



Prevalence of Dental Caries and Associated Factors in East Africa, 2000–2020: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis

Amare Teshome^{1*}, Abebe Muche² and Biruk Girma¹

¹ Department of Dentistry, School of Medicine, College of Medicine and Health Science, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia, ² Department of Human Anatomy, School of Medicine, College of Medicine and Health Science, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Morenike Oluwatoyin Folayan, Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria

Reviewed by:

Duangporn Duangthip, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Guglielmo Campus, University of Bern, Switzerland Wondimeneh Shiferaw, Debre Berhan University, Ethiopia

> *Correspondence: Amare Teshome teferaden@gmail.com

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Children and Health, a section of the journal Frontiers in Public Health

Received: 23 December 2020 Accepted: 15 March 2021 Published: 29 April 2021

Citation:

Teshome A, Muche A and Girma B (2021) Prevalence of Dental Caries and Associated Factors in East Africa, 2000–2020: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Front. Public Health 9:645091. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.645091 **Background:** Dental caries affects mastication, growth and development, and school attendance and has a long-term psychological effect on affected individuals. In developing countries, the prevalence of dental caries is increasing due to the growing consumption of sugary foods, poor tooth brushing habits, and a low level of awareness about dental caries. Even if there was a high prevalence of dental caries in sub-Saharan Africa, there is a paucity of data on the prevalence of dental caries in East Africa. Hence, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of dental caries and associated factors in East Africa.

Methods: A systematic search of articles was conducted in MEDLINE, Scopus, and Google Scholar using all the synonyms of dental caries in published literature (until December 2020) in East Africa. Important data were extracted using a standardized data extraction form prepared in Excel. Stata software (version 14.0) was used to calculate the pooled prevalence of dental caries. Besides, subgroup analysis was done based on country and dentition type. Moreover, associated factors of dental caries were assessed and the overall effect was presented in the form of odds ratios. The quality of the included studies was evaluated using the Joanna Briggs Institute reviewers' manual.

Results: The overall pooled prevalence of dental caries was found to be 45.7% (95% CI = 38.0-53.4). The pooled prevalence was high in Eritrea (65.2%, 95% CI = 49.2-81.1), followed by Sudan (57.8%, 95% CI = 36.0-79.7), and a low prevalence was found in Tanzania (30.7%, 95% CI = 21.5-39.9). Moreover, the subgroup analysis revealed a prevalence of 50% (95% CI = 38.4-62.1) in permanent dentition and 41.3% (95% CI = 33.5-49.2) in mixed dentition. The overall mean decayed, missed, and filled permanent (DMFT) and primary (dmft) teeth were 1.941 (95% CI = 1.561-2.322) and 2.237 (95% CI = 1.293-3.181), respectively. High DMFT scores were reported in Sudan (3.146, 95% CI = 1.050-5.242) and Uganda (2.876, 95% CI = 2.186-3.565). Being female (OR = 1.34, 95% CI = 1.24-1.46) and having poor tooth brushing habit (OR = 1.967, 95% CI = 1.67-2.33) were independent risk factors of dental caries.

1

Conclusion: The overall prevalence of dental caries was comparatively high. Being female and poor oral health practice were independent risk factors of dental caries. The Ministry of Health of the member countries, along with dental associations of each country, ought to offer due attention to strengthen the oral health program in schools and primary health care centers and the implementation of school water fluoridation.

Keywords: dental caries, DMFT, prevalence, oral health, tooth decay

BACKGROUND

Dental caries is a bacterial infectious disease that affects the calcified tissue of the tooth and causes dissolution of the organic component and demineralization of the inorganic portion (1). It is caused by bacterial plaque deposition on the surface of the tooth (2, 3), and frequent consumption of fermentable carbohydrates facilitates the progression of cavitation. Oral microbes such as *Streptococcus mutans* metabolize fermentable carbohydrates and produce lactic acid, which lowers the oral pH to a level where the minerals of dentin and enamel dissolve easily (4–7).

Dental caries is a global public health problem and affects all human race (8). The treatment cost in low-income countries alone exceeds the total child health care cost (9). This disease is found in all socioeconomic strata and affects the quality of life, school attendance, eating practices, growth, and the development of children and has psychological impacts on the performance of patients (3–5). In developing countries, dental caries remains untreated due to inappropriate, unaffordable, and unavailable dental services and to the scarcity of professionals (10). Moreover, dental caries costs US \$298 billion in direct treatment costs to the global economy, 4.6% of the global health budget, and 144 billion losses due to loss of productivity (11).

In developed countries, the prevalence of dental caries is declining due to advanced dental facilities and the increased awareness of oral hygiene (10, 12–14). However, an unprecedented increase in prevalence is reported in developing countries due to the growing consumption of sugary foods, poor tooth brushing habits, and the absence of adequate dental services (10, 14–16). In developing countries, especially sub-Saharan Africa, the prevalence of dental caries varies according to the population group and socioeconomic status (12). The prevalence rates were 40.98% in Ethiopia (17), 52.4% in Sudan (18), 50.3% in Kenya (19), and 40.2% in Tanzania (20).

In East African countries, there is scarcity of data on the prevalence of dental caries. Hence, this study aimed to determine the pooled prevalence of dental caries and associated factors in East Africa.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

The results of the present review are reported according to the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (MOOSE) guideline (21).

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Studies that meet the following inclusion criteria were included in the systematic review and meta-analysis.

- Observational studies were done on the prevalence of dental caries and associated factors in East African countries.
- Data regarding dental caries in terms of proportion or DMFT/dmft
- Full-text published articles
- Studies that did not report specific outcomes quantitatively
- Abstracts, case reports, review articles, comments, posters, editorial reviews, and letters to the editor were excluded.

Search Strategy

A systematic search of studies was carried out in MEDLINE, Scopus, and Google Scholar databases without language restriction and included studies published up to December 2020. Article search was done using key terms. Besides, the reference lists of all relevant studies were screened. The search strategy was built using a combination of keywords for the main axes of the research questions. The search strategy used key terms related to (a) dental caries, tooth decay, or DMFT and (b) East Africa countries (Ethiopia, Djibouti, Somalia, Eritrea, Kenya, Burundi, Tanzania, Sudan, South Sudan, Rwanda, and Uganda). The search terms were predefined to allow a comprehensive search that includes text fields within records and Medical Subject Headings (MeSH terms) were used to help expand the search. The MeSH terms used for Scopus were: {(Dental Caries OR caries [Mesh] OR Tooth decay OR DMFT index [Mesh] OR decayed teeth) AND (risk factors [Mesh])}.

Study Selection

Database search results were pooled and duplicate studies were removed using Endnote and manually. After the duplicates were removed, the titles and abstracts were screened and studies that were irrelevant to the research question and outcome of the study were excluded. Full-text studies that have outcomes of interest were evaluated further using the inclusion criteria. Two dental surgeons (AT and BG) independently screened information at each stage. Disagreement was resolved by the involvement of a third independent reviewer (AM).

Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; CINAHL, cumulative index to nursing and allied health literature; DMFT, decayed, missed, and filled permanent tooth; dmft, decayed, missed, and filled primary tooth; EMBASE, Excerpta Medica database; JBI, Jonna Briggs Institute; PRISMA, preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis; SEAR, South-East Asian Region.



Data Extraction and Data Items

Data such as the first author's name, country, age, study design, sample size, and the prevalence of dental caries or decayed, missed, and filled permanent (DMFT)/primary (dmft) teeth were extracted from the selected studies by two investigators (AT and BG) independently. Important data were extracted using a standardized data extraction form prepared in Excel. The pooled prevalence or DMFT/dmft was extracted. Any disagreement between the two reviewers was resolved by discussion and consensus.

Language translator was used to translate articles published in other languages, which were translated into English, and to afford conditions for data extraction. In the case of missing data, a one-time contact was attempted to obtain the missing information from the corresponding author *via* e-mail.

Quality Assessment

The quality of evidence was assessed using the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) reviewers' manual for a systematic review of prevalence and incidence studies. The reviewers

TABLE 1	Study	/ characteristics	of the	selected	studies.
	olua	0110100101101100	01 1110	00100100	oraaioo.

S/No	References	Country	Year	Sample size	Age	Prevalence	Mean DMFT	Mean dmft
1.	Walle (30)	Ethiopia	2014	422	30.31 ± 1.39	78.7%		
2.	Ayele et al. (3)	Ethiopia	2013	842	7-14 years	36.3%		
3.	Mulu et al. (31)	Ethiopia	2014	147	6-15 years	21.8%		
4.	Simon et al. (32)	Ethiopia	2003	1,736	\geq 12 years	21.1%		
5.	Teshome et al. (29)	Ethiopia	2016	291	12-20 years	48.5%	1.23	
6.	Berhane and Worku (33)	Ethiopia	2014	658	10-14	47.4%		
7.	Burnett et al. (2015)	Ethiopia	2015	132	6–15	74%		
8.	Rwenyonyi et al. (44)	Uganda	2011	321	18–62	57.3%	2.3	
9.	Kiwanuka et al. (45)	Uganda	2004	589	3–5	28.7%		2.4 ± 3.2
10.	Mwakatobe et al. (62)	Tanzania	2007	310	12 years	41.6%	0.76 ± 1.17	
11.	Fukuda et al. (53)	Kenya	2014	150	12 years	10%	0.24	
12.	Mafuvadze et al. (63)	Tanzania	2013	172	12 years	49.4%	0.98	
13.	Owino et al. (19)	Kenya	2010	292	12 years	50.3%	0.92 ± 50	
14.	Carneiro and Kabulwa (64)	Tanzania	2012	785	\geq 14 years	46.4%	1.26	
15.	Svensson et al. (60)	Somalia	2016	310	6–17	57%	2.2	2.3
16.	Wondwossen et al. (40)	Ethiopia	2004	306	2-15 years	45.3%	1.2	
17.	Kikwilu and Mandari (65)	Tanzania	2001	1,297	8–15	24%	0.41	
18.	Ahmed and Abuaffan (51)	Sudan	2015	360	6–11	47.2%	2.2	4.68
19.	Mwakayoka et al. (66)	Tanzania	2017	525	2-4 years	20.2%		
20.	Khalifa et al. (28)	Sudan	2012	1,888	\geq 16 years	87.7%	8.7±5.9	
21.	Muwazi et al. (46)	Uganda	2005	1,092	12 years	62.5%	3.4	0.9
22.	Kutesa et al. (47)	Uganda	2015	1,978	11–13 years	42.1%	0.73,4.71	
23.	Elidrissi and Naidoo (18)	Sudan	2016	553	3–5 years	52.4%		2.3
24.	Kebede et al. (35)	Ethiopia	2012	240	29.9 ± 9.79		1.94±2.12	
25.	Birungi et al. (48)	Uganda	2020	345	5-7 years	29.7%		2.1 (2.7)
26.	Mohamed Ali et al. (52)	Sudan	2017	293	7.2 ± 3.0 years	159 (54.3%)	1.3 (1.7)	3.7 (3.8)
27.	Mashoto et al. (67)	Tanzania	2009	1,745	13.8±1.67 years	17.4%		
28.	Ndagire et al. (49)	Uganda	2020	406	11–19 years	66.0%	2.18±2.67	
29.	Simangwa et al. (68)	Tanzania	2018	906	13.4 (±1.2)	8.8% (80)		
30.	Andegiorgish et al. (43)	Eritrea	2017	225	12 years	78%	2.5 ± 2.21	
31.	Kalanzi et al. (50)	Uganda	2019	748	39 ± 9.4 years	83.7%	5.9 ± 5.5	
32.	Kassim and Noor (55)	Kenya	2006	141	\geq 18 years	43.3%	3.4	
33.	Kiwanuka et al. (45)	Uganda	2004	589	3–5 years	169 (28.7%)		2.4 ± 3.2
34.	Makhanu et al. (56)	Kenya	2009	275	13-15 years		1.54 ± 1.071	
35.	Masiga and M'Imunya (57)	Kenya	2013	220	3–15 years	65.0 %	1.08	1.75
36.	Uwayezu et al. (59)	Rwanda	2020	226	7–20 years	42.4%		
37.	Nordstrand et al. (61)	Somalia	2019	2,093	4–19 years	26%		
38.	Tagelsir et al. (27)	Sudan	2013	79	11-13-year	46.8%.	0.4 ± 0.7	1.9 ±2.8
39.	Ademe et al. (36)	Ethiopia	2020	407	6–15 years	36.9%	0.95 ± 1.57	
40.	Bogale et al. (38)	Ethiopia	2021	1,047	\geq 18 years	60%		
41.	Rwakatema et al. (20)	Tanzania	2015	214	27.2 ± 7.35 18–53 years	40.2%.	1.34 ± 2.44	
42.	Equbamichael et al. (41)	Eritrea	2006	400	>12 years	50%		
43.	Abdelhamid et al. (42)	Eritrea	2019	330	14-17 years	67.9%	2.1	
44.	Teshome et al. (37)	Ethiopia	2020	368	30 ± 14.766	23.64%	1.095 ± 0.24	0.13
45.	Njoroge et al. (58)	Kenya	2010	336	3–5 years	59.5%		2.46 ± 2.32
46.	Aynalem et al. (39)	Ethiopia	2020	417	12.74 (±2.556)	34.1%		

critically appraised the quality of the studies based on sample representativeness, participant recruitment, sample size estimation, reliability of the measurement, and the analysis of the outcomes. Studies with a quality assessment score of 50% and above were included in the review.

Studies Extunte (954 C.1.) Vale 2014 0.707 (0.746, 0.826) Aybe et al 2013 0.356 (0.331, 0.200) Testione et al. 2016 2016 0.466 (0.427, 0.542) Behane et al 2014 0.478 (0.532) Burnet et al. 2015 0.742 (0.642, 0.522) Burnet et al 2016 0.466 (0.427, 0.542) Burnet et al 2016 0.466 (0.427, 0.522) Burnet et al 2011 0.573 (0.512), 0.627) Kowanda et al 2014 0.446 (0.425, 0.423) Ventoryoni et al 2010 0.573 (0.515, 0.627) Comeio and Kaulwa 2012 0.446 (0.425, 0.561) Vendovsche et al 2016 0.571 (0.515, 0.628) Vendovsche et al 2017 0.721 (0.515, 0.628) Vendovsche et al 2016 0.451 (0.555, 0.508) Vendovsche et al 2017 0.721 (0.524, 0.238) Moratariz 2010 0.524 (0.237, 0.233) Morataria 2015 0.524 (0.237, 0.233) Morataria 2016 0.524 (0.237, 0.233) Morataria									
Vale 2014 0.707 (0.744) 0.826 Ayek et al 2013 0.353 (0.354) (0.354) Simon et al 2016 0.211 (0.152, 0.24) Bernare et al 2018 0.427 (0.66, 0.617) Revenyony et al 2011 0.573 (0.515, 0.627) Mixuda et al 2012 0.464 (0.425, 0.432) Mixuda et al 2014 0.427 (0.66, 0.617) Mixuda et al 2014 0.426 (0.527) Mixuda et al 2011 0.457 (0.564) Mixuda et al 2012 0.464 (0.425, 0.499) Vinne et al 2014 0.420 (0.564) Mixuda et al 2015 0.427 (0.421, 0.564) Vinne et al 2016 0.517 (0.516, 0.628) Vinne et al 2015 0.422 (0.820, 0.628) Vinne et al 2015 0.421 (0.354, 0.468) Mixuta et al 2015 0.422 (0.820, 0.820) Mixuta et al 2015 0.422 (0.820, 0.820) Mixuta et al 2016 0.517 (0.513) (0.451, 0.564) Mixuta et al 2016 0.637 (0.830, 0.510) (0.431, 0.564) (0.431, 0.564)	Studies	Estimat	ce (95%	C.I.)					
Aydie et al 2013 0.438 (0.431, 0.286) Simon et la 2003 0.211 (0.192, 0.230) Berhame et al 2016 0.446 (0.436, 0.512) Berhame et al 2017 0.752 (0.562, 0.617) Kwanka et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.131) Muxika et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.132) Kwanka et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.133) Muxika et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.148) Muxika et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.148) Muxika et al 2014 0.101 (0.052, 0.148) Muxika et al 2016 0.511 (0.516, 0.569) Cwind visat al 2010 0.563 (0.146, 0.262) Wondvoster et al 2011 0.426 (0.217, 0.263) Aumed and Ababina 2010 0.426 (0.217, 0.263) Muxika et al 2016 0.571 (0.516, 0.526) Windvoster et al 2010 0.563 (0.197, 0.263) Muxika et al 2015 0.422 (0.018, 0.256) Muxika et al 2016 0.524 (0.027, 0.263) Muxika et al 2010 0.580 (0.510) Muxika et a	Walle 2014	0.787 (0	0.748,	0.826)					
Mais et al 2014 0.218 0.0151, 0.228 0.230 Simon et al 2003 0.211 0.192, 0.230 0.230 Teshme et al 2015 0.445 0.446, 0.512 Burntet et al 2016 0.457 0.513, 0.627 Nixwanuka et al 2004 0.238 0.238 Mixwatukote et al 2007 0.446 0.446, 0.521 Mixwatukote et al 2017 0.426 0.561 Cameric and Kabulwa 2012 0.446 0.446, 0.561 Cameric and Kabulwa 2012 0.464 0.427, 0.223 Wixwatukate et al 2016 0.571 0.512, 0.228 Wixwatukote et al 2017 0.456 0.561 Cameric and Kabulwa 2012 0.464 0.427, 0.223 Mixwatukote et al 2017 0.562 0.550 Wixwatukota 2015 0.427, 0.223 Ahmed and Abuaffan 2015 0.427, 0.233 Mixwatukota 2017 0.562 0.550; 0.563 Kuthare at al 2017 0.563 0.446, 0.600 Mixwatukota 2107 0.542 0.433, 0.566 Birnsign et al 2020 0.668 0.513; 0.466, 0.603 Mixwatukat al 2017 0.554 0.443, 0	Avele et al 2013	0.363 (0	0.331,	0.396)		_	-		
Simon et al 2016 2016 Testimon et al 2016 2016 Definance et al 2014 Definance et al 2014 Definance et al 2014 Definance et al 2017 Naviatabbe et al 2017 Naviatabbe et al 2017 Definance et al 2010 Commer et al 2010 Definance et al 2010 Definance et al 2010 Naviatabbe et al 2010 Definance et al 2010 Naviatabbe et al 2010 Definance et al 2020 Definance et al	Mulu et al 2014	0.218 (0	0.151,	0.284)		_			
Teshone et al. 2014 0.417 (0.143, 0.132) Burnet et al. 2014 0.417 (0.143, 0.132) Wereyconviet al. 2010 0.517 (0.518, 0.423) Wereyconviet al. 2010 0.517 (0.518, 0.423) Wereyconviet al. 2010 0.513 (0.418, 0.513) Thirds et al. 2010 0.513 (0.418, 0.513) Corner of al. 2010 0.513 (0.418, 0.513) Corner of al. 2016 0.415 (0.422, 0.499) Sversson et al. 2016 0.415 (0.528, 0.223) Workadoze et al. 2017 0.416 (0.329, 0.499) Sversson et al. 2016 0.417 (0.518, 0.423) Whorkadoze et al. 2017 0.421 (0.521, 0.523) Athmed and Abuaffan 2015 0.422 (0.621, 0.523) Kutes et al. 2015 0.422 (0.621, 0.523) Kutes et al. 2015 0.422 (0.621, 0.523) Kutes et al. 2015 0.422 (0.621, 0.523) Nidagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.547, 0.343) Elitrisia and Mato 2016 0.517 (0.518, 0.423) Nadagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.507, 0.133) Adhene et al. 2021 0.425 (0.500, 0.423) Nadagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.567, 0.133) Matagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.567, 0.133) Matagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.567, 0.133) Matagine et al. 2020 0.256 (0.567, 0.133) Adhene et al. 2020 0.256 (0.567, 0.133) Matagine et al. 2020 0.356 (0.567, 0.134) Matagine et al. 2020 0.356 (0.567,	Simon et la 2003	0.211 (0	0.192,	0.230)		-			
Berhane et al 2015 0.474 (0.465, 0.132) Rivenyonyi et al 2015 0.774 (0.666, 0.137) Rivenyonyi et al 2010 0.573 (0.519, 0.427) Rivenyonyi et al 2011 0.573 (0.520, 0.323) Mixatude et al 2020 0.464 (0.462, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabuba 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabuba 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabuba 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.562) Cameiro and Kabuba 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabuba 2010 0.220 (0.217, 0.223) Almed and Abutafa 2011 0.220 (0.168, 0.236) Kabusa et al 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.663) Kabusa et al 2011 0.270 (0.620, 0.992) Movazi et al 2020 0.256 (0.597, 0.433) Elinifisi and Niabob 2016 0.571 (0.662, 0.992) Movazi et al 2020 0.256 (0.547, 0.344) Mashiga ed Minurya 2013 0.662 (0.614), 0.276) Simangava et al 2015 0.462 (0.627, 0.133) Mashiga ed Minurya 2013 0.663 (0.561, 0.273) Kasami and Noz006 0.473 (0.610, 0.263) Kasami and Noz006 0.425 (0.596, 0.733) Unaygezu et al 2020 0.356 (0.547, 0.713) Unaygezu et al 2020 0.356 (0.527, 0.723) Hardie et al 2020 0.356 (0.527, 0.723) Charlie et al 2020 0.356 (0.527, 0.723) Charlie et al 2020 0.356 (0.543, 0.548) Abdelandie et al 2020 0.356 (0.543, 0.548) Abdelandie et al 2020 0.356 (0.527, 0.723) Charlie et al 2020 0.356 (0.527, 0.723) Charlie et al 2020 0.356 (0.543, 0.548) Abdelandie et al 2020 0.356 (0.543, 0.548) Apaelem et al 2020 0.356 (0.543, 0.548) Apaele	Teshome et al. 2016 2016	0.485 (0	.427,	0.542)		_		-	
Burnet tal 2015 0.742 (0.668, 0.817) Reveryory tet al 2011 0.573 (0.519, 0.627) Mixed at al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Mixed at al 2014 0.101 (0.055, 0.143) Mathade et al 2013 0.454 (0.419, 0.569) Owne et al 2010 0.503 (0.446, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabulwa 2012 0.464 (0.429, 0.499) Svensson et al 2004 0.451 (0.516, 0.624) Wondyossen et al 2004 0.454 (0.399, 0.510) Kikes et al 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.323) Atmed and Abuaffan 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.323) Mixed at al 2017 0.202 (0.168, 0.236) Kikes et al 2005 0.665 (0.596, 0.653) Kikes et al 2005 0.665 (0.596, 0.653) Kikes et al 2005 0.625 (0.596, 0.653) Kikes et al 2005 0.625 (0.448, 0.500) Mashoto et al 2009 0.610 (0.614, 0.786) Simangwa et al 2001 0.554 (0.433, 0.566) Birnagi et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.786) Kikes et al 2010 0.650 (0.677, 0.137) Admed Alie tal 2017 0.533 (0.466, 0.130) Kikes et al 2010 0.650 (0.677, 0.133) Admed at al 2019 0.650 (0.614, 0.786) Kikes et al 2020 0.625 (0.350, 0.130) Monate at al 2010 0.650 (0.577, 0.133) Mashoto et al 2000 0.650 (0.614, 0.786) Kikes et al 2010 0.650 (0.615, 0.132) Modagine et al 2020 0.425 (0.350, 0.439) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.650 (0.577, 0.133) Admed at al 2010 0.650 (0.577, 0.133) Admed at al 2010 0.650 (0.577, 0.133) Masiag and Minunya 2013 0.468 (0.350, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2010 0.650 (0.577, 0.739) Addeme et al 2020 0.351 (0.528, 0.384) Voerall (h22e90.55, Y, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.384) Tethome et al 2020 0.351 (0.528, 0.384) Addelmid et al 2010 0.555 (0.533), 0.248) FUUE 21 Forset plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental carles in East Africa.	Berhane et al 2014	0.474 (0	0.436,	0.512)			—		
Rvergony et al 2014 0.573 (0.519, 0.527) Mirakatobe et al 2007 0.416 (0.361, 0.471) Fukuda et al 2014 0.100 (0.052, 0.138) Mirakatobe et al 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.661) Comerio and Kabuku 2012 0.464 (0.429, 0.469) Svensson et al 2010 0.503 (0.464, 0.561) Comerio and Kabuku 2010 0.200 (0.217, 0.283) Ahmed and Abuku 2011 0.200 (0.217, 0.283) Mirakatobe et al 2015 0.422 (0.6247, 0.386) Mirakatobe et al 2015 0.625 (0.596, 0.633) Mirakatobe et al 2015 0.626 (0.547, 0.384) Mohamed Al ist al 2015 0.626 (0.547, 0.384) Magine et al 2020 0.256 (0.527, 0.138) Magine et al 2020 0.266 (0.247, 0.384) Masiga and Mirakator 2016 0.521 (0.169, 0.234) Kikawia et al 2015 0.462 (0.392) Markate et al 2019 0.633 (0.610, 0.643) Kasami and No2006 0.525 (0.559, 0.713) Masiga and Mirakator 2016 0.522 (0.643, 0.578) Norderstand et al 2020 0.256 (0.539, 0.713) Masiga and Mirakator 2016 0.522 (0.596, 0.713) Masiga and Mirakator 2016 0.522 (0.439, 0.543) Morder et al 2020 0.425 (0.597, 0.723) Relamit et al 2020 0.425 (0.593, 0.713) Masiga and Mirakator 2016 0.529 (0.529, 0.729) Teahome et al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.713) Addemit et al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.713) Markator et al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.729) Rivalati et al 2010 0.455 (0.543, 0.448) Andepicing it al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.729) Rivalati et al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.729) Rivalati et al 2020 0.356 (0.539, 0.239) Norderstand et al 2020 0.351 (0.628, 0.729) Rivalatime et al 2020 0.351 (0.529, 0.238) Addelmand et al 2020 0.351 (0.529, 0.238) Addelmand et al 2020 0.351 (0.529, 0.238) Svensel (1/2-99.55%, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.390, 0.334) FUENE 21 Forset plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental carles In East Africa.	Burnett et al 2015	0.742 (0	0.668,	0.817)					
Kowanka et al 2001 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Matkudze et al 2017 0.416 (0.361, 0.471) Fukuda et al 2013 0.446 (0.561) Cameiro and Kabuka 2012 0.646 (0.561) Vomino et al 2010 0.550 (0.569) Vomino et al 2010 0.542 (0.149) Vomino et al 2010 0.542 (0.17, 0.263) Vomakayoka et al 2016 0.424 (0.217, 0.263) Makudzi et al 2017 0.422 (0.141, 0.524) Mowakayoka et al 2016 0.424 (0.236, 0.653) Kutesa et al 2017 0.423 (0.542, 0.922) Mowakayoka et al 2016 0.524 (0.433, 0.566) Birnigi et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2017 0.733 (0.832, 0.832) Kasaim ad Noor 2006 (0.313, 0.514) Kwanka et al 2017 0.733 (0.832, 0.439) Simangwa et al 2018 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Nasiga ad Minumya 2013 0.660 (0.614, 0.729) Nadagier et al 2020 0.625 (0.326, 0.723) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.660 (0.513, 0.280)	Rwenyonyi et al 2011	0.573 (0	0.519,	0.627)			_	-	
Mwakatobe et al 2017 0.416 (0.314) 0.471) Fukuda et al 2014 0.100 (0.022) 0.148) Owino et al 2010 0.503 (0.446) 0.551) Cameiro and Kabuva 2012 0.454 (0.242) 0.453 Vendivesare 1al 2001 0.454 (0.236) 0.444 Vendivesare 1al 2001 0.454 (0.236) 0.444 Wakatoria 2010 0.454 (0.236) 0.443 Mwakatoria 2011 0.427 (0.211) 0.526) Kiwua and Kindo 2016 0.421 (0.311) 0.560) Mwakatoria 2015 0.422 (0.321) 0.560) Birong let al 2020 0.626 (0.560) 0.531) Muskatoria et al 2017 0.732 (0.822) 0.432) Nadagrie et al 2017 0.783 (0.310) 0.663) Simangwa et al 2016 0.5420 (0.341) 0.543) Nadagrie et al 2017 0.783 (0.311) 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2017 0.783 (0.311) 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2016 0.560 (0.531) 0.469)	Kiwanuka et al 2004	0.287 (0	0.250,	0.323)					
Fukuda et al 2014 0.100 (0.052, 0.148) Mafuvadze et al 2013 0.494 (0.419, 0.569) Comine et al 2010 0.630 (0.446, 0.561) Cameiro and Kabukus 2012 0.464 (0.429, 0.499) Svensson et al 2016 0.571 (0.516) Mixed and Abuaffan 2015 0.472 (0.77, 0.263) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mixed and Abuaffan 2015 0.421 (0.389, 0.561) Mixed and 2016 0.524 (0.389, 0.561) Mixed and Abuaffan 2015 0.422 (0.433, 0.566) Binngi et al 2020 0.266 (0.561) Mokaroz et al 2020 0.254 (0.433, 0.566) Binngi et al 2020 0.276 (0.271, 0.343) Nadagire et al 2017 0.543 (0.466, 0.600) Matara et al 2018 0.689 (0.70, 0.107) Kaaima et al 2019 0.660 (0.514) Nordstrand et al 2017 0.7280 (0.323) Varayeux et al 2015 0.425 (0.363) Kaaima et al 2019 0.660 (0.531, 0.614) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.620	Mwakatobe et al 2007	0.416 (0	0.361,	0.471)					
Mafundze et al 2013 0.449 (0.419, 0.569) Owino et al 2010 0.503 (0.446, 0.551) Carneiro and Kabulwa 2012 0.454 (0.422, 0.429) Svensson et al 2016 0.511 (0.412, 0.524) Mundvissen et al 2017 0.422 (0.217, 0.263) Ahmed and Abulwa 2012 0.627 (0.242, 0.524) Muxad et al 2017 0.202 (0.166, 0.563) Kitkvilu and Mandari 2015 0.421 (0.242, 0.524) Muxad et al 2017 0.202 (0.166, 0.563) Kutes et al 2015 0.421 (0.139, 0.566) Birning iet al 2020 0.256 (0.541, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.6660 (0.641, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2017 0.718 (0.722, 0.032) Ademe et al 2017 0.718 (0.722, 0.032) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.551, 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2017 0.778 (0.722, 0.032) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tageliorish et al 2017 0.778 (0.722, 0.032) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.426 (0.560, 0.578) Nordstrand	Fukuda et al 2014	0.100 (0	0.052,	0.148)					
Owino et al 2010 0.650 (0.446, 0.511) Cameiro and Kabuwa 2012 0.464 (0.429, 0.499) Svensson et al 2016 0.511 (0.516, 0.526) Wondvossen et al 2017 0.246 (0.217, 0.253) Ahmed and 201 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mwakayoka et al 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mwakayoka et al 2017 0.256 (0.586, 0.633) Kutesa et al 2016 0.526 (0.596, 0.633) Mwakayoka et al 2016 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Bilongi et al 2020 0.256 (0.544, 0.706) Mashot et al 2019 0.571 (0.186, 0.192) Maagine et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Mashot et al 2019 0.673 (0.310, 0.663) Kasim and Numuya 2013 0.665 (0.587, 0.713) Vurayezu et al 2018 0.087 (0.320, 0.415) Kisamand at 2019 0.637 (0.310, 0.730) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.637 (0.320, 0.415) Kisamand at 2019 0.628 (0.770, 0.107) Adepicingiba and Minumya 2013 0.650 (0.547, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2018 0.428 (0.528, 0.729) Ratame et al 2019 0.639 (0.529, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.340 (0.258, 0.578) Ademe et al 2010 0.559 (Mafuvadze et al 2013	0.494 (0	0.419,	0.569)					
Carnetio and Kabukwa 2012 0.464 (0.429, 0.499) Svensson et al 2016 0.571 (0.516, 0.626) Wordwossen et al 2001 0.424 (0.217, 0.263) Ahmed and Audufan 2011 0.424 (0.217, 0.263) Ahmed and Audufan 2011 0.420 (0.217, 0.263) Khaifa et al 2017 0.202 (0.166, 0.236) Khaifa et al 2017 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Elidifisis and Natiolo 2016 0.524 (0.439, 0.566) Birning et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Ail et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Nagire et al 2020 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Nagire et al 2010 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.432) Kaismi et al 2019 0.837 (0.610, 0.663) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kwasita and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.356, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.256 (0.327, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2013 0.468 (0.356, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.359 (0.322, 0.415) Beguale et al 2020 0.435 (0.543, 0.468) Equipamichael et al 2001 0.559 (0.532, 0.415) Rowatem et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.4578) Ademe et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.334) Overail (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FUEURE 21 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Owino et al 2010	0.503 (0	0.446,	0.561)					
Svenson et al 2016 0.571 (0.516, 0.626) Wondwossen et al 2004 0.454 (0.398, 0.510) Khaif act al 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Ahmed and Abuafan 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mwakayoka et al 2017 0.566, 0.653) Kutes et al 2015 0.625 (0.596, 0.653) Kutes et al 2005 0.625 (0.596, 0.653) Khaif act al 2010 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Birngi et al 2020 0.600 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.080 (0.070, 0.107) Mashoto et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.633) Kasim and Noro22006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanika et al 2019 0.437 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Minunya 2013 0.666 (0.626, 0.713) Uvayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.366, 0.468) Adeeling is al 2019 0.437 (0.359, 0.578) Adeem et al 2020 0.445 (0.359, 0.578) Adeeling is al 2011 0.639, 0.5780 Adeeling is al 2013 0.666 (0.638, 0.5780) Adeeling is al 2013 0.668 (0.570, 0.729) Teshom et al 2020 0.341 (0.259, 0.386) Overall (l*2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FUURE 21 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Carneiro and Kabulwa 2012	0.464 (0	.429,	0.499)					
Wondwossen et al 2004 0.454 (0.398, 0.510) Kikwiu and Madari 2001 0.240 (0.217, 0.263) Ahmed and Abuafra 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mwakayoka et al 2017 0.220 (0.168, 0.236) Kikwiu and Mandoz 2016 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Elidirisi and Nadoz 2016 0.524 (0.433, 0.556) Birngi et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Al et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.432) Nadagire et al 2019 0.144 (0.156, 0.192) Nadagire et al 2019 0.637 (0.316, 0.063) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.557, 0.713) Uwayzau et al 2019 0.245 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2015 0.402 (0.327, 0.173) Uwayzau et al 2016 0.500 (0.451, 0.576) Ademe et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2016 0.500 (0.451, 0.548) Ademe et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Over	Svensson et al 2016	0.571 (0	0.516,	0.626)			_		
Kikwilu and Mandari 2001 0.240 (0.217, 0.263) Ahmed and Abuarfan 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mwakayoka et al 2017 0.168, 0.236) Muwazi et al 2015 0.421 (0.877) Muwazi et al 2015 0.421 (0.892) Muwazi et al 2015 0.421 (0.899, 0.443) Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.487, 0.544) Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.665 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.783, 0.633) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.280, 0.323) Masing and Mimunya 2013 0.660 (0.541, 0.789) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.650 (0.580, 0.789) Ademe et al 2020 0.425 (0.380, 0.468) Mordstrand et al 2019 0.650 (0.543, 0.289) Nordstrand et al 2020 0.236 (0.488) Mordstrand et al 2020 0.236<	Wondwossen et al 2004	0.454 (0	.398,	0.510)			ė		
Ahmed and Abuaffan 2015 0.472 (0.421, 0.524) Mvakayoka et al 2017 0.202 (0.168, 0.236) Khaffa et al 2017 0.682, 0.892) Muxazi et al 2015 0.625 (0.566, 0.653) Kutesa et al 2015 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Birungi et al 2020 0.256 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangova et al 2018 0.088 (0.707, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kaasim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.480, 0.561) Kivanuka et al 2020 0.656 (0.587, 0.713) Uvayezu et al 2020 0.656 (0.587, 0.713) Uvayezu et al 2020 0.656 (0.586, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.366 (0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2021 0.600 (0.457, 0.629) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.266 (0.143, 0.548) Ademe et al 2020 0.366 (0.586, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.366 (0.586, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.364 (0.356, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (M2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) TEVURE 2 [Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental carles in East Africa.	Kikwilu and Mandari 2001	0.240 (0	0.217,	0.263)					
Mvakayoka et al 2017 0.202 (0.168, 0.236) Khaif aet al 2012 0.377 (0.662, 0.892) Muwazi et al 2005 0.625 (0.596, 0.653) Kutes aet al 2015 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Binungi et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Mashoto et al 2009 0.714 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgib et al 2017 0.753, (0.180, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.310, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.514) Kwanuka et al 2019 0.6260 (0.570, 0.623) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.4260 (0.327, 0.415) Bogale et al 2020 0.468 (0.536, 0.468) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.328, 0.729) Tashome et al 2020 0.369 (0.453, 0.544) Myrope et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.361 (0.458, 0.549) Abdehamid et al 2019 0.504, 0.543) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295	Ahmed and Abuaffan 2015	0.472 (0	.421,	0.524)			_		
Khalifa et al 2012 0.877 (0.862, 0.892) Muwazi et al 2005 0.625 (0.398, 0.43) Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Birungi et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Aliet al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangva et al 2018 0.080 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.783 (0.315, 0.514) Kwasim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.356, 0.578) Kaasim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.356, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.600 (0.587, 0.713) Uvayezu et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2020 0.369 (0.328, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.457 (0.280, 0.534) Nyalemer et al 2020	Mwakayoka et al 2017	0.202 (0	0.168,	0.236)					
Muwazi et al 2005 0.625 (0.596, 0.653) Kutes at al 2015 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Birungi et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.166, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.787 (0.810, 0.863) Kasasi and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2019 0.267 (0.273, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayzu et al 2020 0.425 (0.366, 0.469) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.232, 0.415) 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2011 0.402 (0.356, 0.469) Rwakatema et al 2019 0.650 (0.577, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.555 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I*2=99.55 %, P<0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) Overall (I	Khalifa et al 2012	0.877 (0	0.862,	0.892)					
Kutesa et al 2015 0.421 (0.399, 0.443) Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.433, 0.566) Birungi et al 2020 0.256 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.466, 0.600) Malagine et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.766) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.707, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.733 (0.230, 0.823) Kasani at 2019 0.833 (0.351, 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Minumya 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.350, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.577) Ademe et al 2020 0.425 (0.356, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.536 (0.572, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.555 (0.543, 0.648) Querall (l^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) Overall (l^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) </th <th>Muwazi et al 2005</th> <th>0.625 (0</th> <th>0.596,</th> <th>0.653)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>_</th>	Muwazi et al 2005	0.625 (0	0.596,	0.653)					_
Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016 0.524 (0.483, 0.566) Birungi et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.086 (0.707, 0.107) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.663) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kwanuka et al 2010 0.425 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimurya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Ademe et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Ademe et al 2020 0.366 (0.451, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.659 (0.544, 0.648) Equbamichael et al 2016 0.500 (0.451, 0.529) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.220) Njoroge et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Kutesa et al 2015	0.421 (0	.399,	0.443)					
Birungi et al 2020 0.296 (0.247, 0.344) Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.663) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.547, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.366, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Njoroge et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.584) Aphalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.584) FIGURE 2 [Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016	0.524 (0	0.483,	0.566)					
Mohamed Ali et al 2017 0.543 (0.486, 0.600) Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.622, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (l^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Birungi et al 2020	0.296 (0	0.247,	0.344)					
Mashoto et al 2009 0.174 (0.156, 0.192) Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.586, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.426 (0.380, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Mohamed Ali et al 2017	0.543 (0	0.486,	0.600)					
Ndagire et al 2020 0.660 (0.614, 0.706) Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kussim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.366, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2019 0.628 (0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.321 (0.608, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Mashoto et al 2009	0.174 (0	0.156,	0.192)		-			
Simangwa et al 2018 0.088 (0.070, 0.107) Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimuya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Ndagire et al 2020	0.660 (0	0.614,	0.706)					
Andegiorgish et al 2017 0.778 (0.723, 0.832) Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.663) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.227 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2019 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Abdelhamid et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FIGURE 2] Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Simangwa et al 2018	0.088 (0	0.070,	0.107)					
Kalanzi et al 2019 0.837 (0.810, 0.863) Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.551, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overail (I^A==99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Andegiorgish et al 2017	0.778 (0	.723,	0.832)				_	
Kassim and Noor 2006 0.433 (0.351, 0.514) Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and MImunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Kalanzi et al 2019	0.837 (0	0.810,	0.863)					
Kiwanuka et al 2004 0.287 (0.250, 0.323) Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.350, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overail (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Kassim and Noor 2006	0.433 (0	0.351,	0.514)					
Masiga and Mimunya 2013 0.650 (0.587, 0.713) Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.555 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Kiwanuka et al 2004	0.287 (0	0.250,	0.323)					
Uwayezu et al 2020 0.425 (0.360, 0.489) Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.368, 0.729) Repubmichael et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Masiga and MImunya 2013	0.650 (0	0.587,	0.713)					
Nordstrand et al 2019 0.260 (0.241, 0.279) Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Uwayezu et al 2020	0.425 (0	0.360,	0.489)					
Tagelsir et al 2013 0.468 (0.358, 0.578) Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Nordstrand et al 2019	0.260 (0	0.241,	0.279)					
Ademe et al 2020 0.369 (0.322, 0.415) Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Tagelsir et al 2013	0.468 (0	0.358,	0.578)					
Bogale et al 2021 0.600 (0.570, 0.629) Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Ademe et al 2020	0.369 (0).322,	0.415)		_	-		
Rwakatema et al 2015 0.402 (0.336, 0.468) Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Bogale et al 2021	0.600 (0	0.570,	0.629)					
Equbamichael et al 2006 0.500 (0.451, 0.549) Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Rwakatema et al 2015	0.402 (0	0.336,	0.468)		-			
Abdelhamid et al 2019 0.679 (0.628, 0.729) Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534)	Equbamichael et al 2006	0.500 (0	0.451,	0.549)			-	-	
Teshome et al 2020 0.236 (0.193, 0.280) Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Abdelhamid et al 2019	0.679 (0	0.628,	0.729)					
Njoroge et al 2010 0.595 (0.543, 0.648) Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) Image: state of the	Teshome et al 2020	0.236 (0	0.193,	0.280)					
Aynalem et al 2020 0.341 (0.295, 0.386) Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) 02 0.4 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Njoroge et al 2010	0.595 (0	0.543,	0.648)				_	
Overall (I^2=99.55 %, P< 0.001) 0.457 (0.380, 0.534) 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Aynalem et al 2020	0.341 (0	0.295,	0.386)					
FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.	Overall (I^2=99.55 % , P< 0.001)	0.457 (0	0.380,	0.534)					
0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 Proportion FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.						Γ		1	
FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.						0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8
FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa.							Proportion		
	FIGURE 2 Forest plot showing the	pooled prev	valence	of dental caries ir	n East Africa.				

Data Analysis

Stata software version 14.0 (22) was used to determine the pooled estimates. The prevalence of dental caries was determined for individual studies and then the prevalence ratio (PR) was calculated considering 95% confidence interval (CI). The analysis was performed using the random effect model (Mantel–Haenszel model) (23). The extent and the significance of variations between the selected studies were determined by calculating the heterogeneity using Higgins' I^2 statistics (24). Substantial heterogeneity was considered when P < 0.10 for the Q test and $I^2 \ge 50\%$. Publication bias was assessed using the funnel plot and Egger's test (25). Subgroup analyses were performed based on country and dentition status. Besides, a sensitivity analysis (26) was done to determine the influential studies on the overall prevalence ratio. Moreover, associated factors of dental caries were assessed and the overall effect was determined in the forms of the odds ratios.



RESULTS

Study Selection

As shown in the flow diagram (**Figure 1**), 524 studies were searched from all databases. Of which, 261 were excluded as duplicates using Endnote 7 software and manually.

The remaining 263 studies were filtered according to the titles and abstracts; 139 studies were excluded due to unrelated themes. A full-text review was done for the remaining 124 studies and identified 44 studies that meet the inclusion criteria for this review.

Study Characteristics

Forty-six studies meet the inclusion criteria, with 27,206 population. The sample sizes of the studies ranged from 79 (27) to 1,888 (28) study participants. The studies were conducted in Ethiopia (3, 29–40), Eritrea (41–43), Tanzania (26–33), Uganda (44–50), Sudan (18, 27, 28, 51, 52), Kenya (53–58), Rwanda (59), and Somalia (60, 61). All of the included studies were conducted between 2000 and 2020 (**Table 1**).

Prevalence of Dental Caries

The prevalence of dental caries in this review ranged from 8.8% (68) to 87.7% (28). The DMFT of the region ranged from 0.24 (53) to 8.70 (28). Forty-four studies were included in the analysis of the pooled prevalence of dental caries. High heterogeneity was observed between the included studies, with an I^2 value of 99.55%, and a random effect model was used. The overall pooled prevalence of dental caries in East Africa was found to be 45.7% (95% CI = 38.0–53.4), with heterogeneity (I^2) of 99.55% (**Figure 2**). The funnel plot demonstrated a symmetrical distribution of the included studies (**Figure 3**). Moreover, the Begg's test and Egger's test showed the absence of publication bias (Pr > |z| = 0.398) and P = 0.155, respectively.

Subgroup Analysis

In this systematic review and meta-analysis, subgroup analysis was done based on country and dentition status (primary, mixed, or permanent dentition). Hence, the highest prevalence of dental caries was found in Eritrea, which was 65.2% (95% CI = 49.2-81.1), followed by Sudan (57.8%, 95% CI = 36.0-79.7) (**Figure 4**). Moreover, subgroup analysis revealed that the pooled prevalence of dental caries was high in those \geq 12 years (50.2%, 95% CI = 38.4-62.1), followed by those 6-12 years (41.3%, 95% CI = 33.5-49.2) (**Figure 5**).

Sensitivity Analysis

Sensitivity analysis was done using the leave-one-out method to identify the source of heterogeneity and found that the pooled prevalence did not depend on the outcome of a single study. After the removal of one study stepwise, the pooled prevalence ranged from 44.7% (95% CI = 38.4-51.0) to 46.6% (95% CI = 39.1-54.1) (**Figure 6**).

Decayed, Missed, and Filled Tooth and dmft

The overall mean DMFT score was 1.941 (95% CI = 1.561-2.322) (**Figure** 7). Subgroup analysis showed that the mean DMFT were 3.146 (95% CI = 1.050-5.242) in Sudan, 2.876 (95% CI = 2.186-3.565) in Uganda, 2.273 (95% CI = 1.884-2.661) in Eritrea, and 1.182 (95% CI = 1.135-1.229) in Ethiopia (**Figure 8**). Moreover, the mean decayed, missed, and filled teeth in primary dentition (dmft) were 2.237 (95% CI = 1.293-3.181) (**Figure 9**).

Factors Associated With Dental Caries

In this review, carbohydrate intake, oral hygiene practice, residency, and gender were tested for association with dental caries, and a separate meta-analysis was done for each variable. A total of 11 studies were included to estimate the association between sugary food intake and dental caries, and the pooled odds ratios showed that there was a statistically significant association between carbohydrate intake and dental caries (OR = 1.575, 95% CI = 1.13-3.051).

To determine the association between gender and dental caries, 12 studies were included. The pooled odds ratios indicated that females were 1.333 times more likely to develop dental caries as compared with males (OR = 1.333, 95% CI = 1.129–1.575). Besides, the pooled ORs did not show a statistically significant association between residency and dental caries (OR = 0.962, 95% CI = 0.595–1.554).

Finally, we assessed the association between tooth brushing practice and dental caries using the random effect model. A total of 10 studies were included in the analysis, and the results revealed that those who had no tooth brushing practice were 1.967 times at risk of developing dental caries (OR = 1.967, 95% CI = 1.205-3.211) (**Table 2**).

Risk of Bias Within Studies

The quality of the selected studies was assessed based on the JBI critical appraisal tool (69), and studies with a quality assessment score of 50% and above were included in the review.

DISCUSSION

Dental caries is one of the neglected health problems in developing countries, including East African countries.

Studies	Estin	nate (95	% C.I.)
Walle	0.787	(0.748.	0.826)
	0.363	(0.331.	0.396)
Mulu et al	0 218	(0.151	0.284)
Simon at la	0.211	(0.101,	0.230)
	0.211	(0.192,	0.230)
l eshome et al, 2016	0.485	(0.427,	0.542)
Berhane et al	0.474	(0.436,	0.512)
Burnett et al	0.742	(0.668,	0.817)
Wondwossen et al	0.454	(0.398,	0.510)
Ademe et al	0.369	(0.322,	0.415)
Bogale et al	0.600	(0.570,	0.629)
Teshome et al	0.236	(0.193,	0.280)
Avnalem et al	0.341	(0.295,	0.386)
Subgroup Ethiopia (1^2=99.02 %, P=0.000)	0.440	(0.326.	0.553)
		(0.020)	0.000,
Rwenvonvi et al	0.573	(0.519,	0.627)
Kiwanuka et al	0.287	(0.250.	0.323)
	0 625	(0 596	0 653)
Kutopa et al	0.421	(0.000)	0.442)
Rutesa et al	0.421	(0.335)	0.445)
Birungi et al	0.296	(0.247,	0.344)
Ndagire et al	0.660	(0.614,	0.706)
Kalanzi et al	0.837	(0.810,	0.863)
Kiwanuka et al	0.287	(0.250,	0.323)
Subgroup Uganda (I^2=99.37 % , P=0.000)	0.498	(0.348,	0.648)
Mwakatobe et al	0 416	(0.361	0.471)
Metwordte et al	0.410	(0. 110	0.560)
	0.454	(0.410)	0.000)
Carneiro and Kabuiwa	0.464	(0.429,	0.499)
Kikwilu and Mandari	0.240	(0.217,	0.263)
Mwakayoka et al	0.202	(0.168,	0.236)
Mashoto et al	0.174	(0.156,	0.192)
Simangwa et al	0.088	(0.070,	0.107)
Rwakatema et al	0.402	(0.336,	0.468)
Subgroup Tanzania (I^2=98.69 % , P=0.000)	0.307	(0.215,	0.399)
Eukuda et al	0 100	(0 052	0 148)
Owine et al	0.503	10 446	0.561)
	0.000	(0.940,	0.501)
Kassim and Noor	0.433	(0.351,	0.514)
wasiga and wilmunya	0.650	(0.587,	0.713)
Njoroge et al	0.595	(0.543,	0.648)
Subgroup Kenya (1*2=98.53 % , P=0.000)	0.456	(0.240,	0.672)
Svensson et al	0.571	(0.516,	0.626)
Nordstrand et al	0.260	(0.241,	0.279)
Subgroup Somalia (I^2=99.09 % , P=0.000)	0.414	(0.109,	0.719)
Abmed and Abuaffan	0 172	(0 421	0 524)
	0.472	(0.921,	0.024)
	0.877	(0.862,	0.892)
Elidrissi and Naidoo	0.524	(0.483,	0.566)
Mohamed Ali et al	0.543	(0.486,	0.600)
Tagelsir et al	0.468	(0.358,	0.578)
Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.26 % , P=0.000)	0.578	(0.360,	0.797)
Andegiorgish et al	0.778	(0.723-	0.832)
Foubamichael et al	0 500	(0 451	0 5491
	0.000	(0.451,	0.049)
	0.019	(0.028,	0.729)
Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=96.57 % , P=0.000)	0.652	(0.492,	0.811)
Uwayezu et al	0.425	(0.360	0.489)
Subgroup Rwanda (I^2=NA , P=NA)	0.425	(0.360,	0.489)
	0 155	10 000	0.5041
Overall (I^2=99.55 % , P=0.000)	0.457	(0.380,	0.534)



FIGURE 4 | Subgroup analysis on prevalence of dental caries based on country.



Estimating the pooled prevalence and associated factors of dental caries in East Africa may contribute to informing policy makers in the region to design interventions and remedial actions. To date, there are no comprehensive data on the prevalence of dental caries and associated factors in East Africa.

The present meta-analysis revealed that 45.7% (95% CI = 38.0-53.4) of people had dental caries in East Africa, which is

similar to a meta-analysis done in Ethiopia (40.98%, 95% CI = 31.62-50.34) (17) and a study done in China (41.15%) (70). However, the estimate of dental caries in the present study was lower than those in studies done in Gulf countries (64.7%) (71), Brazil (72.9%) (72), Kosovo (72.80%) (73), and China (67%, 95% CI = 56.0-77.0) (74). This difference might be due to differences in socioeconomic, dietary habits, oral hygiene practices, and

Studies	Estimate (95% C.I.)
Overall	0.457 (0.380, 0.534) \leftarrow
- Walle	0.449 (0.372, 0.527) ←
- Avele et al	0.459 (0.380, 0.538) <
- Mulu et al	0.463 (0.385, 0.541) <
- Simon et la	0.463 (0.384, 0.542) <
- Teshome et al, 2016	0.456 (0.378, 0.535) <
- Berhane et al	0.457 (0.378, 0.535) ←
- Burnett et al	0.451 (0.373, 0.528) ← →
- Rwenyonyi et al	0.454 (0.376, 0.533) <
- Kiwanuka et al	0.461 (0.383, 0.540) ←
- Mwakatobe et al	0.458 (0.380, 0.536)
- Fukuda et al	0.465 (0.388, 0.543) ←
- Mafuvadze et al	0.456 (0.378, 0.534) <
- Owino et al	0.456 (0.378, 0.534) <
- Carneiro and Kabulwa	0.457 (0.378, 0.536) <
- Svensson et al	0.454 (0.376, 0.533) ← →
- Wondwossen et al	0.457 (0.379, 0.536	
- Kikwilu and Mandari	0.462 (0.383, 0.541) <
- Ahmed and Abuaffan	0.457 (0.378, 0.535) <
- Mwakavoka et al	0.463 (0.385, 0.541) <
- Khalifa et al	0.447 (0.384, 0.510) <
- Muwazi et al	0.453 (0.375, 0.532) <
- Kutesa et al	0.458 (0.378, 0.538) ←
- Elidrissi and Naidoo	0.456 (0.377, 0.534) ←
- Birungi et al	0.461 (0.383, 0.539) ←
- Mohamed Ali et al	0.455 (0.377, 0.533)
- Mashoto et al	0.464 (0.386, 0.541) ←
- Ndagire et al	0.452 (0.374, 0.530	$) \leftarrow \rightarrow$
- Simangwa et al	0.466 (0.391, 0.541) \leftarrow \blacksquare \rightarrow
- Andegiorgish et al	0.450 (0.372, 0.527) <
- Kalanzi et al	0.448 (0.373, 0.524) ←
- Kassim and Noor	0.458 (0.380, 0.536) <
- Kiwanuka et al	0.461 (0.383, 0.540) <
- Masiga and MImunya	0.453 (0.375, 0.531) <
- Uwayezu et al	0.458 (0.380, 0.536) <
- Nordstrand et al	0.462 (0.382, 0.541) <
- Tagelsir et al	0.457 (0.379, 0.535) <
- Ademe et al	0.459 (0.381, 0.538) <
- Bogale et al	0.454 (0.375, 0.532	$) \leftarrow \blacksquare$
- Rwakatema et al	0.458 (0.380, 0.537) <
- Equbamichael et al	0.456 (0.378, 0.535) <
- Abdelhamid et al	0.452 (0.374, 0.530) < >
- Teshome et al	0.462 (0.384, 0.541) <
- Njoroge et al	0.454 (0.376, 0.532) \leftarrow \blacksquare
- Aynalem et al	0.460 (0.381, 0.538) <
		0.42 0.44 0.46 0.48 0.5
FIGURE 6 Sensitivity analysis	à.	Fioportion



knowledge and attitude of oral health prevention programs in these countries (75). In the subgroup analysis, a high prevalence of dental caries was found in Eritrea (65.2%, 95% CI = 49.2–81.1), followed by Sudan (57.8%, 95% CI = 36.0–79.7), and a low prevalence in Tanzania (30.7%, 95% CI = 21.5–39.9). This difference might be due to socioeconomic and dental facility differences between the countries in the region.

A subgroup analysis revealed that the prevalence of dental caries was age-dependent, with pooled prevalences of 39.5% (95% CI = 26.9, 52.1) in primary dentition, 41.3% (95% CI = 33.5–49.2) in mixed dentition, and 50.2% (95% CI = 38.4–62.1, P = 0.000) in permanent dentition. This finding is in line with a national oral health survey done in China (76) and Palestine (77), where 55.3% and 54.35% of children above 12 years had dental caries, respectively. Moreover, a meta-analysis done in Eastern Mediterranean countries found prevalences of 65% (45–85%) in primary dentition, 66% (59–73%) in mixed dentition, and 70% (64–75%) in permanent dentition (78).

The pooled estimates showed that the mean DMFT in East Africa was 1.941 (95% CI = 1.561-2.322), which is similar to studies done in India (DMFT = 1.95) (79) and Iran (DMFT

= 2.33, 95% CI = 2.12–2.54) (80). However, this result is low compared to studies done in Arab League countries (DMFT = 2.469, 95% CI = 2.019–2.919) (81), Gulf countries (DMFT = 2.57) (71), and the Saudi population (DMFT = 3.34) (82). A meta-analysis in Southeast Asian countries found a mean DMFT of 0.51, which is low compared to the present study. Subgroup analysis found a high DMFT in Sudan (DMFT = 3.146) and a low DMFT in Tanzania (DMFT = 0.945). This might be due to differences in the way of life between the populations of the countries involved in the study.

This study found a mean dmft of 2.237 (95% CI = 1.293– 3.181) in primary dentition, which is low compared to studies done in Saudi Arabia (dmft = 5.38, 95% CI = 4.314–6.436) (82), Gulf State countries (dmft = 5.136 ± 0.038) (71), and Arab League countries (dmft = 4.341, 95% CI = 3.714-4.969). This difference might be due to socioeconomic status differences and dietary practice differences between the countries.

The pooled analysis showed that females were 33.3% more likely to develop dental caries than males (OR = 1.333, 95% CI = 1.24–1.46), which is in line with a study done in China (42.88 vs. 39.77%) (70). The higher prevalence of caries among

Studies Mean DMFT (95% C.I.) Markubabe et al 0.760 (0.630, 0.990) - Markubabe et al 0.980 (0.980, 0.990) - Cameiro and Kabulwa 1.260 (1.260, 1.260) - Kiwula and Mandari 0.410 (0.410, 0.410) - Subgroup Tanzania (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.469) - Teshome et al 1.230 (1.219, 1.241) - Wondwossen et al 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) - Ademe et al 1.995 (1.070, 1.120) - Subgroup Ethiopia (l*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) - Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) - Muxaiz et al 0.730 0.522, 0.938) - Subgroup Ethiopia (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - Fukuda et al 0.240 0.240, 0.240, 0.240) - Subgroup Kenya (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.45, 2.865) - Subgroup Kenya (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.45, 2.865) - - Subgroup Kenya (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>12</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						12			
Makatobe et al 0.760 (0.630, 0.890) - Matvadze et al 0.980 (0.980, 0.980) - Cameiro and Kabulwa 1.260 (1.260) - Riwali and Mandari 0.410 (0.410, 0.410) - Rwakatema et al 1.340 (1.013, 1.667) - Subgroup Tazanai (N*2=100 %, P=0.000) 9.95 (0.421, 1.469) - Teshome et al 1.230 (1.219, 1.241) - Yondrowssen et al 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) - Kebed et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) - Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=70.6 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) - Reweyonyi et al 0.500 (5.506, 6.294) - - Subgroup Duganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - - Fukuda et al 0.920 0.633, 0.977) - - - Subgroup Duganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - - Fukuda et al 0.920 0.633, 0.977) - - - Subgroup Kong (I*2=100 %, P=0.000)	Studies	Mea	an DMFT (95	% C.I.)					
Mafuvadze et al 0.980 0.980, 0.980, Carmeiro and Kabulwa 1.260 (1.260, 1.260 Kikwilu and Madari 0.410 (0.410) • Rwakatema et al 1.340 (1.013, 1.667) Subgroup Tanzania (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.468) Vondwossen et al 1.200 (1.200, 1.201) Ademe et al 0.955 (0.777, 1.103) - Teshome et al 0.956 (0.77, 1.103) - Kebede et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.209) • Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) • Rwenyonyi et al 0.3400 (3.400, 3.400 . - Kubara et al 0.730 (5.56, 6.294) - - Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - - Fukuara et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) - - - Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (1.062, 2.200 <	Mwakatobe et al	0.760	(0.630,	0.890)	_				
Carnetio and Kabulwa 1.260 (1.260, 1.260) Kikwilu and Mandari 0.410 (0.410, 0.410) Kikwilu and Mandari 0.410 (0.413, 1.667) Subgroup Tanzania (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.468) Teshome et al 1.230 (1.219, 1.241) 4. Ademe et al 0.500 (1.200, 1.200) 4. Ademe et al 0.500 (1.277, 1.103) Teshome et al 1.095 (1.077, 1.103) Teshome et al 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) Kabede et al 0.190 (1.627, 2.208) Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Kutesa et al 0.730 (5.527, 0.938) Nagaire et al 2.180 (1.520, 2.440) Kutesa et al 0.730 (5.526, 6.294) Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Svensson et al 0.220 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Kenya (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalfa et al 6.700 (3.400, 1.080) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=9A, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalfa et al 6.700 (3.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.010 (-1.045, 2.420) Andegiorgish et al 2.100 (2.110, 2.109) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=99, %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.080, 5.541) Subgroup Subgroup Somalia (I*2=99, %, P=0.000) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=99, %, P=0.000) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=99, %, P=0.000) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=99, %, P=0.000) Subgroup Eritrea (I*2=86, 43 %, P=0.007) Subgroup Eritrea (I	Mafuvadze et al	0.980	(0.980,	0.980)					
Klikwilu and Mandari 0.410 (0.410, 0.410) Rwakatema et al 1.340 (1.013, 1.667) Subgroup Tanzania (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.468) Wondwossen et al 1.200 (1.200, 1.200) Ademe et al 0.955 (0.77, 1.103) Teshome et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Wenkowsen et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.538) Ntagiare et al 2.180 (1.202, 2.440) Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) - Subgroup Kenya (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.220 (2.200, 2.200) - Subgroup Somalia (I*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) - Subgroup Somalia (I*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) - Subgroup Subgro	Carneiro and Kabulwa	1.260	(1.260,	1.260)					
Rwakatema et al 1.340 (1.013, 1.667) Subgroup Tanzania (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.469) Teshome et al 1.230 (1.219, 1.241) Ademe et al 0.950 (0.797, 1.103) Teshome et al 1.095 (0.777, 1.103) Teshome et al 1.095 (0.777, 1.103) Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Swenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muxazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Kutesa et al 0.730 (5.222, 0.938) Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) Subgroup Ugana (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.555) Fukuda et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Cwino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kasism and Nor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • Subgroup Somalia (I*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • Khaifa et al 0.700 (3.434, 8.966) • </td <td>Kikwilu and Mandari</td> <td>0.410</td> <td>(0.410,</td> <td>0.410)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Kikwilu and Mandari	0.410	(0.410,	0.410)					
Subgroup Tanzania (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 0.945 (0.421, 1.469) Teshome et al 1.200 (1.200, 1.200) • Ademe et al 0.950 (0.777, 1.103) - Teshome et al, 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) • Kebede et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) • Wuray et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) • Muwazi et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) - Ruenyonyi et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) - Kutasa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) - Subgroup Uganda (/^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - Fukuda et al 0.200 (0.663, 0.977) - - Kasim and Noor 3.400 (1.000, 3.400) - - Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) - - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) - - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) - - Mardand Abuaffan 2.200 (2.211, 2.789) - - <td>Rwakatema et al</td> <td>1.340</td> <td>(1.013,</td> <td>1.667)</td> <td>· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</td> <td>_</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Rwakatema et al	1.340	(1.013,	1.667)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_			
Teshome et al 1.230 (1.219, 1.241) Wondwossen et al 1.200 (1.200, 1.200) Ademe et al 0.950 (0.797, 1.103) Teshome et al., 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) Kebed et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muxazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) - Owino et al 0.920 (0.663, 0.977) - Kassim and Noor 3.400 (1.080, 1.080) • Subgroup Kenya (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.454, 2.855) • Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • Subgroup Somaiia (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.505, 5.242) • Ahmed Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • Subgroup Somai	Subgroup Tanzania (I^2=100 % , P=0.000)	0.945	(0.421,	1.468)	\sim	-			
Wondwossen et al 1.200 (1.200, 1.200) • Ademe et al 0.950 (0.797, 1.103) - Eshome et al., 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) - Kebed et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) - Subgroup Ethiopia (I*2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) • Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) • Muxazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) • Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) • Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) • Kalazi et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) • Owino et al 0.920 (0.663, 0.977) - Kassim and Noor 3.400 (1.080, 1.080) • Subgroup Kenya (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.454, 2.855) • Subgroup Sonalia (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.420 (2.200, 2.200) • Subgroup Sonalia (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.454, 2.850) • Subgroup Sonalia (I*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • Subgroup Sonalia (I*2=99.9 %, P=0.000)	Teshome et al	1.230	(1.219,	1.241)					
Ademe et al 0.950 (0.797, 1.103) Teshome et al., 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) Subgroup Ethiopia (I^2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) Kalanzi et al 5.900 (5.506, 6.294) Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kasism and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Anded Abuaffan 2.200 (2.211, 2.789) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdeihamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.0007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661)	Wondwossen et al	1.200	(1.200,	1.200)					
Teshome et al., 1.095 (1.070, 1.120) - Kebede et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) - Subgroup Ethiopia (I^2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) ♦ Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) • Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) • Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) - Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) - Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) - - Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) - - Kasism and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) • - Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) • - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • - Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.055, 1.425) - - <tr< td=""><td>Ademe et al</td><td>0.950</td><td>(0.797,</td><td>1.103)</td><td>_</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	Ademe et al	0.950	(0.797,	1.103)	_				
Kebede et al 1.940 (1.672, 2.208) Subgroup Ethiopia (I^2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Valgaire et al 2.180 (0.522, 0.938) Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owine et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kassim and Noor 3.400 (1.080, 1.080) Masiga and MImunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.000 (2.200, 2.200) - Khaifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) - Subgroup Somalia (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) - Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) - Abdelhamid et al 2.1	Teshome et al.,	1.095	(1.070,	1.120)	-				
Subgroup Ethiopia (I^2=97.06 %, P=0.000) 1.182 (1.135, 1.229) Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Muyazi et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Subgroup Kenya (I/2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Swesson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=9.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I*2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661)	Kebede et al	1.940	(1.672,	2.208)		_			
Rwenyonyi et al 2.300 (2.299, 2.301) Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Ndagire et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) Subgroup Uganda (l^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Covino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kasism and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mimunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (l^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (l^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.055, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritree (l^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661)	Subgroup Ethiopia (I^2=97.06 % , P=0.000)	1.182	(1.135,	1.229)	\$				
Muwazi et al 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) • Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938) • Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) • Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) • Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) • • Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) • • Kassim and Noor 3.400 (1.080, 1.080) • • Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) • • Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • • Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • • Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) • • • Subgroup Soudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) • • Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.100, 2.100) • • • Subgroup Sudan (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) • • • Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000)	Rwenyonyi et al	2.300	(2.299,	2.301)					
Kutesa et al 0.730 (0.522, 0.938)	Muwazi et al	3.400	(3.400,	3.400)					
Ndagire et al 2.180 (1.920, 2.440) Kalanzi et al 5.900 (5.506, 6.294) Subgroup Uganda (l^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kasiga and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (l^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (l*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (l*2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (l*2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (l*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Kutesa et al	0.730	(0.522,	0.938)					
Kalanzi et al 5.900 (5.506, 6.294) Subgroup Uganda (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I*2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Nohamed Ali et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 0.400 (0.244, 0.554) Subgroup Sudar (I*2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I*2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I*2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Ndagire et al	2.180	(1.920,	2.440)					
Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 2.876 (2.186, 3.565) Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mimunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Kalanzi et al	5.900	(5.506,	6.294)				- <u>-</u>	
Fukuda et al 0.240 (0.240, 0.240) Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Subgroup Uganda (I^2=100 % , P=0.000)	2.876	(2.186,	3.565)					
Owino et al 0.920 (0.863, 0.977) - Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudar (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.110, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Fukuda et al	0.240	(0.240,	0.240)					
Kassim and Noor 3.400 (3.400, 3.400) Masiga and Mlmunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.100 (2.110, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Owino et al	0.920	(0.863,	0.977)	-				
Masiga and Mimunya 1.080 (1.080, 1.080) Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.0800 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.4000 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Kassim and Noor	3.400	(3.400,	3.400)					
Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.410 (-0.045, 2.865) Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Masiga and MImunva	1.080	(1.080,	1.080)					
Svensson et al 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA, P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Subgroup Kenya (I^2=100 % , P=0.000)	1.410	(-0.045,	2.865)					
Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA , P=NA) 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Svensson et al	2.200	(2.200,	2.200)		-			
Ahmed and Abuaffan 2.200 (2.200, 2.200) Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Subgroup Somalia (I^2=NA,P=NA)	2.200	(2.200,	2.200)		1			
Khalifa et al 8.700 (8.434, 8.966) Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Ahmed and Abuaffan	2.200	(2.200,	2.200)					
Mohamed Ali et al 1.300 (1.105, 1.495) Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Khalifa et al	8.700	(8.434,	8.966)					
Tagelsir et al 0.400 (0.246, 0.554) Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Mohamed Ali et al	1.300	(1.105,	1.495)		-			
Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 %, P=0.000) 3.146 (1.050, 5.242) Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Tagelsir et al	0.400	(0.246,	0.554)	_				
Andegiorgish et al 2.500 (2.211, 2.789) Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Subgroup Sudan (I^2=99.9 % , P=0.000)	3.146	(1.050,	5.242)					
Abdelhamid et al 2.100 (2.100, 2.100) Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Andegiorgish et al	2.500	(2.211,	2.789)					
Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 %, P=0.007) 2.273 (1.884, 2.661) Overall (I^2=100 %, P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Abdelhamid et al	2.100	(2.100,	2.100)		-			
Overall (I^2=100 % , P=0.000) 1.941 (1.561, 2.322)	Subgroup Eritrea (I^2=86.43 % , P=0.007)	2.273	(1.884,	2.661)		\diamond			
	Overall (I^2=100 % , P=0.000)	1.941	(1.561,	2.322)		\Leftrightarrow			
					0	2	4	6	8

females might be due to the earlier eruption of teeth in girls, easier access to food supplies by women, and frequent snacking during food preparation and pregnancy (83). Moreover, consumption of carbohydrates increases the chance of developing dental caries by 1.575 times (OR = 1.575, 95% CI = 1.13–3.051), which corresponds with studies done in Ethiopia (17), Kenya (84), and Brazil (85). This might be due to the easy fermentation of carbohydrates by cariogenic bacteria into lactic acid, which facilitates the dissolution and destruction of the hard tissue of the teeth.

The present study revealed that there was a statistically significant association between poor tooth brushing habits and dental caries. Participants with poor oral hygiene practice were 1.967 times at risk of developing dental caries (OR = 1.967, 95% CI = 1.205–3.211). This is similar to a study done in Spain (OR = 1.83, 95% CI = 1.07–3.15) (86). However, the result is incomparable to a previous study done in Ethiopia (OR = 0.71, 95% CI = 0.17–2.96). This might be because few studies were included in the pooled estimates of the previous study (17). Nevertheless, the present study did not find a statistically significant association between residency and dental caries (OR = 0.962, 95% CI = 0.595–1.554), which is against the results found in Spain (OR = 1.3, 95% CI = 1.02–1.80) (86) and Ethiopia (adjusted OR = 1.6, 95% CI = 1.2–4.3) (87), where urban residents are at high risk of developing dental caries than rural residents.

Prevalence	of Der	ntal Caries	in	East Africa
------------	--------	-------------	----	-------------

Studies	Mean dmft (95% C.I.)					
Kiwanuka et al 2004	2.400	(2.142,	2.658)			
Svensson et al 2016	2.300	(2.300,	2.300)			
Ahmed and Abuaffan 2015	4.680	(4.680,	4.680)			
Muwazi et al 2005	0.900	(0.900,	0.900)			
Elidrissi and Naidoo 2016	2.300	(2.300,	2.300)			
Birungi et al 2020	2.100	(1.815,	2.385)			
Mohamed Ali et al 2017	3.700	(3.265,	4.135)			
Masiga and MImunya 2013	1.750	(1.750,	1.750)			
Tagelsir et al 2013	1.900	(1.283,	2.517)			
Teshome et al 2020	0.130	(0.130,	0.130)			
Njoroge et al 2010	2.460	(2.212,	2.708)			
Overall (I^2=100 % , P< 0.001)	2.237	(1.293,	3.181)			
FIGURE 9 Forest plot showing the m	iean dmft	in East Afric	a.			



TABLE 2 | Risk factors of dental caries in East Africa.

Factor (ref group)	Number of studies	Total number of participants	Pooled odds ratio (95% CI)	Test for the overall effect	
				l ²	P-value
Gender (male)	12	5,391	1.333(1.129, 1.575)	47.53	0.034
Residency (rural)	6	2,489	0.962 (0.595, 1.554)	82.3	0.067
Oral hygiene practice (good tooth brushing habit)	10	4,927	1.967 (1.205, 3.211)	85.68	<0.001
Carbohydrate intake (infrequent)	11	5,651	1.575 (1.13, 3.051)	93.38	< 0.001

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

This study used multiple databases to search all the relevant studies for systematic review and meta-analysis. Moreover, the two reviewers, to minimize error, independently did data extraction. This was also the first meta-analysis in the region and provided baseline data on the prevalence of dental caries in East Africa. There was no language restriction.

The authors faced certain limitations during this study. Although we used a comprehensive search of articles, there is a scarcity of studies in some countries of the region. Secondly, most of the studies present the status of dental caries in terms of percentage, and only a few studies used DMFT/dmft, which is one of the indicators of the severity of the disease.

CONCLUSION

The overall prevalence of dental caries was comparatively high. Being female and having poor oral health practice were independent risk factors of dental caries. The Ministry of Health of the member countries, along with dental associations of each country, ought to offer due attention to strengthen the oral health programs in schools and primary health care centers and the implementation of school water fluoridation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

AT contributed to the conceptualization, methodology, analysis, validation, writing the original draft, writing the final version, and editing. AM and BG helped with the methodology, analysis, validation, writing the original draft, writing the final version, and editing. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the University of Gondar and all the authors of the original articles.

REFERENCES

- Pakshir HR. Dental education and dentistry system in Iran. Med Princ Pract. (2003) 12 (Suppl. 1):56–60. doi: 10.1159/000069844
- Maher R, Khan A, Rahimtoola S, Bratthall D. Prevalence of mutans streptococci and dental caries in Pakistani children. *JPMA J Pak Med Assoc.* (1992) 42:213–5.
- Ayele FA, Taye BW, Ayele TA, Gelaye KA. Predictors of dental caries among children 7–14 years old in Northwest Ethiopia: a community based crosssectional study. *BMC Oral Health*. (2013) 13:7. doi: 10.1186/1472-6831-13-7
- Franco e Franco TCC, Amoroso P, Marin JM, de Avila FA. Detection of *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* in dental plaque samples from Brazilian preschool children by polymerase chain reaction. *Braz Dent J.* (2007) 18:329–33. doi: 10.1590/S0103-64402007000400011
- Tinanoff N, Kanellis MJ, Vargas CM. Current understanding of the epidemiology mechanisms, and prevention of dental caries in preschool children. *Pediatr Dent.* (2002) 24:543–51.
- Amoroso P, de Ávila FA, Gagliardi CM. Prevalence of different Streptococci species in the oral cavity of children and adolescents. *Braz J Oral Sci.* (2016) 2:164–8.
- Oda Y, Hayashi F, Okada M. Longitudinal study of dental caries incidence associated with *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus sobrinus* in patients with intellectual disabilities. *BMC Oral Health.* (2015) 15:1– 5. doi: 10.1186/s12903-015-0087-6
- Al Agili DE. A systematic review of population-based dental caries studies among children in Saudi Arabia. Saudi Dent J. (2013) 25:3– 11. doi: 10.1016/j.sdentj.2012.10.002
- 9. Kathmandu RY. The burden of restorative dental treatment for children in Third World countries. *Int Dent J.* (2002) 52:1–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1875-595X.2002.tb00589.x
- Petersen PE, Bourgeois D, Ogawa H, Estupinan-Day S, Ndiaye C. The global burden of oral diseases and risks to oral health. *Bull World Health Organ.* (2005) 83:661–9.
- Listl S, Galloway J, Mossey PA, Marcenes W. Global economic impact of dental diseases. J Dent Res. (2015) 94:1355–61. doi: 10.1177/0022034515602879
- Cleaton-Jones P, Fatti P. Dental caries trends in Africa. Community Dent Oral Epidemiol. (1999) 27:316–20. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.1999.tb02027.x
- Petersen PE. The World Oral Health Report 2003: continuous improvement of oral health in the 21st century-the approach of the WHO Global Oral Health Programme. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (2003) 31:3– 24. doi: 10.1046/j.2003.com122.x
- da Silveira Moreira R. Epidemiology of dental caries in the world. Oral Health Care Pediatric Res Epidemiol Clin Pract. (2012) 8:149–68. doi: 10.5772/31951
- Josefczyk ME. The State of Oral Health on the African Continent. Lynchburg, VI: Liberty University (2015).
- Araya YN. Contribution of trees for oral hygiene in East Africa. *Ethnobot Leafl.* (2007) 2007:8.
- Zewdu T, Abu D, Agajie M, Sahilu T. Dental caries and associated factors in Ethiopia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Health Prev Med.* (2021) 26:21. doi: 10.1186/s12199-021-00943-3
- Elidrissi SM, Naidoo S. Prevalence of dental caries and toothbrushing habits among preschool children in Khartoum State, Sudan. *Int Dent J.* (2016) 66:215–20. doi: 10.1111/idj.12223
- Owino RO, Masiga MA, Macigo FG. Dental caries, gingivitis and the treatment needs among 12-year-olds. *East Afr Med J.* (2010) 87:25– 31. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v87i1.59950
- Rwakatema DS, Ananduni KN, Katiti VW, Msuya M, Chugulu J, Kapanda G. Oral health in nursing students at Kilimanjaro Christian Medical Centre teaching hospital in Moshi, Tanzania. *BMC Oral Health.* (2015) 15:1– 8. doi: 10.1186/s12903-015-0008-8
- Stroup DF, Berlin JA, Morton SC, Olkin I, Williamson GD, Rennie D, et al. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology: a proposal for reporting. Meta-analysis of observational studies in epidemiology (MOOSE) group. JAMA. (2000) 283:2008–12. doi: 10.1001/jama.283.15.2008
- StataCorp LP. Stata data analysis and statistical Software. Spec Ed Release. (2007) 10:733. doi: 10.1515/9783110617160
- 23. Hall DB, Woolson RF, Clarke WR, Jones MF. 16 Cochran-mantel-haenszel techniques: applications involving epidemiologic survey data. *Bioenvironm*

Public Health Stat. (2000) 18:483-500. doi: 10.1016/S0169-7161(00)1 8018-6

- Higgins JP, Thompson SG. Quantifying heterogeneity in a meta-analysis. Stat Med. (2002) 21:1539–58. doi: 10.1002/sim.1186
- Rothstein HR, Sutton AJ, Borenstein M. Publication bias in meta-analysis. *Publ Bias Meta Anal Prev Assess Adjust.* (2005) 1:1–7. doi: 10.1002/0470870168
- Saltelli A. Sensitivity analysis for importance assessment. Risk Anal. (2002) 22:579–90. doi: 10.1111/0272-4332.00040
- Tagelsir A, Khogli AE, Nurelhuda NM. Oral health of visually impaired schoolchildren in Khartoum State, Sudan. BMC Oral Health. (2013) 13:33. doi: 10.1186/1472-6831-13-33
- Khalifa N, Allen PF, Abu-bakr NH, Abdel-Rahman ME, Abdelghafar KO. A survey of oral health in a Sudanese population. *BMC Oral Health.* (2012) 12:5. doi: 10.1186/1472-6831-12-5
- 29. Teshome A, Yitayeh A, Gizachew M. Prevalence of dental caries and associated factors among Finote Selam primary school students aged 12–20 years, Finote Selam Town, Ethiopia. *Age.* (2016) 12:15–7.
- Walle M. Khat chewing and self rated oral health out comes in Bahir Dar, North West Ethiopia. Am J Health Res. (2014) 2:344– 9. doi: 10.11648/j.ajhr.20140206.14
- Mulu W, Demilie T, Yimer M, Meshesha K, Abera B. Dental caries and associated factors among primary school children in Bahir Dar city: a crosssectional study. *BMC Res Notes*. (2014) 7:949. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-7-949
- 32. Simon C, Tesfaye F, Berhane Y. Assessment of the oral health status of school children in Addis Ababa. *Ethiop Med J.* (2003) 41:245–56.
- Berhane HY, Worku A. Oral health of young adolescents in Addis Ababa—a community-based study. Open J Prev Med. (2014) 2014:640– 8. doi: 10.4236/ojpm.2014.48073
- Burnett D, Aronson J, Asgary R. Oral health status, knowledge, attitudes and behaviours among marginalized children in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. J Child Health Care. (2016) 20:252–61. doi: 10.1177/1367493515569328
- Kebede B, Kemal T, Abera S. Oral health status of patients with mental disorders in southwest Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE*. (2012) 7:e39142. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0039142
- Ademe D, Admassu D, Balakrishnan S. Analysis of salivary level Lactobacillus spp. and associated factors as determinants of dental caries amongst primary school children in Harar town, eastern Ethiopia. *BMC Pediatr.* (2020) 20:1– 9. doi: 10.1186/s12887-020-1921-9
- 37. Teshome A, Andualem G, Derese K. Dental caries and associated factors among patients attending the university of gondar comprehensive hospital dental clinic, North West Ethiopia: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. *Clin Cosmet Investig Dent.* (2020) 12:191. doi: 10.2147/CCIDE.S247179
- Bogale B, Engida F, Hanlon C, Prince MJ, Gallagher JE. Dental caries experience and associated factors in adults: a cross-sectional community survey within Ethiopia. *BMC Public Health.* (2021) 21:1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12889-021-10199-9
- Aynalem YA, Alamirew G, Shiferaw WS. Magnitude of dental caries and its associated factors among governmental primary school children in Debre Berhan Town, North-East Ethiopia. *Pediatr Health Med Ther.* (2020) 11:225. doi: 10.2147/PHMT.S259813
- Wondwossen F, Åstrøm AN, Bjorvatn K, Bårdsen A. The relationship between dental caries and dental fluorosis in areas with moderate- and high-fluoride drinking water in Ethiopia. *Community Dent Oral Epidemiol.* (2004) 32:337– 44. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0528.2004.00172.x
- Equbamichael M, Fissihaye T, Hagos A, Tsadu G, Kiflom A. Prevalence of dental caries in high school students in Asmara, Eritrea. *J Eritrean Med Assoc.* (2006) 1:36–9. doi: 10.4314/jema.v1i1.52680
- Abdelhamid N, Bahta H, Mohammed S, Dhanni C, Elfatih AM. Prevalence of dental caries and evaluation of mean DMFT index among secondary school students in Asmara, Eritrea. *Afr J Oral Health.* (2019) 8:1–7.
- Andegiorgish AK, Weldemariam BW, Kifle MM, Mebrahtu FG, Zewde HK, Tewelde MG, et al. Prevalence of dental caries and associated factors among 12 years old students in Eritrea. *BMC Oral Health.* (2017) 17:1– 6. doi: 10.1186/s12903-017-0465-3
- Rwenyonyi CM, Muwazi LM, Buwembo W. Assessment of factors associated with dental caries in rural communities in Rakai District, Uganda. *Clin Oral Investig.* (2011) 15:75–80. doi: 10.1007/s00784-009-0363-4

- Kiwanuka SN, AAstrøm AN, Trovik TA. Dental caries experience and its relationship to social and behavioural factors among 3–5-year-old children in Uganda. *Int J Paediatr Dent.* (2004) 14:336–46. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-263X.2004.00570.x
- Muwazi LM, Rwenyonyi CM, Tirwomwe FJ, Ssali C, Kasangaki A, Nkamba ME, et al. Prevalence of oral diseases/conditions in Uganda. *Afr Health Sci.* (2005) 5:227–33.
- Kutesa A, Kasangaki A, Nkamba M, Muwazi L, Okullo I, Rwenyonyi CM. Prevalence and factors associated with dental caries among children and adults in selected districts in Uganda. *Afr Health Sci.* (2015) 15:1302– 7. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v15i4.33
- 48. Birungi N, Fadnes LT, Engebretsen IM, Lie SA, Tumwine JK, AAstrøm AN, et al. Caries experience and oral health related quality of life in a cohort of Ugandan HIV-1 exposed uninfected children compared with a matched cohort of HIV unexposed uninfected children. *BMC Public Health.* (2020) 20:1–12. doi: 10.1186/s12889-020-08564-1
- Ndagire B, Kutesa A, Ssenyonga R, Kiiza HM, Nakanjako D, Rwenyonyi CM. Prevalence, severity and factors associated with dental caries among school adolescents in Uganda: a cross-sectional study. *Braz Dent J.* (2020) 31:171–8. doi: 10.1590/0103-6440202002841
- Kalanzi D, Mayanja-Kizza H, Nakanjako D, Mwesigwa CL, Ssenyonga R, Amaechi BT. Prevalence and factors associated with dental caries in patients attending an HIV care clinic in Uganda: a cross sectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* (2019) 19:1–8. doi: 10.1186/s12903-019-0847-9
- Ahmed TES, Abuaffan A. Correlation between body mass index and dental caries among a sample of Sudanese children. *Braz Dent Sci.* (2015) 18:42– 51. doi: 10.14295/bds.2015.v18i3.1149
- Mohamed Ali H, Mustafa M, Hasabalrasol S, Elshazali OH, Nasir EF, Ali RW, et al. Presence of plaque, gingivitis and caries in Sudanese children with congenital heart defects. (2017) 21:1299–307. doi: 10.1007/s00784-016-1884-2
- Fukuda H, Ogada CN, Kihara E, Wagaiyu EG, Hayashi Y. Oral health status among 12-year-old children in a rural Kenyan community. *J Dent Oral Health*. (2014) 1:1–5. doi: 10.17303/jdoh.2014.201
- Owino RO, Macigo FG, Onyango FJ. Pattern and aetiology of mandibular fractures at Kenyatta national hospital. Afr J Oral Health Sci. (2003) 4:178–80.
- Kassim BA, Noor MA. Oral health status among Kenyans in a rural arid setting: dental caries experience and knowledge on its causes. *East Afr Med* J. (2006) 83:100–5. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v83i2.9396
- Makhanu M, Opinya G, Mutave RJ. Dental fluorosis, caries experience and snack intake of 13-15 year olds in Kenya. *East Afr Med J.* (2009) 86:120– 4. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v86i3.54963
- Masiga MA, M'Imunya JM. Prevalence of dental caries and its impact on quality of life (QoL) among HIV-infected children in Kenya. J Clin Pediatr Dent. (2013) 38:83–7. doi: 10.17796/jcpd.38.1.62l1q94650j5l815
- Njoroge NW, Kemoli AM, Gatheche LW. Prevalence and pattern of early childhood caries among 3-5 year olds in Kiambaa, Kenya. *East Afr Med J.* (2010) 87:134–7. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v87i3.62199
- 59. Uwayezu D, Gatarayiha A, Nzayirambaho M. Prevalence of dental caries and associated risk factors in children living with disabilities in Rwanda: a cross-sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J.* (2020) 36:193.
- Svensson I, Gustafsson J, Uleskog E, Mathisson C, Molla N, Kahlmeter A, et al. Oral condition and background factors in Somali immigrant children newly arrived in Sweden. Swed Dent J. (2016) 40:153–64.
- Nordstrand MA, Saxe DS, Mohammed MA, Adam MB. Health and disease among Somali primary school children in Hargeisa. *Glob Health Action*. (2019) 12:1598648. doi: 10.1080/16549716.2019.1598648
- Mwakatobe AJ, Mumghamba EG. Oral health behavior and prevalence of dental caries among 12-year-old school-children in Dar-es-Salaam, Tanzania. *Tanzan Dent J.* (2007) 14:1–7. doi: 10.4314/tdj.v14i1.37563
- Mafuvadze BT, Mahachi L, Mafuvadze B. Dental caries and oral health practice among 12 year old school children from low socioeconomic status background in Zimbabwe. *Pan Afr Med J.* (2013) 14:164. doi: 10.11604/pamj.2013.14.164.2399
- Carneiro LC, Kabulwa MN. Dental caries, and supragingival plaque and calculus among students, Tanga, Tanzania. *ISRN Dent.* (2012) 2012:245296. doi: 10.5402/2012/245296

- Kikwilu EN, Mandari GJ. Dental caries and periodontal conditions among primary school children in Morogoro municipality, Tanzania. *East Afr Med J.* (2001) 78:152–6. doi: 10.4314/eamj.v78i3.9083
- 66. Mwakayoka H, Masalu JR, Kikwilu EN. Dental caries and associated factors in children aged 2-4 years old in Mbeya City, Tanzania. *J Dent.* (2017) 18:104.
- Mashoto KO, AAstrøm AN, David J, Masalu JR. Dental pain, oral impacts and perceived need for dental treatment in Tanzanian school students: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. (2009) 7:1– 9. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-7-73
- Simangwa LD, AAstrøm AN, Johansson A, Minja IK, Johansson A-K. Oral diseases and oral health related behaviors in adolescents living in Maasai population areas of Tanzania: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pediatr.* (2019) 19:1–14. doi: 10.1186/s12887-019-1655-8
- The Joanna Briggs Institute. Joanna Briggs Institute Reviewers' Manual: 2014 Edition. Kronoberg County: The Joanna Briggs Institute (2014)
- Cheng Y-H, Liao Y, Chen D-Y, Wang Y, Wu Y. Prevalence of dental caries and its association with body mass index among school-age children in Shenzhen, China. *BMC Oral Health.* (2019) 19:270. doi: 10.1186/s12903-019-0950-y
- 71. Alayyan W, Al Halabi M, Hussein I, Khamis A, Kowash M. A systematic review and meta-analysis of school children's caries studies in gulf cooperation council states. *J Int Soc Prev Community Dent.* (2017) 7:234.
- Tambelini CA, Ramos DM, Poli-Frederico RC, Tomasetti CS de C, Barata T de JE, Maciel SM. Dental caries in adolescents and its association with excess weight and sociodemographic factors in Londrina, Paraná, Brazil. *Rev Odonto Ciênc.* (2010) 25:245–9.
- Kamberi B, Koçani F, Begzati A, Kelmendi J, Ilijazi D, Berisha N, et al. Prevalence of dental caries in kosovar adult population. *Int J Dent.* (2016) 2016;4290291. doi: 10.1155/2016/4290291
- 74. Wang Y, Xing L, Yu H, Zhao L. Prevalence of dental caries in children and adolescents with type 1 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Oral Health. (2019) 19:213. doi: 10.1186/s12903-019-0903-5
- Petersen PE, Kwan S. Evaluation of community-based oral health promotion and oral disease prevention–WHO recommendations for improved evidence in public health practice. *Community Dent Health.* (2004) 21 (4 Suppl.):319–29. doi: 10.6719/emhj.20.050
- Wang H-Y, Petersen PE, Bian J-Y, Zhang B-X. The second national survey of oral health status of children and adults in China. *Int Dent J.* (2002) 52:283–90. doi: 10.1111/j.1875-595X.2002.tb00632.x
- Mahfouz M, Abu Esaid A. Dental caries prevalence among 12-15 year old palestinian children. *Int Sch Res Not.* (2014) 2014;785404. doi: 10.1155/2014/785404
- Kale S, Kakodkar P, Shetiya S, Abdulkader R. Prevalence of dental caries among children aged 5-15 years from 9 countries in the Eastern Mediterranean Region: a meta-analysis. *East Mediterr Health*. (2020) 26:726– 35. doi: 10.26719/emhj.20.050
- Janakiram C, Antony B, Joseph J, Ramanarayanan V. Prevalence of dental caries in India among the WHO index age groups: a meta-analysis. J Clin Diagn Res. (2018) 12:1–7. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2018/32669.11956
- Soltani MR. Dental caries status and its related factors in Iran: a meta-analysis. J Dent. (2020) 21:158. doi: 10.30476/DENTJODS.2020.82596.1024
- Khan SQ. Dental caries in Arab League countries: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Dent J.* (2014) 64:173–80. doi: 10.1111/idj. 12092
- 82. Khan SQ, Khan NB, ArRejaie AS. Dental caries. Saudi Med J. (2013) 34:744-9.
- Lukacs JR, Largaespada LL. Explaining sex differences in dental caries prevalence: saliva, hormones, and "life-history" etiologies. Am J Hum Biol. (2006) 18:540–55. doi: 10.1002/ajhb. 20530
- Punitha VC, Amudhan A, Sivaprakasam P, Rathanaprabu V. Role of dietary habits and diet in caries occurrence and severity among urban adolescent school children. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci.* (2015) 7 (Suppl. 1):S296–300. doi: 10.4103/0975-7406.1 55963
- 85. Melo MMDC de, Souza WV de, Lima MLC de, Braga C. Factors associated with dental caries in preschoolers in Recife, Pernambuco State, Brazil.

Cad Saude Publica. (2011) 27:471-85. doi: 10.1590/S0102-311X20110003 00008

- Obregón-Rodríguez N, Fernández-Riveiro P, Piñeiro-Lamas M, Smyth-Chamosa E, Montes-Martínez A, Suárez-Cunqueiro MM. Prevalence and caries-related risk factors in schoolchildren of 12- and 15-year-old: a crosssectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* (2019) 19:120. doi: 10.1186/s12903-019-0806-5
- Tafere Y, Chanie S, Dessie T, Gedamu H. Assessment of prevalence of dental caries and the associated factors among patients attending dental clinic in Debre Tabor general hospital: a hospital-based cross-sectional study. *BMC Oral Health.* (2018) 18:119. doi: 10.1186/s12903-018-0581-8

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2021 Teshome, Muche and Girma. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.