Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Nicolas Perez-Fernandez, University Clinic of Navarra, Spain

REVIEWED BY

Andrés Soto-Varela, Complejo Hospitalario Universitario de Santiago, Spain Karolina M. Stepien, Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust, United Kingdom Yuri Battaglia, University Hospital of Ferrara, Italy

*CORRESPONDENCE Philippe Perrin philippe.perrin@univ-lorraine.fr

[†]Professor of internal medicine, very involved in the care of the patients with Fabry disease, has died

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Neurorehabilitation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Neurology

RECEIVED 17 January 2022 ACCEPTED 29 June 2022 PUBLISHED 30 September 2022

CITATION

Peultier-Celli L, Jaussaud R, Kaminsky P, Deibener-Kaminsky J, Feillet F and Perrin P (2022) Balance control impairments in Fabry disease. *Front. Neurol.* 13:856946. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2022.856946

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Peultier-Celli, Jaussaud, Kaminsky, Deibener-Kaminsky, Feillet and Perrin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Balance control impairments in Fabry disease

Laetitia Peultier-Celli^{1,2}, Roland Jaussaud^{1,3}, Pierre Kaminsky^{1,3†}, Joëlle Deibener-Kaminsky³, François Feillet⁴ and Philippe Perrin^{1,2,5*}

¹EA 3450 DevAH - Development, Adaptation and Handicap, Faculty of Medicine, University of Lorraine, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ²Laboratory for the Analysis of Posture, Equilibrium and Motor Function (LAPEM), University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ³Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁴Reference Centre for Inborn Errors of Metabolism, Children Hospital, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁵Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France, ⁶Department of Pediatric Oto-Rhino-Laryngology, University Hospital of Nancy, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France

Background: Fabry disease (FD) is a rare inherited lysosomal storage disorder caused by the deficiency of the enzyme alpha-galactosidase A. This deficiency leads to an accumulation of glycosphingolipids leading to progressive and multisystemic disease, including renal, cardiac, and neurological damages. FD may also have neuro-otological and visual impairments, which can generate postural control alterations, inner ear, and vision being involved in this function. This study aimed to evaluate the impact of FD on postural control.

Methods: In total, fourteen adult patients (8 men/6 women, mean age = 37.6 ± 11.4 years) and two children (mean age = 11 years) with FD and 19 healthy adults (12 men/7 women, mean age = 36.5 ± 16.9 years) and two healthy children (mean age = 10.5 years) took part in this study. Postural control was evaluated by a sensory organization test combining three visual situations (eyes open, eyes closed, and sway referenced visual surround motion) with two platform situations (stable platform and sway referenced platform motion), aiming to calculate a composite equilibrium score (CES), a high score being representative of good postural control. Somatosensory (R^{SOM}), visual (R^{VIS}), and vestibular (R^{VEST}) contributions to postural control were calculated, a low score reflecting a poor use of the indicated sensory input.

Results: The CES was lower in adult patients with FD compared with the healthy subjects (p < 0.001). R^{VIS} (p = 0.001) and R^{VEST} (p = 0.003) were lower in patients with FD compared with the control group, whereas no difference in R^{SOM} was observed.

Conclusion: Inner ear and visual pathologies associated with the central nervous system impairments are factors of postural control impairments. Physical activities, which can also be rehabilitative, by maintaining or increasing the weight of proprioception, may help diminish dependency on altered sensorial inputs.

KEYWORDS

Fabry disease, postural control, posturography, cochleo-vestibular disorders, rehabilitation

Introduction

Fabry disease (FD) (OMIM 301500) is an X-linked recessive inborn error of glycosphingolipid metabolism due to the deficient activity of the lysosomal enzyme alpha-galactosidase A (EC 3.2.1.22). The deficiency of alpha-galactosidase A leads to the storage of neutral glycosphingolipids, particularly, globotriaosylceramide and galactosylceramide, in many tissues and cell types (1). The accumulation of its substrate, the globotriaosylceramide (GL-3) leads to cellular dysfunction which might in turn trigger inflammation or fibrosis or both and results in a complex, and heterogeneous disease (2). The incidence of FD, is estimated between 1/35,000 and 1/476,000 births (3, 4). Both hemizygous males and heterozygous females can be affected. Phenotypic expression in heterozygous female depends on random X inactivation and male patients usually develop a more severe form of the disease with an earlier age at onset than female patients. The manifestations of FD are progressive and multisystemic. FD induces a progressive accumulation of GL-3 in the lysosomes of endothelial, perithelial, smooth-muscle cells of blood vessels, ganglion cells, and in many cell types in the heart, kidneys, eyes, and most other tissues (5, 6). Neurological (cerebrovascular and acroparesthesia), renal, cardiac, dermatological (angiokeratoma and hypohidrosis) involvements and corneal abnormality are the major clinical manifestations in patients with the classic phenotype (2). Vascular involvement contributes to the central nervous system abnormalities (7) and vascular ischemia and lipid deposition in the perineurium may cause the peripheral nerve conduction abnormalities seen in FD (8). Patients with FD suffer from sensorineural hearing loss, with both progressive hearing impairment (microvascular mechanism) and sudden deafness (macrovascular mechanism). These patients also present peripheral vestibular deficits with dizziness and vertigo. Vascular damage seems to be involved in the pathophysiologic mechanisms of cochleo-vestibular disorders (9). A correlation of neuropathic and vascular damage with hearing loss was found in men in whom residual alpha-galactosidase A activity appears to have a protective effect against hearing loss (10). Progressive vestibular loss was found in 80% of men and 77% of women when assessed with head impulse testing (11).

Postural control, which is an inner part of many ordinary activities, is a complex sensorimotor function that requires central integration of visual, vestibular, and proprioceptive/somatosensory systems. Integration of these three inputs generates a context-specific motor response, which leads to stabilization of gaze and antigravity posture (12–15). Visual and inner ear inputs, which can be affected by FD, contribute to the postural control.

Aging and a sedentary lifestyle are accompanied by a reduction in muscle mass and strength, which may be prevented or delayed by the practice of physical and sporting activities. Becoming skilled in the sporting activities helps to improve postural performance and as a consequence, reduce the number of falls (16).

To our knowledge, only one study included postural control evaluation in FD. This study, performed in eight patients, showed normal results in all the cases except for one whose postural control was abnormal with low scores in composite and vestibular component analysis (10). Our study aimed to evaluate the impact of FD on the postural control in treated and untreated patients.

Methods

Patients and controls

A case control study was conducted in the Nancy University Hospital (France) on 14 adult patients (8 men/6 women, mean age = 37.62 ± 11.43 years, ranging from 18 to 60 years) and two children (mean age = 11.01 ± 2.54 years) with FD issued from 6 families. Adult patients are those followed by the Department of Internal Medicine and Clinical Immunology and the children by the Reference Center for Inborn Errors of Metabolism, Children Hospital, University Hospital of Nancy.

A control group of 19 healthy adult volunteers (12 men and 5 women, mean age = 36.51 ± 16.99 years ranging from 21 to 72) and two children (mean age = 10.5 years ± 0.79) with no pathology took part in this study.

All the patients were included after neuro-otological examination, and the history of FD was recorded for each patient.

The history of FD was recorded for each patient and a clinical neuro-otological assessment aimed at detecting and discriminating central and vestibular signs, identifying segmental or axial deviations, and ruling out confounding associated factors. Concerning the vestibular syndrome, the consequences of a vestibular lesion were appreciated by evaluating the vestibulo-ocular pathway (presence of nystagmus) and the vestibulo-spinal pathway (which lesion can produce instability).

When abnormalities were observed at the clinical neurootological examination (including videonystagmoscopic head-shaking test and head impulse test) or if vertigo were reported, a more complete assessment was performed (caloric test, rotatory test, and skull-vibration-inducednystagmus test), adapted to the age, the associated pathologies, and the side effects of the treatment of these pathologies.

This work has been conducted in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for experiments involving humans and conducted in soundproof rooms for balance control recordings (Agence Régionale de Santé de Lorraine agreement for research). All the participants gave their informed consent prior to the clinical



FIGURE 1

The six conditions of the SOT (EquiTest, NeuroCom International Inc., Clackamas, OR, USA). Conditions 1–3 were performed on a fixed platform with eyes open, eyes closed and vision sway-referenced. Conditions 4–6 were performed on a sway-referenced platform (somatosensory input inaccurate) with eyes open, eyes closed and vision sway-referenced (visual input inaccurate).

Conditions	Situation	Sensory consequences
Condition 1 (C1)	Eyes open, fixed support	-
Condition 2 (C2)	Eyes closed, fixed support	Vision absent
Condition 3 (C3)	SR surround, fixed support	Altered vision
Condition 4 (C4)	Eyes open, SR support	Altered proprioception
Condition 5 (C5)	Eyes closed, SR support	Vision absent, altered proprioception
Condition 6 (C6)	SR surround, SR support	Altered vision and proprioception
Ratios		Significance
Somatosensory (R ^{SOM})	C2/C1	Question: does sway increase when visual cues are removed?
		Low scores: poor use of somatosensory references
Visual (R ^{VIS})	C4/C1	Question: does sway increase when somatosensory cues inaccurate?
		Low scores: poor use of visual references
Vestibular (R ^{VEST})	C5/C1	Question: does sway increase when visual cues are removed and somatosensory cues are
		inaccurate?
		Low scores: poor use of vestibular cues or vestibular cues unavailable

Determination of the six conditions and significance of sensory ratios (17-19).

The composite equilibrium score (CES) was calculated by adding the average scores from conditions C1 + C2 + 3 x C3 + 3 x C4 + 3 x C5 + 3 x C6 / 14.

SR, sway-referenced.

evaluation. For children, the parents and the participant gave their consent to participate.

Posturographic analysis

The Sensory Organization Test (SOT) was performed on an EquiTest computerized dynamic posturography platform (Neurocom, Clackamas, OR, USA). For the test, the subjects were requested to stand upright and barefoot on the platform, remaining as stable as possible, breathing normally, and with their arms at their sides, and were instructed to look straight ahead at a picture located on the visual surround. The SOT evaluates the patient's ability to make effective use of visual, vestibular, and somatosensory inputs separately and to suppress sensory information that is inappropriate. To give inadequate information, somatosensory and visual cues are disrupted by using a technique commonly referred to as sway-referenced. This technique involves tilting the support surface and/or the visual surround to directly follow the anterior-posterior sways of the subject's center of gravity (CoG) (17) (Figure 1). The SOT comprised six conditions (Table 1). The first two conditions provide a basic measurement of the subject's stability. The support is fixed and the subject's eyes are open (condition 1) or closed (condition 2). In condition 3, the support surface remains fixed while the subject stands, eyes open, within a swayreferenced visual surround. For conditions 4-6, somatosensory information is systematically disrupted (sway-referenced) and vision is fixed (condition 4), absent (condition 5), and swayreferenced (condition 6), respectively. In conditions 3 and 4, a sensory conflict is induced, but relatively easy to solve according to a ratio between the number of disrupted information and the number of reliable information (one disrupted cue for two reliable cues). The sensory conflict is more difficult to solve in conditions 5 (one disrupted cue for one reliable cue and vision being absent) and 6 (two disrupted cues for one reliable cue). For each condition, the subject maintains an upright stance during three 20s trials with as little sway as possible and without moving the feet. When the subject required the assistance of the harness or took a step, the test was rated a fall. An Equilibrium Score (ES) was calculated by comparing the patient's anterior-posterior sway during each 20s SOT trial to the maximal theoretical sway limits of stability. The theoretical limit of stability is based on the individual's height and size of the base of support (8.5° anteriorly and 4.0° posteriorly).

A composite equilibrium score (CES) was calculated by adding the average scores from conditions 1 and 2 and the ES from each trial of sensory conditions 3, 4, 5, and 6, and finally dividing that sum by the total number of trials (Table 1).

Lower sways lead to a higher CES, indicating a better balance control performance (a score of 100 represents no

sway, while 0 indicates sway that exceeds the limit of stability, resulting in a fall).

Statistics

Results between the two adult groups are presented as mean \pm SD. Comparison of qualitative variables used the Fisher's exact test while that of quantitative variables was performed using the non-parametric Mann Whitney *U*-test. Multivariate analysis used a multiple logistic regression model. A *p*-value lower than 0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

No significant differences were observed between the two groups for age, height, weight, BMI, and sex. Acroparesthesia were present in 64.3% (9 patients), 57% of them (8 patients) suffered from dizziness (accompanied by orthostatic hypotension for 28.6% [3 patients, one of them related to side effects of antihypertensive treatment (severe HCM)], 42.9% of them suffered of tinnitus (6 patients), 85.7% have a hearing loss (57% have a slight hearing loss (8/14 patients), 28.6% have a moderate hearing loss (4/14 patients), and 7.1% have an unilateral sudden hearing loss (1/14 patient); 64.3% of adult patients (9/14) were treated by enzyme replacement therapy (ERT) (Table 2). In the adult patients, 7/8 men and 5/6 women had hearing loss.

No genotype-phenotype correlation was found for hearing/balance disorders.

Posturography analysis

In adults, patients with FD showed significantly lower composite equilibrium score (CES) (73.1 ± 8.7 vs. 83.8 ± 5.3 (p < 0.001), visual ratio (\mathbb{R}^{VIS}) (0.831 ± 0.087 vs. 0.932 ± 0.047; p = 0.001) and vestibular ratio (\mathbb{R}^{VEST}) (0.534 ± 0.282 vs. 0.750 ± 0.097; p = 0.003) compared with the control group whereas no difference of somatosensory ratio (\mathbb{R}^{SOM}) (p = 0.182) were observed (Figure 2, Table 3). In the adult patients, postural control was impaired in 3/8 men and 5/8 women.

Enzyme replacement therapy did not influence postural measures. However, patients with postural control instability (4 patients) presented more frequently impaired CES (p < 0.001), R^{SOM} (p < 0.02), and R^{VEST} (p < 0.001) than the other patients and were more frequently women than men (p < 0.005). In the multivariate analysis, altered R^{VEST} remained the sole independent factor explaining abnormal postural control in patients with FD.

Part 1: Clinical characteristics

Patients	No	Age range (y)	Same family members	Hearing loss	Tinnitus	Vertigo/ Dizziness	Vision	Somesthesia	CNS	Gaze stabilization	Postural control	Organ failure
Family 1	1	15–19	2, 3, 4	Slight	+		Муоріа	Acroparesthesia		Bilateral end-point nystagmus		
	2	20-24	1, 3, 4	Slight			Astigmatism	Acroparesthesia				
	3	25-29	1, 2, 4	Normal		+	CV,	Acroparesthesia		Bilateral end-point		
							astigmatism, hypermetropia			nystagmus		
	4	45-49	1, 2, 3	Moderate		+ orthostatic	Astigmatism,		Cephalalgia	Vergence deficit		
						hypotension	myopia		(visual)			
Family 2	5	25-29		Slight				Acroparesthesia		Vergence deficit		
	6	30-34	7	Normal			Hypermetropia	Acroparesthesia	MRI			Nephrotic
									periventricular			syndrom
									hypersignals			
	7	60-64	6	Moderate	+	+ orthostatic				Bilateral end-point		Severe
						hypotension				nystagmus		HCM
Family 3	8	10-14	9, 10, 11, 12	Slight							Instability	
	9	5-9	8, 10, 11, 12	Normal								
	10	35-39	8, 9, 11, 12	Unilateral	+			Acroparesthesia	MRI	Vergence deficit,		HCM
				sudden					periventricular	saccadic ocular		
				hearing loss					hypersignals	pursuit		
	11	35-39	8, 9, 10, 12	Slight	+	+		Acroparesthesia	Pituitary		Instability	
									adenoma			
	12	40-44	8, 9, 10, 11	Slight	+	+ orthostatic		Acroparesthesia			Instability	Mild
						hypotension						HCM
Family 4	13	35-39	14	Slight		+ (VVS,	Astigmatism,		MRI	Bilateral end-point	Instability	
						epilepsia)	myopia		periventricular	nystagmus,		
									hypersignals	vergence deficit		
	14	40-44	13	Slight		+			Migraine,		Instability	
									darkness			
									phobia			

Frontiers in Neurology

10.3389/fneur.2022.856946

Part 1: Clinical characteristics

Patients	No	Age range (y)	Same family members	Hearing loss	Tinnitus	Vertigo/ Dizziness	Vision	Somesthesia	CNS	Gaze stabilization	Postural control	Organ failure
Family 5	15	50-54		Moderate	+	+	CV,	Acroparesthesia		saccadic ocular		Moderate
							astigmatism,			pursuit		HCM
							hypermetropia					
Family 6	16	40-44		Moderate			CV,		MRI			ESRD
							astigmatism,		periventricular			
							hypermetropia		hypersignals			

Part 2: Genetic mutations and treatments

Families	No	Age range (y)	Mutation	Nucleotide aberration	Megalastat sensible	ERT	Treatment duration (y)	Other treatments
1	1	15-19	IVS4-2 A>T	c.640-2A>T	_	AA	3	
	2	20-24	IVS4-2 A>T	c.640-2A>T	_	AA	3	
	3	25-29	IVS4-2 A>T	c.640-2A>T	_	AA	0.5	
	4	45-49	IVS4-2 A>T	c.640-2A>T	_	-	0	Anti AHT
2	5	25-29	p.A143T	c.427G > A	+	-	0	
	6	30-34	p.A143T	c.427G>A	+	AB	3	
	7	60-65	p.A143T	c.427G>A	+	AB	0.5	Anti vertiginous
3	8	10-14	p.M42R	c.125 T>G	+	-	0	
	9	5–9	p.M42R	c.125 T>G	+	-	0	
	10	35-39	p.M42R	c.125 T>G	+	AA	1	Anti AHT
	11	35-39	p.M42R	c.125 T>G	+	-	0	Vestibular
								Rehabilitation, anti
								vertiginous
	12	40-44	p.M42R	c.125 T>G	+	AA	1	
4	13	35-39	p.P205S	c.613 C>T	+	-	0	Antiepileptic
	14	40-44	p.P205S	c.613 C>T	+	-	0	Balance
								Rehabilitation
5	15	50-54	del 50 pb Ex7	del 50 pb Ex7	_	AA	5	
6	16	40-44	-	_	-	AA	4	

Anti AHT, anti-arterial hypertension; HCM, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy; CV, cornea verticillata; ESRD, End-stage renal disease; VVS, vasovagal syndrome; ERT, Enzyme replacement therapy; AA, AB, agalsidase alfa, beta; MRI, Magnetic Resonance imaging.

10.3389/fneur.2022.856946



Postural control analysis was also performed on the other two younger patients with FD, one age range 10–14 y and one age range 5–9 y. Postural control was lower in the oldest child compared with the two healthy children while no difference was observed in the youngest one compared with the healthy children.

Vestibular examination

Vertigo and/or R^{VEST} abnormalities were noted in patients 3, 4, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14, and 15.

There were no abnormalities on complementary examinations in patients 3 and 7. The interpretation was disturbed in patient 4 by a strabismus. In patient 13, the results were disturbed by the side effects of the antiepileptic treatment. Unilateral vestibular areflexia was observed in patients 8 and 12 and bilateral vestibular hyporeflexia in patient 14, and areflexia in patient 11. In patient 15, eye pursuit was saccadic.

Discussion

This study showed that balance control performance was lower in adult patients with FD patients compared with the healthy subjects. Inner ear and visual pathologies associated with the central nervous system impairments are the main factors of postural control impairments in these patients whereas no difference was observed between the two groups in the use of somesthetic input.

Fabry disease frequently leads to the inner ear dysfunctions, such as sensorineural hearing loss, sudden deafness, tinnitus, and dizziness or vertigo (20). Hearing loss in FD is due to the accumulation of GL-3 in the inner ear (21). In the mouse the

loss of a-galactosidase A activity is genetically or biochemically buffered and not sufficient *per se* to cause an appreciable degree of hearing impairment (22). Although the alpha-galactosidase A deficient mice showed no clear hearing loss, GL-3 accumulation was demonstrated in the cochlea (21). The data demonstrate that in the mouse the loss of α -galactosidase A activity is genetically or biochemically buffered and not sufficient *per se* to cause an appreciable degree of hearing impairment.

Histopathologic evidence of glycosphingolipid accumulation in vascular endothelial and ganglion cells, and also atrophy of the stria and spiral ligament, might explain the otoneurologic symptoms (23).

To date, it is not known if ERT can reduce or prevent the cerebrovascular complications and hearing loss associated with FD, and it is unclear whether vertigo and tinnitus can be improved with ERT. It has been hypothesized that since migalastat is able to cross the blood-brain barrier, it might contribute in reducing the occurrence of cerebrovascular events (24).

Progressive sensorineural hearing loss can be stabilized, but not reversed (with or without treatment), but frequency of sudden hearing loss decreases during ERT compared with the frequencies observed in untreated patients (25). ERT does not appear to be a recognized therapy for sudden hearing loss. Therapeutic goals for hearing loss include stabilization of hearing loss, the possible use of hearing aids or cochlear implants to improve both hearing and patient quality of life (26).

No age effect was observed in the adult patients and one of both children (age range 10–14 y) with low posturographic performances do not present other clinical signs than postural instability.

Genotype-phenotype correlation remains controversial in FD and there is no specific data about hearing/balance disorders in term of genotype-phenotype correlation. In FD, more than

Patients	Vertigo	Composite score (CES)	Vestibular ratio (RVEST)	Visual ratio (RVIS)	Somatosensory ratio (RSOM)	Posturographic pattern	Vestibular examination
1		77	0.71	0.79	0.99	RVIS slightly 뇌	-
2		78	0.62	0.83	1.01	Normal	-
3	+	81	0.70	0.93	0.97	Normal	Nothing to notice
4	+	74	0.65	0.70	1.00	RVIS 🏼	Interpretation disturbed by strabismus
5		82	0.68	0.89	0.99	Normal	-
6		85	0.83	0.98	0.96	Normal	
7	+	83	0.72	0.95	0.98	Normal	Nothing to notice (orthostatic hypotension)
8		51	0.00	0.68	0.86	RVEST $\mathbf{\lambda}$ RVIS $\mathbf{\lambda}$ CES $\mathbf{\lambda}$	Left vestibular areflexia
9		72	0.59	0.84	0.99	Normal	-
10		76	0.65	0.77	0.96	RVIS 뇌	-
11	+	66	0.00	0.88	0.85	Ces $\mathbf{\lambda}$ rvest $\mathbf{\lambda}$	Bilateral vestibular areflexia
12	+	49	0.00	0.77	0.85	RVEST $\mathbf{\lambda}$ RVIS $\mathbf{\lambda}$ CES $\mathbf{\lambda}$	Left vestibular areflexia
13	+	67	0.52	0.75	0.94	CES NRVEST NRVIS N	Interpretation disturbed by
							epilepsy treatment and vergence deficit
14	+	51	0.10	0.73	0.78	Ces $\mathbf{\lambda}$ rvest $\mathbf{\lambda}$ rsom $\mathbf{\lambda}$	Bilateral vestibular hyporeflexia
15	+	72	0.58	0.79	0.96	RVEST $oldsymbol{\lambda}$ RVIS slightly $oldsymbol{\lambda}$	Vestibular central pathology
							Saccadic ocular pursuit
16		83	0.72	0.87	0.98	Normal	-

1,000 variants have been described and this high number underly the phenotype heterogeneity observed in this disease. Most of variants are "private" and confined to individual pedigrees with possible variability in phenotypic expression due to phenotype-modifying factors: i.e., genetic background, epigenetics, and environmental conditions (27, 28). One recent paper described the genotype-phenotype correlation in term of event-free but not in function of each possible clinical event, and in particular, there is no specific data about hearing/balance disorders in these patients (29). Moreover, in women patients, the phenotype depends of the genotype but also (and mainly) of

the X-chromosome inactivation (30). Patients with FD respond inappropriately to conflicting or inaccurate sensorial inputs. Vestibular alteration may explain a lower inner ear contribution in postural control (R^{VEST}) with lower values in postural control (CES) in patients with FD compared with the healthy subjects.

Concerning the 4 patients with vestibular hypo or areflexia, all 4 had a slight hearing loss (16 to 20 dB). The combination of vertigo and hearing loss may point to the inner ear as the origin of the disorder because of anatomic proximity of the vestibular and cochlear structures, whereas a lack of correlation between vestibular and cochlear disorders may suggest different pathophysiological mechanisms for these two structures.

Among the four patients with the vestibular disorders, two were unilateral and two were bilateral and symmetrical. Slow progressive vestibular damage, through the development of vestibular compensation mechanisms involving effective use of alternative sensory inputs, may allow the patient to experience few symptoms.

Vergence disorders and saccadic ocular pursuit contribute also to a lower postural control. Strabismus may interfere with pursuit and visual fixation and be accompanied by ophthalmic nystagmus. This oculomotor disturbance may suggest central vestibular damage. Because of the vestibuloplegic effect (antiepileptics) or the induction of nystagmus or abnormal eye movements (antiepileptics and anti-inflammatory drugs) of some of the prescribed drugs, vestibulo-ocular reflex analyses were difficult to interpret. Dizziness could be induced by inner ear toxicity (bilateral toxicity) or by the central damage.

Corneal abnormalities (cornea verticillata), neither responsible for changes in visual acuity nor causing complaints, did not decrease the weight of visual input in postural control. None of the patients with FD in our study had a visual dependency or preference.

Acroparesthesia did not cause a decrease in somesthetic afference weight.

The presence of both a decrease in visual and vestibular afference may reflect a central integration defect.

Usher syndrome, an autosomal recessive disorder, is characterized by congenital hearing loss combined with retinitis pigmentosa, and in some cases, vestibular areflexia, leading to postural disability, that may have similar posturographic results to those of the FD (31). In patients with FD, recovery in treated patients, i.e., *a* priori the most severe forms, would be in favor of damage to peripheral structures and a potentially curative action of the treatment. In our study, in adults, the composite score (CES) was better in treated patients than in untreated patients (76 vs. 69), as were R^{SOM} (0.96 vs. 0.92), R^{VIS} (0.86 vs. 0.79), and R^{VEST} (0.61 vs. 0.43), the results favoring peripheral involvement not being significant, however, due to the small size of the population.

Since the patients had comparable characteristics to the controls (in particular, age, sex, and body mass index), it was considered that if the patients showed statistically lower values than the control group, this could probably be due to their pathology. Nevertheless, based only on the average of the CES, patients with FD have values of balance (measured by dynamic posturography) close to the norms of the device, although worse than healthy individuals of the same age.

Enzyme replacement therapy treatment does not cross the blood-brain barrier and, therefore, cannot have any positive effect on the central component of the disorder.

The efficacy of the treatment would be more in favor of an effect of ERT on microvascular involvement of FD. This improvement in microvascularization could stabilize the inner ear functioning, which would require long-term follow-up to be confirmed.

This study has several limitations. The limited sample size of the population and in particular of the children should be highlighted, which limits in particular the study of the difference in symptomatology between men and women and of the impact of the type of treatment on balance and hearing. The postural control will need to be considered in the evaluation of current and future treatments for FD, whether migalastat or gene therapy. According to Palla et al., age correlated with auditory and vestibular impairments (11). The evaluation of the type of balance control recovery of vestibular disorders (i.e., recovery by compensation involving effective use of alternative sensory inputs or recovery by restoration) could require a longitudinal follow-up by vestibular and posturographic investigations, in situations of sensory conflict.

We emphasize the importance of multisensory evaluation in these patients to guide development of personalized visuovestibular rehabilitation techniques.

Otherwise, as we age, balance control becomes more and more dependent on vision, while this input becomes increasingly less performant. Training allows sportsmen to acquire new balance control abilities, which may differ according to the discipline practiced. Physical activity may help diminish this visual dependency by maintaining or increasing weight of proprioceptive input (32).

Physical activity practice (e.g., tai-chi, yoga) or physiotherapy can be useful to prevent balance control impairments. The beneficial effect of this training may then be transferred to daily activities.

Conclusion

These data suggest that understanding of specific balance control impairments in FD could contribute to propose better balance-oriented rehabilitation programs with the particular attempt of preventing falls. The multisensory evaluation of postural control helps determine the adapted modalities of visuo-vestibular rehabilitation and to evaluate in a quantifiable way its effect to improve postural stability and quality of life.

Data availability statement

The original contributions presented in the study are included in the article/supplementary materials. Requests may be directed to the following clinicians (MD): RJ: R.JAUSSAUD@chru-nancy.fr, JD-K: j.deibener@chru-nancy.fr, FF: f.feillet@chru-nancy.fr, and PP: philippe.perrin@univ-lorraine.fr. Further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding author.

Ethics statement

Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements. Written informed consent to participate in this study was provided by the patients/participants or patients/participants' legal guardian/next of kin.

References

1. Germain DP. Fabry disease. Orphanet J Rare Dis. (2010) 5:30. doi: 10.1186/1750-1172-5-30

2. Zarate YA, Hopkin RJ. Fabry's disease. Lancet. (2008) 372:1427-35. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61589-5

3. Meikle PJ, Hopwood JJ, Clague AE, Carey WF. Prevalence of lysosomal storage disorders. *JAMA*. (1999) 281:249–54. doi: 10.1001/jama.281.3.249

4. Poorthuis BJ, Wevers RA, Kleijer WJ, Groener JE, de Jong JG, van Weely S, et al. The frequency of lysosomal storage diseases in The Netherlands. *Hum Genet.* (1999) 105:151–6. doi: 10.1007/s004399900075

5. Desnick RJ, Eng CM. Alpha-galactosidase A deficiency: Fabry disease. In: Scriver CR, Sly WS, Valle D., editor. *The Metabolic and Molecular Bases of Inherited Disease*. New York, NY: McGraw Hill (2014). p. 3733–74.

6. Lidove O, Kaminsky P, Hachulla E, Leguy-Seguin V, Lavigne C, Marie I, et al. Fabry disease "the new great imposter": results of the French observatoire in internal medicine departments (FIMeD). *Clin Genet.* (2012) 81:571-7. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0004.2011.01718.x

7. Grunnet ML, Spilsbury PR. The central nervous system in Fabry's disease. An ultrastructural study. *Arch Neurol.* (1973) 28:231–4. doi: 10.1001/archneur.1973.00490220039004

8. Sheth KJ, Swick HM. Peripheral nerve conduction in Fabry disease. *Ann Neurol.* (1980) 7:319–23. doi: 10.1002/ana.41007 0406

Author contributions

LP-C realized posturography recordings. PK, FF, RJ, and JD-K carried out the internal medicine assessment and therapeutic management of the FD. PP managed the neuro-otological assessments. All authors contribute to the study concept and design, and contribute to the writing of the manuscript.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge Dr. Art Mallinson (Vancouver, British Columbia, CA) for his helpful advice in the final read-through of the manuscript.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

9. Malinvaud D, Germain DP, Benistan K, Bonfils P. [Vestibular and cochlear manifestations in Fabry's disease]. *Rev Med Interne*. (2010) 31(Suppl. 2):S251–6. doi: 10.1016/S0248-8663(10)70022-9

10. Conti G, Sergi B. Auditory and vestibular findings in Fabry disease: a study of hemizygous males and heterozygous females. *Acta Paediatr Suppl.* (2003) 92:33-7; discussion 27. doi: 10.1111/j.1651-2227.2003.tb00 219.x

11. Palla A, Hegemann S, Widmer U, Straumann D. Vestibular and auditory deficits in Fabry disease and their response to enzyme replacement therapy. *J Neurol.* (2007) 254:1433-42. doi: 10.1007/s00415-007-0575-y

12. Kingma H, Gauchard GC, de Waele C, van Nechel C, Bisdorff A, Yelnik A, et al. Stocktaking on the development of posturography for clinical use. *J Vestib Res.* (2011) 21:117–25. doi: 10.3233/VES-2011-0397

13. Massion J. Postural control system. Curr Opin Neurobiol. (1994) 4:877-87. doi: 10.1016/0959-4388(94)90137-6

14. Perrin P, Mallinson A, Van Nechel C, Peultier-Celli L, Petersen H, Magnusson M, et al. Defining clinical-posturographic and intra-posturographic discordances: what do these two concepts mean? *J Int Adv Otol.* (2018) 14:127–9. doi: 10.5152/iao.2018.4349

15. Speers RA, Kuo AD, Horak FB. Contributions of altered sensation and feedback responses to changes in coordination of postural control

due to aging. Gait Posture. (2002) 16:20-30. doi: 10.1016/S0966-6362(02)00 003-6

16. Buatois S, Gauchard GC, Aubry C, Benetos A, Perrin P. Current physical activity improves balance control during sensory conflicting conditions in older adults. *Int J Sports Med.* (2007) 28:53–8. doi: 10.1055/s-2006-924054

17. Nashner LM, Peters JF. Dynamic posturography in the diagnosis and management of dizziness and balance disorders. *Neurol Clin.* (1990) 8:331-49. doi: 10.1016/S0733-8619(18)30359-1

18. Black FO. What can posturography tell us about vestibular function? Ann NYAcad Sci. (2001) 942:446–64. doi: 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2001.tb03765.x

19. Sinno S, Dumas G, Mallinson A, Najem F, Abouchacra KS, Nashner L, et al. Changes in the sensory weighting strategies in balance control throughout maturation in children. *J Am Acad Audiol.* (2021) 32:122–36. doi: 10.1055/s-0040-1718706

20. Barras FM, Maire R. Progressive hearing loss in Fabry's disease: a case report. *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* (2006) 263:688–91. doi: 10.1007/s00405-006-0023-0

21. Sakurai Y, Suzuki R, Yoshida R, Kojima H, Watanabe M, Manome Y, et al. Inner ear pathology of alpha-galactosidase A deficient mice, a model of Fabry disease. *Auris Nasus Larynx.* (2010) 37:274–80. doi: 10.1016/j.anl.2009.08.005

22. Noben-Trauth K, Neely H, Brady RO. Normal hearing in alpha-galactosidase A-deficient mice, the mouse model for Fabry disease. *Hear Res.* (2007) 234:10–4. doi: 10.1016/j.heares.2007.08.009

23. Vibert D, Blaser B, Ozdoba C, Hausler R. Fabry's disease: otoneurologic findings in twelve members of one family. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol.* (2006) 115:412-8. doi: 10.1177/000348940611500603

24. Chimenti C, Nencini P, Pieruzzi F, Feriozzi S, Mignani R, Pieroni M, et al. The GALA project: practical recommendations for the use of migalastat in clinical practice on the basis of a structured survey among Italian experts. *Orphanet J Rare Dis.* (2020) 15:86. doi: 10.1186/s13023-020-1318-8

25. Germain DP, Avan P, Chassaing A, Bonfils P. Patients affected with Fabry disease have an increased incidence of progressive hearing loss and sudden deafness: an investigation of twenty-two hemizygous male patients. *BMC Med Genet.* (2002) 3:10. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-3-10

26. Clinkard DJ, Amoodi H, Lin VY, Chen JM, Nedzelski JM. Improved hearing with cochlear implantation in Fabry's disease. *Cochlear Implants Int.* (2011) 12:238–40. doi: 10.1179/1754762811Y000000004

27. Cammarata G, Fatuzzo P, Rodolico MS, Colomba P, Sicurella L, Iemolo F, et al. High variability of Fabry disease manifestations in an extended Italian family. *Biomed Res Int.* (2015) 2015:504784. doi: 10.1155/2015/50 4784

28. Rigoldi M, Concolino D, Morrone A, Pieruzzi F, Ravaglia R, Furlan F, et al. Intrafamilial phenotypic variability in four families with Anderson-Fabry disease. *Clin Genet.* (2014) 86:258–63. doi: 10.1111/cge.12261

29. Germain DP, Oliveira JP, Bichet DG, Yoo HW, Hopkin RJ, Lemay R, et al. Use of a rare disease registry for establishing phenotypic classification of previously unassigned GLA variants: a consensus classification system by a multispecialty Fabry disease genotype-phenotype workgroup. *J Med Genet.* (2020) 57:542–51. doi: 10.1136/jmedgenet-2019-106467

30. Juchniewicz P, Kloska A, Tylki-Szymanska A, Jakobkiewicz-Banecka J, Wegrzyn G, Moskot M, et al. Female Fabry disease patients and X-chromosome inactivation. *Gene.* (2018) 641:259–64. doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2017. 10.064

31. Caldani S, Bucci MP, Tisne M, Audo I, Van Den Abbeele T, Wiener-Vacher S. Postural instability in subjects with usher syndrome. *Front Neurol.* (2019) 10:830. doi: 10.3389/fneur.2019.00830

32. Gauchard GC, Vancon G, Meyer P, Mainard D, Perrin PP. On the role of knee joint in balance control and postural strategies: effects of total knee replacement in elderly subjects with knee osteoarthritis. *Gait Posture*. (2010) 32:155–60. doi: 10.1016/j.gaitpost.2010.04.002