### **Original Article**

Ann Rehabil Med 2014;38(2):256-262 pISSN: 2234-0645 • eISSN: 2234-0653 http://dx.doi.org/10.5535/arm.2014.38.2.256



# Impact of Exercise-Based Cardiac Rehabilitation on De Novo Coronary Lesion in Patients With Drug Eluting Stent

Hee Eun Choi, MD, Byeong Ju Lee, MD, Chul Kim, MD, PhD

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital, Seoul, Korea

**Objective** To compare the rate of progression of de novo lesion between the cardiac rehabilitation (CR) and control groups.

**Methods** This is a retrospective observational study. Patients who received drug-eluting stent (DES) due to acute coronary syndrome were included as subjects. The CR group received eight weeks of early CR program, and sustained a self-exercise program in the homes. The control group was instructed to exercise independently. Nine months after the first insertion of DES, we implemented follow-up coronary angiography, and compared the rate of progression of de novo lesion by quantitative angiographic measurement between the two groups.

**Results** A total of 81 patients were recruited as subjects to CR group (n=32) or control group (n=49). At nine months, late luminal loss was  $0.04\pm0.23$  mm in the CR group and  $0.00\pm0.31$  mm in the control group (p=0.54, observed power=0.10). Late loss was  $-0.90\%\pm9.53\%$  in the CR group and  $0.80\%\pm11.15\%$  in the control group (p=0.58, observed power=0.05). No target lesion revascularization procedures were needed in the CR group, while two in the control group (p=0.25). In the CR group, mean VO<sub>2max</sub> was significantly improved from 24.36±5.00 to 27.68±5.24 mL/kg/min (p<0.001).

**Conclusion** We could not observe a statistically significant difference in the progression rate of de novo lesion between the CR and control groups. Thus the current amount of nine months exercise-based CR program does not seem to have a distinct impact on the retardation of de novo coronary lesion in patients who received percutaneous coronary intervention with DES.

Keywords Coronary stenosis, Drug-eluting stents, Exercise, Rehabilitation

Received May 16, 2013; Accepted October 1, 2013

Corresponding author: Byeong Ju Lee

Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Inje University Sanggye Paik Hospital, 1342 Dongil-ro, Nowon-gu, Seoul 139-707, Korea Tel: +82-2-950-1134, Fax: +82-2-935-3076, E-mail: s3163@paik.ac.kr

Copyright © 2014 by Korean Academy of Rehabilitation Medicine

### INTRODUCTION

The short- and long-term effects of initial percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) on acute myocardial infarction (AMI) have been shown [1]. However, the reports on the likelihood of recurrence and restenosis have also followed [2]. To terminate the progression of coronary atherosclerosis after the procedure, risk factors need to be controlled with methods including low-fat/low-cho-

<sup>©</sup> This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons. org/licenses/by-nc/3.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

lesterol diet, weight loss, smoking cessation [3] and drug treatment, such as antiplatelet and lipid lowering agents [4,5]. Regular exercise therapy is also essential for prevention of cardiovascular disease (CVD). When the exercisebased cardiac rehabilitation (CR) was carried out, total mortality, cardiovascular mortality and fatal refraction decreased significantly [6,7], and cardiorespiratory fitness and psychological profiles improved [8]. Thus, the total cholesterol, triglyceride and systolic blood pressure (BP) decreased [6]. When a drug-eluting stent (DES) was inserted in place of the metallic stent, the in-stent restenosis significantly decreased, but the safety concern of blood clots inside stent still remained a problem [9-11].

In the preceding study, the authors confirmed that CR could significantly delay the in-stent restenosis, regardless of the type of advancing DES [12]. However, stent insertion at the atherosclerotic lesion, progression of coronary atherosclerosis still remained problem. Ornish et al. [13] reported that the group of patients who received usual care without PCI showed progression of coronary atherosclerosis, which occurred after one to five years. However, there is no experimental study that further observes the degree of stenosis progression in de novo lesion (where a stent has not been inserted) of patients who received PCI with DES.

In this study, we compare the degree of stenosis progression in de novo coronary lesion of the CR group which received CR, with the control group which did not receive CR. Patients in both groups had DES insertion and received the latest drug treatments.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Study design

This is a retrospective observational study.

### **Study subjects**

The subjects of this study were patients who were hospitalized at our hospital's cardiovascular center due to acute coronary syndrome (ACS) and successfully received PCI using DES, between April 2008 and June 2010. These patients were scheduled to receive follow-up coronary angiography (CAG) after nine months. A PCI was considered 'successful' if the residual diameter stenosis decreased by less than 30% [14].

After the orientation on the necessity of CR, details of

the program were distributed to all patients, and they were encouraged to participate in the CR program. The patients were free to decide, and those willing to receive CR program were placed in the CR group, and the rest were included in the control group. Patients in the CR group with a past history of CVD or cerebrovascular disease, or who had neuromusculoskeletal diseases and were restrained from participating in exercise programs were excluded from the study. The study protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the hospital (IRB No. SPIRB-13-056).

There were a total of 81 subjects, with 32 in the CR group and 49 in the control group. In the CR group, 23 were men and 9 were women; and in the control group, 40 were men and 9 were women. Thus, there was not much of a difference in the gender distributions of the two groups. The average ages of the CR and the control groups were 64.62 and 63.57 years, respectively, with a minimal difference. Table 1 compares the two groups according to the types of ACS, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF), number of CVD-related risk factors, and types of drugs taken on their first visit to the CR clinic.

### Method

The patients in the CR group received PCI, and once they were discharged from the hospital, they were to visit the CR clinic for preliminary examination, including the graded exercise test (GXT). The exercise-based CR program was to start at the hospital fourteen days after the disease occurrence. GXT was performed using the limited approach to symptoms, as according to the modified Bruce protocol.

Heart rate (HR) reserve was calculated according to each patient's maximum HR and resting HR obtained by GXT; and 40%-85% of that value was set as the target HR. Each patient was monitored by objective measures of electrocardiogram, HR and change in BP, and subjective measure of Borg's rate of perceived exertion (RPE). Each exercise session was composed of a 10-minute warm-up, a 30-minute main exercise, and a 10-minute cool-down. The main exercise was composed in the following order: 8 minutes of treadmill, 3 minutes of rest, 8 minutes of ergometer, 3 minutes of rest, and 8 minutes of treadmill. Patients participated in the exercise program three times a week for eight consecutive weeks (24 times in total). Through the outpatient CR program, the CVD-related

Characteristic	CR (n=32)	Control (n=49)	p-value
Gender (male:female)	23:9	40:9	0.30
Age (yr)	$64.62 \pm 9.94$	63.57±11.42	0.67
Diagnosis (STEMI/NSTEMI/UA)	10/11/11	18/12/19	0.38
Hypertension	21 (65.6)	30 (61.22)	0.69
Diabetes	8 (25.0)	17 (34.69)	0.36
Hyperlipidemia	26 (81.25)	36 (73.47)	0.42
Smoking status (current/ex/never)	13/8/11	27/12/10	0.14
Body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	24.63±2.37	24.85±3.65	0.76
LVEF (%)	58.48±9.79	57.51±10.63	0.69
Acetylsalicylic acid	32	47	0.25
Clopidogrel	32	49	1.0
B-blocker	22	36	0.65
ACEI/ARB	24	38	0.79
Statin	32	44	0.06

Table 1. Characteristics of subject patients

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation.

CR, cardiac rehabilitation; STEMI, ST elevation myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST elevation myocardial infarction; UA, unstable angina; LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; ACEI, angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor; ARB, angiotensin-II receptor blocker.

\*p<0.05 (CR vs. control).

risk factors were also strictly controlled. After eight weeks of exercise program in the hospital, GXT was performed again. Based on the target, HR and RPE were obtained simultaneously, and patients were given exercise prescriptions for individual home exercises for nine months after the ACS occurrence. Following up on the exercise programs, the CR clinic encouraged the patients to exercise during the visits. The control group who did not participate in CR program was educated on risk factor management after occurrence of first ACS, and they were also obligated to perform individual exercises at home.

After their first ACS occurrence, patients in both groups received identical follow-up and drug treatment at both the cardiology outpatient clinic and CR clinic. After nine months of ACS occurrence, patients in both groups received CAG and took their first PCI with the interventional cardiologists, who also delivered them one-on-one comparison test with de novo coronary artery. The de novo lesion was defined as coronary lesion of diameter stenosis between 30%–70% without coronary intervention at the time of first CAG.

We defined de novo lesion as vessels whose degree of stenosis was from 30% to 75% and were not within the target of first PCI. We measured the residual diameter stenosis (%) of target lesion using minimal luminal diameter, and calculated late luminal loss and percent luminal loss at the time of first PCI and nine months thereafter. We compared the results between the CR group and the control group. Thus, we defined the newly developed binary stenosis as cases in which coronary stenosis was less than 50% at the time of the first PCI, but progressed to over 50% at CAG follow-up [15].

### Statistical analysis

The data was statistically analyzed with SAS Enterprise Guide 4.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). In order to compare the gender, age, and LVEF at the time of first GXT between the CR group and the control group, independent t-test was used. Pearson  $\chi^2$  test was used to compare the number of patients with ST elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), non-ST elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI), unstable angina, hypertension, diabetes and dyslipidemia, the smoking status, body mass index, and drugs that were currently taken. For comparison of the rate of stenosis of de novo coronary lesion between the CR group and the control group, independent t-test was used. For comparing the data at baseline and at nine months within the group, paired t-test was used. Pearson  $\chi^2$  test was used to compare the binary stenosis in the CR group with the results at nine months. Using R, the observed power of late luminal loss and late loss were analyzed. Statistical significance was defined as p-value of less than 0.05.

### RESULTS

## Quantitative coronary angiography results at nine months

The location of target vessel artery and early reference diameter did not show statistically significant difference between the two groups. The follow-up CAG performed at nine months after the first PCI showed that there was no statistically significant difference from the minimal luminal diameter measured at initial PCI. There was also no statistically significant difference in the late luminal loss between the two groups (p=0.54, observed power=0.10). The residual diameter stenosis (%) after PCI and at nine months also showed no difference within the two groups (p=0.93, p=0.93). The late loss in the CR group changed by -0.90%±9.53% and 0.80%±11.15% in the control group, but the difference was not significant (p=0.58, observed power=0.05) (Table 2).

### Progression of de novo lesion at nine months

In the CR group, cases of binary stenosis with diameter stenosis of over 50% decreased from 11 at the start of

Table 2. QCA results at nine months			
	CR (n=32)	Control (n=49)	p-value*
Target vessel artery (LAD/LCX/RCA)	10/7/15	9/17/23	0.78
Reference $\Phi$ (mm)			
Baseline at first CAG	$2.99 \pm 0.62$	$2.75 \pm 0.62$	0.10
Nine months after PCI	$2.88 \pm 0.65$	$2.77 \pm 0.63$	0.57
Minimal luminal $\Phi$ (mm)			
Baseline at first CAG	$1.63 \pm 0.45$	$1.53 \pm 0.54$	0.34
Nine months after PCI	$1.59 \pm 0.45$	$1.53 \pm 0.56$	0.61
Late luminal loss (mm)	$0.04 \pm 0.23$	0.00±0.31	0.54
Residual $\Phi$ stenosis (%)			
Immediately after PCI	45.71±10.10	44.93±15.01	0.93
Nine months after PCI	44.81±10.09	45.74±14.31	0.93
Late loss (%)	$-0.90 \pm 9.53$	0.80±11.15	0.58

Values are presented as number (%) or mean±standard deviation.

QCA, quantitative coronary angiography; CR, cardiac rehabilitation; LAD, left anterior descending artery; LCX, left circumflex artery; RCA, right coronary artery;  $\Phi$ , diameter; CAG, coronary angiogram; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention.

\*p<0.05 (CR vs. control).

### Table 3. Progression of de novo lesion at nine months

	CR (n=32)		Control (n=49)		n voluo*
	Baseline	9-mo	Baseline	9-mo	– p-value*
Binary stenosis <sup>a)</sup>	11		10		0.76/0.74
Newly developed binary stenosis <sup>b)</sup>	4		5		0.82
Regression of stenosis (<50%)	7		4		0.10
Target vessel revascularization	0		2		0.24

CR, cardiac rehabilitation

<sup>a)</sup>Binary stenosis ≥50% diameter stenosis. <sup>b)</sup>Newly progressed binary stenosis.

\*p<0.05 (CR vs. control).

#### Hee Eun Choi, et al.

Table 4. Improvement of exercise ca	acity after nine months of exercise-base	d CR program

	Before CR	After CR	p-value
$VO_{2max}$ (mL/kg/min)	$24.36 \pm 5.00$	$27.68 \pm 5.24$	<0.001**

CR, cardiac rehabilitation;  $VO_{2max}$ , maximal oxygen consumption. \*\*p<0.001 (CR vs. control).

the study to 10 at nine months. In the control group, the numbers increased from 18 to 19 (p=0.76, p=0.74). There were four and five new cases of binary stenosis in the CR and the control group, respectively. There were seven and four cases in which diameter stenosis of target vessel with binary stenosis decreased to less than 50% in the CR and the control groups, respectively. At the follow-up of nine months, there were two patients who needed revascularization procedures in the control group, while there were none in the CR group (p=0.24). There was no statistical significance for any of the above measurements (Table 3).

### Improvement of exercise capacity following exercisebased CR

In the cardiac rehabilitation group that performed the rehabilitation program for nine months, mean maximal oxygen consumption (VO<sub>2max</sub>) improved significantly from 24.36 $\pm$ 5.00 to 27.68 $\pm$ 5.24 mL/kg/min (p=0.00001) (Table 4). In the control group, there was no data for VO<sub>2max</sub>.

### DISCUSSION

Mortality rate of coronary heart disease is decreasing, while the prevalence rate is gradually increasing [16,17]. In the case of AMI, ability to perform activities of daily life decreased even after PCI, with a decline in quality of life [18]. Coronary atherosclerosis and its risk factors persist after PCI, and the possibilities of stent thrombosis and restenosis also remain. Such may lead to recurrence of AMI and eventually death [5]. The importance of CR has been gaining attention as a solution, and it has been shown that exercise program with exercise prescription, nutritional counseling, smoking cessation program, drug treatment and weight management can improve the quality of physical activities in daily life and prognosis in secondary prevention [19].

The authors have indicated in a preceding study that the progression of in-stent stenosis rate and in-stent restenosis over 50% were significantly different in CR and control groups, while irrelevant with the type of DES in each generation [12]. Coronary atherosclerosis and its risk factors prevent arterial dilatation by endothelial dysfunction, inflammatory cell, and platelet dysfunction [19,20]. The proliferation of arterial endothelium corresponds to late luminal loss following stent insertion [21]. It is closely related to the endothelial sheer stress [22] and inflammatory responses from damages in blood vessels, accompanied by an increase in high sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) [23]. Munk et al. [24] states that when high-intensity exercises were performed for over 3-6 months, the in-stent restenosis decreased in patients who received PCI. This is due to the activation of nitric oxide synthase from the improvement in endothelial function. Therefore, such a decrease in hs-CRP facilitating the atherosclerosis will lead to a decrease in endothelin-1 production, which worsens the entire endothelial function [24].

In this study, we compared the degree of stenosis in de novo lesion, at the time of PCI and nine months after the PCI, between the CR and the control groups. Between the two groups, we compared the cases of binary stenosis and cases in which revascularization procedures were needed, and there was no statistically significant difference. We believe that this is due to the efficacy of the latest drug treatment which delays the progression of atherosclerosis of de novo lesion, regardless of participation in the CR program.

Schuler et al. [25] reported that coronary artery disease not only progresses slowly but even regresses in some cases, as compared to the control group. This group received the usual care, while the patients in the experimental group underwent intensive physical exercise involving 30 minutes of daily ergometer program (with intensity of reaching target heart rate). The regimen was exercised everyday with an hour of group exercise twice a week, combined with a low-fat diet [25]. Ornish et al. [13] also reported that when intensive lifestyle modifications, including aerobic exercise, was prescribed to patients in place of PCI, the regression of coronary atherosclerosis occurred one to five years later compared to control group, who received the usual care only. It seems that we may not have statistically significant results, because our study was of relatively short duration at nine months. A study of longer than one year duration is likely necessary.

The limitations of the study are as follows: First, as the patients chose to participate in the CR program or not, those with high risks of exercise-related cardiac attacks were not assigned to the self-exercise group, and hence it was not a random comparative study. Second, we did not see the difference between the two groups when managing for risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, obesity, and/or smoking. Third, there were a small number of patients, with the small sample size considered a common limitation in studies comparing restenosis after DES insertion. Fourth, while a patient's previous exercise level has an effect on the results, there is no evaluation of such. Fifth, the study period was at nine months, which was somewhat short for the study of the long-term effects of exercise regimen on coronary atherosclerosis. In future, a longer period of randomized study involving more participants is necessary.

In conclusion, short-term CR of nine months did not show significant efficacy on progression of atherosclerosis of de novo lesion, for patients which did not receive PCI. It seems to be from the effectiveness of the latest drug treatments in preventing the progression of atherosclerosis. However, the positive effect of CR on blood vessel with stent insertion in PCI has been shown in preceding studies. Thus for patients who received PCI, a proper drug treatment and CR are essential to prevent relapse and death.

### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Keeley EC, Boura JA, Grines CL. Primary angioplasty versus intravenous thrombolytic therapy for acute myocardial infarction: a quantitative review of 23 randomised trials. Lancet 2003;361:13-20.
- 2. Cannan CR, Yeh W, Kelsey SF, Cohen HA, Detre K, Williams DO. Incidence and predictors of target vessel

revascularization following percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty: a report from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Percutaneous Transluminal Coronary Angioplasty Registry. Am J Cardiol 1999;84:170-5.

- 3. Haskell WL, Alderman EL, Fair JM, Maron DJ, Mackey SF, Superko HR, et al. Effects of intensive multiple risk factor reduction on coronary atherosclerosis and clinical cardiac events in men and women with coronary artery disease. The Stanford Coronary Risk Intervention Project (SCRIP). Circulation 1994;89:975-90.
- 4. Kaplan W, Laing R. Priority medicines for Europe and the world. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004. Chapter 6.3, Cardiovascular disease secondary prevention; p. 58-9.
- 5. Wernick MH, Jeremias A, Carrozza JP. Drug-eluting stents and stent thrombosis: a cause for concern? Coron Artery Dis 2006;17:661-5.
- 6. Taylor RS, Brown A, Ebrahim S, Jolliffe J, Noorani H, Rees K, et al. Exercise-based rehabilitation for patients with coronary heart disease: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Med 2004;116:682-92.
- O'Connor GT, Buring JE, Yusuf S, Goldhaber SZ, Olmstead EM, Paffenbarger RS Jr, et al. An overview of randomized trials of rehabilitation with exercise after myocardial infarction. Circulation 1989;80:234-44.
- 8. Dugmore LD, Tipson RJ, Phillips MH, Flint EJ, Stentiford NH, Bone MF, et al. Changes in cardiorespiratory fitness, psychological wellbeing, quality of life, and vocational status following a 12 month cardiac exercise rehabilitation programme. Heart 1999;81:359-66.
- 9. Di Lorenzo E, Sauro R, Varricchio A, Capasso M, Lanzillo T, Manganelli F, et al. Benefits of drug-eluting stents as compared to bare metal stent in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: four year results of the PaclitAxel or Sirolimus-Eluting stent vs bare metal stent in primary angiOplasty (PASEO) randomized trial. Am Heart J 2009;158:e43-50.
- Greenhalgh J, Hockenhull J, Rao N, Dundar Y, Dickson RC, Bagust A. Drug-eluting stents versus bare metal stents for angina or acute coronary syndromes. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2010;(5):CD004587.
- 11. Morice MC, Serruys PW, Sousa JE, Fajadet J, Ban Hayashi E, Perin M, et al. Randomized Study with the sirolimus-coated Bx Velocity balloon-expandable

stent in the treatment of patients with de novo native coronary artery lesions: a randomized comparison of a sirolimus-eluting stent with a standard stent for coronary revascularization. N Engl J Med 2002;346:1773-80.

- 12. Kim C, Choi HE, Kim BO, Lim MH. Impact of exercisebased cardiac rehabilitation on in-stent restenosis with different generations of drug eluting stent. Ann Rehabil Med 2012;36:254-61.
- Ornish D, Scherwitz LW, Billings JH, Brown SE, Gould KL, Merritt TA, et al. Intensive lifestyle changes for reversal of coronary heart disease. JAMA 1998;280:2001-7.
- 14. Belardinelli R, Paolini I, Cianci G, Piva R, Georgiou D, Purcaro A. Exercise training intervention after coronary angioplasty: the ETICA trial. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;37:1891-900.
- 15. Thiele H, Neumann-Schniedewind P, Jacobs S, Boudriot E, Walther T, Mohr FW, et al. Randomized comparison of minimally invasive direct coronary artery bypass surgery versus sirolimus-eluting stenting in isolated proximal left anterior descending coronary artery stenosis. J Am Coll Cardiol 2009;53:2324-31.
- 16. Law MR, Watt HC, Wald NJ. The underlying risk of death after myocardial infarction in the absence of treatment. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:2405-10.
- 17. World Health Organization. The world health report: conquering suffering, enriching humanity. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1997.
- Brezinka V, Kittel F. Psychosocial factors of coronary heart disease in women: a review. Soc Sci Med 1996;42:1351-65.
- 19. Izawa K, Hirano Y, Yamada S, Oka K, Omiya K, Iijima S.

Improvement in physiological outcomes and healthrelated quality of life following cardiac rehabilitation in patients with acute myocardial infarction. Circ J 2004;68:315-20.

- 20. Zeiher AM, Drexler H, Wollschlager H, Just H. Endothelial dysfunction of the coronary microvasculature is associated with coronary blood flow regulation in patients with early atherosclerosis. Circulation 1991;84:1984-92.
- 21. Post MJ, de Smet BJ, van der Helm Y, Borst C, Kuntz RE. Arterial remodeling after balloon angioplasty or stenting in an atherosclerotic experimental model. Circulation 1997;96:996-1003.
- 22. Wentzel JJ, Krams R, Schuurbiers JC, Oomen JA, Kloet J, van Der Giessen WJ, et al. Relationship between neointimal thickness and shear stress after Wallstent implantation in human coronary arteries. Circulation 2001;103:1740-5.
- 23. Saleh N, Tornvall P. Serum C-reactive protein response to percutaneous coronary intervention in patients with unstable or stable angina pectoris is associated with the risk of clinical restenosis. Atherosclerosis 2007;195:374-8.
- 24. Munk PS, Staal EM, Butt N, Isaksen K, Larsen AI. High-intensity interval training may reduce in-stent restenosis following percutaneous coronary intervention with stent implantation: a randomized controlled trial evaluating the relationship to endothelial function and inflammation. Am Heart J 2009;158:734-41.
- 25. Schuler G, Hambrecht R, Schlierf G, Niebauer J, Hauer K, Neumann J, et al. Regular physical exercise and low-fat diet: effects on progression of coronary artery disease. Circulation 1992;86:1-11.