For reprint orders, please contact: reprints@futuremedicine.com

Melanoma brain metastases: review of histopathological features and immune-molecular aspects

Lorenzo Salvati¹, Mario Mandalà² & Daniela Massi^{*,3}

¹Department of Experimental & Clinical Medicine, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

²Unit of Medical Oncology, Department of Oncology & Hematology, Pope John XXIII Cancer Center Hospital, Bergamo, Italy

³Section of Pathological Anatomy, Department of Health Sciences, University of Florence, Florence, Italy

*Author for correspondence: daniela.massi@unifi.it

Practice points

- Melanoma brain metastases (MBM) most commonly develop in the gray–white matter junction and major vascular border zones in the cerebral hemispheres, particularly the frontal lobe.
- MBM harbor additional oncogenic drivers not expressed in primary lesions and are associated to intertumoral and intratumoral genomic heterogeneity.
- Hyperactivation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway represents a facilitator of melanoma progression and brain metastasis formation.
- Compared with other tumor types, the infiltrate of MBM shows the highest density of CD8⁺ T cells. Melanoma cells can evade adaptive immune response due to the overexpression of coinhibitory molecules, or recruitment of regulatory T cells, which suppress cytotoxic T lymphocytes response.

Patients with melanoma brain metastases (MBM) have a dismal prognosis, but the unprecedented advances in systemic therapy alone or in combination with local therapy have now extended the 1-year overall survival rate from 20–25% to nearing 80–85%, mainly in asymptomatic patients. The histopathological and molecular characterization of MBM and the understanding of the microenvironment are critical to more effectively manage patients with advanced melanoma and to design biologically driven clinical trials. This review aims to give an overview of the main histopathological features and the immune-molecular aspects of MBM.

First draft submitted: 4 December 2019; Accepted for publication: 21 February 2020; Published online: 8 June 2020

Keywords: histopathology • immunity to melanoma brain metastasis • immunotherapy • melanoma brain metastases • metastatic melanoma

"There could well be survival advantage in being able to recognize the presence of cells carrying wrong molecular configurations and to eliminate them from further proliferation. It would profit the organism to maintain a surveillance over the orthodoxy of its chemical structure and to stamp out heresy before it could spread. To be able to do this would require just such a mechanism as is called for by the facts of immune tolerance."

Sir Frank Macfarlane Burnet

Nobel Lecture, 12 December 1960

Histopathology of melanoma brain metastases

Melanoma brain metastases (MBM) usually present as single or multiple well-circumscribed solid or partially cystic lesions in the brain parenchyma. MBM are often hemorrhagic, clinically appearing as intracerebral hemorrhage, and they are surrounded by substantial vasogenic edema, which causes mass effect with neurologic symptoms including headache, focal deficit and seizures [1–3]. The most common localization is the gray–white matter junction and the major vascular border zones in the cerebral hemispheres, particularly the frontal lobe [4,5].



e, Italy XIII Cancer Center Hospital, Bergamo, Italy Iorence, Florence, Italy

10.2217/mmt-2019-0021 © 2020 Daniela Massi *Melanoma Manag.* (2020) 7(2), MMT44





Figure 1. Immunological players in melanoma brain metastases. The infiltrate of MBM is mainly composed of CTLs, which are situated around vascular structures and at the border zone between tumor tissue and peritumoral brain parenchyma. In addition, scattered Treg, memory T cells and B cells can be found. However, the lymphocytic infiltrate is absent in areas of necrosis or in regions of brain parenchyma other than the interface with MBM [6]. Brain-resident cells interacts with the tumor and the immune cells. CTL: Cytotoxic T lymphocyte; MBM: Melanoma brain metastases; MMP2: Matrix metalloproteinase-2; Treg: Regulatory T cells.

On histologic examination, MBM are characterized by variably pigmented spindled and epithelioid cells with abundant cytoplasm, large nuclei and prominent nucleoli, organized in nests frequently interlaced by extravasated red blood cells. MBM can show a significant lymphocytic infiltrate, primarily composed of cytotoxic T cells (CD8⁺) associated with interspersed regulatory T cells (FoxP3⁺), memory T cells (CD45RO⁺) and B cells (Figure 1) [6].

Immunohistochemically, the assessment of HMB-45 (human melanoma black-45), melan-A/MART-1 (melanoma-associated antigen recognized by T cells), tyrosinase and SOX10 (SRY-related HMG-box) expression can help orientate the diagnosis toward a MBM, especially when an undifferentiated brain tumor is found and metastatic amelanotic melanoma is suspected [7–9]. Protein S100 is highly sensitive, but not specific, as it is also expressed by neurons, astrocytes and glia [10]. Although immunohistochemistry remains the cornerstone of tumor diagnostics, it has been recently suggested that BrainMETH classifiers based on DNA methylation profiling could serve as an effective ancillary tool in accurately diagnosing challenging cases; specifically, patients with occult primary tumors or poorly differentiated brain metastatic lesions [11].

Considering genetic mutations, 50–55% of MBM are *BRAF* mutated, 15–22% *NRAS* mutated and 11% *KIT* mutated, while multiple mutations are found in only 2% of cases [7,12–14]. *BRAF* and *NRAS* genetic testing can contribute to the diagnosis of poorly differentiated metastatic melanoma versus sarcoma when immunostaining results are negative [15]. Ninety percent of all *BRAF* mutations involve the substitution of amino acid valine by glutamic acid in the activating segment of the kinase domain of *BRAF* (Val600Glu or V600E), compared with a smaller percentage of cases in which lysine substitutes valine (Val600Lys or V600K), resulting in a constitutive activation of the mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signal transduction pathway, which leads to proliferation, invasion and metastatic tumor potential [16,17]. Remarkably, MBM not only diverge from primary melanoma, as they harbor additional variants not expressed in primary lesion, but also show interlesional and even intralesional genomic heterogeneity [18]. In relation to the progression of melanoma from its precursors, the polyclonality typically occurs at the later stages of evolution, when melanoma cells become invasive [19].

The crucial initial steps necessary for melanoma metastases are local invasion, epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition and intravasation; these processes require melanoma cell motility, remodeling of extracellular matrix (ECM) and stromal interaction [20]. The dissemination to the brain is hematogenous. Upon reaching the brain vessels,

metastatic melanoma cells arrest by size restriction in capillary bed at branch points, attach to endothelial cells, actively extravasate, transmigrate across the blood-brain barrier (BBB) via disruption of tight junctions and degradation of ECM proteins, and finally, seed into the brain parenchyma [21,22]. Extravasated cells must perpetuate a close perivascular position in order to survive, and they initially proliferate by co-opting existing microvessels [23]. The angiotropic dissemination of melanoma cells is predominantly due to extravascular migration along the external walls of brain microvasculature, and correlates with the expression of Serpin B2 that is the plasminogen activator inhibitor type 2 [24]. Angiogenesis is essential to proliferation and survival of melanoma cells and is promoted by different factors: VEGF attracts angioblasts, induces endothelial cell proliferation, vasculogenesis and vascular remodeling; bFGF contributes to vascular formation; IL-8 increases the permeability of the blood-tumor barrier; matrix metalloproteinases fragment ECM; integrins enhance the expression of matrix metalloproteinases [25,26]. Bevacizumab, a recombinant humanized monoclonal antibody against VEGF-A, has been used in advanced melanoma and is currently in clinical trials in patients with MBM (Table 1). In addition, VEGF has pleiotropic effects: it causes inhibition of dendritic cell maturation and antigen presentation as well as influences lymphocyte vascular trafficking [27]. Indeed, combination of antiangiogenic therapy targeting the VEGF-A pathway and ipilimumab resulted in endothelial activation-favoring lymphocytes migration into tissues and an increase in circulating memory T cells [27].

The brain is not an innocent bystander in MBM formation. Interaction between melanoma cells and astrocytes facilitates invasion resulting in the release of IL-23 by astrocytes and the upregulation of matrix metalloproteinase-2 in melanoma cells (Figure 1) [28]. Furthermore, metastasis-associated astrocytes produce CXCL10, a proinflammatory chemokine that attracts T cells but also melanoma cells via CXC3R and it has been found to be elevated in the cerebrospinal fluid of patients with MBM [29,30]. Given that melanocytes and neuronal cells share common embryologic origin from the neural crest, melanoma expresses neurotrophin receptors (p75^{NRT} and TrkC), regulated by NGF and neurotrophin-3, which can be secreted by astrocytes at the stromal-tumor border, thus promoting invasion and supporting melanoma metastasis formation [31]. Incipient MBM determine both astrogliosis, which is the primary response of astrocytes to brain insult, characterized by proliferation, migration to the site of invasion and upregulation of glial fibrillary acidic protein and neuroinflammation, associated with increased BBB permeability [32]. Microglia, the brain-resident macrophages and effector cells of the innate immune system, have a direct tumoricidal activity, mediated by the production of nitric oxide [33], and contribute to the adaptive immunity via activation of tumor-specific T cells and B cells. Conversely, microglia may promote neoplastic invasion by expression of PD-L1 (programmed death-ligand 1) and inhibition of tumor-specific cytotoxic T cells [22,34].

Hyperactivation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway represents a facilitator of melanoma progression and brain metastasis formation, as it has been evidenced in *BRAF* mutated, stage IIIB/IIIC melanomas [21,35]. It most commonly results from inactivation or deletion of phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN), a lipid phosphatase, which dephosphorylates phosphatidylinositol-3,4,5 triphosphate to phosphatidylinositol-4,5 biphosphate, thus antagonizing the pro-oncogenic effect of phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K) and reducing AKT phosphorylation [21]. The brain microenvironment, in turn, favors PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway aberrant activation, because astrocytes secrete exosomes containing miRNAs, which epigenetically, induce PTEN loss in melanoma cells through reversible PTEN mRNA and protein downregulation [36]. Because of these findings, targeting PI3K/AKT pathway may represent a treatment opportunity in patients with MBM [37]. Buparlisib, a pan-class I PI3K inhibitor, halts hyperactivated AKT and induces apoptosis in melanoma cells [38]. Unfortunately, buparlisib in combination with MAPK inhibitors determined increased toxicity [39], this was also observed with uprosertib, an ATP-competitive pan-AKT inhibitor, in association with trametinib [40]. In order to overcome drug-related toxicity limits, isoformspecific PI3K inhibitors could be used or PIK3CA mutant-selective inhibitors could be developed [41].

Molecular immunological features of melanoma brain metastases

The brain is an immunologically unique and specialized organ, given the existence of a highly selective barrier (BBB) and the presence of a functional meningeal lymphatic system that drains cerebrospinal fluid to the deep cervical lymph nodes, instead of classical lymphatic drainage [42–45]. The immune response against melanoma is innate and adaptive. Melanoma is an immunogenic tumor, characterized by the expression of multiple antigens targetable by the host immune system such as MAGE-A (melanoma-associated antigen), MART-1, tyrosinase (an enzyme involved in melanin synthesis) or glycoprotein 100 (gp100, a transmembrane glycoprotein found in melanosomes) [46,47]. Compared with other primary tumor types, the infiltrate of MBM shows the highest density of CD8⁺ T cells [48]. In order to be activated against melanoma, CD8⁺ T cells need three 'positive signals', which

a	
님	
Ē	
Ü	
Ē	
=	
U	
0	
-	
2	
È	
õ	
ō	
Ñ	
ă	
S	
Q	
a	
Ť	
ä	
ť	
٣	
a	
Ě	
0	
g	
۲	
0	
Ξ	
ല്	
F	
ŝ	
Ē	
m	l
2	
g	
÷	
σ	
Ð	
E B	
ŏ	1
E	
10	
o	
č	
σ	
S	
Ψ	
0	ĺ
5	
Φ	
S	
б	
č	
_	

Disease subtype	Intervention	Target	Phase	Study number	Open	Status
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Vemurafenib + cobimetinib post-SRS	BRAF + MEK1	Phase II	NCT03430947	≻	R (2018)
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Encorafenib + binimetinib	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase II	NCT03911869	≻	R (2019)
Asymptomatic BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Encorafenib + binimetinib pre-WBRT/SRS	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase II	NCT03898908	≻	R (2019)
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	SRS + encorafenib + binimetinib	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase II	NCT04074096	≻	NYR
MBM	Nivolumab + ipilimumab + device	CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT03903640	۲	R (2019)
More than three symptomatic MBM	Ipilimumab + nivolumab	CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT03728465	۲	R (2018)
Asymptomatic, untreated MBM	Ipilimumab $+$ nivolumab \pm SRS	CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT03340129	≻	R (2019)
BRAF or MEK-mutated MBM	MEK inhibitor (E6201)	MEK1	Phase II	NCT03332589	۲	R (2018)
Asymptomatic MBM	SRS + pembrolizumab	PD-1	Phase I	NCT02858869	۲	R (2016)
Asymptomatic MBM	SRS + nivolumab	PD-1	Phase I	NCT02716948	۲	R (2016)
MBM	Nivolumab + device	PD-1	Phase I-II	NCT04021420	۲	NYR
MBM	Pembrolizumab + device	PD-1	Phase I	NCT04129515	≻	NYR
Recurrent MBM	LITT + pembrolizumab	PD-1	Phase I	NCT04187872	۲	NYR
Asymptomatic MBM	Pembrolizumab + bevacizumab	PD-1 + VEGF	Phase II	NCT02681549	۲	R (2016)
ИВМ	Pembrolizumab or ipilimumab + nivolumab	PD-1 or CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT03563729	≻	R (2018)
ABM	STAT3 inhibitor (WP1066)	STAT3	Phase I	NCT01904123	≻	R (2018)
Asymptomatic, untreated MBM	Bevacizumab $+$ atezolizumab \pm cobimetinib	VEGF + PD-L1 \pm MEK1	Phase II	NCT03175432	۲	R (2017)
3RAFV600-mutated MBM	Dabrafenib	BRAF	Phase II	NCT01266967	z	C (2012), HR
Jntreated BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Neoadjuvant vemurafenib	BRAF	Phase II	NCT01781026	z	C (2014), HR
reated MBM	Vemurafenib	BRAF	Phase II	NCT01253564	z	C (2015), HR
3RAFV600-mutated MBM	Vemurafenib	BRAF	Phase II	NCT01378975	z	C (2015), HR
3RAFV600-mutated MBM	Vemurafenib + cobimetinib	BRAF + MEK1	Phase II	NCT02537600	z	C (2019), NRA
3RAFV600-mutated MBM	Dabrafenib + trametinib	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase II	NCT02039947	z	C (2018), HR
iymptomatic 3RAFV600-mutated MBM	Encorafenib + binimetinib + buparlisib	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2 + PI3K	Phase II	NCT02159066	z	Active, NR
Asymptomatic MBM	Ipilimumab + nivolumab	CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT02320058	z	Active, NR
Asymptomatic/symptomatic MBM	Nivolumab \pm ipilimumab	$PD-1 \pm CTLA-4$	Phase II	NCT02374242	z	Active, NR
MBM	Abemaciclib	CDK4/CDK6	Phase II	NCT02308020	z	C (2019), HR
MBM	Ipilimumab + WBRT/SRS	CTLA-4	Phase I	NCT01703507	z	C (2018), HR
MBM	Ipilimumab	CTLA-4	Phase II	NCT00623766	z	C (2012), HR
MBM	Ipilimumab + WBRT	CTLA-4	Phase II	NCT02115139	z	C (2018), NRA
MBM	Ipilimumab + SRS	CTLA-4	Phase II	NCT02662725	z	C (2015), NRA
MBM	Trastuzumab	HER2	Phase I–IIa	NCT01386580	z	C (2014), NRA
VBM	Sunitinib	RTKs (PDGFR, VEGFR)	Phase II	NCT00462982	z	C (2008), HR

Table 1. Ongoing and rece (as of February 2020) (cont.)	nt clinical trials of immunotherapies an).	d targeted therapies in m	elanoma brair	ו metastases ac	cording to c	linicaltrials.gov
Disease subtype	Intervention	Target	Phase	Study number	Open	Status
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Vemurafenib + cobimetinib	BRAF + MEK1	Phase II	NCT02230306	z	Т (2016), НК
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Dabrafenib + trametinib + SRS	BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase II	NCT01721603	z	T (2016), HR
BRAFV600-mutated MBM	Dabrafenib \pm trametinib	$BRAF \pm MEK1/MEK2$	Phase IIb	NCT01978236	z	Т (2017), НК
MBM	SRS + ipilimumab	CTLA-4	Phase I	NCT01950195	z	T (2016), NRA
Symptomatic MBM	lpilimumab + nivolumab	CTLA-4 + PD-1	Phase II	NCT02621515	z	T (2018), NRA
Asymptomatic, untreated MBM	Fotemustine or fotemustine + ipilimumab or ipilimumab + nivolumab	$CTLA-4 \pm PD-1$	Phase III	NCT02460068	z	T (2018), NRA
MBM	γ -secretase inhibitor (RO4929097) + WBRT	γ-secretase	Phase I	NCT01217411	z	Т (2011), НК
MBM not eligible for surgery or SRS, failed prior <i>BRAF</i> ± MEK-I therapy and immunotherapy	Buparlisib	PI3K	Phase II	NCT02452294	z	US (2015), NRA
MBM	Nab-paclitaxel + temozolomide + bevacizumab	$Microtubules + NF-k\beta + VEGF$	Phase I	NCT02065466	z	W (2014), NRA
Recurrent MBM	lL-2 + ipilimumab post-SRS/WBRT	СТІА-4	Phase Ib–II	NCT03297463	z	W (2018), NRA
Asymptomatic <i>BRAF</i> V600-mutated MBM	${\sf Uprosertib} + {\sf dabrafenib} + {\sf trametinib}$	Akt + BRAF + MEK1/MEK2	Phase I–II	NCT01902173	z	Suspended
Akt: AKT serine/threonine kinase; BRAF: B HER2: Human EGF receptor 2; HR: Has res Not recruiting, NRA: No results available; N SRS: Stereotactic radiosurgery; T: Terminat	Raf proto-oncogene, serine/threonine kinase; BRAF-I: BRAF ults; UIT: Laser interstitial thermotherapy; MBM: Melanoma IYR: Not yet recruiting; PD-1: Programmed cell death protein ed (study termination date); US: Unknown status (study starl	inhibitor; C: Completed (study completi orain metastases; MEK: Mitogen-activat 1; PDGFR: PDGF receptor; P13K: Phosph date); VEGFR: VEGF receptor; W: Withh	on date); CDK: Cyclir ed protein kinase kini atidylinositol 3-kinase Irawn (study start da	1-dependent kinase; CT ase; MEK-I: MEK inhibit providenting (study st te); WBRT: Whole-brain	LA-4: Cytotoxic T-l or; N: No; NF-kβ: art date); RTK: Re radiotherapy; Y: Y	ymphocyte antigen 4; Vuclear factor kβ; NR: ceptor tyrosine kinase; es.



Figure 2. T-cell priming and activation. (A) In the draining lymph node, the activation of CTL against melanoma can be induced by cross-priming, in other words, melanoma cells or antigens are captured, processed and, in association with MHC class I, presented to CTL by the APC. Costimulatory molecules (CD80/B7-1, CD86/B7-2) expressed by APC provide the second signal, necessary for CTL differentiation. In some cases (as represented in the figure), APC stimulates CD4⁺ T cell, which, in turn, determines CTL activation, through the release of cytokines (IL-2, IFN-γ). (B) Activated CTLs kill metastatic melanoma cells via production of cytotoxic granules containing granzymes (serine proteases) and perforin. Granzymes, which enter through perforin holes on the cellular surface, cause melanoma cell lysis determining the activation of caspases and consequently, apoptosis. Other possible mechanisms of tumor killing are perforin-mediated osmotic lysis and Fas–FasL-mediated apoptosis. **(C)** However, melanoma cells can evade adaptive immune response due to the overexpression of coinhibitory molecules (e.g., PD-L1-binding PD-1 on CTL), or recruitment of Treg, which suppress CTL response. In addition, the immune system is intrinsically regulated by mechanisms that inhibit CTL, for example, the CTLA-4 coinhibitory receptor, which binds to CD80 on APC. Hence, it is explained the rationale behind the use and efficacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors, anti-PD-1 and anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibodies, as they unleash, at two different times (effector and induction phase respectively), the immune system against melanoma.

APC: Antigen-presenting cell; CTL: Cytotoxic T lymphocyte; CTLA-4: Cytotoxic T-lymphocyte antigen 4; PD-1: Programmed cell death protein 1; PD-L1: Programmed death-ligand 1; Treg: Regulatory T cells.

are provided by the T-cell receptor direct recognition of tumor-specific antigens presented by the antigen-presenting cell (APC) in association with MHC class I, costimulatory interaction between CD28 (on T cell) and CD80 (on APC) and the production of cytokines (Figure 2).

There is evidence that APCs in the CNS may be dendritic cells [45,49,50]. The immune response to melanoma is controlled both by intrinsic regulatory mechanisms, which are constituted by activation of inhibitory receptors expressed by tumor-specific T cells (such as cytotoxic T-lymphocyte-associated protein 4 [CTLA-4], programmed cell death protein 1 [PD-1], lymphocyte-activation gene-3 [LAG-3], T-cell immunoglobulin mucin-3 [TIM-3] and T-cell immunoreceptor with immunoglobulin and ITIM domains [TIGIT]), generation of Treg or anergy (i.e., when the T cell becomes unresponsive to the antigen), and by melanoma cells, which implement strategies for the purpose of evading the host defense, thereby continuing to effectively proliferate [47]. In this respect, one of the possible mechanisms of immune evasion displayed by melanoma cells is represented by the inhibition of the effector function of tumor-specific CD8⁺ T cells via overexpression of PD-L1 and indolearnine-pyrrole 2,3-dioxygenase [51,52]. The blockade of intrinsic 'immune checkpoints' that downregulate T-cell activity (CTLA-4 and PD-1) is currently among the treatments of advanced melanoma [53-58]. In fact, anti-CTLA-4 and anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies block the transduction of the inhibitory signal to the tumor-specific T cell, thus reinforcing the antitumor activity of the adaptive immune response [59,60]. Combination immunotherapy anti-CTLA-4/anti-PD-1 benefits from the complementary and nonredundant coinhibition of T cells [61] and increases long-term progression-free survival and overall survival of patients with MBM [62,63]. CTLA-4 binds to CD80 approximately 48 h after T-cell activation, thus at an earlier stage than PD-1, which, for its part, contributes to T-cell functional inactivation at the effector phase [64]. In a melanoma transplantation murine model with extracranial (subcutaneous) plus

intracranial tumors, the contemporary blockade of these two immune checkpoint inhibitors enhanced trafficking of CD8⁺ T cells to the brain (almost 14-fold), and also increased that of macrophages and microglia, as well as upregulated genes involved in activation of T cells, natural killer (NK) cells and microglia/macrophages [65]. It is important to note that, while increase in CD4⁺ T cells, Treg and effector T cells were independent of extracranial tumor, CD8⁺ T cells enhancement in MBM following anti-PD-1/anti-CTLA-4 therapy relied upon the presence of subcutaneous melanoma [65]. This finding is consistent with the notion that the adaptive responses against antigens in the CNS are initiated in the periphery and propagated to the CNS by central memory T cells [66]. Despite this, intracranial response to pembrolizumab has been shown in patients with brain only involvement, but this could be due to undetectable microscopic extracerebral disease [53,67]. It has been recently evidenced that MBM differ from extracranial disease in terms of significant immunosuppression and enrichment of oxidative phosphorylation (OXPHOS). Giving the increased utilization of OXPHOS, melanoma cells metabolically compete with immune cells, thus antagonizing the immune response in the brain [68]. An OXPHOS inhibitor, currently in early-phase clinical trials in acute myeloid leukemia (NCT02882321), lymphoma and advanced solid tumors (NCT03291938), in murine models with MAPK inhibitor-resistant intracranial melanoma xenograft has resulted in improved survival [68]. Since resistance to BRAF inhibitor and MEK inhibitor-targeted therapies can be mediated by OXPHOS [69,70], the inhibition of this metabolic program has promising future applications in those patients who experience failure of targeted therapies [68].

Conclusion

MBM are a true challenge for successful treatment of patients with stage IV melanoma. The genetic, molecular and metabolic changes in the tumor cells at the primary site and later in the brain are crucial for melanoma to establish and grow in a new microenvironment. Nevertheless, the immune cells mantain a surveillance over the tumor and attack melanoma both at the periphery and in the brain. The immune response can be evaded by melanoma through immunosuppressive pathways, but checkpoint inhibitors restore the adaptive response against neoplastic cells and represent one of the treament of MBM.

Future perspective

We are currently experiencing a fascinating time with the recent advances of combined immunotherapy in the management of metastatic melanoma, but there are still gaps in our knowledge and numerous uncertainties. The focus of basic research on the potential novel molecular targets in melanoma cells, the mechanisms of immune surveillance in MBM and the CNS microenvironment will offer opportunities for successful targeted and immune-based treatment. The inclusion of patients with active MBM and/or leptomeningeal disease in future clinical trials will be extremely important in paving the way for curing melanoma metastatic to the brain. It will be of outstanding interest to see future applications of a newly discovered T-cell population that specifically targets melanoma cells while sparing healthy cells via recognition of the monomorphic MHC class I-related protein MR1 [71].

Author contributions

All the authors contributed to the writing and editing of this manuscript.

Financial & competing interests disclosure

M Mandalà: Honoraria or Advisory Board of Roche, Novartis, BMS, MSD, Pierre Fabre. Research grant: Roche, Novartis. D Massi: Honoraria or Advisory Board of Novartis, Bayer, Pierre-Fabre, Sanofi, MSD, Roche. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript apart from those disclosed.

No writing assistance was utilized in the production of this manuscript.

Open access

This work is licensed under the Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

References

Papers of special note have been highlighted as: • of interest; •• of considerable interest

- 1. Pekmezci M, Perry A. Neuropathology of brain metastases. Surg. Neurol. Int. 4(Suppl. 4), S245–S255 (2013).
- 2. Goldlust SA, Hsu M, Lassman AB et al. Seizure prophylaxis and melanoma brain metastases. J. Neurooncol. 108(1), 109–114 (2012).

- 3. Navi BB, Reichman JS, Berlin D *et al.* Intracerebral and subarachnoid hemorrhage in patients with cancer. *Neurology* 74(6), 494–501 (2010).
- 4. Fink KR, Fink JR. Imaging of brain metastases. Surg. Neurol. Int. 4(Suppl. 4), S209–S219 (2013).
- Hong AM, Suo C, Valenzuela M et al. Low incidence of melanoma brain metastasis in the hippocampus. Radiother. Oncol. 111(1), 59–62 (2014).
- Berghoff AS, Ricken G, Widhalm G et al. Tumour-infiltrating lymphocytes and expression of programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) in melanoma brain metastases. Histopathology 66(2), 289–299 (2015).
- Histopathological characterization of inflammatory infiltrates in human melanoma brain metastases.
- Bekaert L, Emery E, Levallet G *et al.* Histopathologic diagnosis of brain metastases: current trends in management and future considerations. *Brain Tumor Pathol.* 34(1), 8–19 (2017).
- Miettinen M, McCue PA, Sarlomo-Rikala M et al. Sox10 a marker for not only schwannian and melanocytic neoplasms but also myoepithelial cell tumors of soft tissue: a systematic analysis of 5134 tumors. Am. J. Surg. Pathol. 39(6), 826–835 (2015).
- 9. Takei H, Rouah E, Ishida Y. Brain metastasis: clinical characteristics, pathological findings and molecular subtyping for therapeutic implications. *Brain Tumor Pathol.* 33(1), 1–12 (2016).
- 10. Hayashi K, Hoshida Y, Horie Y *et al.* Immunohistochemical study on the distribution of alpha and beta subunits of S-100 protein in brain tumors. *Acta Neuropathol.* 81(6), 657–663 (1991).
- 11. Orozco JIJ, Knijnenburg TA, Manughian-Peter AO *et al.* Epigenetic profiling for the molecular classification of metastatic brain tumors. *Nat. Commun.* 9(1), 4627 (2018).
- Brain tumor-defining epigenetic alterations, as DNA methylation profiling, as critical tool in the histomolecular stratification of patients with brain metastases.
- 12. Long GV, Trefzer U, Davies MA *et al.* Dabrafenib in patients with Val600Glu or Val600Lys BRAF-mutant melanoma metastatic to the brain (BREAK-MB): a multicentre, open-label, Phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol.* 13(11), 1087–1095 (2012).
- 13. Sperduto PW, Jiang W, Brown PD *et al.* The prognostic value of BRAF, C-KIT, and NRAS mutations in melanoma patients with brain metastases. *Int. J. Radiat. Oncol. Biol. Phys.* 98(5), 1069–1077 (2017).
- 14. Fang P, Boehling NS, Koay EJ *et al.* Melanoma brain metastases harboring BRAF (V600K) or NRAS mutations are associated with an increased local failure rate following conventional therapy. *J. Neurooncol.* 137(1), 67–75 (2018).
- Alrabadi N, Gibson N, Curless K *et al.* Detection of driver mutations in BRAF can aid in diagnosis and early treatment of dedifferentiated metastatic melanoma. *Mod. Pathol.* 32(3), 330–337 (2019).
- 16. Capper D, Berghoff AS, Magerle M *et al.* Immunohistochemical testing of BRAF V600E status in 1120 tumor tissue samples of patients with brain metastases. *Acta Neuropathol.* 123(2), 223–233 (2012).
- 17. Han CH, Brastianos PK. Genetic characterization of brain metastases in the era of targeted therapy. Front. Oncol. 7, 230 (2017).
- Brastianos PK, Carter SL, Santagata S et al. Genomic characterization of brain metastases reveals branched evolution and potential therapeutic targets. Cancer Discov. 5(11), 1164–1177 (2015).
- Whole-exome sequencing reveals that brain metastases are highly divergent from distal extracranial and regional lymph node metastases.
- 19. Shain AH, Yeh I, Kovalyshyn I *et al.* The genetic evolution of melanoma from precursor lesions. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 373(20), 1926–1936 (2015).
- 20. Chiang AC, Massagué J. Molecular basis of metastasis. N. Engl. J. Med. 359(26), 2814-2823 (2008).
- 21. Kircher DA, Silvis MR, Cho JH et al. Melanoma brain metastasis: mechanisms, models, and medicine. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 17(9), 1468 (2016).
- 22. Westphal D, Glitza Oliva IC, Niessner H. Molecular insights into melanoma brain metastases. Cancer 123(S11), 2163–2175 (2017).
- 23. Kienast Y, von Baumgarten L, Fuhrmann M *et al.* Real-time imaging reveals the single steps of brain metastasis formation. *Nat. Med.* 16(1), 116–122 (2010).
- 24. Bentolila LA, Prakash R, Mihic-Probst D *et al.* Imaging of angiotropism/vascular co-option in a murine model of brain melanoma: implications for melanoma progression along extravascular pathways. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 23834 (2016).
- 25. Jour G, Ivan D, Aung PP. Angiogenesis in melanoma: an update with a focus on current targeted therapies. J. Clin. Pathol. 69(6), 472–483 (2016).
- 26. Adams RH, Alitalo K. Molecular regulation of angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 8(6), 464–478 (2007).
- 27. Hodi FS, Lawrence D, Lezcano C *et al.* Bevacizumab plus ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *Cancer Immunol. Res.* 2(7), 632–642 (2014).
- 28. Klein A, Schwartz H, Sagi-Assif O *et al.* Astrocytes facilitate melanoma brain metastasis via secretion of IL-23. *J. Pathol.* 236, 116–127 (2015).

- 29. Doron H, Amer M, Ershaid N et al. Inflammatory activation of astrocytes facilitates melanoma brain tropism via the CXCL10-CXCR3 signaling axis. Cell Rep. 28(7), 1785–1798.e6 (2019).
- Astrocyte-secreted CXCL10 attracts melanoma cells to the brain and silencing of CXCR3, the CXCL10 receptor, expression attenuates brain metastasis in murine models.
- Lok E, Chung AS, Swanson KD, Wong ET. Melanoma brain metastasis globally reconfigures chemokine and cytokine profiles in patient cerebrospinal fluid. *Melanoma Res.* 24(2), 120–130 (2014).
- 31. Denkins Y, Reiland J, Roy M et al. Brain metastases in melanoma: roles of neurotrophins. Neuro-Oncol. 6(2), 154-165 (2004).
- 32. Schwartz H, Blacher E, Amer M *et al.* Incipient melanoma brain metastases instigate astrogliosis and neuroinflammation. *Cancer Res.* 76(15), 4359–4371 (2016).
- Brantley EC, Guo L, Zhang C et al. Nitric oxide-mediated tumoricidal activity of murine microglial cells. Transl. Oncol. 3(6), 380–388 (2010).
- 34. Wu SY, Watabe K. The roles of microglia/macrophages in tumor progression of brain cancer and metastatic disease. Front. Biosci. (Landmark Ed). 22, 1805–1829 (2017).
- 35. Bucheit AD, Chen G, Siroy A *et al.* Complete loss of PTEN protein expression correlates with shorter time to brain metastasis and survival in stage IIIB/C melanoma patients with BRAFV600 mutations. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20(21), 5527–5536 (2014).
- Zhang L, Zhang S, Yao J *et al.* Microenvironment-induced PTEN loss by exosomal microRNA primes brain metastasis outgrowth. *Nature* 527(7576), 100–104 (2015).
- Astrocyte-derived exosomes mediate intercellular transfer of PTEN-targeting miRNAs to brain metastatic tumor cells.
- 37. Chen G, Chakravarti N, Aardalen K *et al.* Molecular profiling of patient-matched brain and extracranial melanoma metastases implicates the PI3K pathway as a therapeutic target. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 20(21), 5537–5546 (2014).
- Niessner H, Schmitz J, Tabatabai G et al. PI3K pathway inhibition achieves potent antitumor activity in melanoma brain metastases in vitro and in vivo. Clin. Cancer Res. 22(23), 5818–5828 (2016).
- Algazi AP, Rotow J, Posch C et al. A dual pathway inhibition strategy using BKM120 combined with vemurafenib is poorly tolerated in BRAF V600(E/K) mutant advanced melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 32(4), 603–606 (2019).
- 40. Algazi AP, Esteve-Puig R, Nosrati A *et al.* Dual MEK/AKT inhibition with trametinib and GSK2141795 does not yield clinical benefit in metastatic NRAS-mutant and wild-type melanoma. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 31(1), 110–114 (2018).
- 41. Hanker AB, Kaklamani V, Arteaga CL. Challenges for the clinical development of PI3K inhibitors: strategies to improve their impact in solid tumors. *Cancer Discov.* 9(4), 482–491 (2019).
- 42. Louveau A, Harris TH, Kipnis J. Revisiting the concept of CNS immune privilege. Trends Immunol. 36(10), 569–577 (2015).
- Louveau A, Plog BA, Antila S *et al.* Understanding the functions and relationships of the glymphatic system and meningeal lymphatics. *J. Clin. Invest.* 127(9), 3210–3219 (2017).
- •• An overview of the mechanisms underlying CNS immune privilege and drainage.
- 44. Choi C, Benveniste EN. Fas ligand/Fas system in the brain: regulator of immune and apoptotic responses. *Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev.* 44(1), 65–81 (2004).
- 45. Dunn GP, Okada H. Principles of immunology and its nuances in the central nervous system. *Neuro Oncol.* 17(Suppl. 7), vii3–vii8 (2015).
- 46. Mukherji B. Immunology of melanoma. Clin. Dermatol. 31(2), 156-165 (2013).
- 47. Boon T, Coulie PG, Van den Eynde BJ, van der Bruggen P. Human T cell responses against melanoma. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 24, 175–208 (2006).
- 48. Berghoff AS, Fuchs E, Ricken G et al. Density of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes correlates with extent of brain edema and overall survival time in patients with brain metastases. Oncoimmunology 5(1), e1057388 (2016).
- D'Agostino PM, Gottfried-Blackmore A, Anandasabapathy N, Bulloch K. Brain dendritic cells: biology and pathology. Acta Neuropathol. 124(5), 599–614 (2012).
- 50. Malo CS, Huggins MA, Goddery EN *et al.* Non-equivalent antigen presenting capabilities of dendritic cells and macrophages in generating brain-infiltrating CD8 (+) T cell responses. *Nat. Commun.* 9(1), 633 (2018).
- 51. Chen L, Flies DB. Molecular mechanisms of T cell co-stimulation and co-inhibition. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 13(4), 227-242 (2013).
- 52. Gajewski TF, Schreiber H, Fu YX. Innate and adaptive immune cells in the tumor microenvironment. *Nat. Immunol.* 14(10), 1014–1022 (2013).
- 53. Kluger HM, Chiang V, Mahajan A *et al.* Long-term survival of patients with melanoma with active brain metastases treated with pembrolizumab on a Phase II trial. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 37(1), 52–60 (2019).
- Schadendorf D, Ascierto PA, Haanen J *et al.* Safety and efficacy of nivolumab in challenging subgroups with advanced melanoma who progressed on or after ipilimumab treatment: a single-arm, open-label, Phase II study (CheckMate 172). *Eur. J. Cancer* 121, 144–153 (2019).

- 55. Long GV, Atkinson V, Lo S *et al.* Combination nivolumab and ipilimumab or nivolumab alone in melanoma brain metastases: a multicentre randomised Phase II study. *Lancet Oncol.* 19, 672–681 (2018).
- 56. Robert C, Long GV, Brady B et al. Nivolumab in previously untreated melanoma without BRAF mutation. N. Engl. J. Med. 372, 320–330 (2015).
- 57. Robert C, Schachter J, Long GV et al. Pembrolizumab versus ipilimumab in advanced melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 372, 2521–2532 (2015).
- 58. Hodi FS, O'Day SJ, McDermott DF *et al.* Improved survival with ipilimumab in patients with metastatic melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 363, 711–723 (2010).
- Snyder A, Makarov V, Merghoub T et al. Genetic basis for clinical response to CTLA-4 blockade in melanoma. N. Engl. J. Med. 371(23), 2189–2199 (2014).
- 60. Boussiotis VA. Molecular and biochemical aspects of the PD-1 checkpoint pathway. N. Engl. J. Med. 375(18), 1767–1778 (2016).
- 61. Sharma P, Allison JP. The future of immune checkpoint therapy. Science 348(6230), 56-61 (2015).
- 62. Rulli E, Legramandi L, Salvati L, Mandala M. The impact of targeted therapies and immunotherapy in melanoma brain metastases: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer* 125(21), 3776–3789 (2019).
- This meta-analysis shows that combination immunotherapy appears to significantly improve progression-free survival and overall survival compared with monoimmunotherapy.
- 63. Tawbi HA, Forsyth PA, Algazi A *et al.* Combined nivolumab and ipilimumab in melanoma metastatic to the brain. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 379, 722–730 (2018).
- 64. Zhu Z, Liu W, Gotlieb V. The rapidly evolving therapies for advanced melanoma towards immunotherapy, molecular targeted therapy, and beyond. *Crit. Rev. Oncol. Hematol.* 99, 91–99 (2016).
- 65. Taggart D, Andreou T, Scott KJ *et al.* Anti-PD-1/anti-CTLA-4 efficacy in melanoma brain metastases depends on extracranial disease and augmentation of CD8(+) T cell trafficking. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 115(7), E1540–E1549 (2018).
- 66. Ransohoff RM, Engelhardt B. The anatomical and cellular basis of immune surveillance in the central nervous system. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* 12(9), 623–635 (2012).
- 67. Eroglu Z, Holmen SL, Chen Q *et al.* Melanoma central nervous system metastases: an update to approaches, challenges, and opportunities. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 32(3), 458–469 (2019).
- Fischer GM, Jalali A, Kircher DA *et al.* Molecular profiling reveals unique immune and metabolic features of melanoma brain metastases. *Cancer Discov.* 9(5), 628–645 (2019).
- Increased oxidative phosphorylation in melanoma brain metastases has a pivotal role in intracranial immune surveillance.
- Gopal YN, Rizos H, Chen G et al. Inhibition of mTORC1/2 overcomes resistance to MAPK pathway inhibitors mediated by PGC1alpha and oxidative phosphorylation in melanoma. *Cancer Res.* 74, 7037–7047 (2014).
- Haq R, Shoag J, Andreu-Perez P et al. Oncogenic BRAF regulates oxidative metabolism via PGC1alpha and MITF. Cancer Cell 23, 302–315 (2013).
- Crowther MD, Dolton G, Legut M et al. Genome-wide CRISPR-Cas9 screening reveals ubiquitous T cell cancer targeting via the monomorphic MHC class I-related protein MR1. Nat. Immunol. 21(2), 178–185 (2020).