

# Sex effects across the lifespan in women with multiple sclerosis

Kristen M. Krysko\*<sup>1</sup>, Jennifer S. Graves\*, Ruth Dobson, Ayse Altintas, Maria Pia Amato, Jacqueline Bernard, Simona Bonavita, Riley Bove, Paola Cavalla, Marinella Clerico, Teresa Corona, Anisha Doshi, Yara Fragoso, Dina Jacobs, Vilija Jokubaitis, Dorian Landi, Gloria Llamasa, Erin E. Longbrake, Elisabeth Maillart, Monica Marta, Luciana Midaglia, Suma Shah, Mar Tintore, Anneke van der Walt<sup>2</sup>, Rhonda Voskuhl, Yujie Wang, Rana K. Zabad, Burcu Zeydan, Maria Houtchens\* and Kerstin Hellwig\*<sup>3</sup> on behalf of the International Women in MS (iWiMS)

**Abstract:** Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune inflammatory demyelinating central nervous system disorder that is more common in women, with onset often during reproductive years. The female:male sex ratio of MS rose in several regions over the last century, suggesting a possible sex by environmental interaction increasing MS risk in women. Since many with MS are in their childbearing years, family planning, including contraceptive and disease-modifying therapy (DMT) counselling, are important aspects of MS care in women. While some DMTs are likely harmful to the developing fetus, others can be used shortly before or until pregnancy is confirmed. Overall, pregnancy decreases risk of MS relapses, whereas relapse risk may increase postpartum, although pregnancy does not appear to be harmful for long-term prognosis of MS. However, ovarian aging may contribute to disability progression in women with MS. Here, we review sex effects across the lifespan in women with MS, including the effect of sex on MS susceptibility, effects of pregnancy on MS disease activity, and management strategies around pregnancy, including risks associated with DMT use before and during pregnancy, and while breastfeeding. We also review reproductive aging and sexual dysfunction in women with MS.

**Keywords:** breastfeeding, multiple sclerosis, pregnancy, sex differences, sex hormones, women

Received: 30 March 2020; revised manuscript accepted: 28 May 2020.

## Introduction

Multiple sclerosis (MS) is an autoimmune demyelinating disease of the central nervous system (CNS). Several factors implicate chromosomal sex and hormones in susceptibility and disease course in MS. MS is more common in women, with a female to male sex ratio of 3:1,<sup>1</sup> whereas before puberty and after menopause the sex ratio approaches 1:1.<sup>2-4</sup> MS most commonly begins between 20 years and 40 years of age, and thus women of reproductive age are most commonly affected.

Whereas relapse rate decreases during pregnancy, there tends to be an increased relapse rate postpartum,<sup>5</sup> and relapse rate decreases after menopause.<sup>6</sup> Management of women with MS throughout their reproductive lifespan requires consideration of effects of pregnancy and breastfeeding, including understanding disease-modifying therapy (DMT)

effects on children of women with MS. Hormonal factors may influence disability progression, as progression tends to occur earlier in men,<sup>7</sup> and later during the perimenopausal period in women.<sup>8,9</sup>

In this review, we discuss effects of sex on disease susceptibility, implications of MS on fertility and pregnancy, including peripartum DMT and other management considerations, the impact of pregnancy on the course of MS, the interaction between reproductive aging and MS, and sexual dysfunction in women with MS.

## Susceptibility to MS

It has long been recognized that MS is more common in women, but recent observations suggest the sex ratio may be increasing due to a rise in cases in women over the last century.<sup>10</sup> An increasing sex

*Ther Adv Neurol Disord*

2020, Vol. 13: 1–30

DOI: 10.1177/  
1756286420936166

© The Author(s), 2020.  
Article reuse guidelines:  
sagepub.com/journals-  
permissions

Correspondence to:

**Kristen M. Krysko**  
Department of Neurology,  
UCSF Weill Institute  
for Neurosciences,  
University of California  
San Francisco, 675 Nelson  
Rising Lane, Suite 221, San  
Francisco, CA 94158, USA  
[Kristen.krysko@mfail.com](mailto:Kristen.krysko@mfail.com)  
[utoronto.ca](http://utoronto.ca)

**Jennifer S. Graves**  
Department of  
Neurosciences, University  
of California San Diego,  
UCSD ACTRI, La Jolla,  
CA, USA

**Ruth Dobson**  
Preventive Neurology  
Unit, Wolfson Institute  
of Preventive Neurology,  
Queen Mary University of  
London, London, UK  
Department of Neurology,  
Royal London Hospital,  
London, UK

**Ayşe Altintas**  
Department of Neurology,  
School of Medicine, Koc  
University, Istanbul,  
Turkey

**Maria Pia Amato**  
Department NEUROFARBA,  
Section of Neurosciences,  
University of Florence,  
Florence, Italy

IRCCS Don Gnocchi  
Foundation, Florence, Italy

**Jacqueline Bernard**  
Department of Neurology,  
Oregon Health Science  
University, Portland, OR, USA

**Simona Bonavita**  
Department of Advanced  
Medical and Surgical  
Sciences, University  
of Campania, "Luigi  
Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy

**Riley Bove**  
Department of Neurology,  
UCSF Weill Institute for  
Neurosciences, University  
of California San  
Francisco, San Francisco  
CA, USA

**Paola Cavalla**  
Department of  
Neuroscience and Mental  
Health, City of Health and  
Science University Hospital  
of Torino, Turin, Italy



**Marinella Clerico**

Department of Clinical and Biological Sciences, University of Torino, San Luigi Gonzaga Hospital, Orbassano, Turin, Italy

**Teresa Corona**

Clinical Laboratory of Neurodegenerative Disease, National Institute of Neurology and Neurosurgery of Mexico, Mexico City, Mexico

**Anisha Doshi**

Department of Neuroinflammation, Queen Square Multiple Sclerosis Centre, University College London (UCL) Institute of Neurology, London, UK

**Yara Fragoso**

Multiple Sclerosis & Headache Research Institute, Santos, SP, Brazil

Departamento de Neurologia, Universidade Metropolitana de Santos, Santos, SP, Brazil

**Dina Jacobs**

Department of Neurology, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA

**Vilija Jokubaitis**

Anneke van der Walt  
Department of Neuroscience, Monash University, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

Department of Neurology, Alfred Health, Melbourne, VIC, Australia

**Doriana Landi**

Department of Systems Medicine, Multiple Sclerosis Center and Research Unit, Tor Vergata University and Hospital, Rome, Italy

**Gloria Llamasa**

Neurologia Integral, Tlalpan, Mexico City, Mexico

**Erin E. Longbrake**

Department of Neurology, Yale University, New Haven, CT, USA

**Elisabeth Maillart**

Department of Neurology, Pitie Salpetriere Hospital, Paris, France

**Monica Marta**

Neurosciences and Trauma Centre, Blizard Institute, Barts and the London School of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

**Luciana Midaglia**

Mar Tintore  
Department of Neurology-Neuroimmunology, Multiple Sclerosis Centre of Catalonia, Vall d'Hebron University Hospital, Barcelona, Spain

ratio has been reported in several countries (e.g., in Canada the sex ratio increased from 1.9 to 3.2, and in Sweden from 1.7 to 2.7 for patients born in the 1930s compared with the 1980s).<sup>1,11</sup> However, there appear to be regional differences in the changing sex ratio as this has not been observed in New Zealand,<sup>12</sup> and may differ by latitude.<sup>13</sup> More recently, the sex ratio was stable in Ontario, Canada for MS onset from 1996 to 2013.<sup>14</sup> A rise in incidence over the last century is too short for a genetic cause and suggests a sex by environmental factor interaction. While some question whether the rising incidence is confounded by better diagnostics, others urge searching for an environmental cause of the observed increased incidence in women. There have been many changes in women's lifestyles in recent decades: a later age at first pregnancy, increased availability and use of hormonal contraception, a lower rate of childbirth, and higher rates of employment and smoking.

Many of these factors have been examined in the Danish MS Registry.<sup>15</sup> Having children reduced the risk of MS in women (but not in men) by about 46% during the following 5 years. This may be due to temporary immunosuppression during pregnancy, although reverse causation secondary to an "MS prodrome" resulting in fewer pregnancies in women with subclinical MS cannot be excluded.<sup>16</sup>

It is likely that the increased susceptibility of women towards MS is influenced by genetic, hormonal, and environmental factors.

### Genetics and epigenetics

The genetic predisposition of MS is approximately 25%, based on monozygotic twin studies.<sup>17</sup> With the success of genome wide association studies (GWAS) over the last decade, 48% of this heritability has been explained with 233 statistically independent loci (32 in the MHC region).<sup>18</sup> Carrying *HLA-DRB1\*15:01* accounts for 10.5% of the genetic risk for MS.

Leveraging the largest GWAS of nearly 50,000 MS cases, one single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP rs2807267, closest gene *VGLL1*) on the X chromosome has been associated with MS.<sup>18</sup> The SNP lies within an enhancer peak specific for T cells, and additional study is required to understand its functional consequence in MS. No susceptibility alleles have been identified on the Y chromosome.

Interaction between the genome and sex-specific biological and environmental factors may underlie at least part of the possible increase in MS incidence in women. One aspect of this may be sex-specific epigenetic changes. Maternal imprinting of the X chromosome or X dosage effects may contribute to autoimmunity in women.<sup>19</sup> Voskuhl *et al.* demonstrated differential methylation and expression of genes on the X chromosome in T lymphocytes from females versus males.<sup>20,21</sup> In addition, an X chromosome gene (*Kdm6a*) that escapes X inactivation, with two copies expressed in females and one in males, is a histone demethylase that influences autosomal genome wide expression and is proinflammatory in T lymphocytes.<sup>22</sup> It is thus conceivable that a key environmental factor, which has changed over the last century, may interact with genes on either sex chromosomes or autosomes to create increased risk in women.

### Hormones

The effects of puberty, pregnancy, and menopause – periods during which sex hormone levels change dramatically – have been the subject of several studies. Puberty represents a risk factor for MS; earlier age of menarche has been associated with increased risk of MS and younger onset of MS symptoms in women.<sup>23,24</sup> In pediatric MS, girls largely present 2 years after menarche<sup>25</sup>; the immune system may be stimulated by sex steroid hormones during puberty.<sup>26</sup> Additional work is needed to parse out the specific biological mechanism of the epidemiologic association of puberty with MS risk. As mentioned previously, nulliparous women may have higher risk of MS than those who had several pregnancies.<sup>15</sup> To reconcile this increased risk with female puberty onset and decreased risk with multiparity, estrogens have been shown to have a biphasic dose effect, being immunostimulatory at low levels consistent with menstrual cycling, while being immunosuppressive at high levels of pregnancy.<sup>27,28</sup>

### Environmental

Environmental factors likely play a large role in MS risk given the 75% discordance rate amongst identical twins.<sup>17</sup> The most replicated environmental risk factors for MS include: active and passive smoking, Epstein Barr virus (EBV) seropositivity, low serum levels of vitamin D, and low sunlight exposure. Biological sex may interact with some of these factors to increase MS risk.

**Smoking.** Smokers of both sexes have increased risk of developing MS (odds ratio 1.4); risk increases with cumulative smoking dose.<sup>29,30</sup> Data from The Swedish National Institute of Public Health showed that, at the beginning of the 21st century, 20–25% women smoked (compared with 15–17% men). United Kingdom (UK) smoking prevalence has been increasing in women throughout the 20th century, which has been hypothesized to contribute to increasing MS risk.<sup>31</sup>

**EBV.** EBV is a ubiquitous gamma herpes virus. In adulthood, ~95% of the general population have evidence of prior EBV exposure; this proportion approaches 100% in MS.<sup>32</sup> While, on average, men seroconvert to positive EBV status at a slightly later age than women, there is no clear evidence that EBV plays a role in driving the unequal sex ratio of MS.

**Vitamin D/sunlight exposure.** The move away from outdoor-based lifestyles may be driving a reduction in serum vitamin D levels in the population. It is not known if women are more susceptible to downstream effects of low vitamin D,<sup>33</sup> but a study in an animal model demonstrated protection from experimental autoimmune encephalitis (EAE) with vitamin D only in female mice.<sup>34</sup> Low sunlight exposure is also associated with MS risk, with potential sex-specific effects of ultraviolet radiation exposure.<sup>35</sup>

More data are needed to identify hormonal and environmental risk factors for MS, which act preferentially in women.

## Fertility and contraception

### *Fertility and assisted reproductive technology*

There are no studies that directly assess pregnancy success rates in MS,<sup>36,37</sup> though some epidemiological studies have shown that women with MS may have fewer children than the general population.<sup>36,38,39</sup> Potential underlying reasons for this could include the effect of autoimmune disease on fertility, the contributions of symptoms such as fatigue, sexual dysfunction, and bladder impairment on attempting pregnancy, or the individual's decision to conceive being influenced by her disease.<sup>36,37,40</sup> Certain older DMTs, particularly cyclophosphamide and mitoxantrone,<sup>41,42</sup> may also impair fertility. Rigorous analyses for newer drugs are

limited. More recent studies have assessed markers of ovarian reserve and function in women with MS, including levels of follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH), luteinizing hormone (LH), and anti-Mullerian hormone (AMH), and antral follicle count.<sup>9,43,44</sup> In one study of 76 women with relapsing MS (most of whom were not taking DMT) and 58 controls, women with MS had reduced ovarian reserve (lower AMH level) compared with healthy controls.<sup>43</sup> Another study showed those with higher disease activity had lower AMH levels than those with lower disease activity.<sup>44</sup> However, in a study of 412 women with MS [mostly relapsing remitting (RRMS) and using injectable therapies] and 180 healthy controls, there was no difference in AMH level for women with MS compared with controls after adjustment for chronological age, birth control/hormonal therapy use, body mass index (BMI), and smoking.<sup>9</sup>

Regardless of any potential impact of MS on fertility, 12% of women in the general population confront infertility and may turn to assisted reproductive technologies (ART).<sup>45</sup> ART involves administration of hormonal medications, and may include several procedures *in vitro* on oocytes and sperm, or on embryos, to establish a pregnancy. Artificial insemination (INSE) may be performed either with *in vitro* fertilization (IVF) or intracytoplasmic sperm injection (ICSI).<sup>46</sup> Although the effect of ART on the immune system in women with MS requires further study, there are reports of increased MS activity after ART (Table 1).<sup>47–50</sup> Hellwig *et al.* observed that 12 of 23 women with MS relapsed within 3 months after ART, and the difference in relapse rate pre- and post-ART was correlated with INSE procedure.<sup>51</sup> A small study of women treated with GnRH (gonadotropin releasing hormone) agonists and recombinant FSH observed increased clinical relapses and enhancing magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) lesions after ART.<sup>52</sup> Similarly, another study, wherein women with MS received GnRH agonist or antagonist, followed by FSH, found that the annualized relapse rate (ARR) increased during 3 months following ART, with correlation to GnRH agonist use and IVF failure.<sup>53</sup> A recent meta-analysis by Bove *et al.*<sup>50</sup> combined five published studies and reported a case series ( $n = 12$ ).<sup>51–55</sup> Whereas the Boston case series did not have higher ARR after ART compared with before, the overall meta-analysis including this

**Suma Shah**  
Department of Neurology,  
Duke University, Durham,  
NC, USA

**Rhonda Voskuhl**  
Department of Neurology,  
University of California  
Los Angeles, Los Angeles,  
CA, USA

**Jujie Wang**  
Department of Neurology,  
Johns Hopkins University,  
Baltimore, MD, USA

**Rana K. Zabed**  
Department of  
Neurological Sciences,  
University of Nebraska  
Medical Center, Omaha,  
NE, USA

**Burcu Zeydan**  
Department of Radiology,  
Mayo Clinic, Rochester,  
MN, USA

**Maria Houtchens**  
Department of Neurology,  
Partners MS Center,  
Brigham and Women's  
Hospital, Harvard Medical  
School, Boston, MA, USA

**Kerstin Hellwig**  
Department of Neurology,  
St. Josef Hospital, Ruhr  
University Bochum,  
Bochum, Germany

\*These authors  
contributed equally.

**Table 1.** Summarized data from articles reporting on ART in women with MS.

Authors	Year	Type of article	Main findings
Cavalla <i>et al.</i> <sup>36</sup>	2006	Review	Review on fertility in women with MS
D'Hooge <i>et al.</i> <sup>57</sup>	2013		Review on reproductive factors in women with MS
Hellwig and Correale <sup>58</sup>	2013		Immunological changes induced by ART can increase pro-inflammatory factors in MS
Laplaud <i>et al.</i> <sup>54</sup>	2006	Case series	GnRH agonists correlated to relapses
Laplaud <i>et al.</i> <sup>59</sup>	2007		GnRH agonists correlated to relapses
Hellwig <i>et al.</i> <sup>55</sup>	2008		GnRH agonists correlated to relapses
Hellwig <i>et al.</i> <sup>51</sup>	2009		Hormonal approach did not correlate to relapses
Correale <i>et al.</i> <sup>52</sup>	2012		GnRH agonists correlated to relapses
Michel <i>et al.</i> <sup>53</sup>	2012		GnRH agonists correlated to relapses
Bove <i>et al.</i> <sup>50</sup>	2019	Meta-analysis	Meta-analysis of above studies confirmed increased ARR after ART versus prior
Vaknin-Dembinsky <i>et al.</i> <sup>47</sup>	2015	Case reports	Relapse and tumefactive lesion shortly after an IVF cycle
Ladwig <i>et al.</i> <sup>48</sup>	2016		Onset of MS after IVF
Torkildsen <i>et al.</i> <sup>49</sup>	2018		Severe reactivation of MS following IVF
Voskuhl <i>et al.</i> <sup>60</sup>	2012	Editorial	Hormonal manipulation in ART is complex and may induce changes in immunomodulation

ARR, annualized relapse rate; ART, assisted reproductive technology; GnRH, gonadotropin releasing hormone; IVF, *in vitro* fertilization; MS, multiple sclerosis.

cohort confirmed increased ARR after ART, with a mean ARR increase of 0.92 [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.33–1.51].<sup>50</sup> On the other hand, Guzman-Soto *et al.* reported that leuprolide acetate, a synthetic analogue of GnRH used in IVF, has a neurotrophic effect on neurofilament, myelin basic protein expression, and axonal morphometry in EAE, thus opening horizons for studying protocols of ART in MS.<sup>56</sup>

In summary, ART, particularly the use of GnRH agonists, may increase MS disease activity in the short term, though further work is necessary to elucidate how induced hormonal changes may affect MS course.

### Contraception

Contraception is an important topic in MS, particularly as women are often of childbearing age at disease onset and some DMTs are potential teratogens.<sup>61</sup> Multiple dimensions should be

considered, including contraception effect on risk of MS and related disability, family planning, type of contraception available, and concurrent use with DMTs.

Prior studies have reported mixed effects of hormonal contraception on risk of developing MS.<sup>62–67</sup> Different population-based, case-control, or cohort studies concluded a protective,<sup>65,66</sup> neutral,<sup>64</sup> or even negative effect of oral contraceptive (OC) exposure on MS risk.<sup>67</sup> While the Nurses' Health Study showed no effect of past or current use of OC on risk of MS,<sup>64</sup> a case-control study demonstrated decreased risk of MS in those using OC in the 3 years prior to MS onset,<sup>65</sup> and the Swedish MS registrar demonstrated that OC use before first MS symptoms was associated with an older age of MS onset.<sup>66</sup> On the other hand, a nested case-control study suggested a slightly increased risk of MS or clinically isolated syndrome (CIS) with former or current OC exposure, although this could have been due to an



unmeasured confounder.<sup>67</sup> Limitations of most of these studies include observational design, small sample size, self-reported data on OC use, lack of information about OC hormonal composition and duration of exposure, and the potential for residual confounding. As such, definitive conclusions on the effect of OC on MS risk remains unclear.

There is scarce information about the effect of OC on long-term prognosis of MS, although, reassuringly, hormonal contraception does not seem to negatively affect disease progression or disability.<sup>68</sup> Two studies reported decreased risk of disability accumulation and conversion to secondary progressive MS (SPMS) in relapsing onset patients who had ever used OC.<sup>69,70</sup> No significant differences in ARR between OC ever and never users were found. In contrast, D'Hooghe *et al.* described a shorter time from first symptom to reach Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) 6.0 in OC users with primary progressive MS (PPMS).<sup>71</sup> The lack of consistency between studies could be partially explained by the influence of potential confounders that affect disease evolution and also determine the patient's decision to take OC. Recently, a multivariable and time-dependent analysis applied to the Barcelona CIS cohort reported that OC use before or after CIS did not significantly influence the risk of MS or time to confirmed EDSS 3.0.<sup>72</sup> However, OC may have an impact in patients with established MS distinct to any effect on ARR due to transition from the more inflammatory early stage of disease to the more neurodegenerative stage, which may be more sensitive to neuroprotective effects of estrogens in OC.<sup>73</sup>

Optimal contraceptive methods should be individualized, as women with MS may suffer from symptoms that make use of some methods difficult (such as using vaginal rings).<sup>74</sup> The US Medical Eligibility Criteria for Contraceptive Use, published by the United States (US) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, outlines the safety of contraception in women with MS, and generally, the majority are felt to be safe in MS. Caution should be exercised in the use of combined hormonal contraceptives in individuals with prolonged immobility, due to increased thromboembolic risk.<sup>75</sup> Current DMTs do not appear to alter effectiveness of hormonal contraceptives,<sup>74,76</sup> but there are limited formal drug–drug interaction studies, and symptomatic

medications such as modafinil can decrease effectiveness of hormonal contraceptives.<sup>74</sup>

## Immunology of pregnancy: effects in MS

### *Immunological changes at the maternal–fetal interface*

The maternal–fetal interface refers to the collocation between the uterus and extra-embryonic tissue.<sup>77</sup> On the fetal side, the blastocyst differentiates into an inner cell mass, the future fetus, and the outer extra-embryonic trophoblast. The trophoblast further separates into villous and non-villous cytotrophoblast and syncytiotrophoblast.<sup>77</sup> In preparation for potential conception, the endometrium undergoes a series of changes, or decidualization, that continue into pregnancy.<sup>78</sup> Decidualization requires a number of immune cells allowing trophoblast invasion (resulting in maternal–fetal interface), remodeling of spiral arteries, and placentation.<sup>77</sup> Decidualization is also important in the development of anti-microbial immunity.<sup>79</sup>

### *Immunological changes in pregnancy and MS*

Pregnancy affords protection from relapses in EAE and MS.<sup>5,80,81</sup> Hormonal changes induced by pregnancy modulate immune response toward a state of tolerance to allow the semi-allogenic fetus to grow within the maternal uterus. Estrogen, progesterone, and human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) modulate cells of the innate and adaptive immune system to adopt fetal-friendly phenotypes.<sup>82</sup> A shift from Th1 to Th2 response has been observed consistently in MS pregnancies,<sup>83–85</sup> based on studies between 13 weeks and 27 weeks gestation. More recent data suggests active pro-inflammatory Th1 immunity before and after this period.<sup>79</sup> Regulatory T cells (Tregs) have been found to be increased,<sup>86,87</sup> decreased,<sup>88</sup> or unchanged,<sup>89,90</sup> probably due to different definitions of Tregs used across studies. The Th17 compartment seems unaffected by pregnancy,<sup>88</sup> whereas CD56<sup>bright</sup> natural killer (NK) cells were increased peripherally in one study.<sup>88</sup>

In MS, pregnancy also alters the clonal composition of T cells toward a more uniformly distributed repertoire.<sup>91</sup> It induces a contraction of relapse-associated T cell clones, potentially contributing to reduced relapse rate from the first to third trimester.<sup>91</sup> Such clones re-expand after delivery in an

individualized fashion.<sup>91</sup> Women gradually recover pre-pregnancy immunity along with decreased pregnancy hormones postpartum, which may lead to disease rebound, although immunological mechanisms are unclear. Tregs changed functionally in the early postpartum period in MS in one study,<sup>89</sup> and decreased numerically in another study.<sup>88</sup> Decline in CD4+, interferon- $\gamma$  producing T cells, as well as in CD56<sup>bright</sup> NK cells,<sup>89</sup> has been correlated with postpartum MS relapses.<sup>92</sup> Although the immunopathogenic role of B cells is increasingly recognized, and these cells are sensitive to stimulation by female sex hormones,<sup>93</sup> there is a paucity of studies exploring whether they are modulated by pregnancy. Further studies investigating functional changes of immune cell subtypes are required to clarify complex relationships between pregnancy and immunomodulation. Indeed, the immune system during pregnancy is dynamic and responsive, promoting tolerance to fetal proteins and allowing fetal growth.<sup>79,89,94</sup> Table 2 summarizes immunological changes by pregnancy trimester and effects on MS.

### Recommendations on planning a pregnancy in MS

Pregnancy planning is an important consideration for many women with MS.<sup>103</sup> Discussing DMT in the context of pregnancy and breastfeeding considerations is essential. It is generally recommended to establish pre-pregnancy baseline, through a clinical neurology visit and an MRI before pregnancy, and to choose a pregnancy-compatible DMT. Visits during the first and third trimester can be helpful, and, during the latter, breastfeeding and postpartum plans can be confirmed. Recommendations for postpartum management are outlined later in this review.

Ideally, women should aim for a period of disease and treatment stability prior to conception. When women receive maintenance DMT, care should be taken to proactively discuss future plans following conception – including whether or not to continue DMT during pregnancy and plans around breastfeeding. Such discussions are particularly pertinent in women with more active disease. DMTs with potential teratogenicity or contraindicated in pregnancy should be discontinued and replaced with acceptable alternatives prior to conception, or, in case of unintended pregnancy, changed as soon as able. In addition, the tendency for rebound activity

after discontinuation of certain DMTs (fingolimod and natalizumab, as discussed later in the review) should be considered prior to initiating therapy in women with plans for pregnancy in the near future. The use of highly effective therapies without rebound risk, such as depleting antibodies, in women with more active disease prior to pregnancy may be preferable, as these may enable a balance between disease control and low potential exposure and risk to the fetus. In women with less active disease, continuing injectable therapies until conception, or even through pregnancy, appears safe, and may offer a favorable risk–benefit ratio.<sup>104</sup> Key recommendations are included in Box 1.

Adequate vitamin D supplementation prior to conception and during pregnancy (up to 4000 IU/day) is important.<sup>105</sup> Timely commencement of folate-containing pre-natal vitamins, avoidance of active or passive smoking, pelvic floor exercises, and proactive diagnosis and treatment of urinary tract infections (UTIs) are also important. UTIs are associated with both worsening of MS symptoms and adverse pregnancy outcomes, and are of particular concern in this patient group.<sup>106</sup> Routine pre-natal and pregnancy care can be utilized, unless pregnancy is deemed to be high-risk due to specific obstetrical concerns. Women should be counselled about the risk of postpartum depression. Non-pharmacologic management of fatigue, insomnia, spasticity, and other symptoms during and after pregnancy should be pre-emptively discussed. Use of symptomatic therapies with potential fetal risk should be discussed with the neurologist and maternal fetal medicine specialist.

### Pregnancy and DMTs

#### *DMT safety before and during pregnancy*

In the past decade, there has been an enormous increase in disease modifying treatment options in MS. Fortunately, most women with mild disease will remain relapse-free during pregnancy, and treatment can be safely stopped during pregnancy. At least 300 first-trimester pregnancy exposures, and preferably 1000 total exposures, are needed to assess the possible risk and safety of medication use during pregnancy.<sup>107</sup> However, rare events may only be captured with even more exposed pregnancies. Injectable therapies (glatiramer acetate and interferon- $\beta$ ) have the most comprehensive

**Table 2.** Immunological changes by pregnancy trimester and effects on MS.

	First trimester of pregnancy (post-ovulation to <13 weeks GA)	Second trimester of pregnancy (13–27 weeks GA)	Third trimester of pregnancy (>27–40 weeks GA)
Main event	Implantation and placentation <sup>77,79</sup>	Symbiotic relationship between mother, placenta, and fetus allowing fetal tolerance and growth <sup>79,94</sup>	Preparation for labor and delivery by induction of uterine contractions, delivery of the infant, and placental separation <sup>79</sup>
Direction of immune changes	Pro-inflammatory milieu <sup>79</sup>	Anti-inflammatory milieu with Th2 deviation based on several studies investigating the immunology of pregnancy during this period <sup>79</sup>	Pro-inflammatory milieu <sup>79,89</sup>
Immune mechanisms	<p>u-NK (70%): weakly cytotoxic unlike peripheral NK; source of cytokines, MMPs and angiogenic factors.</p> <p>MP (20%) and u-NK are involved in vascular and tissue remodeling, angiogenesis, trophoblast invasion and cytokine production. MP digest apoptotic cells secondary to remodeling.</p> <p>T cells (10–20%): 2/3 CD8+ and 1/3 CD4+.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Exact function yet to be defined</li> <li>- Might regulate trophoblast invasion</li> </ul> <p>u-DC (rare): weak APC; role in early pregnancy unclear but might prime naïve CD4 to become Th2; crucial role in presenting fetal antigens to T cells leading to tolerance.<sup>77,95</sup></p> <p>Paucity of information on B cells, despite newer data on the evolving role for B cells in different phases of pregnancy.<sup>96,97</sup></p>	<p>MP, M2-phenotype promotes tissue renewal and placental growth.</p> <p>u-NK interacts with MP-M2 phenotype and generated Tregs. Tregs expand early in pregnancy and promote tolerance to paternal antigens.</p> <p>Th17 cells are present on maternal-fetal interface and prevent from infections.</p> <p>Imbalance in Tregs/Th17 ratio results in spontaneous abortion, pre-term birth and pre-eclampsia.<sup>77,79,95</sup></p> <p>Increasing role of B-cells in suppression of pro-inflammatory milieu.<sup>97</sup></p> <p>Paucity of information on B cells, although newer data on the evolving role for B cells in different phases of pregnancy.<sup>96,97</sup></p>	<p>Activation of inflammation NF-kappa B pathway signaling such as:<sup>79,98</sup></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- MP-M1 polarization</li> <li>- Production of inflammatory cytokines, chemokines and adhesion molecules</li> <li>- Regulation of cell proliferation, apoptosis, morphogenesis and differentiation</li> </ul> <p>Paucity of information on B cells, despite newer data on the evolving role for B cells in different phases of pregnancy.<sup>97</sup></p>
Impact on MS	Pro-inflammatory milieu potentially increases risk of post-abortion ARR and gadolinium enhancing lesion accumulation in case of early pregnancy termination. <sup>99</sup>	M2 polarization and Tregs expansion may be associated with the reduction of ARR in full term pregnancies. <sup>5,100,101</sup>	Activation of NF-kappa B pathway pre-delivery may contribute to risk of postpartum MS rebound disease activity. <sup>102</sup>

APC, antigen presenting cells; ARR, annualized relapse rate; B cell, B lymphocyte; CD4 T lymphocytes, helper and regulatory type; CD8 T lymphocytes, cytotoxic type; GA, gestational age; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; MP, macrophage; NF, nuclear factor; Tregs regulatory T lymphocytes; u-DC, uterine dendritic cells; u-NK, uterine natural killer cells.

safety data available, and they are safe to continue at least up to conception.<sup>108–122</sup> Many women stop treatment when they become aware of their pregnancy, most commonly during the first trimester. Therefore, very few data on entire pregnancy exposure exist, with the most data available for glatiramer acetate (Table 3).<sup>123</sup>

Immunological changes during pregnancy may not be sufficient to protect women with active

disease from relapses or rebound, especially after withdrawal from fingolimod or natalizumab.<sup>166–168</sup> The continuation of natalizumab, or bridging with the use of depleting antibodies or cladribine prior to conception should be considered in these patients. Oral DMTs should not be continued in pregnancy, whereas depleting antibody therapies can potentially be used in women with active MS, ideally prior to pregnancy, but with biological effects that may persist after drug elimination.

**Box 1.** Key expert opinion recommendations for women planning pregnancy and postpartum.

**Planning prior to pregnancy**

- Preconception counselling should be provided to all women with MS of childbearing age from the time of initial diagnosis and DMT discussion.
- Take an individualized approach to pregnancy timing, incorporating the need to minimize MS activity with a suitable DMT where required, along with obstetric factors such as maternal age.
- Pregnancy planning involves deciding whether to stop or continue the current DMT. Washout periods differ between DMTs (see Table 3).
  - Injectables (glatiramer acetate, interferon- $\beta$ ) can be safely continued to conception and stopped upon a positive pregnancy test, and could be considered to continue throughout pregnancy after discussion of risks and benefits.
  - Oral DMTs should not be continued during pregnancy, with varying washout depending on the DMT, as outlined in Table 3.
  - Cell-depleting DMTs can be given before pregnancy to women with active MS with timing before conception to limit fetal exposure while providing longer-lasting benefit on disease activity (see Table 3).
  - Special consideration should be made for DMTs with risk of disease reactivation upon discontinuation (e.g., fingolimod, natalizumab), and one may transition to a cell-depleting DMT before pregnancy, or natalizumab may be continued every 8 weeks until approximately 34 weeks gestation to prevent rebound, with evaluation for neonatal risks.
- If anticipating prolonged periods of attempted conception and not on an injectable DMT or natalizumab, use of B-cell depleting therapies can be considered in women at higher risk of relapse, with an appropriate time before conception is attempted after each infusion.

**During pregnancy and postpartum**

- In the case of unintended pregnancy on a DMT not suitable for use in pregnancy (see Table 3), the DMT should be stopped and an organ screening ultrasound considered, with the accelerated elimination protocol administered for teriflunomide. Caution should be taken if stopping a DMT with risk of rebound.
- Many women could consider stopping DMT during pregnancy, although this should be discussed according to individual risks and benefits.
- A visit during the third trimester of pregnancy is recommended to plan for the postpartum period.
  - Most women should be encouraged to breastfeed, exclusively, if possible.
  - Women with active MS could consider use of certain DMTs, such as injectable or monoclonal antibody therapies, while breastfeeding (see Table 4).
  - If not breastfeeding, DMT should be resumed within 2–4 weeks postpartum.

More data are necessary to fully address this challenging clinical topic, especially for women with more aggressive MS who wish to have children. Current knowledge of the safety of MS DMTs during pregnancy is outlined in Table 3.

*DMT safety in breastfeeding*

Although breastfeeding may reduce risk,<sup>169</sup> individuals at high risk for postpartum relapse may require additional strategies to decrease relapse risk, such as restarting DMT. Interferon-beta preparations were recently approved by the European Medicines Association (EMA) for use while breastfeeding,<sup>125</sup> but the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has not done so. Mothers have historically faced a choice about whether to breastfeed – which has significant benefits to both the mother and infant – or treat their MS.<sup>170</sup> However, some DMTs are unlikely to pass in relevant or harmful quantities to breastmilk, underscoring the importance of designing studies to support the ability for women to both safely breastfeed while treating their disease.

Transfer of drugs to breastmilk depends on several factors, including molecular weight, protein binding, lipid solubility, volume of distribution, and transport mechanisms, as well as the stage of breastmilk, with less transfer into mature milk than colostrum.<sup>171</sup> Lactation studies are required to determine breastmilk transfer, and a commonly used measure is the relative infant dose (RID), which represents the percent of the weight-adjusted maternal dose consumed in breastmilk over 24 h. RID of <10% is generally considered acceptable for breastfeeding, although the toxicity of each drug should be considered.<sup>172</sup> Based on these considerations, an overview of data on excretion of DMTs to breastmilk, and recommendations for DMT use while breastfeeding are listed in Table 4.

While additional study of DMT use during lactation is required, when deciding whether to breastfeed while using DMTs, patients and clinicians should consider the risk of postpartum relapse balanced with potential adverse effects to the infant. In patients with high risk of postpartum



**Table 3.** DMT use in pregnancy and recommendations.

DMT	Animal data	First trimester exposure	Exposure throughout pregnancy	Recommendation*
<b>Injectables</b>				
Glatiramer acetate <sup>124</sup>	No embryolethality or teratogenic effects seen <sup>124</sup>	No increased risk for SA or CA <i>n</i> > 2500 <sup>108-120</sup>	Limited data shows no increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in <i>n</i> < 250 <sup>110,113,115,116,120,121,123</sup>	- Can be safely continued until positive pregnancy test - Active approach: continue during pregnancy
Interferon-β <sup>125-129</sup>	Abortifacient effects seen at very high doses No teratogenic effects or effects on fetal development <sup>125-129</sup>	No increased risk for SA or CA in <i>n</i> = 1550 <sup>108-110,113,115,118-120,122</sup>	No increased risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes in <i>n</i> < 100 <sup>113,120-122</sup>	- Can be safely continued until positive pregnancy test - Active approach: continue during pregnancy
<b>Oral</b>				
Dimethyl fumarate <sup>130,131</sup>	No embryolethality No teratogenicity At very high dose: low birth weight, delayed ossification and SA	Risk of SA and CA not elevated to date <i>n</i> = 263, 214 known pregnancy outcomes Risk of SA: 16/214 (7%) Risk of CA: 7/214 (4%) Hellwig ECTRIMS 2019 <sup>132</sup>	Single cases, so risk unclear	- Stop with contraception or with positive pregnancy test - In case of accidental exposure during pregnancy: stop - EMA: may be used during pregnancy only if the potential benefit justifies potential risk to the fetus
Diroximel fumarate <sup>133</sup>	Embryolethality in one species (rabbit) and teratogenicity in one species (rabbit) At very high dose: low birth weight, skeletal variation	Risk of SA and CA still unknown but likely similar to dimethyl fumarate	-	- Stop with contraception or with positive pregnancy test - In case of accidental exposure during pregnancy: stop
Fingolimod <sup>134,135</sup>	Embryolethality in one species (rabbit) and teratogenicity in one species (rat)	2-Fold increased risk of major congenital malformations (congenital heart disease, renal and musculoskeletal abnormalities) according to a registry Registry with <i>n</i> = 113 live births with seven major malformations (6.2%) Novartis safety database: 3.7% (25/678) Pregnancy outcomes intensive monitoring (PRIM) program: 2% (8/393) Hellwig ECTRIMS 2019 <sup>136</sup> <b>EMA alert in September 2019</b>	-	- EMA: contraindicated without effective contraception and during pregnancy - FDA: use effective contraception and avoid pregnancy during and for 2 months after stopping - Stop 2 months before conception and discuss bridging with another DMT - In case of accidental exposure during pregnancy: stop and recommend organ screening ultrasound
Siponimod <sup>137</sup>	Embryolethality in one species (rabbit) and teratogenicity in one species (rat)	Risk of CA still unknown, but likely similar to fingolimod	-	- FDA: use effective contraception and avoid pregnancy during and for 10 days after stopping - Stop 10 days before conception - In case of accidental exposure during pregnancy: stop and recommend organ screening ultrasound

(Continued)

Table 3. (Continued)

DMT	Animal data	First trimester exposure	Exposure throughout pregnancy	Recommendation*
Cladribine <sup>138,139</sup>	Embryolethality in one species (mice) and teratogenicity in two species (rabbit and mice)	Risk of CA unknown, but report of 16 pregnancies within 6 months of cladribine (10 elective terminations; 3 healthy newborns; 2 SA; 1 ectopic) Galazka ECTRIMS 2017 <sup>140</sup>	-	- Pregnancy safe 6 months after the last administration - Risk of interaction between cladribine and oral contraception: women must also use mechanical contraception during the days of treatment and at least 4 weeks after the last dose
Teriflunomide <sup>141,142</sup>	Embryolethality and teratogenicity in two species (rabbit and rat)	No increased risk of CA n = 437, 222 known pregnancy outcomes (risk of major malformation: 3.6% (1/28) in clinical trials, 0% (0/51) in post-marketing data) <sup>143</sup>	-	- FDA/EMA: contraindicated in pregnant women or women of reproductive potential not using effective contraception - Stop before conception with accelerated elimination procedure (serum level <0.02 mg/l twice, 2 weeks apart) - In case of accidental exposure during pregnancy: stop, accelerated elimination procedure and recommend organ screening ultrasound
<b>Infusion</b>				
Natalizumab <sup>144,145</sup>	No abortifacient or teratogenic effects, but immunological and hematologic effects <sup>146</sup>	Risk for SA and CA most likely not elevated <sup>147</sup> n = 369, 355 known outcomes with 9.0% SA and 5.05% CA <sup>148</sup> n = 92, 17.4% SA and 3.7% CA <sup>147</sup> n = 98, 17.3% SA and 5.2% CA <sup>149</sup>	Hematologic abnormalities <sup>150,151</sup> Possible increased risk of malformation (4/31) and anemia (5/31) <sup>152</sup>	- Case-by-case decision - Consider switch to depleting agents - Semi-active: stop with positive pregnancy test but risk of rebound relapse - Active: maintain during pregnancy (can give every 8 weeks and last dose at approximately 34 weeks), <sup>76</sup> evaluate neonate for hematological abnormalities
Rituximab <sup>153,154</sup>	Transient peripheral B cell depletion <sup>155</sup>	Reduced B cell count in newborns <sup>156,157</sup> if treated during pregnancy Risk for SA and CA likely not elevated n = 102 with 1.2% SA and 4.5% CA or medical conditions <sup>157</sup>	Reduced B cell count in newborns <sup>156,157</sup>	- Attempt conception 1–3 months after the last dose <sup>158</sup> - Discontinue in case of pregnancy - Re-dose if not pregnant after 6–9 months - Pregnancy test before each infusion
Ocrelizumab <sup>159,160</sup>	B cell depletion observed in monkeys, increased perinatal mortality, renal, bone marrow and testicular toxicity <sup>159,160</sup>	Risk for SA likely not elevated n = 118, 54 known outcomes with 7.4% (4/54) SA and 3% (1/32 at risk) stillbirth <sup>161,162</sup>	Limited <sup>161,162</sup>	- Attempt conception 1–3 months after the last dose - Discontinue in case of pregnancy - Re-dose if not pregnant after 6–9 months - Pregnancy test before each infusion
Alemtuzumab <sup>163,164</sup>	Embryolethal when administered during organogenesis <sup>163,164</sup> and decreased B and T lymphocyte populations	Slightly elevated risk for SA cannot be excluded n = 193, 167 known outcomes with 22% SA, 0% CA, 0.6% stillbirth <sup>165</sup>	-	- Conception 4 months after last infusion may be attempted - Pregnancy test prior to each course - Monitor thyroid function and antithyroid antibodies (placental transfer of anti-thyrotropin receptor antibodies resulting in neonatal Graves' disease observed) <sup>163</sup>

\*Some recommendations for timing of DMT use around pregnancy are off-label and represent expert opinion based on available data. CA, congenital abnormality; DMT, disease-modifying therapy; EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; SA, spontaneous abortion.

disease activity, the benefits of breastfeeding despite DMT may outweigh risks for the injectable and monoclonal antibody therapies, while breastfeeding is not suggested while on oral DMTs. A recent review similarly suggested breastfeeding while on monoclonal antibody therapies can be considered in neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders.<sup>179</sup> It is important to note that data are lacking regarding the long-term immunological and infectious profile of children of women with MS exposed to DMTs in breastmilk.

### Obstetric management

Management of women with MS during labor and delivery is relevant to obstetricians, neurologists, anesthesiologists, and patients. Concern regarding associations between spinal anesthesia and MS relapses emerged almost 70 years ago.<sup>180</sup> Since then, prospective studies and a meta-analysis have demonstrated the safety of spinal anaesthesia in MS.<sup>5,81,181,182</sup> The American Society of Regional Anesthesia and Pain Medicine states in its 2015 guidelines that epidural anesthesia is considered safer than spinal anesthesia because it does not deposit local anesthetic directly adjacent to the CNS.<sup>183</sup> However, choice of analgesia in labor is best left to discretion of the obstetrician and anesthesiologist in discussion with the woman.

Disease-related factors such as fatigue, lower limb weakness, and spasticity need to be considered when developing a birth plan, and should be discussed during prenatal care.<sup>184</sup> As commonly used symptomatic treatments such as baclofen and dalfampridine are contraindicated in pregnancy, a greater emphasis on physical therapy may be needed to manage symptoms. Clinicians' apprehension may lead to an increase in cesarean section or instrumental interventions during delivery. However, reports of increased cesarean section deliveries in women with MS may be confounded by cultural and geographical influences on cesarean rates.<sup>185</sup> In many countries, obstetrical care during labor is managed by midwives, and it is important that education on management of women with MS during labor extends to all involved medical professionals.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of women with MS and their pregnancies concluded that women with MS do not have a significantly increased risk of obstetrical or neonatal complications such as prematurity or neonatal death.<sup>186</sup>

Management of women with MS during labor and delivery is therefore generally left to the discretion of the obstetrician (or midwife) and anesthesiologist. Clear communication from the neurologist to outline the disease state of the woman with MS, any relevant functional impairments, as well as optimization of MS-related symptom management, remains an important part of holistic care during pregnancy and should be a key focus of the neurologist's involvement.

### Pregnancy and disease course: short-term outcomes and postpartum relapse risk

In the early 20th century, pregnancy was believed to promote poorer outcomes for women with MS, and was discouraged. This perception changed with the landmark Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis (PRIMS) study, published in 1998.<sup>5</sup> This prospective multicenter study, including data from 269 pregnancies across 12 European countries, revealed a ~70% decrease in relapse rate in the third trimester, relative to the 12 months pre-conception, and a postpartum relapse rate increase of ~170%. Subsequently, Vukusic showed that following the initial postpartum increase in relapses,<sup>81</sup> ARR returned to pre-pregnancy levels. The findings of the seminal PRIMS,<sup>5</sup> and its extension,<sup>81</sup> have been replicated across numerous cohorts in subsequent decades,<sup>39,187–191</sup> and confirmed in a meta-analysis.<sup>186</sup> The seemingly high postpartum relapse rate is driven by a minority of women. Various studies estimate that relapses occur in 14–31% within the first 3 months postpartum.<sup>5,190,191</sup> In women with mild MS, the trend for postpartum relapse has generally diminished in the two decades following the PRIMS study.<sup>192</sup> A contemporary population-based cohort of women with MS and CIS did not find rebound disease activity postpartum, attributed to inclusion of women with milder disease and high rates of exclusive breastfeeding.<sup>192</sup> However, recent studies have demonstrated that women treated with highly effective therapies, specifically fingolimod and natalizumab, are at increased risk of rebound relapse activity in pregnancy and the postpartum period once therapy is withdrawn, with rebound relapses associated with longer duration of wash-out.<sup>166–168,187,193–196</sup>

In terms of short-term MS outcomes beyond relapse activity, the PRIMS study reported a mean increase of 0.9 points on the Kurtzke disability scale over a 24-month period, without an apparent acceleration in disability worsening.<sup>5,81</sup>

**Table 4.** DMT use during lactation and recommendations.

DMT	Animal data excretion into breastmilk	Human data excretion into breastmilk	Relative infant dose in human	Recommendation*
<b>Injectables</b>				
Glatiramer acetate <sup>121,124,173</sup>	Limited data	Unknown, but low likelihood due to large MW (4700–13,000 Da) but broken rapidly into its amino acid components	–	Probably compatible with breastfeeding
Interferon- $\beta$ <sup>21,125,173</sup>	Limited data	Negligible in 6 women <sup>174</sup> Low likelihood due to large MW (22,500 Da)	0.006% <sup>174</sup>	Compatible with breastfeeding EMA: IFN- $\beta$ can be used while breastfeeding <sup>125</sup>
<b>Oral</b>				
Dimethyl fumarate <sup>130,131</sup>	Limited data	Unknown, but likely due to low MW (129 Da) of active metabolite (MMF), although rapid metabolism and high volume of distribution may decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended
Diroximel fumarate <sup>133</sup>	Limited data	Unknown, but likely due to low MW (129 Da) of active metabolite (MMF), although rapid metabolism and high volume of distribution may decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended
Fingolimod <sup>134,135</sup>	Excreted in milk of treated rats (2–3-fold higher in milk than maternal plasma)	Unknown, but likely due to low MW (344 Da) and long $t_{1/2}$ although high protein binding could decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended
Siponimod <sup>137</sup>	Excreted in milk of treated rats	Unknown, but likely due to long $t_{1/2}$ although moderate MW (1149 Da) and high protein binding could decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended
Cladribine <sup>138,139</sup>	Limited data	Unknown, but likely due to low MW (286 Da) and low protein binding, although high volume of distribution may decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended (contraindicated - FDA for 10 days and EMA 7 days after last dose)
Teriflunomide <sup>141,142</sup>	Detected in rat milk after single oral dose	Unknown, but likely due to low MW (270 Da) and long $t_{1/2}$ although high protein binding could decrease transfer	–	Breastfeeding not recommended (contraindicated by EMA and not recommended by FDA)
<b>Infusion</b>				
Natalizumab <sup>144,145</sup>	Low levels of natalizumab in breastmilk in cynomolgus monkeys treated until parturition <sup>145</sup>	Low in seven women <sup>175–177</sup>	5.30% (based on peak concentration) and 1.74% (based on average concentration), <sup>175</sup> but cumulative effects of monthly dosing possible	Probably compatible with breastfeeding but further study needed
Rituximab <sup>153,154</sup>	Detected in cynomolgus monkey milk <sup>153,154</sup>	Low in nine women <sup>178</sup>	0.08% (range 0.06–0.10) <sup>178</sup>	Probably compatible with breastfeeding
Ocrelizumab <sup>157,160</sup>	Detected in milk of treated monkeys, <sup>159</sup> with levels about 0.2% of steady state trough serum levels during lactation <sup>160</sup>	Unknown, but likely low given large MW and limited known IgG1 transfer into breastmilk	–	Probably compatible with breastfeeding
Alemtizumab <sup>163,164</sup>	Detected in milk and offspring of lactating mice treated postpartum; <sup>163,164</sup> Serum levels similar in lactating mice and offspring, and associated with decrease in offspring lymphocyte counts <sup>163</sup>	Unknown, but likely to be low given large MW and limited known IgG1 transfer into breastmilk	–	Probably compatible with breastfeeding EMA: breastfeeding 4 months after the last dose safe (specific timing not advised by FDA)

\*Some recommendations for DMT use while breastfeeding are off-label and represent expert opinion based on available data.

DMT, disease-modifying therapy; EMA, European Medicines Agency; FDA, Food and Drug Administration; MMF, monomethyl fumarate; MW, molecular weight;  $t_{1/2}$ , half life.



Sixteen years later, a study of 338 women from an Italian multicenter cohort demonstrated that short-term increases in disability accrual (6-month confirmed disability progression) postpartum were driven primarily by relapse activity in the year after delivery.<sup>197</sup>

Postpartum outcomes are not limited to women with live births. A recent Italian multicenter study of 188 abortions (17 elective) in RRMS reported that women were at increased risk of clinical and radiological inflammatory activity in the 12 months post-abortion compared with pre-abortion, and risk of inflammatory activity was higher in those with elective compared with spontaneous abortion.<sup>99</sup> Similarly, a smaller study reported a trend towards increased MS activity after pregnancy loss compared with before.<sup>198</sup> The relative risk of inflammatory activity in women who have had abortions relative to women with live births remains unknown.

Various studies have proposed predictors of postpartum relapses,<sup>5,81,166,187,189–191,196,199–201</sup> summarized in Table 5. Beyond withdrawal of highly effective therapies,<sup>166,193</sup> these studies have consistently demonstrated that patients with higher relapse activity pre-conception and during pregnancy, as well as EDSS scores of >2.0 at conception are the key independent predictors of postpartum relapses.<sup>81,191,197</sup> Potentially modifiable risk factors of postpartum relapse such as resuming DMT, vitamin D status, diet, smoking, alcohol, and stress have not been adequately studied.<sup>202</sup> On the other hand, breastfeeding has received substantial interest, and is discussed in detail in the following section.

### Breastfeeding and postpartum relapses

The effect of breastfeeding on postpartum relapse risk has been controversial, with some studies supporting a protective effect,<sup>192,200,201,203,204</sup> while others have not.<sup>5,119,199,205–212</sup> There is no evidence to suggest a harmful effect of breastfeeding on MS relapse risk, which is important given the many benefits of breastfeeding to the infant and mother.<sup>170</sup> A recent systematic review and meta-analysis included 24 studies evaluating the association between breastfeeding and postpartum MS relapses, of which 16 had data available to pool.<sup>169</sup> Overall, breastfeeding was associated with 37% lower odds of postpartum relapse compared with nonbreastfeeding. This association was stronger in

studies of exclusive breastfeeding (no regular formula supplementation for  $\geq 2$  months) with 48% lower odds of postpartum relapse, compared with 32% lower odds in studies of nonexclusive breastfeeding. One study reported that women who partially breastfed had similar relapse risk to those who did not breastfeed,<sup>201</sup> supporting benefit primarily of exclusive breastfeeding. Confounding and other sources of bias remain a concern given the observational design of these studies, although pooling four well-designed studies was supportive of a protective effect with 43% lower rate of postpartum relapse in breastfeeding compared with nonbreastfeeding groups.<sup>169</sup> The potentially protective effect of breastfeeding may be due to breastfeeding-associated hormonal changes including suppression of pulsatile release of GnRH and LH, as well as high prolactin.<sup>213</sup>

Management of MS during the postpartum period should be individualized based on postpartum relapse risk. In those with particularly high risk of postpartum relapse, breastfeeding may be deferred to resume MS therapies. However, the majority of women with MS should be encouraged to breastfeed, and some therapies may be safe to use while breastfeeding. A better understanding of additional strategies to prevent postpartum relapses is urgently needed, including better understanding of the safety of breastfeeding during treatment with DMTs, to allow both the benefit of breastfeeding and treatment of MS.

### Postpartum management

In the postpartum period, there are three treatment goals: to prevent inflammatory activity, to provide holistic care, and to optimize psychosocial functioning. Whenever possible, anticipatory guidance should be initiated prior to delivery to minimize delays in care. Care should be in collaboration with the mother, other family members, and, when necessary (e.g., concerns about maternal medications in breastmilk), other healthcare providers.

To prevent inflammatory activity, individualized decisions should be made regarding when to resume DMT, choice of DMT, breastfeeding plans, and use of bridge therapies if indicated. A surveillance MRI 4–6 weeks postpartum may assist in monitoring for subclinical disease activity, particularly in women who delay early DMT initiation. Most women with MS should be

**Table 5.** Proposed predictors of postpartum relapses.

Protective against postpartum relapse	Risk factors associated with postpartum relapse	No consistent effect on postpartum relapse
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Pre-conception disease modifying therapy use</li> <li>- Lower disease activity pre-conception</li> <li>- Early re-initiation of disease modifying therapy</li> <li>- Potentially breastfeeding, particularly exclusive</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Higher disease activity pre-conception (preceding 12 months)</li> <li>- Higher disease activity during pregnancy</li> <li>- Higher disability level at onset of pregnancy</li> <li>- Longer wash out period after discontinuation of high-efficacy disease-modifying therapy</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Age (neither at the onset of MS or pregnancy)</li> <li>- Number of prior pregnancies</li> <li>- Infant sex</li> <li>- Cesarean section</li> <li>- Use of epidural anesthesia</li> <li>- Postpartum use of IVIG or IV steroids</li> </ul>
IV, intravenous; IVIG, intravenous immune globulin; MS, multiple sclerosis.		

encouraged to breastfeed, but those who cannot, or do not wish to, breastfeed should be advised to resume DMT within 2–4 weeks postpartum. For those breastfeeding with higher risk of relapse, certain DMTs could be considered while breastfeeding, as outlined elsewhere in this review.

The second goal is to comprehensively evaluate the woman's function. There are limited data to guide care. In our clinical experience, monitoring includes evaluating and treating the following functions and when warranted multidisciplinary referrals (e.g., psychologist, psychiatrist, physical therapist, pelvic floor therapist, and/or urologist).

- Screening for peripartum depression (PPD), anxiety or milder “baby blues.” PPD is present in 7–19% of all women in the peripartum period (final weeks of pregnancy through 1 year postpartum), and a major risk factor is prior history of depression.<sup>214–216</sup> For the general population, screening with the Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale with a cutoff of 13 is acceptable, and “screening should be implemented with adequate systems in place to ensure accurate diagnosis, effective treatment, and appropriate follow-up.”<sup>217</sup> PPD in MS is under-explored.<sup>218</sup>
- Fatigue may worsen postpartum as a result of sleep disruption (newborn needs, mood, or bladder changes) or hormonal changes. Contributing factors should be assessed prior to initiation of medications.

- Strength and gait optimization including screening for weakness, loss of balance, or cardiopulmonary deconditioning.
- Evaluation of bladder and bowel function, which could be disrupted from neurogenic and/or obstetrical causes but is understudied,<sup>219,220</sup> and consideration of pre-emptive referral to pelvic floor physical therapy.
- Screening for endocrine changes may include vitamin D level,<sup>211,221</sup> which in some, but not all, studies has been associated with inflammatory activity in MS,<sup>211,222</sup> as well as thyroid function.<sup>223</sup>

The third goal is to optimize the patient's psychosocial functioning, minimizing as possible disruption caused by postpartum recovery and care of the newborn, and optimizing support available to her. This support may include assistance (from the partner, other family members or a professional) with management of the newborn to enable periods of rest. A social worker may provide advice regarding short-term disability leave and/or financial resources when needed.

### Pregnancy and disease course: long-term outcomes

Despite the potential increased relapse risk postpartum, the majority of individuals do not experience relapses in the postpartum period, and pregnancy does not appear to alter long-term relapse rate or disease progression.<sup>5,81,166</sup> However, the impact of pregnancy on long-term outcomes

remains less clear. Studies up to the mid-2010s demonstrated either a slower rate of disability progression in women becoming pregnant after MS onset,<sup>38,71,224–227</sup> or failed to demonstrate an association between pregnancy and long-term disability.<sup>228–230</sup> One notable exception found weak evidence for increased risk of converting to SPMS over 10-years in a parous cohort (on injectable or no DMT).<sup>231</sup>

More recently, a protective effect of pregnancy on long-term outcomes was reported in a large real-world cohort (MSBase). Females with at least one pregnancy had lower EDSS scores over 10 years, after adjustment for relapse rate, therapy use, and other covariates.<sup>232</sup> Interestingly, when comparing proportion of time spent pregnant with proportion of time on first-line therapy, the protective effect of pregnancy was greater. While the possibility of reverse causality (women with milder disease more likely to attempt pregnancy) cannot be excluded, this is challenged by the fact that approximately half of pregnancies were conceived while on therapy. In the Barcelona CIS cohort, pregnancy after CIS was protective against risk of MS, and time to EDSS 3.0 if pregnancy was modelled as a baseline variable. However, these protective effects were lost when pregnancy was analyzed as a time-dependent variable.<sup>233</sup> Notably, 32% of this cohort did not fulfill Barkhof criteria, potentially limiting generalizability.

Overall, there is little-to-no evidence that pregnancy has a negative impact on long-term outcomes at the group level, with most studies demonstrating a neutral or protective effect. MS does not preclude parenthood or pregnancy.<sup>234</sup> Nonetheless, the effect of pregnancy on disease outcomes, a woman's ability to care for her child, and future financial stress are key concerns of women with MS considering family planning.<sup>235–238</sup> Relapses are the greatest independent driver of long-term disability accrual.<sup>232</sup> Therefore, careful pregnancy planning and monitoring including appropriate use/withdrawal of DMTs is paramount to ensuring positive long-term outcomes for women with MS.<sup>239,240</sup>

Further studies are needed to evaluate effects of pregnancy in PPMS, address issues of reverse causality, and understand the long-term impact of pregnancy in heterogeneous cohorts to allow

pregnancy planning advice tailored to the individual.

### Stigma around family planning

Stigma means mark of inferiority.<sup>241–243</sup> People with MS or their partners who want to procreate may be questioned about wishing to have children despite a neurodegenerative condition. They may be judged by their family, society, the health-care team, and even their partners. Moreover, they may feel guilty and fearful of unforeseen consequences,<sup>244,245</sup> even though MS has negligible impact on pregnancy and vice versa.<sup>240</sup> They may conceal their desires and their condition,<sup>242,246</sup> or discontinue treatment. This fear and stigma may have greater impact on quality of life of prospective parents than the actual disease.<sup>240,247</sup>

While it is not implied that every couple should procreate, expert support and multidisciplinary guidance could help patients structure their lives and manage their condition, to allow them to have a positive reproductive experience.<sup>241,248</sup>

### Exogenous hormones as a DMT with impact on long-term prognosis of MS

High doses of estrogen seem to be protective by decreasing MS disease activity in the EAE animal model and women during pregnancy.<sup>249</sup> Observational studies of potential effects of oral contraceptives and ART are discussed earlier in this review. To solve the inherent limitations of retrospective and observational studies, two randomized-controlled, phase II, clinical trials have assessed the impact of exogenous hormones on disease course.<sup>249,250</sup> They suggest that the addition of ethinyl estradiol 40 µg and desogestrel 125 µg to interferon-β-1a,<sup>250</sup> and estriol to glatiramer acetate,<sup>249</sup> are associated with fewer lesions on brain MRI (26.5% reduction of cumulative number of combined unique active lesions,  $p=0.04$ ), and lower ARR (0.25 *versus* 0.48,  $p=0.016$  at 12 months), respectively. In addition, both studies showed promising effects of estrogen on cognitive disability as exploratory outcomes. Estriol treatment-induced cognitive improvement was correlated with less cerebral cortex gray matter atrophy,<sup>249</sup> which was mapped to sparing of frontal cerebral cortex.<sup>251</sup> Further research is needed to understand the effect of exogenous hormones on long-term MS prognosis in women.

### Menopause and reproductive aging

Like puberty and pregnancy, perimenopause leads to widespread changes in biology. Changes in the immune and nervous systems, fluctuations in gonadal hormones, and symptoms that overlap with those caused by MS may contribute to changes in clinical phenotype to a progressive disease course over the fifth decade.<sup>252</sup> Challenges in understanding the role of perimenopause in MS include distinguishing effects of somatic *versus* reproductive aging and the potential confounding from comorbid illnesses that become more frequent with older age.

#### Ovarian aging and disease course

The mean age of onset of secondary progressive MS, characterized by a change from a relapsing remitting phenotype to a continuously progressive form of disease, conspicuously occurs for most women during the perimenopausal period. Several studies have aimed to determine if the menopausal transition affects disease course. Some have reported clinical worsening when patients were asked about their disease perception during menopausal transition,<sup>253–255</sup> possibly due to the additive effect of menopause and MS overlapping symptoms. On the other hand, others have focused on the search for an inflection point in MS course centered on menopause. Bove *et al.* described an inflection point in EDSS worsening at menopause (difference of 0.076 units; 95% CI 0.010–0.14,  $p=0.024$ ) in 124 women followed longitudinally (mean follow up 10.4 years).<sup>8</sup> This was replicated by Baroncini *et al.*, who also reported a significant annual EDSS increase in the post-menopausal period (3 years) as compared with the pre-menopausal period (3 years) ( $0.4 \pm 0.7$  *versus*  $0.2 \pm 0.6$  points,  $p=0.014$ ) in 108 women.<sup>256</sup> In a smaller cohort ( $n=37$ ), Ladeira *et al.* detected stable EDSS variation across the menopausal transition.<sup>6</sup> Ladeira *et al.* and Baroncini *et al.* also reported significant decrease in annual relapse rate after menopause.<sup>6,256</sup> On the other hand, Otero *et al.* found that menopause did not influence the risk of disability accumulation when trajectories of EDSS over the complete disease course (from CIS through menopause) were accounted for, and once adjusting for age and disease duration.<sup>72</sup>

Much of the ovarian aging biology accumulates years before the final menstrual cycle, highlighting

a continuum of physiological changes, rather than any abrupt change arising at the final menstrual period that could influence MS course. To study the prolonged period of ovarian decline, Graves *et al.* used AMH as a marker of ovarian function.<sup>9</sup> AMH is produced by ovarian follicles, and levels decline exponentially during the peri-menopausal period. Within a 10-year longitudinal cohort, declines in AMH level were associated with clinically and statistically significant increases in disability and gray matter atrophy, even with adjustment for chronological age, BMI, and disease duration.<sup>9</sup>

Mechanistically, the loss of ovarian estrogen around menopause could potentiate several aging-associated phenomena, including decrease in brain repair mechanisms, decrease in immune activation, and, ultimately, a loss of neuro-homeostasis leading to accelerated neurodegeneration and subsequent disease progression.<sup>257–259</sup> The subsequent results of these potential changes include increased levels of senescent immune cells and neurons as well as increased CNS atrophy and disability. Notably, cognition is one disability in MS that may be particularly sensitive to loss of estrogens during menopause in light of the well-documented “brain fog” described in otherwise healthy women during natural menopause with aging and surgical menopause not due to aging.<sup>260–265</sup> However, estrogen may not be the only culprit as there are also substantial changes in androgen production, and it is difficult to tease apart the effects of somatic aging processes that are linked with perimenopause in women.

#### Symptom management in perimenopause

Few studies have addressed management of MS symptoms at menopause. Symptom management and choice of DMT should be tailored to account for fatigue and pseudo-exacerbations triggered by hot flashes, which can become more prominent at menopause.<sup>266,267</sup> Co-management with primary or women’s health providers may be beneficial. Bladder symptoms may also worsen and urology assessment may be needed.

Prospective, randomized studies on the effect of hormone therapy in patients with MS during menopause are needed, as prior studies reported improved quality of life in post-menopausal women with MS.<sup>266</sup> Wellness approaches, attention to co-morbidities, as well as adding a neuroprotective agent may be appropriate when these



**Table 6.** Contributors to sexual dysfunction in MS and management options.

Contributing factors	Management options
Pain	Vaginal lubricant/moisturizers Low-dose vaginal estrogen Intra-vaginal DHEA Laser treatment for vaginal atrophy Pelvic floor physical therapy
Comorbid medical problems (e.g., diabetes, obesity)	Treatment of gynecologic disorders, bladder and bowel incontinence Diagnosis and management of sleep disorders Depression management Weight loss Systemic hormones for menopause, when appropriate
Medication side effects	Manage anti-depressant associated sexual dysfunction Behavioral (exercise, vibratory stimulation, scheduling sexual activity) Acupuncture Pharmacologic (bupropion, sildenafil, cyproheptadine) Modification of medications
Psychologic factors	Psychotherapy, CBT, individual/couples therapy Stress management
Hypoactive desire	Education Books: "Better Sex through Mindfulness" (Lori Brotto), "Mating in Captivity" (Esther Perel), "Come As You Are" (Emily Nagoski) Apps: Meet Rosy, OMGYes Sex therapy (may include behavioral, CBT, mindfulness therapy) CNS medications (flibanserin, bupropion <sup>†</sup> , buspirone <sup>†</sup> ) Hormone therapy (transdermal testosterone <sup>†,‡</sup> ) Behavioral modifications (exercise, erotica, vibratory or clitoral vacuum stimulation)
<sup>†</sup> Limited evidence exists to support these treatment options; these medications are not FDA-approved for hypoactive sexual desire in women. <sup>‡</sup> Safety is incompletely understood in this population so risks and benefits should be considered. CBT, cognitive behavioral therapy; DHEA, dehydroepiandrosterone; MS, multiple sclerosis.	

are substantiated and become available for women living with MS at menopause and beyond.

### Female sexual dysfunction in MS

Sexual dysfunction affects up to 95% of women with MS,<sup>268,269</sup> but it is rarely discussed in the office setting. Many patients are embarrassed to bring up the topic, while many physicians feel that they have little to offer and do not ask. Nevertheless, sexual satisfaction is heavily linked to quality of life for women with MS,<sup>270</sup> and it is important for care providers to help manage this.

Female sexuality is multifaceted, and MS can impact it at every level. Direct damage to the brain and spinal cord can impede desire, decrease vaginal sensation and lubrication, and impair orgasm, as well as contribute to pain with sex. Indirect factors impacting sexual function can include physical

problems like bladder/bowel dysfunction, fatigue, weakness, and spasticity, as well as emotional problems like cognitive dysfunction or depression.<sup>271</sup>

"Invisible" psychologic and emotional factors may also negatively impact sexuality. Desire, for women, is heavily correlated with stress levels, fatigue, relationship quality, and many other intangibles that are vulnerable in settings of chronic neurologic disease. Women with MS often struggle with body image. Many couples struggle as they cope with the physical, emotional, and financial stressors imposed by the disease, and subsequently experience deterioration of their sexual relationship.

Sexual problems may develop early in the course of MS, and tend to persist or worsen over time.<sup>268,272</sup> Nevertheless, many factors contributing to sexual dysfunction in MS can be effectively modified (Table 6).<sup>273</sup>

### Conclusion

Sex hormones play a significant role in the risk and course of MS. Dramatic hormonal fluctuations can influence clinical, radiographic, and disability-related disease parameters. The role of sex chromosomes on sex differences in MS risk and disease progression represents a new frontier for exploration. More research efforts are needed to fully understand unique questions related to MS and fertility, contraception, pregnancy, and reproductive aging.

### Acknowledgements

We would like to thank the Executive Committee and members of the International Women in MS (iWiMS) group.

### Conflict of interest statement

Kristen M. Krysko is funded by a Sylvia Lawry Physician Fellowship through the National Multiple Sclerosis Society [FP-1605-08753 (Krysko)]. She also had fellowship funding through Biogen.

Jennifer S. Graves has received recent grant and clinical trial support from the National MS Society, Race to Erase MS, UCSF CTSI RAP program, Biogen, and Genentech. She has received honoraria from Biogen and Genzyme for non-promotional trainee education events. She has received personal fees from Novartis and Celgene.

Ruth Dobson works within the PNU, which is funded by Barts Charity. She receives grant support from the UK MS Society, Horne Family Charitable Trust, Biogen, Celgene, and Merck. She has received honoraria for Advisory boards and/or educational activities from Biogen, Teva, Sanofi, Merck, and Roche.

Ayse Altintas has received speaker honoraria for non-promotional education events and travel grants from Merck, TEVA, and Novartis.

Maria Pia Amato has received research grants and honoraria as a speaker and member of advisory boards by Biogen, Merck, Roche, Teva, Sanofi Genzyme, and Novartis.

Jacqueline Bernard has served as a Consultant for Biogen.

Simona Bonavita has received speaker honoraria and/or Advisory Board fees from Novartis, Teva, Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen-Idec, and Merck-Serono.

Riley Bove is funded by a Harry Weaver Scholarship through the National Multiple Sclerosis Society. She has received recent research support from the National Multiple Sclerosis Society, the California Initiative to Advance Precision Medicine, the Hilton Foundation, the Sherak Foundation, the Weill Innovation Fund, and the UCSF CTSI RAP program. She has received consulting fees from Alexion, Biogen, EMD Serono, Genzyme Sanofi, Novartis, and Roche Genentech.

Paola Cavalla has received recent grants and clinical trial support from Biogen and Sanofi-Genzyme. She has received honoraria from Almirall, Biogen, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi-Genzyme, and Teva for non-promotional trainee education events.

Marinella Clerico has received personal compensation for speaking/advising/consulting from Merck, Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen, Novartis, and Teva; was supported in travelling expenses for congresses from Merck, Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen, Novartis, Teva, and Almirall; has received research grants from Italian MS Foundation (FISM), Italian Ministry of Research, Merck, Sanofi-Genzyme, Biogen, and Novartis.

Teresa Corona declares no conflicts of interest.

Anisha Doshi declares no conflicts of interest.

Yara Fragoso declares no conflicts of interest.

Dina Jacobs has clinical research trial support from Genentech/Roche, Biogen, Novartis, and Medimmune, and has received consulting/advisory board honoraria from Celgene, Biogen, Novartis, EMD Serono, and Sanofi Genzyme.

Vilija Jokubaitis is funded by an MS Research Australia Fellowship (16-0206). She receives project grant support from the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) of Australia (GNT1156519) and MS Research Australia (18-0424; 19-0665). She has received honoraria from Biogen and Roche for non-promotional educational activities, and conference travel support from Merck and Roche.

Doriana Landi has received travel funding from Roche, Biogen, Merck-Serono, Sanofi-Genzyme, and Teva; speaking or consultations fees from Bayer-Schering, Sanofi-Genzyme, Merck-Serono, Teva, Biogen, Roche, Mylan, and Almirall.

Gloria Llamasa declares no conflicts of interest.

Erin E. Longbrake has received honoraria from Genentech, Genzyme, Alexion, Biogen, EMD Serono, and Celgene. She has grant support from NIH K23NS107624 and Race to Erase MS.

Elisabeth Maillart has received grant support from Biogen, Novartis, and Roche. She has also received consultant honoraria from Biogen, Celgene, Merck-Serono, Novartis, Roche, Sanofi Genzyme, and Teva.

Monica Marta has received honoraria and travel costs from Genzyme, AbbVie, Roche, and Novartis.

Luciana Midaglia declares no conflicts of interest.

Suma Shah has received honoraria from Biogen.

Mar Tintoré has received compensation for consulting services and speaking honoraria from Bayer Schering Pharma, Merck-Serono, Biogen Idec, Teva Pharmaceuticals, Sanofi-Aventis, Novartis, Almirall, Genzyme, Viela Bio, and Roche.

Anneke van der Walt has served on scientific advisory boards, received travel support and speaker's honoraria from Biogen, Merck, Novartis, and Roche. She is supported by funding from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

Rhonda Voskuhl declares no conflicts of interest.

Yujie Wang declares no conflicts of interest.

Rana K. Zabad has been a site investigator or site PI for clinical trials funded by Adamas, Biogen, Genentech, Novartis, Sunpharma, and PCORI. In the last 5 years, she has served as a consultant for Bayer, Biogen, Genentech, Celgene, Genzyme, TEVA Neuroscience, and TG therapeutics, and has given unbranded lectures sponsored by TEVA, Novartis, and Genentech. She is a member of the Adjudication Committee for a clinical trial of biotin in primary and secondary progressive multiple sclerosis sponsored by PAREXEL and medDay pharmaceutical.

Burcu Zeydan reports funding from NIH (NIA: U54 AG44170).

Maria Houtchens has received grant support from Biogen, Serono, Sanofi Genzyme, and Genentech/Roche. She also received consultant honoraria from Biogen, Serono, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Celgene.

Kerstin Hellwig receives grant support from the Innovation Fund of the Federal Joint Committee.

She has also received consultant and speaker honoraria and grant support from Bayer, Biogen, Merck, Novartis, Sanofi Genzyme, Roche, and Teva.

### Funding

The authors disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Kristen M. Krysko is funded by a Sylvia Lawry Physician Fellowship through the National Multiple Sclerosis Society [FP-1605-08753 (Krysko)].

### ORCID iDs

Kristen M. Krysko  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0090-597X>

Anneke van der Walt  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4278-7003>

Kerstin Hellwig  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4467-9011>

### References

1. Orton SM, Herrera BM, Yee IM, *et al.* Sex ratio of multiple sclerosis in Canada: a longitudinal study. *Lancet Neurol* 2006; 5: 932–936.
2. Tintoré M and Arrambide G. Early onset multiple sclerosis: the role of gender. *J Neurol Sci* 2009; 286: 31–34.
3. Bove RM, Healy B, Augustine A, *et al.* Effect of gender on late-onset multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2012; 18: 1472–1479.
4. Polliack ML, Barak Y and Achiron A. Late-onset multiple sclerosis. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2001; 49: 168–171.
5. Confavreux C, Hutchinson M, Hours MM, *et al.* Rate of pregnancy-related relapse in multiple sclerosis. Pregnancy in Multiple Sclerosis Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998; 339: 285–291.
6. Ladeira F, Salavisa M, Caetano A, *et al.* The influence of menopause in multiple sclerosis course: a longitudinal cohort study. *Eur Neurol* 2018; 80: 223–227.
7. Damasceno A, Von Glehn F, Brandão CO, *et al.* Prognostic indicators for long-term disability in multiple sclerosis patients. *J Neurol Sci* 2013; 324: 29–33.
8. Bove R, Healy BC, Musallam A, *et al.* Exploration of changes in disability after

- menopause in a longitudinal multiple sclerosis cohort. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 935–943.
9. Graves JS, Henry RG, Cree BAC, *et al.* Ovarian aging is associated with gray matter volume and disability in women with MS. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e254–e260.
  10. Koch-Henriksen N and Sorensen PS. The changing demographic pattern of multiple sclerosis epidemiology. *Lancet Neurol* 2010; 9: 520–532.
  11. Westerlind H, Bostrom I, Stawiarz L, *et al.* New data identify an increasing sex ratio of multiple sclerosis in Sweden. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 1578–1583.
  12. Taylor BV, Pearson JF, Clarke G, *et al.* MS prevalence in New Zealand, an ethnically and latitudinally diverse country. *Mult Scler* 2010; 16: 1422–1431.
  13. Trojano M, Lucchese G, Graziano G, *et al.* Geographical variations in sex ratio trends over time in multiple sclerosis. *PLoS One* 2012; 7: e48078.
  14. Rotstein DL, Chen H, Wilton AS, *et al.* Temporal trends in multiple sclerosis prevalence and incidence in a large population. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e1435–e1441.
  15. Magyari M. Role of socio-economic and reproductive factors in the risk of multiple sclerosis. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015; 132: 20–23.
  16. Wijnands JMA, Kingwell E, Zhu F, *et al.* Health-care use before a first demyelinating event suggestive of a multiple sclerosis prodrome: a matched cohort study. *Lancet Neurol* 2017; 16: 445–451.
  17. Hawkes CH and Macgregor AJ. Twin studies and the heritability of MS: a conclusion. *Mult Scler* 2009; 15: 661–667.
  18. IMSSGC. Multiple sclerosis genomic map implicates peripheral immune cells and microglia in susceptibility. *Science* 2019; 365: eaav7188.
  19. Voskuhl RR. The effect of sex on multiple sclerosis risk and disease progression. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26: 554–560.
  20. Voskuhl RR, Sawalha AH and Itoh Y. Sex chromosome contributions to sex differences in multiple sclerosis susceptibility and progression. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24: 22–31.
  21. Golden LC, Itoh Y, Itoh N, *et al.* Parent-of-origin differences in DNA methylation of X chromosome genes in T lymphocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2019; 116: 26779–26787.
  22. Itoh Y, Golden LC, Itoh N, *et al.* The X-linked histone demethylase Kdm6a in CD4+ T lymphocytes modulates autoimmunity. *J Clin Invest* 2019; 130: 3852–3863.
  23. Ramagopalan SV, Valdar W, Criscuoli M, *et al.* Age of puberty and the risk of multiple sclerosis: a population based study. *Eur J Neurol* 2009; 16: 342–347.
  24. Sloka JS, Pryse-Phillips WEM and Stefanelli M. The relation between menarche and the age of first symptoms in a multiple sclerosis cohort. *Mult Scler* 2006; 12: 333–339.
  25. Boesen MS, Magyari M, Koch-Henriksen N, *et al.* Pediatric-onset multiple sclerosis and other acquired demyelinating syndromes of the central nervous system in Denmark during 1977–2015: a nationwide population-based incidence study. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24: 1077–1086.
  26. Lulu S, Graves J and Waubant E. Menarche increases relapse risk in pediatric multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 193–200.
  27. Correale J, Arias M and Gilmore W. Steroid hormone regulation of cytokine secretion by proteolipid protein-specific CD4+ T cell clones isolated from multiple sclerosis patients and normal control subjects. *J Immunol* 1998; 161: 3365–3374.
  28. Soldan SS, Alvarez Retuerto AI, Sicotte NL, *et al.* Immune modulation in multiple sclerosis patients treated with the pregnancy hormone estriol. *J Immunol* 2003; 171: 6267–6274.
  29. Hedstrom AK, Baarnhielm M, Olsson T, *et al.* Tobacco smoking, but not Swedish snuff use, increases the risk of multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2009; 73: 696–701.
  30. Poorolajal J, Bahrami M, Karami M, *et al.* Effect of smoking on multiple sclerosis: a meta-analysis. *J Public Health (Oxf)* 2017; 39: 312–320.
  31. Bostrom I and Landtblom AM. Does the changing sex ratio of multiple sclerosis give opportunities for intervention? *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015; 132: 42–45.
  32. Dobson R, Kuhle J, Middeldorp J, *et al.* Epstein-Barr-negative MS: a true phenomenon? *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2017; 4: e318.
  33. Kremontsov DN, Asarian L, Fang Q, *et al.* Sex-specific gene-by-vitamin D interactions regulate susceptibility to central nervous system autoimmunity. *Front Immunol* 2018; 9: 1622.



34. Spach KM and Hayes CE. Vitamin D3 confers protection from autoimmune encephalomyelitis only in female mice. *J Immunol* 2005; 175: 4119–4126.
35. Orton SM, Wald L, Confavreux C, *et al.* Association of UV radiation with multiple sclerosis prevalence and sex ratio in France. *Neurology* 2011; 76: 425–431.
36. Cavalla P, Rovei V, Masera S, *et al.* Fertility in patients with multiple sclerosis: current knowledge and future perspectives. *Neurol Sci* 2006; 27: 231–239.
37. McCombe PA and Stenager E. Female infertility and multiple sclerosis: is this an issue? *Mult Scler* 2015; 21: 5–7.
38. Runmarker B and Andersen O. Pregnancy is associated with a lower risk of onset and a better prognosis in multiple sclerosis. *Brain* 1995; 118 (Pt. 1): 253–261.
39. Jalkanen A, Alanen A, Airas L, *et al.* Pregnancy outcome in women with multiple sclerosis: results from a prospective nationwide study in Finland. *Mult Scler* 2010; 16: 950–955.
40. Lavorgna L, Esposito S, Lanzillo R, *et al.* Factors interfering with parenthood decision-making in an Italian sample of people with multiple sclerosis: an exploratory online survey. *J Neurol* 2019; 266: 707–716.
41. Boumpas DT, Austin HA III, Vaughan EM, *et al.* Risk for sustained amenorrhea in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus receiving intermittent pulse cyclophosphamide therapy. *Ann Intern Med* 1993; 119: 366–369.
42. Cocco E, Sardu C, Gallo P, *et al.* Frequency and risk factors of mitoxantrone-induced amenorrhea in multiple sclerosis: the FEMIMS study. *Mult Scler* 2008; 14: 1225–1233.
43. Thöne J, Kollar S, Nousse D, *et al.* Serum anti-Müllerian hormone levels in reproductive-age women with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2015; 21: 41–47.
44. Sepúlveda M, Ros C, Martínez-Lapiscina EH, *et al.* Pituitary-ovary axis and ovarian reserve in fertile women with multiple sclerosis: a pilot study. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 564–568.
45. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Infertility, <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/infertility/index.htm> (2019, accessed 8 March 2020).
46. Farquhar C and Marjoribanks J. Assisted reproductive technology: an overview of Cochrane reviews. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018; 8: CD010537.
47. Vaknin-Dembinsky A, Bdolah Y, Karussis D, *et al.* Tumefactive demyelination following in vitro fertilization (IVF). *J Neurol Sci* 2015; 348: 256–258.
48. Ladwig A, Dunkl V, Richter N, *et al.* Two cases of multiple sclerosis manifesting after in vitro fertilization procedures. *J Neurol* 2016; 263: 603–605.
49. Torkildsen Ø, Holmøy T and Myhr K-M. Severe multiple sclerosis reactivation after gonadotropin treatment. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2018; 22: 38–40.
50. Bove R, Rankin K, Lin C, *et al.* Effect of assisted reproductive technology on multiple sclerosis relapses: case series and meta-analysis. *Mult Scler*. Epub ahead of print 1 August 2019. DOI: 10.1177/1352458519865118.
51. Hellwig K, Schimrigk S, Beste C, *et al.* Increase in relapse rate during assisted reproduction technique in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Eur Neurol* 2009; 61: 65–68.
52. Correale J, Farez MF and Ysrraelit MC. Increase in multiple sclerosis activity after assisted reproduction technology. *Ann Neurol* 2012; 72: 682–694.
53. Michel L, Foucher Y, Vukusic S, *et al.* Increased risk of multiple sclerosis relapse after in vitro fertilisation. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012; 83: 796–802.
54. Laplaud DA, Leray E, Barrière P, *et al.* Increase in multiple sclerosis relapse rate following in vitro fertilization. *Neurology* 2006; 66: 1280–1281.
55. Hellwig K, Beste C, Brune N, *et al.* Increased MS relapse rate during assisted reproduction technique. *J Neurol* 2008; 255: 592–593.
56. Guzmán-Soto I, Salinas E, Hernández-Jasso I, *et al.* Leuprolide acetate, a GnRH agonist, improves experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis: a possible therapy for multiple sclerosis. *Neurochem Res* 2012; 37: 2190–2197.
57. D’Hooghe MB, D’Hooghe T and De Keyser J. Female gender and reproductive factors affecting risk, relapses and progression in multiple sclerosis. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2013; 75: 73–84.
58. Hellwig K and Correale J. Artificial reproductive techniques in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Immunol* 2013; 149: 219–224.

59. Laplaud DA, Lefrère F, Leray E, *et al.* Increased risk of relapse in multiple sclerosis patients after ovarian stimulation for in vitro fertilization. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil* 2007; 35: 1047–1050.
60. Voskuhl RR. Assisted reproduction technology in multiple sclerosis: giving birth to a new avenue of research in hormones and autoimmunity. *Ann Neurol* 2012; 72: 631–632.
61. Bove R and Chitnis T. The role of gender and sex hormones in determining the onset and outcome of multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 520–526.
62. Alonso A and Clark CJ. Oral contraceptives and the risk of multiple sclerosis: a review of the epidemiologic evidence. *J Neurol Sci* 2009; 286: 73–75.
63. Villard-Mackintosh L and Vessey MP. Oral contraceptives and reproductive factors in multiple sclerosis incidence. *Contraception* 1993; 47: 161–168.
64. Hernán MA, Hohol MJ, Olek MJ, *et al.* Oral contraceptives and the incidence of multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2000; 55: 848–854.
65. Alonso A, Jick SS, Olek MJ, *et al.* Recent use of oral contraceptives and the risk of multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2005; 62: 1362–1365.
66. Holmqvist P, Hammar M, Landtblom AM, *et al.* Age at onset of multiple sclerosis is correlated to use of combined oral contraceptives and childbirth before diagnosis. *Fertil Steril* 2010; 94: 2835–2837.
67. Hellwig K, Chen LH, Stanczyk FZ, *et al.* Oral contraceptives and multiple sclerosis/clinically isolated syndrome susceptibility. *PLoS One* 2016; 11: e0149094.
68. Zapata LB, Oduyebo T, Whiteman MK, *et al.* Contraceptive use among women with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Contraception* 2016; 94: 612–620.
69. Sena A, Couderc R, Vasconcelos JC, *et al.* Oral contraceptive use and clinical outcomes in patients with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2012; 317: 47–51.
70. Gava G, Bartolomei I, Costantino A, *et al.* Long-term influence of combined oral contraceptive use on the clinical course of relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *Fertil Steril* 2014; 102: 116–122.
71. D’Hooghe MB, Haentjens P, Nagels G, *et al.* Menarche, oral contraceptives, pregnancy and progression of disability in relapsing onset and progressive onset multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* 2012; 259: 855–861.
72. Otero-Romero S, Rovira A, Zuluaga MI, *et al.* Impact of oral contraceptives and menopause on MS risk and prognosis: results from the Barcelona CIS cohort. Paris: ECTRIMS-ECTRIMS, 2017.
73. Voskuhl RR and Gold SM. Sex-related factors in multiple sclerosis susceptibility and progression. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2012; 8: 255–263.
74. Houtchens MK, Zapata LB, Curtis KM, *et al.* Contraception for women with multiple sclerosis: guidance for healthcare providers. *Mult Scler* 2017; 23: 757–764.
75. Curtis KM, Tepper NK, Jatlaoui TC, *et al.* U.S. medical eligibility criteria for contraceptive use, 2016. *MMWR Recomm Rep* 2016; 65: 1–103.
76. Dobson R, Dassan P, Roberts M, *et al.* UK consensus on pregnancy in multiple sclerosis: ‘Association of British Neurologists’ guidelines. *Pract Neurol* 2019; 19: 106–114.
77. Morelli SS, Mandal M, Goldsmith LT, *et al.* The maternal immune system during pregnancy and its influence on fetal development. *Res Rep Biol* 2015; 6: 171–189.
78. Dunn CL, Kelly RW and Critchley HO. Decidualization of the human endometrial stromal cell: an enigmatic transformation. *Reprod Biomed Online* 2003; 7: 151–161.
79. Mor G, Aldo P and Alvero AB. The unique immunological and microbial aspects of pregnancy. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2017; 17: 469–482.
80. Gatson NN, Williams JL, Powell ND, *et al.* Induction of pregnancy during established EAE halts progression of CNS autoimmune injury via pregnancy-specific serum factors. *J Neuroimmunol* 2011; 230: 105–113.
81. Vukusic S, Hutchinson M, Hours M, *et al.* Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis (the PRIMS study): clinical predictors of post-partum relapse. *Brain* 2004; 127: 1353–1360.
82. Nair RR, Verma P and Singh K. Immune-endocrine crosstalk during pregnancy. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 2017; 242: 18–23.
83. Al-Shammri S, Rawoot P, Azizieh F, *et al.* Th1/Th2 cytokine patterns and clinical profiles during and after pregnancy in women with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Sci* 2004; 222: 21–27.
84. Gilmore W, Arias M, Stroud N, *et al.* Preliminary studies of cytokine secretion

- patterns associated with pregnancy in MS patients. *J Neurol Sci* 2004; 224: 69–76.
85. Lopez C, Comabella M, Tintore M, *et al.* Variations in chemokine receptor and cytokine expression during pregnancy in multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler* 2006; 12: 421–427.
  86. Sanchez-Ramon S, Navarro AJ, Aristimuno C, *et al.* Pregnancy-induced expansion of regulatory T-lymphocytes may mediate protection to multiple sclerosis activity. *Immunol Lett* 2005; 96: 195–201.
  87. Iorio R, Frisullo G, Nociti V, *et al.* T-bet, pSTAT1 and pSTAT3 expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells during pregnancy correlates with post-partum activation of multiple sclerosis. *Clin Immunol* 2009; 131: 70–83.
  88. Neuteboom RF, Verbraak E, Wierenga-Wolf AF, *et al.* Pregnancy-induced fluctuations in functional T-cell subsets in multiple sclerosis patients. *Mult Scler* 2010; 16: 1073–1078.
  89. Airas L, Saraste M, Rinta S, *et al.* Immunoregulatory factors in multiple sclerosis patients during and after pregnancy: relevance of natural killer cells. *Clin Exp Immunol* 2008; 151: 235–243.
  90. Spadaro M, Martire S, Marozio L, *et al.* Immunomodulatory effect of pregnancy on leukocyte populations in patients with multiple sclerosis: a comparison of peripheral blood and decidual placental tissue. *Front Immunol* 2019; 10: 1935.
  91. Ramien C, Yusko EC, Engler JB, *et al.* T cell repertoire dynamics during pregnancy in multiple sclerosis. *Cell Rep* 2019; 29: 810–815.e4.
  92. Langer-Gould A, Gupta R, Huang S, *et al.* Interferon-gamma-producing T cells, pregnancy, and postpartum relapses of multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2010; 67: 51–57.
  93. Asaba J, Bandyopadhyay M, Kindy M, *et al.* Estrogen receptor signal in regulation of B cell activation during diverse immune responses. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol* 2015; 68: 42–47.
  94. Mor G, Cardenas I, Abrahams V, *et al.* Inflammation and pregnancy: the role of the immune system at the implantation site. *Ann NY Acad Sci* 2011; 1221: 80–87.
  95. Yang F, Zheng Q and Jin L. Dynamic function and composition changes of immune cells during normal and pathological pregnancy at the maternal-fetal interface. *Front Immunol* 2019; 10: 2317.
  96. Lima J, Martins C, Leandro MJ, *et al.* Characterization of B cells in healthy pregnant women from late pregnancy to post-partum: a prospective observational study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2016; 16: 139.
  97. Dutta S, Sengupta P and Haque N. Reproductive immunomodulatory functions of B cells in pregnancy. *Int Rev Immunol* 2020; 39: 53–66.
  98. Liu T, Zhang L, Joo D, *et al.* NF-kappaB signaling in inflammation. *Signal Transduct Target Ther* 2017; 2: 17023.
  99. Landi D, Ragonese P, Prosperini L, *et al.* Abortion induces reactivation of inflammation in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2018; 89: 1272–1278.
  100. Chu F, Shi M, Zheng C, *et al.* The roles of macrophages and microglia in multiple sclerosis and experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis. *J Neuroimmunol* 2018; 318: 1–7.
  101. Vasileiadis GK, Dardiotis E, Mavropoulos A, *et al.* Regulatory B and T lymphocytes in multiple sclerosis: friends or foes? *Auto Immun Highlights* 2018; 9: 9.
  102. Leibowitz SM and Yan J. NF-kappaB pathways in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis and the therapeutic implications. *Front Mol Neurosci* 2016; 9: 84.
  103. Houtchens MK, Edwards NC, Schneider G, *et al.* Pregnancy rates and outcomes in women with and without MS in the United States. *Neurology* 2018; 91: e1559–e1569.
  104. Gyllensten H, Juuti R, Hakkarainen K, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes in multiple sclerosis populations exposed and unexposed to interferon  $\beta$ : a register-based study in the Nordic countries. EU PAS Register, 2019.
  105. Munger KL, Aivo J, Hongell K, *et al.* Vitamin D status during pregnancy and risk of multiple sclerosis in offspring of women in the finnish maternity cohort. *JAMA Neurol* 2016; 73: 515–519.
  106. Mazor-Dray E, Levy A, Schlaeffer F, *et al.* Maternal urinary tract infection: is it independently associated with adverse pregnancy outcome? *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2009; 22: 124–128.
  107. European Medicines Agency (EMA). Evaluation of medicines for human use. Guidelines on risk assessment of medical products on human reproduction and lactation: from data to labeling, <http://www.ema.europa.eu/>

- docs/en\_GB/document\_library/Scientific\_guideline/2009/09/WC500003307.pdf (2008, accessed 1 January 2020).
108. Finkelsztejn A, Fragoso YD, Ferreira ML, *et al.* The Brazilian database on pregnancy in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2011; 113: 277–280.
  109. Fragoso YD, Boggild M, Macias-Islas MA, *et al.* The effects of long-term exposure to disease-modifying drugs during pregnancy in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2013; 115: 154–159.
  110. Fragoso YD, Finkelsztejn A, Comini-Frota ER, *et al.* Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis: the initial results from a Brazilian database. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr* 2009; 67: 657–660.
  111. Fragoso YD, Finkelsztejn A, Kaimen-Maciel DR, *et al.* Long-term use of glatiramer acetate by 11 pregnant women with multiple sclerosis: a retrospective, multicentre case series. *CNS Drugs* 2010; 24: 969–976.
  112. Giannini M, Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, *et al.* Pregnancy and fetal outcomes after glatiramer acetate exposure in patients with multiple sclerosis: a prospective observational multicentric study. *BMC Neurol* 2012; 12: 124.
  113. Hellwig K, Haghikia A, Rockhoff M, *et al.* Multiple sclerosis and pregnancy: experience from a nationwide database in Germany. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2012; 5: 247–253.
  114. Herbstritt S, Langer-Gould A, Rockhoff M, *et al.* Glatiramer acetate during early pregnancy: a prospective cohort study. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 810–816.
  115. Lu E, Wang BW, Guimond C, *et al.* Disease-modifying drugs for multiple sclerosis in pregnancy: a systematic review. *Neurology* 2012; 79: 1130–1135.
  116. Salminen HJ, Leggett H and Boggild M. Glatiramer acetate exposure in pregnancy: preliminary safety and birth outcomes. *J Neurol* 2010; 257: 2020–2023.
  117. Sandberg-Wollheim M, Neudorfer O, Grinspan A, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes from the branded glatiramer acetate pregnancy database. *Int J MS Care* 2018; 20: 9–14.
  118. Weber-Schoendorfer C and Schaefer C. Multiple sclerosis, immunomodulators, and pregnancy outcome: a prospective observational study. *Mult Scler* 2009; 15: 1037–1042.
  119. Fernández Liguori N, Klajn D, Acion L, *et al.* Epidemiological characteristics of pregnancy, delivery, and birth outcome in women with multiple sclerosis in Argentina (EMEMAR study). *Mult Scler* 2009; 15: 555–562.
  120. Thöne J, Thiel S, Gold R, *et al.* Treatment of multiple sclerosis during pregnancy: safety considerations. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2017; 16: 523–534.
  121. Hellwig K and Gold R. Glatiramer acetate and interferon-beta throughout gestation and postpartum in women with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol* 2011; 258: 502–503.
  122. Sandberg-Wollheim M, Alteri E, Moraga MS, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes in multiple sclerosis following subcutaneous interferon beta-1a therapy. *Mult Scler* 2011; 17: 423–430.
  123. Hellwig K, Neudorfer O, Melamed-Gal S, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes in patients with multiple sclerosis and exposure to branded glatiramer acetate during all three trimesters. Paris:ECTRIMS-ACTRIMS, 2017.
  124. Teva Pharms USA. Copaxone (glatiramer acetate) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process&AppNo=020622> (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  125. EMA. Avonex (interferon beta-1A): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/avonex-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/avonex-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  126. Biogen. Avonex (interferon beta-1A) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/103628s5264lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/103628s5264lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 1 January 2020).
  127. Serono Inc. Rebif (interferon beta-1A) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/103780s5204lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/103780s5204lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 1 January 2020).
  128. Bayer Healthcare. Betaseron (interferon beta-1B) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/103471s5195lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/103471s5195lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 1 January 2020).
  129. Biogen Idec. Plegridy (peginterferon beta-1A) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/125499s019lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/125499s019lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 1 January 2020).
  130. EMA. Tecfidera (dimethyl fumarate): EPAR summary of product characteristics, <https://>



- www.ema.europa.eu/en/medicines/human/EPAR/tecfidera (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
131. Biogen. Tecfidera (dimethyl fumarate) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/204063s024lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/204063s024lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  132. Hellwig K. *An international registry tracking pregnancy outcomes in women treated with dimethyl fumarate*. Stockholm: ECTRIMS, 2019.
  133. Alkermes. Vumerity (diroximel fumarate) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/211855s000lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/211855s000lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  134. EMA. Gilenya (fingolimod): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/gilenya-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/gilenya-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  135. Novartis. Gilenya (fingolimod) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/022527s029s030lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/022527s029s030lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  136. Hellwig K. *Effect of fingolimod on pregnancy outcomes in patients with multiple sclerosis*. Stockholm: ECTRIMS, 2019.
  137. Novartis. Mayzent (siponimod) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/022527s029s030lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/022527s029s030lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  138. EMA. Mavenclad (cladribine): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/mavenclad-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/mavenclad-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  139. EMD Serono. Mavenclad (cladribine) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/022561s000lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/022561s000lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  140. Galazka A, Nolting A, Cook S, *et al.* *Pregnancy outcomes during the clinical development programme of cladribine in multiple sclerosis (MS): an integrated analysis of safety for all exposed patients*. Paris: ECTRIMS-ECTRIMS, 2017.
  141. EMA. Aubagio (teriflunomide): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/teriflunomide-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/teriflunomide-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  142. Sanofi Aventis US. Aubagio (teriflunomide) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/202992s008lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/202992s008lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 4 December 2019).
  143. Vukusic S, Coyle PK, Jurgensen S, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes in patients with multiple sclerosis treated with teriflunomide: clinical study data and 5 years of post-marketing experience. *Mult Scler*. Epub ahead of print 10 April 2019. DOI: 10.1177/1352458519843055.
  144. Biogen. Tysabri (natalizumab) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/125104s966lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/125104s966lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  145. EMA. Tysabri (natalizumab): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/tysabri-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/tysabri-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  146. Wehner NG, Shopp G, Oneda S, *et al.* Embryo/fetal development in cynomolgus monkeys exposed to natalizumab, an alpha4 integrin inhibitor. *Birth Defects Res B Dev Reprod Toxicol* 2009; 86: 117–130.
  147. Portaccio E, Annovazzi P, Ghezzi A, *et al.* Pregnancy decision-making in women with multiple sclerosis treated with natalizumab: I: fetal risks. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e823–e831.
  148. Friend S, Richman S, Bloomgren G, *et al.* Evaluation of pregnancy outcomes from the Tysabri(R) (natalizumab) pregnancy exposure registry: a global, observational, follow-up study. *BMC Neurol* 2016; 16: 150.
  149. Ebrahimi N, Herbstritt S, Gold R, *et al.* Pregnancy and fetal outcomes following natalizumab exposure in pregnancy. A prospective, controlled observational study. *Mult Scler* 2015; 21: 198–205.
  150. Ciron J, Hautecoeur P, Mathis S, *et al.* Natalizumab throughout pregnancy: risk of low platelet count in the newborn at delivery. *Rev Neurol* 2016; 172: 165–166.
  151. Haghikia A, Langer-Gould A, Rellensmann G, *et al.* Natalizumab use during the third trimester of pregnancy. *JAMA Neurol* 2014; 71: 891–895.
  152. Landi D, Portaccio E, Bovis F, *et al.* Continuation of natalizumab versus interruption is associated with lower risk of relapses during



- pregnancy and postpartum in women with MS. *Mult Scler* 2019; 25: 890–938.
153. Genentech. Rituxan (rituximab) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, <https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/scripts/cder/daf/index.cfm?event=overview.process&ApplNo=103705> (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  154. EMA. Rixathon (rituximab): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/rixathon-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/rixathon-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  155. Vaidyanathan A, McKeever K, Anand B, *et al.* Developmental immunotoxicology assessment of rituximab in cynomolgus monkeys. *Toxicol Sci* 2011; 119: 116–125.
  156. Chakravarty EF, Murray ER, Kelman A, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes after maternal exposure to rituximab. *Blood* 2011; 117: 1499–1506.
  157. Das G, Damotte V, Gelfand JM, *et al.* Rituximab before and during pregnancy: a systematic review, and a case series in MS and NMOSD. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2018; 5: e453.
  158. Shah S and Eckstein C. B cell depletion and pregnancy: review and applications for MS treatment. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 33: 153–157.
  159. Genentech. Ocrevus (ocrelizumab) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/761053s018lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/761053s018lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  160. EMA. Ocrevus (ocrelizumab): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/ocrevus-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/ocrevus-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  161. Oreja-Guevara C, Wray S, Buffels R, *et al.* *Pregnancy outcomes in patients treated with ocrelizumab*. Stockholm:ECTRIMS, 2019.
  162. Vukusic SKL, Wray S, Bader-Weder S, *et al.* *An update on pregnancy outcomes following ocrelizumab treatment in patients with multiple sclerosis and other autoimmune diseases*. Paris:ECTRIMS-ACTRIMS, 2017.
  163. Genzyme. Lemtrada (alemtuzumab) [package insert]. U.S. Food and Drug Administration, [https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda\\_docs/label/2019/103948s5169s5170lbl.pdf](https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/103948s5169s5170lbl.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  164. EMA. Lemtrada (alemtuzumab): EPAR summary of product characteristics, [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/lemtrada-epar-product-information\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/product-information/lemtrada-epar-product-information_en.pdf) (2019, accessed 10 December 2019).
  165. Oh J, Achiron A, Chambers C, *et al.* Pregnancy outcomes in patients with RRMS who received alemtuzumab in the clinical development program (S24.008). *Neurology* 2016; 86: S24.008.
  166. Alroughani R, Allowayesh MS, Ahmed SF, *et al.* Relapse occurrence in women with multiple sclerosis during pregnancy in the new treatment era. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e840–e846.
  167. Sepulveda M, Montejo C, Llufríu S, *et al.* Rebound of multiple sclerosis activity after fingolimod withdrawal due to planning pregnancy: analysis of predisposing factors. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2019; 38: 101483.
  168. De Giglio L, Gasperini C, Tortorella C, *et al.* Natalizumab discontinuation and disease restart in pregnancy: a case series. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015; 131: 336–340.
  169. Krysko KM, Rutatangwa A, Graves J, *et al.* Association between breastfeeding and postpartum multiple sclerosis relapses: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Neurol* 2020; 77: 327–338.
  170. Breastfeeding SO. Breastfeeding and the use of human milk. *Pediatrics* 2012; 129: e827–e841.
  171. Wang J, Johnson T, Sahin L, *et al.* Evaluation of the safety of drugs and biological products used during lactation: workshop summary. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 2017; 101: 736–744.
  172. Bennett PN. *Drugs and human lactation: a comprehensive guide to the content and consequences of drugs, micronutrients, radiopharmaceuticals and environmental and occupational chemicals in human milk*. 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1996.
  173. Voskuhl R and Momtazee C. Pregnancy: effect on multiple sclerosis, treatment considerations, and breastfeeding. *Neurotherapeutics* 2017; 14: 974–984.
  174. Hale TW, Siddiqui AA and Baker TE. Transfer of interferon beta-1a into human breastmilk. *Breastfeed Med* 2012; 7: 123–125.
  175. Baker TE, Cooper SD, Kessler L, *et al.* Transfer of natalizumab into breast milk in a mother with multiple sclerosis. *J Hum Lact* 2015; 31: 233–236.
  176. Proschmann U, Thomas K, Thiel S, *et al.* Natalizumab during pregnancy and lactation. *Mult Scler* 2018; 24: 1627–1634.

177. Matro R, Martin CF, Wolf D, *et al.* Exposure concentrations of infants breastfed by women receiving biologic therapies for inflammatory bowel diseases and effects of breastfeeding on infections and development. *Gastroenterology* 2018; 155: 696–704.
178. Krysko KM, LaHue SC, Anderson A, *et al.* Minimal breast milk transfer of rituximab, a monoclonal antibody used in neurological conditions. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2020; 7: e637.
179. Mao-Draayer Y, Thiel S, Mills EA, *et al.* Neuromyelitis optica spectrum disorders and pregnancy: therapeutic considerations. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2020; 16: 154–170.
180. Kytta J and Rosenberg PH. Anaesthesia for patients with multiple sclerosis. *Ann Chir Gynaecol* 1984; 73: 299–303.
181. Pastò L, Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, *et al.* Epidural analgesia and cesarean delivery in multiple sclerosis post-partum relapses: the Italian cohort study. *BMC Neurol* 2012; 12: 165.
182. Bornemann-Cimenti H, Sivro N, Toft F, *et al.* Neuraxial anesthesia in patients with multiple sclerosis: a systematic review. *Rev Bras Anesthesiol* 2017; 67: 404–410.
183. Neal JM, Barrington MJ, Brull R, *et al.* The second ASRA practice advisory on neurologic complications associated with regional anesthesia and pain medicine: executive summary 2015. *Reg Anesth Pain Med* 2015; 40: 401–430.
184. Van Der Walt A, Nguyen AL and Jokubaitis V. Family planning, antenatal and post partum care in multiple sclerosis: a review and update. *Med J Aust* 2019; 211: 230–236.
185. Kelly VM, Nelson LM and Chakravarty EF. Obstetric outcomes in women with multiple sclerosis and epilepsy. *Neurology* 2009; 73: 1831–1836.
186. Finkelsztejn A, Brooks JB, Paschoal FM Jr, *et al.* What can we really tell women with multiple sclerosis regarding pregnancy? A systematic review and meta-analysis of the literature. *BjOG* 2011; 118: 790–797.
187. Bsteh G, Algrang L, Hegen H, *et al.* Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis in the DMT era: a cohort study in Western Austria. *Mult Scler* 2020; 26: 69–78.
188. De Las Heras V, De Andrés C, Téllez N, *et al.* Pregnancy in multiple sclerosis patients treated with immunomodulators prior to or during part of the pregnancy: a descriptive study in the Spanish population. *Mult Scler* 2007; 13: 981–984.
189. Hellwig K, Beste C, Schimrigk S, *et al.* Immunomodulation and postpartum relapses in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Ther Adv Neurol Disord* 2009; 2: 7–11.
190. Houtchens MK, Edwards NC and Phillips AL. Relapses and disease-modifying drug treatment in pregnancy and live birth in US women with MS. *Neurology* 2018; 91: e1570–e1578.
191. Hughes SE, Spelman T, Gray OM, *et al.* Predictors and dynamics of postpartum relapses in women with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2014; 20: 739–746.
192. Langer-Gould A, Smith JB, Albers KB, *et al.* Pregnancy-related relapses and breastfeeding in a contemporary multiple sclerosis cohort. *Neurology* 2020; 94: e1939–e1949.
193. Portaccio E, Moiola L, Martinelli V, *et al.* Pregnancy decision-making in women with multiple sclerosis treated with natalizumab: II: maternal risks. *Neurology* 2018; 90: e832–e839.
194. Hatcher SE, Waubant E, Nourbakhsh B, *et al.* Rebound syndrome in patients with multiple sclerosis after cessation of fingolimod treatment. *JAMA Neurol* 2016; 73: 790–794.
195. Frau J, Sormani MP, Signori A, *et al.* Clinical activity after fingolimod cessation: disease reactivation or rebound? *Eur J Neurol* 2018; 25: 1270–1275.
196. Berenguer-Ruiz L, Gimenez-Martinez J, Palazon-Bru A, *et al.* Relapses and obstetric outcomes in women with multiple sclerosis planning pregnancy. *J Neurol* 2019; 266: 2512–2517.
197. Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, Hakiki B, *et al.* Postpartum relapses increase the risk of disability progression in multiple sclerosis: the role of disease modifying drugs. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2014; 85: 845–850.
198. Kaplan TB, Bove R, Galetta K, *et al.* Effect of pregnancy loss on MS disease activity. *J Neurol Sci* 2019; 397: 58–60.
199. Benoit A, Durand-Dubief F, Amato M-P, *et al.* History of multiple sclerosis in 2 successive pregnancies: a French and Italian cohort. *Neurology* 2016; 87: 1360–1367.
200. Langer-Gould A, Huang SM, Gupta R, *et al.* Exclusive breastfeeding and the risk of postpartum relapses in women with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2009; 66: 958–963.
201. Hellwig K, Rockhoff M, Herbstritt S, *et al.* Exclusive breastfeeding and the effect on postpartum multiple sclerosis relapses. *JAMA Neurol* 2015; 72: 1132–1138.

202. Langer-Gould A and Beaber BE. Effects of pregnancy and breastfeeding on the multiple sclerosis disease course. *Clin Immunol* 2013; 149: 244–250.
203. Gulick EE and Halper J. Influence of infant feeding method on postpartum relapse of mothers with MS. *Int J MS Care* 2002; 4: 183–191.
204. Hellwig K, Haghikia A, Agne H, *et al.* Protective effect of breastfeeding in postpartum relapse rate of mothers with multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2009; 66: 1580–1581.
205. Airas L, Jalkanen A, Alanen A, *et al.* Breast-feeding, postpartum and prepregnancy disease activity in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2010; 75: 474–476.
206. Hanulíková P, Vlk R, Meluzínová E, *et al.* Pregnant women with multiple sclerosis at the Motol Hospital Prague 2007–2011: outcomes analysis. *Aktual Gynekol Porodnictvi* 2013; 5: 27–32.
207. Iorio R, Nociti V, Frisullo G, *et al.* Breastfeeding and multiple sclerosis. *Arch Neurol* 2009; 66: 1580.
208. Jesus-Ribeiro J, Correia I, Martins AI, *et al.* Pregnancy in multiple sclerosis: a Portuguese cohort study. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2017; 17: 63–68.
209. Nelson LM, Franklin GM and Jones MC. Risk of multiple sclerosis exacerbation during pregnancy and breast-feeding. *JAMA* 1988; 259: 3441–3443.
210. Worthington J, Jones R, Crawford M, *et al.* Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis: a 3-year prospective study. *J Neurol* 1994; 241: 228–233.
211. Runia TF, Neuteboom RF, de Groot CJM, *et al.* The influence of vitamin D on postpartum relapse and quality of life in pregnant multiple sclerosis patients. *Eur J Neurol* 2015; 22: 479–484.
212. Portaccio E, Ghezzi A, Hakiki B, *et al.* Breastfeeding is not related to postpartum relapses in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2011; 77: 145–150.
213. Howie PW and McNeilly AS. Breast-feeding and postpartum ovulation. *IPPF Med Bull* 1982; 16: 1–3.
214. Williams KE and Koleva H. Identification and treatment of peripartum anxiety disorders. *Obstet Gynecol Clin North Am* 2018; 45: 469–481.
215. Jones I and Shakespeare J. Postnatal depression. *BMJ* 2014; 349: g4500.
216. Langan R and Goodbred AJ. Identification and management of peripartum depression. *Am Fam Physician* 2016; 93: 852–858.
217. Siu AL, Bibbins-Domingo K, Grossman DC, *et al.* Screening for depression in adults: US preventive services task force recommendation statement. *JAMA* 2016; 315: 380–387.
218. Razaz N, Tremlett H, Marrie RA, *et al.* Peripartum depression in parents with multiple sclerosis and psychiatric disorders in children. *Mult Scler* 2016; 22: 1830–1840.
219. de Sèze M and Gamé X. Multiple sclerosis and pelviperineology: urinary and sexual dysfunctions and pregnancy. *Prog Urol* 2014; 24: 483–494.
220. Durufle A, Petrilli S, Nicolas B, *et al.* Effects of pregnancy and child birth on urinary symptoms and urodynamics in women with multiple sclerosis. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2006; 17: 352–355.
221. Jalkanen A, Kauko T, Turpeinen U, *et al.* Multiple sclerosis and vitamin D during pregnancy and lactation. *Acta Neurol Scand* 2015; 131: 64–67.
222. Mowry EM, Waubant E, McCulloch CE, *et al.* Vitamin D status predicts new brain magnetic resonance imaging activity in multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2012; 72: 234–240.
223. Jalkanen A, Saraste M, Gfeller A, *et al.* Increased thyroid autoimmunity among women with multiple sclerosis in the postpartum setting. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19: 1734–1742.
224. Verdru P, Theys P, D’Hooghe MB, *et al.* Pregnancy and multiple sclerosis: the influence on long term disability. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 1994; 96: 38–41.
225. Stenager E, Stenager EN and Jensen K. Effect of pregnancy on the prognosis for multiple sclerosis. A 5-year follow up investigation. *Acta Neurol Scand* 1994; 90: 305–308.
226. D’Hooghe MB, Nagels G and Uitdehaag BM. Long-term effects of childbirth in MS. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2010; 81: 38–41.
227. Masera S, Cavalla P, Prosperini L, *et al.* Parity is associated with a longer time to reach irreversible disability milestones in women with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2015; 21: 1291–1297.
228. Ramagopalan S, Yee I, Byrnes J, *et al.* Term pregnancies and the clinical characteristics of multiple sclerosis: a population based study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012; 83: 793–795.

229. Koch M, Uyttenboogaart M, Heersema D, *et al.* Parity and secondary progression in multiple sclerosis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009; 80: 676–678.
230. Thompson DS, Nelson LM, Burns A, *et al.* The effects of pregnancy in multiple sclerosis: a retrospective study. *Neurology* 1986; 36: 1097–1099.
231. Karp I, Manganas A, Sylvestre MP, *et al.* Does pregnancy alter the long-term course of multiple sclerosis? *Ann Epidemiol* 2014; 24: 504–508.e2.
232. Jokubaitis VG, Spelman T, Kalincik T, *et al.* Predictors of long-term disability accrual in relapse-onset multiple sclerosis. *Ann Neurol* 2016; 80: 89–100.
233. Zuluaga MI, Otero-Romero S, Rovira A, *et al.* Menarche, pregnancies, and breastfeeding do not modify long-term prognosis in multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2019; 92: e1507–e1516.
234. Bove R, Alwan S, Friedman JM, *et al.* Management of multiple sclerosis during pregnancy and the reproductive years: a systematic review. *Obstet Gynecol* 2014; 124: 1157–1168.
235. Ferraro D, Simone AM, Adani G, *et al.* Definitive childlessness in women with multiple sclerosis: a multicenter study. *Neurol Sci* 2017; 38: 1453–1459.
236. Alwan S, Yee IM, Dybalski M, *et al.* Reproductive decision making after the diagnosis of multiple sclerosis (MS). *Mult Scler* 2013; 19: 351–358.
237. Prunty M, Sharpe L, Butow P, *et al.* The motherhood choice: themes arising in the decision-making process for women with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2008; 14: 701–704.
238. Smeltzer SC. Reproductive decision making in women with multiple sclerosis. *J Neurosci Nurs* 2002; 34: 145–157.
239. Vukusic S and Marignier R. Multiple sclerosis and pregnancy in the ‘treatment era’. *Nat Rev Neurol* 2015; 11: 280–289.
240. Amato MP, Bertolotto A, Brunelli R, *et al.* Management of pregnancy-related issues in multiple sclerosis patients: the need for an interdisciplinary approach. *Neurol Sci* 2017; 38: 1849–1858.
241. Cook JE. Guest editorial: stigma and identity in multiple sclerosis. *Int J MS Care* 2019; 21: iv.
242. Grytten N and Maseide P. ‘What is expressed is not always what is felt’: coping with stigma and the embodiment of perceived illegitimacy of multiple sclerosis. *Chronic Illn* 2005; 1: 231–243.
243. Stevens SD, Thompson NR and Sullivan AB. Prevalence and correlates of body image dissatisfaction in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Int J MS Care* 2019; 21: 207–213.
244. Wilkinson HR and Nair RD. The psychological impact of the unpredictability of multiple sclerosis: a qualitative literature meta-synthesis. *Br J Neurosci Nurs* 2013; 9: 172–178.
245. Mendibe Bilbao M, Boyero Duran S, Barcena Llona J, *et al.* Multiple sclerosis: pregnancy and women’s health issues. *Neurologia* 2019; 34: 259–269.
246. Barker AB, Smale K, Hunt N, *et al.* Experience of identity change in people who reported a diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: a qualitative inquiry. *Int J MS Care* 2019; 21: 235–242.
247. Willson CL, Tetley J, Lloyd C, *et al.* The impact of multiple sclerosis on the identity of mothers in Italy. *Disabil Rehabil* 2018; 40: 1456–1467.
248. Spencer LA, Silverman AM and Cook JE. Adapting to multiple sclerosis stigma across the life span. *Int J MS Care* 2019; 21: 227–234.
249. Voskuhl RR, Wang H, Wu TC, *et al.* Estriol combined with glatiramer acetate for women with relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet Neurol* 2016; 15: 35–46.
250. Pozzilli C, De Giglio L, Barletta VT, *et al.* Oral contraceptives combined with interferon beta in multiple sclerosis. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 2015; 2: e120.
251. MacKenzie-Graham A, Brook J, Kurth F, *et al.* Estriol-mediated neuroprotection in multiple sclerosis localized by voxel-based morphometry. *Brain Behav* 2018; 8: e01086.
252. Tutuncu M, Tang J, Zeid NA, *et al.* Onset of progressive phase is an age-dependent clinical milestone in multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2013; 19: 188–198.
253. Smith R and Studd JW. A pilot study of the effect upon multiple sclerosis of the menopause, hormone replacement therapy and the menstrual cycle. *J R Soc Med* 1992; 85: 612–613.
254. Holmqvist P, Wallberg M, Hammar M, *et al.* Symptoms of multiple sclerosis in women in relation to sex steroid exposure. *Maturitas* 2006; 54: 149–153.



255. Bove R, Healy BC, Secor E, *et al.* Patients report worse MS symptoms after menopause: findings from an online cohort. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 2015; 4: 18–24.
256. Baroncini D, Annovazzi PO, De Rossi N, *et al.* Impact of natural menopause on multiple sclerosis: a multicentre study. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2019; 90: 1201–1206.
257. Dhandapani KM and Brann DW. Role of astrocytes in estrogen-mediated neuroprotection. *Exp Gerontol* 2007; 42: 70–75.
258. Nilsen J, Chen S, Irwin RW, *et al.* Estrogen protects neuronal cells from amyloid beta-induced apoptosis via regulation of mitochondrial proteins and function. *BMC Neurosci* 2006; 7: 74.
259. Christianson MS, Mensah VA and Shen W. Multiple sclerosis at menopause: potential neuroprotective effects of estrogen. *Maturitas* 2015; 80: 133–139.
260. Dumas J, Hancur-Bucci C, Naylor M, *et al.* Estradiol interacts with the cholinergic system to affect verbal memory in postmenopausal women: evidence for the critical period hypothesis. *Horm Behav* 2008; 53: 159–169.
261. Rasgon NL, Silverman D, Siddarth P, *et al.* Estrogen use and brain metabolic change in postmenopausal women. *Neurobiol Aging* 2005; 26: 229–235.
262. Engler-Chiurazzi EB, Brown CM, Povroznik JM, *et al.* Estrogens as neuroprotectants: estrogenic actions in the context of cognitive aging and brain injury. *Prog Neurobiol* 2017; 157: 188–211.
263. Sherwin BB. Estrogen therapy: is time of initiation critical for neuroprotection? *Nat Rev Endocrinol* 2009; 5: 620–627.
264. Kurita K, Henderson VW, Gatz M, *et al.* Association of bilateral oophorectomy with cognitive function in healthy, postmenopausal women. *Fertil Steril* 2016; 106: 749–756.e742.
265. Verghese J, Kuslansky G, Katz MJ, *et al.* Cognitive performance in surgically menopausal women on estrogen. *Neurology* 2000; 55: 872–874.
266. Bove R, White CC, Fitzgerald KC, *et al.* Hormone therapy use and physical quality of life in postmenopausal women with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 2016; 87: 1457–1463.
267. Boulware MI, Kent BA and Frick KM. The impact of age-related ovarian hormone loss on cognitive and neural function. *Curr Top Behav Neurosci* 2012; 10: 165–184.
268. Zorzon M, Zivadinov R, Bosco A, *et al.* Sexual dysfunction in multiple sclerosis: a case-control study. 1. Frequency and comparison of groups. *Mult Scler* 1999; 5: 418–427.
269. Polat Dunya C, Tulek Z, Uchiyama T, *et al.* Systematic review of the prevalence, symptomatology and management options of sexual dysfunction in women with multiple sclerosis. *NeuroUrol Urodyn* 2020; 39: 83–95.
270. Tepavcevic DK, Kostic J, Basuroski ID, *et al.* The impact of sexual dysfunction on the quality of life measured by MSQoL-54 in patients with multiple sclerosis. *Mult Scler* 2008; 14: 1131–1136.
271. Ashtari F, Rezvani R and Afshar H. Sexual dysfunction in women with multiple sclerosis: dimensions and contributory factors. *J Res Med Sci* 2014; 19: 228–233.
272. Kusic-Tepavcevic D, Pekmezovic T, Trajkovic G, *et al.* Sexual dysfunction in multiple sclerosis: a 6-year follow-up study. *J Neurol Sci* 2015; 358: 317–323.
273. Clayton AH, Goldstein I, Kim NN, *et al.* The International Society for the Study of Women's Sexual Health process of care for management of hypoactive sexual desire disorder in women. *Mayo Clin Proc* 2018; 93: 467–487.