



Review

Night shift work and breast cancer risk – 2023 update of epidemiologic evidence



Johnni Hansen*, Julie Elbæk Pedersen

Danish Cancer Institute, Danish Cancer Society, Strandboulevarden 49, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Breast cancer
Night work
Review
IARC
NTP, epidemiology

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Night shift work is a complex and frequent occupational exposure, and breast cancer stands as the most prevalent cancer in women. The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) has twice classified night shift work as a probable breast carcinogen, with the latest classification in June 2019. Since that time, new epidemiologic data has emerged.

Methods: We searched PubMed for original articles based on cohort and case-control studies of “breast cancer and night shift work” published after the IARC evaluation in June 2019.

Results: In total six cohorts and four case-control studies were included in our review. Overall, we observed some support for associations between persistent (long duration or high frequency) night shift work and an increase in breast cancer risk, though most studies were relatively small and statistically under-powered. Moreover, the recent studies do not contribute further evidence regarding the interaction with menopausal status, diurnal preference, hormonal subtypes of breast cancer or gene-environment aspects, which were issues that were left from the IARC evaluation.

Conclusions: The available new results somewhat consolidate the epidemiological evidence from IARC’s 2019 evaluation, and do not provide further evidence regarding interaction of interest, e.g. menopausal status, etc. Therefore, long term follow-up of prospective cohorts or nested case-control studies, including precise exposure assessment and examinations of relevant interactions such as menopausal status, diurnal preference, hormonal subtypes of breast cancer and gene-environment aspects, are warranted. Meanwhile, protective measures for the night workers should be considered.

1. Introduction

Working during the night, i.e. late evening and after midnight, has become a common occupational exposure, comprising about 10–20 % of the total workforce in Europe and the US.¹ In some countries, this proportion seems to be further increasing.²

Typically, individual night workers rotate between working day, evening, night and morning shift in myriads of different combinations. In contrast, permanent night work, i.e., where an individual regularly works all shifts at night, is very rare.^{3,4} Typical sectors with night work include hospitals, police, firefighting, power stations and transportation, but also some industries where the production runs continuously for economic reasons.¹ Night work typically involves being awake during normal sleeping hours, obtaining fewer sleep hours during the day, experiencing sleep deprivation, mistimed eating patterns, and being exposed to artificial light during the normal dark period. Over longer periods, these factors may have a profound influence

on human physiology and health, potentially including carcinogenic effects.^{5–8}

The current major biological-based hypothesis for the link between night shift work and certain cancers involves disruption of the natural circadian rhythms.^{9–15} The pioneering work in understanding the biology of circadian clocks and rhythms was in 2017 awarded with the Nobel prize.¹⁶ Circadian rhythms are intrinsic, approximately 24 h periods, where primarily the eyes’ recognition of the normal dark-light cycle maintain the synchrony with the Earth’s natural 24-hours environment.¹⁷ The central circadian pacemaker is located in the suprachiasmatic nuclei (SCN) of the hypothalamus, which is linked with photosensitive ganglion cells of the retina (eyes). SCN orchestrates peripheral clocks throughout the entire body, primarily via pineal gland secretion of the hormone melatonin into the blood, which normally peaks during the dark night.^{18–20} Nightly exposure to light may disrupt normal biological timing at different organizational biological levels, ranging from molecular rhythms in individual cells to misalignment of behavior.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: johnni@cancer.dk (J. Hansen).<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jncc.2024.07.004>

Received 7 February 2024; Received in revised form 2 July 2024; Accepted 10 July 2024

2667-0054/© 2024 Chinese National Cancer Center. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

ioral cycles with environmental changes. This disruption may, in turn, influence biological processes related to cancer, such as cell proliferation, apoptosis and immune suppression.^{14,21–24} Another partly related hypothesis suggests that light-at-night suppresses nocturnal melatonin levels, which diminish the oncostatic properties of this hormone.^{15,25–28} This may lead to an increase in the circulating levels of estrogen, a key component in breast cancer development.^{15,26,29–31}

Since the first relatively crude hypothesis testing epidemiological study on “non-day time work” and breast cancer was published over 20 years ago,³² increasing research has elucidated links between night work and certain cancers, in particular breast cancer, the most frequent cancer in women in Western countries.³³

In 2007, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) under World Health Organization (WHO) classified “shift work, which involves circadian disruption” as probably carcinogenic to humans (IARC group 2A). This classification was based on limited evidence for breast cancer in humans, suggesting a credible causal interpretation, but the possibility of chance, bias, and confounding could not be ruled out with reasonable confidence. Thus, only eight epidemiologic studies on night shift-work and breast cancer were available for the 2007 evaluation.³⁴ The strongest evidence came from two independent prospective cohort studies on nurses from the US, showing an increased breast cancer risk after 20–30 years of rotating night shift work.^{35,36} Further, there was sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of light during the daily dark period (biological night).³⁴

In 2019, with the availability of several new studies, IARC re-evaluated “night shift work”, and once again classified this exposure as probably carcinogenic to humans.^{1,37} The evidence for human breast cancer was limited this time because bias could not be reasonably ruled out. However, the IARC working group found that chance and confounding were not key issues at this time. The most consistent epidemiologic evidence for the association between night shift work and breast cancer came from case-control studies rather than cohort studies.^{1,38} A pooled case-control study, based on five different studies conducted in Germany, Canada, Australia, France and Spain, with a harmonized exposure assessment and based on over 6000 breast cancer cases, was considered particularly informative by the IARC working group.³⁹ Unlike most other studies, the pooled study observed an increased risk for breast cancer primarily in premenopausal women rather than in postmenopausal women.³⁹ This finding was not fully supported by updated data from the Nurses Health studies, which are among the most influential cohorts.⁴⁰ It has been argued that the superior informativeness from case-control studies was due to more precise exposure assessments than in the cohort studies.³⁸ Thus, most case-control studies provided information on the intensity of night shift work, such as the number of night shifts during a week, month or year, instead of only duration (years) of night shift work without such qualifying information, which was the case in almost all cohort studies.

Furthermore, many cohort studies were limited by short follow-up time for cancer and lack of exposure history after baseline.²⁰ In addition, there was sufficient evidence in experimental animals for the carcinogenicity of alteration in the light–dark schedule.¹ Finally, the 2019 IARC working group found strong evidence in experimental systems where alteration in the light–dark schedule exhibited certain key characteristics of carcinogens, i.e., evidence of effects consistent with immunosuppression, chronic inflammation, and cell proliferation. The working group also considered hormonal subgroups of breast cancer, e.g., estrogen receptors, chronotype (morning or night preference), and gene–environment interactions, but did not find consistency in results and thus no noteworthy support for such potential modifiers.¹

Parallely with the latest IARC evaluation, the US National Toxicology Program (NTP) concluded in a comprehensive review based on epidemiologic studies, animal experiments and mechanistic studies, that there was high confidence that persistent night shift work that results in circadian disruption can cause breast cancer.⁴¹

The present review aims to give an overview of epidemiological studies on breast cancer incidence in women published after the IARC evaluation in 2019 and until the end of 2023, as well as identifying knowledge gaps, and give suggestions for future research.

2. Materials and methods

We searched PubMed for original articles on “breast cancer and night shift work” published after June 2019. Likewise in the recent IARC evaluation,³⁷ studies based on breast cancer mortality⁴² or cross-sectional studies,⁴³ and night works assesses by use of Job Exposure Matrices were not considered.⁴² Due to the relatively small number of available articles and the different definition of night work, results are not suitable as a basis for meta-analysis as recommended by the IARC working group.¹

3. Results

Numerous small to middle-sized studies on night shift work have been published after the IARC evaluation in 2019. Results for cohorts, including one nested case-control study is shown in Table 1,^{44–47} whereas Table 2 shows results for four case-control studies^{48–53} of which one study has three publications.^{50–52} Most studies used different definitions of night work. The number of breast cancer cases with night shift work ranged from 33 to 914 across these studies. All studies, except one,⁴⁹ provided information on duration of night shift work with a tendency of increasing risk by increasing duration. Only three studies provided information on intensity (night shifts per week, month or year) of night shift work.^{48,52,53} Four studies stratified the results by menopausal status,^{45,47,50,54} and three of the studies tended to observe the highest risk in post-menopausal women or women over 50 years old used as proxy 0.^{47,50,55} Finally, only one study presented results based on the direction (forward and backward) of shifts and indicated, though based on few cases, a higher breast cancer risk of the more disruptive backward shifts compared with normal forward shifts,⁵⁰ which is in line with observations of highest sleep deprivation in that group.⁵⁵ One study provided results stratified by hormonal subtypes for breast cancer and did not show notable differences between subtypes, however, based on small numbers.⁴⁸ One study had information on diurnal preference (morning or evening chronotype) and did not report notable difference in risk.⁴⁶ Only a relatively few new studies have since the most recent IARC evaluation investigated gene–environment interactions in relation to night work. Overall, results from such studies are supportive of interactions with the network of metabolic changes, circadian regulation, including melatonin signaling and biosynthesis, sleep and sex hormone changes,^{53,56–59} but still lack harmonization of methods and outcome as concluded from the IARC working group.³⁷

4. Discussion

In total, results of ten epidemiological studies have been published after the most recent IARC evaluation from 2019, which comprised 26 epidemiologic studies of breast cancer. Thus, this is slightly more epidemiological studies than the eight studies totally available for the first IARC evaluation in 2007.³⁴ Overall, despite most studies are under powered, subsequent results somewhat support the association between persistent (long term of high intensity) night shift work and an increase in breast cancer risk, though no clear dose–response relations are provided. Thus, available new results present to some extent a consolidation rather than a significant improvement of the 2019 epidemiologic evidence. Further, the new studies do not contribute notable additional insights into the questions regarding interaction with menopausal status, diurnal preference, hormonal subtypes of breast cancer or gene–environment elements.

Harmonization of the definition of night work is important, as emphasized by an IARC working group on this specific topic just after

Table 1
Cohort studies on night work and breast cancer risk published after the IARC (Vol 124) evaluation in 2019.

Authors, year, country	Study design (period)	NSW definition	Night shift work metrics		
			Exposure categories	No. of cases	Relative risk (95 % CI), max. adjusted
Marina R. Sweeney et al., 2020, Canada	The Sister Study Cohort is a large cohort of women never diagnosed with breast cancer but who had a sister (full or half) diagnosed with breast cancer (2003–2009). Information on exposures. Exposure information was obtained from computer assisted telephone interview, home visit and self-administered questionnaire.	Worked at night (≥ 1 hour between 12:00–2:00 AM) in current job (baseline) and past jobs held ≥ 2 years	No NSW	2937	Reference
			Ever rotating NSW	160	1.08 (0.92–1.27)
			> 0–5 years	88	1.30 (1.05–1.61)
			> 5–10 years	30	0.81 (0.57–1.16)
			> 10 years	42	0.96 (0.71–1.31)
			Ever any NSW	1949	Reference
			> 0–5 years	914	1.12 (1.00–1.26)
			> 5–10 years	230	1.04 (0.90–1.19)
			> 10 years	350	0.92 (0.82–1.03)
			Premenopausal		
			Never rotating NSW	507	Reference
			Ever rotating NSW	23	0.92 (0.61–1.40)
			> 0–5 years	10	1.53 (0.97–2.42)
			> 5 years	< 5	ND
			Post menopausal		
Jessica McNeil et al., 2020, Canada	Alberta's Tomorrow Project Cohort (2004, 2008)	Years of work a schedule that included day or evening work that rotated with night work in the same month. NSW: Years working straight night work	Never any NSW	2419	Reference
			Ever any NSW	134	1.09 (0.92–1.30)
			> 0–5 years	66	1.20 (0.94–1.53)
			> 5 years	68	1.01 (0.79–1.28)
			Never straight night	324	Reference
			Ever straight night	42	0.88 (0.64–1.23)
			2 years latency adjustment		
			Never straight night	263	Reference
			Ever straight	34	0.87 (0.61–1.25)
			Never worked rotating shifts	258	Reference
			0.1–5.9 years	64	0.92 (0.70–1.21)
			≥ 6 years	45	1.02 (0.74–1.41)
			2 years latency adjustment		
			Never rotating shifts	213	Reference
			0.1–5.9 years rotating shifts	51	0.89 (0.65–1.21)
			≥ 6 years of rotating shifts	34	0.94 (0.65–1.86)

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Authors, year, country	Study design (period)	NSW definition	Night shift work metrics		
			Exposure categories	No. of cases	Relative risk (95 % CI), max. adjusted
Mikko Härmä et al., 2022, Finland	Cohort study based on the Finnish Public Sector (FPS) study (2000–2016). Information on exposure obtained from self-administered questionnaires	Shift workers with night work (≥ 3 h between 22:00 and 06:00) and permanent night work.	Follow-up < 10 years		
			Day work	673	Reference
			Shift work with nights	128	1.19 (0.94–1.39)
			Follow-up ≥ 10 years		
			Day work	123	Reference
			Shift work with nights	34	1.22 (0.80–1.85)
			Follow-up < 10 years, < 50 years old		
			Day work	362	Reference
			Shift work with nights	81	1.26 (0.97–1.64)
			Follow-up ≥ 10 years, < 50 years old		
			Day work	83	Reference
			Shift work with nights	19	0.91 (0.53–1.56)
			Follow-up < 10 years, ≥ 50 years old		
			Day work	311	Reference
			Shift work with nights	47	1.17 (0.84–1.63)
			Follow-up ≥ 10 years, ≥ 50 years old		
			Day work	40	Reference
			Shift work with nights	15	2.05 (1.04–4.01)
			Hospital sub-cohort ($n = 20,763$)		
			Follow-up < 10 years		
			Day work always	10	Reference
			Shift work at night at baseline	30	1.36 (0.63–2.97)
			NSW 5–9 years	4	0.69 (0.20–2.41)
			NSW 10–14 years	7	1.48 (0.52–4.15)
			NSW 15+ years	19	1.65 (0.72–3.81)
			Follow-up ≥ 10 years		
			Day work always	25	Reference
			NSW at baseline	196	0.68 (0.41–1.12)
			NSW 5–9 years	8	0.37 (0.16–0.88)
			NSW 10–14 years	10	0.60 (0.31–1.17)
			NSW 15+ years	168	0.72 (0.44–1.19)

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Authors, year, country	Study design (period)	NSW definition	Night shift work metrics		
			Exposure categories	No. of cases	Relative risk (95 % CI), max. adjusted
Fei Chin Liu et al., 2022, Norway	Prospective case-cohort study within the Norwegian Offshore Petroleum Workers Cohort (1997–2017). This study also provided results based on breast cancer subtypes, but based on very few cases (not shown).	NSW: 14 consecutive days of night time work usually between 19:00 and 07:00. Rollover: 7 consecutive days of day work (07:00 to 19:00) followed by 7 consecutive days of night time work usually between 19:00 and 07:00.	Day work only	29	Reference
			Night/rollover shifts	20	1.06 (0.57–1.97)
			Night/rollover shifts < 1–6 years	6	0.72 (0.28–1.86)
			Night/rollover shifts ≥ 6 years	14	1.34 (0.67–2.72)
Eva Schernhammer et al., 2023, Finland	Prospective population based cohort of Finnish twins (1990–2018).	Self-reported (1990) based on current or latest work type. Rotating-shift work: rotating through morning, evening, of night shifts in either a two-shift or three-shift pattern	Day work only	325	Reference
			3-shifts or night only	49	1.58 (1.16–2.15)
			Morning chronotypes Day work only	182	Reference
			3-shifts or night only	24	1.46 (0.93–2.28)
Per Gustavsson et al., 2023, Sweden	Prospective cohort of health care workers based on registry data from Stockholm, excluding physicians (2008–2016)	NSW: ≥ 3 h between 22:00 and 06:00 h. Register based information on working time.	Evening chronotypes Day work only	140	Reference
			3-shifts or night only	22	1.56 (0.99–2.46)
			No night work	216	Reference
			Night work ever	83	0.96 (0.74–1.23)
			1–3 years night work	57	1.08 (0.80–1.44)
			4–7 years night work	21	0.65 (0.40–1.00)
			8+ years night work	5	2.80 (0.96–6.52)
			Premenopausal Night work ever	108	Reference
			1–3 years night work	29	0.81 (0.52–1.20)
			4–7 years night work	10	0.57 (0.27–1.05)
			8+ years night work	0	(0.00–3.07)
			Postmenopausal Night work ever	108	Reference
			1–3 years night work	28	1.59 (1.02–2.38)
			4–7 years night work	11	0.74 (0.37–1.33)
			8+ years night work	5	4.33 (1.45–10.57)

Abbreviation: NSW, night shift work.

Table 2

Case-control studies on night work and breast cancer risk published after the IARC (Vol 124) evaluation in 2019.

Study, year, country	Study design (period)	NSW definition	NSW metrics		
			Exposure categories	No. of cases	Relative risk (95 % CI), max. adjusted
Thu-Thi Pham et al., 2019, Korea	Case-control study from the Breast Cancer Center or Health Examination Center at the National Cancer Center. Information on exposures obtained through face-to-face interviews. (February 2012 to January 2018)	Ever having worked night shifts regularly between 9:00pm and 8:00am for at least 2 months lifetime	Never NSW	1539	Reference
			Ever	182	1.10 (0.89–1.40)
			Age at starting NSW		
			≤30 years	96	1.08 (0.80–1.49)
			>30 years	82	1.16 (0.83–1.63)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	145	1.05 (0.83–1.36)
			>10 years	35	1.44 (0.82–2.55)
			Number of days per week		
			1–5	88	1.13 (0.82–1.57)
			>5	78	1.16 (0.83–1.66)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	94	1.08 (0.80–1.49)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	63	1.09 (0.76–1.59)
			>35,000 h	23	1.30 (0.66–2.58)
			Pre-menopausal breast cancer		
			Never NSW	855	Reference
			Ever	99	1.18 (0.85–1.65)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	80	1.17 (0.81–1.68)
			>10 years	19	1.47 (0.67–3.25)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	56	1.09 (0.71–1.66)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	51	1.23 (0.70–2.17)
			>35,000 h	10	2.92 (0.78–10.76)
			Post-menopausal breast cancer		
			Never NSW	640	Reference
			Ever	81	1.16 (0.81–1.64)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	64	1.05 (0.71–1.53)
			>10 years	15	1.79 (0.72–4.47)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	38	1.05 (0.65–1.71)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	30	1.16 (0.67–2.02)
			>35,000 h	11	1.37 (0.50–3.73)
			Luminal A breast cancer		
			Never NSW	771	Reference
			Ever	89	1.09 (0.82–1.45)
			Age at starting NSW		
			≤30 years	50	1.16 (0.80–1.69)
			>30 years	38	1.08 (0.71–1.63)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	74	1.08 (0.80–1.47)
			>10 years	15	1.29 (0.64–2.61)
			Number of days per week		
			1–5	44	1.16 (0.78–1.72)
			>5	33	0.98 (0.63–1.54)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	46	1.05 (0.72–1.54)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	32	1.15 (0.73–1.81)
			>35,000 h	11	1.32 (0.57–3.03)
			Luminal B breast cancer		
			Never NSW	116	Reference
			Ever	18	1.36 (0.79–2.33)
			Age at starting NSW		
			≤30 years	5	0.81 (0.32–2.10)
			>30 years	12	1.86 (0.96–3.61)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	14	1.27 (0.70–2.30)
			>10 years	3	1.65 (0.45–5.98)
			Number of days per week		
			1–5	4	0.67 (0.24–1.91)
			>5	13	2.42 (1.25–4.69)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	8	1.20 (0.56–2.58)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	7	1.44 (0.63–3.31)
			>35,000 h	2	1.48 (0.31–7.05)
			HER2 breast cancer		
			Never NSW	169	Reference
			Ever	19	0.98 (0.58–1.16)

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

Study, year, country	Study design (period)	NSW definition	NSW metrics		
			Exposure categories	No. of cases	Relative risk (95 % CI), max. adjusted
Lilia Patricia Bustamante-Montes, 2019, Mexico	Case-control study from Instituto de Seguridad Social del Estado de México y Municipios Cancer Center. Information on exposures obtained by personal interview (Not available).	Working any of the hours from 9pm to 7am for at least one year.	Age at starting NSW		
			≤30 years	9	0.96 (0.46–1.99)
			>30 years	9	1.00 (0.48–2.08)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	15	0.92 (0.52–1.63)
			>10 years	4	1.60 (0.52–4.96)
			Number of days per week		
			1–5	7	0.89 (0.39–2.00)
			>5	11	1.24 (0.62–2.48)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	8	0.82 (0.38–1.76)
			>10,000 to ≤35,000 h	8	1.16 (0.53–2.54)
			>35,000 h	3	1.36 (0.37–4.98)
			Tripel-negative breast cancer		
			Never NSW	309	Reference
			Ever	39	1.10 (0.74–1.62)
			Age at starting NSW		
			≤30 years	19	0.93 (0.54–1.60)
			>30 years	19	1.36 (0.79–2.36)
			Duration		
			≤10 years	24	1.03 (0.67–1.59)
			>10 years	14	1.20 (0.54–1.91)
			Number of days per week		
			1–5	25	1.44 (0.87–2.36)
			>5	14	1.02 (0.54–1.91)
			Lifetime cumulative frequency		
			≤10,000 h	23	1.29 (0.78–2.12)
			> 10,000 to ≤ 35,000 h	9	0.68 (0.32–1.43)
			>35,000 h	6	1.42 (0.50–4.05)
			No NSW	67	Reference
Marta Szkiela et al., 2020, Poland (# Marta Szkiela et al., 2021, Poland (□ Beata Świątkowska et al., 2023, Poland (!	Case-control study (2015–2019). Patients from patients of the Oncological Surgery Department and the Second Department of Oncological Surgery, Oncological Surgery Clinics of the Provincial Specialist Hospital M. Kopernik in Łódź; the surgery department of Poddebece Health Center SP. Z O. O.; and the Provincial Specialist Hospital M. Skłodowska-Curie in Zgierz. The control group were patients of the Provincial Specialist Hospital Maria Skłodowska-Curie in Zgierz, and clients of the club FruFitness Zgierz and the Adrianna stable in Aleksandrów Łódzk. Information was obtained from an anonymous and voluntary self-administered questionnaire.	Ever having worked evening/night, rotating or other types of shifts.	Ever	33	8.58 (2.19–33.8)
			Never NSW (#(□(!	310	Reference
			Ever NSW (#	168	2.20 (1.57–3.08)
			Consecutive night shifts (□		
			≤3	43	2.03* (1.23–2.10)
			>3	114	3.02* (3.34–4.34)
			Rotation (□		
			Forward	148	2.58* (1.88–1.03)
			Backward	10	3.31* (3.52–0.64)
			NSW before illness (□		
			≤10 years	8	1.06* (0.41–2.71)
			>10 years	154	2.91* (2.12–4.00)
			Duration of night work (□		
			1–9 years	19	1.48* (0.76–2.89)
			10–19 years	74	3.16* (2.02–4.92)
			20–29 years	44	1.91* (1.68–5.04)
			30–39 years	27	2.55* (1.32–4.95)
			Post menopausal women (!		
			Never NSW	31	Reference
			Ever NSW	80	2.65 (1.34–5.22)
Song, 2023, China	Case-control study based on cases from Department of Surgery, Cancer Hospital, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences. Controls were diagnosed with benign tumors at the same department and period (July 2011–December 2012)	Night work: Ever work between midnight and 5am for more than one year	<5 years NSW	30	2.11 (0.98–4.56)
			≥5 years NSW	25	3.43 (1.54–7.67)
			<6 times NSW / month	24	2.73 (1.15–6.52)
			≥6 times NSW / month	31	2.60 (1.24–5.46)
			Never night work	374	Reference
			Ever night work	104	0.84 (0.60–1.17)
			Duration: <10 years night work	48	0.75 (0.49–1.14)
			≥10 years night work	41	0.96 (0.60–1.56)
			Frequency: <10 times / month	52	0.81 (0.54–1.22)
			≥10 times / month	36	0.90 (0.55–1.48)

* Unadjusted.

Abbreviations: IARC, International Agency for Research on Cancer ; NSW, night shift work.

the first evaluation in 2007.¹⁰ For example, when five individual case-control studies were pooled after the harmonization of night work definitions, there was a decline in the number of cases and controls,³⁹ but the results were more homogeneous than then when the results from each of the five studies were compared.^{60–64} The IARC recommendation has only been partially followed in recent studies, which may, together with low statistical power, have contributed, at least partly, to the observed heterogeneity of results from these studies.

Positive association of night work and breast cancer have especially been observed in previous case-control studies recently evaluated by IARC.^{1,38} A tendency of stronger associations in case-control studies than in cohort studies was also the case for the studies published after the IARC evaluation. A potential concern for past and recent case-control studies is the possibility of recall bias, i.e., breast cancer cases may recall night work differently than disease-free controls. A few studies have attempted to validate recall of night shift work in breast cancer studies. Härmä et al. compared self-reported working time with information from objective register data and found over 90 % sensitivity and specificity between self-reported shiftwork with night work.⁶⁵ Lizama et al. found that breast cancer patients more often than controls believed that shift work increased the risk of breast cancer, but this did not result in an evaluation of resulting misclassification.⁶⁶ Finally, Vestergaard et al. compared data from a Danish questionnaire with objective payroll-data and found that female breast cancer patients had slightly better recall of previous night shift work than controls. They observed that both breast cancer patients and controls recalled previous non-night work with low specificity.⁶⁷ If this can be generalized to other case-control studies of breast cancer and working time studies, this may result in a small overestimation of the relative breast cancer risk. Therefore, a risk of bias assessment shall be addressed in future retrospective assessment of night work in future studies, as suggested by e.g. Morgan et al.,⁶⁸ and a recent IARC working group addressing the specific topic of night shift work.⁶⁹ Studies that accumulate information on objective exact working time based on payroll data from public health care employees in e.g. Denmark,⁷⁰ Finland⁷¹ and Sweden,⁴⁷ as well as the American Manufacture Cohort,^{72,73} may be valuable for epidemiologic research of chronic diseases like cancer, when they in the future will capture near lifelong work history and sufficient follow-up time for chronic diseases. Furthermore, prospective cohorts specifically designed for investigating outcomes from night work, including detailed information on potential confounders, including e.g. reproductive factors, use of contraceptives, hormone replacement therapy may contribute significantly to the evidence. New avenues in night work and cancer research are meal timing and sleep habits, which may also qualify future studies.^{74–77} A prominent example of a new cohort with detailed exposure information is the ongoing Dutch Nightingale study,⁷⁸ which still needs further years of follow-up for breast cancer in order to provide meaningful epidemiological results.

Hispanic, Black and low-paid workers seem underrepresented in existing studies of night work and cancer.⁷² Focus on such groups should therefore be prioritized in future studies. Mistimed eating patterns, sleep deprivation and lack of sleep regularity are common effects of night-work and influence on the breast cancer risk needs further investigations.^{74,79–82} Additionally, more studies focusing on different mechanistic aspects of night work, such as changes in melatonin and steroid production, metabolic profiling, and cellular immune responses may help our future understanding.^{58,59,83} Further, there is a need for intervention studies to address short and long term physiological consequences of night work compared to day work.⁸⁴ Finally, no studies have so far addressed the issue that the increasing survival of breast cancer results in a higher proportion of patients that return to work, including night work, after treatment. Thus, it is currently unknown if these women will face an additional subsequent risk of cancer.⁸⁵

It has been estimated that 5–7 % of all breast cancer may be attributed to night shift work,^{2,86,87} assuming night work causes this disease. Since night work is necessary in many sectors in modern society,

detailed insight into eventual low or no-effect levels of night work are needed. For example, a study of Danish nurses that worked only 1–2 night shifts per week, regardless of the duration in years with night shifts, indicated that they posed the same breast cancer risk as nurses who had never worked night shifts.⁸⁸ Additionally, a study of Norwegian nurses indicated increasing breast cancer by increasing number of working consecutive nights.⁸⁹ Thus, similar studies are warranted in order to validate or refute results from these two Scandinavian studies. While awaiting more precise results to reduce potential health risks, recommendations on night shift schedules should be implemented, as recently suggested.^{90,91}

In conclusion, this review of all studies of night work and breast cancer incidence published after the IARC 2019 evaluation, present a weak consolidation of evidence, but without strong further clarification of the 2019 IARC evaluation of limited epidemiologic evidence. Night shift work is the most frequent occupational exposure with the potential to impact female breast cancer. Therefore, large, high-quality prospective cohorts with long duration of follow-up for breast cancer, including detailed assessment of working time for diverse types of workers and races, meal timing, sleep habits and different breast cancer subtypes, are still warranted to assess the extent of breast cancer carcinogenicity. Cohort studies may be replaced by well conducted case-control studies in order to speed-up the hunt for evidence.³⁸ Studies of survival of breast cancer cases with and without subsequent night work are also needed. Meanwhile, there is sufficient evidence for pursuing preventive initiatives for night workers, which may also reduce the risks for other health outcomes.^{90,91}

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Author contributions

J.H. conceived and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. Together with J.E.P. he interpreted the data and wrote the final version. Both authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

References

1. (IARC) IAFRoC. *Night Shift Work*. Vol 124. Lyon, France; 2020.
2. Houot MT, Tvardik N, Cordina-Duverger E, Guenel P, Pilorget C. A 34-year overview of night work by occupation and industry in France based on census data and a sex-specific job-exposure matrix. *BMC Public Health*. 2022;22(1):1441.
3. Garde AH, Harris A, Vedaa O, et al. Working hour characteristics and schedules among nurses in three Nordic countries - a comparative study using payroll data. *BMC Nurs*. 2019;18:12.
4. Anttila T, Harma M, Oinas T. Working hours - tracking the current and future trends. *Ind Health*. 2021;59(5):285–292.
5. Lunn RM, Blask DE, Coogan AN, et al. Health consequences of electric lighting practices in the modern world: a report on the National Toxicology Program's workshop on shift work at night, artificial light at night, and circadian disruption. *Sci Total Environ*. 2017;607:1073–1084.
6. Stevens RG, Blask DE, Brainard GC, et al. Meeting report: the role of environmental lighting and circadian disruption in cancer and other diseases. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2007;115(9):1357–1362.
7. Stevens RG, Brainard GC, Blask DE, Lockley SW, Motta ME. Breast cancer and circadian disruption from electric lighting in the modern world. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2014;64(3):207–218.
8. Kecklund G, Axelsson J. Health consequences of shift work and insufficient sleep. *BMJ*. 2016;355:i5210.
9. Haus EL, Smolensky MH. Shift work and cancer risk: potential mechanistic roles of circadian disruption, light at night, and sleep deprivation. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2013;17(4):273–284.
10. Stevens RG, Hansen J, Costa G, et al. Considerations of circadian impact for defining 'shift work' in cancer studies: IARC Working Group Report. *Occup Environ Med*. 2011;68(2):154–162.
11. Costa G, Haus E, Stevens R. Shift work and cancer - considerations on rationale, mechanisms, and epidemiology. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2010;36(2):163–179.
12. Haus E. Chronobiology in oncology. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*. 2009;73(1):3–5.
13. Haus E. Circadian disruption in shiftwork is probably carcinogenic to humans. *Chronobiol Int*. 2007;24(6):1255–1256.

14. Haus E, Smolensky M. Biological clocks and shift work: circadian dysregulation and potential long-term effects. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2006;17(4):489–500.
15. Fritschi L, Valerie Gross J, Wild U, Heyworth JS, Glass DC, Erren TC. Shift work that involves circadian disruption and breast cancer: a first application of chronobiological theory and the consequent challenges. *Occup Environ Med*. 2018;75(3):231–234.
16. Callaway E, Ledford H. Medicine Nobel awarded for work on circadian clocks. *Nature*. 2017;550(7674):18.
17. Czeisler CA, Duffy JF, Shanahan TL, et al. Stability, precision, and near-24-hour period of the human circadian pacemaker. *Science*. 1999;284(5423):2177–2181.
18. Yamazaki S, Numano R, Abe M, et al. Resetting central and peripheral circadian oscillators in transgenic rats. *Science*. 2000;288(5466):682–685.
19. Blask DE. Melatonin, sleep disturbance and cancer risk. *Sleep Med Rev*. 2009;13(4):257–264.
20. Blask DE, Dauchy RT, Sauer LA, Krause JA, Brainard GC. Light during darkness, melatonin suppression and cancer progression. *Neuro Endocrinol Lett*. 2002;23(Suppl 2):52–56.
21. Vetter C. Circadian disruption: what do we actually mean? *Eur J Neurosci*. 2020;51(1):531–550.
22. Tahkamo L, Partonen T, Pesonen AK. Systematic review of light exposure impact on human circadian rhythm. *Chronobiol Int*. 2019;36(2):151–170.
23. Boivin DB, Boudreau P, Kosmadopoulos A. Disturbance of the circadian system in shift work and its health impact. *J Biol Rhythms*. 2022;37(1):3–28.
24. Kervezee L, Shechter A, Boivin DB. Impact of shift work on the circadian timing system and health in women. *Sleep Med Clin*. 2018;13(3):295–306.
25. Blask DE, Brainard GC, Dauchy RT, et al. Melatonin-depleted blood from premenopausal women exposed to light at night stimulates growth of human breast cancer xenografts in nude rats. *Cancer Res*. 2005;65(23):11174–11184.
26. Hill SM, Belancio VP, Dauchy RT, et al. Melatonin: an inhibitor of breast cancer. *Endocr Relat Cancer*. 2015;22(3):R183–R204.
27. Stevens RG, Rea MS. Light in the built environment: potential role of circadian disruption in endocrine disruption and breast cancer. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2001;12(3):279–287.
28. Lingas EC. A narrative review of the carcinogenic effect of night shift and the potential protective role of melatonin. *Cureus*. 2023;15(8):e43326.
29. Stevens RG. Electric power use and breast cancer: a hypothesis. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1987;125(4):556–561.
30. Stevens RG, Davis S, Thomas DB, Anderson LE, Wilson BW. Electric power, pineal function, and the risk of breast cancer. *FASEB J*. 1992;6(3):853–860.
31. Stevens RG, Davis S. The melatonin hypothesis: electric power and breast cancer. *Environ Health Perspect*. 1996;104(Suppl 1):135–140.
32. Hansen J. Increased breast cancer risk among women who work predominantly at night. *Epidemiology*. 2001;12(1):74–77.
33. Hansen J. Night shift work and risk of breast cancer. *Curr Environ Health Rep*. 2017;4(3):325–339.
34. Painting, firefighting, and shiftworkIARC Monogr Eval Carcinog Risks Hum. Vol 98. France: IARC; 2010:561–764.
35. Schernhammer ES, Laden F, Speizer FE, et al. Rotating night shifts and risk of breast cancer in women participating in the nurses' health study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2001;93(20):1563–1568.
36. Schernhammer ES, Kroenke CH, Laden F, Hankinson SE. Night work and risk of breast cancer. *Epidemiology*. 2006;17(1):108–111.
37. Ward EM, Germolec D, Kogevinas M, et al. Carcinogenicity of night shift work. *Lancet Oncol*. 2019;20(8):1058–1059.
38. Papanitoniou K, Hansen J. Cohort vs case-control studies on night shift work and cancer risk: the importance of exposure assessment. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2024;193(4):577–579.
39. Cordina-Duverger E, Menegaux F, Poppa A, et al. Night shift work and breast cancer: a pooled analysis of population-based case-control studies with complete work history. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2018;33(4):369–379.
40. Wegryn LR, Tamimi RM, Rosner BA, et al. Rotating night-shift work and the risk of breast cancer in the nurses' health studies. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2017;186(5):532–540.
41. National Toxicology P. *NTP Cancer Hazard Assessment Report on Night Shift Work and Light at Night*. Research Triangle Park (NC): National Toxicology Program; 2021.
42. Niedhammer I, Saurel-Cubizolles MJ, Piciotti M, Bonenfant S. How is sex considered in recent epidemiological publications on occupational risks? *Occup Environ Med*. 2000;57:521–527.
43. Gomez-Salgado J, Fagundo-Rivera J, Ortega-Moreno M, Allande-Cusso R, Ayuso-Murillo D, Ruiz-Frutos C. Night work and breast cancer risk in nurses: multifactorial risk analysis. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;13(6):1470.
44. McNeil J, Heer E, Willemsen RF, Friedenreich CM, Brenner DR. The effects of shift work and sleep duration on cancer incidence in Alberta's Tomorrow Project cohort. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2020;67:101729.
45. Härmä M, Ojajärvi A, Koskinen A, Lie JA, Hansen J. Shift work with and without night shifts and breast cancer risk in a cohort study from Finland. *Occup Environ Med*. 2023;80(1):1–6.
46. Schernhammer E, Bøgl L, Hublin C, et al. The association between night shift work and breast cancer risk in the Finnish twins cohort. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2023;38(5):533–543.
47. Gustavsson P, Bigert C, Andersson T, et al. Night work and breast cancer risk in a cohort of female healthcare employees in Stockholm, Sweden. *Occup Environ Med*. 2023;80(7):372–376.
48. Pham TT, Hwang M, Lee ES, et al. Night-shift work and risk of breast cancer in Korean women. *Clin Epidemiol*. 2019;11:743–751.
49. Bustamante-Montes LP, Flores-Meza B, Hernandez-Valero MA, et al. Night shift work and risk of breast cancer in women. *Arch Med Res*. 2019;50(6):393–399.
50. Swiatkowska B, Szkiela M, Zajdel R, Gworys K, Kaleta D. Shift work, body mass index and associated breast cancer risks in postmenopausal women. *Ann Agric Environ Med*. 2023;30(4):699–704.
51. Szkiela M, Kusid E, Makowiec-Dabrowska T, Kaleta D. Night shift work-a risk factor for breast cancer. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(2):659.
52. Szkiela M, Kusid E, Makowiec-Dabrowska T, Kaleta D. How the intensity of night shift work affects breast cancer risk. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2021;18(9):4570.
53. Song S, Lei L, Zhang R, et al. Circadian disruption and breast cancer risk: evidence from a case-control study in China. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2023;15(2):419.
54. Sweeney MR, Sandler DP, Niehoff NM, White AJ. Shift work and working at night in relation to breast cancer incidence. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2020;29(3):687–689.
55. Shiffer D, Minonzio M, Dipaola F, et al. Effects of clockwise and counterclockwise job shift work rotation on sleep and work-life balance on hospital nurses. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018;15(9):2038.
56. Wichert K, Hoppe R, Ickstadt K, et al. Polymorphisms in genes of melatonin biosynthesis and signaling support the light-at-night hypothesis for breast cancer. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2023;38(10):1053–1068.
57. Ritonja JA, Aronson KJ, Flaten L, et al. Exploring the impact of night shift work on methylation of circadian genes. *Epigenetics*. 2022;17(10):1259–1268.
58. Harding BN, Skene DJ, Espinosa A, et al. Metabolic profiling of night shift work - The HORMONIT study. *Chronobiol Int*. 2022;39(11):1508–1516.
59. Harding BN, Aguilar R, Espinosa A, et al. Disruption of cellular immune response among male rotating night shift workers in Spain - The HORMONIT study. *Front Immunol*. 2022;13:776917.
60. Pesch B, Barth V, Rabstein S, et al. Night work and breast cancer - results from the German GENICA study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2010;36(2):134–141.
61. Fritschi L, Erren TC, Glass DC, et al. The association between different night shiftwork factors and breast cancer: a case-control study. *Br J Cancer*. 2013;109(9):2472–2480.
62. Grundy A, Richardson H, Burstyn I, et al. Increased risk of breast cancer associated with long-term shift work in Canada. *Occup Environ Med*. 2013;70(12):831–838.
63. Menegaux F, Truong T, Anger A, et al. Night work and breast cancer: a population-based case-control study in France (the CECILE study). *Int J Cancer*. 2013;132(4):924–931.
64. Papanitoniou K, Castano-Vinyals G, Espinosa A, et al. Breast cancer risk and night shift work in a case-control study in a Spanish population. *Eur J Epidemiol*. 2016;31(9):867–878.
65. Harma M, Koskinen A, Ropponen A, et al. Validity of self-reported exposure to shift work. *Occup Environ Med*. 2017;74(3):228–230.
66. Lizama N, Heyworth J, Thomson A, Slevin T, Fritschi L. Self-reported shift work, recall bias, and belief about disease causation in a case-control study of breast cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2017;50(Pt A):9–15.
67. Jesper Medom Vestergaard JNDH, Annett D, Jens PEB, et al. Validity of self-reported night shift work among women with and without breast cancer. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2024;50(3):152–157.
68. Morgan RL, Thayer KA, Santesso N, et al. A risk of bias instrument for non-randomized studies of exposures: a users' guide to its application in the context of GRADE. *Environ Int*. 2019;122:168–184.
69. Schubauer-Berigan MK, Richardson DB, Fox MP, et al. IARC-NCI workshop on an epidemiological toolkit to assess biases in human cancer studies for hazard identification: beyond the algorithm. *Occup Environ Med*. 2023;80(3):119–120.
70. Vistisen HT, Garde AH, Frydenberg M, et al. Short-term effects of night shift work on breast cancer risk: a cohort study of payroll data. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2017;43(1):59–67.
71. Harma M, Ropponen A, Hakola T, et al. Developing register-based measures for assessment of working time patterns for epidemiologic studies. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2015;41(3):268–279.
72. Ferguson JM, Bradshaw PT, Eisen EA, Rehkopf D, Cullen MR, Costello S. Distribution of working hour characteristics by race, age, gender, and shift schedule among U.S. manufacturing workers. *Chronobiol Int*. 2023;40(3):310–323.
73. Elser H, Neophytou AM, Tribett E, et al. Cohort profile: the American Manufacturing Cohort (AMC) study. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2019;48(5):1412–1422j.
74. Palomar-Cros A, Harding BN, Espinosa A, et al. Association of time of breakfast and nighttime fasting duration with breast cancer risk in the multicase-control study in Spain. *Front Nutr*. 2022;9:941477.
75. Papanitoniou K, Castano-Vinyals G, Espinosa A, et al. Sleep duration and napping in relation to colorectal and gastric cancer in the MCC-Spain study. *Sci Rep*. 2021;11(1):11822.
76. Palomar-Cros A, Espinosa A, Straif K, et al. The association of nighttime fasting duration and prostate cancer risk: results from the multicase-control (MCC) study in Spain. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(8):2662.
77. Kogevinas M, Espinosa A, Castello A, et al. Effect of mistimed eating patterns on breast and prostate cancer risk (MCC-Spain Study). *Int J Cancer*. 2018;143(10):2380–2389.
78. Pijpe A, Slottje P, van Pelt C, et al. The Nightingale study: rationale, study design and baseline characteristics of a prospective cohort study on shift work and breast cancer risk among nurses. *BMC Cancer*. 2014;14:47.
79. van de Langenberg D, Vlaanderen JJ, Dolle MET, Rookus MA, van Kerkhof LWM, Vermeulen RCH. Diet, physical activity, and daylight exposure patterns in night-shift workers and day workers. *Ann Work Expo Health*. 2019;63(1):9–21.
80. Windred DP, Burns AC, Lane JM, et al. Sleep regularity is a stronger predictor of mortality risk than sleep duration: a prospective cohort study. *Sleep*. 2024;47(1):zsad253.
81. Hurley S, Goldberg D, Von Behren J, Clague DeHart J, Wang S, Reynolds P. Sleep deficiency and breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women in the California teachers study (CTS). *Cancer Causes Control*. 2020;31(12):1115–1128.

82. Von Behren J, Goldberg D, Hurley S, Clague DeHart J, Wang SS, Reynolds P. Prospective analysis of sleep characteristics, chronotype, and risk of breast cancer in the california teachers study. *Cancer Causes Control*. 2024;35(4):597–604.
83. Harding BN, Castano-Vinyals G, Palomar-Cros A, et al. Changes in melatonin and sex steroid hormone production among men as a result of rotating night shift work - the HORMONIT study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2022;48(1):41–51.
84. Gehlert S, Clanton M. On behalf of the shift W, breast cancer strategic advisory G. shift work and breast cancer. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17(24):9544.
85. Briguglio G, Costa C, Teodoro M, Giambo F, Italia S, Fenga C. Women's health and night shift work: potential targets for future strategies in breast cancer (review). *Biomed Rep*. 2021;15(6):98.
86. Pahwa M, Labreche F, Kim J, et al. The impact of night shift work on breast cancer: results from the burden of occupational cancer in Canada study. *Am J Ind Med*. 2019;62(8):635–642.
87. Purdue MP, Hutchings SJ, Rushton L, Silverman DT. The proportion of cancer attributable to occupational exposures. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2015;25(3):188–192.
88. Kenborg L, Funch Lassen C, Hansen J, Olsen JH. Parkinson's disease and other neurodegenerative disorders among welders: a Danish cohort study. *Movement Disorders*. 2012;27(10):1283–1289.
89. Lie JA, Kjuus H, Zienolddiny S, Haugen A, Stevens RG, Kjaerheim K. Night work and breast cancer risk among Norwegian nurses: assessment by different exposure metrics. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2011;173(11):1272–1279.
90. Garde AH, Begtrup L, Bjorvatn B, et al. How to schedule night shift work in order to reduce health and safety risks. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2020;46(6):557–569.
91. Bonde JP, Hansen J, Kolstad HA, et al. Work at night and breast cancer—report on evidence-based options for preventive actions. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2012;38(4):380–390.