercises in adolescent scoliosis. Orthopedics, 2003, 26: 167–171, discussion 171. [Medline]
- Mooney V, Gulick J, Pozos R: A preliminary report on the effect of measured strength training in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. J Spinal Disord, 2000, 13: 102–107. [Medline] [CrossRef]
- 26) Davis JR, Mirka GA: Transverse-contour modeling of trunk muscle-distributed forces and spinal loads during lifting and twisting. Spine, 2000, 25: 180–189. [Medline] [CrossRef]