DOI: 10.1111/1759-7714.13894

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

WILEY

Pleural staging using local anesthetic thoracoscopy in dry pleural dissemination and minimal pleural effusion

Tatsuya Imabayashi ¹ 🖻)
Takaaki Tsuchida ¹	

¹Department of Endoscopy, Respiratory Endoscopy Division, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

²Department of Thoracic Oncology, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan

Correspondence

Tatsuya Imabayashi, Department of Endoscopy, Respiratory Endoscopy Division, National Cancer Center Hospital, 5-1-1 Tsukiji, Chuo-ku, Tokyo 104-0045, Japan. Email: timabaya@ncc.go.jp

Funding information

National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund, Grant/Award Number: 29-A-13

Yuji Matsumoto^{1,2} | 1

| Midori Tanaka¹ |

Toshiyuki Nakai¹

Abstract

Background: Dry pleural dissemination (DPD) and minimal (<10 mm thick) pleural effusion (PE) may be discovered intraoperatively as unexpected metastases. A definitive diagnostic procedure such as pleural biopsy is rarely attempted in such patients preoperatively. We retrospectively investigated the use and safety of local anesthetic thoracoscopy (LAT) as a pleural staging tool in the diagnosis of DPD and minimal PE.

Methods: We reviewed 18 patients with non-small cell lung cancer (radiological DPD and minimal PE in 13 and five patients, respectively) who underwent LAT using a flex-rigid pleuroscope for pleural staging from April 2015 to September 2020.

Results: The median age of the patients was 72 years. Nine patients (50%) were men. The dominant histological type was adenocarcinoma (n = 16). Three patients each with radiological DPD and minimal PE had visible PE on the LAT. Pleural biopsy was performed in the 16 cases in which pleural abnormalities were identified. On pleural staging, five cases were diagnosed without pleural dissemination (M0), and 13 cases were diagnosed with pleural dissemination (M1a). Only one case in which the lesion could not be identified because of pleural adhesions was false-negative. The success rates for pleural staging, sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, and negative predictive value were 94.4% (17/18), 92.8% (13/14), 100% (4/4), 100% (13/13), and 80.0% (4/5), respectively. There were no lung lacerations or other severe complications caused by the procedure or during blunt dissection.

Conclusion: LAT might be a useful tool for accurate pleural staging in cases with DPD and minimal PE suspected radiologically.

K E Y W O R D S

Dry pleural dissemination, interventional pulmonology, local anesthetic thoracoscopy, minimal pleural effusion, non-small cell lung cancer

INTRODUCTION

Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients with pleural metastases, which include malignant pleural effusion (PE) and/or malignant pleural nodules, have poor prognosis.¹ Pleural metastases of NSCLC, regardless of the extent of PE and pleural nodules, confer an M1a descriptor (stage IVA) in the latest (8th) edition of the tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) classification and are usually not indicated for surgical resection.²

Computed tomographic (CT) scans have been firmly established in the diagnostic pathway for investigating PE and pleural nodules. Additionally, thoracentesis is often able to diagnose PE and is an appropriate first step.³ However, approximately one in three patients with PE is undiagnosed after a single thoracentesis.⁴ The British Thoracic Society guidelines suggest that if the analysis of PE (cytology, protein, lactate dehydrogenase, pH, Gram stain, and culture) does not reveal a cause, then undiagnosed exudative effusions should be further investigated with contrast-enhanced

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{© 2021} The Authors. Thoracic Cancer published by China Lung Oncology Group and John Wiley & Sons Australia, Ltd.

CT scans, and only then should pleural biopsy, such as radiologically guided biopsy, local anesthetic thoracoscopy (LAT), or video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery, be considered.³

Conversely, in cases of dry pleural dissemination (DPD), defined as solid pleural metastases without PE^{5-9} or minimal PE (<10 mm thick) on CT scans,¹⁰ which are considered early stages of pleural metastases, it is difficult to radiologically differentiate between benign and malignant lesions, and thoracentesis is not recommended.¹¹ Most of these cases are clinically diagnosed as M0, and unexpected metastases are discovered intraoperatively. In contrast, true M0 patients with benign pleural nodules and PE may be misdiagnosed as M1a on imaging alone and may lose the opportunity for radical resection. Therefore, accurate pleural staging is necessary to avoid futile surgical invasion in patients with pleural metastases that cannot be cured by radical resection and to potentially guide curable patients to appropriate surgical resection.

LAT is the gold standard procedure for diagnosing PE of unknown cause,^{11,12} with a sensitivity of 91% and a specificity of 100%, as shown by a meta-analysis of 17 studies.¹³ It may also be useful and safe for DPD.^{7,14} Although previous studies have evaluated the diagnostic yield of LAT in patients with pleural metastases, there have been no data focusing on its potential role in pleural staging, especially in DPD and minimal PE. This study aimed to explore the utility, applicability, and safety of LAT in the diagnosis of the early stage of pleural metastases.

METHODS

Study design and participants

This single-center retrospective study included newly diagnosed patients with NSCLC who underwent LAT for pleural staging because of suspected DPD or minimal PE on CT and ¹⁸F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomographic (¹⁸F-FDG PET) scans from April 2015 to September 2020 at the National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan. This study was approved by the National Cancer Center Institutional Review Board (No. 2018-090), and written informed consent for the LAT procedure was obtained from all study participants.

Radiological assessment

CT scans with 1.0 to 5.0 mm collimation from the supraclavicular region to the diaphragm were obtained at least 1 month before the procedure in all cases. Both the standard



FIGURE 1 A case of dry pleural dissemination in a 69-year-old woman (case 4 in Table 2); (a) coronal and (b) axial sections of a computed tomographic scan (lung window) show a primary lesion abutting the right major fissure in the right upper lobe, pleural nodules only on the fissure (arrow head), and no pleural effusion. Local anesthetic thoracoscopic image reveals a small number of tiny, flat, and glossy nodules (arrow) without pleural effusion on the (c) parietal, (d) visceral, and (e) diaphragmatic pleura

mediastinal window and lung window were viewed. FDG PET/CT was also performed.

DPD and minimal PE were radiologically defined as "multiple small pleural nodules and/or uneven pleural thickenings without PE,"^{5–9} and "PE within 10 mm thickness that is too little to be punctured, with or without pleural lesions,"¹⁰ respectively.

Procedures

All procedures were performed under local anesthesia in the endoscopy suite using a single-puncture technique with a flex-rigid pleuroscope (LTF-260, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan). The patient was placed in the lateral decubitus position. The thoracic entry site was then, identified with transthoracic ultrasonography through the visualization of lung sliding. A local anesthetic (a mixture of 1% lidocaine and 1:100 000 adrenaline) to the chest wall and intravenous pentazocine was administered beforehand. Blunt dissection, trocar (MAJ-1058, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) placement, pleuroscope introduction, and thorough observation of the entire chest cavity, including the parietal, visceral, and diaphragmatic pleura (Fig 1), were performed. Abnormalities such as pleural thickening, pleural nodules, and adhesions were observed. Sedation with intravenous midazolam or propofol was performed when the patient complained of chest pain caused by the procedure. PE, if any, was collected for examination.

Pleural lesions suspected to be malignant were biopsied using the "lift and peel" technique with a local injection needle (NM-9 L-1 needle, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and standard flexible forceps (FB-211D and FB-210 K, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan), as described previously.^{7,14} A cryoprobe with 2.4-mm diameter (20402–032, Erbe Elektromedizin GmbH, Tübingen, Germany) was used when it was difficult to biopsy with forceps. The collected tissue samples were fixed in formalin and sent for histopathological analysis. A 20-Fr chest tube was placed at the end of the procedure, and a chest radiograph was obtained. Any related complications were recorded.

Data collection and analyses

The following variables were extracted from the electronic medical records and imaging database: age, sex, histological type, tumor location and its locational relationship with the pleura and fissure, clinical stage based on the 8th edition of the TNM classification, presence of minimal PE, presence and distribution of pleural/fissural thickenings and/or nodules, FDG uptake in these pleural lesions, epidermal growth factor receptor mutation or anaplastic lymphoma kinase fusion status, complications, procedure time from insertion of the pleuroscope into the chest cavity to the end of pleural biopsy, and results of the pleural staging and pathology.

TABLE 1 The baseline characteristics of patients

Characteristics	
Median age (range) [years]	72 (39–85)
Sex	
Male	9 (50.0)
Female	9 (50.0)
Smoking history	
Never	10 (55.5)
Past	8 (44.4)
Clinical T factor ^a	
1	4 (22.2)
2	9 (50.0)
3	3 (16.6)
4	2 (11.1)
Clinical N factor ^a	
0	8 (44.4)
1	6 (33.3)
2	4 (22.2)
Primary lesion abutting on the pleura/fissure	17 (94.4)
Minimal pleural effusion	5 (27.7)
Fissural nodules	17 (94.4)
Pleural nodules	14 (77.7)
Pleural thickenings	8 (44.4)
Pleural lesions with FDG uptake	8 (44.4)
Histological type	
Adenocarcinoma	16 (88.8)
Squamous cell carcinoma	2 (11.1)
Driver mutation	
EGFR mutation	10 (55.5)
ALK fusion	2 (11.1)

Data are presented as N (%) unless otherwise noted.

^aThe stage of all patients was defined according to the eighth edition of the TNM classification.

ALK, anaplastic lymphoma kinase; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; FDG, fluorodeoxyglucose.

All malignant diagnoses were confirmed pathologically. The benign diagnoses were established based on the histological results of a subsequent surgical resection. Pleural staging was deemed successful if the pathology results of LAT were consistent with the final diagnosis. Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated using the final diagnosis as the gold standard by which the LAT diagnostic accuracy was tested. All data were statistically described in terms of frequencies (number of cases), percentages, medians, and ranges when appropriate.

RESULTS

A total of 18 patients were included in the analysis. The baseline characteristics are described in Table 1. The

CasePrimaryCli10.sitefac1RUL4/12LLL3/23RUL2b4RUL2a5LLL2a6LUL2a7LLL2a8RUL3/9LLL2a10LLL2a												
Case Primary Cli 10. site fac 1 RUL 4/1 2 LLL 3/i 3 RUL 2b 4 RUL 2b 5 LLL 2b 6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 2a 8 RUL 3/i 9 LLL 2a 9 LLL 2a					FIGURAL IIU	ounce/ uncertuids (Einel diam		
1 RUL 4/1 2 LLL 3/i 3 RUL 2b 3 RUL 2b 4 RUL 2a 5 LLL 2a 6 LUL 2a 6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 2a 8 RUL 3/i 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	nical T/N tor ^a	PE on CT	PE collected by LAT	FDG uptake of pleural lesions	Parietal	Diaphragmatic	Visceral	Forceps biopsy	Cryobiopsy	Histology	M factor ^a	Staging results
2 ILL 3/2 3 RUL 2b 4 RUL 2b 5 ILL 2a 6 IUL 2a 7 ILL 2a 8 RUL 3/ 9 ILL 2a 10 ILL 4/		I	1	Present	Nodules	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
3 RUL 2b 4 RUL 2a 5 LLL 2a 6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 2a 8 RUL 3/ 9 LLL 2a 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/		Minimal	Malignant	Present	Both	I	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
4 RUL 2a 5 LLL 2a 6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 2a 8 RUL 3/' 9 LLL 2a 9 LLL 2a 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	1	Minimal	I	Present	Both	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
5 LLL 2a 6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 1c 8 RUL 37: 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	2	I	I	Present	Nodules	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
6 LUL 2a 7 LLL 1c, 8 RUL 3/ 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	0	I	I	1	Nodules	I	Nodules	Malignant	Malignant	AD	la	Success
7 LLL 1c 8 RUL 3/1 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	2	I	I	1	Both	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	Malignant	AD	la	Success
8 RUL 3/1 9 LLL 2a 10 LLL 4/	0	I	I	1	Nodules	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
9 LLL 2a. 10 LLL 4/.		I	I	1	I	I	I	I	I	AD	0	Success
10 LLL 4/	2	I	Benign	1	Nodules	I	I	Benign	I	AD	0	Success
		I	I	Present	I	I	I	Benign ^b	I	SQ	la	Failure
11 RUL 1c,	0	I	Malignant	1	Both	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
12 RLL 3/(Minimal	Malignant	Ι	Both	Ι	Nodules	Malignant	Malignant	AD	la	Success
13 LLL 2a,	0	I	Malignant	I	Nodules	Nodules	Both	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
14 RML 2b	0	I	Ι	Present	Nodules	I	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
15 RLL 2a,	1	Minimal	Benign	1	I	Ι	I	I	I	SQ	0	Success
16 RUL 1b.	0	Minimal	Ι	Present	Nodules	Nodules	Nodules	Malignant	I	AD	la	Success
17 RUL 1a,	0	I	Ι	Present	Both	Ι	Nodules	Benign	Benign	AD	0	Success
18 RLL 2a,	1	I	Ι	I	Both	Nodules	I	Malignant	Malignant	AD	la	Success
^a The stage of all patients was (^b The site of pleural adhesions AD, adenocarcinoma: CT, corr lobe, SQ, squamous cell carcin	efined accordin tear the primary puted tomograj oma.	g to the eighth y lesion was bic phy; FDG, fluoi	edition of the TNM ppsied. rodeoxyglucose; LA'	classification. 1, local anesthetic thoracoscoj	yy; LLL, left lo	wer lobe; LUL, left upp	er lobe; PE, p	leural effusion; F	UL, right lower lo	be; RML, right 1	niddle lobe; RU	L, right upper

TABLE 2 Radiological and thoracoscopic findings of patients

IMABAYASHI ET AL.

IMABAYASHI ET AL.

d а

FIGURE 2 In some cases, local anesthetic thoracoscopic images did not show any obvious lesions highly suspective of malignancy on the parietal pleura, (**a**), (**b**) the diaphragmatic or (**c**), (**d**) the visceral pleural nodules were biopsied. These biopsy procedures are often difficult because of inaccessibility near the lesion or because of diaphragmatic stretching when biting off by forceps in the former and respiratory variation in the latter

FIGURE 3 A case of failed pleural staging in a 78-year-old man (case 10 in Table 2). (a) Sagittal and (b) axial sections of a computed tomographic scan (mediastinal window) show a pleural nodule (arrow) on the head side of the primary lesion in the left lower lobe. (c) this nodule could not be identified on local anesthetic thoracoscopy because of the adhesion between the chest wall and lung

median age of the patients was 72 years (range: 39-85 years), and nine of them (50.0%) were men. In all cases except three, the pathological diagnosis was confirmed by initial bronchoscopic biopsy, and LAT

procedures were performed only for staging. The dominant histological type was adenocarcinoma (n = 16, 88.8%), with 12 cases (66.6%) showing driver mutations.

The number of cases in which the primary lesion was adjacent to the pleura or fissure, cases with fissural nodules, pleural nodules, and thickenings on CT scans were 17 (94.4%), 17 (94.4%), 14 (77.7%), and 8 (44.4%), respectively. FDG uptake of these pleural lesions was detected in eight cases (44.4%).

Table 2 outlines the results of LAT. Of the five patients with radiologically minimal PE, only three had visible PE on LAT images, and one of them was cytologically diagnosed as benign. Conversely, of the 13 patients with radiological DPD, three had a very small amount of PE (4–15 mL) collected by LAT, and cytology revealed malignant cells in two of them.

Pleural biopsy was performed in all except two cases in which no obvious pleural lesion suspected of malignancy was observed. The sampling devices used were forceps in all cases and cryoprobes in five cases. The biopsy sites were the parietal pleura in 14 cases, the diaphragmatic pleura in three cases, the visceral pleura in two cases (Fig 2), and pleural adhesions in one case (Fig 3; case 10 in Table 2). Pleural biopsy confirmed pleural dissemination in 13 cases. Four of the other five patients underwent subsequent surgical resection and were diagnosed with pathological M0 (truenegative). The one remaining case was deemed unresectable because of the involvement of the descending aorta associated with left back pain (clinical T4). It was clinically diagnosed as M1a (false-negative) with the appearance of malignant PE 5 weeks after LAT, confirmed by thoracentesis 3 weeks later during follow up LAT (case 10 in Table 2). Hence, the success rates for pleural staging, sensitivity, specificity, PPV, and NPV were 94.4% (17/18), 92.8% (13/14), 100% (4/4), 100% (13/13), and 80.0% (4/5), respectively.

The median procedure time was 21.3 (range: 13.5–86.0) min. Mild chest pain occurred in six patients, and agitation/ delirium was observed in two patients during examination. There were no lung lacerations or other severe complications caused by the procedure or during blunt dissection.

DISCUSSION

The current study demonstrated that pleural staging using LAT safely provided diagnostic accuracy in 94.4% of NSCLC patients with radiologically suspected DPD or minimal PE. As a result of this staging, 14 patients diagnosed with M1a were able to avoid futile surgical invasion and initiate drug therapy based on their respective histological type, gene mutation/fusion status, and expression of programmed death-ligand 1. Three of the four patients diagnosed with M0 were able to undergo radical surgical resection.

Previous studies have described that DPD and minimal PE indicate an early phase of pleural metastases and are important prognostic factors. Because it takes an average of 19 to 41.9 months for the development of malignant PE from DPD,^{6,9} patients with DPD survive much longer than patients with malignant PE (median survival of 38 vs. 13 months, P < 0.001).⁶ Similarly, patients with minimal PE

have poorer prognosis than those without PE.^{10,15} However, the following limitations have been mentioned; (a) only pathologically proven DPD cases were included, and clinically diagnosed DPD were excluded from the analysis,⁶ and (b) a definite diagnostic procedure such as pleural biopsy was not attempted in the majority of minimal PE cases because it was either technically unfeasible or a change in treatment strategy was not anticipated.¹⁵ Therefore, the extended use of LAT may help to solve some of these problems and determine the prognostic impact of DPD and minimal PE.

In determining the indication for pleural staging using LAT, CT scans are the most important diagnostic work-up to help detect DPD and minimal PE. Previous studies have revealed that DPD can appear more frequently when the primary lesion is adjacent to the pleura or the fissure,^{16,17} when there are multiple small pleural nodules and uneven or band-like fissural thickenings.⁵ Furthermore, CT scans have been shown to have higher sensitivity and specificity when integrated with PET.⁵ If PET/CT findings are obviously positive for malignancy, LAT may be avoided considering its invasiveness. However, PET/CT and LAT findings do not always match. In the present study, some radiologically silent pleural lesions were identified on LAT images. Conversely, some radiologically observed pleural lesions could not be identified on LAT images because of pleural adhesions or poor lung collapse.¹⁴

Moreover, some pleural abnormalities were not malignant. It has been reported that 17% to 18% of the analyzed PE in patients with lung cancer were unrelated to pleural malignancy (e.g., post-surgery, pneumonia, or heart failure).^{15,18} In fact, 33.3% (2/6) of minimal PE in the present study were also benign fluids. According to the diagnostic criteria for DPD,⁸ less than six pleural/fissural nodules or thickenings may represent histologically benign lesions (e.g., intrapulmonary lymph nodes, anthracofibrotic nodules, or granulomas). False-positive PET scans may be seen as a result of fractured ribs, artifacts due to poor resolution, or nodular atelectasis.^{5, 19} Therefore, if technically feasible, histological confirmation by LAT can be useful to reduce misjudgments of the surgical indications.

In cases with more localized pleural seeding, it is essential to seek out and biopsy as many lesions as possible on LAT images for a definite diagnosis. Regardless of the location of the primary lesion, the area around the costophrenic angle, where pleural abnormalities are often distributed, should be carefully explored. The diaphragmatic or visceral pleura, as well as the parietal pleura, may be subject to biopsy. In particular, visceral pleural biopsy as well as LAT in patients with minimal or no PE is listed as a level II technique that should be performed by more experienced practitioners with a major interest in pleural diseases.¹¹ Although some argue that visceral pleural biopsy should be avoided because of the risk of prolonged air leak,²⁰ there has been a case report of safe and successful diagnosis.²¹

With regard to the position of LAT in the diagnostic pathway, medical thoracoscopists should fully discuss the balance of risks and benefits in individual patients and whether LAT or surgical procedures such as video-assisted thoracoscopic surgery and thoracotomy are the optimal treatment strategy.¹¹ Compared with surgical procedures, the proposed advantages of LAT include a smaller incision site and lower anesthetic requirements. LAT allows for pleural exploration and biopsies with safety even in patients with minimal/no PE, with the aid of transthoracic ultrasonography to visualize lung sliding and most importantly, avoid pleural adhesions. Conversely, the disadvantages of LAT include a limited field of view in the thoracic cavity because of the single-puncture technique, especially in cases with extensive pleural adhesions or poor lung collapse and in smaller specimens obtained with forceps. Cryobiopsy is considered easy and quick to handle even in such situations,^{14,22} and it is expected that a sampling technique that allows for larger and better quality specimens will have a sufficient sample for nextgeneration sequencing.^{23–26}

Our study had some limitations. First, it was conducted at a single cancer center, leading to possible bias in patient selection. Second, it was a retrospective analysis with a small sample size. Third, the present study did not investigate the prognosis of patients who underwent pleural staging using LAT and did not compare them with those who underwent surgical procedures, for the following reasons: (a) pleural staging was not performed in all patients with DPD and minimal PE suspected radiologically, and (b) in some cases, prognostic analysis was not available because of the short time course after pleural staging. Although still controversial, due in part to recent advances in systemic therapy, a meta-analysis and nine retrospective studies indicated that resection of a primary tumor was a beneficial prognostic factor among NSCLC patients with pleural metastases detected unexpectedly during surgery.^{27–30} Future studies are required to determine whether pleural staging would contribute to improving the prognosis of these patients. Although the rarity of these patients is certainly a concern, larger, prospective, randomized controlled trials are needed to validate these findings. Despite these limitations, our study validates the technical feasibility of performing LAT for cases of DPD and minimal PE.

In conclusion, LAT might be a useful tool for accurate pleural staging in cases with DPD and minimal PE suspected radiologically.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Cancer Center Research and Development Fund (29-A-13).

DISCLOSURE

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Tatsuva Imabayashi D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6343-5306

REFERENCES

- WILEY_
- Sugiura S, Ando Y, Minami H, Ando M, Sakai S, Shimokata K. Prognostic value of pleural effusion in patients with non-small cell lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res. 1997;3:47-50.
- Goldstraw P, Chansky K, Crowley J, Rami-Porta R, Asamura H, 2. Eberhardt WEE, et al. The IASLC lung cancer staging project: proposals for revision of the TNM stage groupings in the forthcoming (eighth) edition of the TNM classification for lung cancer. J Thorac Oncol. 2016;11:39-51.
- Hooper C, Lee YC, Maskell N, et al. Investigation of a unilateral pleu-3. ral effusion in adults: British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010;65(suppl 2):ii4-17.
- Garcia L. The value of multiple fluid specimens in the cytological diggnosis of malignancy. Mod Pathol. 1994;7:665-8.
- 5. Shim SS, Lee KS, Kim BT, Choi JY, Shim YM, Chung MJ, et al. Integrated PET/CT and the dry pleural dissemination of peripheral adenocarcinoma of the lung: diagnostic implications. J Comput Assist Tomogr. 2006;30:70-6.
- 6 Kim YK, Lee HY, Lee KS, Han J, Ahn MJ, Park K, et al. Dry pleural dissemination in non-small cell lung cancer: prognostic and diagnostic implications. Radiology. 2011;260:568-74.
- 7. Watanabe Y, Sasada S, Chavez C, Matsumoto Y, Izumo T, Tsuchida T. Flex-rigid pleuroscopy under local anesthesia in patients with dry pleural dissemination on radiography. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2014:44:749-55.
- 8. Yang M, Ren Y, She Y, Xie D, Sun X, Shi J, et al. Imaging phenotype using radiomics to predict dry pleural dissemination in non-small cell lung cancer. Ann Transl Med. 2019;7:259.
- 9. Kim W, Park IK, Park S, Kang CH, Kim YT. Clinical course of nonsmall cell lung cancer patients with dry pleural dissemination retrospective observational study. Medicine. 2020;99:e19533.
- 10 Ryu JS, Ryu HJ, Lee SN, Memon A, Lee SK, Nam HS, et al. Prognostic impact of minimal pleural effusion in non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol. 2014;32:960-7.
- 11 Rahman NM, Ali NJ, Brown G, et al. Local anaesthetic thoracoscopy: British Thoracic Society pleural disease guideline 2010. Thorax. 2010; 65(suppl 2):ii54-60.
- 12. Rivera MP, Mehta AC, Wahidi MM. Establishing the diagnosis of lung cancer diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American college of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines. Chest. 2013;143:e142S-65S.
- 13. Agarwal R, Aggarwal AN, Gupta D. Diagnostic accuracy and safety of semirigid thoracoscopy in exudative pleural effusions: a meta-analysis. Chest. 2013;144:1857-67.
- 14. Marie Lao AM, Matsumoto Y, Tanaka M, et al. Pleural cryobiopsy during local anaesthetic thoracoscopy in dry pleural dissemination. Respirol Case Rep. 2019;8:e00503.
- Porcel JM, Gasol A, Bielsa S, Civit C, Light RW, Salud A. Clinical fea-15. tures and survival of lung cancer patients with pleural effusions. Respirology. 2015;20:654-9.
- 16. Murayama S, Murakami J, Yoshimitsu K, Torii Y, Ishida T, Masuda K. CT diagnosis of pleural dissemination without pleural effusion in primary lung cancer. Radiat Med. 1996;14:117-9.
- Leung AN, Muller NL, Miller RR. CT in differential diagnosis of dif-17. fuse pleural disease. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 1990;154:487-92.
- 18 Cantó A, Ferrer G, Romagosa V, Moya J, Bernat R. Lung cancer and pleural effusion. Clinical significance and study of pleural metastatic locations. Chest. 1985;87:649-52.
- 19 Mori K, Hirose T, Machida S, Yokoi K, Tominaga K, Moriyama N, et al. Helical computed tomography diagnosis of pleural dissemination in lung cancer: comparison of thick-section and thin-section helical computed tomography. J Thorac Imaging. 1998;13:211-8.
- 20. Alraiyes AH, Dhillon SS, Harris K, et al. Medical thoracoscopy: technique and application. Pleura. 2016;3:1-11.
- Terashita S, Suminaga K, Kawachi H, et al. Visceral pleural biopsy 21. under medical thoracoscopy for the diagnosis of lung adenocarcinoma. Respirol Case Rep. 2020;8:e00616.

¹²⁰² WILEY-

- 22. Nakai T, Matsumoto Y, Sasada S, Tanaka M, Tsuchida T, Ohe Y, et al. Cryobiopsy during flex-rigid pleuroscopy: an emerging alternative biopsy method in malignant pleural mesothelioma. A comparative study of pathology. Jpn J Clin Oncol. 2019;49:559–66.
- Rozman A, Camlek L, Malovrh MM, et al. Feasibility and safety of parietal pleural cryobiopsy during semi-rigid thoracoscopy. Clin Respir J. 2015;10:574–8.
- 24. Shafiq M, Sethi J, Ali MS, Ghori UK, Saghaie T, Folch E. Pleural Cryobiopsy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Chest. 2020;157: 223-30.
- 25. Arimura K, Tagaya E, Akagawa H, Nagashima Y, Shimizu S, Atsumi Y, et al. Cryobiopsy with endobronchial ultrasonography using a guide sheath for peripheral pulmonary lesions and DNA analysis by next generation sequencing and rapid on-site evaluation. Respir Investig. 2019;57:150–6.
- Udagawa H, Kirita K, Naito T, Nomura S, Ishibashi M, Matsuzawa R, et al. Feasibility and utility of transbronchial cryobiopsy in precision medicine for lung cancer: prospective single-arm study. Cancer Sci. 2020;111:2488–98.
- Sawabata N, Matsumura A, Motohiro A, Osaka Y, Gennga K, Fukai S, et al. Malignant minor pleural effusion detected on thoracotomy for patients with non-small cell lung cancer: is tumour resection beneficial for prognosis? Ann Thorac Surg. 2002;73:412–5.

- 28. Li H, Liu T, Sun Z, Yang F. Primary tumor resection of non-small cell lung cancer patients with ipsilateral pleural dissemination (M1a) in the era of targeted therapy. Thorac Cancer. 2020;11:3213–22.
- Xu Y, Chen N, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Mei J, Liu C, et al. Should primary tumor be resected for non-small cell lung cancer with malignant pleural disease unexpectedly found during operation?—a systemic review and meta-analysis. J Thorac Dis. 2016;8:2843–52.
- Deng HY, Zheng X, Zhu DX, Zhou Q. Is surgical resection of primary tumour superior to exploratory thoracotomy without resection in treating lung cancer patients with unexpected pleural metastasis detected during operation? Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg. 2020;30: 582–7.

How to cite this article: Imabayashi T, Matsumoto Y, Tanaka M, Nakai T, Tsuchida T. Pleural staging using local anesthetic thoracoscopy in dry pleural dissemination and minimal pleural effusion. *Thorac Cancer*. 2021;12:1195–1202. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-7714.13894</u>