

Research

Open Access

Correlates of exercise motivation and behavior in a population-based sample of endometrial cancer survivors: an application of the Theory of Planned Behavior

Kristina H Karvinen¹, Kerry S Courneya*¹, Kristin L Campbell¹, Robert G Pearcey², George Dundas², Valerie Capstick³ and Katia S Tonkin⁴

Address: ¹Faculty of Physical Education and Recreation, University of Alberta, E-424 Van Vliet Center, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2H9, Canada, ²Division of Radiation Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada, ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada and ⁴Division of Medical Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada

Email: Kristina H Karvinen - karvinen@ualberta.ca; Kerry S Courneya* - kerry.courneya@ualberta.ca; Kristin L Campbell - kristin.campbell@ualberta.ca; Robert G Pearcey - robertpe@cancerboard.ab.ca; George Dundas - georgedu@cancerboard.ab.ca; Valerie Capstick - capstick@ualberta.ca; Katia S Tonkin - katiaton@cancerboard.ab.ca

* Corresponding author

Published: 30 May 2007

Received: 2 May 2006

International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity 2007, 4:21 doi:10.1186/1479-5868-4-21

Accepted: 30 May 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.ijbnpa.org/content/4/1/21>

© 2007 Karvinen et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Despite evidence of the benefits of exercise in cancer survivors, exercise participation rates tend to decline after treatments. Few studies have examined the determinants of exercise in less common cancer sites. In this study, we examined medical, demographic, and social cognitive correlates of exercise in endometrial cancer survivors using the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB).

Methods: A mailed survey was completed by 354 endometrial cancer survivors (1 to 10 years postdiagnosis) residing in Alberta, Canada. The study was cross-sectional. Exercise behavior was assessed using the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire and the TPB constructs were assessed with standard self-report scales. Multiple regression analyses were used to determine the independent associations of the TPB constructs with intention and behavior.

Results: Chi-square analyses indicated that marital status ($p = .003$), income level ($p = .013$), and body mass index (BMI) ($p = .020$) were associated with exercise. The TPB explained 34.1% of the variance in exercise behavior with intention ($\beta = .38, p < .001$) and self-efficacy ($\beta = .18, p = .029$) being independent correlates. For intention, 38.3% of the variance was explained by the TPB with self-efficacy ($\beta = .34, p < .001$) and affective attitude ($\beta = .30, p < .001$) being the independent correlates. The TPB mediated the associations of marital status and BMI with exercise but not income level. Age and BMI moderated the associations of the TPB with intention and behavior.

Conclusion: The TPB may be a useful framework for understanding exercise in endometrial cancer survivors. Exercise behavior change interventions based on the TPB should be tested in this growing population.

Background

Exercise has received attention as a means of ameliorating physical, functional, and emotional quality of life issues in cancer survivors [1]. Despite the many documented benefits of exercise in cancer survivors, exercise participation rates are relatively low [2,3] suggesting the importance of identifying the determinants of exercise in this group. Several studies have explored exercise motivation in cancer survivors [4]. Most studies have focused on the most common cancers such as breast [5], prostate [5], and colorectal [6], however, recent studies have also examined less common cancers such as non Hodgkins lymphoma [3], multiple myeloma [7] and brain cancer [8]. The results have indicated that the determinants of exercise may vary depending on the cancer survivor group. Consequently, different cancer survivor groups may present unique determinants of exercise, and generalizations among cancer survivor groups may not be warranted. Endometrial cancer survivors are unique because they have a tendency to be obese [9], often suffer from other co-morbidities [10], and have a number of late and chronic side-effects from treatments such as urinary incontinence, diarrhea, and mood disturbances [11] that might affect exercise motivation and behavior.

Many studies to date have applied the Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) for understanding exercise motivation in cancer survivors and other populations [12]. The TPB may be a particularly relevant framework to use in cancer survivors because it includes personal (attitude), normative (subjective norm), and control (perceived behavioral control) factors that all may be influenced by treatment-related side-effects and co-morbidities. The TPB postulates that intention (motivation and plans) is the immediate determinant of behavior. Intention, in turn, is determined by subjective norm (perceived social pressure to perform the behavior), attitude (positive or negative evaluation of the behavior), and perceived behavioral control (PBC; confidence and control over performing the behavior). Moreover, subjective norm is based upon normative beliefs (specific individuals that may approve or disapprove of the behavior), attitude is based upon behavioral beliefs (specific perceived advantages and disadvantages of participating in the behavior), and PBC is based upon control beliefs (specific barriers and opportunities the individual has for performing the behavior).

Each TPB construct (i.e., attitude, subjective norm, PBC) is considered to be a higher order structure that is composed of two lower order components [13]. Attitude consists of affective (enjoyment of exercise) and instrumental (perceived benefits of exercise) components, subjective norm is comprised of descriptive (perception that important others exercise) and injunctive (perception that important others approve of exercise) components, and PBC consists

of self-efficacy (confidence in ability to exercise) and perceived control (perceived control over exercise) components. Some theorists have proposed that the multidimensional testing of the lower order structures (i.e., affective and instrumental attitudes, descriptive and injunctive norms, self-efficacy and perceived control) instead of the aggregated higher order structures results in better model fit and higher explained variance [14-16].

In addition to testing the traditional TPB constructs, we were also interested in examining how medical and demographic variables correlate with exercise and/or moderate the associations between the TPB and exercise in endometrial cancer survivors. Research in other populations has indicated that some medical and demographic variables, like age [17], body mass index [18], and socioeconomic status [19], have been found to be associated with exercise participation and may influence exercise determinants.

To date, no study has examined individual motivational determinants of exercise in endometrial cancer survivors, even though endometrial cancer ranks as the fourth most common cancer in women and has a five-year relative survival rate of 84% [20,21]. Furthermore, endometrial cancer survivors have a higher rate of obesity and co-morbidities [9], and consequently may be a population who could benefit considerably from exercise.

Our primary purpose was to examine correlates of exercise intention and behavior in endometrial cancer survivors. Based on the theoretical tenets of the TPB, we hypothesized that intention would be the most important correlate of exercise behaviour and that attitude, subjective norm, and perceived behavioral control would each be independent correlates of exercise intention. We also expected that the TPB would mediate any associations between any demographic and medical variables associated with exercise. Our secondary purpose was to explore potential medical and demographic moderators of these associations.

Methods

Sample and procedures

The Alberta Cancer Board and the University of Alberta provided ethical approval for the study. All contact information was obtained through the Alberta Cancer Registry and involved a list of all endometrial cancer survivors diagnosed in Alberta, Canada between November, 1994 and June, 2003. Participants were eligible for the study if they were: (a) over the age of 18 years, (b) English speaking, (c) approved for contact by a family physician or primary oncologist (as required by our ethics boards), and (d) had a histologically confirmed diagnosis of endometrial cancer. We first contacted each survivor's physician or

oncologist to get approval to contact their patient. Once physician/oncologist approval was obtained, endometrial cancer survivors were mailed a questionnaire package and asked to return the questionnaire plus one copy of the consent form. A slightly modified version of the Total Design Method [22] was used that consisted of: (a) mailing of the initial questionnaire package, (b) mailing of a postcard reminder two weeks later, and (c) mailing of a second questionnaire package four weeks later. Other methods known to improve survey response rates were also used, such as postage paid business reply envelopes, personalized cover letters with original signatures, colored paper questionnaires, assurances of confidentiality, and institution sponsorship [23]. All participants gave consent for the researchers to utilize their medical data. The study was conducted between March and May of 2004.

Measures

Marital status, annual income, employment status, education level, height, and weight were obtained by self-report. Age, time since diagnosis, tumor grade, disease stage, and treatment type were collected by both self-report and the registry records. Registry data were used and, if unavailable, self-report data were used as a supplement.

A modified version of the Leisure Score Index (LSI) from the Godin Leisure Time Exercise Questionnaire (GLTEQ) [24] was used to assess exercise behavior. The GLTEQ is considered one of the most reliable measures of self-reported exercise and it is easy to administer and brief. The GLTEQ assesses average frequency and duration of exercise at three levels of intensity: mild (minimal effort, no perspiration), moderate (not exhausting, light perspiration), and strenuous (heart beats rapidly, sweating). We did not use the mild minutes for our calculations, but included the category in the questionnaire to ensure that participants did not report mild exercise minutes in the moderate intensity category [25]. Our interest in only moderate and vigorous exercise minutes is based on public health recommendations that suggest that moderate-to-vigorous intensity activity is required to obtain health benefits [26]. We calculated weekly exercise minutes separately for moderate and strenuous exercise as well as a combined score for moderate plus strenuous exercise. We then categorized participants as: (a) meeting public health exercise guidelines (≥ 60 minutes of strenuous or ≥ 150 minutes of moderate plus strenuous exercise per week), (b) not meeting public health exercise guidelines but accumulating some moderate-to-strenuous exercise minutes or (c) not reporting any moderate-to-strenuous exercise [26]. This trichotomous ordinal variable was used as our exercise behavior variable because of the highly skewed distribution of exercise behavior using the continuous scale. An independent evaluation of the GLTEQ reported its reliability and validity to compare favorably

to nine other self-report measures of exercise based on test-retest scores, an objective activity monitor (i.e., accelerometer), and fitness indices. The LSI showed a one-month test-retest reliability of .62 and concurrent validity coefficients of .32 with the accelerometer, .56 with VO_{2max} and -.43 with % body fat [27].

The Theory of Planned Behavior (TPB) constructs were assessed based on Ajzen's recommendations [12,13]. At the beginning of the TPB scales, we provided the following definition of regular exercise as: "vigorous physical activity (e.g., sweating, heart beats fast) performed for at least 3 times per week for 20 minutes or more, or moderate physical activity performed at least 5 times per week for 30 minutes or more".

Intention was measured by two items on 7-point Likert scales ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). The first item was "I intend to exercise for at least 30 minutes, 5 days per week at a moderate intensity" and the second item was "I intend to exercise for at least 20 minutes, 3 days per week at a vigorous intensity". Internal consistency (α) for these two items was .70. The intention variable was the mean of the two items.

Attitude was assessed by seven items measured on 7-point bipolar adjective scales. These items assessed both instrumental (harmful-beneficial, foolish-wise, useless-useful, good-bad) and affective (unenjoyable-enjoyable, boring-fun, unpleasant-pleasant) components of attitude [13]. Verbal descriptors were extremely (points 1 and 7), quite (points 2 and 6), and slightly (points 3 and 5). The stem that preceded the items was "For me, exercising regularly over the next month would be". Internal consistencies (α) for the instrumental and affective subscales were .92 and .89, respectively. We scored instrumental and affective attitude separately.

Subjective norm was determined using three items on a 7-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 7 (strongly agree). These items assessed the injunctive component of subjective norm. The three items were "Most people who are important to me (1) think I should, (2) would encourage me to, and (3) would support me, exercising regularly over the next month". Internal consistency (α) for this scale was .91.

Perceived behavioral control was assessed by four items on a 7-point Likert scale that tapped self-efficacy and controllability [13]. The three items that comprised self-efficacy were: "If you were really motivated, (1) exercising over the next month would be...." (1 = extremely hard to 7 = extremely easy), (2) "how confident are you that you could exercise regularly over the next month" (1 = not at all confident to 7 = extremely confident), and (3) "I could

easily exercise regularly over the next month" (1 = strongly disagree to 7 = strongly agree). The item that comprised the controllability component was "If you were really motivated, how much control do you feel you would have over exercising regularly over the next month" (1 = very little control to 7 = complete control). Internal consistency (α) of the self-efficacy scale was .86. Self-efficacy and perceived control were scored separately.

Underlying beliefs were assessed using open ended questions. Participants were asked to list: (1) "...the main advantages of participating in regular exercise" (behavioral beliefs), (2) factors that "...make it difficult for you to exercise regularly" (control beliefs), and (3) "...people or groups who would approve or disapprove of you exercising" (normative beliefs).

Analysis

Data were analyzed using SPSS 13 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill). Descriptive statistics were calculated to determine the distribution of the variables. Chi-square tests were used to determine the association between exercise and demographic (i.e., age, marital status, education level, income level, employment status) and medical variables (i.e., adjuvant treatment, body mass index, months since diagnosis). Pearson correlation coefficients were calculated to determine the bivariate associations between the TPB constructs. Forced entry multiple regression procedures were used to test the primary hypotheses. Two separate multi-

ple regression analyses were conducted to determine which of the TPB constructs (i.e., affective attitude, instrumental attitude, subjective norm, perceived control, self-efficacy) and any univariate significant demographic and medical variables independently correlated with each of the criterion variables (i.e., exercise behavior, exercise intention). Medical and demographic variables were dichotomized for these analyses (Table 1). For medical and demographic variables that were found to be associated with exercise but mediated by the TPB, follow-up independent t-tests were conducted that compared scores on the TPB constructs based on different levels of the relevant medical or demographic variable.

Subsequent regression analyses were conducted in order to test for the potential moderating effects of several medical and demographic variables (i.e., age, BMI, months since diagnosis, adjuvant treatment) on the associations among the TPB. We created ordinal or dichotomous variables for these analyses. Age was divided into < 60 years ($n = 119$), 60 – 69 years ($n = 133$), and ≥ 70 years ($n = 102$) and BMI was divided into healthy weight (< 25; $n = 102$), overweight (25 – 29.9; $n = 113$), and obese (≥ 30 ; $n = 139$). Months since diagnosis was divided into < 60 months ($n = 221$), and ≥ 60 months ($n = 133$) based on the approximate median of the number of months since diagnosis as well as a commonly accepted cut-point for a "long term survivor" (i.e., five-year survivor) [21]. Treatment was categorized as having received any adjuvant

Table 1: Percentage of participants in each exercise category based on demographic and medical variables.

| Variable | Completely Sedentary | Insufficiently Active | Meeting Guidelines | χ^2 | p |
|---|----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|----------|------|
| Age | | | | | |
| < 65 years ($n = 181$) | 39.8% | 24.9% | 35.4% | 2.8 | .242 |
| ≥ 65 years ($n = 173$) | 48.6% | 20.2% | 31.2% | | |
| Marital Status | | | | 11.4 | .003 |
| Married/Common Law ($n = 245$) | 38.4% | 26.1% | 35.5% | | |
| Not Married ($n = 109$) | 56.9% | 14.7% | 28.4% | | |
| Education | | | | 4.4 | .109 |
| Completed High School or Less ($n = 168$) | 48.8% | 23.2% | 28.0% | | |
| Some Post Secondary or More ($n = 186$) | 39.8% | 22.0% | 38.2% | | |
| Income | | | | 8.6 | .013 |
| < \$40,000/year ($n = 169$) | 50.9% | 23.1% | 26.0% | | |
| \geq \$40,000/year ($n = 185$) | 37.8% | 22.2% | 40.0% | | |
| Employment | | | | 4.2 | .121 |
| Working ($n = 112$) | 36.6% | 27.7% | 35.7% | | |
| Not working ($n = 242$) | 47.5% | 20.2% | 32.2% | | |
| Body Mass Index | | | | 7.9 | .020 |
| Non-obese ($n = 215$) | 38.1% | 24.7% | 37.2% | | |
| Obese ($n = 139$) | 53.2% | 19.4% | 27.3% | | |
| Months Since Diagnosis | | | | 0.4 | .817 |
| < 60 months ($n = 221$) | 45.2% | 21.7% | 33.0% | | |
| ≥ 60 months ($n = 133$) | 42.1% | 24.1% | 33.8% | | |
| Adjuvant Therapy | | | | 1.7 | .426 |
| Yes ($n = 188$) | 46.8% | 20.2% | 33.0% | | |
| No ($n = 166$) | 41.0% | 25.3% | 33.7% | | |

treatment (i.e., chemotherapy, radiation therapy or hormone therapy; $n = 183$) versus no adjuvant treatment ($n = 164$). Interaction terms were created by multiplying the potential moderator (e.g., age) by each of the TPB constructs (e.g., intention by age, instrumental attitude by age, etc.).

For the moderator analyses, hierarchical forced entry multiple regression procedures were conducted using the procedures outlined by Baron and Kenny [28]. In the first analysis, we regressed exercise behavior on exercise intention, self-efficacy, and perceived control, followed by the particular moderator (e.g., age), and then the three interaction terms (e.g., age by intention). In the second analysis, exercise intention was regressed on the five TPB constructs followed by the particular moderator, and finally the five interaction terms [29]. Although the study design was cross-sectional, the moderators we tested (i.e., demographic and medical variables) are antecedents to the TPB constructs and thus qualify as moderators for our analyses as recommended by Kraemer et al. [30]. We also repeated the analyses with each of the independent variables mean centered (i.e., the individual score subtracted from the group mean) to reduce any potential effects of multicollinearity [29] but did not find any meaningful differences. We present the results of the uncentered analyses.

Results

Flow of participants through the study has been presented elsewhere [31]. In summary, of the 769 eligible endometrial cancer survivors to whom we mailed questionnaire packages, 386 returned the completed questionnaire (response rate = 50.2%). For the present analyses, we had 354 individuals provide evaluable data since 32 of the original participants were missing data on one or more of the TPB variables. We compared responders, non-responders, and non-approvals (by their physicians) in terms of medical and demographic variables and found that the only significant difference ($p < .001$) was that fewer non-approvals had received radiation therapy

(24.6%) compared to responders (49.3%) and non-responders (48.7%).

Details of demographic and medical variables are reported elsewhere [31]. In brief, 44% ($n = 156$) of participants were not exercising at all, 23% ($n = 80$) were not meeting public health exercise guidelines but were accumulating some moderate-to-strenuous exercise minutes, and 33% ($n = 118$) were meeting public health exercise guidelines. The mean age of the sample was 64.5 years ($SD = 10.6$), 69% were married, 38% had completed university/college, and 63% were retired. The mean number of months since diagnosis was 52 ($SD = 32$; range: 9–115), 54% had disease stage I, 18% had stage II, 9% had stage III, and 18% were ambiguous (likely stage I). For treatment, 99% had undergone surgery, 48% had received radiation therapy, 8% had received chemotherapy, and 7% had received hormone therapy. Mean BMI was 29.3 ($SD = 6.6$).

Table 1 displays differences in exercise levels based on the demographic and medical variables. Significant differences were found in exercise level based on marital status ($\chi^2 = 11.4, p = .003$), income ($\chi^2 = 8.6, p = .013$) and BMI ($\chi^2 = 7.9, p = .020$). Descriptive statistics of the TPB variables indicated that participants, on average, had neutral intentions to exercise regularly over the next month. Participants indicated quite positive instrumental attitudes and slightly positive affective attitudes. On average, participants moderately agreed that important others in their life would encourage and support their exercise efforts. They also believed exercising regularly would be slightly easy, and felt they had moderate control over exercise (Table 2).

Correlations among Theory of Planned Behavior constructs

Bivariate correlations among the TPB constructs and exercise behavior were positive and significant (Table 2). Intention had the strongest correlation with exercise behavior ($r = .53, p < .001$). Self-efficacy ($r = .56, p < .001$)

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Correlations for the Theory of Planned Behavior (N = 354).

| Variable | 2. | 3. | 4. | 5. | 6. | 7. | M | SD |
|--------------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1. Exercise Behavior | .53* | .42* | .41* | .38* | .49* | .44* | 0.89 | 0.87 |
| 2. Intention | | .46* | .53* | .34* | .56* | .47* | 4.14 | 1.86 |
| 3. Instrumental Attitude | | | .53* | .65* | .66* | .64* | 6.17 | 1.02 |
| 4. Affective Attitude | | | | .33* | .54* | .47* | 5.25 | 1.29 |
| 5. Subjective Norm | | | | | .53* | .52* | 5.95 | 1.17 |
| 6. Self-efficacy | | | | | | .84* | 5.40 | 1.42 |
| 7. Perceived Control | | | | | | | 5.27 | 1.67 |

* $p < .001$

M = mean

SD = standard deviation

and affective attitude ($r = .53, p < .001$) had the strongest correlations with intention.

Multivariate tests of the Theory of Planned Behavior

Results of the multiple regression procedures (Table 3) indicated that the TPB explained 34.1% of the variance in exercise behavior with intention ($\beta = .38, p < .001$) and self-efficacy ($\beta = .18, p = .029$) providing independent associations. When the significant demographic and medical variables (i.e., marital status, income level and BMI) were added, an additional 2.3% of the variance in exercise behavior was explained by the model, with income level independently correlating with exercise behavior ($\beta = .11, p = .013$). Marital status and BMI were not found to independently correlate with exercise behavior, but rather were found to be mediated by the TPB, evident by them not being significant after controlling for the TPB constructs in the model. Subsequent independent t-tests that compared the demographic/medical subgroups on scores on the TPB variables indicated which TPB construct mediated that particular demographic/medical variable. Those who were married reported significantly higher scores on subjective norm ($t = 2.8, p = .006$) and instrumental attitude ($t = 2.0, p = .042$) compared to those survivors who

were not married. Those who were obese reported significantly lower affective attitudes ($t = 4.5, p < .001$), self-efficacy ($t = 2.7, p = .006$) and perceived control ($t = 2.1, p = .036$) and higher subjective norms ($t = 2.1, p = .037$) compared to those who were healthy weight/overweight.

For intention, 38.3% of the variance was explained, with self-efficacy ($\beta = .34, p < .001$) and affective attitude ($\beta = .30, p < .001$) providing independent associations.

Medical and demographic moderators of the TPB

For exercise behavior, we found no significant moderating effects of BMI, months since diagnosis, or treatment. We did, however, find a significant interaction of age with intention ($p = .001$) and perceived control ($p = .001$; Table 4). Subsequent separate regression analyses of the three age categories revealed that exercise intention was independently associated with exercise behavior in survivors under the age of 60 years ($\beta = .55, p < .001$) and between the ages of 60 and 70 ($\beta = .45, p < .001$) but not in the over 70 age group ($\beta = .09, p = .402$) whereas perceived control was the only independent correlate of exercise behavior in the oldest age category ($\beta = .39, p = .003$).

For exercise intention, we found no moderating effects of age, months since diagnosis, or treatment but we did find a significant interaction for BMI with instrumental attitude ($p = .002$) and self-efficacy ($p = .001$; Table 5). Follow up regression analyses for each of the three separate BMI categories indicated that instrumental attitude was independently associated with intention in healthy weight survivors ($\beta = .61, p < .001$), but not in overweight ($\beta = .04,$

Table 3: Hierarchical Forced Entry Multiple Regression Analyses.

| <i>Exercise Behavior on Intention, Perceived Control, and Self-Efficacy</i> | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | F_{change} | df | R^2_{change} | β^1 | β^2 |
| (Block #1) | 60.5 | 3,350 | .34 | | |
| Intention | | | | .38*** | .38*** |
| Self-efficacy | | | | .18* | .14 |
| Perceived Control | | | | .11 | .13 |
| (Block #2) | 4.7 | 6,347 | .03 | | |
| Marital status | | | | | .04 |
| Income | | | | | .13** |
| BMI | | | | | -.07 |
| Total Model | 60.5 | 3,350 | .34 | | |

| <i>Exercise Intention on the Theory of Planned Behavior</i> | | | | |
|---|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | F | df | R^2 | β |
| Instrumental Attitude | | | | .06 |
| Affective Attitude | | | | .30*** |
| Subjective Norm | | | | .02 |
| Self-Efficacy | | | | .36*** |
| Perceived Control | | | | -.02 |
| Total Model | 43.12 | 5,348 | .38 | |

* = $p < .05$
 ** = $p < .01$
 *** = $p < .001$
 F = F ratio
 df = degrees of freedom
 R² = coefficient of determination
 β^{1-2} = standardized regression coefficients for equations #1 and #2

Table 4: Hierarchical Forced Entry Multiple Regression of Exercise Behavior on Intention, Perceived Control, Self-Efficacy, Age, and Interactions with Age.

| | F_{change} | df | R^2_{change} | β^1 | β^2 | β^3 |
|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (Block #1) | 60.5 | 3,350 | .34 | | | |
| Intention | | | | .38*** | .39*** | .79*** |
| Self-efficacy | | | | .18* | .17* | .31 |
| Perceived Control | | | | .11 | .11 | -.55* |
| (Block #2) | 3.7 | 1,349 | .01 | | | |
| Age | | | | | -.08 | -.33 |
| (Block #3) | 7.4 | 3,346 | .04 | | | |
| Age*Intention | | | | | | -.57** |
| Age*Self-efficacy | | | | | | -.17 |
| Age*Perceived Control | | | | | | .95** |
| Total Model | 31.3 | 7,346 | .39 | | | |

* = $p < .05$
 ** = $p < .01$
 *** = $p < .001$
 F = F ratio
 df = degrees of freedom
 R² = coefficient of determination
 β^{1-3} = standardized regression coefficients for equations #1 to #3

Table 5: Hierarchical Forced Entry Multiple Regression of Exercise Intention on the Theory of Planned Behavior, BMI, and Interactions with BMI

| | F_{change} | df | R^2_{change} | β^1 | β^2 | β^3 |
|---------------------------|--------------|--------|----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| (Block #1) | 43.1 | 5,348 | .38 | | | |
| Instrumental Attitude | | | | .06 | .06 | .76** |
| Affective Attitude | | | | .30*** | .31*** | .13 |
| Subjective Norm | | | | .02 | .01 | -.05 |
| Self-efficacy | | | | .36*** | .36*** | -.41 |
| Perceived Control | | | | -.02 | -.02 | .17 |
| (Block #2) | 0.8 | 1,347 | .00 | | .04 | .31 |
| BMI | | | | | | |
| (Block #3) | 4.1 | 5,342 | .04 | | | |
| BMI*Instrumental Attitude | | | | | | -1.60** |
| BMI*Affective Attitude | | | | | | .24 |
| BMI*Subjective Norm | | | | | | .13 |
| BMI*Self-efficacy | | | | | | 1.20** |
| BMI*Perceived Control | | | | | | -.23 |
| Total Model | 22.4 | 11,342 | .42 | | | |

* = $p < .05$
 ** = $p < .01$
 *** = $p < .001$
 F = F ratio
 df = degrees of freedom
 R² = coefficient of determination
 β^{1-3} = standardized regression coefficients for equations #1 to #3

$p = .718$) or obese survivors ($\beta = -.05, p = .635$). Conversely, self-efficacy was independently associated with exercise intention in obese survivors ($\beta = .57, p < .001$), but not in healthy weight ($\beta = -.12, p = .490$) or overweight survivors ($\beta = .25, p = .103$).

Most common accessible beliefs

The five most commonly reported beliefs for each belief category were as follows. Behavioral beliefs: (1) lose weight, (2) feel better about one's self, (3) keep in shape, (4) improve strength/tone muscles, and (5) improve cardiovascular health. Control beliefs: (1) poor health, (2) lack of time, (3) poor weather conditions, (4) injury, and (5) fatigue/lack of energy. Normative beliefs: (1) doctor, (2) spouse, (3) family, (4) children, and (5) friends (Table 6).

Discussion

In support of our primary hypothesis, the TPB accounted for 31% of the variance in exercise behavior, and 38% of the variance in exercise intentions. These findings are comparable to a meta-analysis that found, in exercise

Table 6: Most Common Behavioral, Control, and Normative Beliefs of Endometrial Cancer Survivors Concerning Regular Exercise (N = 354).

| | n | % survivors ¹ | % respondents ² |
|--|-----|--------------------------|----------------------------|
| Most Common Behavioral Beliefs (Advantages) | | | |
| Lose weight | 127 | 35.9 | 45.8 |
| Feel better about self | 99 | 28.0 | 35.7 |
| Keep in shape | 92 | 26.0 | 33.2 |
| Improves strength/tones muscles | 62 | 17.5 | 22.4 |
| Improve cardiovascular health | 51 | 14.4 | 18.4 |
| Improves mental health | 41 | 11.6 | 14.8 |
| Improves flexibility | 17 | 4.8 | 6.1 |
| Improves breathing | 16 | 4.5 | 5.8 |
| Aids in recovery from injuries | 10 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| Most Common Control Beliefs (Barriers) | | | |
| Poor health | 56 | 15.8 | 25.9 |
| Lack of time | 52 | 14.7 | 24.1 |
| Poor weather conditions | 47 | 13.3 | 21.8 |
| Injury | 39 | 11.0 | 18.1 |
| Fatigue/lack of energy | 24 | 6.8 | 11.1 |
| Lack of access to facilities | 23 | 6.5 | 10.6 |
| Lack of motivation | 17 | 4.8 | 7.9 |
| Frequent disruptions to schedule | 12 | 3.4 | 5.6 |
| Family demands | 11 | 3.1 | 5.1 |
| Most Common Normative Beliefs (Approve) | | | |
| Doctor | 152 | 42.9 | 52.1 |
| Spouse | 132 | 37.3 | 45.2 |
| Family | 111 | 31.4 | 38.0 |
| Children | 110 | 31.1 | 37.7 |
| Friends | 79 | 22.3 | 27.1 |
| Everyone | 44 | 12.4 | 15.1 |

¹ Percentage of responses from all participants (N = 354).
² Percentage of responses from participants who answered the question.

studies of the general population, the TPB accounted for 27.4% of the variance in behavior and 44.5% of the variance in intention [32]. In the literature on cancer survivors, the TPB has generally explained 14% to 35% of the variance in exercise behavior, and 23% to 68% of the variance in exercise intention [4].

An examination of the correlates of exercise behavior and motivation from the present study suggests endometrial cancer survivors show some similarities to other cancer survivor groups but also several important differences. Consistent with all previous research with cancer survivors, our study found that intention was an independent correlate of exercise behavior [4]. Self-efficacy was also found to an independent correlate of exercise behavior, a finding that was similar to several other studies that found

PBC to be an independent correlate of exercise behavior [2,6].

We also found that affective attitude and self-efficacy were the independent correlates of intention in our overall analysis. These results are similar to other studies of non-clinical populations and cancer survivors that suggest attitude and PBC are the key correlates of intention [4,32]. Other research has also specifically indicated affective attitude to be a significant correlate of intention in cancer survivors [3]. The finding that subjective norm was not an independent correlate of intention in the present study, however, is different from other research [3]. This may be because the majority of participants in the present study were overweight or obese and therefore may be accustomed to hearing advice from others to exercise more. For example, physicians are more likely to offer advice on exercising and weight loss to obese patients than to those who are non-obese [33]. These women may, as a result, be less responsive to advice from others to exercise since they have heard it so much in the past. We did not, however, assess descriptive norm, which may have correlated with intention.

Also in support of our hypothesis, we found that the TPB mediated the associations that marital status and BMI had with exercise. Specifically, survivors who were not married and who were obese had the lowest exercise participation. Interestingly, married survivors indicated significantly higher subjective norms than survivors who were not married, a finding that is in line with past research that suggests the importance of spousal support in exercise adherence [34]. Consistent with past research on exercise in the obese in the general population [18,35,36], we found significantly lower scores on affective attitudes, self-efficacy and perceived control in obese survivors, indicating that they find exercise to not be as enjoyable and have feel less confidence and control over exercising regularly compared to health weight/overweight survivors. Interestingly, and contrary to our hypotheses, we found that income independently correlated with exercise after controlling for the TPB. Although a relationship between income and exercise has been documented consistently in the general population [19], we had expected that the TPB constructs would account for this association in endometrial cancer survivors.

From our exploratory analyses, we found that age and BMI moderated the associations of the TPB. Interestingly, we found that control constructs (self-efficacy and perceived control) were the most important correlates of exercise and exercise intention in older survivors and obese survivors, but not younger or healthy/overweight survivors. These findings are in line with Bandura's Social Cognitive Theory that states that control issues are most relevant

under challenging conditions [37]. The number of comorbidities in older compared to younger survivors may make it more challenging to exercise (e.g., health problems, injuries, pain) while obstacles such as excess body weight and other health conditions may hinder self-confidence for exercise in obese survivors.

This study also documented the underlying beliefs that endometrial cancer survivors have about exercise. These findings are important since they: (a) can be used to design TPB questionnaires that include belief-based measures for endometrial cancer survivors and (b) provide an understanding of attitudes, subjective norms, and perceived behavioral control in this population that can be targeted in interventions. The finding that weight loss is the most commonly reported behavioral belief is consistent with the high rate of obesity in this population, as well as previous research which suggests weight loss is a key motivator for exercise in women [38]. Additionally, the key behavioral beliefs appear to be primarily about physical health benefits. These findings are in line with previous research that has found health to be the most common motivator for physical activity [39].

The control beliefs reported in the present study are similar to those of other research with cancer survivors, which also cite lack of time, fatigue, and various health related problems as important barriers [40]. Poor health was, however, a novel control belief reported in the present study. This barrier may be paramount for this population because of the high obesity rate, and the greater rate of comorbidities attached to obesity, such as diabetes, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, and other cancers [41]. Moreover, poor health has been found to be a major barrier to exercise adoption in older adults in general [42].

The present study has a number of strengths and limitations. The main strengths of this study include being the first study to examine exercise motivation and behavior in endometrial cancer survivors, testing a validated theoretical framework, using validated TPB and exercise measures, and obtaining a large and representative sample of endometrial cancer survivors in the Alberta population. The main weaknesses of our study are the cross-sectional study design and the self-report measure of exercise. The cross-sectional design means that the data on exercise behavior are actually retrospective rather than prospective. A recent study, however, has found that exercise behavior in the past month correlates strongly with exercise behavior measured prospectively, suggesting that cross-sectional studies may only slightly overestimate the association between social cognitive variables and exercise behavior [43]. Another limitation is that we did not measure descriptive norm, only injunctive norm, so our

subjective norm variable is composed only of this subscale.

Conclusion

The findings from this study have a number of practical implications that may be useful for guiding future intervention studies. Exercise intervention studies in endometrial cancer survivors should be tested to see if strengthening exercise intentions in younger survivors and improving perceived control can reduce the intention-behavior gap. Exercise intentions in endometrial survivors may also be optimally promoted by developing programs that are enjoyable and fun and include strategies to improve self-efficacy for exercise. Moreover, intervention studies aimed at healthy weight survivors might be most effective if they focused on the potential benefits of exercise while those targeting obese survivors may be most effective if they improve exercise self-efficacy. Researchers and practitioners should also be aware that differences in exercise level may occur based on medical and demographic variables, such as marital status, income and BMI, in endometrial cancer survivors, but that many of these associations may be mediated by the constructs of the TPB. Future research will be able to determine the relative importance of the underlying beliefs that we identified in the present study and these should be the primary targets for interventions.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by a Social Sciences Research Grant from the University of Alberta. Kristina Karvinen is now with the Department of Exercise and Sport Science at East Carolina University, Greenville, North Carolina. At the time of this study, Kristina H. Karvinen was supported by an Epidemiology Graduate Studentship from the Alberta Cancer Board and a Health Research Studentship from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research at the time of this study. Kerry S. Courneya is supported by the Canada Research Chairs Program and a Research Team Grant from the National Cancer Institute of Canada (NCIC) with funds from the Canadian Cancer Society (CCS) and the NCIC/CCS Sociobehavioral Cancer Research Network. Kristin L. Campbell was supported by a Health Research Studentship from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research at the time of this study.

References

- Schmitz KH, Holtzman J, Courneya KS, Masse LC, Duval S, Kane R: **Controlled physical activity trials in cancer survivors: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005, **14**:1588-1599.
- Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM: **Relationship between exercise pattern across the cancer experience and current quality of life in colorectal cancer survivors.** *J Altern Complement Med* 1997, **3**:215-226.
- Courneya KS, Vallance JKH, Jones LW, Reiman T: **Correlates of exercise intentions in non-Hodgkin's lymphoma survivors: an application of the Theory of Planned Behavior.** *J Sport Exercise Psy* 2005, **27**:335-349.
- Courneya KS, Karvinen KH, Vallance JK: **Exercise motivation and behavior change in cancer survivorship.** In *Handbook of cancer survivorship* Edited by: Feuerstein M. New York, NY, Springer in press.
- Blanchard CM, Courneya KS, Rodgers WM, Murnaghan DM: **Determinants of exercise intention and behavior in survivors of breast and prostate cancer: an application of the Theory of Planned Behavior.** *Cancer Nurs* 2002, **25**:88-95.
- Courneya KS, Friedenreich CM, Arthur K, Bobick TM: **Understanding exercise motivation in colorectal cancer patients: a prospective study using the theory of planned behavior.** *Rehabil Psychol* 1999, **44**:68-84.
- Jones LW, Courneya KS, Vallance JK, Ladha AB, Mant MJ, Belch AR, Stewart DA, Reiman T: **Associations between exercise and quality of life in multiple myeloma cancer survivors.** *Support Care Cancer* 2004, **12**:780-788.
- Jones LW, Guill B, Keir ST, Carter K, Friedman HS, Bigner DD, Reardon DA: **Using the theory of planned behavior to understand the determinants of exercise intention in patients diagnosed with primary brain cancer.** *Psychooncology* 2006, **Epub ahead of print**.
- Modesitt SC, van Nagell jr JR: **The impact of obesity on the incidence and treatment of gynecologic cancers: a review.** *Obstet Gynecol Surv* 2005, **60(10)**:683-692.
- Sharma DN, Chander S, Gairola M, Kumar L, Parida DK, Pathy S: **Medical disorders associated with endometrial carcinoma.** *J Assoc Physicians India* 2001, **49**:630-633.
- Li C, Samsioe G, Losif C: **Quality of life in endometrial cancer survivors.** *Maturitas* 1999, **31**:227-236.
- Ajzen I: **The Theory of Planned Behavior.** *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes* 1991, **50**:179-211.
- Ajzen I: **Constructing a TPB Questionnaire: Conceptual and Methodological Considerations.** [<http://www.people.umass.edu/ajzen/pdf/tpb.measurement.pdf>].
- Rhodes RE, Blanchard CM: **Conceptual categories or operational constructs? Evaluating higher order theory of planned behavior structures in the exercise domain.** *Behav Med* 2006, **31**:141-150.
- Rhodes RE, Courneya KS: **Investigating multiple components of attitude, subjective norm, and perceived control: an examination of the theory of planned behavior in the exercise domain.** *Br J Soc Psychol* 2003, **42**:129-146.
- Rhodes RE, Plotnikoff RC, Spence JC: **Creating parsimony at the expense of precision? Conceptual and applied issues of aggregating belief-based constructs in physical activity research.** *Health Educ Res* 2004, **19**:392-405.
- Statistics Canada: **Physical activity, by age group and sex, household population aged 12 and over.** [<http://www40.statcan.ca/101/cst01/health46.htm?sd1=physical%20activity>].
- Ekkekakis P, Lind E: **Exercise does not feel the same when you are overweight: the impact of self-selected and imposed intensity on affect and exertion.** *Int J Obesity* 2005, **advance online publication**:1-9.
- Mo F, Turner M, Krewski D, Mo FD: **Physical inactivity and socioeconomic status in Canadian adolescents.** *Int J Adolesc Med Health* 2005, **17**:49-56.
- American Cancer Society: **Cancer Facts and Figures.** Atlanta, GA, American Cancer Society; 2006.
- National Cancer Institute of Canada: **Canadian Cancer Statistics 2006.** Toronto, National Cancer Institute of Canada; 2006.
- Dillman DA: **Mail and internet surveys: the tailored design method.** New York, John Wiley and Sons; 2000.
- Randsdell LB: **Maximizing response rate in questionnaire research.** *Am J Health Behav* 1996, **20**:50-56.
- Godin G, Jobin J, Bouillon J: **Assessment of leisure time exercise behavior by self-report: a concurrent validity study.** *Can J Public Health* 1986, **77**:359-362.
- Courneya KS, Jones LW, Rhodes RE, Blanchard CM: **Effects of different combinations of intensity categories on self-reported exercise.** *Res Q Exerc Sport* 2004, **75(4)**:429-433.
- Pate RR, Pratt M, Blair SN, Haskell WL, Macera CA, Bouchard C, Buchner D, Ettinger W, Heath GW, King AC, et al.: **A recommendation from the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and the American College of Sports Medicine.** *JAMA* 1995, **273**:402-407.
- Jacobs DR, Ainsworth BE, Hartman TJ, Leon AS: **A simultaneous evaluation of 10 commonly used physical activity questionnaires.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 1993, **25**:81-91.
- Baron RM, Kenny DA: **The moderator-mediator variable distinction in social psychological research: conceptual, strategic, and statistical considerations.** *J Pers Soc Psychol* 1986, **51(6)**:1173-1182.

29. Aiken LS, West SG: **Multiple regression: testing and interpreting interactions.** Newbury Park, CA, Sage; 1991.
30. Kraemer HC, Stice E, Kazdin A, Offord D, Kupfer D: **How do risk factors work together? Mediators, moderators, and independent, overlapping, and proxy risk factors.** *Am J Psychiatry* 2001, **158**:848-856.
31. Courneya KS, Karvinen KH, Campbell KL, Pearcey RG, Dundas G, Capstick V, Tonkin KS: **Associations among exercise, body weight, and quality of life in a population-based sample of endometrial cancer survivors.** *Gynecol Oncol* 2005, **97**:422-430.
32. Hagger MS, Chatzisarantis NLD, Biddle SJH: **A meta-analytic review of the theories of reasoned action and planned behavior in physical activity: predictive validity and the contribution of additional variables.** *J Sport Exercise Psy* 2002, **24**:3-32.
33. Flocke SA, Clark A, Schlessman K, Pomiecko G: **Exercise, diet, and weight loss advice in the family medicine outpatient setting.** *Fam Med* 2005, **37**(6):415-421.
34. Pettee KK, Brach JS, Kriska AM, Boudreau R, Richardson CR, Colbert LH, Satterfield S, Visser M, Harris TB, Ayonayon HN, Newman AB: **Influence of marital status on physical activity levels among older adults.** *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 2006, **38**:541-546.
35. Biddle SJH, Fox KR: **Motivation for physical activity and weight management.** *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 1998, **22**:S39-S47.
36. Steptoe A, Rink E, Kerry S: **Psychosocial predictors of changes in physical activity in overweight sedentary adults following counseling in primary care.** *Prev Med* 2000, **31**:183-194.
37. Bandura A: **Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change.** *Psychol Rev* 1977, **84**:191-215.
38. Eaton CB, Reynes J, Assaf AR, Feldman H, Lasater T, Carleton RA: **Predicting physical activity change in men and women in two New England communities.** *Am J Prev Med* 1993, **9**:209-219.
39. Scharff DP, Homan S, Kreuter M, Brennan L: **Factors associated with physical activity in women across the life span: implications for program development.** *Women Health* 1999, **29**:115-134.
40. Schwartz AL: **Patterns of exercise and fatigue in physically active cancer survivors.** *Oncol Nurs Forum* 1998, **25**:485-491.
41. **Diet, nutrition and the prevention of chronic diseases. Technical report series 916.** Geneva, World Health Organization; 2003.
42. Rhodes RE, Martin AD, Taunton JE, Rhodes EC, Donnelly M, Elliot J: **Factors associated with exercise adherence among older adults: an individual perspective.** *Sports Med* 1999, **28**:397-411.
43. Rhodes RE, Plotnikoff RC: **Can current physical activity act as a reasonable proxy measure of future physical activity? Evaluating cross-sectional and passive prospective designs with the use of social cognition models.** *Prev Med* 2005, **40**:547-555.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:
http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

