

# A special superior cortex compressive fracture of femoral neck

# Two case reports

Hang Xian, MD<sup>a</sup>, Hang Zhang, MD<sup>b</sup>, Kejian Lian, MD<sup>a</sup>, Dasheng Lin, MD<sup>a,\*</sup>

#### Abstract

**Rationale:** Garden type I femoral neck fractures are incomplete stable fractures with impaction in valgus fractures that the question of whether there exists Garden type I femoral neck fracture is currently uncertain. There is still disagreement on the existence of the Garden type I fractures.

Patient concerns: Herein we report 2 cases with the Garden type I femoral neck fractures. Both of them had a history of simple fall.

**Diagnoses:** The X-ray showed incomplete fractures of the femoral neck and the Garden classification is type I. Further computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging were used to confirm the diagnosis of this type fracture, which showed that the superior cortex of femoral neck was discontinuous and the inferior cortex remains intact.

**Interventions:** The conservative treatment schedule including immobilization of the affected lower limb, strict bed rest, bed-to-wheelchair transfer training, and half-to-full weight bearing mobilization were chosen to treat the patients.

**Outcomes:** Both of them achieved fracture union with conservative treatment at 3-month follow-up. No secondary displacement, signal of osteonecrosis of the femoral head or other complications occurred during 24-month follow-up in 2 cases.

Lessons: Although the Garden type I femoral neck fractures are rare, our report is in line with the real existence of it.

**Abbreviations:** AP = anterior-posterior, CT = computed tomography, HHS = Harris hip score, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, VAS = visual analog scale.

Keywords: femoral neck, garden classification, incomplete fracture, injury mechanism

# 1. Introduction

Femoral neck fractures are common low-energy trauma in the geriatric population. However, there is a bimodal distribution of these fractures, with 2% to 3% occurring in young patients <50 years old after high-energy trauma.<sup>[1]</sup> When a femoral neck fracture is identified, accurate description and classification of the fracture

#### Editor: N/A.

The authors have no funding and conflicts of interest to disclose.

<sup>a</sup> Orthopaedic Center of People's Liberation Army, the Affiliated Southeast Hospital of Xiamen University, Zhangzhou, <sup>b</sup> Orthopaedic Department, the Affiliated Xijing Hospital of Fourth Military Medical University, Xi'an, China.

<sup>\*</sup> Correspondence: Dasheng Lin, Orthopaedic Center of People's Liberation Army, the Affiliated Southeast Hospital of Xiamen University, Zhangzhou 363000, China (e-mail: linds@xmu.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2018 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

Medicine (2018) 97:43(e12876)

Received: 6 June 2018 / Accepted: 25 September 2018 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000012876 pattern can guide the referring clinician in patient education and appropriate treatment. The Garden classification has been widely used in the femoral neck fractures since 1st described by Garden in 1961.<sup>[2]</sup> This classification distinguishes 4 types of femoral neck fractures on the basis of an anterior-posterior (AP) radiograph findings: type I, incomplete subcapital fracture, in which fracture of the inferior cortical buttress is greenstick in nature; type II, complete subcapital fracture without displacement; type III, complete fracture with partial displacement; type IV, complete fracture with full displacement. Garden type I fractures may have intrinsic fracture stability and resist fracture displacement. However, there is little detailed information on the diagnosis, mechanism, and treatment. The question of whether there exists Garden type I fracture is currently uncertain. There is still disagreement on the existence of this type of fracture.<sup>[3,4]</sup> Herein, we report 2 patients with the Garden type I femoral neck fractures and confirm the real existence of it. This study was carried out in accordance with the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki. All experimental protocols were approved by our institutional review board (Xiamen University Ethical Review Committee).

# 2. Case reports

# 2.1. Case 1

A 42-year-old female patient presented to our orthopedics department with right hip pain which was exacerbated after active movement for 3 hours. There was a history of sprain when she missed a step during walking down the stairs. The physical examinations revealed slight swelling of the affected hip joint, tenderness in the lateral part of the right hip, and limited

Written informed consent was obtained from the patient for publication of this case report. A copy of the written consent is available for review by the editor of this journal.

HX and HZ collected the clinical material and retrieved literature, conceived of the study, and participated in its design and drafted the manuscript. KL and DL supervised design, coordination, and drafting of the manuscript, revised the final edition of the manuscript for important professional content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.



Figure 1. The X-ray showed incomplete fracture of the right femoral neck and the Garden classification is type I (Panel A, arrow). Further computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging were used to confirm the diagnosis of this type fracture, which showed the superior cortex of femoral neck is discontinuous and the inferior cortex remains intact (Panels B and C, arrows).

movement of the hip due to the pain. The AP view of the X-ray showed incomplete fracture of the right femoral neck and the classification was Garden type I (Fig. 1A). Further computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) were used to confirm the diagnosis of this type fracture, which showed that the superior cortex of femoral neck was discontinuous and the inferior cortex remained intact (Fig. 1B, C). The patient was given conservative treatment including additional immobilization devices (cast of right lower limb) of the affected lower limb and strict bed rest during the first 3 weeks after injury. Analgesic was used when necessary during the early stage. Then bed-towheelchair transfer training and half-to-full weight bearing mobilization supported by a walker began in the following 6 weeks. In case of the secondary displacement, Routine X-ray of the hip joint was performed for the 1st week after injury. Treatment outcomes in patient were assessed by multiple imaging techniques including X-ray and CT scanning as well as functional recovery Harris hip score (HHS) and visual analog scale (VAS).<sup>[5]</sup> There was no secondary displacement, signal of osteonecrosis of the femoral head or other complications of conservative treatment observed during the 24-month follow-up. Fracture union was achieved at the time of 3-month follow-up. The HHS of this case was improved from 72 to 95 at the time of 3-month follow-up after treatment. The VAS score of the hip pain declined to 0 at the time of 3-month follow-up while the VAS score was 6 at the 1st visit.

# 2.2. Case 2

A 43-year-old female patient presented to our outpatient clinic after suffering a twisting injury to her left hip. She was unable to bear her weight. The reason for injury was that she slipped and fell on a wet bathroom floor. The signs were similar to case 1. The AP view of the X-ray and CT scan showed similar type of femoral fracture as case 1 too, which showed that the superior cortex of femoral neck was discontinuous and the inferior cortex remained intact (Fig. 2). The patient was given the same conservative treatment schedule and assessment method as case 1. The 24-month follow-up showed that there was no secondary displacement, signal of osteonecrosis of the femoral head or other complications of conservative treatment observed, and fracture union was achieved at the 3-month follow-up. The HHS of this case was improved from 77 to 97 at the time of 3-month follow-up after treatment, and the VAS score of the hip pain declined to 0



Figure 2. The X-ray and computed tomography showed incomplete fracture of the right femoral neck and the Garden classification is type I.

at the time of 3-month follow-up while the VAS score was 5 at the 1st visit.

#### 3. Discussion

Garden classification is 1 commonly used femoral neck fracture classification system and it is proved to be superior to other classification systems.<sup>[6]</sup> Garden type I fracture is an incomplete subcapital fracture with the intact inferior cortex of the femoral neck, but the exact fracture line is unclear in many patients. This may due to that the Garden classification is based on 2dimensional images, so it cannot truly represent the spatial displacement of femoral neck fractures. There is still disagreement on the existence of Garden type I fractures.<sup>[3,4]</sup> A review from Raaymakers<sup>[7]</sup> even considered that the really nondisplaced femoral neck fracture does not exist. With the development of radiology, CT scans allow for more accurate diagnosis of the displacement of femoral neck fractures. Many studies comparing CT scans with X-ray showed that incomplete femoral neck fractures identified on X-ray films are actually complete fractures on CT scan and they intended to simplify the Garden classification by eliminating an inaccurate subcategory.<sup>[3,4]</sup> In our study, 2 cases of femoral neck fractures were finally diagnosed as Garden type I fractures definitely by using the X-ray and further CT and/or MRI, which supports the real existence of incomplete subcapital fracture according to the Garden classification.

There is little detailed information on the mechanism of the accurate Garden type I femoral neck fractures up to now. We speculate that the possible mechanism and definition of Garden type I fracture maybe the result of direct or indirect force conduction through the femoral neck in an external rotation or abduction, which produced the extrusion force that goes beyond the limit of elastic collapse load between the femoral head and acetabulum, and finally results in an incomplete compressed fracture of the femoral neck. The fracture can present as simple fracture line through the femoral neck or bone fragment caused by extrusion force on the superior border of the femoral neck. The force may be due to sprain during climbing stairs, which is always an intracapsular fracture. There are many risk factors for hip fracture in older women,<sup>[8]</sup> and both of the patients in this study are females and middle aged. In physiologic young adults, the mechanism of the fracture is different from the older ones.<sup>[9]</sup> Whether this specific type of femoral neck fracture has an association with gender or age still needs more systematic assessment.

There is no specific symptom of this type fracture, and the possible symptoms of it may include pain of the affected hip joint especially when doing exercise, limited movement of the hip joint and slight edema of the hip. The symptoms of the 2 cases included the possible symptoms mentioned above and both of them had a history of simple fall. The CT scan of the hip joint is necessary, according to which the final type of the fracture could be observed and classified. In addition, MRI scan can be used as an extremely accurate method of Garden type I fracture detection.<sup>[10]</sup>

Optimal treatment, including conservative or operative methods, of the Garden type I femoral neck fracture remains unclear.<sup>[11-13]</sup> Although the fracture type is incomplete, the risk of secondary displacement and osteonecrosis of the femoral head may need special attention.<sup>[14]</sup> In our study, both of the patients are middle-aged females, and conservative treatment including additional immobilization devices of the affected lower limb and

strict bed rest during the first 3 weeks after injury, and then bedto-wheelchair transfer training and half-to-full weight bearing mobilization supported by a walker began in the following 6 weeks was chosen. Routine anterior and lateral X-ray of the hip joint was used to monitor the secondary displacement at the 1st week after injury. Both of the patients achieved fracture union with conservative treatment at the time of 3-month follow-up. However, the present study has limitation that the sample size was just 2. These kind of patients need to be further evaluated and treated through nonoperative management.

In conclusion, Garden classification for undisplaced femoral neck fractures has its certain limitations. However, it is still an effective system to classify and evaluate the femoral neck fractures. Garden type I femoral neck fracture is one kind of incomplete compressed fracture of the femoral neck which has its own specific mechanisms and we highlight more clinical studies on the mechanisms of this type of intracapsular fracture. Although they are rare, our report is in line with the reality of the Garden type I femoral neck fractures. Patients of Garden type I femoral neck fractures who were treated by comprehensive conservative treatment also can realize satisfying clinical outcome, but which kind of treatment to choose depends on a series of elements and needs further more studies to be confirmed.

#### Acknowledgment

The authors acknowledge the written consent for publication obtained from the patients featured in the manuscript.

#### Author contributions

Conceptualization: Hang Xian, Hang Zhang. Data curation: Hang Xian, Hang Zhang. Formal analysis: Hang Xian, Hang Zhang. Methodology: Hang Xian, Kejian Lian. Project administration: Kejian Lian, Dasheng Lin. Supervision: Kejian Lian, Dasheng Lin. Writing – original draft: Hang Xian, Hang Zhang, Kejian Lian. Writing – review & editing: Kejian Lian, Dasheng Lin.

#### References

- Johnson JP, Borenstein TR, Waryasz GR, et al. Vertically oriented femoral neck fractures: a biomechanical comparison of three fixation constructs. J Orthop Trauma 2017;31:363.
- [2] Garden RS. Stability and union in subcapital fractures of the femur. J Bone Joint Surg Br 1964;46:630–47.
- [3] Chen W, Li Z, Su Y, et al. Garden type I fractures myth or reality? A prospective study comparing CT scans with X-ray findings in Garden type I femoral neck fractures. Bone 2012;51:929–32.
- [4] Du CL, Ma XL, Zhang T, et al. Reunderstanding of garden type I femoral neck fractures by 3-dimensional reconstruction. Orthopedics 2013;36: 820–5.
- [5] Harris WH. Traumatic arthritis of the hip after dislocation and acetabular fractures: treatment by mold arthroplasty. An end-result study using a new method of result evaluation. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1969;51:737–55.
- [6] Zlowodzki M, Bhandari M, Keel M, et al. Perception of Garden's classification for femoral neck fractures: an international survey of 298 orthopaedic trauma surgeons. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg 2005;125: 503–5.
- [7] Raaymakers EL. Fractures of the femoral neck: a review and personal statement. Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech 2006;73:45–59.
- [8] Grisso JA, Kelsey JL, Strom BL, et al. Risk factors for falls as a cause of hip fracture in women. The Northeast Hip Fracture Study Group. N Engl J Med 1991;324:1326–31.
- [9] Ly TV, Swiontkowski MF. Treatment of femoral neck fractures in young adults. J Bone Joint Surg Am 2008;90:2254–66.

- [10] Lubovsky O, Liebergall M, Mattan Y, et al. Early diagnosis of occult hip fractures MRI versus CT scan. Injury 2005;36: 788–92.
- [11] Parker MJ, Handoll H, Bhargava A. Conservative versus operative treatment for hip fractures in adults. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2008;1–33.
- [12] Jain R, Basinski A, Kreder HJ. Nonoperative treatment of hip fractures. Int Orthop 2003;27:11–7.
- [13] Raaymakers ELFB. The non-operative treatment of impacted femoral neck fractures. Injury 2002;33:C8–14.
- [14] Shuqiang M, Kunzheng W, Zhichao T, et al. Outcome of non-operative management in Garden I femoral neck fractures. Injury 2006;37:974–8.