

# Serum versican as a potential biomarker in patients with uterine fibroids: A study from Eastern India

# Pratima Jasti<sup>1</sup>, Suchitra Kumari<sup>1</sup>, Sweta Singh<sup>2</sup>, Anudeep PP<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India

#### Abstract

**Objective:** Versican is a chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan with raised expression at site of inflammation, and uterine fibroids are associated with local inflammation. Hence, this study aimed to estimate serum Versican levels in pre-menopausal women with uterine fibroids to evaluate its diagnostic efficiency. **Materials and Methods:** This case-control study included forty uterine fibroid cases and 40 healthy controls. Cases clinically evaluated with USG findings, that is number, location of fibroid and volume calculated by prolate ellipse formula a × b × c × 0.523 (a – height, b – width, c – depth). Biochemical investigations, that is serum Versican levels, were estimated by ELISA with total cholesterol, HDLc and LDLc. Triglycerides by fully automated chemistry analysers. Serum biochemical parameters were compared and correlated with volume of fibroid. Area under receiver operating characteristic curve was calculated along with cut-off value to determine diagnostic potential of Versican, differentiating women with fibroids. **Results:** In the present study, patients with fibroids had decreased levels of serum Versican (79.43 ± 18.60) as compared to healthy controls (101.81 ± 28.24, *P* < 0.001). There was a statistically significant negative correlation (*r* = - 0. 307, *P* = 0.04) between serum Versican level was 96.90 ng/ml with 90% sensitivity and 48% specificity. **Conclusion:** Serum Versican levels were found significantly lower in women with fibroid with a negative correlation with volume of fibroid uterus. Furthermore, extensive study would help in substantiating diagnostic potential of serum Versican in fibroid uterus patients.

Keywords: Prolate ellipse formula, serum Versican, uterine fibroid

# Introduction

Uterine fibroids (leiomyoma) are the most common benign mass in the female reproductive tract composed of smooth muscle and extracellular matrix. Its increasing incidence adversely affects the quality of reproductive life, that is abnormal uterine bleeding, infertility and abdominal pain in premenopausal women.

Uterine leiomyomas are composed of modified smooth muscle cells and a large amount of extracellular matrix (ECM).<sup>[1]</sup>

Address for correspondence: Dr. Suchitra Kumari, Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar - 751 019, Odisha, India. E-mail: suchitrakumari76@gmail.com

**Received:** 18-02-2023 **Accepted:** 26-06-2023 **Revised:** 23-06-2023 **Published:** 29-08-2023

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website: http://journals.lww.com/JFMPC	
	DOI: 10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc_320_23	

Smooth muscle cells and a substantial amount of extracellular matrix (ECM) make up uterine fibroids.<sup>[2]</sup> One of the hallmarks of uterine fibroid is excessive ECM deposition, which is mainly responsible for the large sizes of fibroid despite having slow rate of smooth muscle proliferation Compared to normal myometrium, ECM in uterine fibroids shows increased collagens, laminins, fibulin-3, proteoglycans like chondroitin sulphate, dermatan sulphate, matrix metalloproteinase (MMPs) and tissue inhibitor of metalloproteinase (TIMPs), which are mediated by growth factors like TGF- $\beta$ , Activin-A, PDGF, TNF- $\alpha$  and cytokines IL-11 and IL-13.<sup>[3]</sup> An inappropriate inflammatory response is proposed as one of the causes of uterine leiomyoma.<sup>[4]</sup> In the inflammatory milieu, myofibroblast activated to produce ECM components. But chronic inflammatory states lead

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Jasti P, Kumari S, Singh S, Anudeep PP. Serum versican as a potential biomarker in patients with uterine fibroids: A study from Eastern India. J Family Med Prim Care 2023;12:1704-9.

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

to increased and continuous ECM production leading to fibrosis.<sup>[5]</sup> Versican is a chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan and is one of the proteoglycans in ECM present widespread in various tissues. It is involved in cell adhesion, proliferation, migration and extracellular matrix assembly.<sup>[6]</sup> Previous studies have demonstrated role of versican as anti-adhesive molecule.<sup>[7-10]</sup> But its pro-adhesive properties are also reported recently.<sup>[11-13]</sup> Hence, versican plays a complex role in regulating the cell adhesion in extracellular environment. Elevated versican levels in fast-growing tissues point out role of versican in cellular proliferation. Pro- and anti-apoptotic effect of versican also have been studied. Earlier studies showed increased versican at the site of inflammation in ECM and uterine fibroids is developed by inflammation due to chronic stress like hypoxia, oxidative stress, implantation and mechanical stimuli.<sup>[14]</sup> Increase of versican is mediated by growth factors like PDGF and TGF- $\beta$ , and also, it is known that in uterine fibroids, TGF- $\beta$  is increased.<sup>[15]</sup> A few studies showed that there is increased expression of versican in uterine leiomyomas compared to myometrium.[8,12,15] However, we have less information about serum versican level in uterine fibroids patients and its association with the disease progression.

The middle and low-middle sociodemographic index population has the major burden of uterine fibroids.<sup>[16]</sup> In India, with increasing prevalence of asymptomatic uterine fibroids, it serves as a great challenge to primary care providers and family physicians dealing these patients routinely. Raising awareness of uterine fibroids, prompt diagnosis and improving levels of medical care are necessary to reduce future burden. As per earlier studies, there is an increased expression of versican in uterine leiomyomas; hence, it could be a surrogate marker for early diagnosis. This study was conducted with an objective to evaluate the serum versican levels in fibroid uterus cases as compared to healthy women and to correlate it with the volume of the fibroid mass, to explore the diagnostic potential of serum versican.

# **Materials and Methods**

#### **Subjects**

A case–control study was conducted in the Department of Biochemistry, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Bhubaneswar. Forty premenopausal women with ultrasonographically identified uterine fibroids (at least one fibroid) within age group of 25-45 yrs were enrolled as cases and 40 women without ultrasonographically identified UFs, apparently healthy, of age group 25-45 yrs, irrespective of their parity, attending the OPD of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Department, AIIMS Bhubaneswar, from July 2020 to May 2021, were enrolled as control in the study. Patients with idiopathic interstitial pneumonia, cardiovascular disorders, lung diseases, cancer, inflammatory bowel disease, PCOS, pregnancy, current use of oral contraceptives and known cases of diabetes and hypertension were excluded from the study.

#### Sample size

Sample size was calculated based on the  $Z_b$  value of 1.65 for 95% power and  $Z_a$  value of 1.96 for 0.05 significance level and difference as half of standard deviation.

#### Methods

This study was approved by Institutional Ethical Committee of AIIMS Bhubaneswar (IEC/AIIMS BBSR/STS/2020-21/2). All the study participants, that is, 40 cases and 40 controls, were evaluated on the basis of: questionnaire developed, biochemical investigations and clinical evaluation with ultrasonography (size and number of fibroids).

#### **Clinical evaluation**

Questionnaire was prepared pertaining to the study, that is parity (no of childbirth), age of menarche, last menstrual period, history of intake of oral contraceptive pills, miscarriage and any known case of diseases like hypertension, CVD and PCOS. The blood pressure was recorded. Height and weight were measured to calculate body mass index (BMI). Volume of all uterine fibroids was measured using prolate ellipse formula-  $a \times b \times c \times 0.523$ , where a is height, b is width, and c is depth of fibroid obtained from ultrasound.

#### **Biochemical analysis**

After having informed written consent, 3 ml of venous blood was collected by venepuncture from all participants for biochemical evaluation; then, it was processed to separate serum within 1 hour after withdrawal and was stored at -80°C until needed for assays. Serum versican level was evaluated by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) using a commercial human VCAN (versican) ELISA kit (Elabscience, TX, USA) as per the manufacturer's recommendations. In brief, sample and standard were added to the respective wells in the ELISA plate and incubated, followed by a wash step, then by addition of biotinylated detection antibody working solution to each well and incubation. After the wash, HRP conjugate working solution was added and incubated. Subsequently, substrate reagent was added followed by addition of stop solution to stop the reaction. Plate results were measured at 450 nm using the ELISA reader (Biotek ELx50, VT, USA). Fasting plasma glucose and lipid profile were evaluated by fully automated chemistry analyzer (Beckman Coulter 5800, AU platform). Biochemical test results, clinical findings and anthropometric measurements were recorded for all participants in their case study form.

#### Statistical analysis

Data obtained from questionnaire, biochemical and clinical evaluation were analysed statistically using SPSS software. Various parameters like true-positive (TP), false-positive (FP), false-negative (FN) and true-negative (TN) were calculated. In addition, basic test accuracy measures were investigated: sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), false-positive rate (FPR) and false-negative rate (FNR).

Predictive values for differentiation between UFs and control were calculated. Changes in sensitivity and specificity were presented in receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve. Then area under curve (AUC) of ROC curve was calculated to determine its usefulness for diagnosing uterine fibroids. Cut-off value was also evaluated to differentiate between patients with and without uterine fibroids. After categorising them into uterine fibroid positive, serum versican levels were correlated with kind of fibroid and also with volume of uterine fibroids using prolate ellipse formula.

#### **Results**

Clinicodemographic profile of all the study participants was analysed and presented in Table 1. Age at menarche was significantly lower in cases as compared to healthy control (P < 0.05). Most of the uterine fibroid cases were multiparous without any history of miscarriage.

Among the biochemical parameters, the serum versican level was found significantly low (P < 0.001) in fibroid uterus cases as compared to controls [Table 2, Figure 1] and the LDLc level was found higher in cases (P < 0.05).

Serum versican levels when analysed as per the fibroid types, and compared with Kruskal-Wallis test, the difference was not found significant, with the values of intramural type  $82.83 \pm 33.74$ , subserosal 63.50  $\pm$  18.16, submucosal 80.96  $\pm$  5.25 and cervical  $78.02 \pm 7.34$  (*P* value: 0.120).

The volume of uterine fibroids as measured using prolate ellipse formula  $a \times b \times c \times 0.523$ , (a is height, b is width, and c is depth) obtained from ultrasound was correlated with the serum versican levels in fibroid uterus cases and presented in Figure 2. Pearson's analysis was performed to evaluate correlation, and volume of uterine fibroids showed a negative correlation with the serum versican level (r = -0.307, P value: 0.054).



Figure 1: Comparison of serum versican levels between fibroid uterus cases and control

To evaluate the diagnostic potential of serum versican, ROC analysis was performed. The area was 0.726 (95% CI: 0.616-0.836; P = 0.001) as depicted in Figure 3. The best cut-off value for serum versican level was 96.90 ng/ml with 90% sensitivity and 48% specificity.

Usefulness of the diagnostic test was performed by analysing the true positive, false positive [Table 3] with a positive predictive value (PPV) of 63% and a negative predictive value (NPV) of 83%.

# Discussion

Versican has a regulatory role in cellular proliferation, cell migration, extracellular matrix (ECM) assembly and inflammation. Versican can interact with various ECM substrates

CharacteristicsCase $(n=40)$ Control $(n=40)$ PAge (years) $42.55\pm6.71$ $39.87\pm8.49$ $0.060$ Height (cm) $152.55\pm9.96$ $157.52\pm5.98$ $0.11$ Weight (kg) $58.60\pm9.27$ $59.97\pm10.71$ $0.260$ BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) $25.43\pm5.03$ $24.37\pm5.14$ $0.242$ Age at menarche (years) $12.32\pm0.68$ $13.22\pm1.06$ $<0.001^{**}$ ParityNulliparous $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $0.749$ Uniparous $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $0.019^*$ Multiparous $27$ ( $67.5\%$ ) $25$ ( $62.5\%$ ) $0.019^*$ History of MiscarriageNo $29$ ( $72.5\%$ ) $37$ ( $92.5\%$ ) $0.019^*$ Yes $11$ ( $27.5\%$ ) $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $0.019^*$ Yes $11$ ( $27.5\%$ ) $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $0.133$ Yes $14$ ( $35\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.133$ Yes $14$ ( $35\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O HypertensionNo $33$ ( $82.5\%$ ) $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $0.330$ Yes $7$ ( $17.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $1/O$ DMNo $36$ ( $90\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $1/O$ DMNo $36$ ( $90\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $1/O$ DMNo $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $40$ ( $100\%$ ) $0.055$ Ver $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $0.00\%$	Table 1: Clinicodemographic profile of study participants					
Age (years) $42.55\pm6.71$ $39.87\pm8.49$ $0.060$ Height (cm) $152.55\pm9.96$ $157.52\pm5.98$ $0.11$ Weight (kg) $58.60\pm9.27$ $59.97\pm10.71$ $0.260$ BMI (kg/m²) $25.43\pm5.03$ $24.37\pm5.14$ $0.242$ Age at menarche (years) $12.32\pm0.68$ $13.22\pm1.06$ $<0.001^{**}$ ParityNulliparous $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $0.749$ Uniparous $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $0.019^{**}$ Multiparous $27$ ( $67.5\%$ ) $25$ ( $62.5\%$ ) $0.019^{**}$ History of MiscarriageNo $29$ ( $72.5\%$ ) $37$ ( $92.5\%$ ) $0.019^{**}$ Yes $11$ ( $27.5\%$ ) $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $0.019^{**}$ History of Oral ContraceptivePill consumption $No$ $26$ ( $65\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.133$ Yes $14$ ( $35\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.246$ $Diastolic$ $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O HypertensionNo $33$ ( $82.5\%$ ) $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $0.330$ Yes $7$ ( $17.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ )H/O DMNo $36$ ( $90\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ )H/O HypothyroidismNo $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $0.0055$ YesNo $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $40$ ( $100\%$ ) $0.055$	Characteristics	Case (n=40)	Control (n=40)	Р		
Height (cm) $152.55\pm9.96$ $157.52\pm5.98$ $0.11$ Weight (kg) $58.60\pm9.27$ $59.97\pm10.71$ $0.260$ BMI (kg/m²) $25.43\pm5.03$ $24.37\pm5.14$ $0.242$ Age at menarche (years) $12.32\pm0.68$ $13.22\pm1.06$ $<0.001^{**}$ Parity $V$ $V$ $V$ $V$ Nulliparous $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $0.749$ Uniparous $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $10$ ( $25\%$ ) $V$ Multiparous $27$ ( $67.5\%$ ) $25$ ( $62.5\%$ ) $V$ History of Miscarriage $V$ $V$ $V$ No $29$ ( $72.5\%$ ) $37$ ( $92.5\%$ ) $0.019^*$ Yes $11$ ( $27.5\%$ ) $3$ ( $7.5\%$ ) $0.019^*$ Vistory of Oral Contraceptive $V$ $V$ Pill consumption $V$ $V$ No $26$ ( $65\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.133$ Yes $14$ ( $35\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $V$ $V$ $V$ No $33$ ( $82.5\%$ ) $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $0.330$ Yes $7$ ( $17.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $V$ H/O DM $V$ $V$ $V$ No $36$ ( $90\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ ) $0.60\%$	Age (years)	42.55±6.71	39.87±8.49	0.060		
Weight (kg) $58.60 \pm 9.27$ $59.97 \pm 10.71$ $0.260$ BMI (kg/m²) $25.43 \pm 5.03$ $24.37 \pm 5.14$ $0.242$ Age at menarche (years) $12.32 \pm 0.68$ $13.22 \pm 1.06$ $<0.001^{**}$ Parity $10(25\%)$ $10(25\%)$ $0.749$ $Uniparous$ $0(25\%)$ $10(25\%)$ Multiparous $27(67.5\%)$ $25(62.5\%)$ $History of Miscarriage$ $0.019^*$ No $29(72.5\%)$ $37(92.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ Yes $11(27.5\%)$ $3(7.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ History of Oral Contraceptive $14(35\%)$ $8(20\%)$ Pill consumption $122.92 \pm 11.52$ $124.95 \pm 15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25 \pm 8.75$ $79.57 \pm 7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $No$ $33(82.5\%)$ $35(87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7(17.5\%)$ $5(12.5\%)$ $H/O$ Hypothyroidism $0.499$ No $36(90\%)$ $32(80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4(10\%)$ $8(20\%)$ $0.499$	Height (cm)	152.55±9.96	$157.52 \pm 5.98$	0.11		
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	Weight (kg)	$58.60 \pm 9.27$	59.97±10.71	0.260		
Age at menarche (years) $12.32\pm0.68$ $13.22\pm1.06$ $<0.001^{**}$ ParityNulliparous $3$ (7.5%) $5$ (12.5%) $0.749$ Uniparous $10$ (25%) $10$ (25%) $0.749$ Multiparous $27$ (67.5%) $25$ (62.5%)History of MiscarriageNo $29$ (72.5%) $37$ (92.5%)No $29$ (72.5%) $37$ (92.5%) $0.019^*$ Yes $11$ (27.5%) $3$ (7.5%) $0.019^*$ History of Oral ContraceptivePill consumption $0.133$ Yes $14$ (35%) $8$ (20%) $0.133$ Yes $14$ (35%) $8$ (20%) $0.133$ Blood Pressure $5$ (22.92±11.52 $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $No$ $33$ (82.5%) $35$ (87.5%) $0.330$ Yes $7$ (17.5%) $5$ (12.5%) $1/O$ DM $No$ $36$ (90%) $32$ (80%) $0.499$ Yes $4$ (10%) $8$ (20%) $1.40$ $1.40$ $1.40$ No $36$ (90%) $32$ (80%) $0.499$ $1.40$ No $36$ (90%) $32$ (80%) $0.499$ Yes $4$ (10%) $8$ (20%) $1.40$ H/O Hypothyroidism $0.000$ $0.055$ Yes $5$ (12.5%) $0.000$	BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$25.43 \pm 5.03$	$24.37 \pm 5.14$	0.242		
Parity $3 (7.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $0.749$ Uniparous $10 (25\%)$ $10 (25\%)$ $0.749$ Multiparous $27 (67.5\%)$ $25 (62.5\%)$ History of Miscarriage $29 (72.5\%)$ $37 (92.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ Yes $11 (27.5\%)$ $3 (7.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ History of Oral Contraceptive $11 (27.5\%)$ $3 (7.5\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25 \pm 8.75$ $79.57 \pm 7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $No$ $33 (82.5\%)$ $35 (87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $14 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ H/O DM $No$ $36 (90\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.60\%$	Age at menarche (years)	$12.32 \pm 0.68$	$13.22 \pm 1.06$	< 0.001**		
Nulliparous $3 (7.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $0.749$ Uniparous $10 (25\%)$ $10 (25\%)$ $10 (25\%)$ Multiparous $27 (67.5\%)$ $25 (62.5\%)$ History of Miscarriage $29 (72.5\%)$ $37 (92.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ Yes $11 (27.5\%)$ $3 (7.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ History of Oral Contraceptive $11 (27.5\%)$ $3 (7.5\%)$ $0.019^*$ Pill consumption $26 (65\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm 8.75$ $79.57\pm 7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $5 (12.5\%)$ $0.00\%$ H/O Hypothyroidism $0.00\%$ $0.055$ No $35 (87.5\%)$ $40 (100\%)$ $0.055$	Parity					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } Uniparous & 10 (25\%) & 10 (25\%) \\ Multiparous & 27 (67.5\%) & 25 (62.5\%) \\ History of Miscarriage & & & & \\ No & 29 (72.5\%) & 37 (92.5\%) & 0.019* \\ Yes & 11 (27.5\%) & 3 (7.5\%) & & \\ History of Oral Contraceptive & & & & \\ Pill consumption & & & & \\ No & 26 (65\%) & 32 (80\%) & 0.133 \\ Yes & 14 (35\%) & 8 (20\%) & \\ Blood Pressure & & & \\ Systolic & 122.92\pm11.52 & 124.95\pm15.03 & 0.246 \\ Diastolic & 78.25\pm8.75 & 79.57\pm7.21 & 0.298 \\ H/O Hypertension & & & \\ No & 33 (82.5\%) & 35 (87.5\%) & 0.330 \\ Yes & 7 (17.5\%) & 5 (12.5\%) & \\ H/O DM & & & \\ No & 36 (90\%) & 32 (80\%) & 0.499 \\ Yes & 4 (10\%) & 8 (20\%) & \\ H/O Hypothyroidism & & & \\ No & 35 (87.5\%) & 40 (100\%) & 0.055 \\ Yac & 5 (12.5\%) & 0 (0\%) & \\ \end{array}$	Nulliparous	3 (7.5%)	5 (12.5%)	0.749		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c } \mbox{Multiparous} & 27 (67.5\%) & 25 (62.5\%) \\ \mbox{History of Miscarriage} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{No} & 29 (72.5\%) & 37 (92.5\%) & 0.019* \\ \mbox{Yes} & 11 (27.5\%) & 3 (7.5\%) & & & \\ \mbox{History of Oral Contraceptive} & & & & & \\ \mbox{Pill consumption} & & & & & & \\ \mbox{No} & 26 (65\%) & 32 (80\%) & 0.133 \\ \mbox{Yes} & 14 (35\%) & 8 (20\%) & & \\ \mbox{Blood Pressure} & & & & \\ \mbox{Systolic} & 122.92 \pm 11.52 & 124.95 \pm 15.03 & 0.246 \\ \mbox{Diastolic} & 78.25 \pm 8.75 & 79.57 \pm 7.21 & 0.298 \\ \mbox{H/O Hypertension} & & & & \\ \mbox{No} & 33 (82.5\%) & 35 (87.5\%) & 0.330 \\ \mbox{Yes} & 7 (17.5\%) & 5 (12.5\%) & & \\ \mbox{H/O DM} & & & & \\ \mbox{No} & 36 (90\%) & 32 (80\%) & 0.499 \\ \mbox{Yes} & 4 (10\%) & 8 (20\%) & & \\ \mbox{H/O Hypothyroidism} & & & \\ \mbox{No} & 35 (87.5\%) & 40 (100\%) & 0.055 \\ \mbox{Yave} & 5 (12.5\%) & & 0 (0\%) \\ \end{tabular}$	Uniparous	10 (25%)	10 (25%)			
History of MiscarriageNo29 (72.5%)37 (92.5%) $0.019^*$ Yes11 (27.5%)3 (7.5%) $0.019^*$ History of Oral Contraceptive11 (27.5%)3 (7.5%)Pill consumption26 (65%)32 (80%) $0.133$ Yes14 (35%)8 (20%) $0.133$ Blood Pressure520.92±11.52124.95±15.03 $0.246$ Diastolic78.25±8.7579.57±7.21 $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $Ves$ 7 (17.5%)5 (12.5%)H/O DM $Ves$ 4 (10%)8 (20%)No36 (90%)32 (80%) $0.499$ Yes4 (10%)8 (20%)H/O Hypothyroidism $Ves$ $0.00\%$	Multiparous	27 (67.5%)	25 (62.5%)			
No29 (72.5%)37 (92.5%) $0.019^*$ Yes11 (27.5%)3 (7.5%) $(7.5\%)$ $(7.5\%)$ History of Oral Contraceptive Pill consumption $26 (65\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ No26 (65%) $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ Yes14 (35%) $8 (20\%)$ $0.133$ Blood Pressure $5000000000000000000000000000000000000$	History of Miscarriage					
Yes11 (27.5%)3 (7.5%)History of Oral Contraceptive Pill consumption $(55\%)$ $(7.5\%)$ No26 (65%) $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ Yes14 (35%) $8 (20\%)$ $(20\%)$ Blood Pressure $(122.92\pm11.52)$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $(17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $(17.5\%)$ No $33 (82.5\%)$ $35 (87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ $(100M)$ No $36 (90\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ $(109\%)$ H/O Hypothyroidism $(35 (87.5\%))$ $40 (100\%)$ $0.055$ Var $5 (12.5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$	No	29 (72.5%)	37 (92.5%)	0.019*		
History of Oral ContraceptivePill consumptionNo $26 (65\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ Blood PressureSystolic $122.92\pm11.52$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O HypertensionNo $33 (82.5\%)$ $35 (87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ H/O DMNo $36 (90\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ H/O HypothyroidismNo $35 (87.5\%)$ $40 (100\%)$ $0.055$ Var $5 (12.5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$	Yes	11 (27.5%)	3 (7.5%)			
Pill consumption         No       26 (65%)       32 (80%)       0.133         Yes       14 (35%)       8 (20%)         Blood Pressure       5       5       122.92 $\pm$ 11.52       124.95 $\pm$ 15.03       0.246         Diastolic       78.25 $\pm$ 8.75       79.57 $\pm$ 7.21       0.298         H/O Hypertension       7 (17.5%)       35 (87.5%)       0.330         Yes       7 (17.5%)       5 (12.5%)         H/O DM       7       1000000000000000000000000000000000000	History of Oral Contraceptive					
No $26 (65\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.133$ Yes $14 (35\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ Blood PressureSystolic $122.92\pm11.52$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O HypertensionNo $33 (82.5\%)$ $35 (87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ H/O DMNo $36 (90\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ H/O HypothyroidismNo $35 (87.5\%)$ $40 (100\%)$ $0.055$ Var $5 (12.5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$	Pill consumption					
Yes14 (35%)8 (20%)Blood PressureSystolic $122.92\pm11.52$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O HypertensionNo $33$ (82.5%) $35$ (87.5%) $0.330$ Yes $7$ (17.5%) $5$ (12.5%)H/O DMNo $36$ (90%) $32$ (80%) $0.499$ Yes $4$ (10%) $8$ (20%)H/O HypothyroidismNo $35$ (87.5%) $40$ (100%) $0.055$ Var $5$ (12.5%) $0$ (0%)	No	26 (65%)	32 (80%)	0.133		
Blood Pressure           Systolic $122.92\pm11.52$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $33$ ( $82.5\%$ ) $35$ ( $87.5\%$ ) $0.330$ Yes $7$ ( $17.5\%$ ) $5$ ( $12.5\%$ )           H/O DM $36$ ( $90\%$ ) $32$ ( $80\%$ ) $0.499$ Yes $4$ ( $10\%$ ) $8$ ( $20\%$ )           H/O Hypothyroidism $5$ ( $12.5\%$ ) $0.0055$	Yes	14 (35%)	8 (20%)			
Systolic $122.92\pm11.52$ $124.95\pm15.03$ $0.246$ Diastolic $78.25\pm8.75$ $79.57\pm7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $33(82.5\%)$ $35(87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7(17.5\%)$ $5(12.5\%)$ H/O DM $36(90\%)$ $32(80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4(10\%)$ $8(20\%)$ H/O Hypothyroidism $5(12.5\%)$ $0.055$	Blood Pressure					
Diastolic $78.25\pm 8.75$ $79.57\pm 7.21$ $0.298$ H/O Hypertension $33 (82.5\%)$ $35 (87.5\%)$ $0.330$ Yes $7 (17.5\%)$ $5 (12.5\%)$ H/O DM $36 (90\%)$ $32 (80\%)$ $0.499$ Yes $4 (10\%)$ $8 (20\%)$ H/O Hypothyroidism $35 (87.5\%)$ $40 (100\%)$ $0.055$ Yas $5 (12.5\%)$ $0 (0\%)$	Systolic	122.92±11.52	$124.95 \pm 15.03$	0.246		
H/O Hypertension No 33 (82.5%) 35 (87.5%) 0.330 Yes 7 (17.5%) 5 (12.5%) H/O DM No 36 (90%) 32 (80%) 0.499 Yes 4 (10%) 8 (20%) H/O Hypothyroidism No 35 (87.5%) 40 (100%) 0.055 Yes 5 (12.5%) 0 (0%)	Diastolic	78.25±8.75	79.57±7.21	0.298		
No         33 (82.5%)         35 (87.5%)         0.330           Yes         7 (17.5%)         5 (12.5%)         1           H/O DM         36 (90%)         32 (80%)         0.499           Yes         4 (10%)         8 (20%)         1           H/O Hypothyroidism         5 (12.5%)         0.055	H/O Hypertension					
Yes         7 (17.5%)         5 (12.5%)           H/O DM	No	33 (82.5%)	35 (87.5%)	0.330		
H/O DM No 36 (90%) 32 (80%) 0.499 Yes 4 (10%) 8 (20%) H/O Hypothyroidism No 35 (87.5%) 40 (100%) 0.055 Yes 5 (12.5%) 0 (0%)	Yes	7 (17.5%)	5 (12.5%)			
No         36 (90%)         32 (80%)         0.499           Yes         4 (10%)         8 (20%)            H/O Hypothyroidism         35 (87.5%)         40 (100%)         0.055           Var         5 (12.5%)         0 (0%)	H/O DM					
Yes         4 (10%)         8 (20%)           H/O Hypothyroidism	No	36 (90%)	32 (80%)	0.499		
H/O Hypothyroidism No 35 (87.5%) 40 (100%) 0.055 Var 5 (12.5%) 0 (10%)	Yes	4 (10%)	8 (20%)			
No $35 (87.5\%) 40 (100\%) 0.055$	H/O Hypothyroidism					
$V_{00}$ 5 (12 5%) 0 (0%)	No	35 (87.5%)	40 (100%)	0.055		
105 $3(12.370)   0(070)$	Yes	5 (12.5%)	0 (0%)			

Table 2: Biochemical parameters of the study population						
Parameters	Cases	Control	Р			
Versican (ng/ml)	79.43±18.60	$101.81 \pm 28.24$	0.001**			
FBS (mg/dl)	106.95±20.73	$102.05 \pm 43.36$	0.312			
Total Cholesterol (mg/dl)	$156.95 \pm 35.70$	151.22±33.89	0.620			
LDLc (mg/dl)	113.12±19.67	90.32±14.63	0.043*			
Triglycerides (mg/dl)	132.52±79.13	152.97±93.60	0.934			
HDLc (mg/dl)	35.72±11.18	43.37±8.38	0.085			

Note: \*=significant (P<0.05); \*\*=highly significant (P<0.001)



Figure 2: Correlation between the serum versican levels and volume of fibroid uterus

Table 3: Diagnostic performance of serum Versican         levels in the study participants						
Versican	UF positive (n, %)	UF negative (n, %)	Total (n, %)			
Positive result	36 (TP), 90%	21 (FP), 53%	57,71%			
Negative result	4 (FN), 10%	19 (TN), 47%	23,29%			
Total	40	40	80			
UF=Uterine fibroid, TP=7	Frue positive, FP=False positiv	e, FN=False negative, TN=Tru	ie negative			

like hyaluronan and mediate ECM assembly.<sup>[17]</sup> Previous studies have demonstrated role of versican as anti-adhesive molecule. Versican is involved in the inflammatory response, and the macrophage-derived versican expression has been found to increase in response to acute inflammation. Uterine fibroid is a chronic inflammation state leading to altered expression of different gens. One of the hallmarks of uterine fibroid is excessive ECM deposition, which is mainly responsible for the large sizes of fibroid despite having slow rate of smooth muscle proliferation. Proteomic profiling study by Jamaluddin et al. showed a significant upregulated versican expression in small fibroids comparing to normal adjacent myometrial tissue.<sup>[18]</sup> Barker et al. demonstrated an increased versican mRNA level in leiomyoma comparing to normal myometrium.<sup>[19]</sup> In our present study, we found out that patients with uterine fibroids had decreased levels of serum versican as compared to healthy controls. Additionally, there is a statistically significant negative correlation between serum versican level and size of uterine fibroid, that is, the larger the volume of fibroid, the lesser is the serum versican levels, suggesting its possible involvement in pathogenesis of fibroid uterus. Among the various isoforms of versican, a study by Norian et al. showed similar results, in which V0 forms was found to be drastically increased in uterine leiomyoma tissue.<sup>[20]</sup> Elevated versican in leiomyoma and keloid, two common conditions resulting from fibrosis also reported previously<sup>[21]</sup> Gueye et al. demonstrated significantly high V0 and V1 variants in leiomyomal tissue comparing to normal



Figure 3: Receiver operating characteristic curve of serum versican levels for diagnosis of fibroid uterus

myometrium.<sup>[22]</sup> In their study, they also reported an elevated (a disintegrin and metalloprotease with thrombospondin repeats) ADAMTS4 activity and increased cleaved versican forms in uterine fibroids. Increased versican expression in uterine tissue also demonstrated in leiomyomal sarcoma. Thus, elevated expression of versican in fibroid uterus is evaluated previously.<sup>[23]</sup> But alteration in serum versican level in uterine fibroid cases largely remains unexplored. In the present study, regression analysis was performed to evaluate the diagnostic potential of serum versican and the best cut-off value for serum versican level was found to be 96.90 ng/ml in fibroid uterus cases, with 90% sensitivity and 48% specificity. This serum values would be useful in detecting fibroid uterus in women presenting with suggestive clinical features. In situations with problematic differentiation, lower serum versican can be used as a marker for diagnosing uterine fibroids. The expression of several versican subtypes was reported to be higher in leiomyoma tissue and primary cells compared to their healthy tissue counterparts by microarray analysis.<sup>[24]</sup> In addition, Carrino et al. reported higher amounts of versican in uterine leiomyoma and keloid scars compared to corresponding healthy tissues (Carrino et al., 2012), suggesting a molecular link in the ECM composition between these two fibrotic diseases.<sup>[21]</sup> One could speculate that an increased rate of degradation during stable disease is an attempt to normalize the increased versican levels found in ECM.<sup>[25]</sup> As per the findings of the present study, there is correlation between the serum versican levels and size of uterine fibroid (measured using prolate ellipse formula obtained from ultrasound). In primary healthcare set-ups, with nonavailability of the ultrasound facility, serum versican estimation could be a noninvasive tool for early diagnosis of uterine fibroid, thereby reducing the disease burden at grassroot level.<sup>[26]</sup> These preliminary findings pave the way for further functional studies related to tissue expression, local niche, activation of versican and its release into circulation, would provide an insight into the biochemical basis of serum expression in fibroid uterus.

# Conclusion

The serum versican levels were found significantly lower in women with fibroid uterus as compared to healthy control. A significant negative correlation existed between serum versican levels and size of uterine fibroid suggesting the potential diagnostic and prognostic role of serum versican levels in fibroid uterus. Furthermore, extensive study in a different set of population would help in substantiating the efficacy of serum versican as a biomarker in fibroid uterus patients.

# **Key points**

- Versican is a chondroitin sulphate proteoglycan and is one of the major proteoglycans in extracellular matrix.
- Uterine leiomyomas are composed of modified smooth muscle cells and a large amount of extracellular matrix.
- The serum versican level was found significantly low in fibroid uterus cases as compared to controls.
- The volume of uterine fibroids as measured using prolate ellipse formula- a × b × c × 0.523, (a is height, b is width, and c is depth) obtained from ultrasound was found to be correlated with the serum versican levels in fibroid uterus cases.
- The best cut-off value for serum versican level was 96.90 ng/ ml with 90% sensitivity and 48% specificity.
- Serum versican would be useful in detecting fibroid uterus in women presenting with suggestive clinical features in primary healthcare set-ups.

# Acknowledgement

This is an ICMR STS Awarded project work.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

# **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

# References

- 1. Yamada T, Nakago S, Kurachi O, Wang J, Takekida S, Matsuo H, *et al.* Progesterone down-regulates insulin-like growth factor-I expression in cultured human uterine leiomyoma cells. Hum Reprod 2004;19:815-21. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh146.
- 2. Islam MS, Ciavattini A, Petraglia F, Castellucci M, Ciarmela P. Extracellular matrix in uterine leiomyoma pathogenesis: A potential target for future therapeutics. Hum Reprod Update 2018;24:59-85. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmx032.
- 3. Wight TN. Versican: A versatile extracellular matrix proteoglycan in cell biology. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2002;14:617-23. doi: 10.1016/s0955-0674(02) 00375-7.
- 4. Keire PA, Bressler SL, Lemire JM, Edris B, Rubin BP, Rahmani M, *et al.* A role for versican in the development of leiomyosarcoma. J Biol Chem 2014;289:34089-103.
- 5. Norian JM, Malik M, Parker CY, Joseph D, Leppert PC, Segars JH, *et al.* Transforming growth factor beta3 regulates

the versican variants in the extracellular matrix-rich uterine leiomyomas. Reprod Sci 2009;16:1153-64.

- 6. Leppert P, Fouany M, Segars JH. Understanding uterine fibroids. Fibroids. Segars JH: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.; Oxford: 2013.
- 7. Ciebiera M, Włodarczyk M, Wrzosek M, Męczekalski B, Nowicka G, Łukaszuk K, *et al.* Role of transforming growth factor  $\beta$  in uterine fibroid biology. Int J Mol Sci 2017;18:2435. doi: 10.3390/ijms18112435.
- 8. Aninye IO, Laitner MH. Uterine Fibroids: Assessing unmet needs from bench to bedside. J Womens Health (Larchmt) 2021;30:1060-7. doi: 10.1089/jwh. 2021.0280.
- 9. Commandeur AE, Styer AK, Teixeira JM. Epidemiological and genetic clues for molecular mechanisms involved in uterine leiomyoma development and growth. Hum Reprod Update 2015;21:593-615. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dmv030.
- Pavone D, Clemenza S, Sorbi F, Fambrini M, Petraglia F. Epidemiology and risk factors of uterine fibroids. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2018;46:3-11. doi: 10.1016/j. bpobgyn. 2017.09.004.
- 11. Khan AT, Shehmar M, Gupta JK. Uterine fibroids: Current perspectives. Int J Womens Health 2014;6:95-114. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S51083.
- 12. Laughlin SK, Schroeder JC, Baird DD. New directions in the epidemiology of uterine fibroids. Semin Reprod Med 2010;28:204-17. doi: 10.1055/s-0030-1251477.
- 13. Barker NM, Carrino DA, Caplan AI, Hurd WW, Liu JH, Tan H, *et al.* Proteoglycans in leiomyoma and normal myometrium: Abundance, steroid hormone control, and implications for pathophysiology. Reprod Sci 2016;23:302-9.
- Järveläinen H, Sainio A, Koulu M, Wight TN, Penttinen R. Extracellular matrix molecules: Potential targets in pharmacotherapy. Pharmacol Rev 2009;61:198-223. doi: 10.1124/pr. 109.001289.
- 15. Chang MY, Tanino Y, Vidova V, Kinsella MG, Chan CK, Johnson PY, *et al.* Reprint of: A rapid increase in macrophage-derived versican and hyaluronan in infectious lung disease. Matrix Biol 2014;35:162-73. doi: 10.1016/j. matbio. 2014.04.003.
- 16. Lou Z, Huang Y, Li S, Luo Z, Li C, Chu K, *et al.* Global, regional, and national time trends in incidence, prevalence, years lived with disability for uterine fibroids, 1990-2019: An age-period-cohort analysis for the global burden of disease 2019 study. BMC Public Health 2023;23:916. doi: 10.1186/ s12889-023-15765-x.
- 17. Leppert PC, Catherino WH, Segars JH. A new hypothesis about the origin of uterine fibroids based on gene expression profiling with microarrays. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195:415-20. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog. 2005.12.059.
- 18. Jamaluddin MFB, Ko YA, Kumar M, Brown Y, Bajwa P, Nagendra PB, *et al.* Proteomic profiling of human uterine fibroids reveals upregulation of the extracellular matrix protein periostin. Endocrinology 2018;159:1106-18. doi: 10.1210/en. 2017-03018.
- 19. Barker NM, Carrino DA, Caplan AI, Hurd WW, Liu JH, Tan H, *et al.* Proteoglycans in leiomyoma and normal myometrium: Abundance, steroid hormone control, and implications for pathophysiology. Reprod Sci 2016;23:302-9. doi: 10.1177/1933719115607994.
- 20. Norian JM, Malik M, Parker CY, Joseph D, Leppert PC, Segars JH, *et al.* Transforming growth factor beta3 regulates the versican variants in the extracellular matrix-rich uterine leiomyomas. Reprod Sci 2009;16:1153-64.

- 21. Carrino DA, Mesiano S, Barker NM, Hurd WW, Caplan AI. Proteoglycans of uterine fibroids and keloid scars: Similarity in their proteoglycan composition. Biochem J 2012;443:361-8. doi: 10.1042/BJ20111996.
- Gueye NA, Mead TJ, Koch CD, Biscotti CV, Falcone T, Apte SS. Versican Proteolysis by ADAMTS proteases and its influence on sex steroid receptor expression in uterine leiomyoma. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2017;102:1631-41. doi: 10.1210/ jc. 2016-3527.
- 23. Sand JMB, Tanino Y, Karsdal MA, Nikaido T, Misa K, Sato Y, *et al.* A Serological biomarker of versican degradation is associated with mortality following acute exacerbations of idiopathic interstitial pneumonia. Respir Res 2018;19:82. doi: 10.1186/s12931-018-0779-y.
- 24. Leppert PC, Catherino WH, Segars JH. A new hypothesis about the origin of uterine fibroids based on gene expression profiling with microarrays. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195:415-20.
- 25. Ishikawa H, Ishi K, Serna VA, Kakazu R, Bulun SE, Kurita T. Progesterone is essential for maintenance and growth of uterine leiomyoma. Endocrinology 2010;151:2433-42. doi: 10.1210/en. 2009-1225.
- 26. Huang D, Magaoay B, Rosen MP, Cedars MI. Presence of fibroids on transvaginal ultrasonography in a community-based, diverse cohort of 996 reproductive-age female participants. JAMA Netw Open 2023;6:e2312701. doi: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen. 2023.12701.