

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. sive food allergies. He had marked reactivity to stone fruits, nuts, and *Cannabis* components, which contain nsLTPs. The phenomenon of cross-reactivity between *C sativa* and plant foods is known as "*Cannabis* fruit/vegetable syndrome" or "LTP syndrome."⁵ Numerous studies have analyzed the nsLTP-mediated cross-reactivity between *C sativa* and stone fruits, such as peaches, nectarines, and plums, in addition to nuts, apples, and tomatoes.^{5–8} The nsLTPs belong to the prolamin protein superfamily and provide protection against bacteria and fungi in plants. Given their important role in the plant defense system, these nsLTPs are highly conserved and, as a result, often cause clinical reactivity to multiple different foods in sensitized patients.⁸ The nsLTPs are highly resistant to thermal stress and proteolysis, which could account for their ability to withstand digestion and cause more systemic reactions, although oral allergy syndrome also has been described.⁹

Prior studies have suggested that nsLTP-mediated reactivity is geographic, mainly in Europe.^{5,8} Some reports have indicated sensitization to stone fruits in the wake of developing an allergy to marijuana.^{5,10} In the present case, the history of stone fruit allergies preceded the development of anaphylaxis to *C sativa* components. This raises the novel possibility that the reverse sequence for nsLTP cross-reactivity also might be relevant.

In summary, gathering a thorough allergy and social history is essential for patients reporting allergic symptoms to *C sativa*. Given expanding medical and recreational use, we anticipate that marijuana hypersensitivity will be a topic of growing importance in the field of allergy. Our case raises the possibility that, in addition to marijuana smoking and handling, nsLTP-mediated stone fruit crossreactivity might be another "gateway" to *C sativa* sensitization. Prerana Bhatia, MD Meng Chen, MD Sandra Christiansen, MD Department of Medicine University of California–San Diego San Diego, California p3bhatia@ucsd.edu

References

- Ocampo TL, Rans TS. Cannabis sativa: the unconventional "weed" allergen. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2015;114:187–192.
- [2] Stokes JR, Hartel R, Ford LB, et al. Cannabis (hemp) positive skin tests and respiratory symptoms. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2000;85:238– 240.
- [3] Herzinger T, Schöpf P, Przybilla B, et al. IgE-mediated hypersensitivity reactions to cannabis in laboratory personnel. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2011;156: 423–426.
- [4] Nayak AP, Green BJ, Sussman G, et al. Characterization of Cannabis sativa allergens. Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol. 2013;111:32–37.
- [5] Decuyper II, Van Gasse AL, Cop N, et al. *Cannabis sativa* allergy: looking through the fog. *Allergy*. 2017;72:201–206.
- [6] Armentia A, Herrero M, Martín-Armentia B, et al. Molecular diagnosis in cannabis allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2014;2:351–352.
- [7] Faber M, Van Gasse A, Sabato V, et al. Marihuana allergy: beyond the joint. J Investig Allergol Clin Immunol. 2015;25:70–72.
- [8] Azofra J, Berroa F, Gastaminza G, et al. Lipid transfer protein syndrome in a non-Mediterranean area. Int Arch Allergy Immunol. 2016;169:181– 188.
- [9] Rojas Pérez-Ezquerra P, Sánchez-Morillas L, Davila-Ferandez G, et al. Contact urticaria to Cannabis sativa due to a lipid transfer protein (LTP). Allergol Immunopathol (Madr). 2015;43:231–233.
- [10] Decuyper II, Faber MA, Sabato V, et al. Where there's smoke, there's fire: cannabis allergy through passive exposure. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract. 2017;5: 864–865.

Severe immunodeficiency associated with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and its treatment

Check for updates

Immunodeficiency can be associated with acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL) in various ways. ALL can be a feature of various primary immunodeficiencies including, but not limited, to X-linked agammaglobulinemia, chromosomal breakage disorders such as ataxia telangiectasia, and *GATA2* haploinsufficiency.¹⁻⁴ ALL also can be secondary to an infection such as human immunodeficiency virus. A congenital leukemia such as ALL can lead to abnormal newborn screening results for severe combined immunodeficiency.⁵ This could be due to dilution of naïve T cells compared with the proportion of leukemic cells. In addition, ALL can lead to immunodeficiency secondary to chemotherapy. Very few cases or case series of severe immunodeficiency with extended follow-up have been described after ALL.^{6,7}

We present a case of transient severe immunodeficiency secondary to therapy for infantile ALL with remission over time. We obtained written informed consent of the parents for this report. The patient was born by emergency cesarean section to nonconsanguineous parents at 39 weeks 4 days of gestation and noted to have leukemia cutis (blue macular rash) and a white blood cell count of 514,000/ μ L with 94% blasts. She had no family history of immunodeficiency or malignancies. At further evaluation she was diagnosed with congenital pre-B ALL (CD19⁺, CD10⁻/aberrant CD15⁺) on her first day of life. Immunophenotyping showed cells positive for CD34, CD38, CD19, CD22, HLA-DR, CD15, TdT, CD45, CD11b (8%), and CD7 (7%). Fluorescent in situ hybridization showed reciprocal translocation t(4;11) with KMT2A rearrangement, previously known as mixed lineage leukemia gene rearrangement. This rearrangement and her very young age suggested a high-risk ALL with poor prognosis.⁸ Cytogenetic testing was negative for trisomy 21, ETV6/ RUNX1 and BCR/ABL1 gene fusion, and p16 gene deletion, and Poseidon chromosome 4 (D4Z1) and 10 (D10Z1) centromere probes showed a normal signal pattern. She was treated according to the Children's Oncology Group ALL clinical treatment trial protocol AALL0631, Arm C for high risk (age + mixed lineage leukemia rearrangement). This protocol included vincristine, daunorubicin, cyclophosphamide, cytarabine (Ara-C), asparaginase, methylprednisolone, triple intrathecal therapy (methotrexate, Ara-C, and hydrocortisone), granulocyte colony-stimulating factor, etoposide, and lestaurtinib. She was in complete remission at 1.5 months of age. She was started on continuation chemotherapy at 6 months of age with a plan to complete therapy at 2 years of age.

At 17 months of age, she developed a rash that occurred monthly and showed improvement with transient intravenous immunoglobulin therapy over the next 2 months. At 21 months, after a dose of intravenous methotrexate, her rash became significantly worse, with erythroderma of her extremities, face, and scalp with overlying thick yellow hyperkeratosis that mostly spared her trunk (Fig 1). Her chemotherapy was discontinued at 22 months. At 23 months, she was hospitalized for feeding intolerance, vomiting, diarrhea, and worsening skin rash and eventually transferred to a tertiary care center. During her hospital course, she had multiple infections including *Klebsiella* septic shock (5 months of age), methicillin-sensitive *Staphylococcus aureus* sepsis, *Clostridium difficile* colitis (owing to failure of her prolonged

Disclosures: Dr Sullivan is a consultant to the Immune Deficiency Foundation and Elsevier, has received grants (to institution) from the National Institutes of Health, and has received royalties from UpToDate.

Funding Sources: National Institutes of Health award number T32HD043021.



Figure 1. Lower extremity dermatologic findings in a patient with transient severe immunodeficiency secondary to acute lymphoblastic leukemia and its therapy.

course of oral vancomycin, she received a fecal transplant from a parent for 2 episodes at 17 and 22 months of age), *Staphylococcus epidermis* conjunctivitis (21 months), CLABSI with *Enterococcus fecalis, Staphylococcus epidermis, Klebsiella* species, and *Candida parapsilosis* (23 months of age), otitis externa, and viral (coronavirus) bronchiolitis (24 months of age). Other complications included neutropenic fevers, persistent vomiting, diarrhea, hypertension, and pulmonary edema.

She had an extensive workup during her hospital stay. At 22 months of age, a punch biopsy specimen of her rash showed changes compatible with subacute cytotoxic dermatitis with features of erythema multiforme and confluent upper dermal necrosis. At 23 months of age, she was noted to have hypogammaglobulinemia (immunoglobulin G 208 mg/dL) and lymphopenia (lowest absolute lymphocyte count $120/\mu$ L). She had an esophagogastroduodenoscopy and colonoscopy at 25 months of age that showed graft-vs-host disease-like findings. Her laboratory findings over the clinical course are presented in eTable 1. Telomere length studies were normal. Whole exome sequencing failed to show pathogenic variants in genes associated with severe combined immunodeficiency or other known primary immunodeficiencies or ALL. She had 3 heterozygous variants in genes that were not related to primary immunodeficiencies or ALL.

She was started on corticosteroids, intravenous immunoglobulin at 1 g/kg, and sirolimus. Clinical improvement was noticed 2 to 3 days after starting the therapy. Corticosteroids dose was tapered over few months. She was started on fungal and *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia prophylaxis. Her immunoglobulin therapy was switched from intravenous to subcutaneous infusions. She was monitored closely for infections. Her rash, vomiting, and diarrhea continued to show improvement. Her lymphopenia showed improvement over the next 10 months. At 32 months of age, her subcutaneous immunoglobulin was discontinued. Immune laboratory results returned to normal by 34 months of age. She is currently doing well at 4 years of age.

This case demonstrates that ALL and its therapy can be associated with complications of severe combined immune dysfunction. Prompt recognition, treatment, and supportive care can lead to recovery from transient severe immunodeficiency. Most patients with ALL have immune reconstitution after chemotherapy within 6 months.⁹ A study describing immune dysfunction at 6 months after therapy in 23 patients with ALL or acute myeloid leukemia noted lymphopenia in 5% and hypogammaglobulinemia in 25%.¹⁰ However, clinical immunologic features were not described in these patients.¹⁰ A case series by Geerlinks et al⁶ described severe immunodeficiency with leukemia, but the patients did not recover or needed hematopoietic stem cell transplantation for immune recovery. In addition, a case study of children with ALL and their immunologic status described the rate of immune recovery.⁷ However, none of these cases were as severe as the present case. We acknowledge the limitation of our case report. This child might have a genetic abnormality that had not yet completely manifested and could have been missed at exome sequencing. This case exemplifies that implementation of medical therapy including immunoglobulin infusions and prophylactic antimicrobial regimen with isolation of the patient can result in resolution of the severe immunodeficiency symptoms while awaiting spontaneous T-cell reconstitution without needing immune reconstitution through stem cell transplantation.

Acknowledgments

We thank the patient and her family for their cooperation.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anai.2017.12.023.

Nikita Raje, MD^{*,†} Brenda L. Snyder, APRN* David A. Hill, MD, PhD[‡] Jenna L. Streicher, MD, FAAD§ Kate E. Sullivan, MD, PhD[‡] *Division of Allergy, Asthma, Immunology Children's Mercy Hospital Kansas, Missouri [†]University of Missouri–Kansas City Kansas City, Missouri [‡]Division of Allergy and Immunology The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, Pennsylvania §Section of Pediatric Dermatology The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Philadelphia, Pennsylvania drniki@gmail.com

References

- Bielorai B, Fisher T, Waldman D, et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in early childhood as the presenting sign of ataxia-telangiectasia variant. *Pediatr Hematol Oncol.* 2013;30:574–582.
- [2] Hoshino A, Okuno Y, Migita M, et al. X-linked agammaglobulinemia associated with B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. J Clin Immunol. 2015; 35:108–111.
- [3] Koegel AK, Hofmann I, Moffitt K, et al. Acute lymphoblastic leukemia in a patient with MonoMAC syndrome/GATA2 haploinsufficiency. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2016; 63:1844–1847.
- [4] van der Werff Ten Bosch J, van den Akker M. Genetic predisposition and hematopoietic malignancies in children: primary immunodeficiency. *Eur J Med Genet*. 2016;59:647–653.
- [5] Kwan A, Abraham RS, Currier R, et al. Newborn screening for severe combined immunodeficiency in 11 screening programs in the United States. JAMA. 2014;312:729–738.
- [6] Geerlinks AV, Issekutz T, Wahlstrom JT, et al. Severe, persistent, and fatal T-cell immunodeficiency following therapy for infantile leukemia. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2016;63:2046–2049.
- [7] Kosmidis S, Baka M, Bouhoutsou D, et al. Longitudinal assessment of immunological status and rate of immune recovery following treatment in children with ALL. *Pediatr Blood Cancer*. 2008;50:528–532.
- [8] Cooper SL, Brown PA. Treatment of pediatric acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Pediatr Clin North Am. 2015;62:61–73.
- [9] van Tilburg CM, van Gent R, Bierings MB, et al. Immune reconstitution in children following chemotherapy for haematological malignancies: a long-term follow-up. *Br J Haematol.* 2011;152:201–210.
- [10] Perkins JL, Harris A, Pozos TC. Immune dysfunction after completion of childhood leukemia therapy. J Pediatr Hematol Oncol. 2017;39:1–5.

Supplementary Data

eTable 1

Laboratory Findings and Timeline

	Birth	23 months	25 months	27 months	28 months	30 months	32 months	34 months	40 months
WBCC (×10 ³ / μ L)	358.1	4.3	5.4	3.67	2.7	4	4.2	9.04	9.74
ALC ($\times 10^3/\mu$ L)	3.58	0.12	0.5	0.6	0.4	1.2	1.6	2.32	3.25
ANC $(\times 10^3/\mu L)$	10.74	3.65	4.2	1.96	1.4	2.1	2.1	5.47	5.55
Immunoglobulin G (mg/dL)	612	208	1,290		1,560	1,050	963	553	774
CD3 ⁺ T cells (mm ³)			39	24	163	500	1,069	1,650	2,375
CD4 ⁺ T cells (mm ³)			37	18	130	354	750	1,138	1,627
CD8 ⁺ T cells (mm ³)			2	0	28	137	303	488	748
CD19 ⁺ B cells (mm ³)			23	132	156	225	335	441	586
CD16 ⁺ CD56 ⁺ NK cells (mm ³)			519	485	235	144	192	186	260
CD19 ⁺ CD27 ⁺ IgM ⁻ B cells (mm ³)					6				
CD4 ⁺ /45RA ⁺ T cells (mm ³)			24	17	57	250	622	926	1,413
Mitogen assay			<5%	decreased		normal	normal	normal	
Antigen assay						absent	decreased		

Abbreviations: ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; IgM, immunoglobulin M; NK, natural killer; WBCC, white blood cell count.