## CrossMark ← click for updates

# The role of COP1 in repression of photoperiodic flowering [version 1; referees: 2 approved]

## Dongqing Xu, Danmeng Zhu, Xing Wang Deng

State Key Laboratory of Protein and Plant Gene Research, Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences, School of Advanced Agriculture Sciences and School of Life Sciences, Peking University, Beijing, China

V1 First published: 16 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):178 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7346.1)

Latest published: 16 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):178 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7346.1)

#### Abstract

Plants use the circadian clock as a timekeeping mechanism to regulate photoperiodic flowering in response to the seasonal changes. CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1), initially identified as a central repressor of seedling photomorphogenesis, was recently shown to be involved in the regulation of light input to the circadian clock, modulating the circadian rhythm and flowering. COP1 encodes a RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase and works in concert with SUPPRESSOR of *phyA-105* (SPA) proteins to repress photoperiodic flowering by regulating proteasome-mediated degradation of CONSTANS (CO), a central regulator of photoperiodic flowering. In addition, COP1 and EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3) indirectly modulate *CO* expression via the degradation of GIGANTEA (GI). Here, we summarize the current understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying COP1's role in controlling of photoperiodic flowering.



This article is included in the F1000 Faculty Reviews channel.

Open Peer Review		
Referee Status:		
	Invited I 1	Referees <b>2</b>
version 1 published 16 Feb 2016		

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 Haiyang Wang, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences China
- 2 Nam-Chon Paek, Seoul National University Korea, South

**Discuss this article** 

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Xing Wang Deng (deng@pku.edu.cn)

How to cite this article: Xu D, Zhu D and Deng XW. The role of COP1 in repression of photoperiodic flowering [version 1; referees: 2 approved] *F1000Research* 2016, **5**(F1000 Faculty Rev):178 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7346.1)

**Copyright:** © 2016 Xu D *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (31330048) and the National Institutes of Health (GM047850).

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

First published: 16 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):178 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7346.1)

#### Introduction

In plants, the phase transition from vegetative to reproductive development is controlled by multiple environmental cues, including photoperiod, light quality, and temperature<sup>1</sup>. According to their flowering response to the photoperiod change, plants could be classified as long-day (LD) plants, short-day (SD) plants, and day-neutral plants, respectively<sup>2</sup>. At present, most advances regarding the flowering-time control were obtained in the model facultative LD plant *Arabidopsis* and the model SD plant rice. A central regulator of LD-induced flowering is the B-box zinc finger transcription factor CONSTANS (CO), which positively regulates flowering time by upregulating the expression of "florigen" *FLOWERING LOCUS T* (*FT*) in *Arabidopsis*<sup>3</sup>. The control of CO abundance by circadian clock and light plays a crucial role in regulating flowering.

CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC 1 (COP1) was initially identified as a key repressor of photomorphogenesis over 20 years ago in *Arabidopsis*<sup>4,5</sup>. The subsequent characterization of COP1 revealed its function in multiple light-mediated developmental processes in *Arabidopsis* and other higher plants, including circadian rhythm and flowering<sup>6,7</sup>. The ortholog of *Arabidopsis* COP1 was also found to play vital roles in regulating a variety of developmental processes in *Arabidopsis*, COP1 encodes a RING-finger E3 ubiquitin ligase. In *Arabidopsis*, COP1 functions together with SUPPRESSOR of *phyA-105* (SPA) proteins to target the photomorphogenesis-promoting factors for degradation via the 26S proteasome system, such as ELONGATED HYPOCOTYL 5 (HY5), LONG AFTER FAR-RED LIGHT 1 (LAF1), and LONG HYPOCOTYL IN FAR-RED 1 (HFR1)<sup>8-11</sup>.

## The relationship of photoreceptors and COP1 in flowering

In Arabidopsis, far-red and red light is perceived by phytochromes (phyA-phyE)<sup>12,13</sup>; blue light is sensed by cryptochromes (CRY1 and CRY2) and several new photoperiodic and/or circadian photoreceptors: ZEITLUPE (ZTL), FLAVIN-BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F-BOX 1 (FKF1), and LOV, KELCH PROTEIN 2 (LKP2)14. It was reported that phyA and CRYs are two classes of principal photoperiodic photoreceptors that promote flowering. Mutations in these genes reduce the accumulation of CO protein and delay flowering<sup>15,16</sup>. During photomorphogenesis, CRYs suppress the activity of the multifunctional E3 ubiquitin ligase COP1 by dissociating the formation of COP1-SPA complex(es), thereby repressing its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity to regulate gene expression in response to blue light<sup>17-19</sup>. In flowering transition, blue light-dependent CRY2-SPA1 interaction stimulates CRY2-COP1 association to suppress the COP1-dependent proteolysis of CO19. However, how phyA mediates light regulation of protein degradation to modulate developmental timing in flowering is unclear at present. In contrast to cry2, the early-flowering phenotype of phyB in SD is possibly resulting from a COP1-independent mechanism<sup>15,16,20</sup>. Paradoxically, plants overexpressing phyB also show early flowering, in which the Pfr form of phyB inhibits COP1-SPA activity to stabilize CO and subsequently induce FT expression by phyB-SPA1 direct interaction<sup>21</sup>.

#### COP1 direct targets in modulation of flowering

CO acts as a central regulator of photoperiodic flowering, and its abundance directly correlates with the timing of flowering. CO is precisely regulated at both transcriptional and post-translational levels, and this is crucial for *Arabidopsis* to discriminate the photoperiod and response to light.

The expression of *CO* is regulated by circadian clock-associated components, including GIGANTEA (GI), the F-box protein FKF1, and CYCLING DOF FACTORS (CDFs), which regulate daily *CO* expression profiles<sup>22–24</sup>. EARLY FLOWERING 3 (ELF3) acts as a substrate adaptor to allow COP1-GI interaction, which leads to the degradation of GI by COP1<sup>25</sup>. FKF1 forms a complex with GI in a light-dependent manner, which contributes to control the *CO* transcript level by mediating the degradation of GI by COP1 may result in the disassociation of FKF1-GI complex and then negatively regulate *CO* expression.

Post-translational regulation of CO is another aspect for controlling flowering in response to day length. *cop1* mutants display early-flowering phenotype under SD, which is largely related to the change of CO abundance. During the day, CO protein is stabilized, whereas at night CO protein is rapidly degraded through the 26S proteasome pathway mediated by COP1. COP1 directly interacts with the C-terminal of CO in phloem companion cells, where FT protein moves to induce flowering at the shoot apex<sup>26,27</sup>. In addition, the early-flowering phenotype of *spa1* is enhanced by the lesion in *SPA3* and *SPA4*. SPA proteins negatively modulate CO abundance so that *spa1 spa3 spa4* triple mutants exhibit strongly increased CO protein levels<sup>28</sup>. A recent report further demonstrated that the COP1-SPA complex(es) directly interact with the phosphorylated form of CO protein to trigger its protein turnover<sup>29</sup>.

In the early morning, TARGET OF EAT (TOE) proteins associate with the transcriptional activation domain of CO to inhibit its activity<sup>30</sup>. FKE1 stabilizes the CO abundance through a direct interaction in the late afternoon of LD<sup>31</sup>. At night, CO is degraded through the ubiquitin-mediated 26S proteasome system. Consistently, CO protein levels and its direct target *FT* peak in the afternoon under LD conditions<sup>32</sup>. CO activates *FT* expression mainly through two modes of action: (1) CO directly binds to the CO-responsive element (CORE) in the promoter of *FT* to activate its expression<sup>33</sup>. (2) CO physically interacts with two other *FT* activators NUCLEAR FACTOR-Y (NF-Y) and Myb transcription factor ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1 (AS1), which directly bind to *FT* promoter, thus promoting their activation on *FT*<sup>34,35</sup>. COP1 triggers the protein turnover of CO, in turn disrupting the formation of CO-NF-Y and CO-AS1 complexes and eventually repressing the *FT* expression.

Besides light, temperature is another important environmental indicator to determine the appropriate time to flower. Recent work showed that COP1 could act as an integrator of light and cold temperature. *cop1* mutants exhibit reduced sensitivity to changes in ambient temperatures in an *FT*-dependent manner in *Arabidopsis*.

At low ambient temperatures, COP1 is stabilized and subsequently promotes the degradation of GI, which directly activates *FT* expression to promote flowering<sup>36</sup>.

#### COP1-related factors in control of flowering

Similar to COP1, another repressor of photomorphogenesis, DE-ETIOLATE 1 (DET1), functions as a negative regulator of flowering, as *det1* mutants flower early in both LD and SD (extremely early in SD)<sup>37</sup>. DET1 was shown to be part of the COP10, DE-ETIOLATE 1, DAMAGED DNA-BINDING PRO-TEIN 1 (CDD) complex, working as CUL4-based E3 ligase<sup>38</sup>. Co-suppression mutants of *CUL4* also showed early-flowering phenotype under SD conditions. CUL4-DDB1 also associates with COP1-SPA complexes<sup>39</sup>. Together, these studies indicate that a series of E3 ligase complexes may work in concert to repress flowering.

Recent studies revealed that, besides COP1, another RING-finger containing E3 ubiquitin ligase, HIGH EXPRESSION OF OSMOT-ICALLY RESPONSIVE GENES 1 (HOS1), is also involved in controlling the CO protein levels. In the morning of LD, phyB-mediated red light signaling activates HOS1 to degrade CO<sup>40</sup>. However, on the night of SD, CO protein is ubiquitinated and degraded by COP1-SPA complexes. Consistently, *hos1 cop1* double mutants display complete photoperiodic insensitivity, suggesting that HOS1 and COP1 function synergistically in the control of flow-ering time<sup>41,42</sup>. Moreover, a regulator of the TOPOISOMERASE VI complex, MIDGET (MID), physically interacts with COP1 and is required for COP1 function as a repressor of flowering under SD conditions<sup>43</sup>.

In SD plant rice, *PETER PAN SYNDROME (PPS)* encodes an ortholog of *Arabidopsis COP1*. Although PPS is similar to COP1 in repressing photomorphogenesis<sup>44</sup>, it controls photoperiodic flowering by HEADING DATE 1 (Hd1) (ortholog of *Arabidopsis* CO) via a currently unknown mechanism<sup>45</sup>.

#### **Future perspectives**

References

Extensive studies have revealed a complicated but delicate network in regulating photoperiodic flowering in plants. After the role of COP1 in repressing light responses at seedling stage by the regulation of proteolysis was established, later advances have greatly expanded its implication in the control of photoperiodic flowering and circadian rhythm. The studies mentioned in this review have also raised a number of challenging questions to be addressed in the future. As a long-term goal, the roles of COP1 in light quality control of flowering would be of great interest to determine. Specifically, how does COP1 work in concert or function antagonistically with other key factors to control CO abundance/activity in a special photoperiod or in response to multiple environmental cues? How does COP1 determine the substrates to be degraded by the COP1–SPA complex alone or together by other COP/DET/FUS protein-containing complex(es)? Moreover, the identification and characterization of novel direct targets of COP1 in the control of photoperiodic flowering will assist us in understanding the molecular mechanism underlying CO-independent pathways. In addition, further studies on the differential mechanisms of COP1 function in *Arabidopsis* and crop plants will help us to explore their functional novelty and diversity during the evolution of monocots and dicots.

#### **Abbreviations**

AS1, ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1; CDF, CYCLING DOF FACTOR; CO, CONSTANS; COP1, CONSTITUTIVE PHOTOMOR-PHOGENIC 1; CRY, cryptochromes; CUL4, CULLIN4; DET1, DE-ETIOLATE 1; FKF1, FLAVIN BINDING, KELCH REPEAT, F-BOX 1; FT, FLOWERING LOCUS T; GI, GIGANTEA; HOS1, HIGH EXPRESSION OF OSMOTICALLY RESPONSIVE GENES 1; LD, long-day; MID, MIDGET; PPS, PETER PAN SYN-DROME; NF-Y, NUCLEAR FACTOR-Y; PHY, phytochromes; SD, short-day; SPA, SUPPRESSOR of *phyA-105*.

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Grant information

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31330048) and the National Institutes of Health (GM047850).

I confirm that the funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

#### Acknowledgments

We apologize to the colleagues whose work could not be cited because of space limitations.

## F F1000 recommended

- Romera-Branchat M, Andrés F, Coupland G: Flowering responses to seasonal cues: what's new? Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2014; 21: 120–7.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Simpson GG, Gendall AR, Dean C: When to switch to flowering. Annu Rev Cell Dev Biol. 1999; 15: 519–50.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- F Song YH, Shim JS, Kinmonth-Schultz HA, et al.: Photoperiodic flowering: time measurement mechanisms in leaves. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2015; 66: 441–64.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Deng XW, Caspar T, Quail PH: cop1: a regulatory locus involved in light-controlled development and gene expression in Arabidopsis. Genes Dev. 1991; 5(7): 1172–82.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- Deng XW, Matsui M, Wei N, et al.: COP1, an Arabidopsis regulatory gene, encodes a protein with both a zinc-binding motif and a G beta homologous domain. Cell. 1992; 71(5): 791–801.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Lau OS, Deng XW: The photomorphogenic repressors COP1 and DET1: 20 years later. Trends Plant Sci. 2012; 17(10): 584–93.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Huang X, Ouyang X, Deng XW: Beyond repression of photomorphogenesis: role switching of COP/DET/FUS in light signaling. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2014; 21: 96–103. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Osterlund MT, Hardtke CS, Wei N, et al.: Targeted destabilization of HY5 during light-regulated development of Arabidopsis. Nature. 2000; 405(6785): 462–6.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text

- F Seo HS, Yang JY, Ishikawa M, et al.: LAF1 ubiquitination by COP1 controls photomorphogenesis and is stimulated by SPA1. Nature. 2003; 423(6943): 995–9.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 10. F Jang IC, Yang JY, Seo HS, et al.: HFR1 is targeted by COP1 E3 ligase for post-translational proteolysis during phytochrome A signaling. Genes Dev. 2005; 19(5): 593–602. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 11. Zhu D, Maier A, Lee JH, *et al.*: Biochemical characterization of Arabidopsis complexes containing CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1 and SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA proteins in light control of plant development. *Plant Cell.* 2008; 20(9): 2307–23. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 12. Bae G, Choi G: Decoding of light signals by plant phytochromes and their interacting proteins. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2008; 59: 281–311. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Chen M, Chory J: Phytochrome signaling mechanisms and the control of plant development. Trends Cell Biol. 2011; 21(11): 664–71.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 14. Liu H, Liu B, Zhao C, *et al.*: The action mechanisms of plant cryptochromes. *Trends Plant Sci.* 2011; **16**(12): 684–91.
- PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Valverde F, Mouradov A, Soppe W, et al.: Photoreceptor regulation of CONSTANS protein in photoperiodic flowering. Science. 2004; 303(5660): 1003–6.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Mockler TC, Guo H, Yang H, *et al.*: Antagonistic actions of Arabidopsis cryptochromes and phytochrome B in the regulation of floral induction. *Development*. 1999; 126(10): 2073–82.
  PubMed Abstract | F1000 Recommendation
- E Lian HL, He SB, Zhang YC, et al.: Blue-light-dependent interaction of cryptochrome 1 with SPA1 defines a dynamic signaling mechanism. Genes Dev. 2011; 25(10): 1023–8.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Liu B, Zuo Z, Liu H, et al.: Arabidopsis cryptochrome 1 interacts with SPA1 to suppress COP1 activity in response to blue light. Genes Dev. 2011; 25(10): 1029–34.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Zuo Z, Liu H, Liu B, et al.: Blue light-dependent interaction of CRY2 with SPA1 regulates COP1 activity and floral initiation in Arabidopsis. Curr Biol. 2011; 21(10): 841–7.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Reed JW, Nagatani A, Elich TD, *et al.*: Phytochrome A and Phytochrome B Have Overlapping but Distinct Functions in *Arabidopsis* Development. *Plant Physiol.* 1994; 104(4): 1139–49.
  PubMed Abstract | Free Full Text
- F Hajdu A, Ádám É, Sheerin DJ, *et al.*: High-level expression and phosphorylation of phytochrome B modulates flowering time in Arabidopsis. *Plant J.* 2015; 83(5): 794–805.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Imaizumi T, Schultz TF, Harmon FG, et al.: FKF1 F-box protein mediates cyclic degradation of a repressor of CONSTANS in Arabidopsis. Science. 2005; 309(5732): 293–7.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Sawa M, Nusinow DA, Kay SA, et al.: FKF1 and GIGANTEA complex formation is required for day-length measurement in Arabidopsis. Science. 2007; 318(5848): 261–5.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Fornara F, Panigrahi KC, Gissot L, et al.: Arabidopsis DOF transcription factors act redundantly to reduce CONSTANS expression and are essential for a photoperiodic flowering response. Dev Cell. 2009; 17(1): 75–86.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Yu JW, Rubio V, Lee NY, et al.: COP1 and ELF3 control circadian function and photoperiodic flowering by regulating GI stability. Mol Cell. 2008; 32(5): 617–30.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Jang S, Marchal V, Panigrahi KC, et al.: Arabidopsis COP1 shapes the temporal pattern of CO accumulation conferring a photoperiodic flowering response. EMBO J. 2008; 27(8): 1277–88.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Liu LJ, Zhang YC, Li QH, et al.: COP1-mediated ubiquitination of CONSTANS is implicated in cryptochrome regulation of flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2008; 20(2): 292–306.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

- F Laubinger S, Marchal V, Le Gourrierec J, et al.: Arabidopsis SPA proteins regulate photoperiodic flowering and interact with the floral inducer CONSTANS to regulate its stability. Development. 2006; 133(16): 3213–22.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Sarid-Krebs L, Panigrahi KC, Fornara F, et al.: Phosphorylation of CONSTANS and its COP1-dependent degradation during photoperiodic flowering of Arabidopsis. Plant J. 2015; 84(3): 451–63.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Zhang B, Wang L, Zeng L, et al.: Arabidopsis TOE proteins convey a photoperiodic signal to antagonize CONSTANS and regulate flowering time. Genes Dev. 2015; 29(9): 975–87.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Fong YH, Smith RW, To BJ, et al.: FKF1 conveys timing information for CONSTANS stabilization in photoperiodic flowering. Science. 2012; 336(6084): 1045–9.
  - PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Salazar JD, Saithong T, Brown PE, et al.: Prediction of photoperiodic regulators from quantitative gene circuit models. Cell. 2009; 139(6): 1170–9. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Tiwari SB, Shen Y, Chang HC, et al.: The flowering time regulator CONSTANS is recruited to the FLOWERING LOCUS T promoter via a unique cis-element. New Phytol. 2010; 187(1): 57–66.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- 34. F Wenkel S, Turck F, Singer K, et al.: CONSTANS and the CCAAT box binding complex share a functionally important domain and interact to regulate flowering of Arabidopsis. Plant Cell. 2006; 18(11): 2971–84. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Song YH, Lee I, Lee SY, et al.: CONSTANS and ASYMMETRIC LEAVES 1 complex is involved in the induction of FLOWERING LOCUS T in photoperiodic flowering in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 2012; 69(2): 332–42.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Jang K, Lee HG, Jung SJ, et al.: The E3 Ubiquitin Ligase COP1 Regulates Thermosensory Flowering by Triggering GI Degradation in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2015; 5: 12071.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Kang MY, Yoo SC, Kwon HY, et al.: Negative regulatory roles of
- DE-ETIOLATED1 in flowering time in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2015; 5: 9728. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Chen H, Shen Y, Tang X, et al.: Arabidopsis CULLIN4 Forms an E3 Ubiquitin Ligase with RBX1 and the CDD Complex in Mediating Light Control of Development. Plant Cell. 2006; 18(8): 1991–2004.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Chen H, Huang X, Gusmaroli G, et al.: Arabidopsis CULLIN4-damaged DNA binding protein 1 interacts with CONSTITUTIVELY PHOTOMORPHOGENIC1-SUPPRESSOR OF PHYA complexes to regulate photomorphogenesis and flowering time. Plant Cell. 2010; 22(1): 108–23.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- F Lazaro A, Valverde F, Piñeiro M, et al.: The Arabidopsis E3 ubiquitin ligase HOS1 negatively regulates CONSTANS abundance in the photoperiodic control of flowering. Plant Cell. 2012; 24(3): 982–99.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Jung JH, Seo PJ, Park CM: The E3 ubiquitin ligase HOS1 regulates Arabidopsis flowering by mediating CONSTANS degradation under cold stress. J Biol Chem. 2012; 287(52): 43277–87. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Jung JH, Lee HJ, Park MJ, et al.: Beyond ubiquitination: proteolytic and nonproteolytic roles of HOS1. Trends Plant Sci. 2014; 19(8): 538–45. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- F Schrader A, Uhrig J: MIDGET cooperates with COP1 and SPA1 to repress flowering in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Signal Behav. 2013; 8(9): pii: e25600.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation
- Tsuge T, Inagaki N, Yoshizumi T, et al.: Phytochrome-mediated control of COP1 gene expression in rice plants. Mol Genet Genomics. 2001; 265(1): 43–50.
  PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- 45. F Tanaka N, Itoh H, Sentoku N, et al.: The COP1 ortholog PPS regulates the juvenile-adult and vegetative-reproductive phase changes in rice. Plant Cell. 2011; 23(6): 2143–54.

PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text | F1000 Recommendation

## **Open Peer Review**

## Current Referee Status:



## Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

## The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- 1 Nam-Chon Paek, Department of Plant Science, Research Institute of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, South Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- 2 Haiyang Wang, Institute of Crop Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.