



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Stewardship of COVID-19 volunteers by nested trial design



Published Online

July 5, 2022

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00429-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00429-7)

See [Articles](#) page 1444

A credit to clinical research volunteers, the global community is blessed with a panoply of pharmaceutical agents to mitigate COVID-19 in this third year of the SARS-CoV-2 pandemic. Multiple vaccines and therapeutic interventions with small molecule antivirals (ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir¹ and remdesivir²) and macromolecular anti-spike neutralising monoclonal antibodies³⁻⁵ have reached the front lines of the war against COVID-19. The deluge of cutting-edge basic, translational, and clinical knowledge accrued in this unprecedented timespan underpin dynamically updated evidence-based guidelines. Yet, a knowledge paradox emerges from our success: further incremental advances are necessarily slowed and more challenging as event rates have, thankfully, fallen.

The assessment of previous exposure or recovery from SARS-CoV-2, or related members of the *Betacoronavirus* genus (eg, SARS-CoV-1 or Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus), was straightforward during the early period of the pandemic, when the population was mostly seronegative. Subsequently, as natural infections surged and vaccination rates increased among our population, community seroprevalence and individual serostatus assessment lost their binary yes versus no simplicity, as both wild-type virus exposure and vaccination result in anti-spike seroconversion, and even anti-nucleocapsid responses can wane after a year, rendering serology imperfect for assessment of lifetime viral exposure. Seroconversion to previous vaccination or virus variant no longer imply the same humoral protection, even as cellular responses to conserved epitopes provide adjunctive benefit. Regulatory agencies and ethics boards are facing the dilemma of whether to allow head-to-head non-inferiority trials using evidence-based comparators when event rates are reduced. Passive immunotherapies are dynamically changing, as the virus has escaped the previous bulwarks of anti-spike neutralising monoclonal antibodies initially authorised for use from late 2020 to early 2022, with the only currently remaining agent in the USA emerging from a pragmatic, partly immune-bootstrapped phase 2 study.⁶

In this context, we are duty-bound to learn as much as possible from clinical trials done in the pivotal early period of the pandemic. Findings from well conducted, completed trials merit publication even if the circulating

variants of SARS-CoV-2 are no longer susceptible to the therapy studied. Such is the value of the report in *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* by Gary Herman and colleagues detailing the efficacy of subcutaneous casirivimab and imdevimab (CAS+IMD) for prevention of COVID-19 in patients who had previously been exposed to a SARS-CoV-2-infected household contact.⁷ This study was a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial done in the USA, Romania, and Moldova. 2317 uninfected and unvaccinated household contacts of infected individuals were randomly assigned (1:1) to receive 1200 mg CAS + IMD (600 mg of each) or placebo and were assessed monthly during 8 months of follow-up. 1683 participants (841 assigned to CAS+IMD and 842 assigned to placebo) were seronegative at baseline and were included in the full analysis set. Although the participants were enrolled initially at a time before the emergence of delta (B.1.617.2) and subsequent variants of concern, they were at risk of delta variant exposure during the follow-up period from July to October, 2021.

This randomised trial elegantly addressed a nested set of separate questions in different trial arms based on serostatus, the results of which did not guide initial randomisation and dosing. Utility was maximised with complementary questions posed within the primary efficacy versus follow-up surveillance periods. The original month 1 efficacy data has been published,^{8,9} and Herman and colleagues' study expands the work by describing the extended benefit of subcutaneous casirivimab and imdevimab over the course of months 2–8, including the era when the delta variant emerged. The authors found that CAS + IMD reduced the risk of COVID-19 by 81.2% (nominal $p < 0.0001$) versus placebo during the full 8 months, with protection being greatest during months 2–5, with an observed 100% relative risk reduction in COVID-19 and an 89.5% relative risk reduction in any SARS-CoV-2 infection detected by RT-PCR regardless of symptoms (nominal $p < 0.0001$ for both; post-hoc analysis), and efficacy waning during months 6–8 (also in a post-hoc analysis). Seroconversion of anti-nucleocapsid IgG, a proxy for any productive SARS-CoV-2 infection, occurred in 38 (4.5%) of 841 participants in the CAS + IMD group and in 181 (21.5%) of 842 in the placebo group during the 8-month study (79.0% relative risk reduction vs placebo; nominal $p < 0.0001$).

This poly-purposed design effectively constructed pre-exposure prophylaxis cohorts nested within the original post-exposure prophylaxis trial. As household infections harbour the greatest risk of transmission in the first month, acquisition of SARS-CoV-2 thereafter is likely related to community spread or new exposures in the household, independent of the original qualifying exposure. This neo-pre-exposure prophylaxis cohort was naive to previous wild-type SARS-CoV-2 (baseline seronegative for anti-SARS-CoV-2 spike S1 IgA and IgG and anti-nucleocapsid IgG, and SARS-CoV-2 RT-PCR-negative).

To steward volunteer's health and welfare and to respect autonomy, vaccination was permitted during the follow-up period, upon availability. To manage the confounding effect of vaccination on biomarker surrogates, Herman and colleagues tracked immunisation status and distinguished seroconversion from natural infection by the presence of anti-nucleocapsid IgG, because anti-spike IgG was acutely rendered uninformative upon spike-sequence-based SARS-CoV-2 vaccination.

The report is a refreshingly clear, detailed yet concise, summary of the efficacy and safety of what is ultimately a mooted therapy at the current juncture of the pandemic. Casirivimab and imdevimab are no longer authorised for use during the omicron (B.1.1.529 and BA subvariants) era, yet the findings in Herman and colleagues' study inform expectations of passive humoral therapy safety and efficacy, as a field, and complement the pre-exposure¹⁰ and post-exposure¹¹ prophylaxis data derived from other neutralising monoclonal agents or combinations. Collectively, these seminal prevention trials serve as proof that passive immunoprophylaxis is highly effective. In our view, they might be particularly useful among immunocompromised populations unable to generate endogenous humoral immunity from vaccination or natural infection.

Most importantly, this study illustrates the ability to maximise the scientific and medical contributions from each participant without increasing risk and is an

example of excellent stewardship of human participants' welfare and autonomy.

RLG reports being a study investigator for studies funded by Regeneron and Eli Lilly, outside of the submitted work; receiving consulting fees from Gilead Sciences, GSK Pharmaceuticals, and Eli Lilly; receiving speaker fees from Pfizer, not related to COVID-19; participating in a Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for Eli Lilly, Gilead Sciences, GSK, Johnson and Johnson, Roche/Genentech, and Kinevant Sciences; having de-minimis stock in AbCellera; and receiving a Gift-in-kind of medication to his institution from Gilead Sciences. RRR reports research funding provided to his institution from Gilead, Roche, Regeneron, and nference; and a leadership or fiduciary role in the American Society of Transplantation and the Infectious Diseases Society of America.

*Robert L Gottlieb, Raymund R Razonable
Robert.Gottlieb@BSWHealth.org; @bswhealth

Center for Advanced Heart and Lung Disease, Baylor University Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75246, USA (RLG); Baylor Scott & White Research Institute, Dallas, TX, USA (RLG); Texas A&M Health Science Center, Dallas, TX, USA (RLG); School of Medicine, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, TX, USA (RLG); Mayo Clinic, Division of Infectious Diseases, Rochester, MN, USA (RRR)

- 1 Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, et al. Oral nirmatrelvir for high-risk, nonhospitalized adults with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2022; **386**: 1397–408.
- 2 Gottlieb RL, Vaca CE, Paredes R, et al. Early remdesivir to prevent progression to severe COVID-19 in outpatients. *N Engl J Med* 2022; **386**: 305–15.
- 3 Dougan M, Azizad M, Mocherla B, et al. A randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of bamlanivimab and etesevimab together in high-risk ambulatory patients with COVID-19 and validation of the prognostic value of persistently high viral load. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021; published online Oct 28. <https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab912>.
- 4 Gupta A, Gonzalez-Rojas Y, Juarez E, et al. Effect of sotrovimab on hospitalization or death among high-risk patients with mild to moderate COVID-19: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2022; **327**: 1236–46.
- 5 Weinreich DM, Sivapalasingam S, Norton T, et al. REGEN-COV antibody combination and outcomes in outpatients with COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385**: e81.
- 6 Dougan M, Azizad M, Chen P, et al. Bebtelovimab, alone or together with bamlanivimab and etesevimab, as a broadly neutralizing monoclonal antibody treatment for mild to moderate, ambulatory COVID-19. *medRxiv* 2022; published online March 12. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.03.10.22272100> (preprint).
- 7 Herman GA, O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, et al. Efficacy and safety of a single dose of casirivimab and imdevimab for the prevention of COVID-19 over an 8-month period: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2022; published online July 5. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00416-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00416-9).
- 8 O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, Musser BJ, et al. Subcutaneous REGEN-COV antibody combination to prevent COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2021; **385**: 1184–95.
- 9 O'Brien MP, Forleo-Neto E, Sarkar N, et al. Effect of subcutaneous casirivimab and imdevimab antibody combination vs placebo on development of symptomatic COVID-19 in early asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2022; **327**: 432–41.
- 10 Levin MJ, Ustianowski A, De Wit S, et al. Intramuscular AZD7442 (tixagevimab-cilgavimab) for prevention of COVID-19. *N Engl J Med* 2022; **386**: 2188–200.
- 11 Cohen MS, Nirula A, Mulligan MJ, et al. Effect of bamlanivimab vs placebo on incidence of COVID-19 among residents and staff of skilled nursing and assisted living facilities: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2021; **326**: 46–55.

Redressing the gender imbalance across the publishing system



In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Katharina Last and colleagues¹ show that a higher proportion of women editors is associated with a higher proportion of women

first and last authors in a sample of 11 027 articles from infectious diseases journals published in 2018 and 2019. The finding that women comprise about 49.3% of first

Published Online
July 12, 2022
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(22\)00418-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(22)00418-2)