



# GOLD-2023's new exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity grading is inconsistent with severity for assessing the risk of future exacerbations

Xiangju Xing, Changzheng Wang

Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

*Correspondence to:* Changzheng Wang, MD. Department of Respiratory Medicine, The Third Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University, No. 1, Shuanghu Branch Road, Huixing Street, Yubei District, Chongqing 401120, China. Email: czwang@netease.com.

Submitted Nov 04, 2023. Accepted for publication Apr 26, 2024. Published online Jun 11, 2024.

doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-1682

**View this article at:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/jtd-23-1682>

There has never been a universally agreed strict definition for an exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (ECOPD) and its severity. Symptom-based definitions and healthcare resource utilization (HCRU) definitions (event-based) are commonly adopted. ECOPD is graded according to the required treatment i.e., with short-acting beta-agonists (SABAs) is considered mild, SABAs plus antibiotic and/or oral steroids therapy is considered moderate, and requiring hospitalization and emergency care is considered severe (1). Furthermore, severe patients requiring hospitalization are often associated with respiratory failure (2). In the past, there were no objective and scientific criteria for grading ECOPD, and patients' utilization of healthcare resources after exacerbation varied in different countries and regions. For example, there are no standardized criteria for ECOPD hospitalization in China, and many inpatients are not severe exacerbations (3).

Since the past grading methods could not scientifically assess the severity of ECOPD, the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD)-2023 (4) for the first time used six objectively measured variables: dyspnea, oxygen saturation ( $SO_2$ ), respiratory rate, heart rate, serum C-reactive protein, and arterial blood gases, to grade ECOPD. The new severity classification also categorized ECOPD into three grades: mild, moderate, and severe. However, the severity is significantly greater than the event-based definitions. For example, the new criteria for moderate ECOPD are  $SO_2 < 92\%$ , partial pressure of arterial oxygen ( $PaO_2$ )  $\leq 60$  mmHg, and/or partial pressure of arterial carbon dioxide ( $PaCO_2$ )  $> 45$  mmHg, but without acidosis. That is, type I respiratory failure coexists with

moderate ECOPD. ECOPD with respiratory failure was formerly categorized as severe (2).

Since 2011, GOLD has used event-based ECOPD severity grading to assess a patient's risk of future exacerbations, with evidence from the ECLIPSE study. The ECLIPSE study defined a moderate acute exacerbation as one that did not require hospitalization and required only oral steroids and/or antibiotic therapy for ECOPD. The ECLIPSE study found that the history of two moderate exacerbations was the best predictor of frequent exacerbation (5). GOLD-2023 updated the severity grading criteria for ECOPD. The new criteria are mainly suitable for real-time assessment use at the onset of ECOPD, providing a more objective measurable indicator for the management of exacerbations. It, however, may not be applicable for assessing a patient's risk of exacerbation. This is because it is difficult to capture objective clinical variables of a patient's previous exacerbations using a retrospective approach. In addition, there is no scientific evidence for the validity of a new grade of severity in assessing a patient's risk of future exacerbations, rigorous clinical studies are needed for validation.

However, reporting ECOPD using HCRU events has its drawbacks and often may underestimate ECOPD (6). However, the recall method using HCRU events is still simple and practical. The ECLIPSE study also demonstrated the effectiveness of recalling past exacerbations in predicting frequent exacerbations in patients (5).

The new ECOPD classification has made significant advances in science and objectivity. However, the new

grading criteria differ significantly from the severity defined by the event-based method in the past. Moreover, this approach is still recommended in GOLD-2023 for the assessment of a patient's risk of exacerbation. The new criteria for "moderate" are for patients with respiratory failure requiring hospitalization, which is roughly equivalent to "severe" in the past. The new criteria of two "moderate" exacerbations as an indicator of frequent future exacerbations significantly underestimate patient risk, and GOLD-2023 recommends that a history of one hospitalization puts a patient at high risk for future exacerbations. The same problem exists in GOLD-2024, which is not updated.

Although the application scenarios for these two grading the severity of ECOPD are different, the two grading criteria should still be the same. Otherwise, it will create confusion in clinical practice.

### Acknowledgments

*Funding:* None.

### Footnote

*Provenance and Peer Review:* This article was a standard submission to the journal. The article has undergone external peer review.

*Peer Review File:* Available at <https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1682/prf>

*Conflicts of Interest:* Both authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form (available at <https://jtd.amegroups.com/article/view/10.21037/jtd-23-1682/coif>). The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are

appropriately investigated and resolved.

*Open Access Statement:* This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0), which permits the non-commercial replication and distribution of the article with the strict proviso that no changes or edits are made and the original work is properly cited (including links to both the formal publication through the relevant DOI and the license). See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>.

### References

1. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. 2022 Report: global strategy for prevention, diagnosis and management of COPD. Available online: <https://goldcopd.org/2022-gold-reports/>
2. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. 2017 Report: global strategy for prevention, diagnosis and management of COPD. Available online: <https://goldcopd.org/gold-2017-global-strategy-diagnosis-management-%20prevention-copd/>
3. Liang C, Mao X, Niu H, et al. Characteristics, Management and In-Hospital Clinical Outcomes Among Inpatients with Acute Exacerbation of Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease in China: Results from the Phase I Data of ACURE Study. *Int J Chron Obstruct Pulmon Dis* 2021;16:451-65.
4. Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease. 2023 Report: global strategy for prevention, diagnosis and management of COPD. Available online: <https://goldcopd.org/2023-gold-report-2/>
5. Hurst JR, Vestbo J, Anzueto A, et al. Susceptibility to exacerbation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:1128-38.
6. Jones PW, Lamarca R, Chuecos F, et al. Characterisation and impact of reported and unreported exacerbations: results from ATTAIN. *Eur Respir J* 2014;44:1156-65.

**Cite this article as:** Xing X, Wang C. GOLD-2023's new exacerbation of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease severity grading is inconsistent with severity for assessing the risk of future exacerbations. *J Thorac Dis* 2024;16(6):4084-4085. doi: 10.21037/jtd-23-1682