



Mechanical/thermal sensitivity and superficial temperature in the stump of long-term tail-docked dairy cows

Renata J. Troncoso^{1,*}, Daniel E. Herzberg^{2,*}, Constanza S. Meneses^{2,*}, Heine Y. Müller^{2,*}, Marianne P. Werner^{3,*} and Hedio Bustamante^{1,*}

¹ Veterinary Clinical Sciences Department, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

² Graduate School, School of Veterinary Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

³ Animal Science Department/School of Veterinary Sciences, Universidad Austral de Chile, Valdivia, Chile

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

ABSTRACT

Background. Tail docking of dairy cows is a painful procedure that affects animal welfare level. The aims of this study were first to evaluate the response to mechanical and thermal stimulation, and second to determine the superficial temperature of the stump of tail-docked dairy cows.

Methods. One hundred and sixty-four dairy cows were enrolled. From these, 133 cows were assigned to the tail-docked (TD) group and 31 cows were selected as control animals. The following sensory assessments to evaluate pain in tail-docked cows were performed. Sensitivity of the tail region in both groups of animals was evaluated using a portable algometer. Cold and heat sensitivity assessment was performed using a frozen pack (0 °C) and warm water (45 °C), respectively. Pinprick sensitivity was evaluated using a Wartenberg neurological pinwheel. Superficial temperature was evaluated using a thermographic camera. All sensory assessments and superficial temperature were evaluated in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and tail (C).

Results. Pressure pain threshold was lower in TD cows (5.97 ± 0.19 kg) compared to control cows (11.75 ± 0.43 kg). Heat and cold sensitivity was higher in the TD cows compared to control cows with 29% and 23% of TD cows responding positively, respectively. Similarly, after pinprick sensitivity test was performed, 93% of TD cows elicited a positive response to stimulation. Tail-docked cows had lower superficial temperature (26.4 ± 0.27 °C) compared to control cows (29.9 ± 0.62 °C).

Discussion. Pressure pain threshold values in both groups of animals were higher than those previously reported for TD pigs, sows and cows. In contrast, pinprick stimulation evaluates the presence of punctate mechanical hyperalgesia/allodynia, usually related to traumatic nerve injury, and this association may reveal that it is possible that these animals developed a disorder associated to the development of a tail stump neuroma and concurrent neuropathic pain, previously reported in TD lambs, pigs and dogs. Thermal sensitivity showed that TD cows responded positively to heat and cold stimulation. These findings suggest that long-term TD cows could be suffering hyperalgesia/allodynia, which may be indicative of chronic pain. Lower superficial temperature in the stump may be associated to sympathetic fiber sprouting in the distal stump, which can lead to vasoconstriction and lower surface temperatures. Further studies are needed in order to confirm neuroma development and adrenergic sprouting.

Submitted 20 February 2018

Accepted 21 June 2018

Published 18 July 2018

Corresponding authors

Marianne P. Werner,
marianne.werner@uach.cl
Hedio Bustamante,
hbustamante@uach.cl

Academic editor

Herman Barkema

Additional Information and
Declarations can be found on
page 8

DOI 10.7717/peerj.5213

© Copyright
2018 Troncoso et al.

Distributed under
Creative Commons CC-BY 4.0

OPEN ACCESS

Subjects Agricultural Science, Veterinary Medicine

Keywords Dairy cow, Pain, Tail-docking

INTRODUCTION

Tail docking of dairy cows negatively impacts animal welfare (*Stull et al., 2002*). It comprises the removal of a part of the tail and is usually performed by applying a rubber or latex ring a few centimeters distal to the ventral aspect of the vulva (*Petrie et al., 1996*). Nowadays, tail docking is considered a controversial practice with few studies reporting improvements in udder and milk hygiene, cleaner cows by reducing the exposure to manure and mud and promoting personnel comfort during the milking process (*Schreiner & Ruegg, 2002a; Stull et al., 2002; Aubry, 2005*). In contrary, several reports have evaluated indirect measures of animal welfare and have found that docked cows have increased fly loads leading to alterations of eating patterns resulting in a decrease in milk production and increased fly avoidance behavior (*Phipps, Matthews & Verkerk, 1995; Eicher et al., 2001*) and restless behavior, including an increase in dorsal and lateral tail stump movements (*Eicher & Dailey, 2002; Tom et al., 2002; Eicher et al., 2006*). Similarly, other studies have not found differences in animal cleanliness, milk quality and somatic cell count between docked and non-docked animals (*Tucker, Fraser & Weary, 2001; Schreiner & Ruegg, 2002a*).

Tail docking is prohibited in countries like Denmark, Germany, Sweden, Scotland, England, Wales, and several states in the United States and Australia (*Hepple & Clark, 2011; AVA, 2012; AVMA, 2013*). Similarly, the American and Canadian Veterinary Medical Associations strongly oppose routine tail docking of cattle for management purposes (*CVMA, 2016; AVMA, 2013*). In Chile, although not currently forbidden, a marked decrease in its practice has been observed. Chilean legislation only indicates that painful procedures, such as tail docking should be performed in a manner that minimizes pain and suffering (*Chile, 2013*).

Several reports indicate that tail docking results in few behavioral and physiological signs of acute pain and distress in mature cows (*Petrie et al., 1996; Eicher et al., 2000; Tom et al., 2002*). Today, veterinarians and general public accept the notion that chronic pain is different from acute pain (*Reichling & Levine, 2009*); nonetheless, the uncertainty of whether acute pain can lead to the development of chronic pain still exists (*Voscopoulos & Lema, 2010*). According to *Flecknell (2008)*, the inconsistency of pain relief in cattle is the inadequate ability to assess pain. Chronic pain assessment has not been investigated thoroughly in cattle, but castration and tail docking may be associated to the development of chronic pain (*Molony & Kent, 1997; Eicher et al., 2006*). According to *Kroll et al. (2014)* there is an increased risk for potential chronic pain development at the amputation site, which has not been evaluated thoroughly in cows from commercial dairy farms. Quantitative sensory testing (QST) is usually performed in order to diagnose chronic pain conditions (*Cruz-Almeida & Fillingim, 2014*). It includes different methods that allow a characterization of somatosensory function or dysfunction. The most common methods include thermal (heat, cold) and mechanical (tactile, pressure) stimulation in order to elicit a painful or nonpainful response (*Fillingim et al., 2016*). In addition, skin

temperature evaluation can help determine tissue metabolism and blood circulation; therefore, changes could reflect circulatory or inflammatory conditions associated to chronic pain (*Sathiyabarathi et al., 2016*).

The objectives of this study were first to evaluate the response to mechanical and thermal stimulation, and second to determine the superficial temperature of the stump of tail-docked (TD) dairy cows.

MATERIALS & METHODS

Animals and housing

This study was conducted between November and December 2015 on a commercial farm located in Los Rios Region, southern Chile. The study was approved by the Ethics and Bioethics Committee of Animal Research of the Universidad Austral de Chile (MV.21.2015). A total of 164 Holstein dairy cows with a mean age of 6.2 ± 1.9 years (parity range: 3–4), mean body weight of 423 ± 26 kg, mean milk yield of 27.3 ± 5.4 L day⁻¹ were enrolled. Only cows without clinical signs of systemic disease, mastitis or lameness during the last 15 days were selected. All evaluated cows were housed individually in a tie-stall, fed a total mixed ration (TMR) and milked three times a day during the entire period of study. From these, 133 cows were assigned to the TD group and 31 cows were selected as control (C) animals and identified using the ear tag farm number. Individual register showed that cows in the TD group were tail-docked at a mean age of 11.9 month (range = 11.7–12.4) using a rubber band at a distance of approximately 10 cm below the vulva by the farm veterinarian.

Study design

A clinical quantitative sensory assessment protocol was developed in order to evaluate the presence of pain in TD cows. Prior to sensory testing, cows were habituated to the presence of the evaluator and experimental testing was performed during three consecutive days. After the morning milking, cows were allowed to return to their tie-stall individual cubicles and were restrained using a headlock self-locking system for sensory assessment. The same evaluator (RT) performed all the sensory assessments with the assistance of another researcher in charge of identifying and recording positive reactions to the sensory stimuli (*Eicher et al., 2006*). In order to avoid stress in the animals, both researchers approached the animals in a calm and quiet manner.

Sensory assessments

None of the animals received analgesic treatment prior to the sensory evaluation. The following tests were performed.

Pressure pain sensitivity: Sensitivity of the tail region in both groups of animals was evaluated using a portable algometer (Wagner FDX 25 Compact Digital Force Gauge, Wagner Instruments, Riverside, CT, USA) with a 1 cm² rubber probe. For each evaluation, the probe was constantly applied in the same topographical location and placed perpendicular to the skin. The amount of pressure applied during each evaluation was constantly increased at 500 g of force per second in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD), and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively, until a positive response was

obtained. Each area was assessed five times at 60-s intervals. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses, in which the pressure elicited by the algometer was immediately discontinued and pressure registered. The mean of five measurements per site was considered as a single value per tested cow.

Thermal sensitivity: Cold and heat sensitivity assessment was performed using a frozen pack (0 °C) and warm water (45 °C), respectively. Both stimuli were applied for 5 s in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively, or until a positive response was obtained. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses.

Pinprick sensitivity: The pinprick sensitivity was evaluated using a Wartenberg neurological pinwheel applied in the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C), respectively. Lateral and ventral movement and/or withdrawal of the tail were considered positive responses.

Superficial temperature

Superficial temperature was evaluated using a thermographic camera (FLIR® i5, Wilsonville, OR, USA) calibrated with an emissivity (ϵ) of 0.95 according to the manufacturer. Images from the ventral surface of the tail stump (TD) and ventral surface of the tail (C) were obtained at a distance of 10 cm. All images were obtained before sensory stimuli were applied. Thermogram analysis was performed using the FLIR® Tools 5.4 software (FLIR Systems Inc., Wilsonville, OR, USA), and atmospheric temperature and relative humidity were included in the analysis. To come to a single representative value, the mean temperature obtained from five longitudinal lines along the ventral surface of the tail, was considered.

Statistical analysis

For each continuous variable, probability plots were generated to verify that data followed a normal distribution. Pressure pain threshold and superficial temperature were analyzed using analysis of covariance. The adjusted linear model included condition (TD versus C) as fixed effect and age as covariate. Body weight, parity and milk yield were not associated with pressure pain threshold and superficial temperature, and thus were removed from the model using a backward selection process. In order to analyze for a possible association between condition and sensitive stimulation, Pearson's Chi-square were conducted for pinprick and heat sensitivity and Fisher's Exact test was conducted for cold sensitivity. For all statistical procedures, the overall alpha was set to 0.05. The statistical analysis was performed using R Statistical Software (*R Development Core Team, 2018*).

RESULTS

Lower pressure pain threshold values were necessary to obtain a withdrawal response in TD cows (5.97 ± 0.19 kg) compared to C cows (11.75 ± 0.43 kg) ($P < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.46$) (Fig. 1). Condition (TD versus C) was associated with heat sensitivity ($\chi^2 = 10.36$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.001$) with 29% of TD cows responding positively (Table 1). Also, condition (TD versus C) was associated with cold sensitivity ($P = 0.04$) with 23% of TD cows responding




Figure 1 Least square means and standard error for pressure pain threshold in tail docked ($n = 133$) and control cows ($n = 31$). *Statistically significant differences between groups ($P < 0.001$).

Full-size [DOI: 10.7717/peerj.5213/fig-1](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5213/fig-1)

Table 1 Frequencies and percentages of sensory assessment in tail-docked ($n = 133$) and control cows ($n = 31$).

	Tail-docked		Control		P-value
	Positive N (%)	Negative N (%)	Positive N (%)	Negative N (%)	
Heat sensitivity	39 (29)	94 (71)	0 (0)	31 (100)	0.001*
Cold sensitivity	31 (23)	102 (77)	2 (7)	29 (94)	0.04**
Pinprick stimulus	124 (93)	9 (7)	23 (74)	8 (27)	0.005*

Notes.

*P-values for Chi square test.

**P-value for Fisher's exact test.

positively (Table 1). Similarly, after pinprick sensitivity test was performed, 93% of TD cows elicited a positive response to stimulation. This sensory testing was associated with the condition ($\chi^2 = 7.87$, $df = 1$, $P = 0.005$). TD cows had lower superficial temperature (26.4 ± 0.27 °C) compared to C cows (29.9 ± 0.62 °C) ($P < 0.001$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.13$) (Fig. 2).

DISCUSSION

Painful procedures are performed in the dairy industry and they are often associated with the development of fear, distress and chronic pain of animals (Grandin, 2015). Tail docking is a painful procedure that induces both acute and chronic pain, and leads to behavioral modifications and discomfort (Tucker, Fraser & Weary, 2001). Different studies have confirmed the presence of acute pain and augmented animal activity, characterized by a marked increase in foot stomp behavior following tail docking (Eicher & Dailey, 2002; Schreiner & Ruegg, 2002b; Tom et al., 2002).




Figure 2 Least square means and standard error for superficial temperature in tail docked ($n = 133$) and control cows ($n = 31$). *Statistically significant differences between groups ($P < 0.001$).

Full-size DOI: [10.7717/peerj.5213/fig-2](https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5213/fig-2)

Tail-docked cows had lower pressure pain threshold compared to controls. These results are similar to those reported in pigs, in which mechanical sensitization of the tail stump lasted for up to 16 weeks (*Di Giminiani et al., 2017*). Pressure pain threshold values in both groups of animals were higher than those previously reported for TD pigs (*Di Giminiani et al., 2016*), sows (*Nalon et al., 2016*) and cows stimulated using an algometer with a metal probe in the third metatarsal bone (*Raundal et al., 2014*). The higher overall pressure values described in this study could be related to the use of a rubber probe. According to *Di Giminiani et al. (2016)*, the use of different probes could be associated to an increased degree of response variability. Similarly, *Taylor & Dixon (2012)* mention that an increase in probe diameter results in higher variability. Other factors that may influence the higher values of pressure threshold presented here may include skin thickness (*Di Giminiani et al., 2016*), individual variation (*Nalon et al., 2016*), and stress-induced hypoalgesia (*Herskin, Munksgaard & Ladewig, 2004*).

The association between condition and heat sensitivity is similar to that reported by *Eicher et al. (2006)*, in which TD cows manifested less foot stomps, foot shifts and tail swings. A positive response to cold stimulation after tail docking was previously reported by *Eicher et al. (2006)*, with TD cows showing an increased number of foot stomps after -9°C cold stimulation. Similarly, an increase response to cold stimulation at 0°C has been described in amputated human patients diagnosed with phantom limb pain (*Li, Melton & Li, 2015*). Moreover, here we report a significant association between condition and pinprick sensitivity. Impaired sensitivity to pinprick has been previously reported in amputated human patients (*Kosasih & Silver-Thorn, 1998*). Pinprick stimulation evaluates the presence of punctate mechanical hyperalgesia/allodynia, usually related

to traumatic nerve injury (Jensen & Finnerup, 2014). This association suggests that animals may have developed tail stump neuroma as reported previously in other species. Petrie *et al.* (1996) indicate that tail docking would induce tissue damage that leads to neuromata development and concurrent neuropathic pain. Moreover, neuroma development has been previously reported in tail-docked lambs (French & Morgan, 1992; Fischer & Gregory, 2007), pigs (Herskin, Thodberg & Jensen, 2015; Kells *et al.*, 2017) and dogs (Gross & Carr, 1990). Peripheral neuromas occur in 10–25% of human amputees, and are generally formed after injury or surgical procedures, resulting in neuropathic pain, residual limb pain, functional impairment and psychological distress (Rajput, Reddy & Shankar, 2012), increasing sensitivity to mechanical and thermal stimulation (Toia *et al.*, 2015; O'Reilly *et al.*, 2016; Yao *et al.*, 2017). Histopathological analysis confirmed the presence of neuroma in the tail stump of docked pigs one month after tail docking, characterized by marked nerve sheath and axonal proliferation (Sandercock *et al.*, 2016). Moreover, another study in pigs identified age at time of the procedure as a factor that may influence the development of neuropathic pain (Di Giminiani *et al.*, 2017). Nonetheless, cows in the present study were, on average tail docked 48 months before sensory evaluation. According to this, we believe that pain experienced by docked cows is similar to human phantom limb pain (Nikolajsen, 2012). In this condition, the amputation and trauma that nerves and surrounding tissue suffer, disrupts normal afferent and efferent signals, leads to neuroma development and neurons become hyper-excitabile (Hanyu-Deutmeyer & Dulebohn, 2018). Phantom limb pain has been vastly studied in humans (Schley *et al.*, 2008; Andoh *et al.*, 2017; Yin *et al.*, 2017). In cases of phantom limb pain, characteristic chronic neuropathic pain occurs in the amputation stump; and although this pain may decrease or eventually disappear over time, if it continues for more than 6 months, the prognosis for pain decrease is poor (Kuffler, 2017).

Surface temperature was significantly lower in the TD group compared to controls. Similar results were reported by Eicher *et al.* (2006), where the stump of docked heifers was approximately 2 °C colder than the underside of the tails of intact heifers. Similar results have been described in amputated humans, in which the stump of amputated limbs had lower superficial temperatures than the contralateral side using a temperature probe (Hunter, Katz & Davis, 2005) and thermographic analysis (Harden *et al.*, 2008). This decrease in temperature may be associated with sympathetic fiber sprouting in the distal stump, which can lead to vasoconstriction and lower surface temperatures (Harden *et al.*, 2004). Similarly, Nascimento *et al.* (2015), after traumatic nerve injury confirms the presence of sympathetic sprouting in the skin that contributes to pain.

In this study, we showed evidence that may confirm the development of chronic pain states (hyperalgesia/allodynia) in long term TD dairy cows. The principal limitation of this study is the unequal number of animals in the two experimental groups, in which the lower number of control cows compared to the TD group could have influenced the results. Future research in TD cows must include the evaluation of other indicators of welfare such as behavior, motor activity and plasma biomarkers of pain and stress. Moreover, in order to confirm neuropathic pain, neuroma formation in cows must be demonstrated using a thorough histopathological examination. Nonetheless, our results confirm that tail docking of dairy cows is a practice that affects animal welfare (Stull *et al.*, 2002).

CONCLUSIONS

Tail-docked cows had an increased response to mechanical stimulation characterized by lower pain pressure thresholds and a positive association to pinprick sensitivity. Thermal sensitivity showed that TD cows responded positively to heat and cold stimulation. These findings suggest that in the long-term TD cows could suffer from hyperalgesia/allodynia, which may be indicative of chronic pain. Lower superficial temperature in the stump could be associated with adrenergic tissue sprouting inducing peripheral vasoconstriction. Further studies are needed in order to confirm neuroma development and adrenergic sprouting.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION AND DECLARATIONS

Funding

The authors received no funding for this work.

Competing Interests

The authors declare there are no competing interests.

Author Contributions

- Renata J. Troncoso conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Daniel E. Herzberg and Constanza S. Meneses conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Heine Y. Müller conceived and designed the experiments, analyzed the data, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.
- Marianne P. Werner and Hedio Bustamante conceived and designed the experiments, performed the experiments, contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools, prepared figures and/or tables, authored or reviewed drafts of the paper, approved the final draft.

Animal Ethics

The following information was supplied relating to ethical approvals (i.e., approving body and any reference numbers):

The study was approved by the Ethics and Bioethics Committee of Animal Research of the Universidad Austral de Chile (MV.21.2015).

Data Availability

The following information was supplied regarding data availability:

The raw data used to generate the R data frame are provided in [Supplemental File](#).

Supplemental Information

Supplemental information for this article can be found online at <http://dx.doi.org/10.7717/peerj.5213#supplemental-information>.

REFERENCES

- Andoh J, Milde C, Tsao JW, Flor H. 2017.** Cortical plasticity as a basis of phantom limb pain: fact or fiction? *Neuroscience In Press* DOI 10.1016/j.neuroscience.2017.11.015.
- Aubry P. 2005.** Routine surgical procedures in dairy cattle under field conditions: abomasal surgery, dehorning, and tail docking. *Veterinary Clinics of North America, Food Animal Practice* 21:55–72 DOI 10.1016/j.cvfa.2004.11.002.
- Australian Veterinary Association (AVA). 2012.** Tail docking of cattle. Available at <http://www.ava.com.au/policy/82-tail-docking-cattle> (accessed on 23 May 2018).
- American Veterinary Medical Association (AVMA). 2013.** Tail docking of cattle. Available at <https://www.avma.org/KB/Policies/Pages/Tail-Docking-of-Cattle.aspx> (accessed on 22 May 2018).
- Canadian Veterinary Medical Association (CVMA). 2016.** Tail docking of dairy cattle. Position statement. Available at <https://www.canadianveterinarians.net/documents/tail-docking-of-dairy-cattle> (accessed on 20 May 2018).
- Chile. 2013.** Ley N° 20.380 Decreto 29 Sobre protección de los animales durante su producción industrial, su comercialización y en otros recintos de mantención de animales. Santiago: Biblioteca del Congreso Nacional de Chile.
- Cruz-Almeida Y, Fillingim RB. 2014.** Can quantitative sensory testing move us closer to mechanism-based pain management? *Pain medicine* 15:61–72 DOI 10.1111/pme.12230.
- Di Giminiani P, Nasirahmadi A, Malcolm EM, Leach MC, Edwards SA. 2017.** Docking piglet tails: how much does it hurt and for how long? *Physiology Behavior* 182:69–76 DOI 10.1016/j.physbeh.2017.09.028.
- Di Giminiani P, Sandercock DA, Malcolm EM, Leach MC, Herskin MS, Edwards SA. 2016.** Application of a handheld pressure application measurement device for the characterization of mechanical nociceptive threshold in intact pig tails. *Physiology & Behavior* 165:119–126 DOI 10.1016/j.physbeh.2016.07.006.
- Eicher SD, Cheng HW, Sorrells AD, Schutz MM. 2006.** Behavioral and physiological indicators of sensitivity or chronic pain following tail docking. *Journal of Dairy Science* 89:3047–3051 DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(06)72578-4.
- Eicher SD, Dailey JW. 2002.** Indicators of acute pain and fly avoidance behaviors in Holstein calves following tail-docking. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2850–2858 DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74372-5.
- Eicher SD, Morrow-Tesch JL, Albright JL, Dailey JW, Young CR, Stanker LH. 2000.** Tail-docking influences on behavioral, immunological, and endocrine responses in dairy heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 83:1456–1462 DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(00)75017-X.
- Eicher SD, Morrow-Tesch JL, Albright JL, Williams RE. 2001.** Tail-docking alters fly numbers, fly-avoidance behaviors, and cleanliness, but not physiological measures. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84:1822–1828 DOI 10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74621-8.

- Filligim RB, Loeser JD, Baron R, Edwards RR. 2016.** Assessment of chronic pain: domain, methods and mechanisms. *Journal of Pain* **17**(9):t10–t20
DOI [10.1016/j.jpain.2015.08.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpain.2015.08.010).
- Fischer MW, Gregory NG. 2007.** Reconciling the difference between the length at which lambs' tail are commonly docked and animal welfare recommendations. *Proceedings of the New Zealand Society of Animal Production* **67**:32–38.
- Flecknell P. 2008.** Analgesia from a veterinary perspective. *British Journal of Anaesthesia* **101**:121–124 DOI [10.1093/bja/aen087](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aen087).
- French NP, Morgan KL. 1992.** Neuromata in docked lambs' tails. *Research in Veterinary Science* **52**:389–390 DOI [10.1016/0034-5288\(92\)90045-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-5288(92)90045-4).
- Grandin T. 2015.** The importance of measurement to improve the welfare of livestock, poultry, and fish. In: Grandin T, ed. *Improving animal welfare*. Second Edition. Oxfordshire: CAB International, 15–34.
- Gross TL, Carr SH. 1990.** Amputation neuroma of docked tails in dogs. *Veterinary Pathology* **27**:61–62 DOI [10.1177/030098589002700110](https://doi.org/10.1177/030098589002700110).
- Hanyu-Deutmeyer AA, Dulebohn SC. 2018.** Pain, phantom limb. In: *StatPearls (Internet)*. Treasure Island: StatPearls Publishing. Available at <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK448188/>.
- Harden RN, Gagnon CM, Gallizzi M, Khan AS, Newman D. 2008.** Residual limbs of amputees are significantly cooler than contralateral intact limbs. *Pain Practice* **8**:342–347 DOI [10.1111/j.1533-2500.2008.00216.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1533-2500.2008.00216.x).
- Harden RN, Rudin N, Bruehl S, Parikh D, Kooch J, Gracely R. 2004.** Elevated systemic catecholamines in complex regional pain syndrome and relationship to psychological factors: a pilot study. *Anesthesia and Analgesia* **99**:1478–1485.
- Hepple S, Clark J. 2011.** Tail docking of dairy cattle in the UK. Letters. *Veterinary Record* **168**:518 DOI [10.1136/vr.d2960](https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.d2960).
- Herskin MS, Munksgaard L, Ladewig J. 2004.** Effects of acute stressors on nociception, adrenocortical responses and behavior of dairy cows. *Physiology and Behavior* **83**:411–420 DOI [10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.08.027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physbeh.2004.08.027).
- Herskin MS, Thodberg K, Jensen HE. 2015.** Effects of tail docking and docking length on neuroanatomical changes in healed tail tips of pigs. *Animal* **9**:677–681 DOI [10.1017/S1751731114002857](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731114002857).
- Hunter JP, Katz J, Davis KD. 2005.** Dissociation of phantom limb phenomena from stump tactile spatial acuity and sensory threshold. *Brain* **128**:308–320 DOI [10.1093/brain/awh350](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awh350).
- Jensen TS, Finnerup NB. 2014.** Allodynia and hyperalgesia in neuropathic pain: clinical manifestation and mechanisms. *The Lancet Neurology* **13**:924–935 DOI [10.1016/S1474-4422\(14\)70102-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(14)70102-4).
- Kells NJ, Beausoleil NJ, Johnson CB, Sutherland MA, Morrison RS, Roe W. 2017.** Comparison of neural histomorphology in tail tips from pigs docked using clippers or cautery iron. *Animal* **11**:1222–1227 DOI [10.1017/S1751731116002500](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1751731116002500).
- Kosasih JB, Silver-Thorn MB. 1998.** Sensory changes in adults with unilateral transtibial amputation. *Journal of Rehabilitation Research and Development* **35**:85–90.

- Kroll LK, Grooms DL, Siegford JM, Schwehofer JP, Daigle CL, Metz K, Ladoni M. 2014.** Effects of tail docking in behavior of confined feedlot cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* 92:4701–4710 DOI 10.2527/jas.2014-7583.
- Kuffler DP. 2017.** Origins of phantom limb pain. *Molecular Neurobiology* 55:60–69 DOI 10.1007/s12035-017-0717-x.
- Li S, Melton DH, Li S. 2015.** Tactile, thermal, and electrical thresholds in patients with and without phantom limb pain after traumatic lower limb amputation. *Journal of Pain Research* 8:169–174 DOI 10.2147/JPR.S77412.
- Molony V, Kent JE. 1997.** Assessment of acute pain in farm animals using behavioral and physiological measurements. *Journal of Animal Science* 75:266–272 DOI 10.2527/1997.751266x.
- Nalon E, Maes D, Piepers S, Taylor P, Van Riet MJM, Janssens GPJ, Millet S, Tuytens FAM. 2016.** Factors affecting mechanical nociceptive thresholds in healthy sows. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* 43:343–355 DOI 10.1111/vaa.12313.
- Nascimento FP, Magnussen C, Yousefpour N, Ribeiro-da Silva A. 2015.** Sympathetic fibre sprouting in the skin contributes to pain-related behaviour in spared nerve injury and cuff models of neuropathic pain. *Molecular Pain* 11:Article 59 DOI 10.1186/s12990-015-0062-x.
- Nikolajsen L. 2012.** Postamputation pain: studies on mechanisms. *Danish Medical Journal* 59(10):B4527.
- O'Reilly MAR, O'Reilly PMR, Sheahan JN, Sullivan J, O'Reilly HM, O'Reilly MJ. 2016.** Neuromas as the cause of pain in the residual limbs of amputees. An ultrasound study. *Clinical Radiology* 71:1068.e1–1068.e6 DOI 10.1016/j.crad.2016.05.022.
- Petrie NJ, Mellor DJ, Stafford KJ, Bruce RA, Ward RN. 1996.** Cortisol response of calves to two methods of tail docking used with or without local anaesthetic. *New Zealand Veterinary Journal* 44:4–8 DOI 10.1080/00480169.1996.35923.
- Phipps AM, Matthews LR, Verkerk GA. 1995.** Tail docking dairy cattle: fly induced behaviour and adrenal responsiveness to ACTH. In: *Proceedings of the New Zealand society of animal production*. Vol. 55. Wellington: New Zealand Society of Animal Production, 61–63.
- Rajput K, Reddy S, Shankar H. 2012.** Painful neuromas. *The Clinical Journal of Pain* 28:639–645 DOI 10.1097/AJP.0b013e31823d30a2.
- Raundal PM, Andersen PH, Toft N, Forkman B, Munksgaard L, Herskin MS. 2014.** Handheld mechanical nociceptive threshold testing in dairy cows—individual variation, inter-observer agreement and variation over time. *Veterinary Anaesthesia and Analgesia* 41:660–669 DOI 10.1111/vaa.12159.
- R Development Core Team. 2018.** R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Version 3.5.1. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. Available at <http://www.R-project.org/>.
- Reichling DB, Levine JD. 2009.** Critical role of nociceptor plasticity in chronic pain. *Trends in Neuroscience* 32:611–618 DOI 10.1016/j.tins.2009.07.007.

- Sandercock DA, Smith SH, Di Giminiani P, Edwards SA. 2016.** Histopathological characterization of tail injury and traumatic neuroma development after tail docking in piglets. *Journal of Comparative Pathology* 155:40–49 DOI [10.1016/j.jcpa.2016.05.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcpa.2016.05.003).
- Sathiyabarathi M, Jeyakumar S, Manimaran A, Pushpadass HA, Sivaram M, Ramesha KP, Das DN, Katakataware MA, Jayaprakash G, Patbandha TK. 2016.** Investigation of body and udder skin surface temperature differentials as an early indicator of mastitis in Holstein Friesian crossbred cows using digital infrared thermography technique. *Veterinary World* 9:1386–1391 DOI [10.14202/vetworld.2016.1386-1391](https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2016.1386-1391).
- Schley MT, Wilms P, Toepfner S, Schaller HP, Schmelz M, Konrad CJ, Birbaumer N. 2008.** Painful and nonpainful phantom and stump sensations in acute traumatic amputees. *The Journal of Trauma* 65:858–864 DOI [10.1097/TA.0b013e31812eed9e](https://doi.org/10.1097/TA.0b013e31812eed9e).
- Schreiner DA, Ruegg PL. 2002a.** Effects of tail docking on milk quality and cow cleanliness. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2503–2511 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(02\)74333-6](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74333-6).
- Schreiner DA, Ruegg PL. 2002b.** Responses to tail docking in calves and heifers. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:3287–3296 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(02\)74417-2](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74417-2).
- Stull CL, Payne MA, Berry SL, Hullinger PJ. 2002.** Evaluation of the scientific justification for tail docking in dairy cattle. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association* 220:1298–1303 DOI [10.2460/javma.2002.220.1298](https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.2002.220.1298).
- Taylor PM, Dixon MJ. 2012.** The relationship between tip diameter and mechanical nociceptive threshold. In: *Proceedings of the 14th world congress on pain, non-human species-special interest group*. Washington, D.C.: International Association for the Study of Pain, 3.
- Toia F, Giesen T, Giovanoli P, Calcagni M. 2015.** A systematic review of animal models for experimental neuroma. *Journal of Plastic, Reconstructive & Aesthetic Surgery* 68:1447–1463 DOI [10.1016/j.bjps.2015.05.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjps.2015.05.013).
- Tom EM, Duncan IJH, Widowski TM, Bateman KG, Leslie KE. 2002.** Effects of tail docking using a rubber ring with or without anesthetic on behavior and production of lactating cows. *Journal of Dairy Science* 85:2257–2265 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(02\)74305-1](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(02)74305-1).
- Tucker CB, Fraser D, Weary DM. 2001.** Tail docking dairy cattle: effects on cow cleanliness and udder health. *Journal of Dairy Science* 84:84–87 DOI [10.3168/jds.S0022-0302\(01\)74455-4](https://doi.org/10.3168/jds.S0022-0302(01)74455-4).
- Voscopoulos C, Lema M. 2010.** When does acute pain become chronic? *British Journal of Anaesthesia* 105:i69–i85 DOI [10.1093/bja/aeq323](https://doi.org/10.1093/bja/aeq323).
- Yao C, Zhou X, Zhao B, Sun C, Poonit K, Yan H. 2017.** Treatments of traumatic neuropathic pain: a systematic review. *Oncotarget* 8:57670–57679 DOI [10.18632/oncotarget.16917](https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.16917).
- Yin Y, Zhang L, Xiao H, Wen CB, Dai YE, Yang G, Zuo YX, Liu J. 2017.** The pre-amputation pain and the postoperative deafferentation are the risk factors of phantom limb pain: a clinical survey in a sample of Chinese population. *BMC Anesthesiology* 17:69 DOI [10.1186/s12871-017-0359-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12871-017-0359-6).