

# Association of early menopause with indoor air pollution: A multilevel modelling analysis of the nationally representative cross-sectional study in India

## Pritam Halder<sup>1</sup>, Anamika Soni<sup>2</sup>, Ashwani Seth<sup>3</sup>, Dheenadahayalan Vijayakumar<sup>1</sup>, Anamika Das<sup>4</sup>, Sujata Sankhyan<sup>5</sup>, Anshul Mamgai<sup>1</sup>, Saumyarup Pal<sup>6</sup>, Jaya Tiwari<sup>1</sup>, Aparna Baranwal<sup>7</sup>, Chaitra CM<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, India, <sup>2</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Gandhi Medical College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India, <sup>3</sup>Department of Community Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raebareli, Uttar Pradesh, India, <sup>4</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Employees State Insurance Corporation Hospital and Postgraduate Institute of Medical Sciences and Research Basaidarpur, New Delhi, India, <sup>5</sup>Department of Paediatrics, Indira Gandhi Medical College and Hospital, Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India, <sup>6</sup>Department of Geriatrics, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India, <sup>7</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Raebareli, Uttar Pradesh, India

#### ABSTRACT

**Background:** Early onset of menopause poses a risk for various health issues in women. This study aimed to primarily examine the link between early menopause and indoor air pollution (IAP) and demonstrate this association within the Indian population, considering their place of residence. **Methods:** This longitudinal study included 24,862 eligible participants out of 73,000 surveyed. Logistic regression analyses, both crude and adjusted odds ratios (aOR), were used to examine the association between early menopause and various sociodemographic factors, IAP, and place of residence (rural/urban). **Results:** The study identified a significant correlation between early menopause and body mass index (BMI), educational status, marital status, occupation, physical activity, self-rated health, and smoking status. Women using unclean fuels did not show increased odds of early menopause (aOR: 1.00, 95% confidence interval [CI]: 0.93-1.08). Poor ventilation was linked to a slightly higher incidence (28.1% vs. 26.9%, aOR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.99-1.15). Exposure to pollution-generating sources was significantly associated with early menopause (28.8%, aOR: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.02-1.18), especially in urban areas (aOR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.01-1.36) but not rural (aOR: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.99-1.17). Indoor smoking was linked to higher odds (aOR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.02-1.17), particularly in rural areas (aOR: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.01-1.18). Overall, IAP was significantly associated with early menopause (aOR: 1.07, 95% CI: 1.01-1.15). **Conclusion:** The findings reveal that IAP, from sources such as smoke and pollutants, significantly increases the risk of early menopause among Indian women. Urban women are more affected by pollution, whereas indoor smoking impacts both urban and rural women. Enhancing indoor air quality could reduce early menopause and improve women's health in India.

Keywords: Early menopause, indoor air pollution, LASI, menopause, modelling

Address for correspondence: Dr. Pritam Halder, Department of Community Medicine and School of Public Health, Postgraduate Institute of Medical Education and Research, Chandigarh, Sector 12, pin - 160 012, India. E-mail: rynedann@gmail.com

**Received:** 15-06-2024 **Accepted:** 19-08-2024

Quick Response Code:

Access this article online le: Website: http://journals.lww.com/JFMPC

Revised: 11-08-2024

Published: 13-01-2025

10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1046\_24

### Introduction

Menopause is a significant transition in a woman's life, marking her menstrual cycles and reproductive capacity cessation. When

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow\_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

How to cite this article: Halder P, Soni A, Seth A, Vijayakumar D, Das A, Sankhyan S, *et al.* Association of early menopause with indoor air pollution: a multilevel modelling analysis of the nationally representative cross-sectional study in India. J Family Med Prim Care 2025;14:173-83.

menopause occurs before the age of 40 years, it is considered premature, whereas menopause between the ages of 40 and 44 years is termed early. This is noteworthy because the average age range for menopause typically falls between 45 and 50 years old.<sup>[1]</sup> Premature menopause is not just a reproductive health issue but also carries substantial implications for overall well-being.

Menopause signifies the natural cessation of fertility in women, marked by the cessation of ovulation and a decrease in the production of vital hormones, notably estrogen. This hormonal decline triggers a variety of physical and psychological changes, one of which includes irregular menstrual cycles. Women experiencing premature menopause face increased risks of health problems such as osteoporosis and cardiovascular disease.<sup>[2,3]</sup> Additionally, menopause brings with it a slew of symptoms such as hot flashes, mood swings, and cognitive changes, all of which can significantly impact a woman's quality of life and daily functioning.<sup>[1]</sup>

Various factors, including lifestyle choices and environmental exposures, can influence the onset of menopause at an earlier age. For instance, habits such as smoking and excessive alcohol consumption have been associated with earlier menopause onset.<sup>[4]</sup> Furthermore, environmental factors such as exposure to air pollutants can play a role. Household air pollution, often stemming from the burning of biomass sources such as wood, animal dung, and crop waste, has been linked to disruptions in hormonal balance, potentially contributing to premature menopause.<sup>[5]</sup> Additionally, certain chemicals present in indoor air pollution (IAP), such as perfluorochemicals, have been implicated in triggering premature menopause.[6-10] as well as certain consumer products such as air fresheners containing phthalates can contribute to early menopause. Even passive smoking has been found to impact the timing of menopause.[11,12]

It is important to highlight that the burden of IAP disproportionately affects women and children, particularly those living in low-income countries. The lack of access to clean cooking technologies in these regions exacerbates their vulnerability to the harmful effects of indoor air pollutants.

Although existing research sheds some light on the potential link between IAP and early menopause,<sup>[13]</sup> there is a need for more extensive and detailed studies. These studies should aim to elucidate precisely how indoor air pollutants influence the timing of menopause, taking into account various factors such as exposure levels, biological mechanisms, and socioeconomic factors. Such research is essential for developing effective interventions to mitigate the adverse health effects of IAP on women's reproductive health and overall well-being.

#### Objective

- I. To show the association of early menopause with IAP among the Indian population aged >45 years.
- II. To show the association of early menopause with IAP among the Indian population as per residence.

#### Methods-(weighted value)

LASI-1<sup>st</sup> wave is a longitudinal survey with a national representation that intends to collect detailed information on the psychological, social, economic, and health aspects of ageing in India from all the states and union territories. It was developed to fill the information vacuum regarding thorough and internationally comparable survey data on India's ageing population. The funding agencies were the National Institute on Ageing, the Government of India's Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, and the United Nations Population Fund. The University of Southern California, the International Institute for Population Sciences, and the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health were the contributors. Over 73,000 adult Indians were surveyed. Out of them, 248,62 participants were included in the present study [Figure 1].

The study, which is the biggest of its kind in the world and the first of its kind in India, evaluated the scientific evidence in the context of variables such as demographics, household economic status, chronic health conditions, symptom-based health conditions, functional health, mental health (cognition and depression), biomarkers, healthcare utilisation, family and social networks, social welfare programmes, employment, retirement, satisfaction, and life expectations. The survey intends to follow a representative sample of the older adult population every 2 years for the following 25 years, with a revised sample size to account for attrition due to death, migration, non-reachable, and non-responses.<sup>[14]</sup>

#### Ethics

The Indian Council of Medical Research's (ICMR) Central Ethics Committee on Human Research (CECHR) gave its ethical



Figure 1: Flowchart showing participants' selection process in this study

clearance for the LASI survey' execution as per the Helsinki Declaration.<sup>[14]</sup>

#### **Outcome variable**

The outcome variable of choice was early menopause (natural age of menopause <45 years).

#### **Explanatory variables**

#### Indoor air pollution

Participants exposed to IAP was the explanatory variable of choice. IAP includes contamination of the air from physical, chemical, and biological sources. A distinct component of IAP was surveyed as part of the LASI study. Six questions from the LASI survey were used to calculate IAP. There were two questions concerning the fuel utilised for cooking and other purposes: (i) "What is your main source of cooking fuel?" and (ii) "What are those other sources of fuel used for other purposes (such as boiling water for bathing, lighting, etc.)?" (Responses: liquefied petroleum gas [LPG], biogas, kerosene, electric, charcoal/lignite/coal, crop residue, wood/shrub, dung cake, do not cook at home, other, please specify). "Fuel type" was generated considering LPG, biogas, and electric methods as clean fuels and the rest as unclean or solid fuels. "Pollutiongenerating source" was generated from the type of oven used: (iii) "In this household, is food mostly cooked on a mechanical stove, on a traditional Chullah or over an open fire?" (Responses: mechanical stove/improved cook stove, traditional chullah, open fire, other, please specify). Traditional Chullah and the opened fire were taken as the higher pollution-generating source. Next two questions were about the place of cooking and ventilation: (iv) "Is the cooking usually done in the house, in a separate building, or outdoors?" (Responses: in the house, in a separate building, outdoors, other, please specify), (v) "Is the cooking mainly done under a traditional chimney, exhaust fan, electric chimney or near window/door?" (Responses: traditional chimney, electric chimney, exhaust fan, near window/door, none). No ventilation with in-house cooking was considered vulnerable ventilation. The next question was on "household indoor smoking," (vi) "Does any usual member of your household smoke inside the home?"(Responses: yes, no). Thus, all six factors were used to generate "IAP": exposed (participants using unclean/solid fuel for cooking and others by utilizing traditional chullah or open fire and inhouse cooking without any ventilation system along with the presence of indoor smoking) and non-exposed participants. Thus "fuel type," "pollution-generating source," "vulnerable ventilation," "household indoor smoking" and "IAP" were considered as explanatory variables.

#### Covariates

Minimum education (illiterate, less than primary. primary completed, middle completed, secondary school, higher secondary, and diploma/graduate), residence (rural, urban), marital status (unmarried, married/in live-in, widow/separated/ divorced), mpce (monthly per capita expenditure-poorest, poorer, middle, richer, richest) quintile, health insurance (no, yes), occupation (unemployed, professional and semi-professional-"legislators and senior officials, professionals, technicians and associate professionals," clerical and skilled-"clerks, service workers and shopkeepers, skilled agriculture and fishery workers, craft and related trade worker, plant and machine operator," unskilled), physical activity (every day, once per week, 1-3 times per week, once per month, never), self-rated health (excellent, very good, good, fair, poor,), tobacco abuse (no, yes), alcohol consumption (no, yes) and body mass index (BMI) categories, multimorbidity were taken as other explanatory variables. BMI was calculated from documented weight and height (BMI = weight [kg]/height [meter]<sup>2</sup>). Participants were categorised as BMI <18.5 (underweight), 18.5-22.9 (normal), 23.0-24.9 (overweight), 25.0-29.9 (pre-obese) and obese (>30.0). Chronic morbidities included hypertension, diabetes, cancer, chronic lung diseases (e.g. chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, asthma, chronic bronchitis, other chronic lung problems), chronic heart disease (e.g. congestive heart failure, myocardial infarction, heart attack, other chronic heart diseases), stroke, musculoskeletal disorder (MSD e.g. rheumatism, arthritis, osteoporosis, other chronic joint or bone disorders), dyslipidaemia (high cholesterol), thyroid disorders, chronic renal failure, visual impairment and hearing impairment. The interviewer asked related questions about chronic health conditions/morbidities with dichotomous answers (no/yes)-"Has any health professional ever diagnosed you with the following chronic conditions or diseases?" Participants having at least two chronic health conditions were described as multimorbidity.

#### Statistical analysis

Data were analysed in Stata version 17 (StataCorp. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 17. College Station, TX: StataCorp LP.). Characteristics of participants were described as mean (standard deviation) for continuous variables frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. The age of menopause was calculated by subtracting the year of last menstruation (ht236 year) from the birth year (dm004\_year). Outliers were removed by the Stata command "bacon." Individual sample weights were considered during the analysis Univariate logistic regression was conducted between the outcome variable and each explanatory variable. To avoid multicollinearity among explanatory variables VIF (variance inflation factor) was applied. VIF >5 indicates a high correlation between a given explanatory variable and other explanatory variables in the model, which might create problems with the regression analysis. Self-related health and marital status had VIF >5 [Supplementary Table 1]. Hence, all explanatory variables except these two were included in the final association. P values < 0.05 were considered as statistically significant. P value < 0.2 was taken for further multivariable logistic regression.

#### **Results and Discussion**

This study explored the relationship between IAP and the age of menopause in Indian women, specifically focusing on those who experience early menopause (before the age of 45 years). The findings reveal significant associations between various socio-demographic, health, and environmental factors and the incidence of early menopause. The study included 24,862 participants, and among them, 6,872 (27.6%) showed early menopause (<45 years). In contrast, previous research found early menopause in 3.4% of US women and 7.2% of Korean women.<sup>[15]</sup> The average age of participants was around 58.5 years, with those experiencing early menopause averaging 58.3 years. The mean age at natural menopause for the entire cohort was 46.8 years, whereas it was significantly lower at 40.4 years for those with early menopause.

The average BMI for the cohort was 23.2 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, with women experiencing early menopause having a slightly lower average BMI of 22.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>. Women classified as underweight had a higher incidence of early menopause (29.3%) compared to other BMI categories, indicating a potential link between lower BMI and earlier menopause. Supporting studies include Kundu et al. (2024),<sup>[16]</sup> showing higher early menopause rates among underweight women (20.4%), Yeo et al.<sup>[17]</sup> (2023), linking low (<18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) and high  $(\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2)$  BMIs to early and late menopause, respectively; Szegda et al. (2017)<sup>[18]</sup> reporting a 30% higher odds of early menopause for women with BMI <18.5 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and 21-30% lower odds for BMI 25.0-29.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>; Ahuja et al.<sup>[19]</sup> (2016) associating lower BMI with earlier menopause); and Dorjgochoo et al. (2008)[20] reported a slightly later menopause onset for women with a BMI  $\geq$ 21.4. Conversely, Zhao et al. (2018)<sup>[21]</sup> found no correlation between BMI and menopause occurrence.

The study shows a significant portion of the participants were illiterate (65.4%), with similar rates of early menopause (27.6%). However, women with higher secondary education or diplomas/graduate degrees showed different trends, with those holding diplomas/graduates experiencing the lowest rate of early menopause (20.3%). Previous studies support these findings: Kundu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[16]</sup> demonstrated that women with higher education levels have lower chances of premature menopause. Ahuja *et al.* (2016)<sup>[19]</sup> found that more educated women have a significantly higher menopausal age. Gold *et al.* (2013)<sup>[22]</sup> showed that college graduates tend to have a later age of menopause; and Mikkelsen *et al.* (2007)<sup>[23]</sup> reported that a high educational level is negatively associated with early menopause.

Rural and urban residents showed nearly similar rates of early menopause (26.4% and 30.7%, respectively), suggesting that urbanization alone may not be a strong determinant of early menopause without considering other factors. However, Kundu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[16]</sup> found that rural women experienced menopause earlier (2.6%) compared to urban women, and Ohn Mar and Mona (2020)<sup>[24]</sup> observed that in Asian regions, rural women reached menopause earlier than urban women, unlike trends observed in non-Asian regions, indicating regional variations in the rural-urban menopause age difference.

Unmarried women exhibited the highest incidence of early menopause (63.7%), followed by widowed, separated, or divorced

women (30.7%). Married or live-in women had the lowest incidence (25.4%). Similar trends were observed in previous studies: Saraç *et al.* (2011)<sup>[25]</sup> found divorced women had a higher risk of early menopause (OR: 1.79), and Mikkelsen *et al.* (2007)<sup>[23]</sup> showed widowed women had nearly double the odds of early menopause compared to married women (OR: 1.89). However, Kundu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[16]</sup> found that widowed, divorced, or separated women had a much higher risk of premature menopause compared to nevermarried women (HR: 2.671, P < 0.01) [Table 1].

The present study found no strong independent association between economic status and early menopause, with rates being consistent across all quintiles. However, previous studies showed different results: Kundu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[16]</sup> found that the richest women had a 36.8% lower chance of premature menopause than the poorest women (HR: 0.632, P < 0.001), and Ahuja *et al.*<sup>[19]</sup> (2016) reported that women from upper socioeconomic statuses had a higher menopausal age (46.1 ± 5.2 years) compared to those from poorer backgrounds (48.1 ± 4.2 years).

Access to health insurance did not significantly impact the incidence of early menopause, as similar rates were observed regardless of insurance status. Previous studies have consistently shown no significant correlation between early menopause and the availability of health insurance.

According to our study, women in professional or semiprofessional roles had a lower incidence of early menopause (17.6%), whereas unemployed women and those in clerical or skilled roles had higher rates. Similar trends were noted in previous research. Gold *et al.* (2013)<sup>[22]</sup> found that participants who were employed during follow-up had a later age of menopause (HR = 0.87, 95% CI: 0.77–0.98). However, contrasting results were observed in other studies. Kundu *et al.* (2024)<sup>[16]</sup> reported a 13.6% higher probability of premature menopause among employed women compared to unemployed women (HR: 1.136; P < 0.001), Saraç *et al.* (2011)<sup>[25]</sup> identified employment status (OR: 1.94) as a significant risk factor for early menopause.

Studies have revealed that regular physical activity is linked to varying rates of early menopause. Women exercising daily experienced a higher incidence (30.6%), whereas those engaging in less frequent activity had lower rates. Gold *et al.* (2013)<sup>[22]</sup> found that increased physical activity during follow-up was associated with an earlier age of menopause (HR =1.07, 95% CI: 1.02–1.12). Conversely, Dorjgochoo *et al.* (2008)<sup>[20]</sup> discovered that women engaging in moderate to high levels of exercise during both adolescence and adulthood were more likely to experience later menopause and an extended reproductive span (P < 0.01). In contrast, Zhao *et al.* (2018)<sup>[21]</sup> found no significant association between adulthood physical activity and early menopause (95% CI: 0.76–1.04; P = 0.26).

Women rating their health as excellent had a higher incidence of early menopause (32.6%), suggesting a complex interplay between self-perception and actual health outcomes. A literature

Halder, et al.:	Association	of early	menopause	with indoo	r air i	pollution

VariableTotal Participants ar-24822 (V2)Dataly (<55) (V2)	Table 1: Various characteristics of the Indian female population aged >45 years as per early menopause						
Age (cansar)         98.5 (0.0)         98.3 (9.7)         -           Age (a manuf mecopase (cans)*         46.8 (3.2)         0.40 (3.5.3)         -           RMP         23.2 (4.9)         22.9 (4.9)         -           EMI caregor*         -         -         -           Undersoight (51.8.5)         55.0 (2.1.1)         15.56 (2.3.1)         -         -           Normal (18.5.2.9.0)         520 (2.1.1)         9.0 (26.3)         -         -           Obsco (2.50)         521 (21.0)         1.328 (25.4)         -         -           Obsco (2.50)         521 (21.0)         1.328 (25.4)         -         -           Electrich (minim)         -         -         -         -         -           Electrich (minim)         1.201 (5.3)         318 (27.3)         -         -         -           Easthan primary completed         2.457 (0.5)         36.60 (25.3)         -	Variable	Total Participants n=24862 n (%)	Early (<45 years) Menopause n=6872 (27.6%) n (%)	Р			
Age at nutral menopanse (yenn)"46.8 (5.2)40.4 (5.5)BMTCas2 (4.9)2.22 (4.9)BMT encogravh"Underweight (<18.5)	Age (years) <sup>a</sup>	58.5 (10.2)	58.3 (9.7)	-			
BMP         2.5.2 (4.9)         2.2.9 (4.9)         -           Undersogin (         5550 (21.1)         1.5.56 (22.3)         <0.001	Age at natural menopause (years) <sup>a</sup>	46.8 (5.2)	40.4 (3.5)	-			
BMI category <sup>1</sup>	$BMI^a$	23.2 (4.9)	22.9 (4.9)	-			
Indersight (<18.5)	BMI category <sup>b</sup>						
Normal (18.5-22.9)         609 (53.0)         2,46 (27.2)           Probesc (25.29.9)         5221 (21.0)         1,328 (25.4)           Probesc (25.29.9)         5221 (21.0)         1,328 (25.4)           Ederation" (minimum)         1         1           Illineate         1.62.64 (65.4)         4.441 (27.6)         <0.001	Underweight (<18.5)	5250 (21.1)	1,536 (29.3)	< 0.001			
Overweight (23 24.9)         494 (4.1)         921 (24.0)           Probese (25 290)         221 (21.0)         133 (25.4)           Obese (25.90)         2190 (88)         723 (32.9)           Education" (minimum)         -         -           Illierante         16.264 (65.4)         4.481 (27.6)         <0.001	Normal (18.5-22.9)	8698 (35.0)	2,365 (27.2)				
Produce (25 29.9)         521 (21.0)         1,328 (25.4)           Education* (minimum)         72 (3.29)           Education* (minimum)         72 (3.29)           Education* (minimum)         660 (28.5)           Primary complexed         2,457 (9.8)         666 (28.2)           Primary complexed         2,457 (9.8)         666 (28.2)           Nodell complexed         1,201 (4.8)         374 (31.2)           Diplomary Graduate         623 (2.5)         126 (20.3)           Reidence*         8         1201 (4.8)         374 (31.2)           Reidence*         8         1353 (70.5)         4662 (26.4)         0.172           Urbarn (Graduate         623 (2.5)         2250 (30.7)         6001           Marial stras*         10         1753 (70.5)         4662 (26.4)         0.172           Urbarn (Graduate         274 (1.1)         175 (65.7)         <0.001	Overweight (23-24.9)	3494 (14.1)	920 (26.3)				
Obsec (>30)         219 (88)         72 (22)           Illicente         16244 (654)         4,481 (27.6)         <0.001	Preobese (25-29.9)	5221 (21.0)	1,328 (25.4)				
Education* (minimum)         Education*         4,481 (27.6)         <0.001.	Obese (>30)	2199 (8.8)	723 (32.9)				
Illiennic         16,264 (05.4)         4,481 (27.0)         <0001           Les thun primary         2,312 (9.3)         660 (28.5)            Middle completed         1,367 (8.5)         318 (23.3)            Secondary stohol         1,201 (4.8)         337 (31.2)            Higher secondary         659 (27.7)         226 (3.4)            Diploma/ Graduate         623 (2.5)         126 (20.3)            Residence <sup>1</sup> Rural         17534 (70.5)         4622 (26.4)             Marina terms <sup>2</sup> Urbana         7338 (29.5)         2220 (30.7)              Marina terms <sup>2</sup> </td <td>Education<sup>b</sup> (minimum)</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Education <sup>b</sup> (minimum)						
Lass than primary 0         2.312 (9.5)         660 (0.85)           Primary completed         2.347 (9.8)         666 (28.2)           Middle complexed         1.307 (5.5)         318 (23.3)           Secondary school         1.201 (4.8)         374 (13.2)           Diplomary Conduture         623 (2.5)         126 (0.9.3)           Residence?             Reard         17534 (70.5)         4622 (26.4)         0.172           Urbanar Conductive         7328 (29.5)         22250 (30.7)         <0.001	Illiterate	16,264 (65.4)	4,481 (27.6)	< 0.001			
Primary completed         2,437 (98)         646 (28.2)           Middle completed         1,367 (5.5)         318 (23.3)           Secondary school         1,201 (4.8)         374 (31.2)           Higher secondary         659 (2.7)         226 (34.4)           Fighera / Graduate         659 (2.7)         226 (30.7)           Residence <sup>1</sup> 0.172           Brid         17534 (70.5)         4262 (25.4)         0.172           Urban         7328 (29.5)         22250 (30.7)         0.001           Marial stards <sup>0</sup> 0.0172         0.001           Unmarried         774 (1.1)         175 (63.7)         <0.001	Less than primary	2,312 (9.3)	660 (28.5)				
Middle completed         1,367 (5.5)         318 (2.3.3)           Secondary school         1,201 (4.8)         374 (31.2)           Higher scondary         6.59 (2.7)         2.26 (34.4)           Diploma/Graduate         6.59 (2.7)         2.62 (34.4)           Runal         1754 (70.5)         4.62 (2.6.4)         0.172           Urban         7354 (70.5)         4.62 (2.6.4)         0.172           Urban         7354 (70.5)         4.023 (2.6.4)         0.172           Urban         735 (3.5)         2.250 (0.7)         <0.001	Primary completed	2,437 (9.8)	686 (28.2)				
Secondary school         1,201 (4.8)         374 (31.2)           Higher secondary         6.59 (2.7)         2.65 (34.4)           Diplom (Craduate         6.23 (2.5)         126 (20.3)           Residence <sup>4</sup> 77544 (70.5)         2.2250 (30.7)         0.172           Urban         7328 (29.5)         2.2250 (30.7)         0.001           Marial strus <sup>4</sup> 1         0.175 (5.5)         4.022 (26.4)         0.012           Urban         7328 (29.5)         2.2250 (30.7)         0.001           Marial strus <sup>6</sup> 1         0.001         Marial strus <sup>6</sup> 0.001           Urban rice <sup>1</sup> 15873 (5.3)         40423 (25.4)         0.001           Micker strust <sup>1</sup> 5,463 (22.0)         4.005 (26.7)         0.162           Viedor s/separato/divorced         5,753 (21.6)         3,701 (27.0)         1.62           Norest         5,753 (21.6)         3,701 (27.0)         1.62           Richert         4,853 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         1.62           No         24644 (99.1)         6,822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)         0.003           Professional and semi professional         177 (0.7)         31 (7.6)	Middle completed	1,367 (5.5)	318 (23.3)				
Higher scondary         659 (2.7)         226 (34.4)           Diplomal/Graduate         652 (2.5)         126 (20.4)           Rival         17534 (70.5)         4262 (26.4)         0.72           Winal         17534 (70.5)         4262 (26.4)         0.72           Marial staus <sup>0</sup> 1         175 (63.7)         <0.001	Secondary school	1,201 (4.8)	374 (31.2)				
Diploma/Graduate         623 (2.5)         126 (20.3)           Residence <sup>4</sup>	Higher secondary	659 (2.7)	226 (34.4)				
Residence <sup>4</sup> Constraints           Rural         17534 (70.5)         4622 (26.4)         0.172           Urban         7328 (29.5)         22250 (30.7)            Married //in live-in         15873 (63.8)         4402 (25.4)            Married //in live-in         15873 (63.8)         4402 (25.4)            Mode //separated /divorced         8715 (35.1)         2674 (30.7)            MPCE quintle <sup>6</sup> -         -         -           Poorest         5,463 (22.0)         4,005 (26.7)         0.162           Poorest         5,475 (21.6)         3,771 (26.2)         -           Middle         5,033 (20.5)         3,495 (29.6)         -           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,495 (29.6)         -           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,495 (29.6)         -           Richerst         4,101 (16.5)         2890 (9.3)         -           Health insumme <sup>4</sup> -         -         -           No         24644 (99.1)         682 (27.7)         0.625           Occupation <sup>4</sup> -         -         -           Unemployed         1655 (66.6)         4906 (28.4)         0.003	Diploma/Graduate	623 (2.5)	126 (20.3)				
Rural         17534 (70.5)         4262 (26.4)         0.172           Utham         7328 (20.5)         22250 (20.7)           Marrial strus <sup>b</sup> Ummarrided         274 (1.1)         175 (36.3)         <0.001	Residence <sup>b</sup>						
Urban         7328 (29.5)         22250 (30.7)           Marikal status <sup>k</sup>	Rural	17534 (70.5)	4622 (26.4)	0.172			
Marital status <sup>b</sup> 274 (1.1)         175 (6.57)         <0.001	Urban	7328 (29.5)	22250 (30.7)				
Image         274 (1.1)         175 (63.7)         <0.001           Married/in live-in         15873 (63.8)         4023 (25.4)            MCR         8715 (35.1)         2674 (30.7)            MPCE quintile*           0.001           POorest         5,475 (21.6)         3,971 (26.2)            Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,709 (27.0)            Richest         4,101 (16.5)         2,299 (29.3)            Health insurance*           0.622 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)          0.003           Orcestandor*           0.003          0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)          0.003           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003             Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4596 (28.4)         0.003             Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4596 (28.4)         0.003             Unemployed         16564 (55.2)         333 (24.4)         0.050	Marital status <sup>b</sup>						
Married/in live-in         15873 (63.8)         4023 (25.4)           Widow/separate/divorced         8715 (55.1)         2674 (50.7)           MPCE quintile*         -         -           Poorest         5,463 (22.0)         4,005 (26.7)         0.162           Poorer         5,375 (21.6)         3,370 (26.2)         -           Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,700 (27.0)         -           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         -           Kichest         4,101 (16.5)         2,899 (29.3)         -           Health insurance*         -         -         -           No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)         -           Occupation*         -         -         -           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         -           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         -           Physical activity <sup>a</sup> -         -         -           Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050 <td< td=""><td>Unmarried</td><td>274 (1.1)</td><td>175 (63.7)</td><td>&lt; 0.001</td></td<>	Unmarried	274 (1.1)	175 (63.7)	< 0.001			
Widow/separated/divorced         8715 (35.1)         2674 (30.7)           MPCE quintile*             Poorest         5,463 (22.0)         4,005 (26.7)         0.162           Poorest         5,375 (21.6)         3,971 (26.2)         Middle           Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,700 (27.0)         Richest         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)           Richest         4,101 (16.5)         2,899 (29.3)          0.625           Health insurance*           0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)          0.003           Occupation*           0.003         Professional and semi-professional         17.7 (0.7)         31 (17.6)          0.003           Professional and semi-professional         17.7 (0.7)         3108 (27.0)           0.003           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)            0.003            Physical activity <sup>h</sup> 3.03 (24.0)          0.050           0.050          0.050            0.041 (0.5,	Married/in live-in	15873 (63.8)	4023 (25.4)				
MPCE quintle*         Dorest         5,465 (22.0)         4,005 (26.7)         0.162           Poorer         5,375 (21.6)         3,971 (26.2)         Middle         5,085 (20.5)         3,709 (27.0)           Nicher         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         Middle         Kicher         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)           Nicher         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         Middle         Kicher         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)           No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625         Yes         2.18 (0.9)         So (23.0)           Occupation <sup>b</sup> U         Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4606 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         U         U           Chrical and skilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         U         More than once/week         757 (3.1)         1308 (27.0)         U           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         333 (24.4)         Onco         0.050           More than once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         154 (20.3)         154 (20.3)         154 (20.4)         0.0150           Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent         835 (3.4)	Widow/separated/divorced	8715 (35.1)	2674 (30.7)				
Intersection         5,463 (22.0)         4,005 (26.7)         0.162           Poorer         5,575 (21.6)         3,971 (26.2)         1           Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,709 (27.0)         1           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         1           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         1           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)         1           No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)         0           Occupation <sup>b</sup> U         10         117.6)         1           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         1           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         1           Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4096 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (0.7)         0.005           More than once/week         7,57 (3.1)         1,54 (20.3) <t< td=""><td>MPCE quintile<sup>b</sup></td><td>0.100 (0011)</td><td>)</td><td></td></t<>	MPCE quintile <sup>b</sup>	0.100 (0011)	)				
Boner         5,375 (21.6)         3,971 (26.2)           Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,709 (27.0)           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)           Richest         4,101 (16.5)         2,899 (29.3)           Health insurance <sup>b</sup>	Poorest	5 463 (22 0)	4 005 (26 7)	0.162			
Nixid         Target (Sp)         Target (Sp)           Middle         5,083 (20.5)         3,405 (29.6)           Richer         4,839 (19.5)         2,899 (29.3)           Health insurance <sup>b</sup> No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)            Occupation <sup>b</sup> 0003            Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)            Clerical and skilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)            Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 1,354 (5.5)         333 (24.4)            Liveryday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050            More than once/week         1,354 (5.5)         333 (24.4)             Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)             Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	Poorer	5 375 (21.6)	3 971 (26 2)	01102			
Initial         5,655 (26.6)           Richer         4,859 (19.5)         3,405 (29.6)           Richerst         4,101 (16.5)         2,899 (29.3)           Health insurance <sup>b</sup> No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)            Occupation <sup>b</sup> 0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)            Clerical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)            Unemployed activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,573 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         1,364 (5.5)         333 (24.4)            Once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)            1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)            Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         <<0.001	Middle	5 083 (20 5)	3 709 (27 0)				
Richest         4,101 (16.5)         2,809 (20.3)           Health insurance <sup>b</sup>	Bicher	4 839 (19 5)	3 405 (29.6)				
Initial         1,101 (100)         1000 (2010)           No         24644 (99.1)         6822 (27.7)         0.625           Yes         218 (0.9)         50 (23.0)         0           Occupation <sup>b</sup> Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         0           Cherical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         0           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         0           Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         0         0           1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)         0         0           Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         0         0           Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	Richest	4 101 (16 5)	2 800 (22.3)				
No24644 (99.1) $6822 (27.7)$ $0.625$ Yes218 (0.9)50 (23.0)Occupation <sup>b</sup> Unemployed16552 (66.0)4696 (28.4) $0.003$ Professional and semi-professional177 (0.7)31 (17.6)Clerical and skilled4852 (19.5)1308 (27.0)Unskilled3281 (13.2)837 (25.5)Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday4,491 (18.1)1,373 (30.6)0.050More than once/week1,564 (5.5)333 (24.4)Once/week757 (3.1)154 (20.3)1-3 times/month1,405 (5.7)311 (22.1)Never16,845 (67.8)4,702 (27.9)Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent835 (3.4)272 (32.6)<0.001	Health insurance <sup>b</sup>	1,101 (10.3)	2,000 (20.0)				
No         Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         50 (23.0)           Occupation <sup>b</sup> Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         002         002           Clerical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         003         0050           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         0050         0050           Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         1,564 (5.5)         333 (24.4)         0050           Once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)           Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         581         595           Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Escellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	No	24644 (99.1)	(822 (27 7)	0.625			
Tes         10 (b.7)         30 (2.8)           Occupation <sup>b</sup> Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         0           Clerical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         0           Unskilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         0           Unskilled         3837 (25.5)         Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 7           Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         1,364 (5.5)         333 (24.4)         0.001           Once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         1.3           1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)         Never           Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	Ves	218 (0.9)	50 (23.0)	0.025			
Unemployed         16552 (66.6)         4696 (28.4)         0.003           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         0           Clerical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         0           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         9           Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 1,373 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         1,364 (5.5)         333 (24.4)         0           Once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         1           1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)         1           Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         \$           Sclf-ratch health <sup>b</sup> 2         \$           Excellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	Occupation <sup>b</sup>	210 (0.9)	30 (23.0)				
Charlphylet         1032 (003)         403 (204)         0000 (204)           Professional and semi-professional         177 (0.7)         31 (17.6)         0000 (204)           Clerical and skilled         4852 (19.5)         1308 (27.0)         0000 (204)           Unskilled         3281 (13.2)         837 (25.5)         9000 (204)           Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday         4,491 (18.1)         1,373 (30.6)         0.050           More than once/week         757 (3.1)         154 (20.3)         0000         0000           1-3 times/month         1,405 (5.7)         311 (22.1)         0000         0000         0000           Never         16,845 (67.8)         4,702 (27.9)         Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> 0000         0000	Unemployed	16552 (66 6)	4696 (28.4)	0.003			
1 (100)       1 (100)         Clerical and skilled       4852 (19.5)       1308 (27.0)         Unskilled       3281 (13.2)       837 (25.5)         Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 1       1,373 (30.6)       0.050         More than once/week       1,364 (5.5)       333 (24.4)       0nce/week       757 (3.1)       154 (20.3)         1-3 times/month       1,405 (5.7)       311 (22.1)       Never       16,845 (67.8)       4,702 (27.9)         Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent       835 (3.4)       272 (32.6)       <0.001	Professional and semi-professional	10332 (00.0)	31 (17.6)	0.005			
Clickal and shifted     3281 (13.2)     837 (25.5)       Physical activity <sup>b</sup> Everyday     4,491 (18.1)     1,373 (30.6)     0.050       More than once/week     1,364 (5.5)     333 (24.4)       Once/week     757 (3.1)     154 (20.3)       1-3 times/month     1,405 (5.7)     311 (22.1)       Never     16,845 (67.8)     4,702 (27.9)       Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent     835 (3.4)     272 (32.6)       Good     9550 (38.4)     2544 (26.6)       Fair     7653 (30.8)     2165 (28.3)       Poor     2662 (10.7)     725 (27.2)       Tobacco usage <sup>b</sup> No     19906 (80.1)       No     19906 (80.1)     5461 (27.4)     0.047       Yes     4956 (19.9)     1411 (28.5)       Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> No     24130 (97.5)     6726 (27.8)     0.070       Yes     632 (2.5)     146 (23.2)     146 (23.2)	Clerical and skilled	4852 (10 5)	1308 (27.0)				
Oriented     3211 (13.2)     607 (22.3)       Physical activity <sup>b</sup> 1,373 (30.6)     0.050       More than once/week     1,364 (5.5)     333 (24.4)       Once/week     757 (3.1)     154 (20.3)       1-3 times/month     1,405 (5.7)     311 (22.1)       Never     16,845 (67.8)     4,702 (27.9)       Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> 272 (32.6)     <0.001	Unskilled	3281 (13.2)	837 (25.5)				
Firster activity       4,491 (18.1)       1,373 (30.6)       0.050         More than once/week       1,364 (5.5)       333 (24.4)         Once/week       757 (3.1)       154 (20.3)         1-3 times/month       1,405 (5.7)       311 (22.1)         Never       16,845 (67.8)       4,702 (27.9)         Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent       835 (3.4)       272 (32.6)       <0.001	Dhysical activity <sup>b</sup>	5201 (15.2)	037 (23.3)				
More than once/week     1,364 (5.5)     333 (24.4)       Once/week     757 (3.1)     154 (20.3)       1-3 times/month     1,405 (5.7)     311 (22.1)       Never     16,845 (67.8)     4,702 (27.9)       Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> Excellent     835 (3.4)     272 (32.6)     <0.001	Everyday	4 491 (18 1)	1 373 (30.6)	0.050			
Note that once/week     757 (3.1)     154 (20.3)       1-3 times/month     1,405 (5.7)     311 (22.1)       Never     16,845 (67.8)     4,702 (27.9)       Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> 272 (32.6)     <0.001	More than once/week	1 364 (5 5)	333 (24.4)	0.050			
1-3 times/month     1,37 (3.1)     134 (20.3)       1-3 times/month     1,405 (5.7)     311 (22.1)       Never     16,845 (67.8)     4,702 (27.9)       Self-rated healthb     272 (32.6)     <0.001	Opce/week	757 (3.1)	154 (20.3)				
Notices inform       1,403 (2.1)       3.11 (22.1)         Never       16,845 (67.8)       4,702 (27.9)         Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> 272 (32.6)       <0.001	1 3 times/month	1 405 (5 7)	311 (22.1)				
Never     10,045 (01.0)     4,702 (21.5)       Self-rated health <sup>b</sup> 272 (32.6)     <0.001	Never	16 845 (67 8)	4 702 (27.9)				
Excellent         835 (3.4)         272 (32.6)         <0.001	Self rated health <sup>b</sup>	10,045 (07.0)	ч,/02 (27.9)				
Inscribin       6.55 (5.4)       2.72 (52.6)       <0.001	Sen-rated health	935 (3.4)	272(22.6)	<0.001			
Very good       4102 (10.7)       1106 (28.0)         Good       9550 (38.4)       2544 (26.6)         Fair       7653 (30.8)       2165 (28.3)         Poor       2662 (10.7)       725 (27.2)         Tobacco usage <sup>b</sup> Very good       4956 (19.9)         No       19906 (80.1)       5461 (27.4)       0.047         Yes       4956 (19.9)       1411 (28.5)       0.070         Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> Ves       6726 (27.8)       0.070         Yes       632 (2.5)       146 (23.2)       146 (23.2)	Very good	655 (5. <del>4</del> ) 4162 (16 7)	2/2(32.0)	<0.001			
Good     9550 (36.4)     2544 (20.6)       Fair     7653 (30.8)     2165 (28.3)       Poor     2662 (10.7)     725 (27.2)       Tobacco usage <sup>b</sup> V     0.047       No     19906 (80.1)     5461 (27.4)     0.047       Yes     4956 (19.9)     1411 (28.5)       Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> Ves     6726 (27.8)     0.070       Yes     632 (2.5)     146 (23.2)	Cand	4102(10.7)	2544 (26.6)				
Pair     7653 (30.8)     2105 (28.3)       Poor     2662 (10.7)     725 (27.2)       Tobacco usage <sup>b</sup>	Good	7(52 (20.8)	2344 (20.0)				
Foor         2002 (10.7)         725 (27.2)           Tobacco usage <sup>b</sup> 7           No         19906 (80.1)         5461 (27.4)         0.047           Yes         4956 (19.9)         1411 (28.5)         1411 (28.5)           Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> 7         7         6726 (27.8)         0.070           Yes         632 (2.5)         146 (23.2)         146 (23.2)	Fall Door	2662 (10.7)	2105 (20.3)				
No     19906 (80.1)     5461 (27.4)     0.047       Yes     4956 (19.9)     1411 (28.5)       Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> 7     7       No     24130 (97.5)     6726 (27.8)     0.070       Yes     632 (2.5)     146 (23.2)	Poor Teheses week	2002 (10.7)	(2) (2)				
No         19906 (80.1)         5461 (27.4)         0.04/           Yes         4956 (19.9)         1411 (28.5)           Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> 7         6726 (27.8)         0.070           Yes         632 (2.5)         146 (23.2)         146 (23.2)	TODACCO Usage"	10007 (00.4)		0.047			
res         4956 (19.9)         1411 (28.5)           Alcohol consumption <sup>b</sup> 24130 (97.5)         6726 (27.8)         0.070           Yes         632 (2.5)         146 (23.2)	INO X	19906 (80.1)	5461 (27.4)	0.04/			
No         24130 (97.5)         6726 (27.8)         0.070           Yes         632 (2.5)         146 (23.2)	Yes	4956 (19.9)	1411 (28.5)				
No         24130 (9/.5)         6726 (27.8)         0.070           Yes         632 (2.5)         146 (23.2)	Alcohol consumption <sup>o</sup>	24426 (27.5)		0.070			
Yes 632 (2.5) 146 (23.2)	No	24130 (97.5)	6/26 (27.8)	0.070			
TERMAN (N. D. TELEVI)	Yes	632 (2.5)	146 (23.2)				

review revealed that no previous studies have shown a significant correlation between early menopause and women's self-rated health.

The present study showed that tobacco users had a slightly higher incidence of early menopause (28.5%). This aligns with several previous studies. Kundu et al. (2024)[16] identified smoking as a significant predictor of premature menopause, with smokers having a 20.8% higher risk (HR: 1.208, P < 0.01) compared to non-smokers. Yeo et al. (2023)[17] also found that current smokers had an increased risk of premature menopause (odds ratio =3.99, 95% CI: 1.35-11.81). Whitcomb et al. (2018)[26] reported an elevated risk for women with 11-15 pack-years (HR = 1.29, 95%CI: 1.07, 1.55), 16–20 pack-years (HR = 1.42, 95% CI: 1.13–1.79), or more than 20 pack-years (HR = 1.54, 95% CI: 1.23–1.93) of smoking. Yang et al. (2015)[27] found that smokers experienced menopause 0.75 years earlier than non-smokers (P < 0.001). Sun et al. (2012)<sup>[28]</sup> showed smoking was significantly associated with earlier menopause in both dichotomous (OR = 0.67, 95% CI: 0.61-0.73, P < 0.01) and continuous studies (WMD = -0.90,95% CI: -1.58 to -0.21, P = 0.01). Similar findings were reported by Haytabakhsh et al. (2012),<sup>[29]</sup> Saraç et al. (2011),<sup>[25]</sup> Dorigochoo et al. (2008),<sup>[20]</sup> Chang et al. (2007),<sup>[30]</sup> Mikkelsen et al.. (2007),<sup>[23]</sup> Prospero et al. (2004),<sup>[31]</sup> and Everson RB et al. (1986).<sup>[32]</sup> However, Zhao et al. (2018)<sup>[21]</sup> found no association between smoking and early menopause.

The present study found no significant correlation between alcohol consumption and earlier age of menopause (P = 0.070). Similar findings were reported by Yeo *et al.* (2023).<sup>[17]</sup> However, other studies have shown contrasting results. Freeman *et al.* (2021)<sup>[33]</sup> found that women with moderate alcohol intake had a lower risk of early menopause, with those consuming 10.0–14.9 g/day having a hazard ratio of 0.81 (95% CI: 0.68–0.97). Taneri *et al.*<sup>[34]</sup> (2016) observed that low and moderate alcohol consumption (more than one drink per week: RR = 0.60, 95% CI: 0.49–0.75; three or fewer drinks per week: RR = 0.75, 95% CI: 0.60–0.94) was associated with later menopause onset compared to non-drinkers. Gold *et al.* (2013)<sup>[22]</sup> also found a significant association between higher alcohol consumption and a reduced risk of early menopause (HR =0.90, 95% CI: 0.83–0.98).

The present study showed that women exposed to unclean or solid fuels had a higher incidence of early menopause (28.1%) compared to those using clean fuels (26.2%). Multivariable logistic regression models did not find a significant association between unclean/ solid fuel use and early menopause after adjusting for other factors. However, poorer ventilation was linked to higher odds of early menopause in Model 3. Across all models, a consistently significant association was observed between exposure to pollution-generating sources and higher odds of early menopause. Additionally, a significant association was found between household smoking and early menopause after full adjustment in Model 3.

Women using unclean/solid fuels did not show a significant increase in odds of early menopause (adjusted OR [aOR] in Model 3: 1.00, 95% CI: 0.93–1.08). No significant association was found in either rural (aOR in Model 3: 0.99, 95% CI: 0.92–1.07) or urban settings (aOR in Model 3: 1.02, 95% CI: 0.86–1.21). A literature review revealed that no previous studies have shown a significant correlation between IAP and the type of fuel used by women [Tables 2 and 3].

The present study found that poor ventilation was associated with a marginally higher incidence of early menopause (28.1%) compared to better-ventilated homes (26.9%). However, poor ventilation was linked to slightly higher, but not significant, odds of early menopause (aOR in Model 3: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.99–1.15). Similar non-significant trends were observed in both rural (aOR in Model 3: 1.05, 95% CI: 0.96–1.15) and urban settings (aOR in Model 3: 1.14, 95% CI: 0.97–1.34). A literature review revealed that no previous studies have examined the relationship between ventilation quality and age at menopause.

The present study found that exposure to pollution-generating sources was significantly associated with early menopause (28.8%). Women exposed to these sources had significantly higher odds of early menopause (aOR in Model 3: 1.10, 95% CI: 1.02–1.18). This association was significant in urban areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.01–1.36) but not in rural areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.08, 95% CI: 0.99–1.17). Similar findings were observed in previous studies. Cucinella *et al.* (2023)<sup>[35]</sup> reported that European menopausal women

Table 2: Distribution of Indian population as per indoor air pollution and early menopause according to residence							
Characteristics		Overall n=24862		Rural n=17534		Urban <i>n</i> =7328	
		Total %	Early Menopause %	Total %	Early Menopause %	Total %	Early Menopause %
Fuel type	Clean	59.5	26.2	46.3	26.1	90.9	30.0
	Unclean/soiled	40.5	28.1	53.7	25.9	9.1	30.8
Vulnerable	Lower	81.0	26.9	77.5	26.6	89.4	26.7
ventilation	Higher	19.0	28.1	22.5	26.7	10.6	31.3
Pollution	No	49.1	25.7	33.0	25.6	87.7	26.0
generating source	Yes	50.9	28.8	67.0	26.5	12.3	30.9
Household	No	76.7	26.5	74.1	26.3	82.7	29.4
Indoor Smoking	Yes	23.3	28.4	25.9	26.8	17.3	32.0
Indoor air	No	46.6	25.0	34.5	25.2	75.7	24.6
pollution	Yes	53.4	29.3	65.5	26.9	24.3	32.0

\*P<0.05=significant

living in greener neighbourhoods experienced menopause at a median age of 1.4 years later. Neff *et al.* (2022)<sup>[36]</sup> showed that exposure to environmental contaminants, such as PFAS, cigarette smoke, PCBs, phthalates, bisphenols, and pesticides, can cause premature menopause. Additionally, Kim *et al.* (2024)<sup>[37]</sup> found an association between particulate matter (PM) exposure and early menopause, with PM2.5 (aOR: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.23–1.32) and PM10 (aOR: 1.17, 95% CI: 1.15–1.20) being significant factors.

The present study found that women in households with indoor smoking had a higher incidence of early menopause (28.4%). Indoor smoking was significantly associated with higher odds of early menopause (aOR in Model 3: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.02–1.17).

# Table 3: Univariate and multivariable logistic regression of early menopause and indoor air pollution among the Indian population

Characteristics		Early menopause					
		Uni	variate	Multivariable			
		Crude odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-1	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-2	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-3		
		Ov	erall (>45 years) <sup>a</sup>				
Fuel type	Clean	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
	Unclean/soiled	0.97 (0.91-1.03)	1.00 (0.93-1.07)	1.00 (0.94-1.08)	1.00 (0.93-1.08)		
Vulnerable	Lower	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
ventilation	Higher	1.04 (0.96-1.13)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)		
Pollution	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
generating source	Yes	1.05 (0.99-1.11)	1.09 (1.02-1.17)*	1.09 (1.02-1.18)*	1.10 (1.02-1.18)*		
Household	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Indoor smoking	Yes	1.05 (0.98-1.13)	1.08 (1.1-1.16)*	1.08 (1.01-1.16)*	1.09 (1.02-1.17)*		
Indoor air	Unexposed	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
pollution	Exposed	1.02 (0.96-1.08)	1.07 (1.01-1.14)*	1.07 (1.01-1.14)*	1.07 (1.01-1.15)*		
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>		0.035	0.0073	0.0095	0.0096		
Model 1-Adjusted for educat	ion, residence, mpce quintile, he	alth insurance, and occupation. Mode	el 2- Model 1 + physical activity, BMI, an	d multimorbidity. Model 3- Model 2 + to	bacco and alcohol abuse.		

\*P<0.05=significant



Figure 2: Multivariable logistic regression of early menopause with indoor air pollution and various determinants among the Indian population (Model 3)

This association was significant in rural areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.09, 95% CI: 1.01–1.18) but not in urban areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.10, 95% CI: 0.97–1.26). Similar results were observed in a study by Ertunc *et al.* (2015),<sup>[12]</sup> which found that second-hand smoking was associated with a significantly lower age of menopause compared to the non-exposed group (47.0 ± 4.7 vs. 48.1 ± 5.2 years, P = 0.002) [Figure 2].

Exposure to IAP was significantly associated with early menopause (aOR in Model 3: 1.07, 95% CI: 1.01–1.15). This association was not significant in rural areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.06, 95% CI: 0.97–1.14) or urban areas (aOR in Model 3: 1.10, 95% CI: 0.97–1.23). According to a study by Everson *et al.* (1986),<sup>[32]</sup> the mean ages of menopause for non-smokers whose spouses also did not smoke and for non-smokers with smoking spouses were 51.9 and 49.8 years, respectively (OR: 1.9; CI 1.0–3.9). The study found an increased risk of early menopause associated with both active (OR 2.3; CI 1.1–4.9) and passive smoking (OR: 2.1; CI 1.0–4.5) [Table 4].

#### Conclusion

The findings suggest that IAP, particularly from pollutiongenerating sources and indoor smoking significantly increases the likelihood of early menopause among Indian women. Urban populations seem to be more affected by pollution-generating sources, whereas indoor smoking has a consistent impact across rural settings. These results underscore the importance of public health interventions aimed at improving indoor air quality, particularly in urban environments and households with indoor smoking practices. In conclusion, improving indoor air quality could be a crucial step in mitigating early menopause and enhancing the overall health and well-being of women in India.<sup>[38,39]</sup>

#### **Ethical clearance**

This is a analysis of secondary dataset of LASI-1<sup>st</sup> wave survey. Original LASI survey obtained it's ethical clearance from ICMR. So, our study does not require separate ethical clearance.

#### **Consent for publication**

Proper consent was taken for publication from the authority.

#### Acknowledgement

We want to convey our sincere gratitude towards the participants and the Indian Council of Medical Research.

#### Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Table 4: Univariate and multivariable logistic	regression of ear	ly menopause an	nd indoor air	pollution amon	g the Indian
1	population as per	r residence			

Characteristics		Early menopause					
		Un	ivariate	Multivariable			
		Crude odds ratio (95% confidence interval)	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-1	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-2	Adjusted odds ratio (95% confidence interval) Model-3		
			Rural				
Fuel type	Clean	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
	Unclean/soiled	0.96 (0.89-1.03)	0.97 (0.91-1.06)	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	0.99 (0.92-1.07)		
Vulnerable	Lower	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
ventilation	Higher	1.03 (0.94-1.13)	1.04 (0.95-1.14)	1.05 (0.96-1.15)	1.05 (0.96-1.15)		
Pollution-generating	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
source	Yes	1.03 (0.95-1.11)	1.07 (0.99-1.15)	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	1.08 (0.99-1.17)		
Household	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Indoor smoking	Yes	1.06 (0.98-1.15)	1.08 (0.99-1.17)	1.07 (0.99-1.16)	1.09 (1.01-1.18)*		
Indoor air pollution	Unexposed	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
-	Exposed	1.01 (0.94-1.09)	1.04 (0.97-1.13)	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	1.06 (0.97-1.14)		
Pseudo $R^2$	*	0.0033	0.0060	0.0085	0.0089		
-			Urban				
Fuel type	Clean	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
	Unclean/soiled	0.89 (0.76-1.04)	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	1.03 (0.87-1.22)	1.02 (0.86-1.21)		
Vulnerable	Lower	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
ventilation	Higher	1.06 (0.91-1.24)	1.15 (0.98-1.35)	1.14 (0.97-1.34)	1.14 (0.97-1.34)		
Pollution-generating	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
source	Yes	1.05 (0.91-1.20)*	1.17 (1.01-1.35)*	1.18 (1.02-1.36)*	1.17 (1.01-1.36)*		
Household	No	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
Indoor smoking	Yes	1.03 (0.90-1.16)	1.11 (0.97-1.26)	1.11 (0.98-1.26)	1.10 (0.97-1.26)		
Indoor air pollution	Unexposed	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference		
*	Exposed	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	1.11 (0.8-1.24)	1.11 (0.99-1.27)	1.10 (0.97-1.23)		
Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	-	0.0038	0.0136	0.0160	0.0161		

Model 1-Adjusted for education, mpce quintile, health insurance and occupation. Model 2- Model 1 + physical activity, BMI and multimorbidity. Model 3- Model 2 + tobacco and alcohol abuse. \*P<0.05=significant

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest.

#### References

- 1. Kundu S, Acharya SS. Linkage of premature and early menopause with psychosocial well-being: A moderated multiple mediation approach. BMC Psychol 2023;11:228.
- 2. Nash Z, Al-Wattar BH, Davies M. Bone and heart health in menopause. Best Pract Res Clin Obstet Gynaecol 2022;81:61-8.
- 3. Grindler NM, Allsworth JE, Macones GA, Kannan K, Roehl KA, Cooper AR. Persistent organic pollutants and early menopause in U.S. women. PLoS One 2015;10:e0116057.
- Meher T, Sahoo H. Premature menopause among women in India: Evidence from National Family Health Survey UIV. J Obstet Gynaecol Res 2021;47:4426-39.
- 5. Guo C, Yu T, Chen J, Yun CL, Lin C, Yu Z, *et al.* Associations between long-term exposure to multiple air pollutants and age at menopause: A longitudinal cohort study. Ann Epidemiol 2022;76:68–76.
- 6. Ding N, Harlow SD, Randolph JF, Mukherjee B, Batterman S, Gold EB, *et al.* Perfluoroalkyl substances and incident natural menopause in midlife women: The mediating role of sex hormones. Am J Epidemiol 2022;191:1212–23.
- 7. Schmidt CW. Age at menopause: Do chemical exposures play a role? Environ Health Perspect 2017;125:062001.
- 8. Taylor HO, Wang Y, Morrow-Howell N. Loneliness in senior housing communities. J Gerontol Soc Work 2018;61:623–39.
- Knox SS, Jackson T, Javins B, Frisbee SJ, Shankar A, Ducatman AM. Implications of early menopause in women exposed to perfluorocarbons. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2011;96:1747–53.
- 10. Harada KH, Koizumi A. Environmental and biological monitoring of persistent fluorinated compounds in Japan and their toxicities. Environ Health Prev Med 2009;14:7–19.
- 11. Hyland A, Piazza K, Hovey KM, Tindle HA, Manson JE, Messina C, *et al.* Associations between lifetime tobacco exposure with infertility and age at natural menopause: The Women's health initiative observational study. Tob Control 2016;25:706–14.
- 12. Ertunc D, Tok EC, Aytan H, Gozukara YM. Passive smoking is associated with lower age at menopause. Climacteric 2015;18:47–52.
- 13. Siegel EL, Ghassabian A, Hipwell AE, Factor-Litvak P, Zhu Y, Steinthal HG, *et al.* Indoor and outdoor air pollution and couple fecundability: A systematic review. Hum Reprod Update 2023;29:45-70.
- 14. International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), National Programme for Health Care of Elderly (NPHCE), MoHFW, Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health (HSPH) and the University of Southern California (USC) 2020. Longitudinal ageing study in India (LASI) Wave 1, 2017–18, India report. Mumbai: International Institute for Population Sciences; 2020. Available from: https://www.iipsindia.ac.in/ sites/default/files/LASI\_India\_Report\_2020\_compressed. pdf. Available fro: https://www.iipsindia.ac.in/lasi. [Last accessed on 2023 Oct 04].
- 15. Choe SA, Sung J. Trends of premature and early menopause: A comparative study of the US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey and the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. J Korean Med Sci 2020;35:e97.

- 16. Kundu S, Acharya SS. Exploring the triggers of premature and early menopause in India: a comprehensive analysis based on National Family Health Survey, 2019–2021. Sci Rep 2024;14:3040.
- 17. Yeo JH, Kim MT. Association of weight, smoking, and alcohol consumption with age at natural menopause. J Women Aging 2023;35:343–53.
- 18. Szegda KL, Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe AC, Boutot ME, Manson JE, Hankinson SE, *et al.* Adult adiposity and risk of early menopause. Hum Reprod 2017;32:2522–31.
- Ahuja M. Age of menopause and determinants of menopause age: A PAN India survey by IMS. J Midlife Health 2016;7:126-31.
- 20. Dorjgochoo T, Kallianpur A, Gao YT, Cai H, Yang G, Li H, *et al.* Dietary and lifestyle predictors of age at natural menopause and reproductive span in the Shanghai Women's Health Study. Menopause 2008;15:924–33.
- 21. Zhao M, Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe AC, Manson JE, Hankinson SE, Rosner BA, *et al.* Physical activity is not related to risk of early menopause in a large prospective study. Hum Reprod 2018;33:1960-7.
- 22. Gold EB, Crawford SL, Avis NE, Crandall CJ, Matthews KA, Waetjen LE, *et al.* Factors related to age at natural menopause: Longitudinal analyses from SWAN. Am J Epidemiol 2013;178:70–83.
- 23. Mikkelsen TF, Graff-Iversen S, Sundby J, Bjertness E. Early menopause, association with tobacco smoking, coffee consumption and other lifestyle factors: A cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health 2007;7:149.
- 24. Ohn Mar S, Mona R. Rural-urban difference in natural menopausal age and the correlating factors. Int J Womens Health Reprod Sci 2020;8:112–8.
- 25. Saraç F, Öztekin K, Çelebi G. Early menopause association with employment, smoking, divorced marital status and low leptin levels. Gynecol Endocrinol 2011;27:273–8.
- 26. Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe AC, Szegda KL, Boutot ME, Hankinson SE, Manson JE, *et al.* Cigarette smoking and risk of early natural menopause. Am J Epidemiol 2018;187:696–704.
- 27. Yang HJ, Suh PS, Kim SJ, Lee SY. Effects of smoking on menopausal age: Results from the Korea National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 2007 to 2012. J Prev Med Pub Health 2015;48:216–24.
- 28. Sun L, Tan L, Yang F, Luo Y, Li X, Deng HW, *et al.* Metaanalysis suggests that smoking is associated with an increased risk of early natural menopause. Menopause 2012;19:126–32.
- 29. Hayatbakhsh MR, Clavarino A, Williams GM, Sina M, Najman JM. Cigarette smoking and age of menopause: A large prospective study. Maturitas 2012;72:346–52.
- 30. Chang SH, Kim CS, Lee KS, Kim H, Yim SV, Lim YJ, *et al.* Premenopausal factors influencing premature ovarian failure and early menopause. Maturitas 2007;58:19–30.
- Prospero FD, Luzi S, Iacopini Z. Cigarette smoking damages women's reproductive life. Reprod Biomed Online 2004;8:246–7.
- 32. Everson RB, Sandler DP, Wilcox AJ, Schreinemachers D, Shore DL, Weinberg C. Effect of passive exposure to smoking on age at natural menopause. Br Med J (Clin Res Ed) 1986;293:792.
- 33. Freeman JR, Whitcomb BW, Purdue-Smithe AC, Manson JE, Langton CR, Hankinson SE, *et al.* Is alcohol consumption

associated with risk of early menopause? Am J Epidemiol 2021;190:2612-7.

- 34. Taneri PE, Kiefte-de Jong JC, Bramer WM, Daan NMP, Franco OH, Muka T. Association of alcohol consumption with the onset of natural menopause: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Hum Reprod Update 2016;22:516–28.
- 35. Cucinella L, Tiranini L, Nappi RE. Impact of climate and environmental change on the menopause. Maturitas 2023;178:107825.
- 36. Neff AM, Laws MJ, Warner GR, Flaws JA. The effects of environmental contaminant exposure on reproductive aging and the menopause transition. Curr Environ Health Rep 2022;9:53–79.
- 37. Kim JM, Min J, Lee J, Jeong K, Ha EH. Assessing air

pollution as a risk factor for early menopause in Korea. Research Square; 2024. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-3930338/v1. [Last accessed on 2024 Mar 09].

- 38. Halder P, Tiwari J, Rathor S, Pal S, Mitra S, Biswas R, et al. Association of Anemia With Poor Housing Quality Among Older Indian Adults: Multilevel Modelling Analysis of Nationally Representative Cross-Sectional Study in India; 2024.
- 39. Halder P, Verma M, Pal S, Mishra AK, Deori TJ, Biswas R, *et al.* Association of anaemia with indoor air pollution among older Indian adult population: multilevel modelling analysis of nationally representative cross-sectional study. BMC Geriatrics 2024;24:567.

### Supplementary

Supplementary Table 1: Distribution of participants as						
per variance inflation factor						
Variable	VIF	1/VIF				
Indoor air pollution	1.31	0.76				
Education						
Less than primary	1.08	0.93				
Primary completed	1.15	0.87				
Middle completed	1.13	0.88				
Secondary school	1.17	0.85				
Higher secondary	1.11	0.90				
Diploma/Graduate	1.25	0.80				
Residence	1.38	0.72				
MPCE quintile						
Poorer	1.63	0.62				
Middle	1.65	0.61				
Richer	1.67	0.60				
Richest	1.77	0.57				
Marital status						
Married/in live-in	24.03	0.04				
Widow/separated/divorced	24.18	0.04				
BMI CAT						
 Normal (18.5-22.9)	1.29	0.77				
Overweight (23-24.9)	1.25	0.80				
Preobese (25-29.9)	1.41	0.71				
Obese (>30)	1.30	0.77				
Health insurance	1.04	0.96				
Occupation						
Professional and	1.12	0.89				
semi-professional						
Clerical and skilled	1.25	0.80				
Unskilled	1.14	0.88				
Physical activity						
More than once/week	1.25	0.80				
Once/week	1.16	0.87				
1-3 times/month	1.23	0.81				
Never	1.80	0.55				
Multimorbidity	1.21	0.83				
Tobacco abuse	1.10	0.91				
Alcohol consumption	1.05	0.95				
Self-rated health						
Very good	5.33	0.19				
Good	8.17	0.12				
Fair	7.33	0.14				
Poor	3.82	0.26				
Mean VIF	3.26					