CrossMark dick for updates

Citation: Dong H, Zhao H, Xie W, Han Z, Li G, Yao W, et al. (2016) A Novel Tiller Angle Gene, *TAC3*, together with *TAC1* and *D2* Largely Determine the Natural Variation of Tiller Angle in Rice Cultivars. PLoS Genet 12(11): e1006412. doi:10.1371/ journal.pgen.1006412

Editor: Nathan M. Springer, University of Minnesota, UNITED STATES

Received: January 31, 2016

Accepted: October 7, 2016

Published: November 4, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Dong et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work was supported by National Key Special Programs for Breeding (2016YFD0100403) from the Ministry of Science and Technology http:// www.most.gov.cn/, the National Science Foundation of China (91335201) http://www.nsfc. gov.cn/ and Natural Science Foundation of Hubei province (2015CFA006) http://www.hbstd.gov.cn/. The funders had no role in study design, data **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

A Novel Tiller Angle Gene, *TAC3*, together with *TAC1* and *D2* Largely Determine the Natural Variation of Tiller Angle in Rice Cultivars

Haijiao Dong¹, Hu Zhao¹, Weibo Xie¹, Zhongmin Han¹, Guangwei Li¹, Wen Yao¹, Xufeng Bai¹, Yong Hu¹, Zilong Guo¹, Kai Lu¹, Lin Yang¹, Yongzhong Xing^{1,2}*

1 National Key Laboratory of Crop Genetic Improvement and National Center of Plant Gene Research (Wuhan), Huazhong Agricultural University, China, 2 Hubei Collaborative Innovation Center for Grain Industry, China

* yzxing@mail.hzau.edu.cn

Abstract

Tiller angle is one of the most important components of the ideal plant architecture that can greatly enhance rice grain yield. Understanding the genetic basis of tiller angle and mining favorable alleles will be helpful for breeding new plant-type varieties. Here, we performed genome-wide association studies (GWAS) to identify genes controlling tiller angle using 529 diverse accessions of Oryza sativa including 295 indica and 156 japonica accessions in two environments. We identified 7 common quantitative trait loci (QTLs), including the previously reported major gene Tiller Angle Control 1 (TAC1), in the two environments, 10 and 13 unique QTLs in Hainan and Wuhan, respectively. More QTLs were identified in indica than in japonica, and three major QTLs (qTA3, qTA1b/DWARF2 (D2) and qTA9c/ TAC1) were fixed in japonica but segregating in indica, which explained the wider variation observed in indica compared with that in japonica. No common QTLs were identified between the indica and japonica subpopulations. Mutant analysis for the candidate gene of gTA3 on chromosome 3 indicated a novel gene, Tiller Angle Control 3 (TAC3), encoding a conserved hypothetical protein controlling tiller angle. TAC3 is preferentially expressed in the tiller base. The ebisu dwarf (d2) mutant exhibited a decreased tiller angle, in addition to its previously described abnormal phenotype. A nucleotide diversity analysis revealed that TAC3, D2 and TAC1 have been subjected to selection during japonica domestication. A haplotype analysis identified favorable alleles of TAC3, D2 and TAC1, which may be used for breeding plants with an ideal architecture. In conclusion, there is a diverse genetic basis for tiller angle between the two subpopulations, and it is the novel gene TAC3 together with TAC1, D2, and other newly identified genes in this study that controls tiller angle in rice cultivars.

collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Author Summary

Tiller angle is the key component of plant architecture that greatly affect grain yield. However, few tiller angle-related genes that can be used for improving rice plant architecture have been isolated based on natural variation. Here, we identified 7 common tiller anglerelated QTLs by a genome-wide association study, including the previously reported major gene *TAC1*, in two environments in the 529 diverse rice accessions and dozens of QTLs specially identified in one environment. Two QTLs were validated by mutant analysis: A novel gene *TAC3*, encoding a conserved hypothetical protein and preferentially expressing in the tiller base, was the candidate gene of *qTA3*; *d2* mutant exhibited a decreased tiller angle, in addition to its previously described abnormal phenotype. A haplotype analysis identified favorable alleles of *TAC3*, *D2* and *TAC1* in *indica*, which may be used for breeding plants with an ideal architecture, while they were all subjected to selection and fixed in *japonica*. In conclusion, there is a diverse genetic basis for tiller angle between the two subpopulations, and it is the novel gene *TAC3*, together with *TAC1* and *D2* that greatly controls tiller angle in rice cultivars.

Introduction

As a key factor in rice plant architecture, tiller angle determines the planting density per unit area and contributes greatly to grain yield. The tiller angle is defined as the angle between the main culm and the side tillers [1]. A favorable rice tiller angle is an important component of the ideal plant architecture that has been selected by humans in the long history of domestication and genetic improvement [2]. *Oryza rufipogon*, the progenitor of cultivated rice (*Oryza sativa*), shows a spread-out growth pattern that allows it to escape some diseases induced by high humidity, but this pattern occupies too much space and increases shading and lodging, thus decreasing the photosynthetic efficiency and grain yield per unit area accordingly. By contrast, cultivated rice usually exhibits a better plant architecture, with a smaller tiller angle that leads to high potential yields.

Tiller angle changes dynamically throughout the life cycle of rice to achieve efficient resource use. At tillering stage, tiller angle increases, which enables young plant to occupy a large empty space for subsequent tiller development and simultaneously inhibits weed growth. At later stages, tiller angle decreases, especially at heading stage, when it reaches its minimum, allowing the mature plant to reduce leaf shade and increase its photosynthetic efficiency. Heading stage is a key developmental period in rice, and the planting density per unit area is mainly determined by the tiller angle at this stage.

Due to its importance in rice production, increasing attention has been paid to dissecting the genetic basis of tiller angle over recent decades. Dozens of tiller angle-related quantitative trait loci (QTLs) have been explored in rice via classical bi-parental cross mapping [3-8]. However, most of these QTLs are seldom used for marker-aided selection, due mainly to their minor effects. Recently, a few genes controlling tiller angle have been cloned. *PROSTRATE GROWTH 1* (*PROG1*) has been accepted as a domestication-related gene that controls tiller angle and tiller number during both tillering and heading stages in wild rice. *PROG1* encodes a zinc finger nuclear transcription factor and is located on chromosome 7. Amino acid changes in the PROG1 protein and regulatory changes during domestication led to loss of the function of this gene, which promoted the transition from the prostrate growth tillers of wild rice to erect growth tiller of domesticated rice [9,10]. *Tiller Angle Control 1* (*TAC1*) is a major QTL located on chromosome 9 that controls tiller angle during heading stage in cultivated rice and

encodes an expressed protein without homologous genes in rice. A mutation in the 3'-splicing site of the 1.5-kb intron 'GGGA', which exists in 88 compact *japonica* rice accessions, decreases the level of *tac1* and leads to a smaller tiller angle; 'AGGA' is present in 21 wild rice and 43 *indica* rice accessions with spread-out tillers [11].

Rice shoot gravitropism is suggested to be a key factor affecting plant architecture. The rice *la* mutant, which exhibits a wider tiller angle, has been intensively studied for decades [12–17]. However, the *LAZY1* (*LA1*) gene was not identified until 2007. *LA1* encodes a novel, grass-specific protein that controls shoot gravitropism by regulating polar auxin transport (PAT) [18,19]. *Loose Plant Architecture 1* (*LPA1*) is an INDETERMINATE DOMAIN protein involved in shoot gravitropism that regulates both tiller angle and leaf angle in rice during the vegetative and reproductive stages [20]. Suppression of *OsPIN1* or over-expression of *OsPIN2* (two auxin efflux transporters) alters PAT and increases tiller angle [21,22]. Recent research has demonstrated that strigalactones (SLs), a group of newly identified plant hormones, inhibit auxin biosynthesis and attenuate rice shoot gravitropism primarily by decreasing local indoleacetic acid contents. Multiple *SOLs* (suppressors of *lazy1*) involved in SL biosynthesis, such as *dwarf 17* (*d17*), *d10* and *d27*, or in SL signaling pathways, such as *d14* and *d3*, can rescue the spreading phenotype of *lazy1* [23]. Suppressing the expression of *OsLIC1* (*Oryza* sativa leaf and tiller angle increased controller), a novel CCCH-type zinc finger gene, increases leaf and tiller angles via the BR signaling pathway [24].

Although the identification of these tiller angle-related genes is helpful for understanding the mechanism of tiller angle formation, few genes that can be used for improving rice plant architecture have been isolated based on natural variation. Therefore, mapping additional genes that contribute to the natural variation of tiller angle is required for breeding varieties with an ideal plant architecture resulting in high grain yields. Genome-wide association studies (GWAS) offer a powerful approach to establishing the relationship between DNA markers and phenotypic traits in crops [25]. With the assessment of millions of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), GWAS can take full advantage of ancient recombination events to identify the genetic loci underlying complex traits at a high resolution using a large number of crop varieties [26]. Many QTLs for agronomic traits have been identified in cultivated rice through GWAS [27–29]. Recently, several novel loci and candidate genes for tiller angle at tillering stage have been identified by GWAS and the elite alleles have been explored for plant architecture improvement [30].

Here, we investigated the tiller angle of 529 *O. sativa* accessions at heading stage and performed GWAS separately in the full population and the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations. We isolated a novel gene, *TAC3*, as well as several novel QTLs in this study. We also identified distinct genetic regulatory mechanisms for tiller angle between the two subpopulations, providing information on how to improve tiller angle in *indica* and *japonica* rice.

Results

Phenotypic variation of the tiller angle in rice during heading stage

The worldwide rice collection exhibited a distinctive population structure and was classified into nine subpopulations: *indI*, *indII*, *indica* intermediate, *Tej*, *Trj*, *japonica* intermediate, *Aus*, *VI*, and intermediate [31]. Of these 529 accessions, 295 were classified into the *indica* subpopulation, including *indI*, *indII* and *indica* intermediate, and 156 were classified into the *japonica* subpopulation, including *Tej*, *Trj* and *japonica* intermediate. There were large variations in tiller angle throughout the population in both environments. The tiller angle ranged from 2.5° to 34.4° in Hainan and from 1.8° to 31.5° in Wuhan. The largest number of accessions fell into a small range of tiller angles, from 2° to 16° (Fig 1a). The variations observed in the two



Fig 1. Phenotypic distribution of rice tiller angle. Histogram showing distributions of tiller angle in the full population (a), in *indica* accessions (b) and in *japonica* accessions (c) in Hainan and Wuhan, respectively.

environments showed a similar distribution, skewed towards smaller tiller angles. A significant correlation was observed between the two environments in the whole population (r = 0.66). However, there was a significant difference in tiller angle between the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations (Fig 1b and 1c). On average, *indica* rice exhibits a larger tiller angle ($11.7 \pm 5.8^{\circ}$ in Hainan; $10.5 \pm 5.6^{\circ}$ in Wuhan) than *japonica* rice ($8.8 \pm 3.6^{\circ}$ in Hainan; $9.1 \pm 3.6^{\circ}$ in Wuhan), and the variation within *indica* is greater than in *japonica*. The correlation coefficients between Hainan and Wuhan were 0.71 and 0.49 within the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations, respectively. Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) revealed that tiller angle was dominantly controlled by genetic factors but was also influenced by interactions between genotype and environment (Table 1). In the *japonica* subpopulation in particular, the interaction between genotype and environment accounted for 22.6% of the variation in tiller angle (Table 1). Tiller angle had a high heritability of 0.82.

QTLs commonly identified in the two environments

We performed GWAS separately in the whole population and in the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations for each year. Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plots of the rice tiller angles among the three populations are illustrated with the results obtained from both the linear mixture method (LMM) (S1 Fig) and linear regression (LR) approaches (S2 Fig). A total of 30 tiller angle-related QTLs were detected (Table 2 and S1 Table and S2 Table). Of them, seven were commonly detected in Wuhan and Hainan. Three QTLs (*qTA1b*, 3 and 7*a*) were only identified

SOV		F	- ull populati	on		India	<i>ca</i> subpopul	ation		Japo	<i>nica</i> subpop	oulation
	df	F	Р	SSG/SST (%)	df	F	Р	SSG/SST (%)	df	F	Р	SSG/SST (%)
G	498	26.7	<0.0001	79.0	288	31.8	<0.0001	80.7	141	12.5	<0.0001	66.4
Е	1	75.0	<0.0001	0.4	1	123.8	<0.0001	1.1	1	6.9	0.00885	0.3
G*E	498	4.9	<0.0001	14.6	288	5.2	<0.0001	13.1	141	4.2	<0.0001	22.6
Error	998				578				284			

Table 1. Summary of variances resolved by two-way ANOVA for rice tiller angle in two environments.

SOV, source of variance; G, genotype; E, environment; G×E, the interaction between genotype and environment. SSG, genotype sum-of-squares; SST, total sum-of-squares.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t001

QTLs	QTLs Pop Chr Lo		Local LD region (bp)	н	ainan		w	/uhan		Known genes
				SNP ID	P value	Var %	SNP ID	P value	Var %	
qTA1b*	All	1	4,986,351~5,464,130	sf0105241388	1.3E-25	20.5	sf0105254047	3.3E-27	16.8	D2
qTA3*	Ind	3	29,504,013~29,791,496	sf0329582676	3.9E-16	14.9	sf0329582676	1.1E-23	29.9	
qTA7a*	All	7	1,838,183~2,345,960	sf0701966037	6.0E-28	1.6	sf0701968196	1.8E-25	1.4	
qTA7b**	Ind	7	2,346,337~2,691,595	sf0702613618	7.2E-10	5.9	sf0702639895	9.5E-07	6.5	
qTA8a**	All	8	20,152,984~21,159,330	sf0820847844	1.1E-08	1.9	sf0820873192	9.0E-10	1.0	
qTA8a**	Ind	8	20,345,270~21,138,077	sf0820873192	5.3E-07	5.2	sf0820873192	7.1E-12	6.6	
qTA8b**	All	8	21,239,555~21,280,570	sf0821240555	4.1E-06	2.8	sf0821240555	5.9E-07	1.5	
qTA9c	Ind	9	20,713,333~20,883,989	sf0920743785	1.8E-08	4.1	sf0920721207	2.5E-06	2.2	TAC1
qTA9c**	All	9	20,713,969~20,838,372	sf0920735688	4.2E-14	5.2	sf0920728309	1.2E-07	0.6	TAC1

Table 2. Significant association loci for rice tiller angle detected in both Hainan and Wuhan using the LMM and LR.

* and ** detected only by LR, both LMM and LR, respectively. Other detected only by LMM.

The SNP ID is composed of three parts: sf, the number of chromosome and the genome position (MSU.V6), eg. sf0105241388 indicates the SNP located in 5,241,388 bp on chromosome 1 (MSU.V6).

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t002

through LR, while the remaining was identified through both LMM and LR (Table 2). Among these seven QTLs, 3 (*qTA1b*, 7*a* and 8*b*) were detected only in the whole population, 2 (*qTA3* and 7*b*) were identified only in the *indica* subpopulation, and two QTLs (*qTA8a* and 9*c*) were commonly detected in the whole population and the *indica* subpopulation. No significant association signals were commonly detected in the *japonica* subpopulation in the two environments (Table 2). Two QTLs (*qTA1b* and 3) on chromosomes 1 and 3 individually explained more than approximately 15% of the variation in the whole population and in the *indica* subpopulation, respectively. Two QTLs (*qTA8a* and 8*b*) on chromosome 8 presented different contributions to the tiller angle in the two environments. The QTL of *qTA8a* exhibited the major effect in the *indica* subpopulation.

Associations uniquely identified in one environment

In addition to these 7 QTLs commonly detected in both environments, 10 and 13 QTLs were specifically detected in Hainan (S1 Table) and Wuhan (S2 Table), respectively. In Hainan, 5, 4 and 3 QTLs were detected in the whole population and in the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations, respectively. Two QTLs (qTA7d and qTA12a) were commonly detected in the whole population and in the *indica* subpopulation. Three QTLs were located on chromosome 7. In Wuhan, 4, 3 and 6 QTLs were detected in the whole population and in the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations, respectively. However, no QTLs were commonly detected in either population. Three were 3 QTLs on each of chromosomes 1 and 7.

Co-localization of associated sites with previously reported QTLs for the tiller angle

In the past decade, ~11 genes were reported to control tiller angle in rice [32]. However, in the present study, only *TAC1* was detected in the local LD region via GWAS in both Hainan and Wuhan (Table 2). To evaluate the results of GWAS, we compared the localization of associated sites with those 11 tiller angle QTLs detected in cultivated rice from the gramene web site (http://www.gramene.org) and 14 significant tiller angle loci detected via GWAS in the previous study[30]. A total of 7 associated sites were found to co-localize with 6 previously reported QTLs (S3 Table). Of them, four QTLs were commonly identified in both environments. *qTA1b* and *qTA9c* were found in the regions of *QTa1* and *qTA9a*, respectively; Both *qTA8a* and

qTA8b were located in a large QTL interval covered a 4-Mb genome region [8, 30]. *qTA4* and *qTA7h* detected only in Wuhan and *qTA9b* detected in Hainan were identified in QTL regions. The co-localization of QTLs detected through linkage analysis and GWAS indicated their roles in controlling tiller angle.

TAC3, the candidate gene of qTA3, controls tiller angle

The lead SNP sf0329582676 of qTA3 on chromosome 3, which was specifically identified in *indica* rice, is located in the second intron of Os03g51670, 5 kb upstream of Os03g51660 (Fig 2b). We quantified all 49 SNPs between Os03g51660 and Os03g51670 in 295 indica accessions, and a representation of the obtained pairwise r^2 values showed that some SNPs in both genes were in high linkage disequilibrium (LD) with each other. SNPs in the 5' end of Os03g51660 and Os03g51670 were grouped into one LD block, which made it difficult to determine the candidate gene of qTA3 (Fig 2a). A mutant (05Z11AZ62) was subsequently obtained in which a T-DNA was inserted in the 3'UTR (441 bp from stop codon) of Os03g51670 and the promoter (991 bp from start codon) of Os03g51660 (Fig 2b and 2c); this mutant showed a larger tiller angle compared with the wild type at both tillering and heading stages in 2015 and 2016 of Wuhan (Fig 2g-2i). A segregation analysis of a family of 96 plants (chi square = 3.56) indicated that a single gene controlled the tiller angle. The average tiller angle of homozygous mutants was the largest, while that of heterozygous mutants was intermediate, and wild type plants possessed the smallest tiller angle at both stages in two years (Fig 2g). An expression profiling analysis indicated that Os03g51660 was highly expressed in the tiller base, and the constitutive expression of Os03g51670 (S3d and S3e Fig) implied that Os03g51660 was the candidate gene controlling the tiller angle. We investigated the expression level of Os03g51670 and Os03g51660 between mutants and wild type. The expression level of Os03g51670 was significantly reduced only in the homozygous mutant and not in the heterozygote compared with wild type (Fig 2f). However, the expression level of Os03g51660 was significantly increased in the homozygous mutants and the heterozygotes compared with wild type (Fig 2e). That is, the Os03g51660 expression level co-segregated with the tiller angle. In addition, Os03g51660 was preferentially expressed in the tiller base, where the tiller bud is initiated and outgrown. Therefore, Os03g51660 is the gene underlying qTA3. Hereafter, this gene is referred to as TAC3, and this mutant is named as tac3-1D. To provide strong evidence for our results, another two mutants 1B-24636 (*tac3-2D*) with a T-DNA insertion in the 5'UTR (146 bp from start codon) of Os03g51660 and 4A-02006 with a T-DNA insertion in the first intron of Os03g51670 (Fig 2b) were investigated in 2016. The expression level of Os03g51660 in both homozygous and heterozygous tac3-2D mutants significantly increased compared with that in wild type Dongjing (Fig 2j). The average tiller angle was no significant difference between heterozygous tac3-2D and wild type Dongjing at both stages, but the tiller angle of homozygous mutant (12.3°± 2.9° tillering stage and 11.4°±3.8° heading stage) was significantly larger up to 3° than DJ $(9.0^{\circ}\pm 2.9^{\circ}$ tillering stage and $8.6^{\circ}\pm 2.0^{\circ}$ heading stage) (Fig 2l-2n). Whereas the expression of Os03g51670 was not changed in tac3-2D as compared to wild type (Fig 2k). Although expression of Os03g51670 was significantly decreased in both homozygous and heterozygous 4A-02006 mutants (S3a and S3b Fig), tiller angle of mutants was not significantly changed (S3c Fig). Therefore Os03g51660 is the identity of TAC3 controlling tiller angle.

Characterization of TAC3

The genomic DNA sequence of *TAC3* is 1,717 bp in length, with five exons and four introns (Fig 2b). Its coding sequence is 459 bp in length (S3f Fig), and it encodes a conserved hypothetical protein of 152 amino acids (http://rice.plantbiology.msu.edu/).





PLOS |

GENETICS

We detected 3 major haplotypes based on 10 SNPs (Minor Allele Frequency (MAF) \geq 0.05) in *TAC3* among the 295 *indica* accessions (Table 3). The tiller angle of Hap1 was significantly wider than that of Hap2 and Hap3 in both environments (p \leq 0.01). However, only 1 G/T SNP (sf0329577726) was found in the *japonica* subpopulation, and all but two accessions carried the 'T' allele, which indicated that a major allele dominated in *japonica*; by contrast, the 'G'

Haplotypes	06	32	31	43	96	63	74	41	13	86	ss	Tiller a	ingle (°)
	sf03295761	sf03295763	sf03295766	sf03295766	sf03295767	sf03295773	sf03295773	sf03295775	sf03295776	sf03295776	No. acce	Hainan	Wuhan
Hap1	А	Т	G	Т	Т	Т	Т	A	G	G	121	14.0±5.9A	13.3±5.7A
Hap2	С	Т	С	A	С	G	Т	G	G	G	79	10.1±4.7B	8.5±4.6B
Нар3	А	С	G	Α	С	G	С	G	Т	Α	57	9.2±4.4B	8.0±3.7B

Table 3. Comparison of genetic effects among qTA3/TAC3 haplotypes on tiller angle in indica subpopulation.

The P value of Duncan test is less than 0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t003

allele was present in all but 10 *indica* accessions. Nucleotide diversity analysis showed that both *TAC3* ($\pi = 1.9e-5$) and its surrounding genomic region ($\pi = 1.1e-4$) of 100 kb upstream and downstream presented significantly decreased values compared with the average nucleotide diversity across the whole *japonica* genome ($\pi = 1.45e-3$), indicating that *TAC3* was selected during *japonica* domestication and genetic improvement (Table 4).

TAC1, the candidate gene of qTA9c

qTA9c was closely linked to TAC1, a previously reported rice tiller angle-related gene [11], and its lead SNP was located 1.4 kb upstream to TAC1. Assessment of the LD between the lead SNPs and all polymorphic sites in TAC1 showed that the lead SNP sf0920735688 ($P_{LMM} =$ 4.2e-14) was in high linkage disequilibrium with most polymorphic sites in TAC1 containing GGGA/AGGA (sf0920731363) sites, which are known functional nucleotide polymorphic sites (FNPs) of TAC1 (Fig 3). Therefore, TAC1 was assumed to be the candidate gene of qTA9c. We further investigated a total of 13 SNPs (MAF ≥ 0.05) throughout TAC1 among 529 O. sativa accessions, and 16 haplotypes were detected. The vast majority (285 indica and 156 japonica accessions) of the accessions belonged to Hap1, Hap2 and Hap3 (Table 5). All but one of the japonica accessions were classified into Hap3 with the 'GGGA' allele. Most of the indica accessions fell into Hap1 and Hap2, which both contain the 'AGGA' allele, whereas 35 indica accessions carried Hap3. There was a highly significant difference (p < 0.01) in the tiller angle between Hap1 and Hap3 in the *indica* subpopulation in both environments (Table 5). These results explained why TAC1 was detected in the whole population and the *indica* subpopulation, but not in the *japonica* subpopulation. The nucleotide diversity of TAC1 and its flanking region was subsequently analyzed. Accordingly, a significant reduction in nucleotide diversity was observed at *TAC1* in the *japonica* subpopulation ($\pi = 9.4e-5$) compared with both wild rice $(\pi = 1.45e-3)$ and the average diversity of the whole *japonica* genome $(\pi = 1.45e-3)$ (Table 4). We also observed a lower level of nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 1.7e-4$) in the 100-kb region

Table 4	. The nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 10$) ⁻³) of the genes and their surrounding 100-kb regions in
indica,	japonica and wild rice.	

Рор	WG	qTA3/TAC3	TAC1	D2	The regions	of targeted	genes
					qTA3/TAC3	TAC1	D2
Jap	1.45	0.02	0.09	0.16	0.11	0.17	0.31
Ind	2.31	2.48	1.18	1.51	2.84	3.02	2.09
Wild	3.96	1.37	1.45	1.99	3.27	3.29	3.08

Pop population; WG whole genome; Jap Japonica, Ind Indica.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t004





Fig 3. Schematic diagram of *TAC1* structure and linkage disequilibrium (measured as pairwise r^2 values) between the lead SNP of *qTA9c* and all **polymorphic sites in** *TAC1*. The white box and black box in the gene represent UTR and exon region. The darkness of each box corresponds to the r^2 value according to the legend. The SNP ID in yellow and red represent FNP and lead SNP respectively.

surrounding *TAC1* in the *japonica* subpopulation compared with the whole *japonica* genome. However, no obvious changes were found in the *indica* subpopulation (π = 3.0e-3).

D2, the candidate gene of qTA1b

Brassinosteroid, as one of the main plant hormones, is considered to be an important factor in plant development, including the tiller angle. The lead SNP sf0105241388 of *qTA1b* is located in the last intron of *DWARF 2* (*D2*). A representation of the obtained pairwise r^2 values showed that all SNPs in *D2* were in one LD block (Fig 4a). The *d2-1* and *d2-2* mutant shows a brassinosteroid-deficient phenotype including an erect leaf angle and a short plant height, but the tiller angle of this mutant was not mentioned [33]. Thus, the tiller angle of a mutant we named *d2-3* (04Z11MY27) with a T-DNA insertion in the third intron of *D2* was investigated (Fig 4b). Genotyping (Fig 4c) and an expression analysis (Fig 4d) suggested that the *d2-3* mutant exhibited abnormal growth, including a smaller tiller angle (Fig 4e–4g). This result suggested *D2* as

Haplotypes	04	60	63	34	59	92	58	63	65	36	62	49	64	pı	db		Tiller a	ngle (°)	
	312	312	313	314	315	315	318	318	321	328	331	332	338			Hair	nan	Wu	ihan
	sf09207	sf09207;	sf09207	No	No	Indica	Japonica	Indica	Japonica										
Hap1	G	т	Α	Т	Т	G	Α	Т	С	G	Α	G	С	163	0	13.0±5.7A		11.6±5.6A	
Hap2	Т	Т	Α	G	Т	G	G	Т	Т	G	Α	G	С	87	1	10.7±5.7AB		9.3±5.7AB	
Нар3	G	G	G	G	С	Α	Α	С	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	35	155	8.3±4.1B	8.8±3.6	8.9±4.3B	9.1±3.6

Table 5. Comparison of genetic effect among TAC1 haplotypes on tiller angle in indica and japonica subpopulations.

The SNP in bold is FNP for TAC1. The P value of Duncan test is less than 0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t005

the candidate gene of *qTA1b*. We further investigated the tiller angle of *d2-1* and *d2-2* to solid our study, and found the tiller angles of both mutants (*d2-1*: $5.2^{\circ}\pm1.3^{\circ}$ tillering stage and $3.6^{\circ}\pm1.2^{\circ}$ heading stage; *d2-2*: $6.3^{\circ}\pm1.5^{\circ}$ tillering stage and $3.8^{\circ}\pm1.2^{\circ}$ heading stage) were significantly decreased as compared with that in wild type T65 ($11.3^{\circ}\pm3.1^{\circ}$ tillering stage and $7.6^{\circ}\pm1.5^{\circ}$ heading stage) (Fig 4h–4j).

To better understand the natural variation of *D2*, we further analyzed its haplotypes. We constructed the haplotypes of *D2* within two subpopulations based on the SNPs with an MAF \geq 0.05. In the *japonica* subpopulation, we obtained four main haplotypes excluding the lead SNP sf0105241388 because all but one accession carried the same allele, 'A', at this site. Most of the accessions (112) fell into one haplotype. Only 13, 11 and 10 accessions fell into the other three haplotypes. The tiller angle showed no significant differences among these haplotypes (Table 6). In the *indica* subpopulation, we also obtained four main haplotypes that were different from those in the *japonica* subpopulation (Table 7). Hap1 and Hap4 exhibited significantly smaller tiller angles compared with Hap2 and Hap3 (p \leq 0.01). Hap1 and Hap4 carried the allele 'A' at the lead SNP site, whereas Hap2 and Hap3 carried the 'G' allele. Significantly decreased nucleotide diversity ($\pi = 1.6e$ -4) was observed only in the *japonica* subpopulation compared with the average nucleotide diversity of its whole genome (Table 4).

The effect of three-gene combinations on the tiller angle

According to the results described above, it is clear that *TAC3*, *D2* and *TAC1* together, along with other genes, contribute to the natural variation in tiller angle in cultivated rice. Thus, the combinations of *TAC3*, *D2* and *TAC1* would be expected to result in wide variation of tiller angles in cultivars.

A total of 77 combinations of the genes *TAC3*, *D2* and *TAC1* were observed in the 295 *indica* accessions, but only 9 combinations that were each found in more than 10 accessions were used for the comparative analysis (Table 8). In Wuhan and Hainan, combinations 1 and 2 both pyramided three haplotypes that increased the tiller angle, resulting in the largest tiller angle. Combinations 3–7 with decreased tiller angle haplotypes of *D2* and *TAC3*, independent of *TAC1* showed similar compact plant architecture in both environments. Combination 8 pyramided increasing tiller angle haplotypes of *D2* and *TAC3*, but a decreased tiller angle haplotype of *TAC1* resulted in a large tiller angle. Combination 9 carried increasing tiller angle haplotypes of *TAC1* and *TAC3* but a decreasing tiller angle haplotype of *D2*, and accordingly exhibited a large tiller angle as well. These results indicated that *D2* and *TAC3* were the major QTLs in the *indica* cultivars. Among all 295 *indica* accessions, 5 carried two combinations with decreasing tiller angle haplotypes at three gene loci. Accessions W066 and W155 (*TAC3-Hap3/D2-Hap1/TAC1-Hap3*) showed more compact tiller angles (W066: 3.8° in Hainan and 3.5° in Wuhan; W155: 6.0° in Hainan and 5.5° in



Fig 4. The mutant of *D***2**, the candidate gene of *qTA1b*, showing decreased tiller angle. (a) A representation of pairwise r^2 values (a measure of LD) all polymorphic sites in *D*2, where the darkness of the color of each box corresponds to the r^2 value according to the legend. The line in red color represent lead SNP. (b) Schematic diagram of *D*2 gene structure with the T-DNA insertion. The black squares represent exons, and the black lines represent introns. The red arrow indicates the position of lead SNP of *qTA1b*; the triangle indicates the position of T-DNA insertion; L and R, left and right genomic primers; N, vector primer. (c) genotyping of the *d*2-3 mutant. W, the wild type; H, heterozygote; M, homozygote. (d) qRT-PCR expression analysis of *D*2 in wild type ZH11, heterozygote (*d*2-3 H) and homozygote (*d*2-3 M) mutant using the tissues aboveground at the seedling stage; the number of plants in each genotype (n) \geq 3 plants, *** significant difference between mutants and wild type at p<0.001.(e)-(g) Phenotypes of ZH11 and *d*2-3 mutant (right) at tillering stage and heading stage; the total plant number (n) = 96 plants, *** significant difference between mutants and wild type at p<0.001.(h)-(j) Phenotypes of T65, *d*2-1 and *d*2-2 mutant at tillering stage and heading stage; the number of plants in each genotype (n) \geq 15 plants, *** significant difference between mutants and wild type at p<0.001.

PLOS GENETICS

Wuhan). Similarly, accessions W161, W243 and W244 (*TAC3-Hap2/D2-Hap1/TAC1-Hap3*) also presented smaller tiller angles (5.2–6.7° in Hainan and 5.2–5.7° in Wuhan).

Discussion

Diverse genetic basis of the tiller angle between the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations

In general, *indica* rice exhibits a wide plant type, and *japonica* rice exhibits a compact plant type. In this study, the *indica* subpopulation presented wider variation in tiller angle compared

Haplotypes	16	82	90	99	83	de	Tiller a	ngle (°)
	sf01052385	sf01052394	sf01052401	sf01052404	sf01052421	No. <i>j</i> i	2013 Hainan	2014 Wuhan
Hap1	С	G	Т	G	С	112	9.0±3.7A	9.1±3.8A
Hap2	С	А	С	G	С	13	7.7±2.9A	10.8±3.2A
Нар3	Т	G	Т	G	Т	11	8.3±2.7A	9.5±2.9A
Hap4	С	G	Т	А	С	10 (8)		

Table 6. Comparison of genetic effects among D2 haplotypes on tiller angle in japonica subpopulation.

The P value of Duncan test is less than 0.01. Tiller angles of only 8 accessions in Hap4 group were measured and then Hap4 was not used for comparative analysis due to limited sample size.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t006

with the *japonica* subpopulation (Fig 1). Although genetic effects explained more of the variation in tiller angle (Table 1), Genotype by environment interactions also significantly affected tiller angle. Moreover, genetic factors contributed more to the variation in tiller angle in *indica* than in *japonica*. These results indicated that there are probably different genetic bases underlying the tiller angle in the two subpopulations. Coincidently, GWAS identified more QTLs for the tiller angle in the *indica* subpopulation than in the *japonica* subpopulation in both environments. This result indicates that the greater number of QTLs controlling the tiller angle in *indica* rice would contribute to wider natural variation.

Although a dozen QTLs were identified in each subpopulation, there were no common QTLs detected in both subpopulations in this study. Two QTLs, *qTA8a* and *qTA9c*, were found in both the whole population and the *indica* subpopulation, but not in the *japonica* subpopulation. It is likely that both QTLs were fixed for one major haplotype in the *japonica* accessions, resulting in failed detection. For example, the locus qTA9c/TAC1 was not identified in *japonica* because TAC1 is dominantly fixed with Hap3 in this subpopulation (Table 5). The tiller angle associated with Hap3 was significantly reduced compared with that of Hap1, the major haplotype in *indica*, which explains the compact plant architecture of japonica rice. There was only one SNP observed in qTA3/TAC3 in japonica, and all but two accessions carried the dominant allele. Thus, the *japonica* accessions were almost fixed with one haplotype for TAC3. Accordingly, the haplotype analysis of the full population suggested the existence of *indica-japonica* differentiation for D2: no significant differences in tiller angle were observed among the four main haplotypes within the *japonica* subpopulation (Table 6), while there was a significant difference in the *indica* subpopulation ($p \le 0.01$) (Table 7). This suggested that the major QTLs are fixed in *japonica*. Two QTLs, *qTA7a* and *qTA8b*, were only identified in the whole population and were not identified in either the indica or japonica subpopulation. A possible explanation for this finding is that the gene was fixed with different haplotypes in the two subpopulations. When GWAS was performed in each subpopulation, the QTLs were not detected because no polymorphism was present in sequence; however, when GWAS was conducted in the whole population, these QTLs were identified because variation occurred. Hence, it is recommended that GWAS should be performed separately in different populations to discover more QTLs for tiller angle or other traits. According to the above results, we propose that there is diverse genetic basis controlling the tiller angle between the two subpopulations.

PLOS Genetics DOI:10.1371/ic	ournal.pgen.1006412	November 4, 2016
	sannan pgonn i ooo i i =	

PLOS GENETICS

Control<	1 1	ğ	ariso	jo u	gene	tice	ffect	sam	buo	D2h	aplo	type	son	tiller	angl	le in l	indic	a sul	dodq	oulat	ion.												
C C <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""> <thc< th=""></thc<></thc<></thc<>	Image: Marrier for the form of the	011		08	S46	63	76 5	97	829	† 99	812	502	95	+01	704	14	98	88	131	19	29	079	999	603	38	97	272	289	61.	920	pu	Tiller a	ngle (°)
I I	0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1	8962201018		87622010fs	e7623010fa	r8623010f2	sf01052385	sf01052392	st01052395	96523010fs	79523010fa	86523010fa	8662301012	2042601016	1176301018	1142001012	sf01052412	sf01052413	\$1423010fs	51452010fs	\$1423010fs	st01052415	91422010fs	sf01052420	st01052421	sf01052422	sf01052423	sf01052426	5101052427	st01052430	i .oN	Hainan	Wuhan
T A G A G T A G A G A G A G C T C T A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A G C T C	I A G A G A G A G A G A G C T A A G A C T A A G A G C T C T A A G A A G A G C T C	0	105	Q	υ	დ	۲	თ	υ	⊢	⊢	0	0	0		◄	G	۲	დ	۲	۲	۲	Q	۲	Q	A	⊢	U	თ	⊢	125	10.1±4.8A	8.3±4.4A
3 G C A G C T C C G C T C C C G C T C	3 6 7		F	۲	Q	۲	۲	Q	⊢	с	⊢	` ₹	≺ ∢	۲ ۲	◄	G	۲	Q	۲	Q	۲	Q	۲	۲	۲	Q	U	⊢	υ	Q	65	14.0±6.6B	13.3±5.9B
G G C G A G C T T C C G C T A G A G A G A G A G A G A G A C T C G T 19 8.242.7A 6.541.8A	G C G C T T C C G C T T C C G C T T C C G C T T C C G T A A A A A A T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T C G T T T C G T T T C G T T T T T T T T T C G T	-	G	Q	U	۲	Q	۲	υ	⊢	U	U U	0 0	0		ڻ ت	۲	G	Q	Q	Q	Q	۲	Q	۲	Q	⊢	U	υ	G	59	14.4±6.1B	13.7±5.7B
	the lead SNP of <i>qTA tb</i> . The P value of Duncan test is less than 0.01.		G	Q	U	Q	۲	თ	υ	⊢	⊢	۔ ں	0 0	0		۲	Q	۲	Q	۲	۲	۲	Q	۲	Q	۲	⊢	U	Q	⊢	19	8.2±2.7A	6.5±1.8A

GWAS of Tiller Angle in Oryza sativa

Combinations		Haplotypes		No of accessions	Tiller a	ingle (°)
	D2	qTA3/TAC3	TAC1		Hainan	Wuhan
1	Hap3 ^L	Hap1 ^L	Hap1 ^L	35	15.4±5.7A	14.0±5.1A
2	Hap2 ^L	Hap1 ^L	Hap1 ^L	28	14.4±5.6AB	12.9±5.9A
3	Hap1 ^s	Hap2 ^S	Hap1 ^L	26	10.2±4.9BC	8.6±4.3BC
4	Hap1 ^s	Hap2 ^S	Hap2	19	9.1±4.0C	6.6±2.3C
5	Hap1 ^s	Hap3 ^S	Hap1 ^L	18	9.5±3.1C	8.2±3.9BC
6	Hap1 ^s	Hap3 ^S	Hap2	15	8.1±2.4C	6.9±3.2C
7	Hap4 ^s	Hap2 ^S	Hap2	12	7.8±2.6C	6.1±1.8C
8	Hap2 ^L	Hap1 ^L	Hap3 ^S	12	11.2±5.1ABC	11.4±3.6AB
9	Hap1 ^s	Hap1 ^L	Hap1 ^L	11	15.0±6.5A	10.4±6.1ABC

Table 8. The performance of tiller angle for three-gene combinations in *indica* subpopulation.

The haplotypes symbolized by L or S indicates the varieties with a wide tiller angle or a compact tiller angle, respectively. The others represent the varieties with a middle level tiller angle.

The P value of Duncan test is less than 0.01.

doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.1006412.t008

TAC1, *TAC3* and other genes, regulate tiller angle in rice cultivars rather than *PROG1* and *LA1*

Tiller angle is a domestication-related trait in rice, and selective signatures of domestication include a reduction of nucleotide diversity and altered allele frequencies at domestication-related loci [34,35]. The functional SNPs of *PROG1*, a gene controlling prostrate growth, only exist in wild rice [9,10]. In addition, *PROG1* was detected via GWAS in 446 *O. rufipogon* accessions and was screened for strong selection signals [36]. In this study, *PROG1* was not identified in the examined cultivars. *LA1* is another major gene isolated from a mutant that controls the tiller angle, and loss of function of *LA1* destroys rice shoot gravitropsim through altering the polar transport of auxin [19]. The *lazy1* mutant shows a wider tiller angle of more than 60°, especially during the mature stage, associated with a prostrate growth phenotype [18], which was never observed in our collection. Therefore, *la1* and *PROG1* do not contribute to the variation of tiller angle in our cultivars.

In this study, GWAS and a mutant analysis demonstrated that TAC3 regulates tiller angle. The nucleotide diversity of *TAC3* in *japonica* (π = 1.9e-5) was approximately 55-fold lower than that of 111 randomly chosen gene fragments from *japonica* ($\pi = 1.1e-3$) [37], which is equivalent to the japonica whole genome estimation in our global collection ($\pi = 1.45e-3$). This result suggested that the low TAC3 nucleotide diversity observed in japonica cannot be explained by a population bottleneck alone and indicated that TAC3 was strongly selected probably due to its function in controlling tiller angle during the domestication and improvement of *japonica*. Accordingly, GWAS, a mutant analysis and nucleotide diversity analysis demonstrated that D2 regulates the tiller angle. Thus, TAC3 and D2 contributed to the natural variation in the tiller angle and have been subjected to selection in *japonica*. Interestingly, the major TAC1 haplotype in *japonica*, Hap3, was carried by only a small subset of *indica* accessions and probably introgressed from *japonica*. Our study on the selection of TAC1 was consistent with the previous report [38]. *qTA8a* and *qTA8b* were approximately 400 kb away on chromosome 8 and were detected via both the LMM and LR approaches. Interestingly, a locus (marker seq-rs3945) associated with tiller angle at tillering stage in *indica* rice was fallen into the region of qTA8a and several candidate genes on this QTL were predicted by expression analysis [30]. Thus, the 400-kb region containing qTA8a and qTA8b should be further studied

by developing an *indica* bi-parental mapping population. Additionally, there were several QTLs that co-localized with previously reported QTLs, while some QTLs were novel. Hence, *TAC3*, *D2*, *TAC1* and other unknown genes contribute to the tiller angle variation observed in the cultivars.

Favorable haplotypes/alleles for the improvement of tiller angle in rice

Rice tiller angle is a major component of plant architecture that has been subject to selection from both nature and humans over a long time period. Mining of more favorable alleles of tiller angle genes is required to achieve ideal plant architecture in rice. At the single-gene level, we identified favorable haplotypes for three tiller angle genes. Hap2 (represented by Yuexiangzhan) and Hap3 (represented by 9311) of TAC3 decrease tiller angle, as does Hap3 (represented by Zhenshan 97 in indica and Zhonghua 11 in japonica) of TAC1. Hap1 (represented by Minghui 63 and 9311) and Hap4 (represented by IR72) of D2 also decrease tiller angle. A threegene combination analysis of D2, TAC3 and TAC1 in indica showed that these three genes cause wide variation in the tiller angle, ranging from 5.2° to 15.4° on average, and combinations pyramiding decreased tiller angle haplotypes result in a more compact plant architecture. These results indicated that these genes function in the regulation of tiller angle and that their effects could be additive. Therefore, optimized haplotype-combinations among these three genes could serve as targets for designed breeding. More specifically, most of the *indica* cultivars carried TAC1 haplotypes that increased tiller angle; thus, the TAC1 haplotype Hap3 is the first option for improving plant architecture in indica. As most japonica cultivars carried compact haplotypes for TAC1, TAC3 and D2, selecting for the haplotypes of other unknown genes that decrease the tiller angle would be the first option for improving the tiller angle in *japonica*.

In summary, the tiller angle of cultivated rice is mainly controlled by genetic factors but is also affected, to some extent, by interactions between genotype and environment. The genetic basis of tiller angle is diverse between *indica* and *japonica* rice. *TAC3*, *D2* and *TAC1* were found to be the main factors regulating tiller angle. Introgression between two subpopulations would be an efficient means of optimizing the plant architecture through designed molecular breeding.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials and field experiments

An association panel consisting of 529 *O. sativa* landraces and elite accessions was sown at the experimental farm of Huazhong Agricultural University in the winter of 2013 in Hainan and in the 2014 rice growing season in Wuhan (China). The 2-year field experiment was designed with 2 replicates per year. Seven 25-day-old seedlings from these accessions were transplanted in a single row with a distance of 16.5 cm between plants and 26.4 cm between rows on December 30, 2013 and May 12, 2014. The 5 plants in the middle were used to investigate the tiller angle at the heading stage. A protractor was employed to measure the angle between the most distant tillers on the two sides of the culm base, and half of the angle was treated as the tiller angle of the individual plant. The average tiller angle across 2 replicates within one year was used for GWAS. The SNPs of the 529 *O. sativa* accessions are available in the RiceVarMap (http://ricevarmap.ncpgr. cn/) [39]. The tiller angles of the 529 *O. sativa* accessions are listed in S4 Table.

Two-way analysis of variance and heritability

Two-way analyses of variance were separately used to test significant difference between environments and genotypes for the whole population and two subpopulations. The analysis was run in the program Statistica 7.0 (StatSoft. Tulsa, OK, USA). Broad-sense heritability (H^2) of

rice tiller angle in the whole population was calculated based on the experiments using the formula: $H^2 = \delta_g^2 / (\delta_g^2 + \delta_{ge}^2 / n + \delta_e^2 / nr)$, where δ_g^2 , δ_e^2 and δ_{ge}^2 were the estimates of genetic, genotype by environment and error variances derived from the mean square expectations of twoway analysis of variance (ANOVA), respectively; n was the number of environments and r was the number of replicates.

Linkage disequilibrium and haplotype analyses

Linkage disequilibrium (LD) was investigated based on standardized disequilibrium coefficients (D), and squared allele-frequency correlations (r^2) for pairs of SNP loci were determined using the TASSEL5.0 program. The extent of genome-wide and chromosome-wide LD were recently reported [40], and the average distances of LD decay at the genome-wide level in all, indica and japonica populations were 167 kb, 93 kb and 171 kb, respectively. The distances of LD decay in the regions surrounding lead SNPs identified in this study were calculated as below: First, r^2 values were calculated between lead SNP and all SNPs in its upstream and downstream 2 Mb regions. Then averaged r^2 of the top ten percent of r^2 values in the region from 1.5 Mb to 2 Mb away from lead SNP were taken as background r^2 . Finally the LD region was defined a continue region where r^2 was 0.2 larger than background r^2 . LD plots were generated with Haploview4.2, and LD is indicated using r^2 values between pairs of SNPs multiplied by 100; white, $r^2 = 0$; shades of gray, $0 < r^2 < 1$; black, $r^2 = 1$ [41,42]. The SNPs of targeted genes in the 529 O. sativa samples were obtained from the RiceVarMap (http://ricevarmap.ncpgr.cn/) using the gene ID [39]. The haplotypes were classified based on all SNPs with an MAF ≥ 0.05 in a target gene. The haplotypes contains at least 10 investigated accessions were used for comparative analysis. Duncan's test was employed to compare the differences in the tiller angle among haplotypes using the SSPE program [43].

Genome-wide association analyses

The whole population was previously demonstrated to present a distinct population structure [31]. The *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations and the whole population were subjected to GWAS separately because they presented sample sizes of greater than one hundred. A total of 3,916,415, 2,767,159 and 1,857,845 SNPs (minor allele frequency (MAF) \geq 0.05; the number of accessions with minor alleles ≥ 6) were employed for GWAS using the linear mixture method (LMM) and linear regression (LR) method in the FaST-LMM program [44]. The population structure of Q matrix and kinship (K matrix) was taken into account as cofactor when performing association mapping using the LMM method. The effective numbers of independent SNPs and suggestive thresholds were calculated using a method described by Li et al. [45], and 757,578, 571,843 and 245,348 effective independent SNPs were found in the whole population and the *indica* and *japonica* subpopulations, respectively. The suggestive p values used as thresholds for the significance of association signals that were commonly detected in both environments by LMM were 1.3×10^{-6} for the whole population, 1.8×10^{-6} for *indica* and 4.1×10^{-6} for japonica. However, for significant association signals that were only detected in one environment, we utilized the more stringent p value of 6.0×10^{-7} as the threshold. A suggestive p value of 1.0×10^{-8} was employed as the threshold for the significance of association signals detected by LR, but only the top 5 loci detected by LR in each environment are presented in the results. The loci that were commonly identified by LR in both environments, but were not in the top 5 are also presented. For loci that were commonly detected by two methods, only the results of LMM are presented. To obtain independent association signals, multiple SNPs exceeding the threshold in a 5-Mb region were clustered based on an r^2 of LD \ge 0.25; the SNPs showing the minimum p value in a cluster were considered to be lead SNPs.

Nucleotide diversity analyses

The whole genomic DNA sequences of the 529 cultivar accessions were genotyped with approximately $2.5 \times$ coverage, and the genome was sequenced using a bar-coded multiplex sequencing approach on an Illumina Genome Analyzer II [31]. We obtained the genome sequences from RiceVarMap (http://ricevarmap.ncpgr.cn/) [39]. A total of 446 *O. rufipogon* accessions were used to calculate nucleotide diversity (π). The details of these accessions and their sequencing data have been previously reported [36]. π values were estimated at the whole-genome level, the single gene level, and the 100-kb flanking region level using SAMtools [46].

Genotyping of mutant plants

The *d2-3* (04Z11MY27), *tac3-1D* (05Z11AZ62), *tac3-2D* (1B-24636) and 4A-02006 mutants were obtained from rice T-DNA insertion libraries from the ZH11 variety [47,48] and DJ variety [49,50]. We identified the genotypes of the mutants via PCR using the genomic primers L and R and the vector primer N (<u>S4 Table</u>). PCR was conducted with an initial incubation step at 95°C for 5 min; a second step of 35 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s, and 72°C for 1 min; and a final extension at 72°C for 7 min.

RNA extraction and expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted from different plant tissues with an RNA extraction kit using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen) for quantitative real-time reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR). The total RNA (4g) was reverse-transcribed using M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). qRT-PCR was carried out in a total volume of 10 μ l containing 2.5 μ l of the reverse-transcribed products, 0.25 μ M gene-specific primers and 5 μ l of Fast Start Universal SYBR Green Master (Rox) superMIX (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) in a QuantStudio (TM) 6 Flex System, according to the manufacturer's introductions. Measurements were obtained using the relative quantification method. Expression levels were normalized against expression of an *ubiquitin (UBQ)* gene. Error bars indicate standard deviations (n = 3). All primers employed for qRT-PCR are listed in <u>S5 Table</u>.

Supporting Information

S1 Fig. Genome-wide association study for tiller angle in the whole population, the *indica* **and the** *japonica* **subpopulations by LMM.** Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plots for tiller angle in the full population (a), *indica* subpopulation (b) and *japonica* subpopulation (c). The horizontal dashed lines of the Manhattan plots indicate the significance thresholds that are defined in the section of materials and methods. Lambda of quantile-quantile plots represents the expected null distribution and the observed p value. (TIF)

S2 Fig. Genome-wide association study for tiller angle in the whole population, the *indica* **and the** *japonica* **subpopulations by LR.** Manhattan plots and quantile-quantile plot for tiller angle in the full population (a), *indica* subpopulation (b) and *japonica* subpopulation (c). The horizontal dashed lines of the Manhattan plots indicate the significance thresholds that are defined in the section of materials and methods. Lambda of quantile-quantile plots represents the expected null distribution and the observed p value. (TIF)

S3 Fig. Expression profiles of *Os03g51660* (*TAC3*) and *Os03g51670* and the sequence of *TAC3* cDNA. (a) Genotyping of the 4A-02006 mutant. W, the wild type; H, heterozygote; M, homozygote. (b) qRT-PCR expression analysis of *Os03g51670* in wild type DJ, heterozygote (4A-02006 H) and homozygote (4A-02006 M) mutant using the leaves of tillering stage; the number of plants in each genotype (n) \geq 3, *** p<0.001. (c) Phenotypes of wild type (n = 16), 4A-02006 H (n = 47) and 4A-02006 M (n = 21) at tillering stage and heading stage. (d), (e) Expression of *Os03g51660* (*TAC3*) and *Os03g51670*, the tissues followed by 1 and 2 were collected at tillering stage and heading stage, respectively. (f) *TAC3* cDNA is of 459 bp. (TIF)

S1 Table. Significant signals for tiller angle detected only in Hainan using the LMM and LR methods.

(DOC)

S2 Table. Significant signals for tiller angle detected only in Wuhan using the LMM and LR methods.

(DOC)

S3 Table. Co-localization of associated sites with the previously detected tiller angle-related QTLs in rice.

(DOC)

S4 Table. The tiller angle of 529 *O. sativa* in Hainan and Wuhan. (XLS)

S5 Table. Primers used in this study. (DOC)

Acknowledgments

We thank Mr. J.B. Wang for his excellent work in field management. We appreciate Professor Changyin Wu (Huazhong Agricultural University) kindly providing 04Z11MY27 and 05Z11AZ62 mutants, Professor Makoto Matsuoka (Nagoya University) kindly providing *d2-1* and *d2-2* mutants and Professor Gynheung An (Kyung Hee University) kindly providing 1B-24636 and 4A-02006 mutants.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: YX.

Performed the experiments: HD XB KL LY.

Analyzed the data: HD HZ WX ZH GL WY ZG.

Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: YX WX HD HZ GL YH.

Wrote the paper: HD YX.

References

- 1. Wang Y, Li J (2008) Molecular basis of plant architecture. Annu Rev Plant Biol 59: 253–279. doi: 10. 1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092902 PMID: 18444901
- Wang Y, Li J (2008) Rice, rising. Nature genetics 40: 1273–1275. doi: <u>10.1038/ng1108-1273</u> PMID: <u>18957983</u>

- Li C, Zhou A, Sang T (2006) Genetic analysis of rice domestication syndrome with the wild annual species, Oryza nivara. New phytologist 170: 185–194. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2005.01647.x PMID: 16539615
- Li Z, Paterson AH, Pinson SR, Stansel JW (1999) RFLP facilitated analysis of tiller and leaf angles in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Euphytica 109: 79–84.
- 5. Qian Q, He P, Teng S, Zeng D-L, Zhu L-H (2000) [QTLs analysis of tiller angle in rice (Oryza sativa L.)]. Yi chuan xue bao = Acta genetica Sinica 28: 29–32.
- Thomson M, Tai T, McClung A, Lai X, Hinga M, et al. (2003) Mapping quantitative trait loci for yield, yield components and morphological traits in an advanced backcross population between Oryza rufipogon and the Oryza sativa cultivar Jefferson. Theoretical and applied genetics 107: 479–493. doi: 10.1007/s00122-003-1270-8 PMID: 12736777
- Xu Y, Shen Z, Xu J, Zhu H, Chen Y, et al. (1995) Interval mapping of quantitative trait loci by molecular markers in rice (Oryza sativa L). Science in China Series B, Chemistry, life sciences & earth sciences 38: 422–428.
- 8. Yan J, Zhu J, He C, Benmoussa M, Wu P (1999) Molecular marker-assisted dissection of genotype× environment interaction for plant type traits in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Crop science 39: 538–544.
- Jin J, Huang W, Gao J-P, Yang J, Shi M, et al. (2008) Genetic control of rice plant architecture under domestication. Nature genetics 40: 1365–1369. doi: 10.1038/ng.247 PMID: 18820696
- Tan L, Li X, Liu F, Sun X, Li C, et al. (2008) Control of a key transition from prostrate to erect growth in rice domestication. Nature genetics 40: 1360–1364. doi: 10.1038/ng.197 PMID: 18820699
- Yu B, Lin Z, Li H, Li X, Li J, et al. (2007) TAC1, a major quantitative trait locus controlling tiller angle in rice. The Plant Journal 52: 891–898. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03284.x PMID: 17908158
- Abe K, Suge H (1993) Role of gravitropic response in the dry matter production of rice (Oryza sativa L.): an experiment with a line having lazy gene. Journal of plant research 106: 337–343.
- Abe K, TakAhashi H, Suge H (1994) Graviresponding sites in shoots of normal and 'lazy'rice seedlings. Physiologia Plantarum 92: 371–374.
- Abe K, Takahashi H, Suge H (1996) Lazy gene (la) responsible for both an agravitropism of seedlings and lazy habit of tiller growth in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Journal of plant research 109: 381–386. PMID: 11539861
- 15. JONES JW, ADAIR CR (1938) A "lazy" mutation in rice. Journal of Heredity 29: 315–318.
- **16.** Yamazaki Y (1985) Lazy rice gotten up with tryptophan. The botanical magazine = Shokubutsu-gakuzasshi 98: 193–198.
- Godbole R, Takahashi H, Hertel R (1999) The Lazy mutation in rice affects a step between statoliths and gravity-induced lateral auxin transport. Plant Biology 1: 379–381.
- Yoshihara T, lino M (2007) Identification of the gravitropism-related rice gene LAZY1 and elucidation of LAZY1-dependent and-independent gravity signaling pathways. Plant and cell physiology 48: 678– 688. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pcm042 PMID: 17412736
- Li P, Wang Y, Qian Q, Fu Z, Wang M, et al. (2007) LAZY1 controls rice shoot gravitropism through regulating polar auxin transport. Cell research 17: 402–410. doi: 10.1038/cr.2007.38 PMID: 17468779
- Wu X, Tang D, Li M, Wang K, Cheng Z (2013) Loose Plant Architecture1, an INDETERMINATE DOMAIN protein involved in shoot gravitropism, regulates plant architecture in rice. Plant physiology 161: 317–329. doi: 10.1104/pp.112.208496 PMID: 23124325
- Xu M, Zhu L, Shou H, Wu P (2005) A PIN1 family gene, OsPIN1, involved in auxin-dependent adventitious root emergence and tillering in rice. Plant and cell physiology 46: 1674–1681. doi: <u>10.1093/pcp/pci183</u> PMID: 16085936
- Chen Y, Fan X, Song W, Zhang Y, Xu G (2012) Over-expression of OsPIN2 leads to increased tiller numbers, angle and shorter plant height through suppression of OsLAZY1. Plant biotechnology journal 10: 139–149. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-7652.2011.00637.x PMID: 21777365
- Sang D, Chen D, Liu G, Liang Y, Huang L, et al. (2014) Strigolactones regulate rice tiller angle by attenuating shoot gravitropism through inhibiting auxin biosynthesis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111: 11199–11204.
- Wang L, Xu Y, Zhang C, Ma Q, Joo S-H, et al. (2008) OsLIC, a novel CCCH-type zinc finger protein with transcription activation, mediates rice architecture via brassinosteroids signaling. PloS one 3: e3521–e3521. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0003521 PMID: 18953406
- 25. Rafalski JA (2010) Association genetics in crop improvement. Current opinion in plant biology 13: 174–180. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2009.12.004 PMID: 20089441
- Huang X, Han B (2014) Natural variations and genome-wide association studies in crop plants. Annual review of plant biology 65: 531–551. doi: 10.1146/annurev-arplant-050213-035715 PMID: 24274033

- Huang X, Wei X, Sang T, Zhao Q, Feng Q, et al. (2010) Genome-wide association studies of 14 agronomic traits in rice landraces. Nature genetics 42: 961–967. doi: 10.1038/ng.695 PMID: 20972439
- Huang X, Zhao Y, Wei X, Li C, Wang A, et al. (2012) Genome-wide association study of flowering time and grain yield traits in a worldwide collection of rice germplasm. Nature genetics 44: 32–39.
- Zhao K, Tung C-W, Eizenga GC, Wright MH, Ali ML, et al. (2011) Genome-wide association mapping reveals a rich genetic architecture of complex traits in Oryza sativa. Nature communications 2: 467. doi: 10.1038/ncomms1467 PMID: 21915109
- **30.** Lu Q, Zhang M, Niu X, Wang S, Xu Q, et al. (2015) Genetic variation and association mapping for 12 agronomic traits in indica rice. Bmc Genomics 16: 1–17.
- Chen W, Gao Y, Xie W, Gong L, Lu K, et al. (2014) Genome-wide association analyses provide genetic and biochemical insights into natural variation in rice metabolism. Nature genetics 46: 714–721. doi: 10.1038/ng.3007 PMID: 24908251
- Roychoudhry S, Kepinski S (2015) Shoot and root branch growth angle control—the wonderfulness of lateralness. Current opinion in plant biology 23: 124–131. doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2014.12.004 PMID: 25597285
- Hong Z, Ueguchi-Tanaka M, Umemura K, Uozu S, Fujioka S, et al. (2003) A rice brassinosteroid-deficient mutant, ebisu dwarf (d2), is caused by a loss of function of a new member of cytochrome P450. The Plant Cell 15: 2900–2910. doi: 10.1105/tpc.014712 PMID: 14615594
- Xu X, Liu X, Ge S, Jensen JD, Hu F, et al. (2012) Resequencing 50 accessions of cultivated and wild rice yields markers for identifying agronomically important genes. Nature biotechnology 30: 105–111.
- **35.** Doebley JF, Gaut BS, Smith BD (2006) The molecular genetics of crop domestication. Cell 127: 1309–1321. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2006.12.006 PMID: 17190597
- 36. Huang X, Kurata N, Wei X, Wang Z-X, Wang A, et al. (2012) A map of rice genome variation reveals the origin of cultivated rice. Nature 490: 497–501. doi: 10.1038/nature11532 PMID: 23034647
- Caicedo AL, Williamson SH, Hernandez RD, Boyko A, Fledel-Alon A, et al. (2007) Genome-wide patterns of nucleotide polymorphism in domesticated rice. PLoS Genet 3: 1745–1756. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.0030163 PMID: 17907810
- Jiang J, Tan L, Zhu Z, Fu Y, Liu F, et al. (2012) Molecular evolution of the TAC1 gene from rice (Oryza sativa L.). Journal of Genetics and Genomics 39: 551–560. doi: <u>10.1016/j.jgg.2012.07.011</u> PMID: 23089365
- Zhao H, Yao W, Ouyang Y, Yang W, Wang G, et al. (2015) RiceVarMap: a comprehensive database of rice genomic variations. Nucleic acids research 43: D1018–D1022. doi: <u>10.1093/nar/gku894</u> PMID: <u>25274737</u>
- **40.** Xie W, Wang G, Yuan M, Yao W, Lyu K, et al. (2015) Breeding signatures of rice improvement revealed by a genomic variation map from a large germplasm collection. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 112: 5411–5419.
- 41. Barrett JC (2009) Haploview: Visualization and analysis of SNP genotype data. Cold Spring Harbor Protocols 2009: pdb. ip71.
- 42. Gabriel SB, Schaffner SF, Nguyen H, Moore JM, Roy J, et al. (2002) The structure of haplotype blocks in the human genome. Science 296: 2225–2229. doi: 10.1126/science.1069424 PMID: 12029063
- Lu L, Shao D, Qiu X, Sun L, Yan W, et al. (2013) Natural variation and artificial selection in four genes determine grain shape in rice. New Phytologist 200: 1269–1280. doi: 10.1111/nph.12430 PMID: 23952103
- Lippert C, Listgarten J, Liu Y, Kadie CM, Davidson RI, et al. (2011) FaST linear mixed models for genome-wide association studies. Nature Methods 8: 833–835. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1681 PMID: 21892150
- 45. Li M-X, Yeung JM, Cherny SS, Sham PC (2012) Evaluating the effective numbers of independent tests and significant p-value thresholds in commercial genotyping arrays and public imputation reference datasets. Human genetics 131: 747–756. doi: 10.1007/s00439-011-1118-2 PMID: 22143225
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, et al. (2009) The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25: 2078–2079. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/btp352 PMID: 19505943
- Wu C, Li X, Yuan W, Chen G, Kilian A, et al. (2003) Development of enhancer trap lines for functional analysis of the rice genome. The Plant Journal 35: 418–427. PMID: <u>12887592</u>
- Zhang J, Guo D, Chang Y, You C, Li X, et al. (2007) Non-random distribution of T-DNA insertions at various levels of the genome hierarchy as revealed by analyzing 13 804 T-DNA flanking sequences from an enhancer-trap mutant library. The Plant Journal 49: 947–959. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006</u>. 03001.x PMID: 17253985

- **49.** Dong J, Zhao CG (2006) Generation of a flanking sequence-tag database for activation-tagging lines in japonica rice. Plant Journal 45: 123–132. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02610.x PMID: 16367959
- Jeon JS, Lee S, Jung KH, Jun SH, Jeong DH, et al. (2000) T-DNA insertional mutagenesis for functional genomics in rice. Plant Journal for Cell & Molecular Biology 22: 561–570.