# Heliyon 7 (2021) e07854

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Heliyon

journal homepage: www.cell.com/heliyon

**Research article** 

# Characterization of a microbial consortium with potential for biological degradation of cactus pear biomass for biofuel production

Brittany B. Blair, Won Cheol Yim, John C. Cushman

Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Nevada Reno, Reno, NV, USA

#### HIGHLIGHTS

• Ethanol yields from cactus pear are not commercially viable due to poor release of fermentable carbohydrates.

• A soil consortium consisting of 14 genera of eubacteria and four genera of fungi was characterized.

• Pectobacterium cacticida degraded cladodes most effectively among all axenic isolates evaluated.

• P. cacticida holds potential promise to promote fermentable sugar release and ethanol yields.

# ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Cactus pear Opuntia ficus-indica Microbial consortium Cladode Fermentable sugars

# ABSTRACT

Cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) is a crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) species that serves as a food, feed, and bioenergy crop. *O. ficus-indica* is an attractive alternative biofuel feedstock due to its low water demand and high biomass productivity. Current ethanol yields from *O. ficus-indica* are not commercially viable due to low concentrations of released fermentable carbohydrates. Axenic strains of bacteria and fungi were isolated and characterized from a soil microbial community consortium that effectively degrades cladodes into soluble components. The consortium consisted of species representing 14 genera of eubacteria and four genera of fungi. The digestion efficiency of each axenic isolate was evaluated by measuring the release of soluble material after aerobic digestion of cladodes and direct measurement of cellulase and pectinase activities in the culture supernatants. *Pectobacterium cacticida* was the most effective eubacterial species identified for degrading cladodes among all isolates evaluated. Thus, *P. cacticida* holds great promise for increasing the release of fermentable sugars and improving overall ethanol yields.

# 1. Introduction

Cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) and related *Opuntia* spp. have the potential to become important bioenergy feedstocks for ethanol or biogas production on marginal, abandoned, semi-arid, and arid lands around the world (Cushman et al., 2015; Davis et al., 2019; do Nascimento Santos et al., 2016; Krümpel et al., 2020; Lueangwattanapong et al., 2020; Mason et al., 2015). Cactus pear species exhibit water-use efficiencies that are three-to six-fold greater than  $C_4$  or  $C_3$  photosynthesis crops, respectively, due to their ability to perform crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM) (Borland et al., 2009; Cushman et al., 2015). *Opuntia* spp. also possess associated co-adaptive traits, such as tissue succulence to attenuate drought, thick cuticles and epicuticular wax layers to limit water loss, and roots that can maximize uptake under wet conditions and

minimize water losses under dry conditions (Dubrovsky et al., 1998; Niechayev et al., 2019; North and Nobel, 1997). In addition to drought tolerance, *Opuntia* spp. can tolerate high temperatures, a critically important trait for climate resilience (Chetti and Nobel, 1988; Nobel and de la Barrera, 2003).

The annual biomass productivity potential of *Opuntia* spp. meets or exceeds that of  $C_3$  and  $C_4$  photosynthesis crops, but with dramatically lower crop water demands (Borland et al., 2009; Cushman et al., 2015; Neupane et al., 2021; Nobel, 1991, 1996). Without supplemental irrigation, average annual biomass production typically ranges from 3-15 Mg DM ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> depending upon the ambient conditions and location (Consoli et al., 2013; de Queiroz et al., 2015; do Nascimento Santos et al., 2016; Grünwaldt et al., 2015; Krümpel et al., 2020; Mason et al., 2015; Reis et al., 2016; Sánchez et al., 2012). However, with supplemental

\* Corresponding author. E-mail address: jcushman@unr.edu (J.C. Cushman).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07854

Received 15 February 2021; Received in revised form 25 July 2021; Accepted 18 August 2021

2405-8440/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).





CelPress

Table 1. Primer sets used for the amplification of 16S rDNA target genes for eubacterial isolates. A) Forward and reverse primers for the BacUni1055 16S rRNA consensus sequence spanning from 1055 bp to 1406 bp obtained from Ferris et al. (1996). B) Forward and reverse primers for the BacUni335 16S rRNA consensus sequence spanning from 335 bp to 788 bp obtained from Dorn-In et al. (2015).

Consensus Sequence	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
A) 16S rRNA	ATGGCTGTCGTCAGCT	ACGGGCGGTGTGTAC
B) 16S rRNA	CADACTCCTACGGGAGGC	ATCCTGTTTGMTMCCCVCRC

**Table 2.** Primer sets used for the amplification of EF1- $\alpha$  and 28S rDNA target genes for fungal isolates. A) Forward and reverse primers for the EF1- $\alpha$  consensus sequence spanning from 1018 bp to 1620 bp obtained from Stielow et al. (2015). B) Forward and Reverse Primers for the nuclear large subunit (LSU) 28S rRNA consensus sequence spanning from 200 bp to 476 bp obtained from Asemaninejad et al. (2016).

Consensus Sequence	Forward Primer	Reverse Primer
A) Elongation Factor 1-alpha (EF1-α)	GAYTTCATCAAGAACATGAT	GACGTTGAADCCRACRTTGTC
B) Nuclear Large Subunit- 28S rRNA	AACKGCGAGTGAAGCRGYA	CSATCACTSTACTTGTKCGC

irrigation and high-density cultivation with fertilizer application, annual productivities of 30–50 Mg DM ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> are possible (Dubeux et al., 2006; Flores-Hernández et al., 2004; Garcia de Cortázar & Nobel, 1991, 1992; Lima et al., 2016; Neupane et al., 2021; Nobel et al., 1992). In addition to their high productivity, *Opuntia* spp. can be clonally propagated easily and are suitable for coppicing (Cushman et al., 2015; Mason et al., 2015).

As an herbaceous feedstock *O. ficus-indica* has a relatively low lignin content compared with more woody feedstocks, thereby reducing its recalcitrance to hydrolysis and saccharification, which might also reduce processing costs (Yang et al., 2015). Pretreatment steps such as physical chopping, thermal degradation, enzymatic, and acid pretreatment have been used to process *O. ficus-indica* biomass for bioethanol (Kuloyo et al., 2014; Pérez-Cadena et al., 2018; Retamal et al., 1987) or biogas production (Calabrò et al., 2018; Krümpel et al., 2020; Lueangwattanapong et al., 2020; Myovela et al., 2019; Ramírez-Arpide et al., 2018). Chemical pretreatment, such as alkaline hydrolysis of *O. ficus-indica* and *O. (Nopalea) cochenillifera* fruit combined with enzymatic saccharification and fermentation process (de Souza Filho et al., 2016). Various pretreatments have been attempted with acidic pretreatment of the biomass improving methane yields (Calabrò et al., 2018).

Microbial digestion can potentially improve ethanol or biogas production from Opuntia spp. by hydrolyzing pectin and other carbohydrates not easily degraded by chemical acidification (Myovela et al., 2019; Pérez-Cadena et al., 2018). Nectrotrophic species, such as Pectobacterium and Dickeya, cause soft rot disease in many plant species including cacti by secreting a broad set of cell wall degrading enzymes via the type II secretion pathway (Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, 2016; Motyka et al., 2017; Valenzuela-Soto et al., 2015; Varvaro et al., 1993). Ethanol production from O. ficus-indica cladodes or fruits of 2.5-34.9 g L<sup>-1</sup> have been reported (Alencar et al., 2018; de Souza Filho et al., 2016; do Nascimento Santos et al., 2016; Kuloyo et al., 2014; Pérez-Cadena et al., 2018; Retamal et al., 1987). Biogas (methane) yields of 233–325 L kg<sup>-1</sup> have been reported from the anaerobic digestion of O. ficus-indica cladodes (Calabrò et al., 2018; Lueangwattanapong et al., 2020; Mason et al., 2015; Ramírez-Arpide et al., 2018; Ramos-Suárez et al., 2014; Valenti et al., 2018).

One of the major approaches to improve the utility of *Opuntia* spp. as a biofuel feedstock is to increase the release of fermentable sugars and subsequent conversion of these sugars into ethanol (Kuloyo et al., 2014) or biogas (Myovela et al., 2019). *O. ficus-indica* cladodes consist of about 25% soluble extractives (Yang et al., 2015). Pectins account for 6–14% of the dry-weight mass of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes and consist of galactose, arabinose, glucuronic and galacturonic acids, xylose, rhamnose, and

glucose (Ginestra et al., 2009; Goycoolea and Cárdenas, 2003; Peña-Valdivia et al., 2012). O. ficus-indica cladodes consist of about 13% cellulose and about 18% hemicellulose (Yang et al., 2015). Degradation of both cell-wall pectins and mucilage pectins from O. ficus-indica cladodes yields arabinose, galactose, glucose, rhamnose, and xylose (Ginestra et al., 2009; Goycoolea and Cárdenas, 2003; Peña-Valdivia et al., 2012). Arabinose and xylose can be utilized by genetically modified fermentation microbes like Saccharomyces cerevisiae and Kluyveromyces marxianus to produce ethanol (Becker and Boles, 2003; Kuloyo et al., 2014; Matsushika et al., 2009). However, there is a clear need to improve the release of fermentable sugars of O. ficus-indica cladodes to improve overall ethanol or methane yields. Here, we have isolated and characterized by molecular barcoding a microbial soil consortium from a commercial O. ficus-indica orchard and provide evidence for the ability of the consortium and individual axenic isolates to degrade O. ficus-indica cladodes and to excrete detectable pectinase activity.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Materials

A microbial soil sample was obtained from a mixture of cladodes and soil from a commercial O. ficus-indica production field in Salinas, California (approximately 36° 32' 47" N, 121° 24' 69" W, and altitude of  $\sim$ 118 m). This sampling site was selected because cladode trimmings were routinely tilled into the soil to recycle crop residues and was likely to contain soil microbes with the ability to degrade cactus pear cladodes. The microbial soil sample was incubated for 22 days at room temperature in a sealed one-gallon Ziploc bag. The resulting slurry of microbes and degraded plant material was mixed well and divided into 30 ml aliquots and transferred to 50 ml conical tubes (Argos Technologies) and 40% (v/ v) sterile glycerol was added to a final concentration of 15% (v/v). Soil samples were then flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until use. To increase the sample volume for biomass degradation assays, 250 ml of the sample + glycerol mix were grown in 750 ml of M9 minimal media +100 mM MES buffer (pH = 6.0) to promote bacterial growth or grown in 750 ml of Vogel's minimal media +100 mM MES buffer (pH = 6.0) to promote fungal growth at 27  $^\circ$ C for 48 h with shaking at 90 RPM on an incubator shaker (New Brunswick Scientific). M9 minimal media consisted of 0.1  $\mu$ M CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 2  $\mu$ M MgSO<sub>4</sub>•7 H<sub>2</sub>O, and 11.28 g L<sup>-1</sup> of 5x M9 salts, containing 33.9 g  $L^{-1}$  Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 15 g  $L^{-1}$  KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 5 g  $L^{-1}$  NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, and 2.5 g  $L^{-1}$  NaCl. Vogel's minimal media consisted of 8.8 mM NaCitrate•2 H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 mM KNO<sub>3</sub>, 19 mM (NH<sub>4</sub>)H<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 12 mM KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.81 mM MgSO4+07 H2O, 0.9 mM CaCl2, 17  $\mu M$  citric acid, 17  $\mu M$ ZnSO4•7 H2O µM, 3.3 µM FeSO4, 3.8 µM (NH4)2SO4, 1 µM CuSO4 •5

B.B. Blair et al.



**Figure 1.** Distribution of species occurrence with cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) soil microbial consortium. A) Major groups of microbial species identified within the soil consortium based on their distribution into eubacterial or fungal clades and their relative discovery frequency. B) Relative distribution of eubacterial genera based upon their relative discovery frequency. C) Relative distribution of fungal genera based upon their relative discovery frequency.

H<sub>2</sub>O, 3  $\mu$ M MnSO<sub>4</sub>• H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.8  $\mu$ M H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and 0.2  $\mu$ M Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>•2 H<sub>2</sub>O. The resulting liter of each type of mixed sample was adjusted to 15% v/v glycerol, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80 °C until use.

# 2.2. Microbial isolation

Thawed sample was diluted using sterile, deionized water in a tenfold dilution process where 100  $\mu l$  of the  $10^{-7}$  to  $10^{-9}$  dilutions were pipetted onto one of four growth plates containing 1.5% (w/v) biological



**Figure 2.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based upon sequences of the eubacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences representing the diversity of isolates obtained from the cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) soil microbial consortium. The bootstrap support values indicated on individual nodes are based on maximum likelihood estimates. Only bootstrap values higher than 45 are indicated. The outgroup used was *Escherichia coli*.

grade agar and (1) M9 minimal media with 1% (w/v) dried *O. ficus-indica* cladode powder, (2) M9 minimal media with 1% (w/v) pectin from orange peel (Sigma-Aldrich), (3) Vogel's minimal media with 1% (w/v) *O. ficus-indica* dried cladode powder, or (4) Vogel's minimal media with 1% (w/v) pectin from orange peel. *O. ficus-indica* dried cladode powder was prepared by lyophilizing sliced, fresh *O. ficus-indica* cladodes, grinding the dried *O. ficus-indica* biomass into a fine powder using a mortar and pestle or a blender (NutriBullet mixer/blender system), and sieving the powder through two layers of cheese cloth to remove large particles.

Eubacterial plates were grown at 27 °C for 24–48 h, whereas the fungal plates were grown at 27 °C for 48–96 h. Individual isolates were sampled from initial sample plates and restruck two or more times to the corresponding media types described above until axenic colonies were obtained. Single, axenic isolates were then regrown in the corresponding liquid media types and replated using  $10^{-3}$  through  $10^{-5}$  serial dilutions to confirm that axenic cultures had been obtained. Liquid culture stocks were prepared for each axenic isolate, with sterile glycerol added to a final concentration of 15% (v/v) and stored at -80 °C until use. Each axenic strain was then genotyped and used for *O. ficus-indica* cladode digestion trials.



**Figure 3.** Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree based upon sequences of the eukaryotic (fungal) A) EF-1 $\alpha$  genes or B) nuclear large subunit (LSU) 28S rRNA sequences representing the diversity of isolates obtained from the cactus pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica*) soil microbial consortium. The bootstrap support values indicated on individual nodes are based upon maximum likelihood estimates. The outgroups used were A) *Dictyostelium discoideum* for the fungal EF-1 $\alpha$  sequences and B) *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for nuclear large subunit 28S rRNA sequences, respectively.

#### 2.3. Genotyping of axenic strains

Single colonies of the axenic strains were cultured for 24 h in liquid M9 or Vogel's minimal media with 0.5% (w/v) ground, dry Opuntia cladode powder or 0.5% (w/v) pectin. The DNA was then extracted using a MO BIO Microbial DNA Isolation Kit (Qiagen). PCR amplification was performed using the extracted DNA and primers targeting the highly conserved 16S rRNA region in eubacteria (Dorn-In et al., 2015; Ferris et al., 1996) and the highly conserved elongation factor 1 alpha (EF1 $\alpha$ ) (Stielow et al., 2015) and large subunit (LSU) 28S rRNA in fungi (Asemaninejad et al., 2016) (Tables 1 and 2). Empirical temperature gradient trials indicated that the optimum annealing temperature for the primers was 47 °C and 53 °C for the eubacterial and fungal primers, respectively. The amplified DNA products were extracted using a QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and quantified with a Qubit 4 fluorometer (ThermoFisher Scientific). The amplification products were then sequenced using Sanger sequencing on an Applied Biosystems 3730 automated DNA Analyzer at the Nevada Genomics Center using the same forward bacterial or fungal primers used for amplification. Sequences were compared to known sequences using the National Center for Biotechnology Information's (NCBI) Basic Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) using default settings to identify the genus (and species) designations for each axenic strain from the top hit of each search.

# 2.4. Phylogenetic analysis

The 16S rRNA, nuclear large subunit (LSU) 28S rRNA, and EF-1 $\alpha$  sequences were trimmed manually to remove low-quality end sequences and aligned initially using the MUSCLE multiple sequence aligner (Edgar, 2004). Alignment iterations were run until convergence was achieved or up to 20 iterations. The alignments results were polished manually to remove any gaps present in more than 50% of the sequences using Gblocks (Talavera and Castresana, 2007). Best-fit evolutionary models for the alignments were selected using IQ-Tree based upon testing Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC), corrected AIC (AICc) and Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC) criterion (Nguyen et al., 2015). Maximum likelihood (ML) gene trees were estimated using RAxML v7.3.0 (Stamatakis, 2015) with the best-fit models K2P + G4, K2P and TNe + G4 for the 16S rRNA, LSU 28S rRNA, and EF-1 $\alpha$  sequence alignments, respectively.

SH-aLRT branch support calculations were performed at 1000 iterations with IQ-TREE (Nguyen et al., 2015). Trees were drawn using Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) ver. 4.0 (Letunic and Bork, 2019). The phylogenetic trees were rooted with an outgroup selected from taxa outside the relationship of interest. For eubacterial 16S rRNA sequences, the outgroup was *Escherichia coli*. For the fungal 28S rRNA sequences, the outgroup was *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. For the fungal EF-1 $\alpha$  sequences, the outgroup was *Dictyostelium discoideum*.

#### 2.5. Cactus pear growth

*O. ficus-indica* plants were grown in 7.6-liter pots containing a 3:1 ratio of Sunshine MVP soil mix (Sun Gro Horticulture) and decomposed granite. Plants were grown at the Nevada Agricultural Experiment Station (Reno, NV) greenhouse complex under natural light (1,100–1,500 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) and temperature (28–32 °*C day*/17–18 °C night) conditions. From October through March, plants were watered once per week, whereas from April–September, plants were watered twice per week. All plants were fertilized monthly with Miracle Gro® fertilizer (Scott's MiracleGro) according to manufacturer's instructions. Systemic insecticide treatment (Marathon® 1% Granular, OHP) was applied every three months according to manufacturer's instructions.

# 2.6. Digestion assays

The uppermost, mature, >1-year old *O. ficus-indica* cladodes were harvested from plants containing 2–4 cladodes. Cladodes were cut into 11.5 cm by 1.5 cm transverse sections weighing approximately 7.5 g each and autoclaved at 121 °C for 45 min in glass Pyrex 9820 culture tubes. For eubacterial and fungal digestion trials, 15 ml of M9 or Vogel's minimal media, respectively, was added to each autoclaved section so that each was submerged completely. Autoclaving was not only essential for obtaining sterile cladode section, but also likely aided in the loosening of cell wall components and might have helped promote digestion trials, the M9 minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) + mixed sample was used as a positive control, M9 minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) was used as a negative control, and 100 µl of isolated, axenic eubacterial strain +14.9 ml of M9 minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) was



Figure 4. Representative images of cactus pear cladode transverse sections undergoing digestion. Representative images of cladode transverse sections from *Opuntia ficus-indica* incubated with A) Eubacterial isolates: M9 minimal media (negative control), and various eubacterial isolates (B204, B213, B214, B232, B244, and B246, and soil microbial consortium (positive control) after 30 days or B) Fungal isolates: Vogal's minimal media (negative control), F206, F207, F209, and F210, and soil microbial consortium (positive control) after 30 days.

used as experimental treatments. For the fungal trials, the Vogel's minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) + mixed sample was used as a positive control, Vogel's minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) was used as a negative control, and 100 µl of isolated, axenic fungal strain +14.9 ml of Vogel's minimal media +100 mM MES (pH = 6.0) was used as experimental treatments. Cultures used for inoculation were grown for five days on respective minimal media containing 0.5% (w/v) Opuntia cladode powder. The optimal growth pH for the microbial consortium sample was determined to be pH = 6, maintained by the use of MES buffer in the media. All digestion assays were conducted in triplicate using 64 axenic eubacterial and 18 axenic fungal isolates. Transverse cladode sections were digested for 5 or 30 days at 28 °C, with shaking at 90 RPM on an I2400 incubator shaker (New Brunswick Scientific). Five days was selected because visible changes in the integrity of cladode segments were evident at this time. Thirty days was selected to provide clear visible contrasts in microbial growth among the different isolates. After the digestion period, the digested cladodes were frozen at -80 °C and stored until use.

To analyze the ability of each microbial strain to convert insoluble cladodes into soluble material, the percent mass of the soluble fraction was measured. The frozen digested samples were thawed at room temperature and then separated by vacuum filtration into soluble and insoluble fractions. Individual samples were filtered through a 47 mm

nitrocellulose membrane filters (0.45 µm) (MF-Millipore, HAWP04700) using a 150 ml Magnetic Filter Funnel (Pall). Vacuum was applied using a GAST air vacuum pump (DOA-P704) or an Oakton water vacuum pump (WP-25). The insoluble fraction retained by the filter was washed three times with 10 ml deionized water. The soluble fraction that flowed through the filter was collected in TC5000 50 ml conical centrifuge tubes (Argos Technologies). Both the soluble and insoluble fractions were lyophilized using a LabConCo Freeze Dry System (Freezone 18). After five days, only the digested microbial consortium sample remained viscous and were dried further by heating at 200 °C for 1 week using an Isotemp Oven (ThermoFisher Scientific) to drive off residual water. This step was necessary because the soluble fraction of the soil consortium digestate was extremely hydrophilic. The dried soluble and insoluble fractions were weighed using a 2524T (AE Adam) electronic scale. Percent mass in the soluble fractions was obtained using the sum of the insoluble and soluble fractions as total weight. All digestion assays were performed in triplicate and expressed as the ratio of mass in the supernatant (soluble fraction) compared with the total biomass of the digested cladode. Data visualization and statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 software (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance was determined using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison test at the 95% confidence interval with alpha = 0.5.



**Figure 5.** Quantitative eubacterial degradation of cactus pear cladode transverse sections. The ratio of mass in the supernatant (soluble section) is shown for each eubacterial isolate after five days of digestion of *O. ficus-indica* transverse sections. The red bar represents the M9 minimal media (negative control), the green bar represents the soil microbial consortium in M9 minimal media (positive control), the blue bars represent eubacterial species exhibiting weak digestion activity, and the purple bars represent the eubacterial species with strong digestion activity. Values represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 3). \*p < 0.05, \*\*p < 0.001, and \*\*\*p < 0.0001, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (alpha = 0.05).

#### 2.7. Enzyme assays

To provide direct evidence that the microbial consortium or individual isolates contain enzymatic activities for cladode digestion, the cellulase and pectinase activities of the culture supernatants were investigated. Three eubacterial (i.e., Pectobacterium cacticida, Enterobacter sp.) and fungal (i.e., Fusarium solani, Penicillium chrysogenum) isolates with the highest biomass degrading activities were selected for enzyme activity testing. Cladode transverse segments were prepared as described above and inoculated with 200 µl of a five-day-old culture of the top three eubacterial and fungal isolates determined by the digestion assays. Controls samples consisted of cladode transfer segments incubated in their respective media to which neither microbial consortium nor axenic strains were added. After five days, the liquid fraction of the culture was recovered and centrifuged for 5,000 x g for 15 min to remove cells. The supernatant was then concentrated and desalted to remove low molecular weight salts and sugars using a centrifugal filtration device (Amicon® ultra-15 centrifugal filter unit – 10 kDa MWCO) at 4,000 x g for 30 min using an equal volume of 20 mM citrate buffer (pH = 6.5) until a final volume of  $\sim 1$  ml was obtained.

A modified, phenol-free, colorimetric assay was used for the detection of cellulase and pectinase activities using 3, 5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) as the reagent (Miller, 1959) with 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (CMS, Sigma-Aldrich) and 0.5% soluble citrus pectin (Sigma-Aldrich) as substrates (Deshavath et al., 2020; Vatanparast et al., 2012). DNS reagent was prepared by adding 1.6 g NaOH to 75 ml of deionized water until dissolved with stirring, followed by 1 g (1% w/v) DNS (Sigma-Aldrich) with continuous stirring. Lastly, 3 g (3% w/v) sodium potassium nitrate (Sigma-Aldrich) was added, and the volume was brought to 100 ml. Reactions consisted of 1 ml of 0.5% w/v CMC or 0.5% w/v pectin prepared in 20 mM sodium citrate buffer (pH 6.5) and 20 µl of concentrated culture supernatants or cellulase or pectinase standards incubated for 1 h at 37 °C. Then, 120 µl of DNS reagent was added and the samples were heated at 100 °C for 10 min and the absorbance of each sample was read at 540 nm using a NanoDrop<sup>™</sup> 2000 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (ThermoFisher Scientific) using a 1 mm pathlength disposable, polystyrene cuvette (ThermoFisher Scientific). Standard curves for cellulase (RPI Research Products) and pectinase (Sigma-Aldrich) were created and activities from the culture supernatants were determined by interpolation of values from the standards. One unit (U) of enzyme activity was defined as 1 µmol of reducing sugar released per min. All enzymatic assays were performed in triplicate. Data visualization and statistical analysis was performed using Prism 9 software (GraphPad Software). Statistical significance was determined using one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison test at the 95% confidence interval with alpha = 0.5.

#### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Isolation and genotyping of microbial strains

Using serial dilution and repeated restreaking and replating of well isolated colonies, axenic cultures of 64 bacterial isolates and 18 fungal isolates were obtained from the original microbial consortium sample isolated from field soil containing decomposing *O. ficus-indica* cladodes. Of the bacterial isolates, 63 of the 64 axenic strains were genotyped successfully using conserved primers targeting the Bacterial Universal (BacUni) 16S rRNA and amplicon sequencing. Of the fungal isolates, all



**Figure 6.** Quantitative fungal degradation of cactus pear cladode transverse sections. The ratio of mass in the supernatant (soluble fraction) is shown for each fungal isolate after five days of digestion of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes transverse sections. The red bar represents the Vogel's minimal media (negative control), the green bar represents the soil microbial consortium in Vogel's minimal media (positive control), and blue bars represent fungal species exhibiting weak digestion activity. Values represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 3). \*p < 0.05, one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (alpha = 0.05).

18 axenic isolates were genotyped successfully using conserved primers targeting the 28S rRNA or the large subunit of elongation factor 1 alpha (LS-1 $\alpha$ ) and amplicon sequencing. The primers used are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

The soil microbial community consortium was comprised of 81 isolates, of which 78% were eubacterial and 22% were fungal strains (Figure 1A). The 63 identified eubacterial isolates were identified to the genus or in some cases, the species level. A total of 11 different genera were identified including representatives from the Bacillacea, Comamonadaceae, Corvnebacteriaceae, Erwiniaceae, Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococcaceae, Micrococcaceae, Morganellaceae, Pectobacteriaceae, Providencia, and the Pseudomonadaceae (Figure 1B). The most common eubacterial family was the Enterobacteriaceae, which was represented by 38 isolates (60%) within the Enterococcus, Enterobacter, Cronobacter, Citrobacter, and Klebsiella genera. The next most common families included the Bacillaceae with six isolates (Bacillis sp., Bacillis safanis), the Pectobacteriacea with six isolates of Pectobacterium cacticida, the Corynebacteriacea with five isolates (Corynebacterium sp.), and the Enterococcaceae with three isolates each (Enterococcus sp., E. saccharolyticus). A complete listing of all eubacterial isolate descriptions and sequences is presented in Supplemental Table 1.

In contrast, the 18 identified fungal isolates were identified to the species level and included representatives of the Aspergillaceae, Dipodascaceae, and Nectriaceae (Figure 1C). The most common fungal family was the Nectriacea, which was represented by 13 isolates (72%) within the *Fusarium (Fusarium solani, F. oxysporum, F. lunatum)* and *Paracremonium (P. variforme)* genera. The next most common families included the Aspergillaceae with three isolates (*Penicillium chrysogenum*) and the Diplodascaceae with two isolates (*Galactomycetes geotrichum, Geotrichum candidum*). A complete listing of all fungal isolate discriptions and sequences is presented in Supplemental Table 2. The composition of the microbial sample defined here likely reflected the long-term cultivation of *O. ficus-indica* and the practice of tilling the cladode and fruit trimmings back into the soil in order to dispose of this material on site

and return nutrients to the soil. While the soil sample represents a rich source of cladode-degrading microbes, this practice might also represent a risk for the introduction and spread of bacterial and fungal diseases particularly in regions with ample rainfall. However, such spread was deemed a low risk due to the relatively dry conditions in Salina, CA, which received an average annual rainfall of only 326 mm per year (Arguez et al., 2010).

# 3.2. Phylogenetic analyses

Maximum likelihood (ML) phylogenetic relationship trees were generated using nucleotide sequences of the 16S rRNA sequences derived from each of the eubacterial isolates or the LSU 28S rRNA and EF-1 $\alpha$ sequences derived from each of the fungal isolates. The eubacterial phylogenetic tree was rooted with the 16S rRNA sequence from Escherichia coli (Figure 2). The fungal phylogenetic trees were rooted with the LSU 28S rRNA sequence from Saccharomyces cerevisiae (Figure 3A) or with an EF-1 $\alpha$  sequence from Dictyostelium discoideum (Figure 3B) as appropriate to the target barcode gene. The resulting eubacterial tree revealed several major well resolved clades including those for Enterobacter spp., Pectobacterium cacticida, Corynebacterium spp., and Bacillis spp. (Figure 2). For the vast majority of isolates, the species identifiers were consistent with their placement along the relationship tree. However, there were a few exceptions including Micrococcus sp., Proteus sp., and Citrobacter sp.. The resulting fungal trees revealed clades structures that were entirely consistent with the species designations (e.g., Fusarium spp. and Penicillium chrysogenum) (Figure 3).

#### 3.3. Digestion assays

All eubacterial species from the soil consortium showed some obvious degradation and solubilization of the *O. ficus-indica* cladodes transverse sections upon visual inspection (Figure 4A). In order to quantify the extent to which isolates were effective in digesting the



**Figure 7.** Evaluation of enzymatic activities in cactus pear cladode transverse sections culture supernatants. Cellulase (A, B) and pectinase (C, D) activities present in culture supernatants are shown for selected eubacterial (A, C) and fungal (B, D) axenic isolates after five days of digestion of *O. ficus-indica* transverse sections. The red bar represents the M9 or Vogel's minimal media (negative control), the green bar represents the soil microbial consortium in M9 or Vogel's minimal media (positive control), the blue bars represent selected eubacterial or fungal axenic isolates exhibiting enzymatic activity. Values represent means  $\pm$  standard error of the mean (SEM) (n = 3) and were tested using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's multiple comparison test (alpha = 0.05).

cladode into soluble material, a filtration-based assay was developed to separate insoluble from soluble fractions of the digested cladode. This assay was selected instead of the more traditional Brix measurements as the cladodes contained, in addition to glucose, large amounts of galacturonic acid, arabinose, galactose, xylose, mannose, and rhamnose due to their high pectin contents (Ginestra et al., 2009; Goycoolea and Cárdenas, 2003). The insoluble and soluble fractions were then dried and the ratio of soluble mass in the supernatant fraction was determined gravimetrically. Incubation of the cladode transverse sections in M9 minimal media alone served as a negative control and resulted in a mean ratio of 0.747 (Figure 5). In contrast, the soil consortium in M9 minimal media served as a positive control and resulted in a mean ratio of 0.828 (Figure 5). Six axenic isolates showed significantly higher digestions than the M9 minimal media control including B211 (0.817), B230 (0.820), B232 (0.825), B243 (0.828), B214 (0.832), and B231 (0.834) as determined using a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison test at the 95% confidence interval.

All of the top digesting isolates belonged to either the *Enterobacter* genus (e.g., B230, B231, B232) or *Pectobacterium cacticida* (e.g., B211, B214, B243). The primary species of interest was *P. cacticida* (previously named *Erwinia cacticida*) (Motyka et al., 2017), a Gram-negative

facultative anaerobic, infectious plant pathogen that causes soft-rot in a broad plant host range that includes *O. ficus-indica* and *Agave* spp. (Alcorn et al., 1991; Jiménez-Hidalgo et al., 2004; Valenzuela-Soto et al., 2015; Varvaro et al., 1993). *P. cacticida* (*E. cacticida*) is known to cause significant disease damage in intensive cultivation settings of *O. ficus-indica* (Varvaro et al., 1993). Furthermore, *P. cacticida* and related species are known to secrete pectinases and other cell wall degrading enzymes during the progression of disease to degrade plant cell walls (Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, 2016; Motyka et al., 2017). *Enterobacter* spp. are also Gram-negative, facultative anaerobic plant pathogens that cause soft-rot in a broad range of plant species including cacti (e.g., *Hylocereus* spp.) (Masyahit et al., 2009).

As top-digesting eubacterial species, both *P. cacticida* and *Enterobacter* spp. represent a useful starting point for optimization of digestion and deserve further study for their ability to efficiently digest *O. ficus-indica* biomass or as sources of digestive enzymes (e.g., pectinases, polygalacuronidases, methylesterases, acetylesterases, feruloyl esterases, and xylanase) for ethanol or methane production. *P. cacticida* and *Enterobacter* utilize different enzymes to degrade biomass and may be combined to create a new, more effective mixed consortium. Isolated strains could also be subjected to selection on pectin growth media to improve their digestion efficiency.

In contrast to the eubacterial isolates, none of the fungal strains, nor microbial consortium grown on Vogel's minimal media to favor fungal growth, degraded the *O. ficus-indica* cladodes significantly better than minimal media (Figure 6). Incubation of the cladode transverse sections in Vogel's minimal media alone served as a negative control and resulted in a mean ratio of 0.801 (Figure 6). In contrast, the soil consortium in Vogel's minimal media served as a positive control and resulted in a mean ratio of 0.815 (Figure 6). The top four fungal strains, F201, F207, F213, and F215 had soluble ratios ranging from 0.826 to 0.85, which were not statistically significant from either the Vogel's minimal media or the Vogel's consortium as determined using a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison test at the 95% confidence interval.

All of the top digesting isolates were either *Fusarium solani* (e.g., F201, F207, F213) or *Penicillium chrysogenum* (e.g., F215). *Fusarium solani* is a soil-borne plant pathogen that causes *Fusarium* wilting disease and typically attacks plant roots (Okungbowa and Shittu, 2012). *Fusarium* spp. have been documented to infect *O. ficus-indica* (e.g., *F. solani*, *F. oxysporum* f. sp. *opuntiarum*) (Ammar et al., 2004; de Souza et al., 2010). *P. chrysogenum* is commonly found on decaying vegetable matter and typically causes spoilage of pectinaceous fruits and is a well-known industrial source of Penicillin (van den Berg et al., 2007). However, *P. chrysogenum* is also a rich source of pectinase enzymes (Banu et al., 2010). The five-day digestion period might have limited the degradation and subsequent release of soluble sugars by these fungal isolates. Given the relatively slower digestion by the fungal species and low concentrations of released soluble material, these fungal isolates should be reevaluated using longer digestion times in future degradation trials.

# 3.4. Enzyme assays

In order to reinforce our working hypothesis that the microbial consortia and isolated strains excreted enzymes involved in cladode digestion, we assayed directly the culture supernatants from eubacterial and fungal isolates that showed the highest digestion activities for both cellulase and pectinase using a modified 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) reagent (Miller, 1959) and 0.5% w/v carboxymethylcellulose or 0.5% w/v citrus pectin as substrates (Deshavath et al., 2020; Vatanparast et al., 2012). Whereas only trace amounts of cellulase activity were detected in the culture supernatants of either the five-day-old, eubacterial or fungal consortia or individual axenic strains (Figure 7A, B), detectable pectinase activities were clearly present (Figure 7C, D). Compared with the undigested control samples, the eubacterial consortium or axenic isolates showed a 0.2-3.7-fold increase in pectinase activity relative to the control media supernatants. Similarly, the fungal consortium or axenic isolates showed a 1.84-5-fold increase in pectinase activity relative to the control media supernatants. While these detectable enzyme activities were not significant different as determined using a one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with Dunnett's multiple comparison test at the 95% confidence interval, the microbial cultures showed clearly detectable pectinase activities. Given the relatively slow rates of digestion, these activity differences should be reevaluated using longer digestion times in future enzymatic assays for cellulase and pectinase activities.

## 4. Conclusions

Previous studies have shown that biological pretreatment of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes using non-specific microbial consortiums can improve methane yields from *O. ficus-indica* biomass, but targeted degradation of cladodes with defined microbial consortia or individual axenic strains has not been previously investigated. This study showed that pretreatment of *O. ficus-indica* cladodes with soil microbes improves the released soluble content from *O. ficus-indica* cladodes. Furthermore, the culture supernatants of the eubacterial and fungal consortia and isolated axenic stains contained measurable pectinase activity. Strains of *Pectobacterium cacticida* and *Enterobacter sp.* were identified as the most effective isolates

to degrade the cladodes into soluble components and are expected to provide a useful resource for future biological digestion trials of *O. ficus-indica* biomass.

# Declarations

#### Author contribution statement

Brittany B. Blair; John C. Cushman: Conceived and designed the experiments; Performed the experiments; Analyzed and interpreted the data; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

Won Cheol Yim: Analyzed and interpreted the data; Contributed reagents, materials, analysis tools or data; Wrote the paper.

# Funding statement

This work was in part supported by the Nevada Agricultural Experimental Station (NEV470 0377, NEV-0380), and the National Institute of Food and Agriculture (NIFA), U.S. Department of Agriculture, Sustainable Bioenergy and Bioproducts Challenge Area (Award #2018-68005-27924). Brittany Blair was supported by the National Science Foundation Experimental Program to Stimulate Research (EPSCoR), Solar Energy, Water, and Environment Nexus in Nevada (Award #IIA-1301726) undergraduate scholarship program.

#### Data availability statement

Data included in article/supplementary material/referenced in article.

#### Declaration of interests statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# Additional information

**Supplemental Data** Isolate number and genus/species identifiers and associated primer sequences are listed in Supplemental Tables 1 and 2.

Supplementary content related to this article has been published online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07854.

# Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Dr. Peter Felker (D'Arrigo Bros.) for allowing us to collect the microbial consortium described in this report. The authors would also like to thank Lisa Petrusa for her critical reading of the manuscript.

#### References

- Alcorn, S.M., Orum, T.V., Steigerwalt, A.G., Foster, J.L.M., Fogleman, J.C., Brenner, D.J., 1991. Taxonomy and pathogenicity of *Erwinia cacticida* sp. Int. J. System. Bacteriol. 41, 197–212.
- Alencar, B.R., Dutra, E.D., Sampaio, E.V., Menezes, R.S., Morais, J.M.A., 2018. Enzymatic hydrolysis of cactus pear varieties with high solids loading for bioethanol production. Bioresour. Technol. 250, 273–280.
- Ammar, M.I., Shltout, A.M., Kamhawy, M.A., 2004. Cladode and fruit rots of prickly pear (Opuntia ficus-indica I. Mill.) in Egypt. Egypt. J. Phytopathol. 2, 119–128.
- Arguez, A., Durre, I., Applequist, S., Squires, M., Vose, R., Yin, X., Bilotta, R., 2010. NOAA's U.S. Climate Normals (1981-2010). NOAA National Centers for Environmental Information. Vol. 2021.
- Asemaninejad, A., Weerasuriya, N., Gloor, G., Lindo, Z., Thorn, R., 2016. New primers for discovering fungal diversity using nuclear large ribosomal DNA. PloS One 11, e0159043.
- Banu, A.R., Devi, M.K., Gnanaprabhal, G.R., Pradeep, B.V., Palaniswamy, M., 2010. Production and characterization of pectinase enzyme from *Penicillium chrysogenum*. Indian J. Sci. Technol. 3, 377–381.

Becker, J., Boles, E., 2003. A modified Saccharomyces cerevisiae strain that consumes Larabinose and produces ethanol. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 69, 4144–4150.

Borland, A.M., Griffiths, H., Hartwell, J., Smith, J.A.C., 2009. Exploiting the potential of plants with crassulacean acid metabolism for bioenergy production on marginal lands. J. Exp. Bot. 60, 2879–2896.

Calabrò, P.S., Catalán, E., Folino, A., Sánchez, A., Komilis, D., 2018. Effect of three pretreatment techniques on the chemical composition and on the methane yields of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (prickly pear) biomass. Waste Manag. Res. 36, 17–29.

Chetti, M.B., Nobel, P.S., 1988. Recovery of photosynthetic reactions after hightemperature treatments of a heat-tolerant cactus. Photosynth. Res. 18, 277–286.

Consoli, S., Inglese, G., Inglese, P., 2013. Determination of evapotranspiration and annual biomass productivity of a cactus pear [*Opuntia ficus-indica* L.(Mill.)] orchard in a semiarid environment. J. Irrigat. Drain. Eng. 139, 680–690.

Cushman, J.C., Davis, S.C., Yang, X., Borland, A.M., 2015. Development and use of bioenergy feedstocks for semi-arid and arid lands. J. Exp. Bot. 66, 4177–4193.

Davis, S.C., Simpson, J., Vega, G., Del Carmen, K., Niechayev, N.A., Tongerlo, E.V., Castano, N.H., Dever, L.V., Búrque, A., 2019. Undervalued potential of crassulacean acid metabolism for current and future agricultural production. J. Exp. Bot. 70, 6521–6537.

de Queiroz, M.G., da Silva, T.G., Zolnier, S., Servulo, M.S., Lima, L.R., Alves, J.D., 2015. Morphophysiological characteristic and yield of forage cactus under different irrigation depths/Caracteristicas morfofisiologicas e produtividade da palma forrageira em diferentes laminas de irrigacao. Rev. Bras. Eng. Agrícola Ambient. 19, 931–939.

de Souza, A.E., Nascimento, L.C., Araújo, E., Lopes, E.B., Souto, F.M., 2010. Occurrence and identification of the etiologic agents of plant diseases in cactus (*Opuntia ficusindica* Mill.) in the semi-arid region of Paraiba. Biotemas 23, 11–20.

de Souza Filho, P.F., Ribeiro, V.T., dos Santos, E.S., de Macedo, G.R., 2016. Simultaneous saccharification and fermentation of cactus pear biomass—evaluation of using different pretreatments. Ind. Crop. Prod. 89, 425–433.

Deshavath, N.N., Mukherjee, G., Goud, V.V., Veeranki, V.D., Sastri, C.V., 2020. Pitfalls in the 3, 5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS) assay for the reducing sugars: interference of furfural and 5-hydroxymethylfurfural. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 156, 180–185.

do Nascimento Santos, T., Dutra, E.D., do Prado, A.G., Leite, F.C., de Souza, R.D., dos Santos, D.C., de Abreu, C.A., Simões, D.A., de Morais, J.M.A., Menezes, R.S., 2016. Potential for biofuels from the biomass of prickly pear cladodes: challenges for bioethanol and biogas production in dry areas. Biomass Bioenergy 85, 215–222.

Dorn-In, S., Bassitta, R., Schwaiger, K., Bauer, J., Hölzel, C.S., 2015. Specific amplification of bacterial DNA by optimized so-called universal bacterial primers in samples rich of plant DNA. J. Microbiol. Methods 113, 50–56.

Dubeux, J.J.C.B., Ferreira dos Santos, M.V.F., de Andrade Lira, M., Coreiro dos Santos, D., Farias, I., Lima, L.E., Ferreira, R.L.C., 2006. Productivity of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller under different N and P fertilization and plant population in north-east Brazil. J. Arid Environ. 67, 357–372.

Dubrovsky, J.G., North, G.B., Nobel, P.S., 1998. Root growth, developmental changes in the apex, and hydraulic conductivity for *Opuntia ficus-indica* during drought. New Phytol. 138, 75–82.

Edgar, R.C., 2004. MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput. Nucleic Acids Res. 32, 1792–1797.

Ferris, M.J., Muyzer, G., Ward, D.M., 1996. Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis profiles of 16S rRNA-defined populations inhabiting a hot spring microbial mat community. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 62, 340–346.

Flores-Hernández, A., Orona-Castillo, I., Murillo-Amador, B., Garcia-Hernandez, J.L., Troyo-Dieguez, E., 2004. Yield and physiological traits of prickly pear cactus 'nopal' (*Opuntia* spp.) cultivars under drip irrigation. Agric. Water Manag. 70, 97–107.

Garcia de Cortázar, V., Nobel, P.S., 1992. Biomass and fruit production for the prickly pear cactus *Opuntia ficus-indica*. J. Am. Soc. Hortic. Sci. 117, 568–572.

Garcia de Cortázar, V., Nobel, P.S., 1991. Prediction and measurement of high annual productivity for *Opuntia ficus-indica*. Agric. Forest Meterol. 56, 261–272.

Ginestra, G., Parker, M., Bennett, R., Robertson, J., Mandalari, G., Narbad, A., Lo Curto, R., Bisignano, G., Faulds, C., Waldron, K., 2009. Anatomical, chemical, and biochemical characterization of cladodes from prickly pear [*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill.]. J. Agric. Food Chem. 57, 10323–10330.

Goycoolea, F., Cárdenas, A., 2003. Pectins from *Opuntia* spp.: a short review. J. Profess. Ass. Cact. Develop. 5, 17–29.

Grünwaldt, J.M., Guevara, J.C., Grünwaldt, E.G., Carretero, E.M., 2015. Cacti (*Opuntia* spp.) as forage in Argentina dry lands. Rev. Fac. Cienc. Agrar. 47, 263–282.

Hugouvieux-Cotte-Pattat, N., 2016. Metabolism and virulence strategies in Dickeya–host interactions. In: San Francisco, M., B (Eds.), Progress in Molecular Biology and Translational Science, 142. Academic Press, San Francisco, pp. 93–129.

Jiménez-Hidalgo, I., Virgen-Calleros, G., Martinez-de La Vega, O., Vandemark, G., Olalde-Portugal, V., 2004. Identification and characterisation of bacteria causing soft-rot in *Agave tequilana*. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 110, 317–331.

Krümpel, J., George, T., Gasston, B., Francis, G., Lemmer, A., 2020. Suitability of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L) Mill. and *Euphorbia tirucalli* L. as energy crops for anaerobic digestion. J. Arid Environ. 174, 104047.

Kuloyo, O.O., du Preez, J.C., del Prado García-Aparicio, M., Kilian, S.G., Steyn, L., Görgens, J., 2014. *Opuntia ficus-indica* cladodes as feedstock for ethanol production by *Kluyveromyces marxianus* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 30, 3173–3183.

Letunic, I., Bork, P., 2019. Interactive Tree of Life (iTOL) v4: recent updates and new developments. Nucleic Acids Res. 47, W256–259.

Lima, G.F., Rego, M., Teles, M., Dantas, F.D., Lobo, R.N., Silva, J.G., Aguiar, E.M., 2016. Morphological characteristics and forage productivity of irrigated cactus pear under different cutting intensities. Revista Caatinga 29, 481–488. Lueangwattanapong, K., Ammam, F., Mason, P.M., Whitehead, C., McQueen-Mason, S.J., Gomez, L.D., Smith, J., Thompson, I.P., 2020. Anaerobic digestion of Crassulacean Acid Metabolism plants: exploring alternative feedstocks for semi-arid lands. Bioresour. Technol. 297, 122262.

Mason, P.M., Glover, K., Smith, J.A.C., Willis, K.J., Woods, J., Thompson, I.P., 2015. The potential of CAM crops as a globally significant bioenergy resource: moving from 'fuel or food' to 'fuel and more food'. Energy Enivon. Sci. 8, 2320–2329.

Masyahit, M., Sijam, K., Awang, Y., Ghazali, M., 2009. First report on bacterial soft rot disease on dragon fruit (*Hylocereus* spp.) caused by *Enterobacter cloacae* in peninsular Malaysia. Int. J. Agric. Biol. 11, 659–666.

Matsushika, A., Inoue, H., Kodaki, T., Sawayama, S., 2009. Ethanol production from xylose in engineered *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* strains: current state and perspectives. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 84, 37–53.

Miller, G.L., 1959. Use of dinitrosalicylic acid reagent for determination of reducing sugar. Anal. Chem. 31, 426–428.

Motyka, A., Zoledowska, S., Sledz, W., Lojkowska, E., 2017. Molecular methods as tools to control plant diseases caused by *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* spp: a minireview. N. Biotech. 39, 181–189.

Myovela, H., Mshandete, A.M., Imathiu, S., 2019. Enhancement of anaerobic batch digestion of spineless cacti (*Opuntia ficus indica*) feedstock by aerobic pre-treatment. Afr. J. Biotechnol. 18, 12–22.

Neupane, D., Mayer, J.A., Niechayev, N.A., Bishop, C.D., Cushman, J.C., 2021. Five-year field trial of the biomass productivity and irrigation response of prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia* spp.) as a bioenergy feedstock for arid lands. Global Change Biol.: Bioenergy 13, 719–741.

Nguyen, L.T., Schmidt, H.A., Von Haeseler, A., Minh, B.Q., 2015. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. Mol. Biol. Evol. 32, 268–274.

Niechayev, N.A., Pereira, P.N., Cushman, J.C., 2019. Understanding trait diversity associated with crassulacean acid metabolism (CAM). Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 49, 74–85.

Nobel, P.S., 1991. Achievable productivities of certain CAM plants: basis for high values compared with C<sub>3</sub> and C<sub>4</sub> plants. New Phytol. 119, 183–205.

Nobel, P.S., 1996. High productivity of certain agronomic CAM species. In: Winter, K., Smith, J.A.C. (Eds.), Crassulacean Acid Metabolism: Biochemistry. Ecophysiology and Evolution. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp. 255–265.

Nobel, P.S., de la Barrera, E., 2003. Tolerances and acclimation to low and high temperatures for cladodes, fruit and roots of a widely cultivated cactus, *Opuntia ficusindica*. New Phytol. 157, 271–279.

Nobel, P.S., Garciamoya, E., Quero, E., 1992. High annual productivity of certain agaves and cacti under cultivation. Plant Cell Environ. 15, 329–335.

North, G.B., Nobel, P.S., 1997. Drought-induced changes in soil contact and hydraulic conductivity for roots of *Opuntia ficus-indica* with and without rhizosheaths. Plant Soil 191, 249–258.

Okungbowa, F., Shittu, H.O., 2012. Fusarium wilts: an overview. Environ. Res. J. 6, 83–102.

Peña-Valdivia, C.B., Trejo, C., Arroyo-Peña, V.B., Sanchez Urdaneta, A.B., Balois Morales, R., 2012. Diversity of unavailable polysaccharides and dietary fiber in domesticated nopalito and cactus pear fruit (*Opuntia* spp.). Chem. Biodivers. 9, 1599–1610.

Pérez-Cadena, R., Espinosa Solares, T., Medina-Moreno, S.A., Martínez, A., Lizardi-Jiménez, M.A., Téllez-Jurado, A., 2018. Production of ethanol by three yeasts in defined media and hydrolyzed cladodes of *Opuntia ficus-indica* var. Atlixco. Int. J. Agric, For. 8, 26–34.

Ramírez-Arpide, F.R., Demirer, G.N., Gallegos-Vázquez, C., Hernández-Eugenio, G., Santoy-Cortés, V.H., Espinosa-Solares, T., 2018. Life cycle assessment of biogas production through anaerobic co-digestion of nopal cladodes and dairy cow manure. J. Clean. Prod. 172, 2313–2322.

Ramos-Suárez, J.L., Martínez, A., Carreras, N., 2014. Optimization of the digestion process of *Scenedesmus* sp. and *Opuntia maxima* for biogas production. Energy Convers. Manag. 88, 1263–1270.

Reis, C.M., Gazarini, L.C., Fonseca, T.F., Ribeiro, M.M., 2016. Above-ground biomass estimation of *Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill. for forage crop in a Mediterranean environment by using non-destructive methods. Exp. Agric. 54, 227–242.

Retamal, N., Duran, J.M., Fernandez, J., 1987. Ethanol production by fermentation of fruits and cladodes of prickly pear cactus (*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Miller). J. Sci. Food Agric. 40, 213–218.

Sánchez, J., Sánchez, F., Curt, M.D., Fernández, J., 2012. Assessment of the bioethanol potential of prickly pear (*Opuntia ficus-indica* (L.) Mill.) biomass obtained from regular crops in the province of Almeria (SE Spain). Isr. J. Plant Sci. 60, 301–318.

Stamatakis, A., 2015. Using RAxML to infer phylogenies. Curr. Protoc. Bioinform. 51, 6–14.

Stielow, J.B., Levesque, C.A., Seifert, K.A., Meyer, W., Iriny, L., Smits, D., Renfurm, R., Verkley, G.J., Groenewald, M., Chaduli, D., Lomascolo, A., 2015. One fungus, which genes? Development and assessment of universal primers for potential secondary fungal DNA barcodes. Persoonia: Mol. Phylog. Evolut. Fungi 35, 242–263.

Talavera, G., Castresana, J., 2007. Improvement of phylogenies after removing divergent and ambiguously aligned blocks from protein sequence alignments. Syst. Biol. 56, 564–577.

Valenti, F., Porto, S.M.C., Selvaggi, R., Pecorino, B., 2018. Evaluation of biomethane potential from by-products and agricultural residues co-digestion in southern Italy. J. Environ. Manag. 223, 834–840.

Valenzuela-Soto, J.H., Maldonado-Bonilla, L.D., Hernández-Guzmán, G., Rincón-Enríquez, G., Martínez-Gallardo, N.A., Ramírez-Chávez, E., Hernández, I.C., Hernández-Flores, J.L., Délano-Frier, J.P., 2015. Infection by a coronatine-producing

#### B.B. Blair et al.

strain of *Pectobacterium cacticidum* isolated from sunflower plants in Mexico is characterized by soft rot and chlorosis. J. Gen. Plant Pathol. 81, 368–381. van den Berg, M.A., Westerlaken, I., Leeflang, C., Kerkman, R., Bovenberg, R.A., 2007. Functional characterization of the penicillin biosynthetic gene cluster of *Penicillium chrysogenum* Wisconsin 54:1255. Fungal Genet. Biol. 44, 830–844.

- Varvaro, L., Granata, G., Balestra, G.M., 1993. Severe *envinia*-caused damage on *Opuntia ficus*-indica in Italy. J. Phytopathol. 138, 325–330.
- Vatanparast, M., Hosseininaveh, V., Ghadamyari, M., Sajjadian, S.M., 2012. Pectinase and cellulase activity in the digestive system of the elm leaf beetle, *Xanthogaleruca luteola* Muller (Coleoptera: chrysomelidae). J. Asia Pac. Entomol. 15, 555–561.
- Yang, L., Carl, S., Lu, M., Mayer, J.A., Cushman, J.C., Tian, E., Lin, H., 2015. Biomass characterization of *Agave* and *Opuntia* as potential biofuel feedstocks. Biomass Bioenergy 76, 43–53.