This is an open access article published under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) <u>License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the author and source are cited.



pubs.acs.org/OrgLett

Draanic

etters

Letter

Alcohol Etherification via Alkoxy Radicals Generated by Visible-Light Photoredox Catalysis

Alexandra R. Rivero, Peter Fodran, Alica Ondrejková, and Carl-Johan Wallentin*



thers constitute a common framework in many bioactive natural products, pharmaceuticals, and cosmetics.¹ Moreover, ethers are broadly applied in industrial contexts as solvents, refrigerants, and more recently, lubricants and fuels.² During the past decades, transition-metal catalysis became a heavily utilized tool for the synthesis of ethers, overcoming the functional group incompatibility observed for more classical methods.³ However, these cross-coupling reactions are limited to aryl halides and are typically conducted under high temperatures to achieve effective reductive elimination for palladium- and nickel-based systems or effective oxidative addition for copper-based processes (Scheme 1a).⁴ Photoredox catalysis has recently emerged as a powerful alternative that allows for direct C-O coupling using milder conditions.⁵ A recent application of this methodology is the room-temperature etherification developed by MacMillan and co-workers that allows for the formation of C-O bonds by employing dual photoredox and nickel catalysis. Nevertheless, this novel approach is limited to aryl bromides.⁶ We reasoned that alkoxy radicals generated from alcohols under photoredox catalysis,' could be applied to avoid the use of halides as substrates in the construction of ethers. Photoredox catalysis has been employed to generate alkoxy radicals directly from alcohols via reductive quenching^{/e} or by oxidative quenching in combination with stoichiometric oxidants^{7b} or redox-active auxiliaries (Scheme 1b). Most commonly used alkoxy radical precursors from alcohols, such as nitrite esters,⁸ sulfenyl ethers,⁹ peroxides,¹⁰ hypohalites,¹¹ *N*-alkoxypyridine-2-thiones,¹² and *N*-alkoxyphthalimides,¹³ are sometimes challenging to synthesize, unstable, or toxic. On the other hand, hypervalent iodine(III) reagents (HIR),^{14a} which have been used for the direct generation of oxygen-centered radicals from alcohols under mild photoredox conditions via oxidative quenching (Scheme 1b), 14b-d are commercially available, easy to handle, and nontoxic.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Ethers and Alkoxy Radical Generation

a) Previous etherification of alcohols with transition metals



b) Alkoxy radical generation with hypervalent iodine (III) reagent (HIR)





Inspired by the recent applications of HIR in photoredox catalysis, we decided to further explore their potential to develop a synthetically useful C–O bond-forming methodology. We hypothesized that direct attachment of HIR to the hydroxyl group might, upon oxidative quenching, promote the

Received: September 13, 2020 Published: October 12, 2020





well-known 1,5-hydrogen atom transfer (1,5-HAT) for C- (sp^3) -H remote functionalization¹⁵ and also the underexplored 1,5-addition to unsaturated functionalities for C(sp^2)-H functionalization. This strategy would provide access to a diverse set of oxacyclic frameworks in a redox-neutral fashion (Scheme 1c).

We began our investigations with benziodoxolone 2, derived from 4-phenylbutanol. First, we focused on the 1,5-HAT process as a model reaction. As shown in Table 1 (summary of

Table 1. Summary of the Optimization Conditions for the Photoredox-Catalyzed Etherification of Phenylbutoxy Benziodoxolone 2

	Ph2	Photocata Base Solven IB 16 h, r Blue LEI	alyst t t Ds	° 3
entry	$Ir(ppy)_3 \pmod{\%}$	base	solvent	yield ^{a,b} of 3 (%)
1	5.0		DMF	0
2	5.0		DCE	5
3	5.0		THF	5
4	5.0		PhCF ₃	28
5	5.0		CH_3CN	40
6	5.0	K_2HPO_4	CH ₃ CN	42
7	5.0	Amberlite	CH_3CN	46
8	5.0	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	50
9	5.0	lutidine	CH ₃ CN	35
10	5.0	DMAP	CH ₃ CN	32
11	2.0	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	44
12	10.0	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	44
13	5.0	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	52 ^c
14	no catalyst	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	0
15	5.0	pyridine	CH ₃ CN	0^d

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: **2** (0.1 mmol), Ir complex (2.0–10.0 mol %), base (1.0 equiv), and solvent (2 mL) under light irradiation using blue LED at rt for 16h under N₂. ^{*b*}Yield of compound **3** determined by ¹H NMR using ethylene carbonate as an internal standard. ^{*c*}Reaction time **3** h. ^{*d*}Reaction performed in the absence of light. IB = benziodoxolone.

key observations), we started screening for the optimal solvent in which benziodoxolone 2 would be converted into 2phenyltetrahydrofuran 3 in the presence of photocatalyst fac-Ir(ppy)₃. Among all the solvents investigated, acetonitrile showed the best result, giving the desired tetrahydrofuran 3 in 40% yield (NMR) after irradiation with blue LEDs for 16 h (entry 5). Subsequently, the etherification was optimized by varying reaction parameters and exploring various organic and transition-metal-based catalysts. None of these variations showed a notable increase in the reaction yield (see Supporting Information S11). However, the addition of base was somewhat beneficial, with pyridine providing the highest yield (entries 6-10). Any variation of the photocatalyst loading resulted in a decrease in the reaction yield (entries 11 and 12). Optimal conditions were established using fac- $Ir(ppy)_3$ (5.0 mol %) as the photocatalyst, pyridine (1.0 equiv) as the base, and acetonitrile (0.05 M) as solvent under blue LEDs irradiation for 3 h (52% yield, entry 13). Control experiments revealed that both photocatalyst and light were essential for the etherification reaction given that no product was detected when the reaction was performed in their absence (entries 14 and 15). Finally, we explored the direct etherification of 4-phenyl butanol. Unfortunately, several studies showed that compound 2 cannot be formed using optimized conditions (see Supporting Information S12–14).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of the alkoxy benziodoxolone derivatives. All benziodoxolone derivatives were prepared using a modified method developed by Baker et al.,¹⁶ employing commercially available 1-acetoxy-1,2-benziodoxol-3(1H)-one and dibromomethane as the solvent. These conditions allowed us to reduce the required stoichiometry of the alcohol to only 1.5 equiv as compared to the solvolytic conditions reported by Baker¹⁶ (see Supporting Information S4). As shown in Scheme 2, double-



"Reaction conditions: 2 (0.5 mmol), fac-Ir(ppy)₃ (5.0 mol %), pyridine (1.0 equiv), and CH₃CN (10 mL) under light irradiation using blue LED at rt for 3 h under N_2 . Yields are those of isolated products.

activated (benzylic and α -heteroatom) C-H bonds produced the acetals 3a, 3b, and 3c in 75%, 92%, and 91% yield, respectively. These three examples illustrate that this δ - $C(sp^3)$ -H etherification is not affected by the electronic nature and tolerates some steric bulk of the substituents on the arene. The use of only α -heteroatom-activated C-H bonds allowed the formation of noncyclic acetal 3d with slightly decreased yield (52%). This result prompted us to question whether this methodology applies to the synthesis of nonsymmetric orthoesters, which are versatile synthetic intermediates known for their typically problematic synthesis.¹⁷ We were pleased to obtain orthoester 3e in 46% yield. The substrate used for the optimization of the reaction conditions, as well as the p-fluoro derivative, gave the corresponding tetrahydrofurans 3f and 3g in 48% (43% when scaled up to 1.0 mmol) and 51% yields, respectively. Finally, we examined the reactivity of secondary and tertiary alkoxy radicals, which are considered challenging due to rapid β -fragmentation based side reactions.^{18a} To our delight, both

secondary and tertiary alkoxy benziodoxolones yielded the desired tetrahydrofurans 3h-3k in good to excellent yields (56–97%). The different yields obtained for the various substrates investigated are effected by byproducts formations coupled to the characteristics of the benziodoxolone substrat (see Supporting Information S15).

In general, alkoxy radicals react via 1,5-HAT or β fragmentation due to the formation of less energetic Ccentered radicals acting as a thermodynamic driving force.¹⁸ However, when neither of these two paths is feasible, the resulting RO[•] has a propensity to add to unsaturated systems, as recently demonstrated by Dagousset and co-workers.¹⁹ Consequently, we decided to explore the possibility of applying our methodology to the synthesis of chromanes, which to the best of our knowledge has never been achieved using alkoxy radicals generated under photoredox catalysis.²⁰

When initially exploring the conditions identified as optimal for suitable 1,5-HAT substrates, with substrates deemed suitable to undergo 1,5-addition, we observed that no base additive was needed to achieve full conversion. Subjecting 3phenyl-1-propanol to these conditions afforded chromane **31** in a 55% yield after only 1 h. The scope of this novel photocatalyzed intramolecular aryl etherification was next investigated, and the results are summarized in Scheme **3**.



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: 2 (0.5 mmol), *fac*-Ir(ppy)₃ (5.0 mol %) and CH₃CN (10 mL) under light irradiation using blue LED at rt for 1 h under N₂. Yields refer to those of isolated products.

Contrary to what we expected, electron-rich and electron-poor aromatic arenes produced the corresponding chromanes with similar yields (3m-3q, 56-54%). Remarkably, secondary and tertiary alcohols were also viable substrates affording the corresponding compounds 3r-3u in up to quantitative yield. Unfortunately, the process takes place with poor regioselectivity: only alkoxy benziodoxolone derived from alcohol 1m

(3-(3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl)propanol) produced chromane 3m as a single isomer in 56% yield. Alcohol 1n (3-(4methoxyphenyl)propanol) gave rise to chromane 3n as an inseparable mixture of two regioisomers in a 3:1 ratio in 44% yield. The regioselectivity increased to 5:1 when the phenyl ring was substituted with ethyl carboxylate in the para-position (alcohol 10, methyl 4-(3-hydroxypropyl)benzoate), producing chromane 30 as an inseparable mixture of regioisomers in 37% yield. Synthetically useful halogens were also compatible with this transformation. The o-bromo and p-chloro substituent in the phenyl ring of the alcohols yielded the corresponding chromanes 3p and 3q in 52% and 54% yield, respectively, and in both cases as inseparable mixtures of two regioisomers in a 1:1 ratio. When naphthyl-substituted alcohol was employed (alcohol 1t, 2-methyl-4-(naphthalen-1-yl)butan-2-ol), the two regioisomers formed, chromanes 3t and 3t', were isolated separately in 49% and 11% yields, respectively. This method outperforms the existing methods by requiring lower temperatures, avoiding the use of a stoichiometric oxidant^{21a} and allowing electron-rich substrates to undergo etherification.²¹

The proposed mechanism for both etherification processes is depicted in Scheme 4. For the formation of tetrahydrofurans





we propose that the reaction is initiated by single-electron reduction of benziodoxolone A ($E_{\rm red} = -1.07$ V vs standard calomel electrode (SCE) in CH₃CN) by the photoexcited state of Ir^{III}* ($E_{1/2}$ *^{III/IV} = -1.73 V vs SCE in CH₃CN),²² producing oxidized catalyst Ir^{IV}, 2-iodobenzoate, and the oxygen-centered radical **B**. Subsequently, **B** undergoes 1,5-HAT, forming the translocated carbon-centered benzylstabilized radical **C**. The Ir(ppy)₃ catalyst is regenerated from Ir^{IV} by SET oxidation of carbon radical **C** to carbocation **D**. The oxidation potential of an alkyl-substituted benzylic radical such as **C** is $E_{\rm ox} = +0.35$ V vs SCE in CH₃CN²³ and the oxidation potential of oxidatively quenched Ir(ppy)₃ is $E_{\rm ox} = +0.77$ V vs SCE in CH₃CN,²² making the turnover event of the catalyst exergonic. Finally, carbocation **D** undergoes an intramolecular ring closure to form tetrahydrofuran **E**. It is worth mentioning that alkyl-substituted alcohols, such as decanol and 3-cyclohexylpropanol, with lower radical and carbocation

stabilization capacity, were not suitable reaction partners in this transformation.

Regarding the formation of chromanes, the first step is the same as previously (the single electron reduction of the benziodoxolone). Next, the oxygen-centered radical \mathbf{B}' can either add to the ipso position of the aryl ring leading to the formation of carbon radical C' or to the ortho position forming carbon radical C''. These cyclohexanedienyl radicals, C' and C'', can be oxidized $(E_{ox} = ca. 0.1 \text{ V vs SCE})^{24}$ to the corresponding carbocations D' and D'', while reducing the Ir^{IV} back to Ir^{III}. Finally, rearomatization produces the final chromane E' in both cases. For carbocation D' this rearomatization process is preceded by a rearrangement. The mixture of regioisomers obtained (Scheme 3) is most probably due to a competition between 1,5- and 1,6-addition as well as between carbon and oxygen migration in the rearrangement step of the spirocyclic intermediate. Given the regioselectivity ratios observed for the various aryl rings investigated, it is not possible to derive a qualitative rational for mechanistic pathway preferences. The regioisomer distribution observed in the formation of chromanes 3n-3q and 3t-3t' does not suggest that there is a clear trend coupled to stereoelectronic effects; however, carbon migration is more likely to occur than oxygen migration for the electron-deficient derivatives 30-3p.² Accordingly, the regioisomeric ratio obtained for these compounds most probably reflect the 1,5- vs 1,6-addition preferences for these compounds. Chromane 3m was formed as a single regioisomer, which suggests that the reaction selectively progresses via the 1,6-addition pathway. However, similarly stabilized cationic spirocyclic compounds have been suggested to rearrange via oxygen migration involving a stepwise mechanism initiated with a C-O bond cleavage followed by a 1,4-addition.^{25b} Taken together, the regioisomeric ratios observed for most substrates probably stems from competition between 1,5- and 1,6-addition. For very electronrich systems, the picture might be more complicated.

In summary, two types of C–H bonds (Csp³ and Csp²) have been functionalized in the construction of cyclic ethers from alcohols by means of alkoxy radical generation at room temperature. This divergent protocol enabled by visible-light photoredox catalysis can proceed via 1,5-HAT giving rise to acetals, orthoesters, and tetrahydrofurans or via 1,5/6-addition producing chromanes. Notably, the use of commercially available, easy to handle, nontoxic HIR allowed the formation of alkoxy radicals from all types of alcohols $(1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}, \text{ and } 3^{\circ})$. The operationally simple methodology and the mild photoredox reaction conditions provided a practical strategy that allowed for the synthesis of 21 alkyl and aryl ethers in 44% to quantitative yields.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

3 Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03058.

Experimental procedures and characterization data of products (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Carl-Johan Wallentin – Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden; o orcid.org/0000-0003-1983-9378; Email: carl.wallentin@chem.gu.se

Authors

- Alexandra R. Rivero Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden Peter Fodran – Department of Chemistry and Molecular
- Biology, University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden Alica Ondrejková – Department of Chemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Gothenburg, 412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden; orcid.org/0000-0001-7806-5064

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.0c03058

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by The Swedish Research Council (2018-04871), The Carl Tryggers foundation, and Marie Skłodowska-Curie actions (grant to P. F. grant number 799943 SUPER) funded by the European Union under the Horizon 2020 Program (H2020-MSCA-IF-2017).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Sebag, H.; Vanlerberghe, G.; Souilly, C. Polyglycerol ethers and their use in cosmetics and in pharmacy. U.S. Patent 4788345 A, 1988-11-29. (b) Li, J. J.; Johnson, D. S.; Sliskovic, D. R.; Roth, B. D. *Contemporary Drug Synthesis*; Wiley: Hoboken, 2004. (c) Nakata, T. *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 4314–4347. (d) Li, J. J.; Johnson, D. S. *Modern Drug Synthesis*; Wiley, 2010. (e) Basmadjian, C.; Zhao, Q.; Gramont, A. D.; Serova, M.; Faivre, S.; Raymond, E.; Vagner, S.; Robert, C.; Nebigil, C. G.; Désaubry, L. Bioactive Flavaglines: Synthesis and Pharmacology. In *Bioactive Natural Products*; Brahmachari, G., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2014. (f) Zhang, J.; Zhang, Y. Febuxostat (Uloric): A Xanthine Oxidase Inhibitor for the Treatment of Gout. In *Innovative Drug Synthesis*; Li, J. J., Johnson, D. S., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, 2015.

(2) Rorrer, J. E.; Bell, A. T.; Toste, F. D. ChemSusChem 2019, 12, 2835–2858.

(3) (a) Williamson, A. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1852, 4, 229–239.
(b) Ullmann, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1904, 37, 853–854. (c) Feuer, H.; Hooz, J. In The Chemistry of the Ether Linkage; Wiley: New York, NY, 1967; pp 457–460, 468–470. (d) Olah, G. A.; Fung, A. P.; Malhotra, R. Synthesis 1981, 1981, 474–476. (e) Lee, S. H.; Park, Y. J.; Yoon, C. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1999, 40, 6049–6050. (f) Boyer, B.; Keramane, E.-M.; Roque, J.-P.; Pavia, A. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 2891–2894. (g) Fuhrmann, E.; Talbiersky, J. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2005, 9, 206–211. (h) Sakai, N.; Moriya, T.; Konakahara, T. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 5920–5922. (i) For a recent update on metal complex catalysis in the synthesis of ethers, see: Khusnutdinov, R. I.; Bayguzina, A. R. Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2019, 55, 903–932.

(4) For selected examples, see: (a) Gowrisankar, S.; Sergeev, A. G.; Anbarasan, P.; Spannenberg, A.; Neumann, H.; Beller, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11592–11598. (b) Ward, A. M.; Wolfe, J. P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1268–1271. (c) Huang, J.; Chen, Y.; Chan, J.; Ronk, M. L.; Larsen, R. D.; Faul, M. M. Synlett 2011, 2011, 1419– 1422. (d) Cheung, C. W.; Buchwald, S. L. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3998– 4001. (e) Hopkins, B. A.; Garlets, Z. J.; Wolfe, J. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13390–13392. (f) Mac Queen, P. M.; Tassone, J. P.; Diaz, C.; Stradiotto, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 5023–5027. (g) Chen, Z.; Jiang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Guo, Y.; Ma, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 3541–3549.

(5) Twilton, J.; Le, C.; Zhang, P.; Megan, H.; Shaw, M. H.; Ryan, W.; Evans, R. W.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Nat. Rev. Chem.* **2017**, *1* (0052), 1–18.

(6) Terrett, J. A.; Cuthbertson, J. D.; Shurtleff, V. W.; MacMillan, D. W. C. *Nature* **2015**, *524*, 330–334.

(7) (a) Wu, X.; Zhu, C. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 9747–9756.
(b) Ji, M.; Wu, Z.; Zhu, C. Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 2368–2371.
(c) Hu, A.; Guo, J.-J.; Pan, H.; Zuo, Z. Science 2018, 361, 668–672.
(d) Hu, A.; Guo, J.-J.; Pan, H.; Tang, H.; Gao, Z.; Zuo, Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 1612–1616. (e) Yayla, H. G.; Wang, H.; Tarantino, K. T.; Orbe, H. S.; Knowles, R. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 10794–10797.

(8) (a) Barton, D. H. R.; Beaton, J. M.; Geller, L. E.; Pechet, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 2640–2641. (b) Barton, D. H. R.; Beaton, J. M.; Geller, L. E.; Pechet, M. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1961, 83, 4076–4083.

(9) (a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Hay, B. P.; Williams, G. M. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1202–1203.

(10) (a) Shelton, J. R.; Uzelmeier, C. J. Org. Chem. **1970**, 35, 1576–1581. (b) Kundu, R.; Ball, Z. T. Org. Lett. **2010**, 12, 2460–2463.

(11) (a) Greene, F. D.; Savitz, M. L.; Lau, H. H.; Osterholtz, F. D.; Smith, W. N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1961**, 83, 2196–2198. (b) Martín, A.; Pérez-Martín, I.; Suárez, E. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 2027–2030.

(12) (a) Beckwith, A. L. J.; Hay, B. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4415–4416. (b) Hartung, J.; Gallou, F. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 6706–6716.

(13) (a) Kim, S.; Lee, T. A.; Song, Y. Synlett 1998, 471–472.
(b) Zhu, H.; Wickenden, J. G.; Campbell, N. E.; Leung, J. C. T.; Johnson, K. M.; Sammis, G. M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2019–2022.

(14) (a) Togo, H.; Katohgi, M. Synlett 2001, 2001, 565-581.
(b) Jia, K.; Zhang, F.; Huang, H.; Chen, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 1514-1517. (c) Jia, K.; Pan, Y.; Chen, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 2478-2481. (d) Li, G. X.; Hu, X.; He, G.; Chen, G. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 688-693.

(15) (a) Wang, C.; Harms, K.; Meggers, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2016, 55, 13495–13498. (b) Wu, X.; Wang, M.; Huan, L.; Wang, D.;
Wang, J.; Zhu, C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1640–1644.
(c) Kim, I.; Park, B.; Kang, G.; Kim, J.; Jung, H.; Lee, H.; Baik, M. H.;
Hong, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 15517–15522. (d) Bao, X.;
Wang, Q.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 2139–2143.

(16) Baker, G. P.; Mann, F. G.; Sheppard, N.; Tetlow, A. J. J. Chem. Soc. 1965, 3721–3728.

(17) Krompiec, S.; Penczek, R.; Bujak, P.; Kubik, E.; Malarz, J.; Penkala, M.; Krompiec, M.; Kuźnik, N.; Maciejewski, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 1193–1195.

(18) For recent reviews, see: (a) Jia, K.; Chen, Y. Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 6105–6112. (b) Stateman, L. M.; Nakafuku, K. M.; Nagib, D. A. Synthesis 2018, 50, 1569–1586.

(19) Barthelemy, A. L.; Tuccio, B.; Magnier, E.; Dagousset, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 13790-13794.

(20) (a) Furuyama, S.; Togo, H. Synlett 2010, 2325-2329.
(b) Gilbert, B. C.; McCleland, C. W. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2
1989, 2, 1545-1551. (c) Walling, C.; El-Taliawi, G. M.; Zhao, C. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4914-4917.

(21) (a) Muraki, T.; Togo, H.; Yokoyama, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, 37, 2441–2444. (b) Ohkubo, K.; Kobayashi, T.; Fukuzumi, S. *Opt. Express* **2012**, 20, A360–A365. (c) Zheng, Y.-W.; Ye, P.; Chen, B.; Meng, Q. Y.; Feng, K.; Wang, W.; Wu, L. Z.; Tung, C. H. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, 19, 2206–2209.

(22) (a) Prier, C. K.; Rankic, D. A.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Chem. Rev. **2013**, 113, 5322–5363. (b) King, K. A.; Spellane, P. J.; Watts, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 1431–1432.

(23) Wayner, D. D. M.; McPhee, D. J.; Griller, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 132-137.

(24) Bahtia, K.; Schuler, R. H. J. Phys. Chem. **1974**, 78, 2335–2338. (25) (a) Goosen, A.; McCleland, C. W.; Rinaldi, F. C. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1993**, 2, 279–281. (b) Hata, K.; Hamamoto, H.; Shiozaki, Y.; Cämmerer, S. B.; Kita, Y. Tetrahedron **2007**, 63, 4052– 4060.