

PROKARYOTES



Draft Genome Sequence of Lactobacillus salivarius L28 Isolated from Ground Beef

Diana I. Ayala, Peter W. Cook, David L. Campos, Mindy M. Brashears, Henk den Bakker,* Kendra K. Nightingale

International Center for Food Industry Excellence, Department of Animal and Food Sciences, Texas Tech University, Lubbock, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT In this report, we describe the draft genome sequence of a newly discovered probiotic strain, *Lactobacillus salivarius* L28. *L. salivarius* L28 demonstrates antagonistic effects against human foodborne pathogens, including *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes*, in coculture experiments and food matrices.

Probiotics are live, naturally occurring bacteria or fungi that, when administered in adequate amounts, benefit the host by improving microbial balance (1, 2). The use of probiotics has increased in recent years due to their well-known health-promoting effects and their potential to replace subtherapeutic antibiotics in livestock (3–6). Probiotics containing *Lactobacillus* spp. have been reported to reduce the prevalence of foodborne pathogens in feces and hides and decrease the colonization of peripheral lymph nodes by *Salmonella* spp. in livestock (6–8). Probiotics benefit the host by producing antimicrobial compounds (i.e., bacteriocins and organic acids), competing for epithelial receptors and nutrients in the gastrointestinal tract, producing enzymes and vitamins, and improving the intestinal barrier and homeostasis (9). *Lactobacillus* spp. have been commonly isolated from plants, silage, raw meat, fermented foods, oral cavities, and gastrointestinal tracts of humans and animals.

Lactobacillus salivarius is a bacteriocin-producing bacterium that has been identified as a promising probiotic due to its ability to modulate gut microbiota. They enhance immune response and reduce host colonization by pathogenic bacteria, thus increasing animal performance (10, 11). *L. salivarius* L28 was isolated from ground beef; preliminary experiments show that L28 reduces *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Salmonella* spp., and *Listeria monocytogenes* by 4.5, 6.5, and 8.5 log₁₀ CFU/ml, respectively, compared to controls cultivated without L28 (our unpublished data). Because *L. salivarius* L28 demonstrated the potential to control foodborne pathogens *in vitro*, we sequenced the L28 genome to gain further insight into antagonistic mechanisms and identify genetic markers unique to L28.

L. salivarius L28 was cultivated in MRS broth, and genomic DNA was isolated using the Invitrogen Purelink DNA extraction kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Pure genomic DNA was used as input material for library preparation with NexteraXT version 2.0 (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). DNA libraries were paired-end sequenced using a 2 × 250-bp V2 kit on an Illumina MiSeq platform. Raw reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic version 0.33 (12) and assembled using SPAdes version 3.5 (13). The L28 draft genome was annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline (14). Reads were assembled into 96 contiguous sequences (contigs). The size of the L28 draft genome was estimated at 2,028,405 bp with an average G+C content of 32.7%; the longest contig was 275,535 bp, the N_{50} value was 79,042 bp, and the genome coverage was 118×. A total of 1,982 coding sequences, 64 tRNAs, and 31 rRNAs were predicted. Two prepeptides, or inducing factors for bacteriocin synthesis, were identified in the general annotation, two incomplete prophages were identified Received 4 August 2017 Accepted 25 August 2017 Published 28 September 2017

Citation Ayala DI, Cook PW, Campos DL, Brashears MM, den Bakker H, Nightingale KK. 2017. Draft genome sequence of *Lactobacillus salivarius* L28 isolated from ground beef. Genome Announc 5:e00955-17. https://doi .org/10.1128/genomeA.00955-17.

Copyright © 2017 Ayala et al. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.

Address correspondence to Kendra K. Nightingale, kendra.nightingale@ttu.edu.

* Present address: Henk den Bakker, Center for Food Safety, University of Georgia, Griffin, Georgia, USA.

D.I.A. and P.W.C. contributed equally to this work.

by PHASTER (15), and one potential plasmid (99,553 bp) was identified. Additionally, 12 potential virulence factors with nucleotide identities greater than 72% were found when compared to the virulence factors database (VFDB) (16), and one gene for potential tetracycline resistance with 90% nucleotide identity was identified when compared to the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database (CARD) (17).

Accession number(s). This whole-genome shotgun sequencing project has been deposited in DDBJ/ENA/GenBank under the accession no. NDYW00000000. The version described in this paper is the first version, NDYW01000000.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding for this research was provided by the International Center for Food Industry Excellence at Texas Tech University.

REFERENCES

- 1. Fuller R. 1992. Probiotics: the scientific basis. Chapman & Hall, London, UK.
- Krehbiel CR, Rust SR, Zhang G, Gilliland SE. 2003. Bacterial direct-fed microbials in ruminant diets: performance response and mode of action. J Anim Sci 81:120–132.
- Tejero-Sariñena S, Barlow J, Costabile A, Gibson GR, Rowland I. 2013. Antipathogenic activity of probiotics against *Salmonella* Typhimurium and *Clostridium difficile* in anaerobic batch culture systems: is it due to synergies in probiotic mixtures or the specificity of single strains? Anaerobe 24:60–65. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anaerobe.2013.09.011.
- Walter J. 2008. Ecological role of lactobacilli in the gastrointestinal tract: implications for fundamental and biomedical research. Appl Environ Microbiol 74:4985–4996. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00753-08.
- Seo JK, Kim S-W, Kim MH, Upadhaya SD, Kam DK, Ha JK. 2010. Direct-fed microbials for ruminant animals. Asian Australas J Anim Sci 23: 1657–1667. https://doi.org/10.5713/ajas.2010.r.08.
- Vasconcelos JT, Elam NA, Brashears MM, Galyean ML. 2008. Effects of increasing dose of live cultures of *Lactobacillus acidophilus* (strain NP 51) combined with a single dose of *Propionibacterium freudenreichii* (strain NP 24) on performance and carcass characteristics of finishing beef steers. J Anim Sci 86:756–762. https://doi.org/10.2527/jas.2007-0526.
- Stephens TP, Loneragan GH, Karunasena E, Brashears MM. 2007. Reduction of *Escherichia coli* O157 and *Salmonella* in feces and on hides of feedlot cattle using various doses of a direct-fed microbial. J Food Prot 70:2386–2391. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-70.10.2386.
- Vipham JL, Loneragan GH, Guillen LM, Brooks JC, Johnson BJ, Pond A, Pond N, Brashears MM. 2015. Reduced burden of *Salmonella enterica* in bovine subiliac lymph nodes associated with administration of a directfed microbial. Zoonoses Public Health 62:599–608. https://doi.org/10 .1111/zph.12187.
- Pot B, Tsakalidou E. 2009. Taxonomy and metabolism of *Lactobacillus*, p 2-41. *In* Ljungh Å, Wadstrom T (ed), Lactobacillus molecular biology from genomics to probiotics. Caister Academic Press, Norfolk, UK.

- Chaves BD, Brashears MM, Nightingale KK. 2017. Applications and safety considerations of *Lactobacillus salivarius* as a probiotic in animal and human health. J Appl Microbiol 123:18–28. https://doi.org/10.1111/jam .13438.
- Zhang J, Deng J, Wang Z, Che C, Li YF, Yang Q. 2011. Modulatory effects of *Lactobacillus salivarius* on intestinal mucosal immunity of piglets. Curr Microbiol 62:1623–1631. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-011-9906-4.
- Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. 2014. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30:2114–2120. https://doi.org/10 .1093/bioinformatics/btu170.
- Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich AA, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin AV, Sirotkin AV, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol 19:455–477. https://doi.org/10.1089/cmb.2012.0021.
- Tatusova T, DiCuccio M, Badretdin A, Chetvernin V, Nawrocki EP, Zaslavsky L, Lomsadze A, Pruitt KD, Borodovsky M, Ostell J. 2016. NCBI prokaryotic genome annotation pipeline. Nucleic Acids Res 44:6614–6624. https://doi .org/10.1093/nar/gkw569.
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A, Liang Y, Wishart DS. 2016. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. Nucleic Acids Res 44:W16–W21. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw387.
- Chen L, Xiong Z, Sun L, Yang J, Jin Q. 2012. VFDB 2012 update: toward the genetic diversity and molecular evolution of bacterial virulence factors. Nucleic Acids Res 40:D641–D645. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/ gkr989.
- 17. Jia B, Raphenya AR, Alcock B, Waglechner N, Guo P, Tsang KK, Lago BA, Dave BM, Pereira S, Sharma AN, Doshi S, Courtot M, Lo R, Williams LE, Frye JG, Elsayegh T, Sardar D, Westman EL, Pawlowski AC, Johnson TA, Brinkman FSL, Wright GD, McArthur AG. 2017. CARD 2017: expansion and model-centric curation of the comprehensive antibiotic resistance database. Nucleic Acids Res 45:D566–D573. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1004.