

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

## Data on horizontally transferred genes in California two-spot octopus, *Octopus bimaculoides*



### Liu Conghui<sup>\*</sup>, Liu Bo, Zhang Yan, Jiang Fan, Ren Yuwei, Li Shuqu, Wang Hengchao, Fan Wei<sup>\*</sup>

Agricultural Genomics Institute at ShenZhen, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Shenzhen 518124, China

### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 May 2018 Accepted 23 May 2018 Available online 1 June 2018

### ABSTRACT

Horizontal gene transfer (HGT), a mechanism that shares genetic material between the host and donor from separated offspring branches, has been described as a means of producing novel and beneficial phenotypes for the host organisms. In the present study, 12 HGT genes were identified from California two-spot octopus Octopus bimaculoides based on a similarity search, phylogenetic construction, gene composition analysis and PCR (Polymerase Chain Reaction) validation. The data collected from the HGT genes from octopus, indicating the phylogenetic incongruences, CodonW analysis, PCR products, detailed motifs and organisms used in screening. In phylogenetic screening, those genes were nested within bacteria homologs and identified as HGT genes transferred from the bacteria to the octopus. The motifs were similar in proteins of the horizontally acquired Zn-metalloproteinases, but differed to endogenous proteins. CodonW was employed to investigate the codon usage bias between HGT genes and other genes in the octopus genome. In PCR validation, all the HGT genes could be produced as amplified fragments. The results collectively indicated the existence of HGT in molluscs and its potential I contribution to the evolution of octopus with regards to functional innovation and adaptability.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2018.05.013

\* Corresponding authors.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.05.132

2352-3409/© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail addresses: liuconghui@caas.cn (L. Conghui), fanwei@caas.cn (F. Wei).

Subject area More specific subject area	Biology Bioinformatics, Evolutionary biology
Type of data	Table, text file, and figure
How data was acquired	The phylogenetic trees were constructed by MEGA. The motifs were analyzed from MEME. The CodonW result was produced by CodonW. The sequences of HGT genes were sequenced by Sanger method.
Data format	The organism list, PCR primers and sequences were Raw. The phyloge- netic trees, motifs and CodonW result were analyzed.
Experimental factors	33,638 protein-coding genes from Octopus bimaculoides, Protein sequences of 2774 bacteria, 26 protozoa, 50 fungi, 12 plants and 7 ver- tebrates were included for analysis
Experimental features	The HGT determination process was composed by three rounds of BLAST alignment and two rounds of phylogenetic analysis.
Data source location	All genomic sequences were collected from the NCBI and KEGG ftp site. Octopus bimaculoides for gene clone was collected from Shenzhen, Guangdong province, China.
Data accessibility	All the data are contained in this data article.
Related research article	Ancient Horizontally Transferred Genes in the Genome of California Two- Spot Octopus, Octopus bimaculoides (in press)

### Value of the data

- Molluscs are highly diverse and second only to arthropods in numbers, while the HGT studies are still insufficient. We report of the existence of HGT between bacteria and mollusc.
- 12 HGT genes were sifted out in the genome of octopus by the standard of phylogenetic incongruences, which were nested within bacteria homologs.
- PCR assay was performed to clone the cDNA fragments of HGT genes, validating the existence and expression of the HGT genes.
- The motifs were similar in proteins of the horizontally acquired Zn-metalloproteinases, but differed to endogenous proteins.

### 1. Data

Twelve HGT genes were validated as a result of three steps of BLAST search and two steps of phylogenetic analysis in 33,638 proteins of *O. bimaculoides*, which were aligned against the protein sequences of 2774 bacteria from NCBI (Supplementary Table 1), 26 protozoa, 50 fungi, 12 plants and 7 vertebrates from KEGG (Table 1). XP\_014767445.1 (ZnMP1), XP\_014774680.1 (ZnMP2), XP\_014776931.1 (ZnMP3), XP\_014776937.1 (ZnMP4), XP\_014781458.1 (ZnMP5), XP\_014782361.1 (ZnMP6), XP\_014783435.1 (ZnMP7), XP\_014779995.1 (ACS), XP\_014783568.1 (D-AL), XP\_014784751.1 (PGS), XP\_014788506.1 (SRL) and XP\_014790670.1 (UCP) were sifted out by the standard of phylogenetic incongruences, which were nested within bacteria homologs other than the vertebrate homologs. The phylogenetic trees were demonstrated in Figs. 1–5.

CodonW was employed to investigate the codon usage bias among the HGT genes, conding genes in the genome of *O. bimaculoides* and donor bacteria. Supplementary Table 3 indicated the PCA details in the CodonW analysis.

To validate the existence and expression of the HGT genes, PCR assay was performed to clone the cDNA fragments of twelve sifted HGT genes, with the cDNA synthesised from the hepatopancreas mRNA of octopus used as a template and primers in Table 2. The specificity of PCR results was evaluated with agarose gel electrophoresis with ethidium bromide (EB) staining. Following this, after being extracted from agarose gel, the PCR products were sequenced to further validate the expression of the HGT genes (Table 3).

### Table 1

Eukaryote genome sequences used in this study.

fugu (50)	plant (12)	vertebrate (7)	protozoa (26)
Zygosaccharomyces_rouxii	Zea mays (maize)	Xenopus (Silurana) tropicalis	Tetrahymena_thermophila
Yarrowia_lipolytica	Thalassiosira_pseudonana	Takifugu rubripes	Theileria_annulata
Vitis vinifera (wine grape)	Sorghum bicolor (sorghum)	Taeniopygia_guttata	Theileria_parva
Vanderwaltozyma_polyspora	Ricinus communis (castor bean)	Oryzias latipes	Toxoplasma_gondii
Ustilago_maydis	Populus trichocarpa (black cottonwood)	Gallus_gallus	Trichomonas_vaginalis
Uncinocarpus_reesii	Physcomitrella patens subsp. patens	Danio rerio	Trypanosoma_brucei
Sclerotinia_sclerotiorum	Phaeodactylum_tricornutum	Anolis carolinensis	Trypanosoma_cruzi
Saccharomyces_cerevisiae	Oryza brachyantha (malo sina)		Plasmodium_berghei
Saccharomyces mikatae	Oryza sativa japonica (Japanese ri	ce)	Plasmodium_chabaudi
Saccharomyces paradoxus	Cyanidioschyzon merolae		plasmodium_falciparum_3d7
Scheffersomyces stipitis	Chlamydomonas reinhardtii		plasmodium_falciparum_dd2
Schizosaccharomyces pombe	Arabidopsis thaliana (thale cress)		plasmodium_falciparum_hb3
Postia_placenta			Plasmodium_knowlesi
Podospora_anserina			Plasmodium_vivax
Pichia guilliermondii			Plasmodium_yoelii
Phanerochaete_carnosa			Paramecium_tetraurelia
Phanerochaete chrysosporiun	n		Monosiga_brevicollis
Penicillium_rubens			Leishmania_braziliensis
Ostreococcus lucimarinus			Leishmania_infantum
Ostreococcus tauri			Leishmania_major
Neosartorya_fischeri			Giardia_intestinalis
Neurospora_crassa			Entamoeba_dispar
Lodderomyces_elongisporus			Entamoeba_histolytica
Magnaporthe_oryzae			Encephalitozoon_cuniculi
Malassezia_globosa			Cryptosporidium_hominis
Moniliophthora_perniciosa			Cryptosporidium_parvum
Kluyveromyces_lactis			Babesia_bovis
Kluyveromyces waltii			
Komagataella pastoris GS115			
Laccaria_bicolor			
Lachancea_thermotolerans			
Fusarium_graminearum			
Dictyostelium_discoideum			
Cruptococcus			
Cryptococcus_gattii			
Cossidioidos immitis			
Clavispora lusitaniae			
Candida albicans			
Candida dubliniensis			
Candida glabrata			
Candida tropicalis			
Ashbya gossynii			
Aspergillus clavatus			
Aspergillus flavus			
Aspergillus_fumigatus			
Aspergillus_nidulans			
Aspergillus_niger			
Aspergillus_oryzae			
Botryotinia fuckeliana			



Fig. 1. Phylogenetic analysis of the octopus ZnMPs and their homologues.



Fig. 2. Phylogenetic analysis of the octopus D-AL (XP\_014779995.1) and their homologues.



Fig. 3. Phylogenetic analysis of the octopus PGS (XP\_014784751.1) and their homologues.



Fig. 4. Phylogenetic analysis of the octopus SRL (XP\_014788506.1) and their homologues.



Fig. 5. Phylogenetic analysis of the octopus UCP (XP\_014790670.1) and their homologues.

Table 2				
Primers	used	in	this	study.

Gene name	Product Length (bp)	Primer sequence (5'-3')
XP_014767445.1	248	F: TGCCTAATGAGGAAGAACAAGTC R: TGCCTAATGAGGAAGAACAAGTC
XP_014774680.1	544	F: ACGGATTCAGCACCAAGC R: CATTGCGGAAATAGCAGTCT
XP_014776931.1	291	F: AACCCGTCCTTAGACAAAGTG R: GCATGGTTTAATTCCCACAA
XP_014776937.1	316	F: ATATTGCGTGTTCATTACGGG R: TGTCCAAGGATGGGTCTGC
XP_014779995.1	263	F: CTTGACTGTCGTCCCTTGC R: GGATTCTGCTAAAAGCACCC
XP_014781458.1	293	F: AAACGCATTTTGGGATGG R: CAGATTCATTGTCTAAGGAGGG
XP_014782361.1	332	F: GGTTTGGCAAGCGGTTTT R: CCAGTGAGTAAATGGTCAGCAA
XP_014783435.1	260	F: CCAGTGAGTAAATGGTCAGCAA R: TTCTTCAGGGACCGCACTT
XP_014783568.1	274	F: GGCTGGAACTGAGAAAACCC R: CCAGTATGACCCCACGAACA
XP_014784751.1	489	F: CCTTGGATGTTGGTGGCA R: GCTTGGTTGGGATCGTTCTT
XP_014788506.1	509	F: ATCATTCAAGATACGCAACGC R: CCATACCGGAAGAAAGAGACA
XP_014790670.1	331	F: GTTCCCTTTCTACACGGCATT R: GGCTTTCTCAGCTTTCTTTCG

Motif search was employed to compare the motif locations between the HGT and endogenous genes. In the HGT and endogenous Zn-metalloproteinases, 7 types of motif were detected (Fig. 6). The motifs were similar in proteins of the horizontally acquired Zn-metalloproteinases, but differed to endogenous proteins.

### 2. Experimental design, materials, and methods

### 2.1. Determination of HGT Based on BLAST Search and Phylogenetic Analysis

*O. bimaculoides* genome sequences were downloaded from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI, v2\_0 version, GCA\_001194135.1) and 33,638 protein-coding genes were employed in the present study [1]. The bacteria sequences of 2,774 species were also collected from the NCBI ftp site. Additionally, genome sequences of 26 protozoa, 50 fungi, 12 plants and 7 vertebrates were downloaded from the Kyoto encyclopedia of genes and genomes (KEGG, www.genome.jp/kegg/) database. It was composed by three rounds of BLAST alignment and two rounds of phylogenetic analysis. The HGT determination process was performed according to previous reports with modifications [2]. The BLASTP search was performed to detect similar protein sequences between *O. bimaculoides* and the local database constructed by bacteria with an E value  $\leq 10^{-30}$ , coverage value  $\geq 25\%$  and identity value  $\geq 25\%$ . Following this, the BLASTP program with the same threshold was employed to estimate the distribution spectrum of sifted similar genes in 26 protozoa, 50 fungi, 12 plants and 7 vertebrates. The candidate genes with similar genes from 2 or more species were rejected. Following this, the sifted genes were adopted to BLASTP research against NCBI nonredundant (NR) protein database with an E value  $\leq 10^{-3}$ , coverage value  $\geq 30\%$  and identity value  $\geq 30\%$ . Phylogenetic analysis was composed with two steps. We used MUSCLE 3.8.31 (http://www.

# Table 3Detailed nuclear sequences content of HGT genes validated by PCR.

ID	Sequence
XP_014767445.1	TGCCTAATGAGGAAGAACAACTCTATCACGTCAACTGCAAAGATGGTACGAAAGATGAAGCGAAGGGAGCTTATTCTCCGATAAATGATGCTCTTTTCTATGGCAACATCATCTACAGTA TGTGCATGGAATGGCTGAAAGCTCCTCCGAAAAAGGAGTTGCCTATGGTCTTCCGTGTGCACTATAGTAACGATGTGGTGAATGCTTTTTACAATGGCAGAAACTTTACGTTTGGTGA TGGAGACTGG
XP_014774680.1	ACGGATTCGGCACCAAGCGTACAAGGAACAAACATCAAAAAGTACCACGAAACTTATCGAGGACTTCCAATGTTTGATGCCAGCCTCACTGTGGAGACGGACG
XP_014776931.1	AACCCGTCCTTAGACAAAGTGTCTATAAGTCGTGTAGATAAATTCAATCAA
XP_014776937.1	ATATTGCGTGTTCATTACGAGGAAAATTATGAAGATTCATACTGGAATGGGAAATATTGTACATTTGGTGATGGCCATACACGCTTTTATCCTTCAACAGACGCTGATGTTGTTGCACATG AATTTGCCCATGGTTTCACAGAACAACACTCTGGATTGATT
XP_014779995.1	CTTGACTGTCGTCGCTTGCCCTGCTTCGTAGCTTACTTTTCCTTTCATATTTTTTGACCAAAAATAGTTAATGAAAAAGAATTGATAAAAAATGTAAAAAA
XP_014781458.1	ATGAAAATTTTGGGATGGGGAATATTGCTCATTTGGTGATGGCGATACAAACGTTTATCCTCTTGTAGTTGCAGATGCAATTGGACATGAACTTGCTCATGGCTTCACAGAACAAC ACTCTGGATTGCTTTACAAAGACCAGTTTGGATCCATAAATGAGGCGTTTTCTGATATAACAGGAGAAGTCACTGAAGCTTATATGAGTGAG
XP_014782361.1	GGTTTGGCAAGCGGTTTTGCTATCCACGGACTGAGTATCTATC
XP_014783435.1	TAAGCAGACGGAAAGGATGGGGTACGAAAAAGGTTTTGAAGACTGCAGCTCATTCCAATCGTTTCTACTGGCATCCGTCAACTACTTTCGTTGAGGCCGCTTGTGACTTCATGAAG TCCGCTTATGACTCTGGGATATGACACTAAACCTGTAGAGAGAG
XP_014783568.1	GGCTGGAACTGAGAAAACCCCAAACTTTCCAAGGATGGACCCTTCCACATTCGAGTCTTGTACAAATGGATGG
XP_014784751.1	CCTTGGATGTTGGTGGCAACTTGAACCGGGAATGACTTGGCAATGGCAGTTGACTAGTCCGCTGGATCTTACCTATGAAGTAGAGATGTATGATGTAGATCTATACGACA CGTATGAACCGCAGTTCGACTACCTTAAAAAGTCACACATTCTGGTAGTCTGCTACATATCAGTCGGAACTTGGGAAAATTGGCGTCCAGATGCTCACAGTTTTCCAAA CTCTACTCTA

1283

Table 3 (continued)

ID	Sequence
XP_014788506.1	ATCATTCAAGATACGCAACGCTTTTTGTAGCTTCAAAAACCTTCCTCCGAATACCAAAGCTGTGTACACTGTAAGCACGGGTAACTTTGCTCGTGCCGTCGCATGGTTCGGCAGAAG AAACAACATCAAATGTACAATCCTAGTTCCAGATCATGCACCAAAATGCAAAACAGCCGCTGTTGAAAAGTTAGGTGCTGGCATAGTAAGGATTGAACATAAAGAATGGTTTGAAATCAT AGCTGGTCGCAAGACTTAAGAGAAAGGAGAAAACCTTGGTGTTTACATCCAGAATTTGATAGGAATGTTCTCGCAGGTATTTCCAC ATATCCTCTTTGTTGTTGTTGTGGCTGCTGCTGTTGTTGTTGT
XP_014790670.1	GTTCCCTTTCTACACGGCATTTGTTGAGGGTTGGGGGCCTATACTCGGAGTTCCTTGGAGAAGAAATGGGGATGTACAAAACCGATTATGACCGGATCGGTTCGTTTTGCATTTGAGCTTTT GCGAGCTCATCGTCTGTTCATTGATACAGGAATCCATGCAAAACAAATGACTCGGCAGCATGGAATCGATCTCCTGACAAACCACGCCTCAGTGAGAAAACAAGCATCAATTGAGGTT GACCGCTACATTACCATCCCGGGTCAGGCCTGTGCTTATAAATTCGGAGAACTGAGGAGATCTAGAACTGCGAAAAGAAAG



Fig. 6. Detailed motif introduction of HGT ZnMPs.

drive5.com/muscle/) and FastTree (http://www.microbesonline.org/fasttree/) in the first step to construct a Maximum likelihood (ML) tree. After this, CLUSTALX 2.0 (http://www.clustal.org) and MEGA 7.0 (http://www.mega.co.nz) were used based on genes selected in the first step for the NJ and ML trees reconstruction. The phylogenetic trees were select based on the phylogenetic topology patterns reported by Stanhope [3]. After the second tree construction analysis, octopus genes with explicit topologies of HGT type were considered as the candidate sequences.

### 2.2. Detection of codon usage bias

The correspondence analysis of codon usage bias was carried out to measure the degree of adaptation in the octopus HGT genes and the predicted bacteria donors. Codon usage analysis was performed using CodonW (http://codonw.sourceforge.net), and a primary orthogonal axis representing the greatest variation within the data was employed in the correspondence analysis.

### 2.3. PCR validation of HGT genes

Adult octopuses were collected from a local market in Shenzhen, Guangdong Province, China, and maintained in aerated fresh seawater at  $20 \pm 2$  °C for a week before processing. Before sampling, the octopuses were washed by the sterile sea water and incubated in 75% alcohol for 1 min. Total RNA was isolated from octopus hepatopancreas using Trizol reagent (TaKaRa) following its protocol. The first strand cDNA synthesis was carried out based on Promega M -MLV RT Usage information using the DNase I (Promega)-treated total RNA as a template and oligo (dT)-adaptor as the primer. The reaction was performed at 42 °C for 1 h, terminated by heating at 95 °C for 5 min. The cDNA sequence fragments of HGT genes were cloned by PCR with primers. Following this, after detection by agarose gel electrophoresis, the PCR products were sequenced.

### 2.4. Zn-metalloproteinase family analysis

By Multiple EM for the Motif Elicitation (MEME) suite, the conserved motifs of the gene family were analyzed [4].

### Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Shenzhen Science and Technology Program (JCYJ20150630165133395), Agricultural Science and Technology Innovation Program (ASTIP) of Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), National Key Research and Development Program of China (2016YFC1200600), and Fund of Key Laboratory of Shenzhen (ZDSYS20141118170111640).

### Transparency document. Supplementary material

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.05.132.

### Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2018.05.132.

### References

- C.B. Albertin, O. Simakov, T. Mitros, Z.Y. Wang, J.R. Pungor, E. Edsinger-Gonzales, S. Brenner, C.W. Ragsdale, D.S. Rokhsar, The octopus genome and the evolution of cephalopod neural and morphological novelties, Nature 524 (2015) 220–224.
- [2] Z.W. Li, Y.H. Shen, Z.H. Xiang, Z. Zhang, Pathogen-origin horizontally transferred genes contribute to the evolution of Lepidopteran insects, BMC Evolut. Biol. 11 (2011) 356.
- [3] M.J. Stanhope, A. Lupas, M.J. Italia, K.K. Koretke, C. Volker, J.R. Brown, Phylogenetic analyses do not support horizontal gene transfers from bacteria to vertebrates, Nature 411 (2001) 940–944.
- [4] (a) T.L. Bailey, M. Boden, T. Whitington, P. Machanick, The value of position-specific priors in motif discovery using MEME, BMC Bioinform 11 (2010) (179–179);
  - (b) C.H. Liu, B. Liu, Y. Zhang, F. Jiang, Y.W. Ren, S.Q. Li, H.C. Wang, W. Fan, Ancient horizontally transferred genes in the genome of California two-spot octopus, Octopus bimaculoides, Gene (2018) (associated research article).