CrossMark click for updates

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Leštinová K, Soldánová M, Scholz T, Kuchta R (2016) Eggs as a Suitable Tool for Species Diagnosis of Causative Agents of Human Diphyllobothriosis (Cestoda). PLoS Negl Trop Dis 10 (5): e0004721. doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721

Editor: Ricardo Toshio Fujiwara, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, BRAZIL

Received: February 17, 2016

Accepted: April 28, 2016

Published: May 25, 2016

Copyright: © 2016 Leštinová et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This study was supported by the Czech Science Foundation (project P506/12/1632) and the Institute of Parasitology (RVO: 60077344). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Eggs as a Suitable Tool for Species Diagnosis of Causative Agents of Human Diphyllobothriosis (Cestoda)

Kateřina Leštinová, Miroslava Soldánová, Tomáš Scholz, Roman Kuchta*

Institute of Parasitology, Biology Centre of the Czech Academy of Sciences & Faculty of Science, University of South Bohemia, České Budějovice, Czech Republic

* krtek@paru.cas.cz

Abstract

Background

Tapeworms of the order Diphyllobothriidea are parasites of tetrapods and several species may infect man and cause neglected human disease called diphyllobothriosis. Identification of human-infecting diphyllobothriid cestodes is difficult because of their morphological uniformity, which concerns also their eggs in stool samples.

Methods

In the present study, we analysed by far the largest dataset of more than 2,000 eggs of 8 species of diphyllobothriid cestodes that may infect humans, including the most frequent human parasites *Diphyllobothrium latum*, *D. nihonkaiense* and *Adenocephalus pacificus* (syn. *Diphyllobothrium pacificum*). Size (length, width and length/width ratio) and the surface of the egg shell from naturally and experimentally infected hosts were studied using light and scanning electron microscopy.

Results

A high degree of intraspecific and host-related size variability has been detected, but combination of morphometrical and ultrastructural data made it possible to distinguish all of the studied species, including otherwise quite similar eggs of the 3 most common species infecting man, i.e. *D. latum*, *D. nihonkaiense* and *D. dendriticum*. The surface of all marine species is covered by numerous deep pits with species-specific density, whereas the surface of freshwater species is smooth or with isolated shallow hollows or wrinkles.

Author Summary

More than 2,000 eggs of 8 species of diphyllobothriid cestodes infecting humans were compared. Combination of morphometrical and ultrastructural (surface morphology) data made it possible to distinguish all species.

Introduction

Human infections with gastrointestinal helminths are usually diagnosed when their eggs are found in stool samples. Therefore, examination of stool and detection of parasite eggs is used as a non-invasive method for detection of infections [1]. Some of the helminth parasites are relatively easy to identify to the species level based on egg morphology, such as species of *Schistosoma* Weinland, 1858 (blood flukes) [1]. In contrast, eggs of diphyllobothriid tapeworms including human-infecting species are highly variable, their size may overlap between species and is also influenced by individual definitive hosts and their size. This makes reliable species diagnosis of causative agents of human diphyllobothriosis in stool samples difficult or even impossible [2].

Diphyllobothriosis and closely related diplogonoporosis are fish-borne zoonoses that are neglected, but widely distributed throughout the world; human infections with some of diphyllobothriids seem to have emerged recently [2,3,4,5]. Causative agents of these diseases are tapeworms of the genera *Adenocephalus* Nybelin, 1931, *Diphyllobothrium* Cobbold, 1858 and *Diplogonoporus* Lönnberg, 1892. Out of 16 species of diphyllobothrids reported from man, only the following 4 are common parasites of man, namely *Diphyllobothrium latum* (Linnaeus, 1758) with circumboreal distribution (with few cases reported also from Chile), *D. nihonkaiense* Yamane, Kamo, Bylund and Wikgren, 1986 in the northern Pacific region, *Adenocephalus* pacificus Nybelin, 1931 endemic in the southern Pacific, and *Diphyllobothrium dendriticum* (Nitzsch, 1824) with arctic distribution [2,3,4]. These cestodes, commonly called broad or fish tapeworms, produce up to 36,000 eggs per day [6,7]. Therefore, diagnosis of diphyllobothriosis is based mainly on findings of thick-shelled, unembryonated eggs with an operculum on the narrower end and a knob on the opposite site in stool samples [2].

The morphometry of eggs has been commonly used for species identification of diphyllobothriids in stool samples from naturally infected hosts including man and also experimentally infected unspecific hosts such as golden hamsters (*Mesocricetus auratus*) [8,9,10,11,12]. However, most authors used only ranges (minimum and maximum) of egg size in descriptions, which overlap among most of the species. Another limitation of previous studies represents a low number of measured eggs and the fact that only three species, *D. latum*, *D. dendriticum* and *D. nihonkaiense*, were studied in more detail.

Surface structures on the eggs and some other characters such as shell thickness, colour, diameter of an operculum, presence of an apical knob, or size of embryonic hooks were considered by previous authors, but none of them appeared suitable for species discrimination [13,14,15,16].

In the present study, we analysed so far the largest dataset of diphyllobothriid eggs from naturally and experimentally infected specific hosts, thus significantly improving upon previous studies on egg morphometry. The principal aim was to test whether combination of morphometrical and morphological features by light and/or scanning electron microscopy can make it possible to distinguish reliably the eggs of as many as 8 species of broad tapeworms reported from man.

Materials and Methods

Material studied

Gravid tapeworms and/or positive stool samples were obtained from naturally and experimentally infected specific hosts belonging to 19 species, including man; most samples were obtained from museum collections (see <u>Table 1</u>). They were identified using identification keys based on morphology and mostly also by genotyping (sequencing the *cox1* gene) [17]. Morphometrical variability was studied in 62 samples of a total of 2,082 eggs of 8 species (Table 1); these samples were fixed in 70% ethanol, 4% formaldehyde solution or, in few cases, measured alive in the water. Measurements were taken using Olympus BX51 microscope with QuickPHOTO MICRO 2.3 program. In every sample, at least 25 intact eggs were measured to get representative dataset [18]. Standard deviation (SD), mean and length to width ratio (LWR) were counted using Microsoft Excel software. Summary data for egg measurements (in micrometres) pooled across all hosts for 3 morphometrical parameters (i.e. length, width and LRW; hereinafter referred to as 'size') are given in Table 2.

A series of univariate comparisons was performed by separate one-way analyses of variance (One-way ANOVA's) for sets of analyses (A1–A3 –see <u>Table 3</u>) in which different numbers of specimens and parasite species were used to assess: (A1) differences in egg sizes (i.e. 3 morphometrical features: length, width and LRW) among all 8 diphyllobothriid species; (A2) differences in egg sizes among the 3 commonest human species, namely *A. pacificus*, *D. latum* and *D. nihonkaiense*; and (A3) intraspecific variability in egg sizes of the species from minimally 3 different hosts were available: (A3a) *A. pacificus*, (A3b) *D. latum*, and (A3c) *D. cordatum*. Samples from hamster were not included because it is an atypical host and their eggs are considerably variable compared to specific hosts (<u>Table 1</u>). Accordingly, 56 samples of a total of 1,860 eggs were entered to statistical analyses (Tables 1 and 3). All data were log-transformed (log₁₀), but absolute values of egg sizes were used in graphs for better illustration. *Post-hoc* Tukey HSD tests were performed where appropriate to detect differences in particular morphometrical features among species pairs. All analyses were carried out using Statistica 7.0 software package (StatSoft Inc., Tulsa, OK, USA) with significance levels set at 0.05.

Additionally, 184 eggs of 44 samples of all 8 studied species were studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) (<u>Table 1</u>). Proglottids with eggs were prepared as outlined by Kuchta and Caira [<u>19</u>]. Briefly, after drying the eggs were liberated by dissecting needles from gravid proglottids during mounting on aluminium stubs using a double-sided tape. Samples were examined by JEOL JSM-7401F scanning electron microscope. Surface structures were observed at magnification ×10,000 and the density of the pits was re-counted for 100 µm².

Ethics statement

Eggs of diphyllobothriidean cestodes from human samples were obtained from the following museum collections (in most cases, proglottids found in stool samples were fixed and deposited in the collections; the eggs were obtained as described above): Institute of Parasitology, CAS, České Budějovice, Czech Republic (IPCAS), Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Geneva, Switzerland (MHNG), Queensland Museum, Australia (QM), and Zoological Museum, University of Oslo, Norway (ZMUO). In addition, samples of eggs from non-human hosts were obtained from museum material deposited in: Natural History Museum, London, UK (BMNH), IPCAS, MHNG, Statens Naturhistoriske Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark (NHMD), Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna, Austria (NMW), United States National Parasite Collection, Beltsville, Maryland, USA (USNPC), ZMUO (Table 1).

Results

Morphometry of the eggs

Morphometrical analysis of all eggs has shown a great size variability of most species studied (Fig 1). The results of analysis A1 showed significant differences in egg sizes among all 8 species ($P < 10^{-4}$ for all 3 morphometrical features tested; Fig 2; Table 3). The *post-hoc* test showed significant differences between most of the species except *D. dendriticum* and *D. hians*, for

Ademocephalus Arctocephalus pusillus Australia 26 44-54 × 36-42 (50 × 39) 3 - IPCAS-AU 11 pacificus Arctophoca australis Argenina 25 49-54 × 39-43 (53 × 41) 2 80-67 IPCAS-ARG 28 Callorbinus ursinus USA, Alaska 25 50-62 × 40-48 (55 × 44) 2 40-67 IPCAS-SAM 6-51 Canis mesomelas South Africa 25 51-60 × 39-45 (57 × 42) 3 72-124 BMIN-1988.513.1-26 Morio sapiens Peru 25 43-51 × 35-42 (48 × 40) 5 34-71 IPCAS-TS 06/27 Meophoca cinerea Australia 24 1-57 × 40-48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-RD 10 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-46 (47 × 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-KE18-3 Carameroni schauinalianti T E2 61-69 × 40-43 (62 × 43) 4 180-228 HHMD-DAN 28A Carameroni schauinalianti Greenland 25 61-67 × 40-45 (63 × 42) 4 180-150 HIDD-DA	Species	Definitive host	Locality	No. ¹	Range (mean) [µm]	No. ²	Pits ³	Code of samples ⁴
pacificus Arctophoca australis Argentina 25 49-54 × 39-43 (53 × 41) 5 80-87 IPCAS-RB 28 Callorhinus ursinus USA, Alaska 25 50-62 × 40-48 (55 × 44) 2 46 IPCAS-SAM 0-17 25 51-60 × 30-47 (55 × 43) - IPCAS-SAM 7-64 - IPCAS-SAM 7-64 Canis mesomelas South Africa 25 54-59 × 40-45 (57 × 43) 3 72-124 BMNH-1988.5.13.1-28 Homo sapiens Peru 25 43-51 × 35-42 (48 × 40) 5 34-71 IPCAS-TS 06/27 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 × 40-48 (52 × 41) 7 - IPCAS-TS 06/27 Diphyliobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-44 (55 × 41) 6 91-77 USAS-Peru 9 Diphyliobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 64-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28A Cardatum 25 71-79 × 44-50 (72 × 48) 3 91-113 NHMD-DAN 28A Cardatum 25 72-78 × 44-50 (72 × 48)<	Adenocephalus	Arctocephalus pusillus	Australia	26	46–54 × 36–42 (50 × 39)	3	-	IPCAS-AU 11
Callorhinus ursinus USA, Alaska 25 60-62: × 40-48 (55 × 44) 2 46 IPCAS-SAM 0-17 25 49-57 × 39-45 (54 × 43) 1 66 IPCAS-SAM 0-17 26 51-60 × 39-47 (55 × 43) - IPCAS-SAM 0-17 27 674 (57 × 42) 3 72-124 BMNH-1988.5.13.1-28 Homo sapiens Peru 25 43-51 × 33-42 (48 × 40) 5 34-71 IPCAS-SAM 7-64 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 × 40-48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-RM 10 Orara flavescens Peru 25 52-57 × 37-44 (51 × 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-REI 8-3 cf. cameroni schauinslandi USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-44 (51 × 43) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobbtrinum Canis familiaris Greenland 25 61-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 26 60-67 × 40-46 (67 × 43) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 27	pacificus	Arctophoca australis	Argentina	25	49–54 × 39–43 (53 × 41)	5	80–87	IPCAS-ARG 28
25 49-57 × 39-45 (54 × 43) 1 66 IPCAS-SAM 6-51 26 51-60 × 39-47 (55 × 42) - IPCAS-SAM 7-64 27 55-63 × 04-65 (57 × 42) 3 72-124 BMH-1988, 513,1-28 Homo sapiens Peru 25 43-51 × 35-42 (48 × 40) 5 34-71 IPCAS-SM 7-64 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 × 40-48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-SN 100 Otaria flavescers Peru 25 52-57 × 37-44 (55 × 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-V10 Olphyliobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-55 × 30-44 (55 × 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-V10 Olphyliobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60-67 × 40-45 (63 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28 cordatum 25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-150 NHMD-DAN 28 26 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 44) 3 103-153 NHMD-DAN 28 26 26 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 44) 3 109-171 NHMD-DAN 128 <td></td> <td>Callorhinus ursinus</td> <td>USA, Alaska</td> <td>25</td> <td>50–62 × 40–48 (55 × 44)</td> <td>2</td> <td>46</td> <td>IPCAS-SAM 0-17</td>		Callorhinus ursinus	USA, Alaska	25	50–62 × 40–48 (55 × 44)	2	46	IPCAS-SAM 0-17
1 Caris mesomelas South Africa 25 51-60 x 30-47 (55 x 43) - IPCAS-SAM 7-64 Homo sapiens Peru 25 43-51 x 35-42 (48 x 40) 5 34-71 BNNH-1988.513.1-28 Homo sapiens Peru 25 47-65 x 37-44 (51 x 41) 7 - IPCAS-TS 06/27 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 x 40-48 (52 x 43) 4 79 IPCAS-AU 10 Oltaria finevescens Peru 25 52-57 x 37-44 (55 x 43) 6 91-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 x 33-45 (47 x 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-KEI 8-3 ci cameroni schauir/slandi - 25 60-67 x 40-45 (63 x 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum Canis familiaris Greenland 25 67-78 x 46-50 (72 x 48) 4 98-130 NHMD-DAN 28B cordatum Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 70-78 x 46-50 (72 x 48) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 28 Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 70-78 x 46-50 (72 x 48) 3 104-17				25	49–57 × 39–45 (54 × 43)	1	66	IPCAS-SAM 6-51
Canis mesomelas South Africa 25 54–59 × 40–45 (57 × 42) 3 72–124 BMNH-1988.5.13.1–28 Homo sapiens Peru 25 43–51 × 35–42 (61 × 40) 5 34–71 IPCAS-TS 06/27 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41–57 × 40–48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-TS 06/27 Otaria flavescens Peru 25 52–57 × 37–44 (51 × 41) 6 31–73 IPCAS-Peru 9 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41–56 × 33–41 (49 × 39) 6 99–127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60–67 × 40–45 (63 × 42) 4 189–226 NHMD-DAN 28 carderoni 25 61–69 × 40–43 (67 × 44) 5 163–177 NHMD-DAN 28 carderoni 25 61–69 × 40–43 (67 × 44) 5 163–177 NHMD-DAN 28 et carderoni 25 61–69 × 40–44 (65 × 43) 3 89–113 NHMD-DAN 29 et carderoni 25 67–76 × 46–50 (72 × 48) – – ZMO–6				25	51–60 × 39–47 (55 × 43)		-	IPCAS-SAM 7-64
Homo sapiens Peru 25 43-51 x 35-42 (48 x 40) 5 34-71 IPCAS-TS 05/16 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-55 x 37-44 (51 x 41) 7 - IPCAS-TS 06/27 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 x 37-44 (55 x 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-Peru 9 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 x 33-45 (47 x 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-Reru 9 Diphyllobothrium Caris familiaris Greenland 25 64-69 x 40-43 (67 x 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A Cordatum Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 61-69 x 40-43 (67 x 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A Cordatum Greenland 25 61-69 x 40-44 (65 x 43) 3 89-113 NHMD-DAN 28A Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 77-76 x 46-50 (72 x 44) - - MHMD-DAN 28A USA, Alaska 50 65-78 x 41-49 (73 x 44) 3 172-44 NHMD-DAN 13A USA, Alaska		Canis mesomelas	South Africa	25	54–59 × 40–45 (57 × 42)	3	72–124	BMNH-1988.5.13.1-28
25 47-55 × 37-44 (51 × 41) 7 - IPCAS-TS 06/27 Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 × 40-48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-AU 10 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-45 (47 × 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-KEI 8-3 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-45 (47 × 39) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 64-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28 cordatum 25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28B Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 67-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 48) 1 105-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 26 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 48) 1 107-174 HPCAS-PBI 429 27-78 × 44-50 (74 × 49) 3 105-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 26 70-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) 1 172-14		Homo sapiens	Peru	25	43–51 × 35–42 (48 × 40)	5	34–71	IPCAS-TS 05/16
Neophoca cinerea Australia 24 41-57 × 40-48 (52 × 43) 4 79 IPCAS-AU 10 Diphyllobothrium Neoronachus USA, Hawaii 75 52-57 × 37-44 (55 × 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-Peru 9 Diphyllobothrium Neoronachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-45 (47 × 39) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60-67 × 40-45 (63 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-113 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 25 71-79 × 45-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 28A VSA, Alaska 0 65-77 × 47-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28A USA, Alaska 0 65-77 × 47-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 USA, Alaska 0 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 04/73 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>25</td> <td>47–55 × 37–44 (51 × 41)</td> <td>7</td> <td>-</td> <td>IPCAS-TS 06/27</td>				25	47–55 × 37–44 (51 × 41)	7	-	IPCAS-TS 06/27
Otaria flavescens Peru 25 52-57 × 37-44 (55 × 41) 6 31-73 IPCAS-Peru 9 Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 × 33-44 (49 × 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-KEI 8-3 cl. cameroni schauinslandi Cameroni 25 45-52 × 36-41 (49 × 39) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 64-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A 25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28B 25 70-78 × 46-50 (72 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 18B 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 28 27 Russia, Chukotka 25 57-65 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 04/27 20dobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44)<		Neophoca cinerea	Australia	24	41–57 × 40–48 (52 × 43)	4	79	IPCAS-AU 10
Diphyllobothrium Neomonachus USA, Hawaii 75 41-56 x 33-45 (47 x 39) 3 151-169 IPCAS-KEI 8-3 cf. cameroni schauinslandi 25 45-52 x 36-41 (49 x 39) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60-67 x 40-43 (67 x 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 25 61-69 x 40-43 (67 x 42) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28A cordatum 25 61-69 x 40-44 (65 x 43) 3 89-113 NHMD-DAN 28A Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 67-76 x 46-50 (72 x 48) - - ZMUO-6 Codobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 x 44-49 (76 x 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13A Codobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 x 44-49 (76 x 47) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 x 47-53 (71 x 48) 1 170-214 NHMD-DAN 2 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris		Otaria flavescens	Peru	25	52–57 × 37–44 (55 × 41)	6	31–73	IPCAS-Peru 9
cl. cameroni schauinslandi 25 45-52 × 36-41 (49 × 39) 6 99-127 USNPC-26205 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60-67 × 40-45 (63 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 26 cordatum 25 64-69 × 40-33 (67 × 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A 25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 89-113 NHMD-DAN 28B 25 67-76 × 46-50 (72 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 28B 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 70-78 × 44-90 (76 × 47) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 44-90 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 25 72-78 × 44-90 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 2 26 70-76 × 41-48 (71 × 48) 1 172-194 IPCAS-FB1 429 0dobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 76-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/37 10phyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-43 (59 × 40) </td <td>Diphyllobothrium</td> <td>Neomonachus</td> <td>USA, Hawaii</td> <td>75</td> <td>41–56 × 33–45 (47 × 39)</td> <td>3</td> <td>151–169</td> <td>IPCAS-KEI 8–3</td>	Diphyllobothrium	Neomonachus	USA, Hawaii	75	41–56 × 33–45 (47 × 39)	3	151–169	IPCAS-KEI 8–3
Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Greenland 25 60-67 × 40-45 (63 × 42) 4 189-226 NHMD-DAN 28 cordatum 25 64-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A 25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28A 25 61-99 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-110 NHMD-DAN 28 25 67-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13A 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 29 27 78 × 44-9 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 28 72-78 × 44-9 (76 × 47) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 29 29 Odobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 04/37 20jhyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-43 (50 × 40) 5 <td< td=""><td>cf. <i>cameroni</i></td><td>schauinslandi</td><td></td><td>25</td><td>45–52 × 36–41 (49 × 39)</td><td>6</td><td>99–127</td><td>USNPC-26205</td></td<>	cf. <i>cameroni</i>	schauinslandi		25	45–52 × 36–41 (49 × 39)	6	99–127	USNPC-26205
cordatum 25 64-69 × 40-43 (67 × 42) 5 163-177 NHMD-DAN 28A 25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28B 25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-150 NHMD-DAN 28B 26 61-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 46-50 (72 × 48) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 70-76 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 27-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 2 28 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 29 Odobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 0/47 20 Russia, Chukotka 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 0/479 210/phyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-45 (50 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-TS 0/479 210/phyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 <t< td=""><td>Diphyllobothrium</td><td>Canis familiaris</td><td>Greenland</td><td>25</td><td>60–67 × 40–45 (63 × 42)</td><td>4</td><td>189–226</td><td>NHMD-DAN 26</td></t<>	Diphyllobothrium	Canis familiaris	Greenland	25	60–67 × 40–45 (63 × 42)	4	189–226	NHMD-DAN 26
25 71-79 × 45-53 (75 × 49) 4 98-150 NHMD-DAN 28B 25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-113 NHMD-DAN 29 26 67-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - NHMD-DAN 13A 25 70-78 × 46-50 (72 × 48) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 70-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 29 27 Russia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 04/27 27 Russia, Chukotka 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 28 Masorricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49-64 × 37-49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 29 Uphyllobothrium Kansas 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 20 Idphyllobothrium Kansas 25 59-66 × 33-49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 20 <td< td=""><td>cordatum</td><td></td><td></td><td>25</td><td>64–69 × 40–43 (67 × 42)</td><td>5</td><td>163–177</td><td>NHMD-DAN 28A</td></td<>	cordatum			25	64–69 × 40–43 (67 × 42)	5	163–177	NHMD-DAN 28A
25 61-69 × 40-44 (65 × 43) 3 89-113 NHMD-DAN 29 27 2778 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 28 70-78 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - NHMD-DAN 13A 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 70-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 27 020benus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 172-194 IPCAS-FBI 429 0dobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 05/47 1 Russia, Bering Sea 25 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 187-211 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus USA, Kansas 25 59-66 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25				25	71–79 × 45–53 (75 × 49)	4	98–150	NHMD-DAN 28B
Erignathus barbatus Greenland 25 67-76 × 46-50 (72 × 48) - - ZMUO-6 25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 26 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 27 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 28 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 29 Odobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 29 Russia, Bering Sea 25 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 187-211 IPCAS-TS 05/47 20 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 21 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59-63 × 44-47 (61 × 45) 6 97-109 NHMD-DAN 15 10 IPCAS-TS 04/39 Italy 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 10				25	61–69 × 40–44 (65 × 43)	3	89–113	NHMD-DAN 29
25 70-78 × 46-50 (74 × 49) 3 103-163 NHMD-DAN 13A 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 25 72-78 × 44-49 (76 × 47) - - NHMD-DAN 13B 0dobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 Russia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 05/47 Russia, Chukotka 25 65-763 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-KS-6 Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49-64 × 37-49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59-63 × 44-47 (61 × 45) 6 97-109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians 50 45-65 × 35-50 (59 × 46) - - IPCAS-KS-64 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum		Erignathus barbatus	Greenland	25	67–76 × 46–50 (72 × 48)	_	-	ZMUO-6
1 1				25	70–78 × 46–50 (74 × 49)	3	103–163	NHMD-DAN 13A
USA, Alaska 50 65-78 × 43-54 (71 × 48) 1 172-194 IPCAS-PBI 429 Odobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 Bussia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 05/47 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 187-211 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-TS 04/29 Diphyllobothrium Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-TS 04/29 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59-63 × 44-47 (61 × 45) 6 97-109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians T Switzerland 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-TS 04/17 latum Canis familiaris Russia 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-TS 04/17				25	72–78 × 44–49 (76 × 47)	_	-	NHMD-DAN 13B
Odobenus rosmarus Greenland 25 70-76 × 41-48 (73 × 44) 3 179-244 NHMD-DAN 2 Russia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 05/47 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia, Chukotka 25 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 187-211 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 dendriticum Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-KS-6 Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49-64 × 37-49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59-63 × 44-47 (61 × 45) 6 97-109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians To IPCAS-ITA 140 10 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis familiaris Russia 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupu			USA, Alaska	50	65–78 × 43–54 (71 × 48)	1	172–194	IPCAS-PBI 429
Russia, Bering Sea 25 66-77 × 47-53 (71 × 50) 3 140-177 IPCAS-TS 05/47 Russia, Chukotka 25 65-75 × 46-52 (70 × 49) 5 187-211 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57-63 × 38-45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/27 dendriticum Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 dendriticum Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53-66 × 38-43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59-63 × 44-37 (61 × 45) 6 97-109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians 50 45-65 × 35-50 (59 × 46) - - IPCAS-ITA 140 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61-68 × 44-48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 66-73 × 49-53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 <td></td> <td>Odobenus rosmarus</td> <td>Greenland</td> <td>25</td> <td>70–76 × 41–48 (73 × 44)</td> <td>3</td> <td>179–244</td> <td>NHMD-DAN 2</td>		Odobenus rosmarus	Greenland	25	70–76 × 41–48 (73 × 44)	3	179–244	NHMD-DAN 2
Russia, Chukotka 25 65–75 × 46–52 (70 × 49) 5 187–211 IPCAS-TS 04/27 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57–63 × 38–45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 dendriticum Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53–66 × 38–43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-KS-6 Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49–64 × 37–49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59–63 × 44–47 (61 × 45) 6 97–109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians			Russia, Bering Sea	25	66–77 × 47–53 (71 × 50)	3	140–177	IPCAS-TS 05/47
Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 57–63 × 38–45 (60 × 40) 5 0 IPCAS-TS 04/39 dendriticum Larus hyperboreus USA, Kansas 25 53–66 × 38–43 (59 × 40) 1 0 IPCAS-KS-6 Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49–64 × 37–49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59–63 × 44–47 (61 × 45) 6 97–109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians 50 45–65 × 35–50 (59 × 46) - - IPCAS-S 04/17 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46)			Russia, Chukotka	25	65–75 × 46–52 (70 × 49)	5	187–211	IPCAS-TS 04/27
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Diphyllobothrium	Canis familiaris	Russia	25	57–63 × 38–45 (60 × 40)	5	0	IPCAS-TS 04/39
Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Norway 74 49–64 × 37–49 (58 × 41) 4 0 ZMUO-437 Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59–63 × 44–47 (61 × 45) 6 97–109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians 50 45–65 × 35–50 (59 × 46) - - IPCAS-ITA 140 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 Homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a 141 62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60–66	dendriticum	Larus hyperboreus	USA, Kansas	25	53–66 × 38–43 (59 × 40)	1	0	IPCAS-KS-6
Diphyllobothrium Monachus monachus Italy 25 59–63 × 44–47 (61 × 45) 6 97–109 NHMD-DAN 15 hians - - IPCAS-ITA 140 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-ITA 140 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 latum Canis lupus Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - - IPCAS-CZ 79a Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b		Mesocricetus auratus ⁵	Norway	74	49–64 × 37–49 (58 × 41)	4	0	ZMUO-437
hians 50 45–65 × 35–50 (59 × 46) - - IPCAS-ITA 140 Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 Homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b	Diphyllobothrium	Monachus monachus	Italy	25	59–63 × 44–47 (61 × 45)	6	97–109	NHMD-DAN 15
Diphyllobothrium Canis familiaris Russia 25 61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45) 7 0 IPCAS-S 04/17 latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 Homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 Less Chile 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508	hians			50	45–65 × 35–50 (59 × 46)	-	-	IPCAS-ITA 140
Latum Canis lupus Switzerland 25 60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44) 4 0 MHNG-56104 Homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508	Diphyllobothrium	Canis familiaris	Russia	25	61–68 × 44–48 (65 × 45)	7	0	IPCAS-S 04/17
Homo sapiens Australia 25 66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50) 3 0 QM-GL 12348 Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a Morway 25 60–66 × 44–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b	latum	Canis lupus	Switzerland	25	60–65 × 42–46 (63 × 44)	4	0	MHNG-56104
Chile 25 65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51) 4 0 IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012 25 67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a 141 62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508		Homo sapiens	Australia	25	66–73 × 49–53 (70 × 50)	3	0	QM-GL 12348
25 67-74 × 46-52 (71 × 50) 3 0 IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014 Czech Republic 25 61-66 × 44-48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60-81 × 43-57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a 141 62-76 × 47-58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60-66 × 43-49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508			Chile	25	65–73 × 48–53 (70 × 51)	4	0	IPCAS-Chile 1a 2012
Czech Republic 25 61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46) 5 0 IPCAS-CZ 78 Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) - - IPCAS-CZ 79a 141 62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508				25	67–74 × 46–52 (71 × 50)	3	0	IPCAS-Chile 1b 2014
Italy 274 60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50) – – IPCAS-CZ 79a 141 62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508			Czech Republic	25	61–66 × 44–48 (64 × 46)	5	0	IPCAS-CZ 78
141 62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51) 13 0 IPCAS-CZ 79b Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508			Italy	274	60–81 × 43–57 (70 × 50)	-	-	IPCAS-CZ 79a
Norway 25 60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47) 5 0 ZMUO-C 1508				141	62–76 × 47–58 (69 × 51)	13	0	IPCAS-CZ 79b
			Norway	25	60–66 × 43–49 (63 × 47)	5	0	ZMUO-C 1508
$25 62-71 \times 44-51 (68 \times 48) - - ZMUU-C 1513$				25	62–71 × 44–51 (68 × 48)	_	-	ZMUO-C 1513
25 60–65 × 40–46 (62 × 44) – – ZMUO-C 1515				25	60–65 × 40–46 (62 × 44)	-	-	ZMUO-C 1515
25 61–68 × 43–48 (65 × 45) 3 0 ZMUO-C 1517				25	61–68 × 43–48 (65 × 45)	3	0	ZMUO-C 1517
Russia 25 62–70 × 45–50 (65 × 47) – – IPCAS-CZ 86			Russia	25	62–70 × 45–50 (65 × 47)	_	-	IPCAS-CZ 86
25 64–69 × 47–51 (66 × 48) – – IPCAS-RUS 106				25	64–69 × 47–51 (66 × 48)	-	-	IPCAS-RUS 106
Switzerland 25 68–76 × 49–54 (72 × 51) 4 0 MHNG-38373			Switzerland	25	68–76 × 49–54 (72 × 51)	4	0	MHNG-38373
Mesocricetus auratus ⁵ Italy 50 57–68 x 43–49 (63 x 46) – – IPCAS CZ 79 Ha		Mesocricetus auratus ⁵	Italy	50	57–68 x 43–49 (63 x 46)	-	-	IPCAS CZ 79 Ha
Norway 25 57–62 x 40–43 (59 x 41) – – ZMUO 10			Norway	25	57–62 x 40–43 (59 x 41)	-	-	ZMUO 10
25 51–58 x 39–44 (55 x 42) – – ZMUO 2				25	51–58 x 39–44 (55 x 42)	-	-	ZMUO 2
23 54–65 x 39–45 (59 x 42) 7 0 ZMUO 7A				23	54–65 x 39–45 (59 x 42)	7	0	ZMUO 7A

Table 1. Summary data for measurements and morphology of diphyllobothriidean egg samples from naturally and experimentally infected hosts.

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

Species	Definitive host	Locality	No. ¹	Range (mean) [µm]	No. ²	Pits ³	Code of samples ⁴
		Switzerland	25	61–69 x 42–50 (65 x 46)	4	0	MHNG-17860
	Ursus maritimus	Austria (ZOO)	25	66–74 × 46–52 (70 × 49)	3	0	NMW-20045
Diphyllobothrium	Homo sapiens	Japan	25	59–64 × 40–43 (62 × 42)	3	0	IPCAS-2010-67
nihonkaiense			25	59–66 × 41–44 (62 × 42)	7	0	IPCAS-2010-67-E
			25	60–66 × 39–45 (63 × 42)	6	0	IPCAS-2013-16
			25	59–66 × 38–44 (62 × 41)	-	-	IPCAS-2013-52
			25	58–66 × 41–44 (61 × 43)	-	-	IPCAS-2014-01
			25	60–67 × 40–48 (63 × 44)	-	-	IPCAS-2014-63
			25	63–68 × 40–48 (65 × 44)	2	0	IPCAS-Dn 2014-1
			25	61–69 × 40–48 (65 × 44)	-	-	IPCAS-Dn 2014-2E
			25	60–63 × 39–43 (61 × 40)	2	0	IPCAS-Dn 2014–2S
			21	55–66 × 44–47 (61 × 46)	3	0	IPCAS-Dn1
Diphyllobothrium	Lagenorhynchus acutus	USA, Mississippi	25	53–64 × 41–48 (60 × 46)	4	78–92	IPCAS-TS 09/101
stemmacephalum	Phocoena	Ukraine, Black Sea	25	63–70 × 44–47 (67 × 46)	-	-	GenBank-DQ768191
	Tursiops truncatus	USA, Massachusetts	24	64–70 × 40–50 (66 × 47)	-	-	IPCAS-USA 21
TOTAL:	62 samples (56 analyzed)		2,082	(1,860 analyzed)	184		

¹Number of eggs measured

²Number of eggs observed by scanning electron microscopy

³Number of pits per 100 µm²

⁴Deposited in the Natural History Museum, London, UK (BMNH), Institute of Parasitology, CAS, České Budějovice, Czech Republic (IPCAS), Muséum d'Histoire Naturelle, Geneva, Switzerland (MHNG), Statens Naturhistoriske Museum, Copenhagen, Denmark (NHMD), Naturhistorisches Museum, Vienna, Austria (NMW), Queensland Museum, Australia (QM), United States National Parasite Collection, Beltsville, Maryland, USA (USNPC), Zoological Museum, University of Oslo, Norway (ZMUO)

⁵Experimentally infected atypical host–golden hamster; not used in statistical analysis.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.t001

Table 2. Descriptive statistics for measurements of eggs of 8 diphyllobothriidean species studied from natural (samples from same hosts are pooled). Range and means are given in micrometers for the 3 parameters subjected to morphometrical studies. Details for individual samples are listed in Table 1. Measurements are in micrometers (µm).

Species ¹	Hosts ²	No. ³	Length ⁴	Width ⁴	Length width ratio ⁴
Ара	1, 3, 6–10	250 (13)	41–62 (53 ± 3.32)	35–48 (42 ± 2.24)	0.953–1.475 (1.272 ± 0.079)
Dca	11	100 (2)	41–56 (48 ± 2.95)	33–45 (39 ± 2.17)	1.079–1.441 (1.218 ± 0.071)
Dco	2, 12, 13	300 (11)	60–79 (71 ± 4.22)	40–54 (47 ± 3.28)	1.259–1.727 (1.519 ± 0.088)
Dde	2, 18	50 (2)	53–66 (60 ± 2.44)	38–45 (40 ± 1.75)	1.325–1.632 (1.480 ± 0.067)
Dhi	14	75 (2)	45–65 (60 ± 3.42)	35–50 (46 ± 2.40)	1.143–1.444 (1.309 ± 0.062)
Dla	1, 2, 4, 5	765 (16)	60–81 (68 ± 3.54)	40–58 (49 ± 2.89)	1.172–1.600 (1.389 ± 0.066)
Dni	1	246 (11)	55–69 (63 ± 2.29)	38–48 (43 ± 2.13)	1.217–1.737 (1.463 ± 0.082)
Dst	15–17	74 (3)	53–70 (64 ± 3.75)	41–50 (46 ± 1.56)	1.191–1.556 (1.383 ± 0.078)

¹Apa Adenocephalus pacificus, Dca Diphyllobothrium cf. cameroni, Dco D. cordatum, Dde D. dendriticum, Dhi D. hians, Dla D. latum, Dni D. nihonkaiense, Dst D. stemmacephalum

²1. Homo sapiens, 2. Canis familiaris, 3. C. mesomelas, 4. C. lupus, 5. Ursus maritimus, 6. Otaria flavescens, 7. Arctocephalus pusillus, 8. Arctophoca australis, 9. Callorhinus ursinus, 10. Neophoca cinerea, 11. Neomonachus schauinslandi, 12. Erignathus barbatus, 13. Odobenus rosmarus, 14. Monachus monachus, 15. Lagenorhynchus acutus, 16. Tursiops truncatus, 17. Phocoena phocoena, 18. Larus hyperboreus

³Number of measured eggs (number of samples)

⁴Range (minimum and maximum); mean ± SD in parentheses.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.t002

Table 3.	Summary data for e	gg samples of c	liphyllobothri	idean cestodes fron	n naturall	ly infected hos	sts used in ana	lysis of var	iance (one-way
ANOVA)	statistics assessing	g interspecific a	nd intraspecif	fic variation in sizes	(length, v	width and leng	gth/width ratio)	. See Table	1 for data on hosts.

Analysis	No. of species	No. ^a	MР ^ь	Df ^c	F ^d	P ^e
A1	All 8 species ^f	1,860 (56)	Length	7	1,154	< 10 ⁻⁴
			Width	7	435	< 10 ⁻⁴
			LWR ^a	7	358	< 10 ⁻⁴
A2	3 – A. pacificus	986 (24)	Length	2	1,228	< 10 ⁻⁴
	D. latum		Width	2	853	< 10 ⁻⁴
	D. nihonkaiense		LWR	2	295	< 10 ⁻⁴
A3a	1 – A. pacificus	250 (10)	Length	6	41	< 10 ⁻⁴
			Width	6	30	< 10 ⁻⁴
			LWR	6	19	< 10 ⁻⁴
A3b	1 –D. latum	765 (16)	Length	3	32	< 10 ⁻⁴
			Width	3	61	< 10 ⁻⁴
			LWR	3	12	< 10 ⁻⁴
A3c	1 –D. cordatum	300 (11)	Length	2	65	< 10 ⁻⁴
			Width	2	84	< 10 ⁻⁴
			LWR	2	6	< 10 ⁻⁴

^aNumber of measured eggs (number of samples)

^bMorphometrical parameter

^cDegrees of freedom

^dF-test values

^eLevel of significance

¹Adenocephalus pacificus, Diphyllobothrium cf. cameroni, D. cordatum, D. dendriticum, D. hians, D. latum, D. nihonkaiense, D. stemmacephalum.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.t003



Fig 1. The scatterplot displaying the positive association between the length and width of eggs of diphyllobothriid cestodes. *A*, Based on 56 samples of 8 species from various definitive hosts. *B*, Based on 3 commonest species infecting humans for 25 samples exclusively from man. Ellipses represent 95% confidence intervals about the means indicating greater correlation between length and width in a given species. Details for individual samples are listed in Table 1.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.g001



Fig 2. Comparison of the means of 3 morphometrical parameters (length in circles, width in squares and length to width ratio in triangles) measured on eggs of 8 species of diphyllobothriid cestodes. Black and white arrows indicate pairs/groups of species for which statistical difference of particular parameter was not detected, thus showing similar values for these features. Species differing in all tested parameters is marked with a square (*A. pacificus*) and can be easily distinguished from the remaining species.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.g002

which egg measurements overlap in length (P > 0.05) demonstrating no apparent difference in this parameter (Fig 2). *Diphyllobothrium cordatum* has the largest eggs (mean length 71) followed by *D. latum* (68) and *D. stemmacephalum* (64), whereas *D. cf. cameroni* and *A. pacificus* have the smallest eggs (48 and 53, respectively; Fig s 1 and 2; Tables 1 and 2).

Concerning egg width, measurements divided all species into 2 species groups. The first group includes *D. cordatum*, *D. hians* and *D. stemmacephalum*, and second one *D. dendriticum* and *D. cf. cameroni*; they did not differ from each other (P > 0.05) (Fig.2; depicted by black and white arrows, respectively). The widest eggs were found in *D. latum* (mean width 49), followed by *D. cordatum* (47), whereas *D. cameroni* and *D. dendriticum* have the narrowest eggs (39 and 40, respectively; Table 2).

The *post-hoc* test revealed that the eggs of *D*. cf. *cameroni* and *A*. *pacificus* are rounder than those of the 6 remaining species (mean LWR 1.218 and 1.272, respectively; <u>Table 2</u>). This morphometrical parameter significantly differs among all but 2 pairs of species, *D*. *latum* and *D*. *stemmacephalum*, and *D*. *dendriticum* and *D*. *nihonkaiense* (P > 0.05), which cannot be distinguished based on this character (Fig.2; depicted by black and white arrows, respectively).

One of the 8 species, *A. pacificus*, can be distinguished from the 7 remaining species by all 3 morphometrical features (length, width and LWR; <u>Fig 2</u>; <u>Table 3</u>). The remaining species form 5 groups in which a given species overlaps in one particular parameter, but significantly differs in the other two, thus making them distinguishable among each other as well. For example, *D. hians* and *D. dendriticum* create the first group by overlapping measurements in length but are different in width and LWR index (Fig 2; Table 2).

Univariate comparisons (analysis A2) of the eggs among the 3 commonest human-infecting species, i.e. *A. pacificus, D. latum* and *D. nihonkaiense*, showed significant differences in all 3 parameters tested (Table 3). However, despite these differences, the range of measurements of *D. latum* and *D. nihonkaiense* slightly overlapped in all 3 characters (Figs 1 and 2; Table 2). The eggs of *A. pacificus* are smallest (the lowest mean length and width) as well as most rounded (Figs 1 and 2; Table 2). In contrast, *D. latum* possesses the largest eggs and the eggs of *D. nihonkaiense* have the highest LWR, i.e. more elongate eggs (Table 2).

The eggs of *A. pacificus*, *D. latum* and *D. cordatum* from various hosts (analyses A3a–A3c) differed significantly in all 3 morphometrical parameters (<u>Table 3</u>). The eggs of *A. pacificus* from a jackal, *Canis mesomelas*, were on average longest compared to conspecific eggs from 6 other hosts and differed in width from 2 hosts only (<u>Fig 3</u>; <u>Table 1</u>). The eggs of *A. pacificus* from humans and from fur seal, *Arctocephalus pusillus*, were shortest on average. The widest eggs of *A. pacificus* were found in sea lion, *Neophoca cinerea*, and fur seal, *Callorhinus ursinus* (<u>Fig 3</u>; <u>Table 1</u>). The most elongate eggs come from *Neophoca cinerea* and the most rounded from a jackal (<u>Fig 3</u>, <u>Table 1</u>).

Significant differences were detected in all morphometrical features of the eggs of *D. latum* from different hosts (analysis A3b; <u>Table 3</u>). Eggs from humans and polar bear were longest and widest compared to the eggs from dog and wolf (Fig 3; <u>Table 1</u>). Eggs from human stool samples were also most elongate (<u>Table 1</u>).

The eggs of *D. cordatum* from 3 different hosts also differed significantly from each other in all parameters (P < 0.001; Fig 3; Table 3). The eggs from dogs were smaller compared to those from seals (Fig 3) whereas most elongate eggs were from *Erignathus barbatus* (Table 1).

Egg surface

Eggs of all marine species (*Adenocephalus pacificus*, *D. cf. cameroni*, *D. cordatum*, *D. hians* and *D. stemmacephalum*) differ conspicuously from those of freshwater/anadromous species (*D. dendriticum*, *D. latum* and *D. nihonkainese*) in the presence of numerous deep pits on their surface observed using SEM or light microscope with a high magnification and strong pressing coverslip with egg samples (Figs 4 and 5) [14,20]. Density of pits of marine species differed between species, from 34 pits per 100 µm² in *A. pacificus* to as many as 207 in *D. cordatum* (Fig 4; Table 1). This characteristic may help as an additional feature to distinguish species groups with similar egg sizes, such as *D. hians* from those of *D. stemmacephalum* (Figs 2 and 4; Tables 1 and 2). The eggs of the remaining freshwater/anadromous species are indistinguishable from each other based on their surface, because it is smooth or occasionally covered with a few shallow hollows or wrinkles (Fig 4; Table 1).

To facilitate species diagnosis of eggs in stool (clinical) samples, a simplified key for identification of the eggs of 8 human-infecting species of diphyllobothriid cestodes based on their length and width is provided. The mean value represent means between the species from each compared groups with closest values, i.e. the smallest value in the larger group and largest values in the smaller group and species of the genus *Diplogonoporus* are not considered (see <u>Dis-</u> <u>cussion</u>). The present key does not include data on pits observed on the eggs from jackal as an unspecific host (see <u>Table 1</u>).

1a. Mean length less than 56.5	μm	2
--------------------------------	----	---

- 2a. Mean length 50.5 μm; more than 98 pits per 100 μm².....D. cf. *cameroni*



Fig 3. Intraspecific variability of egg sizes of selected diphyllobothriid species. *A*, *Adenocephalus pacificus* from 7 host species. *B*, *Diphyllobothrium latum* from 4 host species. *C*, *Diphyllobothrium cordatum* from 3 host species. Data represent mean values for length (in black) and width (in white). Whiskers indicate maximum and minimum values of measured eggs, boxes standard deviation of the mean and circles inside of boxes the mean value.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.g003

2b.	Mean length 50.5 µm; less than 85 pits per 100 µm ² A. pacificus
3a.	Mean width less than 44.5 μm
3b.	Mean width more than 44.5 μm
4a.	Mean width less than 42 μ m; mean length less than 61.5 μ m D. dendriticum
4b.	Mean width more than 42 μ m; mean length more than 61.5 μ m D. <i>nihonkaiense</i>
5a.	Mean length less than 69.5 μm ; mean LWR less than 1.50 6
5b.	Mean length more than 69.5 μm; mean LWR more than 1.50 D. cordatum
6a.	Mean length less than 66 μm ; mean width less than 47.5 $\mu m. \ldots \dots .7$
6b.	Mean length more than 66 μ m; mean width more than 47.5 μ m D. <i>latum</i>
7a.	Mean length less than 62 µm; mean LWR less than 1.35
7b.	Mean length more than 62 μm; mean LWR more than 1.35 D. <i>stemmacephalum</i>

Discussion

Human diphyllobothriosis is not a life-threating disease and symptoms are usually mild despite the large size of tapeworms (up to 20 m). However, the number of human case does not decline, reaching about 20 million cases globally [21]. In contrast, several species seem to (re-) emerge or neglected even in the most developed countries [2,3,22]. For clinicians, species-specific identification of eggs in stool samples is not necessary because clinical symptoms are similar in all human-infecting species [2]. However, proper species identification is crucial from the epidemiological point of view, i.e. to detect sources of human infection, actual distribution of potential human-infecting species and way of transmission of plerocercoids to humans [2].

The present study represents the most complex statistical analysis based on the so far largest dataset for diphyllobothriid eggs. The main novelty of this study is combination of morphometrical and ultrastructural characteristics of diphyllobothriid eggs which enabled us to distinguish individual human-infecting species from each other. Species-specific identification of eggs is thus possible despite a rather high degree of their morphometrical and morphological variability in most of the studied species observed in the present and previous studies (see below).

Previously, the most extensive study of diphyllobothriid eggs was carried out by Hilliard [14] who studied 8 species from naturally and experimentally infected hosts in Alaska and by Andersen and Halvorsen [10] who compared the 3 commonest freshwater species in Europe, *D. ditremum*, *D. dendriticum* and *D. latum*, from a variety of definitive hosts. Despite some differences, especially in the size of the eggs of *D. cordatum* from bearded seal, *E. barbatus* [14], the present data correspond to those provided by previous authors [10,14,23,24].



Fig 4. Scanning electron micrographs of eggs of marine (m) and freshwater /anadromous (f) diphyllobothriid cestodes and their surface. *A*–*B*, *Adenocephalus pacificus* (m) ex *Canis mesomelas* (*A*) and *Arctocephalus australis* (*B*). *C*–*D*, *Diphyllobothrium latum* (f) ex *C. lupus* (*C*) and *Homo sapiens* (*D*). *E*, *D. dendriticum* (f) ex *C. familiaris*. *F*–*H*, *D. nihonkaiense* (f) ex *H. sapiens*. *I*, *D. cf. cameroni* (m) ex *Neomonachus schauinslandi*. *J*, *D. cordatum* (m) ex *Erignathus barbatus*. *K*, *D. hians* (m) ex *Monachus monachus*. *L*, *D. stemmacephalum* (m) ex *Lagenorhynchus acutus*. Figures are showing eggs and their surface in the same magnification 10.000×.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.g004

Additional study based on 7 species from human clinical samples studied by Maejima [25] focused mainly on eggs of *D. latum*, *D. nihonkaiense*, *A. pacificus* and *D. stemmacephalum* (reported as *D. yonagoense*). These data correspond more or less with those of the present study, even though values reported for the 2 former species were somewhat lower than herein.

The eggs of *D. latum* have been studied most intensively, especially those from man; a high degree of size variability $(55-81 \times 40-59)$ has been detected [10,26; present study]. However, some of these records may in fact have included other species such as *D. nihonkaiense* in Far East Asia and *D. dendriticum* in temperate zones [2,12,27].

The detailed study comparing the 3 commonest freshwater species in Europe, *D. ditremum*, *D. dendriticum* and *D. latum*, from different definitive hosts was carried out by Andersen and Halvorsen [10]. They observed great variation in mean egg size among different worms belonging to the same species as well as among different species. It was concluded that size of the eggs also depends on the size and type of definitive hosts [10,11,28].

The intraspecific variability based on host species was compared in 3 species in the present study. Significant differences were found in all 3 species, but their ranges overlapped in almost all host groups ($\underline{\text{Fig 3}}$). In general, there is tendency of tapeworms from larger specific hosts to have larger eggs, but that scenario is not confirmed by all studies. For example, the present



Fig 5. Photomicrographs of the surface of diphyllobothriid eggs observed by light microscopy. A, marine species Diphyllobothrium cordatum ex Erignathus barbatus covered by numerous deep pits. B, anadromous species Diphyllobothrium nihonkaiense ex Homo sapiens smooth or with isolated shallow hollows or wrinkles.

doi:10.1371/journal.pntd.0004721.g005

study shows that eggs of *A. pacificus* from man (atypical host) are significantly smaller than those from pinnipeds (specific hosts) but, surprisingly, the longest eggs are those from jackal, which is an atypical host (Fig 3; Table 1) [17]. Moreover, some previous studies did not find significant differences between the size of eggs of *A. pacificus* from man and those from fur seals, even though the eggs from man were slightly smaller and the surface was covered with more pits [11,28]. Eggs from atypical experimental hosts such as golden hamster may be larger than those from typical hosts as observed in *D. dendriticum* or *D. latum* [10; Table 1].

The present study of egg surface confirmed differences between freshwater and marine species reported by previous authors [14,29,30,31]. The surface of the eggs of all marine species studied is covered with numerous deep pits, whereas freshwater species have a smooth surface or only a limited number of wrinkles or shallow pits (Fig 4). It is important to point out that only clean eggs should be used for SEM observations to avoid misinterpretation of artifacts as natural surface structures. The egg surface can be observed also by light microscope if eggs are strongly compressed under coverslip and observed (Fig 5) [14,20]. Future laboratory experiments with eggs of marine and freshwater species are necessary to provide an explanation this difference in surface structure. The eggs of diphyllobothriid cestodes are heavy and cannot float in the water; instead they sink after their shedding, but the presence/absence of numerous and deep pits on their surface seem to be related to different physical properties of fresh and sea water and certainly plays some, yet unknown role in facilitating parasite transmission [14,29].

Combination of morphometry and surface ultrastructure of the eggs appeared to be helpful in identification of 8 human-infecting diphyllobothriideans, including *D. latum*, *D. dendriticum* and *D. nihonkainse*, which are very similar in morphology and morphometry of their adults and eggs [2,12]. However, this is possible only if a sufficient number of the eggs (at minimum 25) is measured to avoid statistical error due to a high variability of eggs and to enable reliable species-specific identification [18].

The eggs of the common species *A. pacificus* can be identified most easily because they are smallest and their surface is covered, similarly as in other marine species, with numerous pits (Figs 2 and 4; Table 1). However, we are well aware that such a detailed morphometrical and morphological analysis that include preferentially scanning electron microscopy is not possible during routine diagnosis of the stool samples in clinical laboratories. Therefore, positive clinical samples, especially in non-endemic areas, should be always fixed first with ethanol and later identified by molecular methods, mainly by sequencing of the mitochondrial *cox*1 gene or by available multiplex PCR [2,31].

In clinical samples, eggs of other helminths of similar shape and size can be found. The most similar are those of another diphyllobothriideans normally infecting whales, *Diplogonoporus balaenopterae* Lönnberg, 1892, which causes human diplogonoporosis [2]. The eggs of this cestode from human samples are 57–80 long and 34–49 wide; their surface is covered with numerous pits (150–250 pits per 100 μ m²) [14,20,29,30]. They can thus be confused with those of *Diphyllobothrium cordatum* and *D. hians* (see <u>Table 2</u>). However, the number of human cases of diplogonoporosis is quite low, around 200 worldwide [2].

To conclude, the present study provides evidence that combination of several characteristics assessed by statistical methods represents a useful tool to differentiate otherwise indistinguishable eggs of human-infecting broad fish tapeworms. Even though a detailed morphometrical and morphological (ultrastructural) characterisation of the diphyllobothriid eggs is not trivial, but relatively fast and cheap and could be used for routine diagnostics. Accurate identification of the species causing diphylloobothriosis is essential for understanding of the epidemiology and transmission of this neglected fish-borne human disease, which seems to (re-)emerged due to changing eating habit even in the most developed countries.

Acknowledgments

The authors are indebted to K. Andersen (Norway), I. Beveridge (Australia), J. Dupouy-Camet (France), H. H. Garcia (Peru), A. Gustinelli (Italy), J. Hernández-Orts (Mexico), K. Jensen (USA), T. Kuzmina (Ukraine), V. Tolarová (Czech Republic), T. Weitzel (Chile), H. Yamasaki (Japan), M. V. Yurakhno (Ukraine), and curators of several helminthological collections who kindly provided eggs or stool samples.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: RK KL. Performed the experiments: RK KL. Analyzed the data: MS KL RK. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RK MS TS KL. Wrote the paper: RK TS MS KL.

References

- 1. Ash LR, Orihel TC (2007) Atlas of Human Parasitology. Singapore: ASCP Press.
- Kuchta R, Scholz T, Brabec J, Narduzzi-Wicht B (2015) Chapter 17. *Diphyllobothrium*, *Diplogonoporus* and *Spirometra*. In: Xiao L, Ryan U, Feng F, editors. Biology of Foodborne Parasites Section III Important Foodborne Helminths: CRC Press. pp. 299–326.
- Kuchta R, Brabec J, Kubáčková P, Scholz T (2013) Tapeworm *Diphyllobothrium dendriticum* (Cestoda)–Neglected or emerging human parasite? PLoS Negl Trop Dis 7: e2535. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pntd.0002535</u> PMID: <u>24386497</u>
- Kuchta R, Serrano-Martinez ME, Scholz T (2015) Pacific broad tapeworm Adenocephalus pacificus as a causative agent of globally reemerging diphyllobothriosis. Emerg Infect Dis 21: 1697–1703. doi: <u>10.</u> <u>3201/</u>PMID: <u>26402440</u>
- de Marval F, Gottstein B, Weber M, Wicht B (2013) Imported diphyllobothriasis in Switzerland: molecular methods to define a clinical case of *Diphyllobothrium* infection as *Diphyllobothrium dendriticum*. Eurosurveillance 18: 31–36.
- 6. Nicholson D (1928) Fish tapeworm, intestinal infection in man: The infestation of fish in Manitoba lake. Can Med Assoc J 19: 25–33. PMID: 20316917
- 7. Bonsdorff Bv (1977) Diphyllobothriasis in man. London: Academic Press.
- 8. Essex HE, Magath TB (1931) Comparison of the variability of ova of the broad fish tapeworm, *Diphyllobothrium latum*, from man and dogs: its bearing on the spread of infestation with this parasite. Am J Hyg 14: 698–704.
- 9. Vergeer T (1936) The eggs and the coracidia of *Diphyllobothrium latum*. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Sciences, Arts and Letters 21:715–727.
- Andersen KI, Halvorsen O (1978) Egg size and form as taxonomic criteria in *Diphyllobothrium*. Parasitology 76: 229–240. PMID: <u>652389</u>
- 11. Tsuboi T, Torii M, Hirai K (1993) Light and scanning electron microscopy of *Diphyllobothrium pacificum* expelled from a man. Jpn J Parasitol 42: 422–428.
- Choi S, Cho J, Jung B-K, Kim D-G, Jeon S, et al. (2015) *Diphyllobothrium nihonkaiense*: wide egg size variation in 32 molecularly confirmed adult specimens from Korea. Parasitol Res 114: 2129–2134. doi: 10.1007/s00436-015-4401-7 PMID: 25758587
- 13. Fraser PG (1960) The form of the larval hooks as a means of separating species of *Diphyllobothrium*. J Helminthol 34: 73–80.
- Hilliard DK (1960) Studies on the helminth fauna of Alaska. XXXVIII. The taxonomic significance of eggs and coracidia of some diphyllobothriid cestodes. J Parasitol 46: 703–715. PMID: <u>13714326</u>
- Vik R (1964) The genus *Diphyllobothrium*. An example of the interdependence of systematics and experimental biology. Exp Parasitol 15: 361–380. PMID: <u>14201367</u>
- Yazaki S (1982) Studies on three dimentional features of embryonic hooks in some species of diphyllobothriids, with special reference to taxonomic significance. Jpn J Parasitol 31: 435–446. (In Japanese.)
- Hernández-Orts JS, Scholz T, Brabec J, Kuzmina T, Kuchta R (2015) High morphological plasticity and global geographical distribution of the Pacific broad tapeworm *Adenocephalus pacificus* (syn. *Diphyllobothrium pacificum*): Molecular and morphological survey. Acta Trop 149: 168–178. doi: <u>10.1016/j.</u> <u>actatropica.2015.05.017</u> PMID: <u>26001974</u>

- Maltsev VN, Gavrilova NA (1994) Variation of morphological characters within different parts of the strobila in cestodan genus *Diphyllobothrium* (Cestoda, Diphyllobothriidae). Zool Zh 1994: 59–62. (In Russian.)
- Kuchta R, Caira JN (2010) Three new species of *Echinobothrium* (Cestoda: Diphyllidea) from Indo-Pacific stingrays of the genus *Pastinachus* (Rajiformes: Dasyatidae). Folia Parasitol 57: 185–196. PMID: <u>20941910</u>
- **20.** Maejima J, Yazaki S, Fukumoto S (1983) Morphological comparison of eggs between marine species and freshwater species in diphyllobothriid cestodes. Jpn J Parasitol 32: 27–42. (In Japanese.)
- Chai JY, Murrell KD, Lymbery AJ (2005) Fish-borne parasitic zoonoses: Status and issues. Int J Parasitol 35: 1233–1254. PMID: <u>16143336</u>
- Kuchta R, Esteban J-G, Brabec J, Scholz T (2014) Misidentification of *Diphyllobothrium* species related to global fish trade, Europe. Emerg Inf Dis 20: 1955–1957.
- **23.** Kuhlow F (1953) Über die Entwicklung und Anatomie von *Diphyllobothrium dendriticum*, Nitzsch 1824. Z Parasitenkd 16: 1–35. PMID: <u>13137365</u>
- 24. Torres P, Torres J, Garrido O, Thibaut J (1989) Investigaciones sobre Pseudophyllidea (Carus, 1813) en el sur de Chile. X. Observaciones experimentales sobre la coexistencia de plerocercoides de Diphyllobothrium latum (L.) y Diphyllobothrium dendriticum (Nitszch) en salmónidos de la cuenca del río Valdivia. Arch Med Vet 21: 51–57.
- 25. Maejima J, Yazaki S, Fukumoto S (1991) Comparative studies on egg-sizes and -forms of various *Diphyllobothrium* species from man in Japan. Jpn J Parasitol 40: 170–176. (In Japanese.)
- 26. Magath T (1919) The eggs of Diphyllobothrium latum. J Am Med Assoc 73: 85-87.
- Kim H-J, Eom KS, Seo M (2014) Three cases of *Diphyllobothrium nihonkaiense* infection in Korea. Kor J Parasitol 52: 673–676.
- 28. Yazaki S, Fukumoto S, Maejima J, Miyahara M (1990) Comparative observations of *Diphyllobothrium* pacificum from a man and from fur seals. J Yonago Med Ass 41: 204–210. (In Japanese.)
- Hilliard DK (1972) Studies on the helminth fauna of Alaska. LI. Observations on eggshell formation in some diphyllobothriid cestodes. Can J Zool 50: 585–592. PMID: <u>5063640</u>
- Yamane Y, Seki R, Okada N (1976) Comparative observation on surface topography of teguments and egg shells of diphyllobothriid cestodes by scanning electron microscopy. Yonago Acta Med 20: 55–65. PMID: 1025934
- Wicht B, Yanagida T, Scholz T, Ito A, Jiménez JA, et al. (2010) Multiplex PCR for differential identification of broad tapeworms (Cestoda: *Diphyllobothrium*) infecting humans. J Clin Microbiol 48: 3111– 3116. doi: <u>10.1128/JCM.00445-10</u> PMID: <u>20592146</u>