Device-Related Thrombosis in Patients Receiving One-Stop Intervention for Nonvalvular Atrial Fibrillation: A Systemic Review and Meta-Analysis

Clinical and Applied Thrombosis/Hemostasis Volume 27: 1-8 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/10760296211005033 journals.sagepub.com/home/cat

(\$)SAGE

Li-xing Hu, MD^{1,2}, Min Tang, MD^{1,2}, and Jing-tao Zhang, MD^{1,2}

Abstract

This study aimed to assess the incidence, clinical implications, and treatment strategies of device-related thrombus (DRT) following catheter ablation and percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion combined in one intervention. A meta-analysis of observational studies was conducted to evaluate the incidence rates, treatment strategies, and clinical implications of DRT. A total of 21 studies describing DRT events and 1 case were included in the current study. The 21 included studies comprised 3 multicenter registries and 18 single-center registries, and we also included 1 case report analyzing the characteristics of DRT. The pooled incidence of DRT in one-stop intervention was 18/1,708 (1.2%; range = 0%-7.3%; 95% CI = 0.7%-1.8%; $l^2 = 0$). Of these DRT events, 56.25% were diagnosed in the first 3 months after the procedure. All cases were diagnosed via trans-esophagus echocardiogram. All of the patients diagnosed with DRT were prescribed anticoagulation treatment, and 63% (12/19) of the events were reported with an outcome of complete thrombus resolution. The duration of anticoagulation treatment varied greatly, from 30 days to 6 months. Unknown clinical events were reported relating to DRT. Device-related thrombus is an uncommon complication of one-stop intervention. It occurs mainly in the early period following the procedure. Anticoagulation appears to be an appropriate treatment method for dissolving occurrences of DRT.

Keywords

atrial fibrillation, left atrial appendage occlusion, catheter ablation, device-related thrombosis Date received: 22 February 2021; revised: 22 February 2021; accepted: 3 March 2021.

Introduction

Globally, atrial fibrillation presents a significant burden for society, with incidence rates ranging from 1.5% to 2%. Management of this condition involves relieving symptoms and reducing the rate of related complications, especially stroke. Catheter ablation (CA) is being used increasingly frequently as an effective method of rhythm control in cases of atrial fibrillation. The left atrial appendage is reported as the primary source of thrombus formation in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients.² Percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO) has been applied as an effective alternative to oral anticoagulant (OAC), particularly in patients at high bleeding risk, to decrease the risk of stroke. Recently, Jiang et al conducted a meta-analysis on the efficacy and safety of CA combined with LAAO, concluding that a single procedure combining these 2 approaches is a feasible strategy with significant levels of efficacy and safety.³ Therefore, one-stop intervention may become increasingly common in clinical practice in the future.

Due to the endothelialization of LAAO, patients still need to receive antithrombotic treatment in the short term. However, the appropriate type and duration of such treatments remain controversial. Furthermore, complications such as devicerelated thrombus (DRT), while uncommon, do occur in some

Corresponding Author:

Jing-tao Zhang, State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Cardiac Arrhythmia Center, Fuwai Hospital, Beijing, People's Republic of China; National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, No. 167 North Lishi Road, Xicheng District, Beijing 100037, People's Republic of China.

Email: zhjt_dbh@126.com

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

¹ State Key Laboratory of Cardiovascular Disease, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, The Cardiac Arrhythmia Center, Fuwai Hospital, Beijing, People's Republic of China

²National Center for Cardiovascular Diseases, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing, People's Republic of China

patients undergoing LAAO. Data from a recent meta-analysis suggest that DRT may be associated with a four- to five-fold increase in ischemic events.⁴ However, there is no existing analysis focusing on the incidence of DRT following one-stop intervention.

Therefore, in the current study, we sought to perform a systemic review and meta-analysis to assess the incidence rates, treatment strategies, and clinical implications of DRT in patients undergoing a one-stop intervention.

Methods

Search Strategy

To identify relevant studies, each of the authors (LXH and JTZ) independently searched the archives of PubMed, the Cochrane Library, EMBASE, and the Web of Science, as well as article references, from the inception of each database to August 2020. The keywords used were "atrial fibrillation," "left atrial appendage occlusion," "left atrial appendage closure," "device-related thrombus," "device-associated thrombus," "thrombus," "thrombus," "thrombus," "thrombus," and "thrombi." Any disagreements were resolved through consensus and arbitration by mutual agreement.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The inclusion criteria were studies concerning patients with nonvalvular atrial fibrillation who received CA and LAAO in one procedure wherein DRT events were reported. The exclusion criteria were as follows: (1) studies involving editorial content, reviews, and duplicate reports; and (2) studies of patients with epicardial left atrial closure.

Data Extraction

The 2 authors each carefully reviewed the included studies independently. Any disagreements between the 2 authors were resolved through consultation with the senior investigator (MT). The following study characteristics were extracted: first author, year of publication, study design, number of patients, clinical characteristics, and data related to DRT (incidence, time of diagnosis, treatment at the time of diagnosis, associated events, resolution rate).

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using Stata 16.0 software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX, USA). The Higgins *I*-squared (I^2) statistical calculation was used to quantify the degree of heterogeneity.⁵ To improve the reliability of the pooled result, the Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation was used to obtain the pooled DRT incidence rate. Publication bias was formally assessed using funnel plots and Egger's linear regression test of funnel plot asymmetry. Forest plots were generated to show the relative effect size of the individual studies on each clinical outcome.



Figure 1. Flow chart of literature selection process.

Results

Search and Synthesis of the Literature

A total of 569 articles were initially identified and screened from the literature search. After removing duplicate articles and screening the titles and abstracts of the remaining studies, 40 papers were retrieved for full-text review. Of these, 18 were excluded due to no reported incidence of DRT, duplicate reporting, or patients not having received one-stop intervention. The 21 included studies comprised 3 multi-center registries and 18 single-center registries, and we also included 1 case report analyzing the characteristics of DRT. Figure 1 shows the literature selection process.

Table	١.	Studies	With	Reported	Events	of	DRT.
-------	----	---------	------	----------	--------	----	------

Study	Country	Study type	Device/ablation	Number of cases/ implanted devices	TEE follow-up (month)	MINORS score
Alipour 2015 ⁶	Netherlands	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/62	12	12
Calvo 2015 ⁷	Spain	Single-center registry	29WM, 6ACP /RA	0/35	12	12
Chen 2020 ⁸	Ċhina	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/178	12	12
Du 2019 ⁹	China	Single-center registry	83WM, 39ACP /RA	2/122	11.2 ± 7.3	10
Fassini 2019 ¹⁰	Italy	Single-center registry	WM ACP/CrA	1/49	≥ 24	10
Fassini 2015 ¹¹	Italy	Single-center registry	6WM 28ACP I2RA 22CrA	0/34	6	9
Hu 2018 ¹²	China	Single-center registry	29WM 5ACP /RA	0/34	3	10
Huang 2019 ¹³	China	Single-center registry	Unknown	3/238	12	10
Kita 2020 ¹⁴	USA	Single-center registry	WM/RA	3/41	18.6 ± 8.6	12
Li 2018 ¹⁵	China	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/25	6	10
Liu 2019 ¹⁶	China	Multicenter registry	48WM 2ACP; 40RA 10CrA	0/50	20.2 ± 11.5	12
Liu 2020 ¹⁷	China	Single-center registry	WM ACP Lambre/ CrA	0/27	18 (range, 9-23)	12
Mo 2020 ¹⁸	China	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/76	24	12
Panikker 2016 ¹⁹	England	Single-center registry	WM/RA	1/20	12	12
Pelissero 2017 ²⁰	Mexico	Single-center registry	I4WM, 7ACP/RA &CrA	0/21	14.93 ± 10.05	10
Phillips 2020 ²¹	Europe/Asia/Australia	Multicenter registry	WM/RA	3/142	24	10
Phillips 2016 ²²	Australia	Single-center registry	WM/RA	2/98	12	10
Ren 2020 ²³	China	Single-center registry	23Lefort 11Lacbes 8WM/CrA	0/42	22 ± 11 (3-35)	10
Romanov 2015 ²⁴	USA	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/39	12	12
Walker 2012 ²⁵	Australia	Single-center registry	WM/RA	0/26	12	10
Wintgens 2018 ²⁶	Netherlands/Australia/ Russia/Spain	Multicenter registry	WM/RA	3/349	3	10

Abbreviations: WM, Watchman; RA, radio frequency catheter ablation; CrA, cryoballoon catheter ablation; TEE, trans esophagus echocardiogram.

Incidence of DRT After a One-Stop Intervention

The 21 included studies had a total of 1,708 enrolled patients, with sample sizes ranging from 20 to 349 (Table 1). The Watchman was the most commonly used device (at least 74.5%), and radiofrequency CA was the most commonly applied ablation method (at least 76%). The reported incidence of DRT varied between 0% and 7.3% across the various studies. In larger series ($n \ge 100$), the incidence varied from 0% to 2.11%. In total, there were 18 cases of DRT identified out of the 1,708 sample patients (case reports were excluded when calculating the overall incidence of DRT) (Table 2). In our pooled analysis, the mean incidence of DRT was 1.2% (0.7%-1.8%) (Figure 2). The MIN-ORS score ranged from 9 to 12. Publication bias was analyzed using Begg's test (Figure 3).

Timing and Modality of Diagnosis

Of the 16 reported cases of DRT, the majority (9) were diagnosed during the first 3 months following one-stop intervention, 6 were diagnosed at 3-6 months post-intervention, and 1 case was diagnosed between 6 and 12 months post-intervention. No cases were reported more than 12 months after the intervention (Figure 4). In all the reported cases, the diagnosis was made via trans-esophagus echocardiogram (TEE) imaging.

Clinical Implications, Treatment Strategies, and Predisposing Factors of Device-Related Thrombus

Most of the reported cases of DRT were asymptomatic and discovered by TEE follow-up; however, 1 case was reported with severe ischemic stroke, and the patient then died.²⁷ Overall, only 0.06% of the one-stop intervention patients in the pooled sample presented with ischemic stroke that was assumed to be related to DRT (1/1,079). All cases were implanted with the Watchman occlusion device. Only 1 of the 16 cases (6.25%) was ablated using cryoballoon ablation.

All patients receiving the one-stop intervention received anticoagulation treatment for different durations, ranging from 45 days to 3 months. In all DRT cases, anticoagulation treatment was continued or restarted following DRT diagnosis. One of these patients received warfarin together with aspirin. Data regarding the duration of antithrombotic treatment were missing in most cases, but from the reported data, the duration of treatment ranged from 1 month to 6 months. Ultimately, complete thrombus resolution was achieved under antithrombotic treatment. The recurrence rate was unknown, because the follow-up time was too short.

Predisposing factors were scarcely mentioned in the reports that we analyzed. Carlson and Doshi reported a case with anticoagulation discontinued at 45 days,²⁷ and Kita et al recognized that 2 patients with DRT had self-discontinued OAC prematurely.¹⁴

Case in study	Age	Sex	Type of AF/ catheter ablation	Peri-device leak	Timing and diagnosis modality	Treatment at discharge and duration	Treatment when diagnosis of DRT	Treatment type and duration	Complications	Thrombus resolution after antithrombotic treatment	Device/thrombus feature
Du 2019	63	Σ	PAF/RA	None	5 m/TEE	NOAC*3 m + DAPT*2 m	DAPT	Warfarin*6 m	None	Dissolved	33 mm WM/ Thrombus 10*4 mm
Du 2019	70	щ	CAF/RA	None	6 m/TEE	$OAC^*3 m + DAPT^*3 m$	DAPT	OAC*2 m Not mentioned	None	Dissolved	21 mm WM/ Thrombus 15*8
Fassini 2019	'n	'n	U/CrA	<3 mm	8 w/TEE	NOAC*2 m	NOAC	NOAC*1 m Not mentioned	None	Dissolved	L L
Huang 2019	'n	'n	Un/Un	'n	TEE	Un	П	Un	Л	Un	Un
Huang 2019	Ľ	Ľ	Un/Un	Ľ	TEE	Ч	Ľ	Ľ	Ľ	Ľ	Ľ
Huang 2019	5:	5:	Un/Un	÷ د	LEE	Un 0.010	Ln 1	Un 0:0	Ч г	n.	Lh
Kita 2020	5	5	Un/KA	ЧО	3 m or 6 m/ TEE	OAC*3 m	DAPI	UAC Not mentioned	None	۵u	ММ
Kita 2020	'n	'n	Un/RA	Ч	3 m or 6 m/	OAC*2 m	None	OAC	None	Un	ωw
	:			:	TEE			Not mentioned	:	:	
Kita 2020	'n	Ľ	Un/RA	Ч'n	3 m or 6 m/ Trr	OAC*2 m	None	OAC	None	Un	MM
	-	-	V 0/ 01		0/TEE	\\/fo.uita*E	САБТ	Not mentioned		-	
Panikker 2016	5	5	UN/KA	None	7 m/ I EE	Wartarin's m	JAPI		5	5	
Phillips 2020	'n	'n	Un/RA	None	38 d/TEE	NOAC*45 d	NOAC	Wartarin NOAC	None	Dissolved	I hrombus 1*2 mm WM/sessile
Phillips 2020	'n	ŋ	Un/RA	None	45 d/TEE	NOAC*45 d	NOAC	Not mentioned NOAC	None	Dissolved	WM/mobile
- - -								Not mentioned			
Phillips 2020	Ľ	Ľ	Un/RA	None	45 d/TEE	NOAC*45 d	NOAC	NOAC	None	Dissolved	ΜM
Phillips 2016	'n	'n	Un/RA	None	6 w/TEE	NOAC*3 m	NOAC	Not mentioned NOAC*4 m	None	Dissolved	ωw
Phillips 2016	'n	'n	Un/RA	None	I w/TEE	NOAC*3 m	NOAC	Rivaroxaban NOAC*5 w	None	Dissolved	ωw
Wintgens	'n	'n	Un/RA	'n	<3 m/TEE	Un	Ц	Dabigatran OAC or LMWH	None	Dissolved	ωw
2018 Wintgens	'n	'n	Un/RA	Ч	<3 m/TEE	П	Ч	Not mentioned OAC or LMWH	None	Dissolved	ωw
2018	-	É		-			-	Not mentioned			
VV intgens 2018	5	5	Un/KA	5	<3 m/let	5	5	UAC or LMWH Not mentioned	None	Dissolved	ым
Carlson 2017	75	ш	Un/RA	None	11.5 w/TEE	Warfin + ASA*45 d	DAPT	Warfrin + ASA*2 m	Stroke then died	C	WM/mobile
Abbreviations: novel oral anti	WM, coagul	Watc	hman; RA, rad : PAF, paroxv	dio frequency (smal atrial fibr	catheter ablation; illation: CAF, per	: CrA, cryballoon catheter at reistent atrial fibrillation: DA	lation; TEE, trans es PT dual antiplatele	ophagus echocardiog	ram; Un, unknowr e antinlatelet ther	i; ASA, asprin; OAC, oral antic	coagulation; NOAC,

Study			%
ID		ES (95% CI)	Weight
Alipour 2015		0.13 (-0.12, 0.37)	3.64
Calvo 2015		0.17 (-0.16, 0.49)	2.08
Chen 2020	-++	0.07 (-0.07, 0.22)	10.35
Du Xianfeng 2019		0.28 (0.11, 0.46)	7.11
Fassini 2019		0.34 (0.07, 0.62)	2.89
Fassini 2015		0.17 (-0.16, 0.50)	2.02
Hu H 2017	-	0.17 (-0.16, 0.50)	2.02
Huang W 2019		0.24 (0.12, 0.37)	13.82
Kita K 2020	-	0.58 (0.28, 0.89)	2.43
Li X 2018	-	0.20 (-0.19, 0.58)	1.50
Liu FZ 2018		0.14 (-0.13, 0.41)	2.95
Liu J 2019	-	0.19 (-0.18, 0.56)	1.62
Mo BF 2020		0.11 (-0.11, 0.34)	4.45
Panikker 2016		0.53 (0.11, 0.96)	1.21
Pelissero 2017		0.21 (-0.20, 0.63)	1.27
Phillips 2020		0.31 (0.15, 0.48)	8.27
Phillips 2016	+ +	0.32 (0.12, 0.51)	5.73
Ren Z 2020		0.15 (-0.15, 0.45)	2.49
Romanov 2015	-	0.16 (-0.15, 0.47)	2.31
Walker 2012		0.19 (-0.18, 0.57)	1.56
Wintgens 2018	-	0.20 (0.10, 0.30)	20.24
Overall (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.617)	\diamond	0.22 (0.17, 0.27)	100.00
1		001	

Figure 2. Pooled incidence of DRT following one-stop intervention (Freeman-Tukey double arcsine transformation, fixed effect model).



Figure 3. Assessment of publication bias (Begg's test).

Discussions

The current study is the first meta-analysis focusing on the occurrence of DRT in nonvalvular atrial fibrillation patients treated with concomitant CA and LAAO.



Figure 4. Timing of DRT diagnosis following one-stop intervention.

Compared with patients with sinus rhythm, nonvalvular AF patients have a five-fold increase in stroke risk.²⁸ The LAAO technique has gradually become an alternative to long-term anticoagulation treatment, especially in patients with high bleeding risk, and CA is an effective treatment method for symptomatic AF patients. Recently, Jiang et al conducted a meta-analysis and concluded that the one-stop intervention

approach showed significant efficacy and could be safely and successfully performed in AF patients.³ Because this one-stop intervention can merge a number of procedures within one operation, it may be more cost-effective than other treatment pathways and thus could be a positive prospect for the future. However, the long-term outcomes of this new therapeutic strategy have not been well established. DRT is a potentially serious complication of LAAO. Alkhouli et al conducted a meta-analysis focusing on the occurrence of DRT post-LAAO, and they found that cases of DRT were associated with a four- to five-fold increase in ischemic events.⁴ Therefore, we performed the current meta-analysis to study the incidence of DRT following combined CA and LAAO therapy.

In our study, the pooled incidence of DRT was approximately 1.2%, which is consistent with a recent meta-analysis conducted by Jiang et al.³ Meanwhile, Alkhouli et al reported a slightly higher 4% pooled incidence of DRT post-LAAO (not combined with CA).⁴ This could partially be explained by the patients enrolled; for example, patients who are suitable for CA are more likely to maintain their sinus rhythm. Additionally, the true incidence rates might be difficult to evaluate due to the variations in surveillance protocols among the selected studies as well as the small sample sizes. In our study, a majority of the cases of DRT were diagnosed within a short time after the intervention, probably due to the delayed endothelialization process. However, cases of delaying thrombosis should not be neglected. They could be secondary to mechanical factors or systemic patient factors such low ejection fraction (EF) and spontaneous echo contrast. The formation mechanism of DRT is still obscure, and there is limited evidence in relation to one-stop interventions. Multiple studies have declared the proinflammatory effects of ablation within the left atrium, and left atrial appendage (LAA) may contribute to the formation of DRT.^{29,30}

One of the cases in our analysis was reported with severe stroke following diagnosis of DRT. Dukkipati et al have previously highlighted a relationship between DRT and ischemic events,³¹ and Alkhouli et al's meta-analysis showed that DRT is associated with a four- to five-fold increase in ischemic events.⁴ The PROTECT AF study reported a DRT-associated annualized stroke rate of 0.3% per 100 patient-years.³² According to the multi-center Amplatzer Cardiac Plug (ACP) registry, no embolic complications were reported in more than 1,000 patients, and no relationship was identified between DRT and stroke.³³ Although it seems to be reasonable to regard DRT as contributing to ischemic stroke, we are unable to draw a substantial conclusion based on the currently available data.

The occurrence mechanism of DRT appears to be multifactorial and very complex. Identifying predictors is very important for recognizing patients at high risk of DRT formation and taking effective preventive measures. In the literature regarding one-stop interventions, a limited amount of data has been gathered to date; therefore, conclusive evidence regarding these predictors has not yet been accumulated. According to evidence from individual studies based on LAAO-only procedures, several factors could be independent predisposing factors for DRT, including both clinical factors (stroke history, permanent atrial fibrillation (AF), advanced age, large LAA, low EF, vascular disease history, higher CHA2DS2-VASc score, etc.) and procedural factors (deep implantation, lack of discharge antithrombotic treatment, etc.).^{31,33-36} However, Pracon et al's study demonstrated no clear association between post-LAAO antithrombotic regimen and DRT incidence.³⁶

To date, no consensus has been reached regarding the treatment of DRT arising following one-stop intervention. An overwhelming majority of the DRT cases reported in the studies selected for our analysis were completely dissolved after anticoagulation. Only 2 cases were mentioned the kind of NOAC in detail. Recently, Calabrò et al reviewed current evidence supporting the use of NOACs in patients with established atrial and/or left atrial appendage thrombosis. In those patients, the rationale for the use of NOACs is based on their effectiveness in limiting fibrin accretion, thereby favoring endogenous fibrinolysis,³⁷ resulting in the resolution of already formed thrombi. In their opinion, NOACs may be considered as first-line therapy in patients with AF and documented left atrial/LAA thrombosis. NOAC can mostly minimize the risk of intracranial bleeding, as well as the most severe bleeding. According to the mechanism of NOAC dissolving thrombi, it may be reasonable to apply NOAC to DRT. However, the evidence on the treatment DRT is still scarce.³⁸ One small-scale study described a recurrence of DRT, and the rate was 35%.³⁹ While, applying antithrombotic therapy to dissolve a DRT may increase the risk of a bleeding complication; therefore, the treatment of DRT still needs to be investigated carefully.

Limitations

All the studies analyzed were observational studies with DRT reported as an adverse event. Most of the selected studies did not describe the thrombus characteristics. The demographics, treatment strategies, and prognosis data of the patients with DRT were also unrevealed. The proportion of DRT cases following one-stop intervention was very small, so it was difficult to achieve any substantial conclusion regarding predisposing factors and clinical implications of DRT. In addition, the definition of DRT is not unified, so diagnosis can be operator-dependent. Finally, the follow-up duration in the selected studies may not have been long enough to observe later occurrences of DRT.

Conclusions

Our meta-analysis suggests that cases of DRT are infrequent following combined CA and LAAO one-stop intervention, with most cases occurring relatively soon after the procedure. More and larger prospective studies are needed to verify the real prevalence of DRT following this one-stop procedure and to investigate predisposing factors, treatment strategies, and clinical implications.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to acknowledge the hard and dedicated work of all the staff that implemented the intervention and evaluation components of the study.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iD

Min Tang, MD D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3570-2670

References

- 1. Calkins H, Kuck KH, Cappato R, et al. 2012 HRS/EHRA/ECAS expert consensus statement on catheter and surgical ablation of atrial fibrillation: recommendations for patient selection, procedural techniques, patient management and follow-up, definitions, endpoints, and research trial design: a report of the Heart Rhythm Society (HRS) Task Force on Catheter and Surgical Ablation of Atrial Fibrillation. Developed in partnership with the European Heart Rhythm Association (EHRA), a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society (ECAS); and in collaboration with the American College of Cardiology (ACC), American Heart Association (AHA), the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society (APHRS), and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons (STS). Endorsed by the governing bodies of the American College of Cardiology Foundation, the American Heart Association, the European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society, the European Heart Rhythm Association, the Society of Thoracic Surgeons, the Asia Pacific Heart Rhythm Society, and the Heart Rhythm Society. Heart Rhythm. 2012;9(4):632-696.e21.
- Patti G, Pengo V, Marcucci R, et al. The left atrial appendage: from embryology to prevention of thromboembolism. *Eur Heart* J. 2017;38(12):877-887.
- Jiang Y, Li F, Li D, et al. Efficacy and safety of catheter ablation combined with left atrial appendage occlusion for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2020;43(1):123-132.
- Alkhouli M, Busu T, Shah K, Osman M, Alqahtani F, Raybuck B. Incidence and clinical impact of device-related thrombus following percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion: a meta-analysis. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol.* 2018;4(12):1629-1637.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gøtzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011;343:d5928.
- Alipour A, Swaans MJ, van Dijk VF, et al. Ablation for atrial fibrillation combined with left atrial appendage closure. *JACC Clin Electrophysiol*. 2015;1(6):486-495.
- Calvo N, Salterain N, Arguedas H, et al. Combined catheter ablation and left atrial appendage closure as a hybrid procedure for the treatment of atrial fibrillation. *Europace*. 2015;17(10):1533-1540.

- Chen M, Wang ZQ, Wang QS, et al. One-stop strategy for treatment of atrial fibrillation: feasibility and safety of combining catheter ablation and left atrial appendage closure in a single procedure. *Chin Med J (Engl)*. 2020;133(12):1422-1428.
- Du X, Chu H, Ye P, et al. Combination of left atrial appendage closure and catheter ablation in a single procedure for patients with atrial fibrillation: multicenter experience. *J Formos Med Assoc.* 2019;118(5):891-897.
- Fassini G, Gasperetti A, Italiano G, et al. Cryoballoon pulmonary vein ablation and left atrial appendage closure combined procedure: a long-term follow-up analysis. *Heart Rhythm.* 2019;16(9): 1320-1326.
- Fassini G, Moltrasio M, Tundo F, et al. Concomitant atrial fibrillation ablation and percutaneous closure of left atrial appendage: a pivotal experience. *Heart Rhythm.* 2015;12(5):S448.
- Hu H, Cui K, Jiang J, Fu H, Zeng R. Safety and efficacy analysis of one-stop intervention for treating nonvalvular atrial fibrillation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol.* 2018;41(1):28-34.
- Huang W, Xiao FY, Zhou XD. Efficacy and safety of catheter ablation combined with left atrial appendage occlusion in patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Arrhythmia*. 2019;35(suppl 1):156-157.
- Kita K, Carlson S, Huntsinger M, Tun H, Sohn J, Doshi RN. Safety and feasibility of combined atrial fibrillation ablation and left atrial appendage occlusion after left atrial appendage electrical isolation. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol.* 2020;57(1): 43-55.
- Li X, Li J, Chu H, et al. Combination of catheter ablation for non-valvular atrial fibrillation and left atrial appendage occlusion in a single procedure. *Exp Ther Med.* 2018;16(3): 2094-2100.
- Liu FZ, Lin WD, Liao HT, et al. Mid-term outcomes of concomitant left atrial appendage closure and catheter ablation for nonvalvular atrial fibrillation: a multicenter registry. *Heart Vessels*. 2019;34(5):860-867.
- Liu J, Xia Y, Zhang H, Li X, Zhang S, Fang P. Left atrial appendage closure after cryoballoon ablation in patients with atrial fibrillation. *Herz*. 2020;46:82-88.
- Mo BF, Sun J, Zhang PP, et al. Combined therapy of catheter ablation and left atrial appendage closure for patients with atrial fibrillation: a case-control study. *J Interv Cardiol.* 2020;2020: 8615410.
- Panikker S, Jarman JW, Virmani R, et al. Left atrial appendage electrical isolation and concomitant device occlusion to treat persistent atrial fibrillation: a first-in-human safety, feasibility, and efficacy study. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol*. 2016;9(7): e003710.
- Pelissero E, Giuggia M, Todaro MC, Trapani G, Giordano B, Senatore G. Combined left atrial appendage percutaneous closure and atrial fibrillation ablation: a single center experience. *G Ital Cardiol (Rome).* 2017;18(12 suppl 1):11s-17s.
- Phillips KP, Romanov A, Artemenko S, et al. Combining left atrial appendage closure and catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation: 2-year outcomes from a multinational registry. *Europace*. 2020;22(2):225-231.

- Phillips KP, Walker DT, Humphries JA. Combined catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation and Watchman[®] left atrial appendage occlusion procedures: five-year experience. *J Arrhythm.* 2016; 32(2):119-126.
- Ren Z, Zhang J, Zhu M, et al. Cryoablation combined with left atrial appendage closure: a safe and effective procedure for paroxysmal atrial fibrillation patients. *Cardiol Res Pract.* 2020; 2020:6573296.
- Romanov A, Pokushalov E, Artemenko S, et al. Does left atrial appendage closure improve the success of pulmonary vein isolation? Results of a randomized clinical trial. *J Interv Card Electrophysiol.* 2015;44(1):9-16.
- 25. Walker DT, Humphries JA, Phillips KP. Combined catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation and Watchman[®] left atrial appendage occlusion procedures: a single centre experience. *J Atr Fibrillation*. 2012;5(3):687.
- Wintgens L, Romanov A, Phillips K, et al. Combined atrial fibrillation ablation and left atrial appendage closure: long-term follow-up from a large multicentre registry. *Europace*. 2018; 20(11):1783-1789.
- 27. Carlson SK, Doshi RN. Termination of anticoagulation therapy at 45 days after concomitant atrial fibrillation catheter ablation and left atrial appendage occlusion resulting in device-related thrombosis and stroke. *HeartRhythm Case Rep.* 2017;3(1): 18-21.
- Lin HJ, Wolf PA, Kelly-Hayes M, et al. Stroke severity in atrial fibrillation. The Framingham Study. *Stroke*. 1996;27(10): 1760-1764.
- Lim HS, Schultz C, Dang J, et al. Time course of inflammation, myocardial injury, and prothrombotic response after radiofrequency catheter ablation for atrial fibrillation. *Circ Arrhythm Electrophysiol.* 2014;7(1):83-89.
- McCabe JM, Smith LM, Tseng ZH, et al. Protracted CRP elevation after atrial fibrillation ablation. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 2008;31(9):1146-1151.

- Dukkipati SR, Kar S, Holmes DR, et al. Device-related thrombus after left atrial appendage closure: incidence, predictors, and outcomes. *Circulation*. 2018;138(9):874-885.
- 32. Reddy VY, Holmes D, Doshi SK, Neuzil P, Kar S. Safety of percutaneous left atrial appendage closure: results from the Watchman left atrial appendage system for embolic protection in patients with AF (PROTECT AF) clinical trial and the Continued Access Registry. *Circulation*. 2011;123(4):417-424.
- 33. Lempereur M, Aminian A, Freixa X, et al. Device-associated thrombus formation after left atrial appendage occlusion: a systematic review of events reported with the Watchman, the Amplatzer Cardiac Plug and the Amulet. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2017;90(5):E111-E121.
- 34. Fauchier L, Cinaud A, Brigadeau F, et al. Device-related thrombosis after percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion for atrial fibrillation. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;71(14): 1528-1536.
- Kaneko H, Neuss M, Weissenborn J, Butter C. Predictors of thrombus formation after percutaneous left atrial appendage closure using the Watchman device. *Heart Vessels*. 2017;32(9):1137-1143.
- 36. Pracon R, Bangalore S, Dzielinska Z, et al. Device thrombosis after percutaneous left atrial appendage occlusion is related to patient and procedural characteristics but not to duration of postimplantation dual antiplatelet therapy. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv.* 2018;11(3):e005997.
- Ezihe-Ejiofor JA, Hutchinson N. Anticlotting mechanisms 1: physiology and pathology. *Cont Educ Anaes Crit Care Pain*. 2013; 13(3):87-92.
- Calabrò P, Gragnano F, Cesaro A, et al. Non-vitamin K antagonist oral anticoagulants in patients with atrial fibrillation and atrial thrombosis: an appraisal of current evidence. *Arch Cardiovasc Dis.* 2020;113(10):642-651.
- Asmarats L, Cruz-González I, Nombela-Franco L, et al. Recurrence of device-related thrombus after percutaneous left atrial appendage closure. *Circulation*. 2019;140(17):1441-1443.