

pISSN 1598-9100 • eISSN 2288-1956 https://doi.org/10.5217/ir.2021.00069 Intest Res 2022;20(2):251-259

# INTESTINAL RESEARCH

# Fecal microbiota transplantation for induction of remission, maintenance and rescue in patients with corticosteroid-dependent ulcerative colitis: a long-term follow-up real-world cohort study

Avnish Kumar Seth<sup>1</sup>, Priti Jain<sup>2</sup>

Departments of <sup>1</sup>Gastroenterology and Hepatobiliary Sciences and <sup>2</sup>Histopathology, Fortis Memorial Research Institute, Gurugram, India

**Background**/Aims: To study role of fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) in induction, maintenance, and rescue in patients with corticosteroid-dependent ulcerative colitis (CDUC). **Methods:** Patients with active CDUC received 3 fortnightly sessions of colonoscopic induction FMT (iFMT) in addition to standard of care. In patients who achieved clinical remission (CR) or response, prednisolone was tapered from week 4 and azathioprine from week 12. Responders were advised maintenance FMT (mFMT) every 6 months. Those with relapse were offered rescue FMT (rFMT), and low dose prednisolone was added if there was no improvement in 2 weeks. **Results:** All 27 patients enrolled completed iFMT and were followed up for 39 months (range, 9-71 months). The mean Mayo score decreased from  $6.4 \pm 2.5$  at baseline to  $2.6 \pm 3.7$  at week  $4, 2.6 \pm 3.4$  at week 12, and  $2.8 \pm 3.8$  at week 24 (P < 0.05). Corticosteroid-free CR and clinical response at week 12 were seen in 13 patients (48%) and 1 patient (3.7%), respectively. Corticosteroid and azathioprine-free CR at week 24 was seen in 13 patients (76.9%) at week 24. First relapse was seen in 10 of 13 responders (76.9%) at a median of 14.8 months (range, 6-34 months) after iFMT and was less frequent in patients on mFMT. Relapse was treated successfully with rFMT alone in 4 patients (40%) and rFMT with low dose steroids in 5 patients (50%). **Conclusions:** iFMT, mFMT, and rFMT may have a role in treatment of selected patients with CDUC. **(Intest Res 2022;20:251-259)** 

Key Words: Fecal microbiota transplant; Colitis, ulcerative; Colonoscopic fecal microbiota transplant

### **INTRODUCTION**

It has been demonstrated that patients with inflammatory bowel disease have dysbiosis and also exhibit polymorphism in human genes involved in response to microorganisms.<sup>1</sup> Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) is an established indication for refractory *Clostridium difficile* infection.<sup>2</sup> Although

Received May 6, 2021. Revised May 28, 2021. Accepted September 13, 2021. Correspondence to Avnish Kumar Seth, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatobiliary Sciences, Fortis Memorial Research Institute, Sector 44, Gurugram 122002, India. Tel: +91-9810770454, Fax: +91-124-4962222, E-mail: akseth2003@yahoo.com several high-quality studies have shown possible benefit of FMT for induction of remission in ulcerative colitis (UC), there is a paucity of real-world data and a lack of widespread acceptance by gastroenterologists.<sup>3</sup> Recent guidelines on management of UC suggest that FMT should be used in the context of clinical trials until further high-quality evidence clarifies the potential for benefit and optimal administration protocol.<sup>4</sup> We carried out the first successful FMT for UC in India in 2014<sup>5</sup> and introduced the concept of FMT for maintenance and rescue in 2017.<sup>6</sup> We now report the results of a 5-year follow-up of a cohort of patients with UC who have been treated with FMT.

© Copyright 2022. Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **METHODS**

#### 1. Study Design

This prospective open-label single arm cohort study was conducted in the Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatobiliary Sciences at Fortis Memorial Research Institute, a quaternary care hospital in the National Capital Region of India. Recruitment commenced in November 2014. The study was approved by the Institutional Ethics Committee (vide numbers: 2014-004IP-11 dated October 22, 2014, and 2016-001IP-15 dated February 8, 2015). Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects.

#### 2. Study Population

Patients, 18 years or older, with active UC (Mayo score 4 to 10 with endoscopic subscore  $\geq 1$ ) of more than 1-year duration, who were corticosteroid dependent (defined as inability to reduce steroids below prednisolone 10 mg/day within 3 months of starting steroids or relapse within 3 months of stopping steroids) despite use of azathioprine 2 mg/kg or were thiopurine intolerant, were offered FMT in addition to standard of care.

The diagnosis of UC was established according to the Lennard-Jones criteria.<sup>7</sup> Stable maintenance dose of medication for 4 weeks for oral corticosteroids (maximum dose of prednisolone 20 mg daily) and oral/rectal 5-aminosalicylates and 8 weeks for azathioprine was required for inclusion. Use of biologicals, antibiotics or probiotics was not allowed for 8 weeks prior to recruitment. Recipient evaluation included complete blood count, C-reactive protein, serum albumin and colonoscopy with biopsy and immunohistochemistry for cytomegalovirus. Stool tests included ova and cysts, culture, modified Ziehl-Neelsen stain, Cryptosporidium antigen and C. difficile toxin A and B. Fecal calprotectin, once available, was done as required. Patients were excluded if they had ulcerative proctitis confined to distal 5 cm, indeterminate colitis, previous colonic surgery, irritable bowel syndrome, pregnancy, significant comorbidity or severe UC defined by need for hospitalization, Mayo score of more than 10 or by the Truelove and Witts criteria.

#### 3. Intervention

Volunteer stool donors, arranged by the patients, were prospectively screened and tested as per European consensus on FMT in clinical practice to rule out communicable diseases, gastrointestinal, rheumatic, allergic and metabolic disorders.<sup>8</sup> Stool donors who received antibiotics within the preceding 3 months were excluded. Fresh donor stool was collected and prepared at home for FMT. Two hundred grams of stool was measured in an ice cream cup, blended with 300 mL saline, filtered, drawn up into 7 syringes of 50 mL each and transported to hospital on ice. The stool was allowed to stand till the temperature was above 30°C and instilled within 6 hours of collection. Bowel preparation for colonoscopy was done with polyethylene glycol lavage, a night before the procedure. Ileocolonoscopy was performed under conscious sedation and prepared stool instilled into the terminal ileum, caecum, ascending colon, transverse colon and proximal descending. Rectal biopsy specimens were collected. The recipient was kept nil by mouth for 4 hours and administered intravenous fluids and tablet loperamide 4 mg 30 minutes and 4 hours following FMT. Patients were asked to report the time of passage of first stool following FMT. Two more sessions of colonoscopic induction FMT (iFMT) were performed at fortnightly intervals. Thereafter, patients were asked to report every 4 weeks for clinical evaluation and sigmoidoscopy with biopsy for 24 weeks.

### 4. Definitions, Drug Tapering, Maintenance, and Rescue FMT

Clinical remission (CR) was defined as Mayo score  $\leq 2$  with endoscopic subscore  $\leq 1$  and clinical response as reduction in Mayo score by  $\geq 3$  over baseline. In patients who achieved CR or response at 4 weeks, prednisolone was tapered by 5 mg weekly. If CR or clinical response were maintained at 12 weeks following corticosteroid withdrawal, azathioprine was tapered by 25 mg weekly.

Long-term patients were maintained only on oral 5-aminosalicylates and advised to undergo maintenance FMT (mFMT) every 6 months, defined as FMT done for asymptomatic patients with Mayo score 0 to 3 and endoscopy subscore 0. Those who developed recurrence of symptoms and developed relapse were offered rescue FMT (rFMT), defined as FMT for symptomatic patients with Mayo score 4-10 and endoscopy subscore  $\geq 1$ . If there was no improvement in 2 weeks, low dose prednisolone, 20 mg daily, was added for 4 weeks and tapered over next 4 weeks. Patients who did not achieve CR or clinical response were offered FMT from a different stool donor.

Rectal biopsies specimens were evaluated and graded using Geboes grading system,<sup>9</sup> by a histopathologist with 19 years of experience, who was blinded to the clinical data. Parameters studied included architectural change, chronic inflammatory infiltrate, increase in eosinophils and neutrophils in lamina

propria, cryptitis, crypt distortion, erosion, and ulceration. The Geboes score ranges from 0 to 5.4 and UC was considered active when score was  $\geq$  3.1. Geboes score less than 3.1 was defined as histological response.

### 5. Outcome Measures

Primary outcome was corticosteroid-free CR or clinical response 12 weeks following FMT. Secondary outcomes were corticosteroid-free CR and clinical response at 24 weeks, corticosteroid- and azathioprine-free CR and response at 24 weeks, ability to maintain remission with mFMT and efficacy of rFMT.

### 6. Statistical Methods

Descriptive analysis was carried out. Categorical variables were presented in number and percentage (%) and continuous variables were presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation or median (range). Comparisons between responder and nonresponder groups were performed by applying chi-square statistic or Fisher exact test for categorical data and Student *t*-test (unpaired) for normally distributed continuous variables. Comparisons

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of the Enrolled Patients

Characteristics	Value (n = 27)
Age (yr)	33±9 (18-50)
Male sex	18 (66)
Disease duration (yr)	4±4 (1–18)
Extent of disease at inclusion	
E1, proctitis	2 (7)
E2, left sided	10 (37)
E3, pancolitis	15 (56)
Medications for 3 mo before inclusion	
Glucocorticoids	27 (100)
Azathioprine <sup>a</sup>	20 (74)
Oral 5-aminosalicylates	27 (100)
Rectal 5-aminosalicylates	7 (26)
Biologicals experienced	2 (7)
Hemoglobin (g/L)	12.0 (8.6–16.6)
WBC count ( $\times 10^3$ /mm <sup>3</sup> )	7.2 (5.2–12.4)
CRP (mg/L)	5.6 (0.3–133.1)
Peak Mayo score	11 (9–12)
Mayo score at inclusion	5 (4–10)

Values are presented as median ± standard deviation (range), number (%), or median (range).

<sup>a</sup>Remaining 7 patients were azathioprine intolerant. WBC, white blood cell; CRP, C-reactive protein. of nonparametric data between groups were performed with the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare average Mayo scores before and after FMT. Statistical significance is assumed at a value of P = 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistics software, version 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

### **RESULTS**

Twenty-seven patients were enrolled for the study (Table 1). All the patients completed 3 sessions of iFMT. A total of 31 stool donors were utilized including 10 spouses, 6 siblings, 4 parents, 4 cousins, 1 offspring, and 6 unrelated individuals.

### 1. Reduction in Mayo Score, Clinical Remission, and Clinical Response

The mean Mayo score decreased from  $6.4 \pm 2.5$  at baseline to  $2.6 \pm 3.7$  at week 4,  $2.6 \pm 3.4$  at week 12, and  $2.8 \pm 3.8$  at week 24 (P < 0.05) (Fig. 1). At week 4, CR was seen in 13 patients (48%) and clinical response in 3 patients (11%). Following steroid taper, 13 patients (48%; 12 with CR and 1 patient with clinical response) maintained steroid-free CR at week 12 while 1 patient (3.7%) achieved steroid-free clinical response (Fig. 2). Ten of these 14 patients were on azathioprine, tapering of which after 12 weeks, led to relapse in 1 patient. Steroid and azathioprine-free CR were seen in 13 patients (48%) at week 24. Amongst patients who achieved CR or clinical response, histological response was seen in 2 of 13 (15.2%) at week 4, 5 of 13 (38.4%) at week 12, and 10 of 13 (76.9%) at week 24.

Patients who achieved CR at 24 weeks were compared to nonresponders (Table 2). On univariate analysis, duration of UC, Mayo score at inclusion, severity and extent of disease,



**Fig. 1.** Reduction in Mayo score after induction fecal microbiota transplantation (iFMT).

type of medication, stool temperature on arrival, donation to FMT interval and slurry retention time did not influence response to FMT.

#### 2. First Relapse

First relapse was seen in 10 of 13 responders (76.9%) at a median of 14.8 months (range, 6–34 months) after iFMT (Table 3). In 6 patients who did not opt for mFMT, relapse occurred at median of 8.5 months (range, 6–34 months) following iFMT as compared to median of 15 months (range, 9–30 months) in the 4 patients who opted for mFMT (P=0.352). Two patients relapsed 19- and 21-day following use of cephalosporins for enteric fever and urinary tract infection respectively. Other patients received antibiotics like nitrofurantoin, nitazoxanide and rifampicin without a relapse of UC within 1 month of use. First relapse was treated successfully with rFMT alone in 4 patients (40%) and rFMT with low dose steroids in 5 patients (50%). One patient who did not respond, eventually developed





**Table 2.** Comparison of Patients Who Achieved Clinical Remission at 24 Weeks versus Nonresponders

Parameter	Clinical remission (n = 13)	Non- responder (n = 14)	P-value
Age (yr)	$34.7\pm10.8$	34.1±7.2	0.877
Male sex	7 (53.8)	11 (78.5)	0.236
Duration of UC (yr)	$5.5 \pm 1.9$	$4.7 \pm 2.8$	0.628
Peak Mayo score	$10.9\pm0.9$	$11.0 \pm 1.0$	0.835
Mayo score at recruitment	5 (4–9)	10 (4–10)	0.052
Donor age (yr)	$41.0 \pm 10.9$	33.0±11.3	0.056
Donor sex (male)	6 (46.0)	10 (71.4)	0.182
Spousal donor	4 (30.8)	5 (35.7)	1.000
Extent of disease			
E1 disease	0	2 (14.2)	0.482
E2 disease	5 (38.4)	5 (35.7)	1.000
E3 disease	8 (61.5)	7 (50.0)	0.704
Stool temperature on arrival (°C)	14.8±1.8	15.0±3.7	0.872
Stool temperature at FMT (°C)	$31.2 \pm 1.2$	31.1±0.8	0.828
Donation to FMT interval (min)	277 (147–350)	255 (150–360)	0.916
Slurry retention time (hr)	18 (0–82)	10 (2–48)	0.279
Oral steroids	13 (100)	14 (100)	0.678
Azathioprine/6-MP	10 (76.9)	10 (71.4)	1.000
Oral 5-ASA	13 (100)	14 (100)	1.000
Rectal 5-ASA	3 (23.1)	5 (35.7)	0.678

Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, number (%), or median (range).

UC, ulcerative colitis; FMT, fecal microbiota transplantation; 6-MP, 6-mercaptopurine; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylates.

Patient No.	Time to first relapse (mo)	mFMT	Antibiotic use prior to relapse	Treatment of relapse	Clinical remission achieved
1	15	No	-	rFMT	Yes
3	7	No	-	rFMT+prednisolone 20 mg	Yes
5	30	Yes	Cefixime for urinary infection	rFMT	Yes
6	34	No	-	rFMT	Yes
9	10	No	Ceftriaxone for enteric fever	rFMT	No
10	7	No	-	rFMT	Yes
11	6	No	-	rFMT+prednisolone 20 mg	Yes
12	11	Yes	-	rFMT+prednisolone 20 mg	Yes
13	19	Yes	-	rFMT+prednisolone 20 mg	Yes
25	9	Yes	-	rFMT+prednisolone 20 mg	Yes

Table 3. Time to First Relapse after Induction FMT and Response to Treatment with rFMT with or without Low-Dose Corticosteroids

FMT, fecal microbiota transplantation; rFMT, rescue FMT; mFMT, maintenance FMT.



**Fig. 3.** Average relapse time and number of relapses in 10 out of 13 patients who achieved clinical remission at 24 weeks.

Crohn's phenotype with deep colonic ulcers and recto-vaginal fistula and was shifted to biologicals.

#### 3. Long-term Follow-up

At a median follow of 39 months (range, 9–71 months), a total of 12 relapses were seen in 10 patients who had CR at 24 weeks, while 3 patients maintained remission (Fig. 3). With our protocol, the average time to relapse was 19.7 months (range, 6–35 months). At last follow-up, of 13 patients who had achieved CR at 24 weeks, 5 patients remain on mFMT, 2 patients opted out of mFMT being outstation while 3 patients opted out of mFMT despite being located in the same city due to "FMT fatigue." Three patients relapsed and opted out of the trial.

#### 4. Adverse Effects

A total of 109 colonoscopic FMT procedures (81 iFMT, 18 mFMT, and 10 rFMT) were done. Adverse effects were mild and self-limiting including bloating in 8 patients (7.3%), fever up to 39.5°C in 5 patients (4.5%), abdominal cramps in 4 patients (3.6%) and worsening of Mayo score at 4 weeks in 1 patient (0.9%). One patient developed recurrent acute pancreatitis due to use of polyethylene glycol as preparation for colonoscopic FMT.

Amongst the 14 nonresponders, FMT with change of donor was done in 3 patients. Two patients showed no response at 4, 12, or 24 weeks while 1 patient who showed clinical response at 12 weeks, relapsed by 24 weeks.

#### DISCUSSION

This is the first study on FMT in a real-world setting with a follow-up of up to 5 years. Five randomized clinical trials (RCTs) studied the efficacy of FMT in achieving steroid-free remission at 7 to 12 weeks and another RCT studied the efficacy of FMT in maintaining remission (Table 4). Four studies that used the colonoscopic or rectal route for iFMT showed benefit over placebo, while the trial with nasojejunal route did not show benefit. Remission rate in the treatment arm varied from 24% to 32% and response rate 29% to 55%.<sup>10-14</sup> Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have been published. Costello et al.<sup>15</sup> reviewed 14 cohort studies and 4 RCTs that used varying protocols. In the meta-analysis of 4 RCTs, CR was achieved in 39 of 140 (28%) patients in the donor FMT groups compared with 13 of 137 (9%) patients in the placebo groups (odds ratio [OR], 3.67; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.82–7.39; P<0.01). Clinical response was achieved in 69 of 140 (49%) donor FMT patients compared to 38 of 137 (28%) placebo patients (OR, 2.48; 95% CI, 1.18-5.21; P=0.02). In cohort studies, 39 of 168 (24%; 95% CI, 11%-40%) achieved CR. A systematic review and meta-analysis by Narula et al.,<sup>16</sup> including 4 RCTs, FMT was associated with higher combined clinical and endoscopic remission compared with placebo (risk ratio of UC not in remission, 0.80; 95% CI, 0.71-0.89) with a number needed to treat of 5 (95% CI, 4-10). In another meta-analysis by Lam et al.,17 4 RCTs and 2 cohort studies were included. FMT was more effective than placebo in inducing CR (OR, 3.85; 95% CI, 2.21–6.7; P < 0.001;  $I^2 = 0\%$ ) and clinical response (OR, 2.75; 95% CI, 1.33–5.67; P = 0.006;  $I^2 = 49\%$ ), but there was no statistical difference on steroid-free remission (OR, 2.08; 95% CI, 0.41- $10.5; P = 0.37; I^2 = 69\%$ ).

Our rates of CR and clinical response appear to be better than those in the reported RCTs, despite most of our patients being corticosteroid dependent. It has been fairly well established that colonoscopic delivery of FMT yields better results than upper gastrointestinal routes. In a meta-analysis by Tang et al.,<sup>18</sup> in the 5 studies that transplanted feces via the lower gastrointestinal tract, CR rate was better in the FMT group as com pared to placebo, 50.8% versus 31.4% (OR, 2.70; 95% CI, 1.67-4.37; P < 0.0001). In the 2 studies that delivered FMT through upper gastrointestinal tract, the CR rate in FMT group was not better than placebo (30.0% vs. 30.3%: OR, 0.98; 95% CI, 0.33-2.89; P = 0.98). The dose of stool used does not seem to influence outcome, though we used the higher dose of 140 g per session. Our results may also be influenced by the fact that we used 3 colonoscopic sessions for iFMT as compared to the RCTs that used only 1 colonoscopic session followed by rectal enemas. Sood et al.<sup>19</sup> performed intensive FMT with 7 sessions of colonoscopic FMT on weeks 0, 2, 6, 10, 14, 18, and 22. At week 24, steroid-free CR was achieved in 19 out of 41 patients

			Author (	year)		
Variable	Moayyedi et al. (2015) <sup>10</sup>	Rossen et al. (2015) <sup>11</sup>	Paramsothy et al. (2017) <sup>12</sup>	Crothers et al. (2018) <sup>13</sup>	Costello et al. (2019) <sup>14</sup>	Sood et al. (2019) <sup>19</sup>
Country	Canada	Austria	Australia	USA	Australia	India
Route and frequency of administration	Enema weekly × 6	Nasoduodenal tube (0 & 3 weeks)	Colonoscopic × 1+enema 5 day/ week × 8 weeks	Colonoscopic+daily capsules	Colonoscopic × 1+ enema × 2 over 7 days	Colonoscpic every 8 weeks
Dose of FMT	8.3 g (50 mL)/week	120 g	187 g/week	50 g+0.375 g	100 g/week	100 g
Donor	Random (maximum from 1 star donor)	Random	Pooled	High butyrate	Pooled, anerobic	Single
Placebo	Water	Autologous stool	Saline+odorant+colorant	Sham FMT+placebo capsules	Autologous stool	Water with food grade color
Patient selection	Active UC (Mayo score ≥ 4) with endoscopic subscore ≥1	Mild/moderate UC	Active UC (Mayo score 4-10) with endoscopic subscore ≥ 1 and physician global assessment ≤ 2	Mayo score 4-10. Pretreated with 7-day antibiotics	Mayo score 3-10 with endoscopic subscore ≥ 2	In remission following colonoscopic FMT
Definition clinical remission	Mayo score ≤2 with endoscopic subscore reduction ≥1	SCCAI ≤ 2 + ≥ 1 point decrease in Mayo endoscopic score	Steroid free with Mayo score ≤ 2 with endoscopic subscore reduction ≥ 1 and all subscores ≤ 1	Mayo score <3	Steroid free with Mayo score ≤2 with endoscopic subscore reduction ≤ 1	Steroid free Mayo score ≤2 with all subscores ≤1
Definition clinical response	Reduction in Mayo score ≥3	SCCAI reduction ≤ 1.5	Reduction in Mayo score ≥ 3	Reduction in Mayo score ≥ 3	Reduction in Mayo score ≥ 3	NA
Primary end point	Week 7	Week 12	Week 8	Week 12	Week 8 +1 year follow up	Week 48
No.	75	48	81	15	73	61
Study group/controls	38/37	23/25	41/40	07 vs. 8	38/35	31/30
Remission	24% vs. 5% (P=0.03)	30.4% vs. 32% (P=0.1)	27% vs. 8% (P=0.021)	29% vs. 13%	32% vs. 9% (P=0.03)	87.1% vs. 66.7% (P= 0.111)
Response	39% vs. 24% (P=0.16)	47.8% vs. 52% (P=NA)	54% vs. 23% ( <i>P</i> =0.004)	29% vs. 0%	55% vs. 23% (P=0.007)	Endoscopic remission 58.1% vs. $26.7\%$ (P= 0.026)
Benefit	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Histological improvement	Yes	Not studied	Not studied	Decreased inflammation 66% vs. 16.6%; remission 50% vs. 0%	Not studied	Histological remission 45.2% vs. 16.7% (P=0.033)
Microbiota diversity	Improved	Improved	Improved at 4 weeks and 8 weeks	Not studied		Not studied
Microbiota composition	Improved	Improved	Fusobacterium associated with lack of remission	Not studied		Not studied
Severe adverse effects	3% vs. 2%	Nil	2% vs. 1%	Not mentioned	3% vs. 2%	Nil
Mild adverse effects	Not mentioned	78.3% vs. 64% (P=0.28)	78% vs. 83%	Not mentioned		Not mentioned
UC, ulcerative colitis; FI	MT, fecal microbiota transp	olantation; SCCAI, Simple (	Clinical Colitis Activity Index; NA, not	: available.		

# www.irjournal.org

# **INTESTINAL RESEARCH**

Table 4. Summary of Randomized Control Trials in Patients with UC

(46.3%), whereas clinical response and endoscopic remission were achieved in 31 out of 41 patients (75.6%) and 26 out of 41 patients (63.4%), respectively. All patients with clinical response were able to withdraw steroids. However, the drop rate was high at 8 out of 41 (19.5%). Perhaps, using multiple donors instead of 1 per FMT could have improved our results further. In the recent meta-analysis by Tang et al.,<sup>18</sup> in 4 RCTs that used feces from multiple donors, CR rate was higher in FMT group versus placebo (37.9% vs. 17.5%: OR, 2.93; 95% CI, 1.67-5.71; P=0.0002), while in 2 studies from a single donor CR in FMT group was not better than placebo (76.3% vs. 57.9%: OR, 2.64; 95% CI, 0.87-8.01; P=0.09). In our experience, with nonresponders, change of donor did not show any additional benefit in rates of CR or clinical response. We planned a deliberate and slow taper of steroids in patients who showed CR or clinical response. It has been shown that there is incremental effect of donor stool on gut flora of the recipient that may be demonstrable as early 1 week after FMT but it may take 3 months for the biodiversity to match that of the donor.<sup>20</sup> We feel that too rapid a taper from the day after first FMT, as described by Moayyedi et al.<sup>10</sup> and Sood et al.,<sup>21</sup> may not be recommended in dayto-day practice.

As seen in most of the large trials, we did not encounter any significant adverse effects over a 5-year period in 109 FMT procedures for UC. Worsening of Mayo score at 4 weeks was seen in 1 of 27 patients (3.7%). Flare of UC following FMT has been reported in 4.6% (95% CI, 1.8%–11%) patients from the RCTs.<sup>22</sup>

It has been reasonably well established that colonoscopic FMT is more effective than placebo in inducing remission in mild or moderate UC, but the question of how long the effect lasts has not been suitably addressed. Relapse is inevitable. We have shown that patients who opted for mFMT every 6 months had a trend towards maintaining remission for a longer period than those who chose otherwise. Sood et al.,<sup>21</sup> carried out colonoscopic mFMT every 8 weeks for 48 weeks. In the treatment arm, 87% patients maintained remission as compared to 66.7% in the placebo arm (P=0.111). This regimen appears too intensive as it may be difficult to convince patients to undergo colonoscopic FMT every 8 weeks for a lifetime. We noted that "FMT fatigue" sets in and there is reluctance for mFMT even in patients who are doing well. We have also demonstrat-



**Fig. 4.** Algorithm showing possible role of iFMT, mFMT, and rFMT in the treatment of corticosteroid dependent ulcerative colitis (UC) on thiopurines. FMT, fecal microbiota transplantation; iFMT, induction FMT; mFMT, maintenance FMT; rFMT, rescue FMT.



**Fig. 5.** Algorithm showing possible role of iFMT, mFMT and rFMT in the treatment of corticosteroid dependent ulcerative colitis (UC) who are thiopurine intolerant. FMT, fecal microbiota transplantation; iFMT, induction FMT; mFMT, maintenance FMT; rFMT, rescue FMT.

ed that rFMT by itself is able to induce CR or clinical response in 40% of patients. Another 50% showed response when lowdose corticosteroids were added to rFMT. Suggested algorithms showing possible role of iFMT, mFMT and rFMT in the treatment of patients of CDUC with or without thiopurines is shown in Figs 4 and 5.

This is the first study on FMT for UC where stool preparation was done at home. The kit, including the mixer, cost only Rupees 3,000 (40 USD). Preparation of stool sample at home saved the cost of work space, equipment, salaries and disposables. Contamination was minimized by use of sterile gloves and use of normal saline for rinsing the mixer and utensils and also in the preparation of FMT slurry.

Drawbacks of our study include the absence of a control arm, lack of data on the spectrum of fecal bacteria in recipients and donors and the correlation of CR with improvement in bacterial profile.

FMT is an effective, low cost and safe option for induction of corticosteroid-free and thiopurine-free clinical, endoscopic and histological remission in selected patients with UC. There is a trend towards delay of relapse with 6-month mFMT. For treatment of relapse, rFMT by itself or in association with low dose corticosteroids may be effective when Mayo score is 10 or less. FMT could be offered specially to patients who are corticosteroid dependent or thiopurine intolerant and are candidates for biologicals. Two of our patients who were advised total proctocolectomy but opted for FMT protocol continue to do well and remain corticosteroid-free and thiopurine-free at 6 years and 4 years. Only 1 patient required biologicals during the entire study duration. While the search for the perfect stool for FMT continues, use of stool from star donors or mixed donors may improve the results of FMT for patients with UC.

#### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

#### **Funding Source**

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

#### **Conflict of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

#### **Author Contribution**

Conceptualization: Seth AK. Data curation: Seth AK, Jain P. Formal analysis: Seth AK. Investigation: Seth AK, Jain P. Methodology: Seth AK. Project administration: Seth AK. Resources: Seth AK. Supervision: Seth AK. Validation: Seth AK. Visualization: Seth AK. Writing - original draft: Seth AK. Writing - review & editing: Seth AK. Approval of final manuscript: all author.

#### **Non-Author Contribution**

Authors thank Ms. Twinkle Singh (Fortis Organ Retrieval and Transplant, Gurugram, India) for data management and preparation of tables and figures, Mr. Sudhir Shekhawat (Fortis Healthcare Limited, New Delhi, India) for statistical analysis.

#### ORCID

Seth AK	https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0792-6984
Jain P	https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9623-4973

### REFERENCES

- Bejaoui M, Sokol H, Marteau P. Targeting the microbiome in inflammatory bowel disease: critical evaluation of current concepts and moving to new horizons. Dig Dis 2015;33 Suppl 1:105-112.
- 2. McDonald LC, Gerding DN, Johnson S, et al. Clinical practice

guidelines for Clostridium difficile infection in adults and children: 2017 update by the Infectious Diseases Society of America (IDSA) and Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America (SHEA). Clin Infect Dis 2018;66:e1-e48.

- 3. Mcilroy JR, Nalagatla N, Hansen R, Hart A, Hold GL. Faecal microbiota transplantation as a treatment for inflammatory bowel disease: a national survey of adult and paediatric gastroenterologists in the UK. Frontline Gastroenterol 2018;9: 250-255.
- Lamb CA, Kennedy NA, Raine T, et al. British Society of Gastroenterology consensus guidelines on the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults. Gut 2019;68(Suppl 3):s1-s106.
- Seth AK, Rawal P, Bagga R, Jain P. Successful colonoscopic fecal microbiota transplantation for active ulcerative colitis: first report from India. Indian J Gastroenterol 2016;35:393-395.
- 6. Seth AK, Jain P. Colonoscopic fecal microbiota transplantation for patients with ulcerative colitis with failure of 5-amino salicylates and dependence on corticosteroids and/or thiopurine intolerance: 30-month follow up of first pilot study in India. Indian J Gastroenterol 2017;36:S1-S105.
- Lennard-Jones JE. Classification of inflammatory bowel disease. Scand J Gastroenterol 1989;24:2-6.
- 8. Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Tilg H, et al. European consensus conference on faecal microbiota transplantation in clinical practice. Gut 2017;66:569-580.
- 9. Geboes K, Riddell R, Ost A, Jensfelt B, Persson T, Löfberg R. A reproducible grading scale for histological assessment of in-flammation in ulcerative colitis. Gut 2000;47:404-409.
- Moayyedi P, Surette MG, Kim PT, et al. Fecal microbiota transplantation induces remission in patients with active ulcerative colitis in a randomized controlled trial. Gastroenterology 2015; 149:102-109.
- Rossen NG, Fuentes S, van der Spek MJ, et al. Findings from a randomized controlled trial of fecal transplantation for patients with ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2015;149:110-118.
- Paramsothy S, Kamm MA, Kaakoush NO, et al. Multidonor intensive faecal microbiota transplantation for active ulcerative colitis: a randomised placebo-controlled trial. Lancet 2017;389:

1218-1228.

- Crothers J, Kassam Z, Smith M, et al. A double-blind, randomized, placebo-control pilot trial of fecal microbiota transplantation capsules from rationally selected donors in active ulcerative colitis. Gastroenterology 2018;154:S-1050–S-1051.
- Costello SP, Hughes PA, Waters O, et al. Effect of fecal microbiota transplantation on 8-week remission in patients with ulcerative colitis: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2019;321: 156-164.
- 15. Costello SP, Soo W, Bryant RV, Jairath V, Hart AL, Andrews JM. Systematic review with meta-analysis: faecal microbiota transplantation for the induction of remission for active ulcerative colitis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2017;46:213-224.
- Narula N, Kassam Z, Yuan Y, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis: fecal microbiota transplantation for treatment of active ulcerative colitis. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2017;23:1702-1709.
- Lam WC, Zhao C, Ma WJ, Yao L. The clinical and steroid-free remission of fecal microbiota transplantation to patients with ulcerative colitis: a meta-analysis. Gastroenterol Res Pract 2019;2019:1287493.
- Tang LL, Feng WZ, Cheng JJ, Gong YN. Clinical remission of ulcerative colitis after different modes of faecal microbiota transplantation: a meta-analysis. Int J Colorectal Dis 2020;35: 1025-1034.
- 19. Sood A, Mahajan R, Juyal G, et al. Efficacy of fecal microbiota therapy in steroid dependent ulcerative colitis: a real world intention-to-treat analysis. Intest Res 2019;17:78-86.
- 20. Garza-González E, Mendoza-Olazarán S, Morfin-Otero R, et al. Intestinal microbiome changes in fecal microbiota transplant (FMT) vs. FMT enriched with Lactobacillus in the treatment of recurrent Clostridioides difficile infection. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;2019:4549298.
- 21. Sood A, Mahajan R, Singh A, et al. Role of faecal microbiota transplantation for maintenance of remission in patients with ulcerative colitis: a pilot study. J Crohns Colitis 2019;13:1311-1317.
- 22. Qazi T, Amaratunga T, Barnes EL, Fischer M, Kassam Z, Allegretti JR. The risk of inflammatory bowel disease flares after fecal microbiota transplantation: systematic review and metaanalysis. Gut Microbes 2017;8:574-588.