# Research Article

# Atrial Structural Remodeling Gene Variants in Patients with Atrial Fibrillation

Rosa Doñate Puertas,<sup>1</sup> Gilles Millat,<sup>1,2,3</sup> Isabelle Ernens,<sup>4</sup> Vincent Gache,<sup>1</sup> Samuel Chauveau,<sup>5</sup> Elodie Morel,<sup>5</sup> Emilie Christin,<sup>1</sup> Nathalie Couturier,<sup>1</sup> Yvan Devaux ,<sup>6</sup>,<sup>4</sup> and Philippe Chevalier ,<sup>1,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Institut NeuroMyoGène (INMG), UMR CNRS 5310-INSERM U1217/University of Lyon, Lyon, France

<sup>2</sup>*Laboratory of Molecular Cardiogenetics, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France* 

<sup>3</sup>NGS Sequencing Platform for Molecular Diagnosis, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France

<sup>4</sup>Cardiovascular Research Unit, Luxembourg Institute of Health, Luxembourg

<sup>5</sup>*Rhythmology Unit, Louis Pradel Cardiology Hospital, Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France* 

Correspondence should be addressed to Philippe Chevalier; philippe.chevalier@chu-lyon.fr

Received 3 May 2018; Revised 30 June 2018; Accepted 17 July 2018; Published 10 September 2018

Academic Editor: Diego Franco

Copyright © 2018 Rosa Doñate Puertas et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is a common arrhythmia for which the genetic studies mainly focused on the genes involved in electrical remodeling, rather than left atrial muscle remodeling. To identify rare variants involved in atrial myopathy using mutational screening, a high-throughput next-generation sequencing (NGS) workflow was developed based on a custom AmpliSeq<sup>TM</sup> panel of 55 genes potentially involved in atrial myopathy. This workflow was applied to a cohort of 94 patients with AF, 76 with atrial dilatation and 18 without. Bioinformatic analyses used NextGENe<sup>®</sup> software and *in silico* tools for variant interpretation. The AmpliSeq custom-made panel efficiently explored 96.58% of the targeted sequences. Based on *in silico* analysis, 11 potentially pathogenic missense variants were identified that were not previously associated with AF. These variants were located in genes involved in atrial tissue structural remodeling. Three patients were also carriers of potential variants in prevalent arrhythmia-causing genes, usually associated with AF. Most of the variants were found in patients with atrial dilatation (n=9, 82%). This NGS approach was a sensitive and specific method that identified 11 potentially pathogenic variants, which are likely to play roles in the predisposition to left atrial myopathy. Functional studies are needed to confirm their pathogenicity.

# 1. Introduction

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most frequent arrhythmia, affecting 30 million individuals worldwide [1]. Advanced age and hypertension, which can damage the left atrium (LA), are the main predisposing risk factors for AF [2]. A plethora of evidence suggests that the onset of most AF types is facilitated by LA remodeling, i.e., atrial myopathy [3]. Ion-channel, neural, and structural remodeling of the LA muscle has been widely documented [4] and numerous studies have found a genetic predisposition and a highly heritable component associated with AF risk [5].

In the past 20 years, the genetic basis for AF was established through studies evaluating familial AF [6, 7], linkage [8, 9], candidate genes [10, 11], and genome-wide association studies (GWAS) [12–14] that reported common and rare variants in genes encoding ion-channels, gap junction proteins, and signaling molecules. Recently, next-generation sequencing (NGS) technologies have advanced in terms of sensibility, specificity, practicability, and the cost to rapidly screen large numbers of genes. Massively parallel NGS approaches, including gene panels, whole exome sequencing, or whole genome sequencing, are beginning to supplant Sanger sequencing [15]. Thus, sequencing candidate genes might be the best approach to reveal variations in AF-associated genes [16–18].

The available molecular data only account for a limited percentage of the genes involved in AF, mainly those involved

Gene	NM number	Gene	NM number	Gene	NM number	Gene	NM number	Gene	NM number
ABCC8	NM_001287174.1	FHOD3	NM_025135.4	IL10	NM_000572.2	MYL7	NM_021223.2	SMAD2	NM_005901.5
ABCC9	NM_005691.3	FKRP	NM_001039885.2	JPH2	NM_020433.4	MYOZ1	NM_021245.3	SMAD3	NM_005902.3
ACE	NM_000789.3	GATA4	NM_001308093.1	JUP	NM_002230.2	NODAL	NM_018055.4	SMAD4	NM_005359.5
AKAP9	NM_005751.4	GATA5	NM_080473.4	LEFTY1	NM_020997.3	NOS1AP	NM_014697.2	SMYD2	NM_020197.2
CER1	NM_005454.2	GATA6	NM_005257.5	LEFTY2	NM_003240.3	NOS3	NM_000603.4	TGFB1	NM_000660.5
CTGF	NM_001901.2	GJA1	NM_000165.4	LMNA	NM_170707.3	ORAI1	NM_032790.3	TGFB3	NM_003239.3
DES	NM_001927.3	GJA5	NM_005266.6	LTB2	NM_002341.1	PCSK6	NM_002570.3	TIMP-1	NM_003254.2
DSC2	NM_024422.4	GJC1	NM_001080383.1	MMP2	NM_004530.5	PITX2	NM_153427.2	TIMP-2	NM_003255.4
DSG2	NM_001943.4	GNB3	NM_002075.3	MMP9	NM_004994.2	PKP2	NM_001005242.2	TMEM43	NM_024334.2
DSP	NM_004415.3	HSP90AB1	NM_001271971.1	MYBPC2	NM_004533.3	PRRX1	NM_006902.4	TMPO	NM_003276.2
FGFR1	NM_023110.2	HSPE1	NM_002157.2	MYH7	NM_000257.3	SHOX2	NM_003030.4	TRPM4	NM_017636.3

TABLE 1: List of the genes included in our panel.

in ion-channel remodeling. Atrial myocardial damage is characterized by atrial fibrosis [19], inflammatory infiltrates [20], altered cell-to-cell adhesion and mechanical coupling [21], and abnormal contractions [22]. To identify variants in the genes coding for proteins potentially involved in atrial tissue rather than ion-channel remodeling, we designed a fast protocol utilizing a custom AmpliSeq panel and Ion Personal Genome Machine (PGM) Sequencer to sequence 55 atrial myopathy candidate genes in a prospective cohort of 94 patients, 76 with and 18 without atrial dilatation. Patients carrying pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants were also screened against a homemade panel of prevalent arrhythmiacausing genes, mainly involved in electrical remodeling.

## 2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Patients. The cohort included 94 nonvalvular patients with AF prospectively recruited from the Louis Pradel Cardiology Hospital (Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France). The ethics committee of Lyon approved the study and informed consent was obtained from each patient prior to enrollment (DC2015-2566). Individuals older than 18 years with a confirmed diagnosis of paroxysmal/persistent/permanent AF but without significant underlying heart disease, left ventricular dysfunction (left ventricular ejection fraction <50%), valvular heart diseases, or other systemic/metabolic diseases were included in the cohort. The presence of AF was determined by ECG or Holter recordings. Paroxysmal AF was defined as self-terminating, in most cases within 48 hours. Persistent AF lasted longer than 7 days and was terminated by either pharmacologic intervention or electrical cardioversion. For permanent AF, rhythm control interventions were not pursued [23]. Left atrial dilatation was defined as a volume superior to  $32 \text{ ml/m}^2$  or a surface >  $22 \text{ cm}^2$ , measured by transthoracic echocardiography.

*2.2. NGS Strategy.* Genomic DNA samples underwent NGS using a custom AmpliSeq design (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) created using Ion AmpliSeq designer software. In the first step, the criteria for gene selection were based on the previously reported transcriptome of atrial tissue in

patients with AF [24]. We found that 1,627 genes had altered basal expression levels in the LA tissue of patients with AF compared with the control group. The significantly enriched Gene Ontology biological process "anatomical structure morphogenesis" contained the highest number of genes, and this was in line with changes in structure that occur when the human heart remodels following AF development (i.e., left atrial dilatation and interstitial fibrosis). We then selected the most dysregulated genes to build a homemade gene panel. In the second step, genes were selected, using PubMed, based on their documented or potential involvement in structural remodeling. A candidate ID gene list was generated using the search terms: "structural remodeling", "AF fibrosis", "AF conduction", and "AF inflammation". Articles concerning the structural remodeling of AF were included predominantly in the list. The genetic panel was made of 55 genes potentially involved in structural heart disease (Table 1). The design allowed analysis of all coding exons of selected genes (padding  $\pm 30$  bp). Library preparation and Ion Torrent PGM sequencing were performed as previously reported [25, 26]. Selected patients carrying pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in this panel were further tested by NGS using a second custom panel designed to identify disease-causing variants in 38 known arrhythmia-causing genes [27].

2.3. Bioinformatic Analyses. Bioinformatic analyses were performed using a homemade pipeline based on NextGENe v.2.3.4.2 (SoftGenetics, State College, PA, USA) and Alamut® 2.7.1 (Interactive Biosoftware, Rouen, France) software, as previously reported [25, 26]. Identified gene variants (i.e., missense, nonsynonymous, splice site, insertions, and deletions) were further analysed using the filtering steps shown in Figure 1. According to reported guidelines, specific standard terms ["pathogenic", "likely pathogenic", "uncertain significance", "likely benign", and "benign"] were used to evaluate the pathogenicity of variants identified in the studied genes [28]. Likely pathogenic variants (single nucleotide variants) were verified by conventional dideoxy sequencing using the BigDye® Terminator v.3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and an ABI 3730 automatic sequencer (Life Technologies).



FIGURE 1: *Filtering steps*. Decision tree for exploration of genes related to atrial fibrillation using a next-generation sequencing approach to detect mutations, based on a custom AmpliSeq library and Ion Torrent PGM sequencing. Abbreviations: MAF = minor allele frequency; PGM = Personal Genome Machine.

The frequency of each variant in the general population was examined using the disease database Clin-Var (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/) and the population database Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/). In *silico* tools used for missense variant interpretation included PolyPhen-2 [29], SIFT [30], and MutationTaster [31]. The grade of evolutionary nucleotide conservation was determined by PhyloP scores (http://compgen.cshl.edu/phast/). The protein evolution was predicted with the Grantham score [32]. The protein domains affected by the single nucleotide changes were also described. Multiple protein sequences across species were aligned using the program MUSCLE [33] version 3.6.

*2.4. Quantification Methods.* Nuclear positioning was quantified in mammalian myotubes containing at least five nuclei, and myotubes were classified aggregated when more than 70% of the nuclei did not align along the same axis.

2.5. Transfections. Myoblasts were transfected **RNAiMAX** with siRNA using Lipofectamine 5'-(Invitrogen): siRNA sequences (Ambion): GCUCUAAACAUGAUUCAAGTT-3' (AKPA9-#1);

TABLE 2: Clinical parameters of the patients involved in the study.

	All patients (n=94)
Ratio M/F	63/31
Age (years)	54.4 (±12.0)
Age of AF onset (years)	48.01 (±14.35)
BMI	27.7 (±5.5)
AF type	
Paroxysmal	55
Persistent	21
Permanent	18
Risk factors	
Hypertension	23
CVA	16
LA surface $(cm^2)$	26.8 (±7.5)

*Abbreviations.* AF = atrial fibrillation; BMI= body mass index; CVA = cerebrovascular accident; LA = left atrium.

# 5'-CGAUGGUAGAAUUCUUAGATT-3' (AKPA9-#2); 5'-GCCAAGCUUGUCCAUUGAU-TT-3' (AKPA9-#3).

*2.6. Cell Culture.* C2C12 myoblasts were grown and differentiated for 5 days as described before [34].

*2.7. Statistical Analysis.* Student's t-tests were performed. Differences were considered statistically significant when P< 0.01.

# 3. Results

Clinical features of the 63 men and 31 women included in the cohort are listed in Table 2. The median age at the time of inclusion for AF probands was 54.4 years (range: 42-66 years). Paroxysmal AF was the most common type and 80.8% of patients with AF presented with left atrial dilatation. Particularly, patients developing permanent AF presented left atrial dilatation. Our AmpliSeq custom-made panel explored 96.58% of targeted sequences. Six runs, containing 16 DNA samples each, were performed and the coverage statistics were comparable between each run. The strategy for filtering (Figure 1) led to the identification of 11 putative pathogenic variants not previously reported in patients with AF (Table 3). Each variant was present in a single patient. Nine variants were found in patients with AF and left atrial dilatation and two in patients without atrial myopathy. Three variants were not reported in the ExAC consortium. All putative pathogenic missense variants were predicted to disrupt protein function by PolyPhen-2 (score ranges: 0 to 1), SIFT, and MutationTaster as "probably damaging" (0.85 = the threshold), "deleterious", and "disease causing", respectively. The PhyloP highlighted that mutated nucleotides detected in cases 6211, 4464, 2095, 1885, 4162, 1875, and 2691 were highly conserved. Comparisons based on the physical or chemical properties of amino acids showed the candidate JPH2 variant (p.Ser255Leu) and MMP9 variant (p.Arg143Cys) had high Grantham differences, suggesting that these missense variants could be pathogenic. A multialignment of proteins

Image: First problem in the stand of the	Case	Patient chars	acteristics				Variation			Presence in data	bases	Nucleotide conserva- tion nrediction	Grantham Score <sup>5</sup>	Protein domain
		AF type	Dilated LA <sup>†</sup>	Gene	Chr	Exon	Nucleotide change	Effect on protein	$Pathogenicity^{\ddagger}$	ClinVar	ExAC	PhyloP <sup>§</sup>		
Bit Manuelia         Revoluti30:1         Revolut30:1         Revoluti30:1         Revoluti30:1<	2115	Paroxysmal	Yes	ABCC8	=	32	c.3941G>A	p.Argl314His	Likely pathogenic		Yes	3.98	29	ABC transporter type 1, transmembrane domain
100 $100$ <th< td=""><td>6211</td><td>Permanent</td><td>Yes</td><td>AKAP9</td><td>м</td><td>46</td><td>c.11229G&gt;A</td><td>p.Met3743Ile</td><td>Likely pathogenic</td><td>RCV000310251.1 (Uncertain significance), RCV000171732.1 (Likely benign), RCV000362669.1 (Uncertain significance)</td><td>Yes</td><td>66:2</td><td>10</td><td>Pericentrin/AKAP-450 centrosomal targeting domain</td></th<>	6211	Permanent	Yes	AKAP9	м	46	c.11229G>A	p.Met3743Ile	Likely pathogenic	RCV000310251.1 (Uncertain significance), RCV000171732.1 (Likely benign), RCV000362669.1 (Uncertain significance)	Yes	66:2	10	Pericentrin/AKAP-450 centrosomal targeting domain
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	6198	Permanent	Yes	DSG2	18	9	c.566C>T	p.Pro189Leu	Likely pathogenic		No	1.50	98	Cadherin; Cadherin-like
$4464$ $Eemanent$ $Ee$ $DSP$ $6$ $c^{-7997G>A}$ $Eikely$ $Eikely$ $Fe$ $0.00$ $94$ $Pletin repeat2055EnoxysmalYaEHOD3187c047ScPletoEikelyNo76990094Plotin Fordinary for the einding $	2235	Paroxysmal	No	DSP	6	23	c.3550C>T	p.Argl184Trp	Likely pathogenic		Yes	3.98	101	
2055       Paroxysmal       Yes       FHOD3       18       7       C614T>C       PLeu205Puc       Likely       No       7.99       98       Nonogry3 (GBD)F1         1885       Paroxysmal       Yes       FHOD3       18       C.776C>T       pThu259Met       Likely       No       7.99       98       Nonogry3 (GBD)F1         1885       Paroxysmal       Yes       IPH2       20       2       C.764C>T       pThu259Met       pathogenic       Yes       7.76       81       homology (GBD)F1         1463       Permanent       Yes       IPH2       20       2       C.764C>T       pSthogenic       No       9.18       No       Pathogenic       No       9.18       homology (GBD)F1       Ammology (GBD)F1       No       Pathogenic       No       9.18       homology (GBD)F1       No       Pathogenic       Pathogenic       Yes       2.66       Yes       Justopptidase: Petidase MIO.       Pathogenic       Pathogenic       Yes       2.63       No       No       Pathogenic       Pathogenic       Pathogenic       Pathogenic       Yes       2.63       180       MIOA       NO       N	4464	Permanent	Yes	DSP	6	24	c.7997G>A	p.Gly2666Asp	Likely pathogenic		Yes	10.00	94	Plectin repeat
B85       Paroxysmal       Yes       HHOD3       Is       S       C.776C>T       Likely       Yes       7.5       B1       homology 3 (BD)F1         HO       Yes       JPH2       20       2       C.764C>T       p.Ser255Leu       Likely       No       9.18       145       homology 3 (BD)F1         Ho       Perindention       Yes       JPH2       20       2       C.764C>T       p.Ser255Leu       Likely       No       9.18       145       homology 3 (BD)F1         Umotophila       Yes       JPH2       20       2       C.764C>T       p.Ser255Leu       Likely       No       9.18       145       homotoppidase; Petil         Umotophila       Yes       MMP9       20       3       C.427C>T       p.Argl43Cys       pathogenic       Yes       2.68       145       homotoppidase; Petil         Umotophila       Yes       MO       MO       Jos       MO       Jos       MO       MO<	2095	Paroxysmal	Yes	FHOD3	18		c.614T>C	p.Leu205Pro	Likely pathogenic		No	7.99	98	Rho GTPase-binding/formin homology 3 (GBD/FH3) domain; Armadillo-type fold
4162       Permanent       Yes       JPH2       20       2       c.764C>T       p.Ser255Leu       Likely       No       9.18       145       Junctophilia         2186       Paroxysmal       Yes       MMP9       20       3       c.427C>T       p.Arg143Cys       Likely       Yes       2.68       180       metallopeptidases Peptidases Peptidases Peptidases Peptidases Peptidases Peptidases         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZ       10       3       c.427C>T       p.Arg143Cys       Pathogenic       Yes       2.68       180       metallopeptidases Peptidases Peptidases         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZ       10       3       c.427G>C       p.Gly56Ala       Likely       Yes       7.76       60       Myozenin         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZ       10       3       c.167G>C       p.Gly56Ala       Likely       Yes       7.76       60       Myozenin         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZ       10       7.6       60       Myozenia         1871       Paroxysmal       Yes       Yes       7.8       7.6       60       Myozenia         1871       Paroxysmal       Yes       Yes	1885	Paroxysmal	Yes	FHOD3	18	×	c.776C>T	p.Thr259Met	Likely pathogenic		Yes	7.76	81	Rho GTPase-binding/formin homology 3 (GBD/FH3) domain; Armadillo-type fold
2186       Paroxysmal       Yes       MMP9       20       3       C.427C>T       p.Argl43Cys       Likely       Yes       2.68       180       metallopeptidase; Pepti         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZI       10       3       C.167G>C       p.Gly56Ala       Likely       Yes       7.76       60       Myozenin         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZI       10       3       C.167G>C       p.Gly56Ala       Likely       Yes       7.76       60       Myozenin         1875       Paroxysmal       No       MYOZI       10       3       C.167G>C       p.Gly56Ala       Likely       Yes       7.76       60       Myozenin         2691       Paroxysmal       Yo       MYOZI       10       3       C.167G>C       p.Glu142Lys       RCV000039386.3       Yes       8.11       56       Transmenbrane protein         2691       Paroxysmal       Yes       8.11       56       RCV00025933.3       Yes       8.11       56       family         2691       Paroxysmal       Yes       8.11       56       RCV0002502391.1       Uncertain       Significance)       B.11       B.11       B.11       B.11       B.11 <t< td=""><td>4162</td><td>Permanent</td><td>Yes</td><td>JPH2</td><td>20</td><td>2</td><td>c.764C&gt;T</td><td>p.Ser255Leu</td><td>Likely pathogenic</td><td></td><td>No</td><td>9.18</td><td>145</td><td>Junctophilin</td></t<>	4162	Permanent	Yes	JPH2	20	2	c.764C>T	p.Ser255Leu	Likely pathogenic		No	9.18	145	Junctophilin
1375     Paroxysmal     No     MYOZI     10     3     c.167G>C     p.Gly56Ala     Likely     Pathogenic     Yes     7.76     60     Myozenin       2691     Paroxysmal     Yes     TMEM43     3     5     c.424G>A     p.Glu142Lys     Likely     RCV000039386.3     Yes     8.11     56     Transmembrane protei       2691     Paroxysmal     Yes     TMEM43     3     5     c.424G>A     p.Glu142Lys     Likely     RCV000172593.3     Yes     8.11     56     Transmembrane protei       100     Toto Scoole     Tikely     RCV000025023.31     Yes     8.11     56     family       100     Concertain     RCV000025023.31     Pathogenic     (Likely benign),     RCV000025023.91     family	2186	Paroxysmal	Yes	6dWW	20	ю	c.427C>T	p.Arg143Cys	Likely pathogenic		Yes	2.68	180	Peptidase M10, metallopeptidase; Peptidase, metallopeptidase; Peptidase M10A
2691 <i>Paroxysmal Yes TMEM</i> 43 3 5 c.424G>A p.Glu142Lys Likely RCV00002593.3 Yes 8.11 56 Transmembrane protei RCV000172593.1 (Uncertain RCV000172593.1 (Uncertain RCV000250239.1 (Uncertain significance) significance) as the structure of the struct	1875	Paroxysmal	No	IZOXM	10	3	c.167G>C	p.Gly56Ala	Likely pathogenic		Yes	7.76	60	Myozenin
	2691	Paroxysmal	Yes	TMEM43	6	Ŋ	c.424G>A	p.Glu142Lys	Likely pathogenic	RCV00039386.3 (Uncertain significance), RCV000172593.3 (Likely benign), RCV000250239.1 (Uncertain significance)	Yes	8.11	56	Transmembrane protein 43 family

TABLE 3: List of putative pathogenic variations identified in a cohort 94 patients.

4

# BioMed Research International

1: H. sapiens 2: P. troglodytes 3: M. mulatta 4: C. lupus 5: B. taurus

- ABCC8, p.Arg1314His.
- 1:1286
   TYALMVSNYLNWMVRNLADMELQLGAVKRIHGLLKTEAESYEGLLAPSLI
   1335
   2:1322
   TYALMVSNYLNWMVRNLADMELQLGAVKRIHGLLKTEAESYEGLLAPSLI
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
   1371
- 3: 1285 TYALMVSNYLNWMVRNLADMELOLGAVKRTHGLLKTEAESYEGLLAPSLT 1334
- 4: 1285 TYALMVSNYLNWMVRNLADMEIQLGAVKRIHGLLKTEAESYEGLLAPSLI 1334
- 5:1285 TYALMVSNYLNWMVRNLADMELQLGAVKRIHGLLKTEAESYEGLLAPSLI 1334

#### DSG2, p.Pro189Leu.

- 1:186 ADEPNTLNSKISYRIVSLEPAYP-PVFYLNKDTGEIYTTSVTLDREEHSS 234 2:186 ADEPNTLNSKISYRIVSLEPAYP-PVFYLNKDTGEIYTTSVTLDREEHSS 234
- 3:186 ADEPNTLNSKISYRIVSLEPAYP-PVFYLNKDTGEIYTTSVTLDREEHSS 234
- 4:184 ADEPNTLNSKISYRIVSQEPTYP-PVFYLNKDTGEIYTTSFTLDREEHSS 232
- 5:184 ADEPNTLNSKISYRIVSQEPANS-PVFYLNKDTGEIYTTSITLDREEYSS 232

#### DSP, p.Gly2666Asp.

1:2637	IERGIVDSITGQRLLEAQACTGGIIHPTTGQKLSLQDAVSQGVIDQDMAT	2686
<b>2:</b> 2637	IERGIVDSITGQRLLEAQACTGGIIHPTTGQKLSLQDAVSQGVIDQDMAT	2686
<b>3:</b> 2637	IERGIVDSITGQRLLEAQACTGGIIHPTTGQKLSLQDAVSQGVIDQDMAT	2686
<b>4:</b> 2639	IERGIVDSITGQRLLEAQACTGGIIHPTSGQKLSLQDAVSQGLIDQDMAT	2688
<b>5:</b> 2655	IERGIVDSISGQRLLEAQACTGGIIHPTTGQKLSLQDAVSQGLIDQDMAT	2704

#### FHOD3, p.Thr259Met.

1:238	KRGVKPWSNIMEILEEKDGVDTELLVYAMTLVNKTLSGLPDQDTFYDVVD	287
<b>2:</b> 238	KRGVKPWSNIMEILEEKDGVDTELLVYAMTLVNKTLSGLPDQDTFYDVVD	287
<b>3:</b> 238	KRGVKPWSNIMEILEEKDGVDTELLVYAMTLVNKTLSGLPDQDTFYDVVD	287
<b>4:</b> 212	KRGVKPWSNIMEILEEKDGVDTELLVYAMTLVNKTLSGLPDQDSFYDVVD	261
<b>5:</b> 238	KRGVTPWSNIMEILEEKDGVDTELLVYAMTLVNKTLSGLPDQDTFYDVVD	287
MMI	29, p.Arg143Cys.	
1:123	YWIQNYSEDLPRAVIDDAFARAFALWSAVTPLTFTRVYS-RDADIVIQ	169
<b>2:</b> 123	YWIQNYSEDLPRAVIDDAFARAFALWSAVTPLTFTRVYS-RDADIVIQ	169
<b>3:</b> 123	YWIQNYSEDLPRAVIEDAFARAFALWSAVTPLTFTRVYS-RDADIVIQ	169
<b>4:</b> 123	YWIQNYSEDLPRDVIDDAFARAFAVWSAVTPLTFTRVYG-PEADIIIQ	169
<b>5:</b> 123	YWIQNYSEDLPRAVIDDAFARAFALWSAVTPLTFTRVYG-PEADIVIQ	169
TME	M43, p.Glu142Lys.	
<b>1:</b> 100	LLSDPNYGVHLPAVKLRRHVEMYQWVETEESREYTED-GQVKKETR-YSY	147
<b>2:</b> 100	LLSDPNYGVHLPAVKLRRHVEMYQWVETEESREYTED-GQVKKETR-YSY	147
<b>3:</b> 100	LLSDPNYGVHLPAVKLRRHVEMYQWVETEESREYTED-GQVKKETR-YSY	147
		1.47

#### 4:100 LLSDPNYGVHLPAVKLRRHVEMYQWVETEESREYTED-GQVKTETR-YSY 147

5:100 LLSDPNYGVHLPAVKLRRHVEMYQWVETEESREYTED-GQVKTERK-YSY 147

FIGURE 2: *High conservation across species*. Multiple protein sequence alignments and the evolutionary conservation of each altered amino acid among species (*H. sapiens*, *P. troglodytes*, *M. mulatta*, *C. lupus*, and *B. taurus*).

(Figure 2) showed that all altered amino acids had high evolutionary conservation across species, suggesting that they could be functionally important.

The 11 patients identified with variants involved in structural remodeling were further screened using an arrhythmia panel with genes known to be associated with AF [27]. Three of these patients were also carriers of likely pathogenic variants in AF-associated genes (Table 4). Left atrial dilatation was also a characteristic of these patients. Only eight patients were carriers of likely pathogenic variants in atrial myopathy genes. An overview of AF-associated genes is displayed in Table 5. The majority of these genes are linked with other cardiac diseases. The cellular localization of proteins encoded by candidate genes is shown in Figure 3.

*AKAP9* encodes a scaffolding protein involved in Golgi apparatus integrity and Golgi-related microtubules nucleation [35]. It has been recently shown that *AKAP9* can contribute to recruit microtubule-organizing center factors at the membrane of myonuclei [36]. We validated *AKAP9*dependent myonuclei positioning in a muscle cells context

### AKAP9, p.Met3743Ile.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3695	HVTLKRIYGKYLRAESFRKALIYQKKYLLLLLGGFQECEDATLALLARMG	3744
3696	HVTLKRIYGKYLRAESFRKALIYQKKYLLLLLGGFQECEDATLALLARMG	3745
3664	HVTLKRIYGKYLRAESFRKALIYQKKYLLLLLGGFQECEDATLALLARMG	3713
3680	HAAIKRIYGKYLRAESFRKALIYQKKYLLLLLGGFQECEDATLALLARMG	3729
3685	HAAIKRIYGKYLRAESFRKALIYQKKYLLLLLGGFQECEEATLALLARMG	3734
DSP.	p.Arg1184Trp.	
1149	EKENLGWQKLESEKAIKEKEYEIERLRVLLQEEGTRKREYENELAKVRNH	1198
1149	EKENLGWQKLESEKAIKEKEYEIERLRVLLQEEGTRKREYENELAKVRNH	1198
1149	EKENLGWQKLESEKAIKEKEYEIERLRVLLQDEGA <mark>R</mark> KREYENELAKVRNH	1198
1152	EKESLGWQKMESEKAIKEKEYEIERLRVLLQEEGT <mark>R</mark> KREYENELAKVRNH	1201
1159	EKESLGWQKMESEKAIKEKEFEIERLRVLLQEEGARKREYENELAKVRNH	1208
FHO	D3, p.Leu205Pro.	
188	RNETIQWLYTLIGSKFRLVVKTALKLLLVFVEYSESNAPLLIQAVTAVDT	237
188	HNETIQWLYTLIGSKFR <mark>L</mark> VVKTALKLLLVFVEYSESNAPLLIQAVTAVDT	237
188	HNETIQWLYTLIGSKFR <mark>L</mark> VVKTALKLLLVFVEYSESNAPLLIQAVTAVDT	237
177	FRLVVKTALKLLLVFVEYSESNAPLLIQAVSAVDT	211
188	HNETIQWLYTLIGSKFRLVVKTALKLLLVFVEYSESNAPLLIQAISAVDT	237
IPH2	. p.Ser255Leu.	
242	QRSRVSFLKSDLSSGASDAASTASLGEA-AEGADE-AAPFEADIDATT	287

- 242 QRSRVSFLKSD--LSSGASDAASTASLGEA-AEGADE-ATPFEADIDATT 287
- 242 QRSRVSFLKSD--LSSGASDAASTGSLGEG-ADE----AAPFEADIDATT 284

#### MYOZ1, p.Gly56Ala.

- 42 RDVMLEELSLLTNRGSKMFKLRQMRVEKFIYENHPDVFSDSSMDHFQKFL 91
- 42 RDVMLEELSLLTNRGSKMFKLRQMRVEKFIYENHPDVFSDSSMDHFQKFL 91
- 42 RDVMLEELSLLTNRGSKMFKLRQMRVEKFIYENHPDVFSDSSMDHFQKFL 91
- 42 RDVMLEELSLLTNRGSKMFKLRQMRVEKFIYENHPDVFSDSSMDHFQKFL 91
- 42 RDVMLEELSLLTNRGSKMFKLRQLRVEKFIYENHPDVFSDSSMDRFQKFI 91

Case				Vari	ation			Presence in dat	tabases	Predicti misser	on analysis nse variant	s	Nucleotide conservation prediction	Grantham Score <sup>§</sup>
	Gene	NM_number	Chr	Exon/ intron	Nucleotide change	Effect on protein	Pathogenicity $^{\dagger}$	ClinVar	ExAC	PolyPhen-2	SIFT	Mutation Taster	PhyloP <sup>‡</sup>	
2095	ANK2	NM_001148.4	4	35	c.4315G>A	p.Gly1439Ser	Likely pathogenic		No	Possibly damaging	Tolerated	Disease causing	2.80	56
6198	KCNH2	NM_000238.3		11	c.2681G>A	p.Arg894His	Likely pathogenic		Yes (MAF ≤0.01%)	Probably damaging	Tolerated	Disease causing	2.68	29
1885	KCNH2	NM_000238.4		13	c.3052C>G	p.Pro1018Ala	Uncertain significance	RCV000181908.1 (Uncertain significance)	Yes (MAF ≤0.01%)	Benign	Tolerated	Disease causing	1.50	27
1885	SCNIB	NM_001037.4	19	intron 1	c.40+2T>G		Likely pathogenic	0	No					
† Speci.	fic standa	rrd terminologies-	-"pat	hogenic", "	likely pathogen	iic", "uncertain sig	gnificance", "likely	r benign" and "benign	" were used t	o describe vari	iants identifie	ed [28]; <sup>‡</sup> ran	ge of PhyloP scor	e [-20.0;10.0]; <sup>§</sup>

les.
ger
ausing
a-ci
imi
rrhytł
nt a
prevaleı
the
in
dentified
ariants i
of v
List
4:
TABLE

				Cardiac o	liseases classi	fication			Duration In a diam
Cene	Frotein	LQTS	SQTS	BrS	SIDS	CDM	CHD	AF	Protein localisation
ABCC8	Sulfonylurea receptor 1	X	1	1	1	X	X	X	Sarcolemma
AKAP9	A-kinase anchor protein 9	Х	I	Х	Х	Х	I	I	Centrosome
DSG2	Desmoglein 2	I	I	Х	I	Х	I	Х	Desmosome
DSP	Desmoplakin	Х	I	Х	Х	Х	I	Х	Desmosome
FHOD3	FH1/FH2 domain-containing protein 3	I	I	I	I	Х	I	I	Z-disk
JPH2	Junctophilin 2	I	I	Х	I	Х	I	Х	Sarcoplasmic reticulum
MMP9	Matrix metalloproteinase-9	Х	I	I	I	Х	Х	Х	Extracellular matrix
NYOZI	Myozenin-1	I	I	I	I	Х	I	Х	Z-disk
TMEM43	Transmembrane protein 43	I	I	Х	I	Х	I	I	Transmembrane
The presence of syndrome; CDM	an (X) indicates involvement of the gene in each spe 1 = cardiomyopathies; CHD = congenital heart disea	cific cardiac dise; ses; LQTS = long	ase classification. QT syndrome; S	The localisatic IDS = sudden	n of the protein infant death syn	encoded by each drome; SQTS = (	n disease gene is short QT syndro	also described me.	d. Abbreviations: BrS = Brugada

TABLE 5: Genes associated with cardiac diseases.

BioMed Research International



FIGURE 3: Atrial fibrillation disease genes. A schematic of proteins encoded by genes related to atrial fibrillation and their subcellular localization. Proteins participate in many diverse biological processes of cardiomyocytes/fibroblasts.

using C2C12 myoblast and quantify myonuclei aggregation in *AKAP9*-depleted myotubes using 3 different siRNA (Figure 4). *AKAP9*-depleted myotubes significantly increase myonuclei aggregation phenotype (up to 30%) within myotubes (Figure 4(c)) without affecting myoblast fusion or myotubes differentiation (Figures 4(a) and 4(b)), confirming a microtubule integrity regulation by an *AKAP9*-dependant mechanism in a muscle cells context [36].

### 4. Discussion

This study identified 11 potentially pathogenic variants in patients with AF, using a simple and fast NGS mutation detection approach. In contrast with previous studies, our method focused on the identification of candidate gene variants not previously linked to AF-structural remodeling genes. The role of genetic factors in the development of AF, a complex and multifactorial arrhythmia, is increasingly recognized. At least 14 genetic loci revealed by GWAS are known to increase the risk of AF in populations [37], but these variants only explain a small fraction of the interindividual risk for AF. Most identified genetic loci are associated with genes of electrical remodeling, such as *KCNN3* [13], or developmental genes, such as *PITX2* [12]. However, a meta-analysis of GWAS suggested additional candidate AF loci, such as genes involved in structural components (*SYNE2*, *MYOZ1*, and *SYNPO2L*) [14]. The NGS represents a high-throughput, rapid, and low-cost strategy for the systematic detection of genomic variants involved in AF. Our NGS approach was based on a custom AmpliSeq design to detect variants in structural remodeling genes. The filtering strategy allowed us to identify 11 rare variants. For all variants, *in silico* tools were used to predict the possible pathogenic impact of an amino acid substitution on the structure and function of the human proteins. This predicted deleterious impact of these variants was strength-ened by the evolutionary conservation of the altered amino acids.

Our initial hypothesis was that structural genes could be involved in atrial remodeling as much as ion-channel ones. Three likely pathogenic variants were in ion-channel genes previously associated with AF. Defects were found in *ANK2*, which encodes a multifunctional cytoskeletal adaptor [38], *KCNH2*, which encodes a potassium voltage-gated channel, and *SCN1B*, which encodes the  $\beta$ -subunit of the sodium



FIGURE 4: *AKAP9 is required for myonuclear positioning in C2C12 myotubes.* (a) Representative immunofluorescence images of control (no transfection and Scramble siRNA treated cells) or *AKAP9*-depleted C2C12 myotubes (using 3 individual siRNA, 30 nM each) differentiated for 5 days and immunostained for myosin heavy chain (green) and 49,6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (red). Scale bar, 160 um. (b)  $7 \times$  magnifications of rectangles shown in images (a). Scale bar, 160 um. (c) Histogram of percentage of total C2C12 myotubes with aggregated nuclei control (no transfection and Scramble siRNA treated cells) or *AKAP9*-depleted C2C12 myotubes (using 3 individual siRNA, 30 nM each) differentiated for 5 days. Center lines show the medians; box limits indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles as determined by R software; whiskers extend to 5th and 95th percentiles, outliers are represented by dots; width of the boxes is proportional to the square root of the sample size; data points are plotted as open circles. n = 6, 12, 9, 9, 7 sample points. Student's t-tests were performed between scrambled siRNA and experimental condition. Asterisk, P, 0.05; two asterisks, P, 0.01; ns: nonsignificant.

channel [39]. Evaluation of the missense variants using both segregation data and *in vitro* systems may help better understand the pathogenicity. The substitution at the splice donor site of the *SCN1B* intron 1, which was not reported in the ExAC consortium, is expected to yield a nonsense-mediated decay mechanism, resulting in a reduction of protein and haploinsufficiency. Several studies have shown that atrial dilatation is an independent risk factor for the development of AF [40]. In a recent study of eight patients with AF and a frameshift deletion in *MYL4*, six subjects developed LA dilatation during the follow-up [22]. In the present study, 82% of the novel variants were found in patients with LA dilatation, reinforcing the suggestion that these

variants could be involved in LA structural damage. Most of the identified genes were previously linked to other cardiac diseases (Table 5). *AKAP9, FHOD3*, and *TMEM43* were not previously associated with AF in the literature, but they were linked with other cardiac diseases.

The majority of the new variants found in the present study are located in genes encoding a broad category of proteins. These proteins are involved in many diverse biological processes related to structural remodeling of the extracellular matrix, the sarcolemma, the cytoskeleton, desmosome, sarcomere, the sarcoplasmic reticulum, and nucleus. Upregulation of *MMP9*, a profibrotic and proinflammatory molecule, contributes to atrial extracellular matrix remodeling [41], which is associated with the development of AF [42]. In the sarcolemmal ATP-sensitive potassium channels of the cardiomyocytes, ABCC8 encodes the regulatory sulfonylurea receptor 1. Proteins involved in the desmosome structure include that encoded by DSG2 and DSP. DSG2 is more expressed in LA of patients with AF than control subjects as previously described [24]. Transcriptional network of cardiac rhythm driven by TBX5 and modulated by PITX2 regulates Scn5a, Gja1, Ryr2, Dsp, and Atp2a2 genes [43]. Some of the proteins associated with the selected variants contribute to the structure or function of the sarcomere, with FHOD3 playing a role in regulation of the actin filament assembly [44]. The cell structure gene MYOZ1 encodes myozenin-1, which is a skeletal muscle Z line protein involved in stabilizing the sarcomere [45]. In addition, JPH2 encodes a cardiac structural protein contributing to the formation of the junctional membrane complex architecture that links the sarcoplasmic reticulum with the plasma membrane in cardiomyocytes [46]. The JPH2 mutation is thought to cause AF because of impaired stabilization of ryanodine receptor Ca2+ channels [47]. The inner nuclear membrane contains associated proteins, including that encoded by TMEM43, which is associated with lamin A/C and emerin [48]. AKAP9, a scaffolding protein involved in Golgi apparatus integrity and Golgi-related microtubules nucleation [35], is known to be the long QT syndrome-causative gene [49]. Our results confirmed an altered microtubule network in absence of AKAP9 as inhibition of AKAP9 results in increased aggregation phenotype in myotubes [36]. Consequences of AKAP9 knockdown on remaining pool of microtubule-associatepartners remain to be determines. One can speculate that forces exerted by muscle molecular motors could be remodel in absence (or mutated forms) of AKAP9 and could contribute to alteration of microtubule network dynamic [50, 51]. Microtubules networks are mechanically involved in cardiomyocyte contraction [52]. It will be of interest to analyse resulting network depending on different AKAP9 variant and skeletal muscle cells could be used as a «simplified muscle model» to screen for the effect on microtubule dynamics of different variant of AKAP9 found in cardiac muscles.

Each of these variants is involved in different pathways. The link between these variants and the effect on gene expression is unclear. A recent study has found that the SNP rs2595104 associated with AF regulates PITX2c expression via interaction with TFAP2a [53]. MiRNAs are part of the molecular alterations in LA occurring in patients with atrial remodeling [54]. One might consider that a variant could regulate miRNA in AF patients [55]. Cumulative evidence suggests that response to therapy may be genotype dependent. For example, SNP on chromosome 4q25 associated with AF modulates response to antiarrhythmic therapy [56]. This work opens research directions to establish personalised therapies according to individual genomic data as in cancer patients [57].

# 5. Conclusions

Eleven rare or novel potentially pathogenic variants were identified using the NGS method in patients with nonvalvular

AF, mainly in those with atrial dilatation. Validation studies are needed to confirm the involvement of these variants in atrial structural remodeling. This approach (Figure S1), based on genes involved in atrial structural remodeling, may help uncover new mechanisms underlying AF. In addition, candidate gene approaches based on disease physiopathology should be encouraged.

# **List of Abbreviations**

AF:Atrial fibrillationExAC:Exome aggregation consortiumGWAS:Genome-wide association studiesLA:Left atriumNGS:Next-generation sequencingPGM:Personal Genome Machine.

## **Data Availability**

The sequencing data used to support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

### Disclosure

An earlier version of this work was presented at Printemps de la Cardiologie 2018, 13th European Cardiac Arrhythmia Society Congress, CNIC Conference "Atrial fibrillation: from mechanisms to population science," and 18th Annual Cardiologists Conference.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this article.

# **Authors' Contributions**

All the authors provided substantial contributions to the conception, design, and acquisition and analysis of the data. Pr. Philippe Chevalier is responsible for the integrity of the work.

# Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Laboratory of Molecular Cardiogenetics (Hospices Civils de Lyon, Lyon, France) for their technical assistance.

# **Supplementary Materials**

Supplementary Figure 1: schematic overview of our approach in atrial fibrillation (AF) patients. According to our previous transcriptomic data on left atrium (LA) in AF patients that highlighted the structural genes [24], a next-generation sequencing (NGS) approach has been applied to a cohort of 94 AF patients. Eleven potentially pathogenic variants were identified in AF patients, mainly in those with atrial dilatation, through a custom-made panel of 55 genes potentially involved in atrial myopathy. These variants were located in genes involved in atrial tissue structural remodeling. (Supplementary Materials)

### References

- S. S. Chugh, R. Havmoeller, K. Narayanan et al., "Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a global burden of disease 2010 study," *Circulation*, vol. 129, no. 8, pp. 837–847, 2014.
- [2] P. Kirchhof, G. Y. H. Lip, I. C. Van Gelder et al., "Comprehensive risk reduction in patients with atrial fibrillation: Emerging diagnostic and therapeutic options—a report from the 3rd Atrial Fibrillation Competence NETwork/European Heart Rhythm Association consensus conference," *Europace*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 8–27, 2012.
- [3] S. Nattel, B. Burstein, and D. Dobrev, "Atrial remodeling and atrial fibrillation: mechanisms and implications.," *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 62–73, 2008.
- [4] P.-S. Chen, L. S. Chen, M. C. Fishbein, S.-F. Lin, and S. Nattel, "Role of the autonomic nervous system in atrial fibrillation: pathophysiology and therapy," *Circulation Research*, vol. 114, no. 9, pp. 1500–1515, 2014.
- [5] C. S. Fox, H. Parise, R. B. D'Agostino et al., "Parental atrial fibrillation as a risk factor for atrial fibrillation in offspring," *Journal of the American Medical Association*, vol. 291, no. 23, pp. 2851–2855, 2004.
- [6] Y.-H. Chen, S.-J. Xu, S. Bendahhou et al., "KCNQ1 gain-offunction mutation in familial atrial fibrillation," *Science*, vol. 299, no. 5604, pp. 251–254, 2003.
- [7] D. M. Hodgson-Zingman, M. L. Karst, L. V. Zingman et al., "Atrial natriuretic peptide frameshift mutation in familial atrial fibrillation," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 359, no. 2, pp. 158–165, 2008.
- [8] P. G. A. Volders, Q. Zhu, C. Timmermans et al., "Mapping a novel locus for familial atrial fibrillation on chromosome 10p11q21," *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 469–475, 2007.
- [9] P. T. Ellinor, J. T. Shin, R. K. Moore, D. M. Yoerger, and C. A. MacRae, "Locus for atrial fibrillation maps to chromosome 6q14-16," *Circulation*, vol. 107, no. 23, pp. 2880–2883, 2003.
- [10] I. L. Thibodeau, J. Xu, Q. Li et al., "Paradigm of genetic mosaicism and lone atrial fibrillation: Physiological characterization of a connexin 43-deletion mutant identified from atrial tissue," *Circulation*, vol. 122, no. 3, pp. 236–244, 2010.
- [11] J. Jabbari, M. S. Olesen, L. Yuan et al., "Common and rare variants in SCN10A modulate the risk of atrial fibrillation," *Circulation: Cardiovascular Genetics*, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 64–73, 2015.
- [12] D. F. Gudbjartsson, D. O. Arnar, A. Helgadottir et al., "Variants conferring risk of atrial fibrillation on chromosome 4q25," *Nature*, vol. 448, no. 7151, pp. 353–357, 2007.
- [13] P. T. Ellinor, K. L. Lunetta, N. L. Glazer et al., "Common variants in KCNN3 are associated with lone atrial fibrillation," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 42, no. 3, pp. 240–244, 2010.
- [14] P. T. Ellinor, K. L. Lunetta, C. M. Albert et al., "Meta-analysis identifies six new susceptibility loci for atrial fibrillation," *Nature Genetics*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 670–675, 2012.
- [15] B. Sikkema-Raddatz, L. F. Johansson, E. N. de Boer et al., "Targeted next-generation sequencing can replace sanger sequencing in clinical diagnostics," *Human Mutation*, vol. 34, no. 7, pp. 1035–1042, 2013.
- [16] C.-T. Tsai, C.-S. Hsieh, S.-N. Chang et al., "Next-generation sequencing of nine atrial fibrillation candidate genes identified novel de novo mutations in patients with extreme trait of atrial

fibrillation," *Journal of Medical Genetics*, vol. 52, no. 1, pp. 28–36, 2015.

- [17] H. Lin, M. F. Sinner, J. A. Brody et al., "Targeted sequencing in candidate genes for atrial fibrillation: The Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology (CHARGE) Targeted Sequencing Study," *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 452–457, 2014.
- [18] P. Weeke, B. Parvez, M. Blair et al., "Candidate gene approach to identifying rare genetic variants associated with lone atrial fibrillation," *Heart Rhythm*, vol. 11, no. 1, pp. 46–52, 2014.
- [19] A. Boldt, U. Wetzel, J. Lauschke et al., "Fibrosis in left atrial tissue of patients with atrial fibrillation with and without underlying mitral valve disease," *Heart*, vol. 90, no. 4, pp. 400–405, 2004.
- [20] A. Frustaci, C. Chimenti, F. Bellocci, E. Morgante, M. A. Russo, and A. Maseri, "Histological substrate of atrial biopsies in patients with lone atrial fibrillation," *Circulation*, vol. 96, no. 4, pp. 1180–1184, 1997.
- [21] Q.-Q. Cui, W. Zhang, H. Wang et al., "Assessment of atrial electromechanical coupling and influential factors in nonrheumatic paroxysmal atrial fibrillation," *Clinical Cardiology*, vol. 31, no. 2, pp. 74–78, 2008.
- [22] D. F. Gudbjartsson, H. Holm, P. Sulem et al., "A frameshift deletion in the sarcomere gene MYL4 causes early-onset familial atrial fibrillation," *European Heart Journal*, vol. 38, no. 1, pp. 27– 34, 2017.
- [23] P. Kirchhof, S. Benussi, D. Kotecha et al., "2016 ESC Guidelines for the management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with EACTS," *Europace*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 1609–1678, 2016.
- [24] R. Doñate Puertas, E. Meugnier, C. Romestaing et al., "Atrial fibrillation is associated with hypermethylation in human left atrium, and treatment with decitabine reduces atrial tachyarrhythmias in spontaneously hypertensive rats," *Translational Research*, vol. 184, pp. 57–67.e5, 2017.
- [25] G. Millat, V. Chanavat, and R. Rousson, "Evaluation of a new NGS method based on a custom AmpliSeq library and Ion Torrent PGM sequencing for the fast detection of genetic variations in cardiomyopathies," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 433, pp. 266– 271, 2014.
- [26] G. Millat, V. Chanavat, and R. Rousson, "Evaluation of a New High-Throughput Next-Generation Sequencing Method Based on a Custom AmpliSeq<sup>™</sup> Library and Ion Torrent PGM<sup>™</sup> Sequencing for the Rapid Detection of Genetic Variations in Long QT Syndrome," *Molecular Diagnosis & Therapy*, vol. 18, no. 5, pp. 533–539, 2014.
- [27] V. Chanavat, A. Janin, and G. Millat, "A fast and cost-effective molecular diagnostic tool for genetic diseases involved in sudden cardiac death," *Clinica Chimica Acta*, vol. 453, pp. 80– 85, 2016.
- [28] S. Richards, N. Aziz, and S. Bale, "Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: a joint consensus recommendation of the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics and the Association for Molecular Pathology," *Genetics in Medicine*, vol. 17, no. 5, pp. 405–423, 2015.
- [29] I. A. Adzhubei, S. Schmidt, L. Peshkin et al., "A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations," *Nature Methods*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 248-249, 2010.
- [30] P. Kumar, S. Henikoff, and P. C. Ng, "Predicting the effects of coding non-synonymous variants on protein function using the SIFT algorithm," *Nature Protocols*, vol. 4, no. 7, pp. 1073–1082, 2009.

- [31] J. M. Schwarz, C. Rödelsperger, M. Schuelke, and D. Seelow, "MutationTaster evaluates disease-causing potential of sequence alterations," *Nature Methods*, vol. 7, no. 8, pp. 575-576, 2010.
- [32] R. Grantham, "Amino acid difference formula to help explain protein evolution," *Science*, vol. 185, no. 4154, pp. 862–864, 1974.
- [33] R. C. Edgar, "MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high throughput," *Nucleic Acids Research*, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 1792–1797, 2004.
- [34] B. Cadot, V. Gache, E. Vasyutina, S. Falcone, C. Birchmeier, and E. R. Gomes, "Nuclear movement during myotube formation is microtubule and dynein dependent and is regulated by Cdc42, Par6 and Par3," *EMBO Reports*, vol. 13, no. 8, pp. 741–749, 2012.
- [35] S. Rivero, J. Cardenas, M. Bornens, and R. M. Rios, "Microtubule nucleation at the cis-side of the golgi apparatus requires AKAP450 and GM130," *EMBO Journal*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 1016– 1028, 2009.
- [36] P. Gimpel, Y. L. Lee, R. M. Sobota et al., "Nesprin-1α-Dependent Microtubule Nucleation from the Nuclear Envelope via Akap450 Is Necessary for Nuclear Positioning in Muscle Cells," *Current Biology*, vol. 27, no. 19, pp. 2999–3009.e9, 2017.
- [37] N. R. Tucker, S. Clauss, and P. T. Ellinor, "Common variation in atrial fibrillation: Navigating the path from genetic association to mechanism," *Cardiovascular Research*, vol. 109, no. 4, pp. 493– 501, 2016.
- [38] S. R. Cunha, T. J. Hund, S. Hashemi et al., "Defects in ankyrinbased membrane protein targeting pathways underlie atrial fibrillation," *Circulation*, vol. 124, no. 11, pp. 1212–1222, 2011.
- [39] K. Hayashi, T. Konno, H. Tada et al., "Functional Characterization of Rare Variants Implicated in Susceptibility to Lone Atrial Fibrillation," *Circulation: Arrhythmia and Electrophysiology*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 1095–1104, 2015.
- [40] W. L. Henry, J. Morganroth, A. S. Pearlman et al., "Relation between echocardiographically determined left atrial size and atrial fibrillation," *Circulation*, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 273–279, 1976.
- [41] C.-L. Chen, S. K. S. Huang, J.-L. Lin et al., "Upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase-9 and tissue inhibitors of metalloproteinases in rapid atrial pacing-induced atrial fibrillation," *Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology*, vol. 45, no. 6, pp. 742–753, 2008.
- [42] M. Li, G. Yang, B. Xie, K. Babu, and C. Huang, "Changes in matrix metalloproteinase-9 levels during progression of atrial fibrillation," *Journal of International Medical Research*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 224–230, 2014.
- [43] R. D. Nadadur, M. T. Broman, B. Boukens et al., "Pitx2 modulates a Tbx5-dependent gene regulatory network to maintain atrial rhythm," *Science Translational Medicine*, vol. 8, no. 354, 2016.
- [44] M. Kan-o, R. Takeya, K. Taniguchi, Y. Tanoue, R. Tominaga, and H. Sumimoto, "Expression and subcellular localization of mammalian formin Fhod3 in the embryonic and adult heart," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2012.
- [45] N. Frey, J. A. Richardson, and E. N. Olson, "Calsarcins, a novel family of sarcomeric calcineurin-binding proteins," *Proceedings* of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America, vol. 97, no. 26, pp. 14632–14637, 2000.
- [46] A. Garbino and X. H. Wehrens, "Emerging role of junctophilin-2 as a regulator of calcium handling in the heart," *Acta Pharmacologica Sinica*, vol. 31, no. 9, pp. 1019–1021, 2010.
- [47] D. L. Beavers, W. Wang, S. Ather et al., "Mutation E169K in junctophilin-2 causes atrial fibrillation due to impaired RyR2 stabilization," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 62, no. 21, pp. 2010–2019, 2013.

- [48] L. Bengtsson and H. Otto, "LUMA interacts with emerin and influences its distribution at the inner nuclear membrane," *Journal* of Cell Science, vol. 121, no. 4, pp. 536–548, 2008.
- [49] L. Chen, M. L. Marquardt, D. J. Tester, K. J. Sampson, M. J. Ackerman, and R. S. Kass, "Mutation of an A-kinase-anchoring protein causes long-QT syndrome," *Proceedings of the National Acadamy of Sciences of the United States of America*, vol. 104, no. 52, pp. 20990–20995, 2007.
- [50] V. Gache, E. R. Gomes, and B. Cadot, "Microtubule motors involved in nuclear movement during skeletal muscle differentiation," *Molecular Biology of the Cell (MBoC)*, vol. 28, no. 7, pp. 865–874, 2017.
- [51] T. Metzger, V. Gache, M. Xu et al., "MAP and kinesin-dependent nuclear positioning is required for skeletal muscle function," *Nature*, vol. 484, no. 7392, pp. 120–124, 2012.
- [52] P. Robison, M. A. Caporizzo, H. Ahmadzadeh et al., "Detyrosinated microtubules buckle and bear load in contracting cardiomyocytes," *Science*, vol. 352, no. 6284, Article ID aaf0659, 2016.
- [53] J. Ye, N. R. Tucker, L.-C. Weng, S. Clauss, S. A. Lubitz, and P. T. Ellinor, "A Functional Variant Associated with Atrial Fibrillation Regulates PITX2c Expression through TFAP2a," *American Journal of Human Genetics*, vol. 99, no. 6, pp. 1281–1291, 2016.
- [54] R. Doñate Puertas, A. Jalabert, E. Meugnier et al., "Analysis of the microRNA signature in left atrium from patients with valvular heart disease reveals their implications in atrial fibrillation," *PLoS ONE*, vol. 13, no. 5, p. e0196666, 2018.
- [55] S. Hoffmann, S. Clauss, I. M. Berger et al., "Coding and noncoding variants in the SHOX2 gene in patients with early-onset atrial fibrillation," *Basic Research in Cardiology*, vol. 111, no. 3, article 36, pp. 1–15, 2016.
- [56] B. Parvez, J. Vaglio, S. Rowan et al., "Symptomatic response to antiarrhythmic drug therapy is modulated by a common single nucleotide polymorphism in atrial fibrillation," *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*, vol. 60, no. 6, pp. 539–545, 2012.
- [57] E. Piñeiro-Yáñez, M. Reboiro-Jato, G. Gómez-López et al., "PanDrugs: a novel method to prioritize anticancer drug treatments according to individual genomic data," *Genome Medicine*, vol. 10, no. 1, 2018.