Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

EBioMedicine





Research Paper

Induction and Amelioration of Methotrexate-Induced Gastrointestinal Toxicity are Related to Immune Response and Gut Microbiota



Bailing Zhou ^{a,1}, Xuyang Xia ^{a,b,1}, Peiqi Wang ^{c,1}, Shuang Chen ^a, Chaoheng Yu ^a, Rong Huang ^a, Rui Zhang ^a, Yantai Wang ^a, Lian Lu ^a, Fengjiao Yuan ^a, Yaomei Tian ^a, Yingzi Fan ^a, Xueyan Zhang ^a, Yang Shu ^{a,b}, Shouyue Zhang ^{a,b}, Ding Bai ^c, Lei Wu ^a, Heng Xu ^{a,b,*}, Li Yang ^{a,*}

^a State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University and Collaborative Innovation Center, Chengdu, Sichuan, China

^b Department of Laboratory Medicine, Research Center of Clinical Laboratory Medicine and Precision Medicine Center, Precision Medicine Key Laboratory of Sichuan Province, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Sichuan, China

^c State Key laboratory of Oral diseases, National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University and Collaborative Innovation Center, Chengdu, China

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 December 2017 Received in revised form 19 June 2018 Accepted 22 June 2018 Available online 2 July 2018

Keywords: Methotrexate Gastrointestinal toxicity Gut microbiota Mononuclear phagocyte

ABSTRACT

As a widely used anticancer and immunosuppressive agent, methotrexate (MTX) can induce multiple adverse drug reactions (ADRs), such as gastrointestinal toxicity, the mechanisms are poorly understood. Gut microbiota has been widely reported to be associated with the onset of multiple diseases as well as treatment outcomes of different drugs. In this study, mucosal injury was observed in MTX-treated mice, leading to significant changes in macrophages (i.e., M1/M2 ratio, P < 0.05) but not in dendritic cells. Moreover, the population, diversity and principal components of the gut microbiota in mice were dramatically altered after MTX treatment in a time-dependent manner, and *Bacteroidales* exhibited the most distinct variation among all the taxa (P < 0.05). *Bacteroides fragilis* was significantly decreased with MTX treatment (P < 0.01) and tended to decrease proportion-ately with increasing macrophage density. Gavage of mice with *B. fragilis* ameliorated MTX-induced inflammatory reactions and modulate macrophage polarization. In conclusion, our results delineate a strong impact of the gut microbiota on MTX-induced intestinal mucositis and provide a potential method for the prevention of such ADRs. © 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Methotrexate (MTX), a structural analog of folic acid, blocks folate metabolism via competitive inhibition of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR), thus leading to the suppression of de novo synthesis of purines and pyrimidines [1–3]. Over the past decades, MTX has been successfully used to treat various cancers and autoimmune diseases either alone or in combination with other agents [4]. Unfortunately, the curative potential of MTX is sometimes reduced due to its morbid multi-organ toxicity, including gastrointestinal toxicity, bone marrow toxicity, cardiotoxicity, nephrotoxicity and hepatotoxicity [5–7]. Currently, intestinal toxicity is the major dose-limiting factor for MTX administration, and MTXinduced intestinal mucositis represents a significant burden to patients. The condition may affect the entire gastrointestinal tract and is typically accompanied with nausea, bloating, abdominal pain and diarrhea, which often result in malabsorption, weight loss and disrupted

¹ These authors contributed equally to this work.

chemotherapy [8–10]. Despite all the efforts being made to ameliorate MTX-induced intestinal damage [11–14], there is no satisfactory therapeutic intervention so far that prevents or treats all the symptoms [15]. This is due, at least in part, to the lack of understanding of the mechanisms by which MTX induces intestinal impairment. A limited number of studies suggest that the administration of MTX induces DNA strand breaks in rapidly proliferating intestinal epithelial cells [16] and causes significant oxidative stress [17, 18]. More importantly, MTX may exert deleterious effects through a dynamic sequence of complex inflammatory events initiated by direct cellular injury in the intestinal epithelium and submucosal tissues [16, 19]. According to various reports, the mononuclear phagocyte system (MPS) plays a key role in the maintenance of gut homeostasis and exhibits multiple functions during immune responses in the intestine. The MPS mainly comprises dendritic cells (DCs) and macrophages [20], which initiate adaptive immune responses [21] and act as innate effector cells [22], respectively. When tissues are damaged following infection or injury, inflammatory monocytes are recruited from the circulation or the intestinal reservoir for homeostatic adaptation. Therefore, it is possible that the MTX-induced intestinal damage may cause dysregulation of the intestinal MPS, thus leading to a vicious inflammatory cycle.

2352-3964/© 2018 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



^{*} Corresponding authors at: State Key Laboratory of Biotherapy, West China Hospital, Sichuan University, No. 17, South Renmin Road, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, China.

E-mail addresses: xuheng81916@scu.edu.cn, (H. Xu), yl.tracy73@gmail.com (L. Yang).

Research in context

Methotrexate has been successfully used to treat various cancers and autoimmune diseases either alone or in combination with other agents. Unfortunately, intestinal toxicity is the major doselimiting factor for MTX administration, and MTX-induced intestinal mucositis represents a significant burden to patients. Our results delineate a strong impact of the gut microbiota on MTXinduced intestinal mucositis and provide a potential method for the prevention of such adverse drug reactions.

On the other hand, the activated immune system in the intestine can also impact the gut microbiota, a diverse microbial community mainly composed of bacteria that colonize the gastrointestinal tract. Despite the complexity of the gut microbiota, there is a mutualistic relationship between the host and microorganisms in which the microbiota contributes to many physiological processes of the host, and in turn the host provides niches and nutrients for microbial survival [23, 24]. As the homeostasis of the gut microbiota is important to the intestinal epithelium and immune system and may modulate the intestinal metabolism of drugs, aberrant changes of the gut microbiota can result in altered drug response, including treatment inefficiency as well as adverse drug reactions (ADRs) [25]. For instance, the antitumor efficacy of CTLA-4 blockade-based immunotherapy is dependent on distinct Bacteroides species in the intestine, and response to CTLA-4 inhibitors may be lost in antibiotic-treated or germ-free mice [26]. Therefore, it is worthwhile to investigate the relationship among MTX-induced intestinal toxicity, immune responses and disruption of the gut microbiota.

In this study, we determined the impact of MTX on the intestinal mucosal damage, alteration in the MPS properties, and consequent imbalance in the host gut microbiota. We also observed that via a dynamic sequence of detrimental intestinal inflammatory reactions, MTX profoundly aggravates intestinal toxicity, which can be ameliorated by gavage with specific *Bacteroides* species. The current study may fuel subsequent studies investigating the prevention of treatment of MTX-induced intestinal damage.

2. Methods

2.1. Mice and Treatment

Eight-week-old male Balb/c mice were purchased from Beijing Vital River Laboratory Animal Co. Ltd. (Beijing, China). All mice were maintained in a pathogen-free animal facility. All experimental procedures and animal care were carried out in compliance with the regulations of the Animal Care Committee of Sichuan University. The mice were intraperitoneally (i.p.) injected with 1 mg/kg of MTX (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) or PBS every 3 days. The mice were treated with or without metronidazole (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) for 2 weeks before MTX injection and given antibiotics until the beginning of the experiment. Metronidazole (1 mg/ml) was added to sterile drinking water. The solutions and bottles were changed 2 times a week.

2.2. Cell Culture and Reagents

Colon adenocarcinoma Caco2 cells and intestinal epithelial IEC6 cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in DMEM (Gibco, USA) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA), 100 units/ml penicillin (Beyotime Biotechnology, China) and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Beyotime Biotechnology, China). Macrophage RAW264.7 cells were cultured at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ in RPMI-1640 (Gibco, USA) medium supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco, USA), 100 units/ml penicillin (Beyotime Biotechnology, China) and 100 µg/ml streptomycin (Beyotime Biotechnology, China).

2.3. Microbial DNA Extraction, 16S rDNA Amplicon Sequencing

The fecal samples used in this study were collected before or after injection of MTX (or PBS) from mice under metronidazole regimen or water and kept at -80 °C until further analysis. Frozen fecal samples were processed for DNA isolation using the Stool DNA Isolation Kit according to manufacturer's instructions. One nanogram of purified fecal DNA was used for PCR amplification. Amplicons spanning the variable region 4 (V4) of the 16S rRNA gene were generated by using the following primers: forward, 5'-GTGCCAGCMGCCGCGGTAA-3'; reverse, 5'-GGAC TACHVGGGTWTCTAAT-3'. The PCR products were then sequenced on an Illumina Hi-seq sequencer at Novogene (Novogene, Beijing, China).

2.4. Taxonomic Microbiota Analysis

FLASH (V 1.2.7, http://ccb.jhu.edu/software/FLASH/) [27] was used to obtain assembly reads, i.e., the raw tags, for each sample, from which the sequences of barcodes and primers were truncated. The raw tags were then processed using the QIIME (Quantitative Insights Into Microbial Ecology, http://www.qiime.org) analysis pipeline to obtain clean tags [28–30]. Using Uparse (V 7.0.1001, http://drive5.com/ uparse/) [31], sequences sharing 97% nucleotide sequence identity in the 16S region were binned into operational taxonomic units (OTUs). Taxonomical classification was performed using the RDP-classifier (V 2.2, http://sourceforge.net/projects/rdp-classifier/) [32], and OTU mapping was employed using the SILVA database (http://www.arb-silva. de/) [33]. For alpha diversity, QIIME and R (V 2.15.3) were used to construct the Chao1 curve. For beta diversity, the Unifrac distance was calculated using QIIME, and PCA was performed using R.

2.5. Co-Culture of B. fragilis with RAW264.7 Macrophages

B. fragilis (ATCC 25285) were plated at 1×10^{6} per well with $100 \,\mu$ l BHI and cultured with or without 1×10^{6} /ml RAW264.7 cells. After 16 h, the *B. fragilis* was collected, diluted and cultured on sheep blood agar plates for 24 h.

2.6. Gut Colonization with Dedicated Bacterial Species

For inoculation of mice untreated or treated with metronidazole, colonization was performed on the day following the first MTX injection by oral gavage with 100 μ l PBS containing 1 \times 10⁹ bacterial cells. *B. fragilis* was grown on sheep blood agar plates for 48 h at 37 °C under anaerobic conditions. *B. fragilis* was harvested from the sheep blood agar plates, suspended in sterile PBS, centrifuged and washed with PBS and then resuspended in sterile PBS at an optical density (600 nm) of 1, which corresponds to approximately 1 \times 10⁹ colony-forming units (CFUs) per ml.

2.7. Flow Cytometry

Mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) and spleens were harvested from mice on day 7 or day 14 after the first injection of MTX. The tissues were cut into small pieces and digested with type IV collagenase (Sigma, USA) at 37 °C for 30 min with shaking. The mixture was subsequently filtered through a 70 μ m cell strainer. The cells were stained with antibodies against the following surface markers for flow cytometry: CD11b, F4/80, CD206 and CD11c. The antibodies were purchased from BD Biosciences and BioLegend. Cell populations were gated as follows: M1 macrophage (CD11b⁺F4/80⁺), M2 macrophage (CD11b⁺CD206⁺) and DC (CD11c⁺). Flow cytometry was performed on a FACSCalibur (BD, USA) flow cytometer, and the data were analyzed with the software FlowJo 6.0.

2.8. Histology of Gut Tissue and Immunofluorescence Staining of the Gut Leukocytes

The whole tissue of the small intestine (duodenum, jejunum and ileum) and colon was harvested, cleaned from feces, fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde for 24 h and then embedded longitudinally in paraffin. Small intestine or colon tissues were cut into 4 µm longitudinal sections and stained with hematoxylin and eosin for histological analyses. To evaluate lymphocytic infiltration, the longitudinal sections were blocked with 5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) for 1 h. Then, FITC-conjugated anti-mouse CD4 (1:100 for 2 h, BD Biosciences), PE-conjugated antimouse CD11b (1:100 for 2 h, BD Biosciences) and DAPI (Beyotime Biotechnology) were used. All steps were performed at 4 °C in the dark. Thickness of muscularis mucosae was assessed using Image J.

2.9. Gene Expression Analysis

Total RNA was extracted from intestinal tissues or cells using RNAiso Plus (TaKaRa, Japan). Complementary DNA was generated using the PrimeScript™ RT reagent Kit with gDNA Eraser (TaKaRa, Japan) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantification of gene expression was performed on Bio-rad CFX Connect platform using the SYBR® Fast qPCR Mix (TaKaRa, Japan). The gene-specific primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

2.10. Quantification of Bacteria by qPCR

Genomic DNA was isolated from fecal samples using the Stool DNA Isolation Kit (Foregene, China) following the manufacturer's instructions. qPCR was performed using SYBR Green for different *Bacteroides* species. The gene-specific primer sequences are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

2.11. Cytotoxicity Tests and Cell Treatment With B. fragilis

The ability of MTX to inhibit the replication of cells was assayed in 96-well plates using a cell counting kit-8 (CCK-8, Dojindo, Japan). Cells were plated at a density of 1×10^4 per well with 100 µl cell culture medium and treated with or without 7.8–2000 ng/ml MTX. After 24 h, the cells were further incubated with 10 µl CCK-8 for 4 h at 37 °C. The percentage of cells that stained positively with CCK-8 compared with the control cells were used to quantify the half-maximal inhibitory

concentration (IC50). Cells were plated at a density of 2×10^5 per well in 6-well plates with MTX (according to IC50) and incubated with or without 1×10^7 CFUs/ml *B. fragilis* for 4 h and then were collected and evaluated for gene expression analysis.

2.12. Statistical Analyses

The data were analyzed with Prism 5 (GraphPad). The data are presented as the means \pm SEM, and *P* values were computed using unpaired two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). Corrected *p* values were shown to account for multiple testing. All reported analyses were considered significant at *P* values <0.05.

3. Results

3.1. MTX Induced Inflammatory Response in Intestinal Tissues

To investigate the effects of MTX on the intestinal tissue, intestinal samples were collected from Balb/c mice after systemic administration of MTX for 14 days and prepared for histological analyses. In comparison with the PBS-treated controls, the MTX-treated mice tended to exhibit distinct villous atrophy, increased goblet cell accumulation and collapsed muscularis mucosae in the jejunum. In addition to these alterations, the MTX-induced mucosal damage in the colon was accompanied with inflammatory cell infiltration (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1), indicating that MTX induces mucosal inflammation. In line with these results, immunofluorescence staining of jejunum and colon showed aberrant accumulation of CD11b⁺ myeloid cells in the MTX-treated mice but not in the PBS-treated mice (Fig. 1b), while no difference in CD4⁺ T cells was detected. These data suggest that the MTX-induced intestinal damage may be attributed to a series of intestinal inflammatory changes including CD11b⁺ myeloid cell infiltration.

To further confirm the hypothesis that MTX promotes intestinal inflammatory response, the mRNA expression levels of inflammatory markers in jejunal and colonic tissues were detected in the MTXtreated mice and PBS-treated mice using real-time PCR. We observed an up-regulation of IL-1 β , TNF- α and IFN- γ mRNA expression in both jejunum and colon of the MTX-treated mice, while lower levels of IL-6 were observed in the jejunum of the same groups (Fig. 2). However, no IL-10 was detectable in the intestinal tissues.



Fig. 1. MTX induces inflammatory responses in intestinal tissues. (a) Representative hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining of the jejunum and colon in control and MTX-treated mice on day 14. (b) Representative immunofluorescence staining of the monocyte surface marker (CD11b) in the jejunum and colon of *Balb/c* mice treated with or without MTX on day 14. Nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (blue); magnification 200×.

3.2. MTX Induced Changes in Macrophage Subsets

To explore the changes in immune cell activities, we focused on the increased proportions of CD11b⁺ mononuclear phagocytes, which can be subdivided into macrophages and DCs. There are two main groups of macrophages, designated as activated macrophages (also known as M1 macrophages, promoting inflammatory responses by producing substantial amounts of nitric oxide and proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-12 and TNF- α) and alternatively activated macrophages (also known as M2 macrophages, serving anti-inflammatory functions by producing anti-inflammatory cytokines such as IL-10) [34–37]. Due to the plasticity of environmentdependent M1 or M2 polarization and their diverse roles in inflammation induction/homeostasis maintenance, we quantified the M1 and M2 macrophages in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) and spleens to investigate the effect of MTX on the intestinal and extraintestinal lymphoid tissues, respectively. The MTX-treated mice exhibited fewer CD11b⁺F4/80⁺ M1 macrophages and more CD11b⁺CD206⁺ M2 macrophages in both MLNs and spleens according to flow cytometric examination (Fig. 3a–d). The M1/M2 ratio-



Fig. 2. MTX induces alterations in intestinal cytokines. (a-d) Real-time PCR analyses of expression of cytokines (a) IFN- γ , (B) IL-1 β , (c) TNF- α , and (d) IL-6 in the mouse jejunum after treatment with or without MTX (n = 3/group). (e-h) Expression levels of cytokines (e) IFN- γ , (f) IL-1 β , (g) TNF- α , and (h) IL-6 in the mouse colon (n = 3/group). Shown are the means \pm SEM; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 versus PBS treatment (normal).

based differences were markedly pronounced in the MTX-treated group than in the PBS-treated group (Fig. 3e and d), indicating that MTX facilitates the polarization of pro-inflammatory M1 macrophages and decreases the anti-inflammatory M2 phenotype, thereby promoting intestinal inflammation. Concomitantly, MTX can also be implicated in the regulation of monocyte polarization in the spleen.

Given that dendritic cells are also essential for active immunity, changes in the CD11c⁺ dendritic cells were also monitored in the MLNs and spleens using flow cytometry. Increasing numbers of DCs were observed in the MLNs after 14 days of MTX treatment, whereas no significant difference could be detected in the spleens (Supplementary Fig. 2), suggesting that long-term MTX treatment can increase the number of DCs in the MLNs but not in spleens.

3.3. MTX Induced Changes in Intestinal Microbial Components

Considering that M1 macrophages function as phagocytes and are specialized in the recognition and elimination of microbes [38] and the gut microbiota is closely associated with the intestinal epithelium and immune system, we hypothesized that MTX would stimulate M1 macrophage growth and causally disturb the balance of the gut microbiota. Therefore, feces of mice with/without MTX treatment were collected on day 0, day 7 and day 14 for analyses of the gut microbiota by performing high throughput pyrosequencing of 16S ribosomal RNA (rRNA) gene amplicons.

Analyses of alpha diversity of bacteria with the Chao1 index revealed gradually reduced diversity of the total microbiota in the MTX-treated



Fig. 3. MTX induces changes in macrophage subsets in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLNs) and spleens. (a, b) MTX-associated changes in (a) $CD11b^+F4/80^+$ M1 macrophages and (b) $CD11b^+CD206^+$ M2 macrophages in MLNs on day 7 and day 14. (c, d) MTX-associated changes in (c) $CD11b^+F4/80^+$ M1 macrophages and (d) $CD11b^+CD206^+$ M2 macrophages in the spleens on day 7 and day 14. (e) M1/M2 ratio in the MLNs on day 7 and day 14. (f) M1/M2 ratio in the spleens on day 7 and day 14. (A-F, n = 3/group) Shown are the means \pm SEM; *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 versus PBS treatment.

groups, whereas the species richness of microbial communities in the PBS-treated controls showed little difference over time (Fig. 4a), the analyses of Observed species, abundance-based coverage estimator (ACE) and Shannon diversity were in accord with Chao 1(Supplementary Fig. 3). Additionally, principal component analysis (PCA) demonstrated that the composition of the gut microbiome of mice treated with MTX for 14 days was significantly altered compared with that of the PBS-treated mice on day 0 (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 4). These findings are consistent with our hypothesis that MTX contributes to perturbations of microbiota composition.

Further, the significantly altered components of the intestinal microbiota were estimated by comparing the MTX-induced discrimination of normalized operational taxonomic units (OTUs) in mice. The OTUs of different microbiota were gradually increased or decreased during MTX treatment (Supplementary Table 2), which is illustrated in the form of a heatmap (Fig. 5a). For instance, the Ruminococcaceae family underwent a sharp decrease (Fig. 5b), while the number of Lachnospiraceae family members was dramatically increased (Fig. 5c). To identify the most differentially abundant taxa in the MTX-treated mice over time, the linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) method was applied to assess the effect size of each taxon. The output revealed that mice treated with MTX for 7 days and 14 days had fewer Bacteroidetes in the feces (Fig. 5d). Consistent with this finding, statistical analysis indicated a reduction in Bacteroidales in the MTX group (Fig. 5e). In addition, LefSe and statistical analysis for MTXtreated mice versus PBS-treated mice had been proceeded with timepoint as covariate, the results indicated that Bacteroidales was decreased in MTX-treated mice compared to PBS-treated mice

(Supplementary Fig. 5a–b). Linear mixed effect model was conducted at the same time, MTX treatment and timepoint were considered as fixed effect, *Bacteroidales* was also changed significantly in this analysis (Supplementary Table 3). Collectively, we demonstrate a profound role of MTX in the quantitative alteration of a diverse range of microbial taxa, among which *Bacteroidales* exhibited the most distinct variation.

Since *Bacteroides* serves as a representative genus of the *Bacteroidaceae* family, we performed real-time PCR targeting specific *Bacteroides* species in fecal contents. The content of *B. thetaiotamicron* distinctly increased (Fig. 6a), while that of *B. uniforms* and *B. fragilis* significantly decreased after MTX but not PBS treatment (Fig. 6b–c). Notably, one of the well-reported regulatory *Bacteroides* isolates, *B. fragilis* [39], was the most significantly impacted in terms of fold change (Fig. 6b).

3.4. B. fragilis Ameliorated MTX-Associated Inflammatory Damage

B. fragilis, a member of the normal colon microbiata, has been demonstrated to play a vital role in immune regulation and associated with auto-immune diseases [40] as well as to the drug response against CTLA-4 [26]. Therefore, we focused on *B. fragilis* to explore the relationship between the intestinal microbiota and MTX-induced inflammatory response.

First, we performed co-culture of RAW264.7 macrophages and *B. fragilis* to validate the causal effect of promotion of M1 macrophages by *Bacteroides*. Our data showed that the proliferation rate of *B. fragilis* tended to decrease with the increase in macrophage density, suggesting that the proliferation of *B. fragilis* was inhibited by RAW264.7



Fig. 4. MTX induces changes in intestinal microbial components. (a) Alpha diversity and (b) principal component analysis (PCA) of the gut microbiota in MTX-treated and PBS-treated mice on day 0, day 7, and day 14. Shown are the means ± SEM; ns, not significant; *adjusted *P* < 0.05 versus day 0.

macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 6). Accordingly, the MTX-associated decrease in intestinal *B. fragilis* was likely mediated by M1 macrophage polarization.

These findings prompted us to ask whether the decrease in *B. fragilis* promoted MTX-induced inflammation. Therefore, real-time PCR was performed to monitor the expression of inflammatory cytokines in Caco2 and IEC6 cells treated with or without *B. fragilis* and MTX at the half-maximal inhibitory concentration (IC50) of MTX for these two cell lines (Supplementary Fig. 7). It was illustrated that *B. fragilis* could efficiently decrease the MTX-induced secretion of IFN- γ and IL-1 β (Fig. 7a and b) and increase the MTX-induced reduction in the expression of IL-6 (Fig. 7d) in both cell lines. However, the expression of TNF- α was significantly increased by *B. fragilis* in Caco2 but not in IEC6 cells (Fig. 7c). Accordingly, we found that *B. fragilis* could profoundly ameliorate the inflammatory process promoted by MTX.

To further validate the hypothesis that *B. fragilis* can rescue the intestine from MTX-induced inflammatory damage, we performed histological analyses on intestinal tissues. Since oral gavage with *B. fragilis* led to an increase in the intestinal *B. fragilis* quantity in mice pre-treated with or without the antibiotic metronidazole (Supplementary Fig. 8), the metronidazole-treated mice were gavaged with bacteria of this taxon to verify the effect of *B. fragilis*. In line with previous studies, MTXtreated mice exhibited damage to the muscularis mucosae, which was more severe in mice pre-treated with metronidazole and significantly alleviated by gavage with *B. fragilis* (Supplementary Fig. 9). The results confirmed a protective role of *B. fragilis* against the MTX-induced inflammatory damage, and thus we can confidently conclude that MTXassociated reduction in *B. fragilis* aggravates the detrimental inflammatory response.

3.5. B. fragilis Ameliorated MTX-Associated Macrophage Alteration

As stated earlier, MTX-induced macrophage polarization tended to lead to the decrease in *Bacteroides*, and *B. fragilis* protected cells from MTX-associated inflammatory response in vitro, so we next investigated whether *B. fragilis* had any impact on macrophages.

MTX treatment alone induced M1 macrophage proliferation in MLNs, especially in mice pre-treated with metronidazole, and addition of *B. fragilis* significantly attenuated this increase in M1 macrophages induced by MTX (Fig. 8a). However, no significant difference was detected



Fig. 5. MTX induces alterations in various microbial taxa over time. (a) Heatmap of OTUs of intestinal microbiota in the MTX-treated mice (n = 7). (b, c) Examples of OTUs for which the abundance (b) decreased or (c) increased after MTX treatment. (d) Linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) of the differentially abundant microbial taxa on day 0, day 7, and day 14 in the MTX-treated mice. Only taxa meeting an LDA significant threshold of >3.6 are shown. (e) Changes in *Bacteroidales* in mice treated with or without MTX. Shown are the means \pm SEM; ns, not significant; * adjusted *P* < 0.05 and ** adjusted *P* < 0.01 versus day 0.

in M2 macrophages and M1 macrophages in the spleen (Fig. 8b–d). Meanwhile, gavage with *B. fragilis* also mitigated the increase in the M1/M2 ratio as a result of MTX administration in both MLNs and spleen, especially in the metronidazole-treated mice that had reduced number of *B. fragilis* (Fig. 8e and f), suggesting that *B. fragilis* can modulate the MTX-induced macrophage imbalance and that reduction of *B. fragilis* can in turn aggravate this imbalance resulting from MTX administration, thus leading to a positive feedback, which contributes to intestinal tissue damage.

4. Discussion

MTX is considered a cornerstone of many multidrug-based chemotherapeutic regimens and is used for the treatment of immune diseases, mainly targeting the folate metabolite pathway. Nevertheless, the administration of MTX is often associated with multiple adverse events, among which gastrointestinal toxicity is the major dose-limiting factor. As with many other chemotherapeutic agents, MTX can induce intestinal mucositis, which can affect the entire gastrointestinal tract and













Fig. 6. Trend towards a decrease in *Bacteroidales* in feces post MTX treatment. Real-time PCR analyses of feces DNA targeting (a) *B. thetaiotamicron*, (b) *B. fragilis*, and (c) *B. uniforms* in the MTX-treated PBS-treated mice. Ns, not significant; *P < 0.05, **P < 0.01 and ***P < 0.001 versus day 0.

cause malabsorption, diarrhea, and severe pain [16, 41]. Although the precise pathogenic mechanism underlying the chemotherapy-induced intestinal mucositis remains unknown, several studies have suggested the disruption of immune regulation initiated by direct chemotherapy-induced injury to intestinal mucosa [42]. In this study, we demonstrated that MTX-induced intestinal mucosal injury can facilitate the recruitment of mononuclear phagocytes, which release additional pro-inflammatory cytokines, thus potentiating tissue damage.

Notably, the human intestinal tract hosts $>10^{12}$ microorganisms per gram of luminal content [43]. Differences are reported between mucosal (in both colon and jejunum) and fecal bacteria in terms of relative abundance. However, they are correlated, and fecal bacteria is mostly used to reflect the status of mucosal bacteria, due to the easy collections. This large arsenal of microbes promotes a broad range of biochemical and metabolic activities to complement the host physiology. For instance, the microbiota facilitates the digestion of polysaccharides, production of essential vitamins, development of the host's intestinal epithelium and immune system, and prevention of pathogenic colonization [44-46]. In turn, the dynamically and rapidly changing intestinal habitat plays a major part in regulating both the composition of the microbial community and the individual genomes. Accordingly, when the delicate balance between the host and flora is disrupted, the gut microbiota may cause or contribute to diseases [47, 48]. It has been demonstrated that the gut microbiota is associated with a diverse array of intestinal and extra-intestinal diseases, such as inflammatory bowel disease, multiple sclerosis, arthritis, type 1 diabetes, and allergic inflammation [49, 50]. Moreover, disruption of the microbiota has been shown to hinder disease rehabilitation. For example, persistent alterations in the intestinal microbiome have been shown to contribute to obesity-associated weight regain after dieting [51]. Our data from in vivo and in vitro studies suggested that MTX administration lead to alterations in the population, diversity, and major components of the intestinal microbiota, especially Bacteroides. To reduce the technical artifacts of UPARSE, we also conducted DADA2 to re-analyzed our data and got the similar conclusion (Supplementary Table 4). Along with previously confirmed mechanisms such as high levels of oxidative and nitrosative stress, distortion in the gut microbial community exacerbates the existing intestinal damage. Intriguingly, disruption of the gut microbiota has been linked to drug responses, for example, the antitumor effects of CTLA-4 blockade has been recently found to be influenced by the composition of the microbiota (B. fragilis and/or B. thetaiotaomicron and Burkholderiales) [26]. Although we did not investigate the impact of intestinal microbes on the efficiency of MTX treatment, we have indicated the protective role of *B. fragilis* against MTX-induced inflammatory responses, suggesting the important role of such Bacteroides species in response to multiple drugs. Collectively, we infer that the gut microbiota may be involved in the improvement of antitumor therapeutic effects either by directly strengthening drug efficiency or by alleviating toxicities, prompting new resolutions for MTX-induced gastrointestinal toxicity and future studies on the mechanisms of chemotherapy-induced complications. Moreover, our results suggested that co-treatment of MTX and metronidazole results in severe intestinal damage partly due



Fig. 7. B. fragilis protects from MTX-induced inflammatory responses. (a-d) Effects of B. fragilis and MTX on the expression of cytokines (a) IFN- γ , (b) IL-1 β , (c) TNF- α , and (d) IL-6 in Caco2 cells and IEC6 cells. *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 versus the MTX group.

to the lack of protective microbes in the intestine, and the combinatorial use of specific antibiotics (e.g., metronidazole) and MTX is inevitable, exogenous supplementation of *B. fragilis* may ameliorate the intestinal damage. Hence, retrospective investigations may be needed to evaluate the side effect of antibiotics on MTX treatment patients to avoid drug-induced severe intestinal mucositis.

It should be noted that our analyses at the species level only focused on *Bacteroides* species due to their significant variance in quantity. Nevertheless, the intestinal microbiota represents a complicated ecologic niche in which a wide range of microorganisms are correlated to the host's immune system. Supportive evidence has revealed complicated interactions among these microbial taxa, which play an important part in the metabolism of food and drugs [49]. It is possible that a combined effect of a variety of microbes played a role in the amelioration of MTX- induced inflammation. Therefore, studies on single species without deciphering the interplay with other taxa may be inadequate in explaining the underlying mechanisms. Although we have indicated that the MTX-induced gastrointestinal toxicity was exacerbated through the alteration of the gut microbiota and that *B. fragilis* can ameliorate the damage, we did not investigate the underlying mechanisms. Limited evidence indicates that polysaccharide A (PSA) produced by *B. fragilis* may act as the causal component in the protection against *Helicobacter hepaticus*-induced experimental colitis [52], so it is worth testing whether PSA can ameliorate MTX-induced ADRs instead of *B. fragilis*. In that case, taking PSA together with MTX could be a strategy to reduce the toxicity of MTX-based therapy. Notably, the risk of ADRs to MTX is also associated with germline single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). For example, SNPs in the *SLCO1B1* gene (e.g., rs11045879) are



Fig. 8. *B. fragilis* ameliorates MTX-induced macrophage alterations. (a) M1 macrophages and (b) M2 macrophages in the MLNs. (c) M1 macrophages and (d) M2 macrophages in the spleen. (A-D, n = 3/group). *P < 0.05 and **P < 0.01 versus blank control. #P < 0.05, ##P < 0.01 and ###P < 0.01 versus metronidazole + MTX.

related to the toxicity of MTX, with the C allele being associated with higher risk for delayed clearance and higher plasma concentration of MTX [53]. Moreover, relationship between SNPs in the *MTHFR* gene (a key enzyme for MTX pharmacokinetics) and MTX-induced toxicities in patients with acute lymphoblastic leukemia has also been evaluated in various populations. Although the results remain conflicting, the C677T variant of the *MTHFR* gene has been associated with increased risk of severe gastrointestinal mucositis [54]. As the reported SNPs have potential influence on gene or protein expression, it is worthwhile to further investigate the association between the gut microbiota and related genes.

Our study was conducted with the hypothesis that MTX initiates mucositis by directly impairing basal epithelial cells and cells in the submucosal tissues via DNA and non-DNA injury [16]. Subsequently, mucosal integrity is further damaged via up-regulation of pro-inflammatory cytokines, resulting in higher susceptibility to bacterial colonization and enhanced recruitment of immunocytes such as mononuclear phagocytes. After being activated by microbial products, mononuclear phagocytes produce additional pro-inflammatory cytokines and disrupt the gut microbial community, which in turn enhance immunocyte recruitment and contribute to imbalanced macrophage composition. The whole process results in a vicious inflammatory cycle to amplify the primary damage. Therefore, our results essentially indicate an indirect way by which MTX serves a pro-inflammatory function that is not contradictory to the conventional knowledge of MTX as an immunosuppressive agent.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi. org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2018.06.029.

Funding source

This study was supported by grants from the "Leading Academic of Ten-thousand Talents Program", National Science and Technology Major Project (No. 2012ZX09103301-025), National Key Research Development Program (No. 2016YFC0905000) and National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81522028, No. 81673452). Heng Xu is also supported by a grant from "The Recruitment Program of Global Young Experts" (known as "The Thousand Young Talents Plan"). All funding sources were used for data collection and analysis.

Conflict of Interests

None.

Author's contribution

Li Yang, Heng Xu contributed to study design. Bailing Zhou, Shuang Chen, Chaoheng Yu, Rong Huang, Rui Zhang, Yantai Wang contributed to data and figures collection. Xuyang Xia, Yang Shu, Shouyue Zhang contributed to data analysis. Peiqi Wang, Ding Bai contributed to writing. Lian Lu, Fengjiao Yuan, Yaomei Tian, Yingzi Fan, Xueyan Zhang, Lei Wu contributed to literature search.

References

- Leitao RF, Brito GA, Oria RB, Braga-Neto MB, Bellaguarda EA, Silva JV, et al. Role of inducible nitric oxide synthase pathway on methotrexate-induced intestinal mucositis in rodents. BMC Gastroenterol 2011;11:90 [Epub 2011/08/19].
- [2] Neradil J, Pavlasova G, Veselska R. New mechanisms for an old drug; DHFR- and non-DHFR-mediated effects of methotrexate in cancer cells. Klin Onkol 2012;25 (Suppl. 2) [2S87-92. Epub 2012/01/01].
- [3] Schmiegelow K. Advances in individual prediction of methotrexate toxicity: a review. Br J Haematol 2009;146(5):489–503 [Epub 2009/06/23].
- [4] Paci A, Veal G, Bardin C, Leveque D, Widmer N, Beijnen J, et al. Review of therapeutic drug monitoring of anticancer drugs part 1–cytotoxics. Eur J Cancer 2014;50(12): 2010–9 [Epub 2014/06/04].
- [5] Morsy MA, Ibrahim SA, Amin EF, Kamel MY, Rifaai RA, Hassan MK. Curcumin ameliorates methotrexate-induced nephrotoxicity in rats. Adv Pharmacol Sci 2013; 2013:387071 [Epub 2014/01/02].

- [6] Widemann BC, Balis FM, Kempf-Bielack B, Bielack S, Pratt CB, Ferrari S, et al. Highdose methotrexate-induced nephrotoxicity in patients with osteosarcoma. Cancer 2004;100(10):2222–32 [Epub 2004/05/13].
- [7] Perez-Verdia A, Angulo F, Hardwicke FL, Nugent KM. Acute cardiac toxicity associated with high-dose intravenous methotrexate therapy: case report and review of the literature. Pharmacotherapy 2005;25(9):1271–6 [Epub 2005/09/17].
- [8] Kolli VK, Abraham P, Isaac B, Kasthuri N. Preclinical efficacy of melatonin to reduce methotrexate-induced oxidative stress and small intestinal damage in rats. Dig Dis Sci 2013;58(4):959–69 [Epub 2012/10/12].
- [9] Avritscher EB, Cooksley CD, Elting LS. Scope and epidemiology of cancer therapyinduced oral and gastrointestinal mucositis. Semin Oncol Nurs 2004;20(1):3–10 [Epub 2004/03/25].
- [10] Ben-Lulu S, Pollak Y, Mogilner J, Bejar J, GC I Sukhotnik. Dietary transforming growth factor-beta 2 (TGF-beta2) supplementation reduces methotrexateinduced intestinal mucosal injury in a rat. PloS one 2012;7(9):e45221 [Epub 2012/09/18].
- [11] Chen C, Tian L, Zhang M, Sun Q, Zhang X, Li X, et al. Protective effect of amifostine on high-dose methotrexate-induced small intestinal mucositis in mice. Dig Dis Sci 2013;58(11):3134–43 [Epub 2013/08/28].
- [12] Kolli VK, Kanakasabapathy I, Faith M, Ramamoorthy H, Isaac B, Natarajan K, et al. A preclinical study on the protective effect of melatonin against methotrexate-induced small intestinal damage: effect mediated by attenuation of nitrosative stress, protein tyrosine nitration, and PARP activation. Cancer Chemother Pharmacol 2013;71(5): 1209–18 [Epub 2013/02/20].
- [13] Koppelmann T, Pollak Y, Mogilner J, Bejar J, Coran AG, Sukhotnik I. Dietary L-arginine supplementation reduces methotrexate-induced intestinal mucosal injury in rat. BMC Gastroenterol 2012;12:41 [Epub 2012/05/02].
- [14] Sugiyama A, Kimura H, Ogawa S, Yokota K, Takeuchi T. Effects of polyphenols from seed shells of Japanese horse chestnut (Aesculus turbinata BLUME) on methotrexate-induced intestinal injury in rats. J Vet Med Sci 2011;73(5):673–8 [Epub 2010/12/22].
- [15] Keefe DM, Schubert MM, Elting LS, Sonis ST, Epstein JB, Raber-Durlacher JE, et al. Updated clinical practice guidelines for the prevention and treatment of mucositis. Cancer 2007;109(5):820–31 [Epub 2007/01/20].
- [16] Sonis ST. The pathobiology of mucositis. Nat Rev Cancer 2004;4(4):277-84 [Epub 2004/04/02].
- [17] El-Sheikh AA, Morsy MA, Hamouda AH. Protective mechanisms of thymoquinone on methotrexate-induced intestinal toxicity in rats. Pharmacognosy Magazine 2016;12 (Suppl. 1):S76–81 [Epub 2016/04/05].
- [18] Gautam R, Singh M, Gautam S, Rawat JK, Saraf SA, Kaithwas G. Rutin attenuates intestinal toxicity induced by methotrexate linked with anti-oxidative and anti-inflammatory effects. BMC Complement Altern Med 2016;16:99 [Epub 2016/03/12].
- [19] Sonis ST, Elting LS, Keefe D, Peterson DE, Schubert M, Hauer-Jensen M, et al. Perspectives on cancer therapy-induced mucosal injury: pathogenesis, measurement, epidemiology, and consequences for patients. Cancer 2004;100(9 Suppl):1995–2025 [Epub 2004/04/27].
- [20] Geissmann F, Manz MG, Jung S, Sieweke MH, Merad M, Ley K. Development of monocytes, macrophages, and dendritic cells. Science 2010;327(5966):656–61 [Epub 2010/02/06].
- [21] Banchereau J, Steinman RM. Dendritic cells and the control of immunity. Nature 1998;392(6673):245–52 [Epub 1998/04/01].
- [22] Lee SH, Starkey PM, Gordon S. Quantitative analysis of total macrophage content in adult mouse tissues. Immunochemical studies with monoclonal antibody F4/80. J Exp Med 1985;161(3):475–89 [Epub 1985/03/01].
- [23] Hooper LV, Macpherson AJ. Immune adaptations that maintain homeostasis with the intestinal microbiota. Nat Rev Immunol 2010;10(3):159–69 [Epub 2010/02/26].
- [24] Qin J, Li R, Raes J, Arumugam M, Burgdorf KS, Manichanh C, et al. A human gut microbial gene catalogue established by metagenomic sequencing. Nature 2010;464 (7285):59–65 [Epub 2010/03/06].
- [25] Haiser HJ, Turnbaugh PJ. Is it time for a metagenomic basis of therapeutics? Science 2012;336(6086):1253–5 [Epub 2012/06/08].
- [26] Vetizou M, Pitt JM, Daillere R, Lepage P, Waldschmitt N, Flament C, et al. Anticancer immunotherapy by CTLA-4 blockade relies on the gut microbiota. Science 2015;350 (6264):1079–84 [Epub 2015/11/07].
- [27] Magoc T, Salzberg SL FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 2011;27(21):2957–63 [Epub 2011/09/10].
- [28] Caporaso JG, Kuczynski J, Stombaugh J, Bittinger K, Bushman FD, Costello EK, et al. QIIME allows analysis of high-throughput community sequencing data. Nat Methods 2010;7(5):335–6 [Epub 2010/04/13].
- [29] Thaiss CA, Zeevi D, Levy M, Zilberman-Schapira G, Suez J, Tengeler AC, et al. Transkingdom control of microbiota diurnal oscillations promotes metabolic homeostasis. Cell 2014;159(3):514–29 [Epub 2014/11/25].
- [30] Levy M, Thaiss CA, Zeevi D, Dohnalova L, Zilberman-Schapira G, Mahdi JA, et al. Microbiota-modulated metabolites shape the intestinal microenvironment by regulating NLRP6 inflammasome signaling. Cell 2015;163(6):1428–43 [Epub 2015/12/08].
- [31] Edgar RC. UPARSE: highly accurate OTU sequences from microbial amplicon reads. Nat Methods 2013;10(10):996–8 [Epub 2013/08/21].
- [32] Wang Q, Garrity GM, Tiedje JM, Cole JR. Naive Bayesian classifier for rapid assignment of rRNA sequences into the new bacterial taxonomy. Appl Environ Microbiol 2007;73(16):5261–7 [Epub 2007/06/26].
- [33] Quast C, Pruesse E, Yilmaz P, Gerken J, Schweer T, Yarza P, et al. The SILVA ribosomal RNA gene database project: improved data processing and web-based tools. Nucleic Acids Res 2013;41(Database issue) [D590-D6. Epub 2012/11/30].
- [34] Gordon S. Alternative activation of macrophages. Nat Rev Immunol 2003;3(1): 23–35 [Epub 2003/01/04].

- [35] Sica A, Mantovani A. Macrophage plasticity and polarization: in vivo veritas. J Clin Invest 2012;122(3):787–95 [Epub 2012/03/02].
- [36] Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat Rev Immunol 2008;8(12):958–69 [Epub 2008/11/26].
- [37] Dale DC, Boxer L, Liles WC. The phagocytes: neutrophils and monocytes. Blood 2008; 112(4):935–45 [Epub 2008/08/08].
- [38] Smythies LE, Sellers M, Clements RH, Mosteller-Barnum M, Meng G, Benjamin WH, et al. Human intestinal macrophages display profound inflammatory anergy despite avid phagocytic and bacteriocidal activity. J Clin Invest 2005;115(1):66–75 [Epub 2005/01/05].
- [39] Dasgupta S, Erturk-Hasdemir D, Ochoa-Reparaz J, Reinecker HC, Kasper DL. Plasmacytoid dendritic cells mediate anti-inflammatory responses to a gut commensal molecule via both innate and adaptive mechanisms. Cell Host Microbe 2014;15(4):413–23 [Epub 2014/04/12].
- [40] Mazmanian SK, Liu CH, Tzianabos AO, Kasper DL. An immunomodulatory molecule of symbiotic bacteria directs maturation of the host immune system. Cell 2005; 122(1):107–18 [Epub 2005/07/13].
- [41] Stringer AM, Al-Dasooqi N, Bowen JM, Tan TH, Radzuan M, Logan RM, et al. Biomarkers of chemotherapy-induced diarrhoea: a clinical study of intestinal microbiome alterations, inflammation and circulating matrix metalloproteinases. Support Care Cancer 2013;21(7):1843–52 [Epub 2013/02/12].
- [42] Chan ES, Cronstein BN. Molecular action of methotrexate in inflammatory diseases. Arthritis Res 2002;4(4):266–73 [Epub 2002/07/11].
- [43] Savage DC. Microbial ecology of the gastrointestinal tract. Annu Rev Microbiol 1977; 31:107–33 [Epub 1977/01/01].
- [44] Renz H, Brandtzaeg P, Hornef M. The impact of perinatal immune development on mucosal homeostasis and chronic inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 2011;12(1): 9–23 [Epub 2011/12/14].

- [45] Stecher B, Hardt WD. Mechanisms controlling pathogen colonization of the gut. Curr Opin Microbiol 2011;14(1):82–91 [Epub 2010/11/03].
- [46] Smith K, Mccoy KD, Macpherson AJ. Use of axenic animals in studying the adaptation of mammals to their commensal intestinal microbiota. Semin Immunol 2007;19(2): 59–69 [Epub 2006/11/23].
- [47] Littman DR, Pamer EG. Role of the commensal microbiota in normal and pathogenic host immune responses. Cell Host Microbe 2011;10(4):311–23 [Epub 2011/10/25].
 [48] Honda K. Littman DR. The microbiome in infectious disease and inflammation. Annu
- [46] Folda K, Litthan DK. The intercologine in intercolog disease and minimum atom. Annu Rev Immunol 2012;30:759–95 [Epub 2012/01/10].
 [49] Round JL, Mazmanian SK. The gut microbiota shapes intestinal immune responses
- [45] Kolind JL, Mazhanian JK. The get interofold shapes intestination responses during health and disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2009;9(5):313–23 [Epub 2009/04/04].
 [50] Kamada N, Seo SU, Chen GY, Nunez G. Role of the gut microbiota in immunity and inflammatory disease. Nat Rev Immunol 2013;13(5):321–35 [Epub 2013/04/27].
- [51] Thaiss CA, Itav S, Rothschild D, Meijer M, Levy M, Moresi C, et al. Persistent microbiome alterations modulate the rate of post-dieting weight regain. Nature 2016;540(7634):544–51 [Epub 2016/12/03].
- [52] Mazmanian SK, Round JL, Kasper DL A microbial symbiosis factor prevents intestinal inflammatory disease. Nature 2008;453(7195):620–5 [Epub 2008/05/30].
- [53] Li J, Wang XR, Zhai XW, Wang HS, Qian XW, Miao H, et al. Association of SLCO1B1 gene polymorphisms with toxicity response of high dose methotrexate chemotherapy in childhood acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015;8(4): 6109–13 [Epub 2015/07/02].
- [54] Yang L, Hu X, Xu L. Impact of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) polymorphisms on methotrexate-induced toxicities in acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a meta-analysis. Tumour Biol 2012;33(5):1445–54 [Epub 2012/04/25].