CT and **MRI** characteristic findings of sporadic renal hemangioblastoma

Two case reports

Jie He, MD^a, Nan Liu, MD^b, Wangwang Liu, MD^c, Wenli Zhou, MD^a, Qiangfeng Wang, PhD^d, Hongjie Hu, PhD^{a,*}

Abstract

Rationale: Hemangioblastomas in the kidney are rare. Although a few cases of renal hemangioblastoma (RH) have been reported, the content of these articles mainly focused on clinical and pathological research, with minimal descriptions of radiologic findings. Moreover, there are no descriptions of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with enhancement of this condition. Herein, we report 2 cases of RH with computed tomography (CT) and MRI findings.

Patient concerns: Two patients presented to our institution because of dull pain in the left abdomen, and a mass in the left kidney was found by ultrasound examination in each case. The patient had no special family history. Physical examination revealed no obvious tenderness or percussion pain in the renal and ureteral walking areas, and there was no obvious mass. Routine blood and urine tests were normal, and the serum tumor markers were negative. No obvious lesions were found on imaging of the other body parts.

Diagnosis: Similar radiologic findings were observed in both cases and mimicked those of cavernous hemangiomas of the liver, including peripheral nodular enhancement in the corticomedullary phase, progressive centripetal enhancement in the nephrographic and delayed phases, and occasional complete "filling in" in the delayed phase. We made a radiologic diagnosis of renal clear cell carcinoma for patient 1 and suspected renal clear cell carcinoma for patient 2, but the pathological results showed RH.

Interventions: Given the suspicion of renal cell carcinoma, both patients underwent partial nephrectomy.

Outcome: The recovery of the two patients was uneventful, and there was no evidence of local recurrence or metastasis many years after surgery.

Lessons: RH is a rare benign tumor that can be easily misdiagnosed as clear cell carcinoma. Characteristic CT and MRI manifestations may improve preoperative diagnostic accuracy to avoid surgery or indicate nephron-sparing surgery.

Abbreviations: CK = cytokeratin, CNS = central nervous system, CT = computed tomography, DWI = diffusion-weighted imaging, FA = flip angle, HE = hematoxylin and eosin, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging, NSE = neuron-specific enolase, PVHL = VHL protein, RCC = renal cell carcinoma, RH = renal hemangioblastoma, TE = echo time, TR = repetition time, VHL = Von-Hippel-Lindau.

Keywords: computed tomography, hemangioblastoma, magnetic resonance imaging, renal neoplasm, Von-Hippel-Lindau disease

Editor: Maya Saranathan.

Jie He and Nan Liu contributed equally to this work and should be considered cofirst authors.

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital. Informed written consent was obtained from the 2 patients for the publication of this case report and accompanying images.

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Department of Radiology, ^b Department of Critical Care Medicine, ^c Department of Pathology, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, ^d Department of Oncology, First Affiliated Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, Hangzhou, China.

^{*} Correspondence: Hongjie Hu, Department of Radiology, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, Zhejiang University School of Medicine, No. 3 Qingchun East Road, Hangzhou 310000, China (e-mail: hongjiehu@zju.edu.cn).

Copyright © 2021 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CCBY), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

How to cite this article: He J, Liu N, Liu W, Zhou W, Wang Q, Hu H. CT and MRI characteristic findings of sporadic renal hemangioblastoma: Two case reports. Medicine 2021;100:6(e24629).

Received: 31 October 2020 / Received in final form: 6 January 2021 / Accepted: 15 January 2021

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000024629

1. Introduction

Hemangioblastomas are vascular tumors that often occur in the central nervous system (CNS), especially in the cerebellum. Most cases are sporadic, and about 20% to 38% of patients also have Von-Hippel-Lindau (VHL) disease, which is an autosomal dominant genetic condition with an incidence of 1/27,300 to 1/45,000.^[1-3] The VHL gene is located on chromosome 3p25-26 and is an important tumor suppressor gene that contains three exons.^[4,5] The VHL gene is transcribed to a 4.5-kb-long mRNA that encodes a VHL protein (PVHL) containing 213 amino acids. Loss, mutation, or methylation inactivation of the VHL gene disturbs PVHL synthesis, which is an important molecular basis for VHL disease. VHL-related tumors include hemangioblastomas that are usually located in the CNS, fewer renal and pancreatic cystic tumors, neuroendocrine tumors of the pancreas, renal clear cell carcinoma, endolymphatic sac tumors, pheochromocytomas, and paragangliomas.^[2,6]

Medicine

Beyond the CNS, hemangioblastomas can also occur in the peripheral nervous system,^[7,8] retroperitoneum,^[9,10] pelvic cavity,^[11] soft tissue,^[12] bone,^[13] adrenal glands,^[14] lungs, and liver.^[15] The PubMed database was searched for literature using

the keywords "hemangioblastoma" and "kidney or renal." After screening all articles in the database, the first case report of renal hemangioblastoma (RH) was reported by Nonaka et al in 2007.^[16] RH is rare, and no more than 30 cases have been reported, but the content of these articles mainly focused on clinical and pathological research with limited descriptions of radiologic findings.^[17–27] Moreover, there are no descriptions of enhanced MRI findings of RHs. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first report of enhanced MRI findings.

We report 2 cases of patients with RH confirmed by surgery and pathology with complete clinical, pathological, and imaging data collected in our hospital. Neither patient had VHL disease, and was diagnosed with sporadic RH.

2. Methods

2.1. Computed tomography (CT) examination

A 64-slice spiral contrast-enhanced (CE) abdominal CT (Somatom Definition AS; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) was used for both patients. The CT scanning parameters were as follows: reconstruction thickness of 2 mm, reconstruction of increment, pitch of 1.25, tube voltage of 120 kV, and tube current of 170 to 360 mAs using automatic tube current modulation technology. CE abdominal CT scans were performed with the patient in a supine position and scanned from the xiphoid process to the anterior superior iliac level. After the plain scan, the patient received intravenous nonionic iodinated contrast agent iohexol (320 mgI/mL) as a 75-mL bolus at a rate of 3.0 mL/s, followed by 50 mL of normal saline. Corticomedullary and nephrographic phase images were obtained 30 and 60 seconds after contrast agent injection, respectively.

2.2. MRI examination

MRI examinations were performed using a Signa HDxt 3.0T magnetic resonance (MR) system (GE Healthcare, Chicago, IL), with the use of the body coil as a transmitter and an 8-channel phased-array coil as the receiver. The detailed examination protocols are summarized in Table 1. T1-weighted fat-suppressed dynamic CE MR images were acquired using the liver acquisition with volume acceleration-extended volume sequence before and 40, 100, and 160 seconds after intravenous administration of 0.1 mmol/kg of gadolinium (Magnevist; Bayer HealthCare Pharmaceuticals, Leverkusen, Germany). Coronal T1-weighted CE images were acquired, and the patient was injected with 20 mL normal saline.

3. Case presentation

3.1. Case 1

In November 2015, a 45-year-old male patient presented to our institution with a dull pain in the left abdomen that had been present for 2 weeks. The patient had never undergone surgery and had an unremarkable family history. The respiratory frequency of the patient was 18 times per minute, with a body temperature of 36.7°, pulse rate of 76 beats per minute, and blood pressure of 110/76 mm Hg. Physical examination revealed no obvious tenderness or percussion pain in the renal or ureteral walking area, and there was no palpable mass. Routine blood and urine tests were normal, and serum carbohydrate antigen 199, carbohydrate antigen 125, alpha-fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen, and prostate-specific antigen were negative. No obvious lesions were found on chest X-ray, head CT, or ultrasonography of the liver, gallbladder, pancreas, and spleen.

Ultrasonography (Fig. 1A) revealed a 37 × 42 mm heterogeneous echo mass inside the lower pole of the left kidney, which had an irregular shape and distinct margin. There was some echo of the blood flow inside the patient. CT and MRI findings are shown in Figure 1b-d and 2 A-G, respectively. A round, soft tissue density or signal mass was observed inside the lower pole of the left kidney. In the periphery of the mass, some small high-density areas in CT images of the precontrast phase and hypointensities in fat-suppressed T2-weighted images and diffusion-weighted images suggested hemorrhage. After contrast agent injection, the mass showed peripheral nodular enhancement in both CT and MR images of the corticomedullary phase and progressive centripetal enhancement on CT and MR images of the posterior enhanced phase. In the center of the mass, hyperintensity in fatsuppressed T2-weighted images and diffusion-weighted images that were not enhanced suggested necrosis. We made a radiologic diagnosis of renal clear cell carcinoma because the mass showed a rich blood supply with hemorrhage and necrosis.

Given the suspicion of renal cell carcinoma (RCC), the patient underwent laparoscopic right partial nephrectomy. The pathological results revealed an RH (Fig. 2H). Immunohistochemical results were cytokeratin (CK)-pan (partially +), CD31 (-), CD34 (-), inhibin alpha (+), neuron-specific enolase (NSE) (partially +), vimentin (+), and Ki-67 (1%+). The patient's recovery was uneventful, and there was no evidence of local recurrence or metastasis 5 years after surgery.

a1/-	
-	

MRI acquisition parameters.

Parameter	Fat-suppressed T2-weighted	DWI	T1-weighted	Dynamic	Late postcontrast	
Imaging plane	Axial	Axial	Axial	Axial	Coronal	
Fat suppression	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	
TR/TE, ms	6316/87	5714/59	290/2.4 in-phase 290/5.8 opposed phase	2.59/1.19	3.44/15	
FA, °	90	90	80	11	12	
Slice thickness, mm	6	6	6	4.4	2.8	
Slice gap, mm	7	7	7	2.2	1.4	
Number of slices	22	38	44	368	96	
Delay, s	/	/	/	0,40,100, 160	190	
b-values, s/mm ²	/	0 and 600	/	/	/	

DWI=diffusion-weighted imaging, FA=flip angle, TE=echo time, TR=repetition time.



Figure 1. A 45-year-old man with a round mass in the left kidney diagnosed as hemangioblastoma. (A) Ultrasonography revealed a 37 × 42 mm heterogeneous echo mass inside the lower pole of the left kidney that had an irregular shape and a distinct margin. (B) Axial CT images of the precontrast phase of the abdomen showed a round soft tissue mass in the lower pole of the left kidney, and a small high-density shadow could be seen in the periphery of the mass (yellow arrow), which suggested a hemorrhage. (C) In axial CT images of the corticomedullary phase, the mass showed peripheral nodular enhancement (yellow arrow), similar to that of the abdominal aorta at the same level. (D) Axial CT images of the nephrographic phase showed slight progressive centripetal enhancement.

3.2. Case 2

In December 2017, a 42-year-old female patient presented to our institution with a mass in the left kidney found during an ultrasound examination in a local hospital. The patient had a history of cesarean section 16 years earlier and had no special family history. Her respiratory frequency was 21 beats per minute, with a body temperature of 36.5°C, pulse rate of 78 beats per minute, and blood pressure of 100/58 mm Hg. Physical examination revealed no obvious tenderness or percussion pain in the renal or ureteral walking area, and there was no obvious mass. Routine blood and urine tests were normal, and serum carbohydrate antigen 199, carbohydrate antigen 125, alpha-

fetoprotein, carcinoembryonic antigen, and prostate-specific antigen were negative. No obvious lesions were found on chest radiography or ultrasonography of the liver, gallbladder, pancreas, or spleen.

The MRI findings are shown in Figure 3A–G. A $29 \times 26 \times 28$ mm round soft tissue signal mass was observed inside the left kidney. The mass showed significant hyperintensity in fat-suppressed T2-weighted images and diffusion-weighted images, which could be described as the "light bulb sign." After contrast agent injection, the mass exhibited peripheral nodular enhancement in MR images of the corticomedullary phase and progressive centripetal enhancement in MR images of the



Figure 2. (A) Axial fat-suppressed, T2-weighted image and (B) diffusion-weighted image showing hypointensity in the periphery of the mass suggesting hemorrhage, and hyperintensity in the center of the mass indicative of necrosis. (C) In axial, precontrast, fat-suppressed, T1-weighted images, the mass appeared heterogeneously hypointense compared with the renal parenchyma. (D) Axial, corticomedullary phase, fat-suppressed, gadolinium-enhanced, T1-weighted images showed peripheral nodular enhancement (yellow arrow). (E) Axial nephrographic phase, (F) axial delayed phase and (G) coronal delayed phase fat-suppressed, gadolinium-enhanced, T1-weighted images showed progressive centripetal enhancement. An area in the center of the mass was not enhanced. (H) Histological examination demonstrated an RH. The tumor was mainly composed of a rich vascular network interspersed with polygonal cells, HE × 100.



Figure 3. A 42-year-old woman with a 29 × 26 × 28 mm round mass in the left kidney diagnosed as hemangioblastoma. (A) Axial, fat-suppressed, T2-weighted images and (B) diffusion-weighted images showed significant hyperintensity of the mass. (C) In axial precontrast, fat-suppressed, T1-weighted images, the mass appeared hypointense compared with the renal parenchyma. (D) Axial corticomedullary phase fat-suppressed, gadolinium-enhanced, T1-weighted images showed progressive centripetal enhancement and almost complete "filling in" in the delayed phase. (H) The tumor was surrounded by a fibrous capsule and had a well-demarcated border against the surrounding renal parenchyma, HE × 100.

nephrographic and delayed phases, with almost complete "filling in" in the delayed phase. The radiological diagnosis was suspected renal clear cell carcinoma because the renal mass showed unique findings that we had not previously seen in renal masses.

Given the suspicion of RCC, the patient underwent da Vinci robot-assisted left partial nephrectomy. The pathological results showed RH, and the tumor was surrounded by a fibrous capsule (Fig. 3H). Immunohistochemical results were CK-pan (-), CD31 (-), CD34 (-), inhibin alpha (+), NSE (+), PAX-8 (+), RCC (-), and S-100 (+). At follow-up of 3 years after surgery, the patient was in good health without recurrence.

4. Discussion

The latest 2016 World Health Organization classification of tumors of the urinary system and male genital organs now includes RH as a mesenchymal tumor, which is similar to CNS hemangioblastoma.^[28] However, a correlation between VHL syndrome and VHL gene mutation has not been reported, and the biological behavior of the tumor is benign. RH is a rare, slow-growing, benign renal tumor. It usually affects middle-aged and elderly patients, but can also occur in younger patients.^[18,20] Compared with women, men are 1.5 to 2 times more likely to develop RH.^[29] In sporadic cases such as those described here, there is no VHL-related disease and no associated family history of VHL disease in the patient (so-called "isolated" RH).^[26]

The gross features of RH include a predominantly solid tumor rich in capillaries with a well-demarcated border from the surrounding renal parenchyma, which is consistent with what we observed in the surgical specimens. Microscopically, RH is a morphologically distinctive vascular neoplasm with rich capillary networks and lipid-rich stromal cells.^[18,20,29] On the basis of the stromal cell components, they could be divided into 3 types: capillary-dominated, interstitial cell-dominated, and classic type (intermediate between the other 2 types). Unfortunately, although the stromal cells of RH appear normal, they may show obvious nuclear pleomorphism, similar to malignant tumors. Therefore, RH can be easily misdiagnosed as RCC or other malignant tumors.^[29]

Because it is difficult to distinguish RH from renal clear cell carcinoma by routine hematoxylin and eosin (HE) staining alone, immunohistochemical examination is helpful for differential diagnosis. Studies have shown that labeling with inhibin alpha, S-100, and CD10 helps distinguish RH from renal clear cell carcinoma. Inhibin alpha and S-100 are usually positive in RH and negative in renal clear cell carcinoma and contrast; CD10 is usually positive in renal clear cell carcinoma and negative in RH.^[30–33] Our results are consistent with the results of the above studies.

CT and MRI are very useful examination methods that have been widely used in the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of diseases in various systems. Both have gained increased acceptance for the accurate diagnosis and differential diagnosis of renal tumors.^[34–37]

Most hemangioblastomas are in the cerebellum and can be divided into 3 types: solid, solid-cystic, or predominantly cystic with small mural nodules.^[38,39] The most common and typical radiologic findings correspond to the last type and show a markedly enhanced small mural nodule attached to a large unenhanced cyst wall.^[40,41] There is limited literature describing the imaging findings of RH, but they report RH as a solid,

heterogeneously enhanced mass, which is consistent with our cases.^[17,22,23] However, they do not correspond with the most common and typical radiological findings of hemangioblastoma in the cerebellum. This discrepancy may be related to the different tumor growth environments.

In addition to solid tumors, the CT and MRI findings of our 2 patients were similar to those of cavernous hemangiomas of the liver, including peripheral nodular enhancement in the corticomedullary phase, progressive centripetal enhancement in the nephrographic and delayed phases, and sometimes complete "filling in" in the delayed phase.^[42,43] Other renal tumors do not exhibit these enhancement patterns, which may be unique to RH. At present, these findings have not been reported in the literature. It may be that others have not noticed this feature, or they may not have performed CE multiphase scanning with CT and MRI. T2WI also helps in the differential diagnosis. The high signal intensity on T2WI indicated slow blood flow in the neoplastic vascular channel, which is of great significance to angiogenic tumors. The first case was less typical due to bleeding, but the second case was similar to cavernous hemangioma of the liver, showing a significantly high signal intensity known as the "light bulb sign."^[44]

Because RHs are indolent neoplasms, asymptomatic tumors may be managed with observation. Gross total resection is the most suitable treatment if an intervention is required.^[45] If a correct preoperative diagnosis was made, these 2 patients may have received different treatments. Unfortunately, RH can easily be misdiagnosed as renal clear cell carcinoma, the most common malignant tumor of the kidney, which can lead to overtreatment. Characteristic CT and MRI manifestations may help guide the preoperative diagnosis. At the same time, once RH is surgically confirmed, a comprehensive examination should be performed to determine if the tumor is associated with VHL disease.

In conclusion, RH is a rare benign tumor that can be easily misdiagnosed as clear cell carcinoma. Characteristic manifestations on CT and MRI may help us make a preoperative diagnosis to avoid surgery or indicate nephron-sparing surgery. This highlights the importance of accurate preoperative radiologic diagnoses.

Author contributions

Data curation: Jie He, Wangwang Liu. Funding acquisition: Qiangfeng Wang. Methodology: Wenli Zhou, Hongjie Hu. Writing – original draft: Jie He, Nan Liu, Hongjie Hu.

Writing - review & editing: Hongjie Hu.

References

- Chang H, Li J, Wang P, et al. Microsurgical treatment of cervical spinal hemangioblastoma. Neurochirurgie 2020;66:56–60.
- [2] Byun J, Yoo HJ, Kim JH, et al. Growth rate and fate of untreated hemangioblastomas: clinical assessment of the experience of a single institution. J Neurooncol 2019;144:147–54.
- [3] Salama Y, Albanyan S, Szybowska M, et al. Comprehensive characterization of a Canadian cohort of von Hippel-Lindau disease patients. Clin Genet 2019;96:461–7.
- [4] Wang J, Cao W, Wang Z, et al. Novel gene mutation in von Hippel-Lindau disease: a report of two cases. BMC Med Genet 2019;20:194.
- [5] Launbjerg K, Bache I, Galanakis M, et al. von Hippel-Lindau development in children and adolescents. Am J Med Genet A 2017; 173:2381–94.

- [6] Chen X, Xu G, Bi Q, et al. Cauda equina syndrome as first manifestation of von Hippel-Lindau disease. World Neurosurg 2019;125:316–9.
- [7] McGrath LA, Mudhar HS, Salvi SM. Optic nerve haemangioblastoma: signs of chronicity. Ocul Oncol Pathol 2018;4:370–4.
- [8] Darbari S, Meena RK, Sawarkar D, et al. Optic nerve hemangioblastoma: review. World Neurosurg 2019;128:211–5.
- [9] Jalikis FG, Hoch BL, Bakthavatsalam R, et al. Sporadic retroperitoneal hemangioblastoma: report of a case and review of the literature. Case Rep Pathol 2017;2017:4206489.
- [10] Huang Y, Han XC, Lv GS. Sporadic hemangioblastoma of the retroperitoneum. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2014;7:1777–81.
- [11] Shi H, Li H, Zhen T, et al. Hemangioblastoma of pelvic cavity: report of a case and review of literature. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2014;7:7054–8.
- [12] Patton KT, Satcher RLJr, Laskin WB. Capillary hemangioblastoma of soft tissue: report of a case and review of the literature. Hum Pathol 2005;36:1135–9.
- [13] Panelos J, Beltrami G, Capanna R, et al. Primary capillary hemangioblastoma of bone: report of a case arising in the sacrum. Int J Surg Pathol 2010;18:580–3.
- [14] Deb P, Pal S, Dutta V, et al. Adrenal haemangioblastoma presenting as phaeochromocytoma: a rare manifestation of extraneural hemangioblastoma. Endocr Pathol 2012;23:187–90.
- [15] McGrath FP, Gibney RG, Morris DC, et al. Case report: multiple hepatic and pulmonary haemangioblastomas–a new manifestation of von Hippel-Lindau disease. Clin Radiol 1992;45:37–9.
- [16] Nonaka D, Rodriguez J, Rosai J. Extraneural hemangioblastoma: a report of 5 cases. Am J Surg Pathol 2007;31:1545–51.
- [17] Zhao M, Williamson SR, Yu J, et al. PAX8 expression in sporadic hemangioblastoma of the kidney supports a primary renal cell lineage: implications for differential diagnosis. Hum Pathol 2013;44:2247–55.
- [18] Wang CC, Wang SM, Liau JY. Sporadic hemangioblastoma of the kidney in a 29-year-old man. Int J Surg Pathol 2012;20:519–22.
- [19] Jiang JG, Rao Q, Xia QY, et al. Sporadic hemangioblastoma of the kidney with PAX2 and focal CD10 expression: report of a case. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2013;6:1953–6.
- [20] Liu Y, Qiu XS, Wang EH. Sporadic hemangioblastoma of the kidney: a rare renal tumor. Diagn Pathol 2012;7:49.
- [21] Ip YT, Yuan JQ, Cheung H, et al. Sporadic hemangioblastoma of the kidney: an underrecognized pseudomalignant tumor? Am J Surg Pathol 2010;34:1695–700.
- [22] Wang Y, Wei C, Mou L, et al. Sporadic renal haemangioblastoma: case report and review of the literature. Oncol Lett 2013;5:360–2.
- [23] Oberhammer L, Mitterberger MJ, Lusuardi L, et al. Sporadic renal hemangioblastoma: a case report of a rare benign renal tumor. Clin Case Rep 2019;7:2321–6.
- [24] Wu Y, Wang T, Zhang PP, et al. Extraneural hemangioblastoma of the kidney: the challenge for clinicopathological diagnosis. J Clin Pathol 2015;68:1020–5.
- [25] Bisceglia M, Muscarella LA, Galliani CA, et al. Extraneuraxial hemangioblastoma: clinicopathologic features and review of the literature. Adv Anat Pathol 2018;25:197–215.
- [26] Muscarella LA, Bisceglia M, Galliani CA, et al. Extraneuraxial hemangioblastoma: a clinicopathologic study of 10 cases with molecular analysis of the VHL gene. Pathol Res Pract 2018;214:1156–65.
- [27] Kuroda N, Agatsuma Y, Tamura M, et al. Sporadic renal hemangioblastoma with CA9, PAX2 and PAX8 expression: diagnostic pitfall in the differential diagnosis from clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Int J Clin Exp Pathol 2015;8:2131–8.
- [28] Moch H, Humphrey PA, Ulbright TM, et al. WHO Classification of Tumours of the Urinary System and Male Genital Organs. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer Press; 2016.
- [29] Ahadi M, Zham H, Rakhshan A, et al. Hemangioblastoma of the central nervous system: a case series of patients surgically treated at Shohada-e-Tajrish Hospital, Tehran, Iran during 2004-2014. Iran J Child Neurol 2019;13:163–9.
- [30] Jung SM, Kuo TT. Immunoreactivity of CD10 and inhibin alpha in differentiating hemangioblastoma of central nervous system from metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Mod Pathol 2005;18:788–94.
- [31] Hoang MP, Amirkhan RH. Inhibin alpha distinguishes hemangioblastoma from clear cell renal cell carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol 2003;27:1152–6.
- [32] Eichberg DG, Buttrick S, White K, et al. PAX8 expression variability in cerebellar hemangioblastoma: case series and review of the literature. Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol 2019;27:477–81.

- [33] Carney EM, Banerjee P, Ellis CL, et al. PAX2(-)/PAX8(-)/inhibin A(+) immunoprofile in hemangioblastoma: a helpful combination in the differential diagnosis with metastatic clear cell renal cell carcinoma to the central nervous system. Am J Surg Pathol 2011;35:262–7.
- [34] Dilauro M, Quon M, McInnes MD, et al. Comparison of contrastenhanced multiphase renal protocol CT versus MRI for diagnosis of papillary renal cell carcinoma. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2016;206:319–25.
- [35] Woo S, Suh CH, Cho JY, et al. Diagnostic performance of CT for diagnosis of fat-poor angiomyolipoma in patients with renal masses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2017;209: W297-w307.
- [36] Lim RS, Flood TA, McInnes MDF, et al. Renal angiomyolipoma without visible fat: can we make the diagnosis using CT and MRI? Eur Radiol 2018;28:542–53.
- [37] Schieda N, Thornhill RE, Al-Subhi M, et al. Diagnosis of sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma with CT: evaluation by qualitative imaging features and texture analysis. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2015;204:1013–23.
- [38] Rachinger J, Buslei R, Prell J, et al. Solid haemangioblastomas of the CNS: a review of 17 consecutive cases. Neurosurg Rev 2009;32:37–47. discussion -8.

- [39] Lan Z, Richard SA, Zhang Y. Cystic-solid hemangioblastoma at the cerebellopontine angle: a case report. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020;99: e18871.
- [40] Lee SR, Sanches J, Mark AS, et al. Posterior fossa hemangioblastomas: MR imaging. Radiology 1989;171:463–8.
- [41] Wang Q, Zhang S, Cheng J, et al. Radiologic features and surgical strategy of hemangioblastomas with enhanced cyst wall. World Neurosurg 2017;108:143–50.
- [42] Jinhu Y, Jianping D, Xin L, et al. Dynamic enhancement features of cavernous sinus cavernous hemangiomas on conventional contrastenhanced MR imaging, AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2008;29:577–81.
- [43] Gaa J, Saini S, Ferrucci JT. Perfusion characteristics of hepatic cavernous hemangioma using intravenous CT angiography (IVCTA). Eur J Radiol 1991;12:228–33.
- [44] Soyer P, Dufresne AC, Somveille E, et al. Differentiation between hepatic cavernous hemangioma and malignant tumor with T2-weighted MRI: comparison of fast spin-echo and breathhold fast spin-echo pulse sequences. Clin Imaging 1998;22:200–10.
- [45] Huang Y, Chan L, Bai HX, et al. Assessment of care pattern and outcome in hemangioblastoma. Sci Rep 2018;8:11144.