Check for updates

RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED Highly variable penetrance of abnormal phenotypes in embryonic lethal knockout mice [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations]

Robert Wilson¹, Stefan H. Geyer², Lukas Reissig ¹ ², Julia Rose², Dorota Szumska³, Emily Hardman¹, Fabrice Prin¹, Christina McGuire¹, Ramiro Ramirez-Solis⁴, Jacqui White⁴, Antonella Galli⁴, Catherine Tudor⁴, Elizabeth Tuck⁴, Cecilia Icoresi Mazzeo⁴, James C. Smith¹, Elizabeth Robertson⁵, David J. Adams ¹ ⁴, Timothy Mohun¹, Wolfgang J. Weninger²

¹The Francis Crick Institute, London, UK

²Division of Anatomy, Center for Anatomy & Cell Biology, Medical University of Vienna, Wien, Austria

³Wellcome Trust Centre for Human Genetics, Oxford, UK

⁴Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute, Cambridge, UK

⁵Sir William Dunn School of Pathology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK

V2 First published: 15 Nov 2016, 1:1 (doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.9899.1) Latest published: 27 Feb 2017, 1:1 (doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.9899.2)

Abstract

Background: Identifying genes that are essential for mouse embryonic development and survival through term is a powerful and unbiased way to discover possible genetic determinants of human developmental disorders. Characterising the changes in mouse embryos that result from ablation of lethal genes is a necessary first step towards uncovering their role in normal embryonic development and establishing any correlates amongst human congenital abnormalities.

Methods: Here we present results gathered to date in the Deciphering the Mechanisms of Developmental Disorders (DMDD) programme, cataloguing the morphological defects identified from comprehensive imaging of 220 homozygous mutant and 114 wild type embryos from 42 lethal and subviable lines, analysed at E14.5.

Results: Virtually all mutant embryos show multiple abnormal phenotypes and amongst the 42 lines these affect most organ systems. Within each mutant line, the phenotypes of individual embryos form distinct but overlapping sets. Subcutaneous edema, malformations of the heart or great vessels, abnormalities in forebrain morphology and the musculature of the eyes are all prevalent phenotypes, as is loss or abnormal size of the hypoglossal nerve. **Conclusions:** Overall, the most striking finding is that no matter how profound the malformation, each phenotype shows highly variable penetrance within a mutant line. These findings have challenging implications for efforts to identify human disease correlates.

Open Peer Review Referee Status: 🗹 ? ? **Invited Referees** 1 2 3 REVISED version 2 published 27 Feb 2017 version 1 ? ? published report report report 15 Nov 2016

- 1 David Brook, University of Nottingham UK
- 2 Nadia Rosenthal, Imperial College London UK, Steve Murray, The Jackson Laboratory USA
- 3 Lydia Teboul, Medical Research Council Harwell Institute UK

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Timothy Mohun (tim.mohun@crick.ac.uk)

How to cite this article: Wilson R, Geyer SH, Reissig L *et al.* Highly variable penetrance of abnormal phenotypes in embryonic lethal knockout mice [version 2; referees: 1 approved, 2 approved with reservations] Wellcome Open Research 2017, 1:1 (doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.9899.2)

Copyright: © 2017 Wilson R *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [100160], [001157], [001117]; Cancer Research UK [FC001157], [FC001117]; and the UK Medical Research Council [FC001157], [FC001117].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

First published: 15 Nov 2016, 1:1 (doi: 10.12688/wellcomeopenres.9899.1)

REVISED Amendments from Version 1

This version of the manuscript has been updated to address reviewer comments. Phenotype data has been added for wild-type control embryos and the effect of allele type on phenotype penetrance has been studied.

See referee reports

Introduction

Animal models have long been used as experimental surrogates for investigating the role of individual genes in human development and disease. The remarkable degree of conservation in gene sequence and role that we now know exists across species confirms the validity of this approach and genetic manipulation in the mouse provides a commonly used way to explore gene function. The most ambitious example of this is the attempt coordinated by the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (IMPC) to generate a catalogue of gene function, using a systematic approach to phenotyping of individual gene knockouts (KO) that cover the entire mouse genome. In generating KO lines from about one quarter of the total mouse genome so far, these studies have revealed that around one third of all mammalian genes are essential for life¹⁻³, their removal resulting in embryonic or perinatal lethality. The study of such mutant lines provides a unique opportunity to gain a comprehensive overview of the genetic components regulating normal embryo development and, by inference, the identity of genes whose mutation may cause congenital abnormalities or developmental disease.

Deciphering the Mechanisms of Developmental Disorders (DMDD) is a five year, UK-based programme funded by the Wellcome Trust with the goal of studying 240 embryonic lethal KO lines³. By applying systematic phenotyping methods for homozygous mutant embryos with parallel efforts to identify placental abnormalities and changes in early embryo transcriptome profiles, DMDD offers a foundation for identifying novel genes important for developmental or clinical studies. Here we summarise results to date from detailed examination of homozygous mutant embryos at E14.5 for structural abnormalities.

Materials and methods

Embryos

All embryos were produced by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute (https://www.sanger.ac.uk/mouseportal/) as part of the DMDD project³. Gene knockout lines produced as part of a systematic programme coordinated by the International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium (http://www.mousephenotype.org) were designated lethal if no homozygous mutants were present amongst a minimum of 28 pups at P14 and sub-viable if their proportion fell below 13% of total offspring². All embryos are obtained from heterozygous intercross independently from the P14 viability call. Embryos were harvested from one or more litters at E14.5, fixed in Bouin's fixative for 24 hours and stored at 4°C in phosphate buffered saline.

Generation of digital volume data

Embryos were initially scored for gross abnormalities under a dissection microscope before preparation for 3D imaging. Briefly, embryos were dehydrated in methanol (10% steps until 90%, followed by 95% and 100%; at least 2 hours each) and embedded in methacrylate resin (JB-4, PolySciences) containing eosin B and acridine orange, as previously described⁴⁻⁶. Within each resin block, the embryo was oriented to ensure transverse sectioning along its longitudinal axis. Resin blocks were allowed to polymerise overnight at room temperature, baked at 90°C for 24–48 hours and then subjected to digital volume data generation using high-resolution episcopic microscopy (HREM)⁷. HREM data was downsized as appropriate to provide an isotropic voxel size of between 2.5–3 µm, depending on original section thickness.

Data processing and annotation

12 bit raw greyscale image data was adjusted to optimise tissue visualisation using Photoshop 6 (Adobe). Data visualisation and analysis was performed using software packages Amira 5 (ThermoFisher Scientific) and Osirix, versions 6-8 (Pixmeo). Phenotypes were identified by establishing the precise developmental sub-stage of each embryo and comparing it with stagematched controls⁸. Phenotyping was performed according to a standardised and sequential procedure using actual and virtual 2D section stacks, essentially as recently described9. Data from each embryo was independently reviewed by a second anatomist, and any discrepancies resolved by joint agreement. Each phenotype call was assigned to a 3D point within the embryo image data stack. Abnormalities were classified with the Mammalian Phenotype (MP) ontology¹⁰, using the most specific MP term that described each defect. 3D volume rendered models were employed for developmental staging from external morphology⁸.

Data analysis

In order to facilitate summarising of detailed phenotype annotation data, two subsets of the MP terms closer to the root of the ontology were chosen to provide structured "high" and "intermediate" level overviews of DMDD phenotype data. These MP ontology slims are shown in Table 5 and Table 6 (Supplementary Table 2 and Supplementary Table 3 for download). The MP terms assigned during annotation of the embryos were summarised into the categories defined by the DMDD slims using the Map2Slim algorithm (https://metacpan.org/pod/distribution/go-perl/scripts/ map2slim). All the terms of the DMDD slims that map to terms used to annotate mutant and wild type embryo phenotypes are listed in Supplementary Table 1A and Supplementary Table 1B, respectively.

MP annotation terms used to describe the phenotypes of each embryo of a line were normalised to remove duplicate terms, and the terms for each embryo were mapped onto the ontology slims. For each line, a set of the unique slim terms observed for the line was generated and lists were produced of all the embryos from the line falling into each of these high or intermediate level categories. This enabled calculation of a penetrance score for each of the broad slim terms, calculated as a ratio of the number of embryos listed for the slim category to the number of homozygous mutant embryos analysed for the line.

To obtain a global view of the phenotypes detected, the frequency of lines showing each of the broad category slim terms were counted across all the lines analysed. In addition, the incidence of embryos scored for every phenotype category described by the slim terms, and the total number of embryos analysed in lines exhibiting each individual phenotype category was counted.

The total number of lines for each slim term that had a penetrance score between 0–0.24, 0.25–0.49, 0.50–0.74 and 0.75–1.00 was recorded. We calculated the cumulative penetrance score for each slim term as the overall sum of the penetrance scores of every line showing this broad category phenotype. In addition, for each of the penetrance intervals listed above, the sum of the penetrance scores was calculated for the lines falling into these categories.

All plots showing analysis of the data were produced using the R software package, version 3.2.1 (2015-06-18) (The R Foundation for Statistical Computing).

Use of animals

The care and use of all mice in this study were in accordance with UK Home Office regulations, UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act of 1986 (PPL 80/2485) and were approved by the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute's Animal Welfare and Ethical Review Body.

Results

Size of the study

The data for this study comprises 220 homozygous mutant and 114 wild type E14.5 embryos analysed by the DMDD programme. All data is presented in Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Table 5 and is and also available on the DMDD web site (https:// dmdd.org.uk). Embryos were obtained from 42 novel gene knockout lines, 31 classified as lethal and 11 as sub-viable (Table 1; see also Materials and methods). This corresponds to an average of approximately 5 homozygous mutant embryos for each mutant line, although in practice numbers ranged widely from 1 to 11 as a result of variable breeding efficiency and cost limitations inherent in a large scale screening programme (Supplementary Figure 1). In total, 1,128,247 transverse section images obtained from the 334 embryos formed the basis for examining embryo structure and with the addition of digital resection of datasets in coronal and sagittal planes, scoring of phenotypes was based on examination of 2,536,659 images.

Incidence of structural abnormalities in homozygous mutant embryos.

Almost all mutant embryos studied (209/220) showed structural abnormalities that could be identified by a phenotyping procedure previously refined from pilot studies9. The remaining 11 apparently normal embryos were obtained from 9 different lines, each of which yielded several other homozygous mutants bearing detectable morphological abnormalities. We have previously reported that the resolution afforded by 3D datasets obtained by HREM imaging allowed the detection of phenotypic abnormalities spanning in size range from individual nerves and blood vessels to gross organ and tissue malformations⁹. In the present study, a total of 398 different MP terms were employed to record a total of 2,939 detected mutant embryo phenotypes (Table 2A and Supplementary Table 1A and Supplementary Table 4). Multiple abnormalities were scored in virtually all homozygous mutant embryos. Most showed up to 10, but in some embryos as many as 50 phenotypes were recorded (Figure 1A). Whilst a few phenotypes

(for example those affecting different parts of vertebrae or different regions of the vertebral column) were often scored repeatedly within affected embryos, their incidence was insufficient to have a significant impact on the overall distribution of phenotype numbers scored per embryo across the whole study. When analysed by individual mutant line, the incidence of detectable abnormalities is more broadly distributed, with more than half of the 42 lines showing between 10 and 49 different phenotypes (Figure 1B).

Incidence of structural abnormalities in wild type embryos

To establish the possible impact of "background" abnormalities present within embryos irrespective of mutation, we also analysed a total of 114 wild type embryos, obtained from 41 of the 42 mutant lines (Table 1). Previous large-scale studies of wild type E14.5 embryos from the same genetic background have enabled us to distinguish normal variation in structure from definite abnormalities, using careful stage-specific comparisons combined with statistical and morphometric analysis⁸. This formed the basis for identifying phenotypes in the wild type embryos (Table 2B and Supplementary Table 1B and Supplementary Table 5).

In total, 56 phenotype calls were made, affecting 32 of the wild type embryos and 28 of the 41 lines. 21 of the 56 phenotype calls (38%) are accounted for by only 6 embryos, (indicating the skewing effect of a small number of abnormal embryos). Most affected embryos showing only a single phenotype. This is in marked contrast to the finding of many different phenotypes in individual mutant embryos.

The phenotypes of wild types vary in character, ranging from apparently minor differences (e.g. in blood vessel morphology) to a few major abnormalities (e.g. absent kidney). Each one is rare amongst the population of wild type embryos analysed and affects only a single wild type embryo within the line. Only 10 phenotypes (15 phenotype calls) overlap between mutant embryos and their wild type siblings and these affect only 10 of the 41 lines for which wild type embryos have been assessed (Table 3).

Prevalence of individual abnormalities in mutant embryos

Supplementary Table 1A presents the frequency of individual abnormalities that were identified amongst the mutant embryos. Since some phenotypes (such as vertebral abnormalities) are often present multiply in affected embryos, the data is normalised for occurrence by embryo. Interestingly, the most common phenotype detected in this study was subcutaneous edema. This was evident from macroscopic observation of embryos at harvest and confirmed by subsequent HREM imaging (Figure 3, panels A-C). In total, subcutaneous edema and edema in other body regions (scored with four distinct MP terms) affected one third (72/220) of the embryos and was observed in a little over half (24/42) of the mutant lines. Other prevalent phenotypes included defects affecting the vertebral arches, the ventricular septum of the heart, forebrain morphology and musculature of the developing eyes (Table 2A and Figure 3). Of particular note is the frequency with which mutant embryos showed abnormalities affecting the architecture or presence of the hypoglossal nerve (Figure 4, panels A and B). Complete absence of the nerve occurred in 37 embryos, obtained from 12 different mutant lines, with some embryos from a similar number of lines

Table 1. List of lethal and subviable lines studied. The gene symbol, Mouse Genome Informatics (MGI) ID for the gene, and allele symbol is listed for each line studied along with the number of homozygous mutant embryos analysed, genetic background and the viability status.

iene	MGI ID	Allele	P14 homozygous viability	E14.5 homozygous mutant embryos analysed	E14.5 wild type embryos analysed	Genetic Background	
700067K01Rik	MGI: 1920703	1700067K01Rik <tm2a(komp)wtsi></tm2a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	80	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
933434E20Rik	MGI:1914027	4933434E20Rik <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	9	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Adamts3	MGI:3045353	Adamts3 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	7	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
1dcy9	MGI:108450	Adcy9 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Subviable	00	с	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Anks6	MGI:1922941	Anks6 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	CV	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
4 <i>tp11a</i>	MGI:1354735	Atp11a <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	Ð	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Brd2	MGI:99495	Brd2 <em2wtsi></em2wtsi>	Lethal	S	ო	C57BL/6NTac	
Camsap3	MGI:1916947	Camsap3 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Subviable	4	с	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Celf4	MGI:1932407	Celf4 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	Ð	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Chst11	MGI:1927166	Chst11 <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	10	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Chtop	MGI:1913761	Chtop <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	4	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Cir1	MGI:1914185	Cir1 <tm3a(komp)wtsi></tm3a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	ო	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Cmip	MGI:1921690	Cmip <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	10	5	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Col4a3bp	MGI:1915268	Col4a3bp <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	CI	က	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Cpt2	MGI:109176	Cpt2 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	9	ო	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
D930028M14Rik	MGI:3687343	D930028M14Rik <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	5	co	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Dbn1	MGI:1931838	Dbn1 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	5	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Dhx35	MGI: 1918965	Dhx35 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	+	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Exoc3l2	MGI:1921713	Exoc3l2 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	ო	4	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Fam46c	MGI:1921895	Fam46c <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	Ø	က	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
H13	MGI:95886	H13 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	7	3	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Kif1bp	MGI:1919570	Kif1bp <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	ი	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Mybphl	MGI:1916003	Mybphl <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	ი	5	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	
Npat	MGI:107605	Npat <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	.	-	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac	

Gene	MGLID	Allele	P14 homozygous viability	E14.5 homozygous mutant embryos analysed	E14.5 wild type embryos analysed	Genetic Background
Nsun2	MGI:107252	Nsun2 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Subviable	Q	7	C57BL/6Brd-Tyr <c-brd>; C57BL/6Dnk;C57BL/6N; C57BL/6NTac</c-brd>
Nxn	MGI:109331	Nxn <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	S	S	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Otud7b	MGI:2654703	Otud7b <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	-	S	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Pdzk1	MGI:1928901	Pdzk1 <tm2b(eucomm)wtsi></tm2b(eucomm)wtsi>	Subviable	6	S	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Polb	MGI:97740	Polb <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	9	-	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Prrc2b	MGI:1923304	Prrc2b <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	6	4	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Psph	MGI:97788	Psph <tm1a(eucomm)hmgu></tm1a(eucomm)hmgu>	Lethal	8	ю	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Pth 1r	MGI:97801	Pth1r <tm1a(eucomm)hmgu></tm1a(eucomm)hmgu>	Lethal	S	S	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Rundc1	MGI:2144506	Rundc1 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Subviable	4	-	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Sh3pxd2a	MGI:1298393	Sh3pxd2a <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	11	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
SIc25a20	MGI:1928738	Slc25a20 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	9	4	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Slc5a7	MGI:1927126	Slc5a7 <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Lethal	0	С	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Smg9	MGI:1919247	Smg9 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	9	ю	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Smpd4	MGI:1924876	Smpd4 <tm2b(komp)wtsi></tm2b(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	0	-	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Ssr2	MGI:1913506	Ssr2 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	ю	0	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Tcf7l2	MGI:1202879	Tcf7l2 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	5	4	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Traf6	MGI:108072	Traf6 <tm2a(eucomm)wtsi></tm2a(eucomm)wtsi>	Lethal	6	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac
Unk	MGI:2442456	Unk <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	Subviable	Q	2	C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac

Table 2A. Frequency of phenotypes identified in homozygousmutant embryos. The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology termsdescribing phenotypes observed in each embryo were normalisedto remove duplicates and the list then ranked in descending orderby frequency of embryos exhibiting each phenotype.

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0013848	subcutaneous edema	64
MP:0004613	fusion of vertebral arches	61
MP:0010418	perimembraneous ventricular septal defect	49
MP:0000783	abnormal forebrain morphology	47
MP:0003686	abnormal eye muscle morphology	45
MP:0001015	small superior cervical ganglion	45
MP:0010420	muscular ventricular septal defect	41
MP:0013835	absent hypoglossal nerve	37
MP:0003826	abnormal Mullerian duct morphology	33
MP:0014021	heterochrony	33
MP:0004269	abnormal optic cup morphology	32
MP:0014001	abnormal vertebral artery topology	32
MP:0013836	abnormal hypoglossal nerve topology	30
MP:0013876	absent ductus venosus valve	29
MP:0000284	double outlet right ventricle	29
MP:0004666	absent stapedial artery	28
MP:0013971	blood in lymph vessels	27
MP:0000703	abnormal thymus morphology	26
MP:0014000	anastomosis between internal carotid artery and basilar artery	25
MP:0000602	enlarged liver sinusoidal spaces	25
MP:0013969	reduced sympathetic cervical ganglion size	25
MP:0008923	thoracoschisis	25
MP:0004163	abnormal adenohypophysis morphology	24
MP:0002237	abnormal nasal cavity morphology	20
MP:0013986	abnormal vitelline vein topology	20
MP:0013967	abnormal infrahyoid muscle connection	18
MP:0004463	basisphenoid bone foramen	18
MP:0008128	abnormal brain internal capsule morphology	16
MP:0000282	abnormal interatrial septum morphology	16
MP:0004268	abnormal optic stalk morphology	16
MP:0013936	abnormal thymus topology	16
MP:0014017	abnormal Wolffian duct connection	15
MP:0013877	abnormal ductus venosus valve morphology	15

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0002239	abnormal nasal septum morphology	15
MP:0000497	abnormal small intestine placement	15
MP:0000111	cleft palate	15
MP:0013859	abnormal vitelline vein connection	14
MP:0013826	absent hypoglossal canal	14
MP:0013840	absent segment of posterior cerebral artery	14
MP:0013875	trigeminal neuroma	14
MP:0010496	abnormal pectinate muscle morphology	13
MP:0013834	thin hypoglossal nerve	13
MP:0003827	abnormal Wolffian duct morphology	12
MP:0013842	ductus venosus stenosis	12
MP:0010912	herniated liver	12
MP:0013968	multiple persisting craniopharyngeal ducts	12
MP:0011361	pelvic kidney	12
MP:0010572	persistent right dorsal aorta	12
MP:0002633	persistent truncus arteriosis	12
MP:0013931	abnormal olfactory bulb position	11
MP:0011683	dual inferior vena cava	11
MP:0000914	exencephaly	11
MP:0002169	no abnormal phenotype detected	11
MP:0000154	rib fusion	11
MP:0000161	scoliosis	11
MP:0004110	transposition of great arteries	11
MP:0012303	umbilical vein stenosis	11
MP:0008922	abnormal cervical rib	10
MP:0009917	abnormal hyoid bone body morphology	10
MP:0009770	abnormal optic chiasm morphology	10
MP:0013844	abnormal perichondrial ossification	10
MP:0003345	decreased rib number	10
MP:0011493	double ureter	10
MP:0000445	short snout	10
MP:0002951	small thyroid gland	10
MP:0013878	abnormal ductus venosus valve topology	9
MP:0000841	abnormal hindbrain morphology	9
MP:0010490	abnormal inferior vena cava valve morphology	9
MP:0010853	abnormal lung position or orientation	9
MP:0000141	abnormal vertebral body morphology	9
MP:0002243	abnormal vomeronasal organ morphology	9

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0013970	absent connection between subcutaneous lymph vessels and lymph sac	9
MP:0011667	double outlet right ventricle with atrioventricular septal defect	9
MP:0014019	embryo cyst	9
MP:0013977	symmetric azygos veins	9
MP:0002092	abnormal eye morphology	8
MP:0014023	abnormal intestine placement	8
MP:0001303	abnormal lens morphology	8
MP:0000632	abnormal pineal gland morphology	8
MP:0010602	abnormal pulmonary valve cusp morphology	8
MP:0013985	abnormal umbilical vein topology	8
MP:0013965	abnormally deep median sulcus of tongue	8
MP:0010484	bicuspid aortic valve	8
MP:0004646	decreased cervical vertebrae number	8
MP:0013915	abnormal brachial plexus formation	7
MP:0010436	abnormal coronary sinus morphology	7
MP:0000819	abnormal olfactory bulb morphology	7
MP:0009570	abnormal right lung morphology	7
MP:0003078	aphakia	7
MP:0003584	bifid ureter	7
MP:0013949	fusion of axis and occipital bones	7
MP:0013846	retropharyngeal edema	7
MP:0013847	retropleural edema	7
MP:0000153	rib bifurcation	7
MP:0002191	abnormal artery morphology	6
MP:0000079	abnormal basioccipital bone morphology	6
MP:0000788	abnormal cerebral cortex morphology	6
MP:0013995	abnormal external carotid artery origin	6
MP:0013845	abnormal eye muscle topology	6
MP:0002858	abnormal posterior semicircular canal morphology	6
MP:0000759	abnormal skeletal muscle morphology	6
MP:0013871	abnormal stapedial artery topology	6
MP:0001146	abnormal testis morphology	6
MP:0000681	abnormal thyroid gland morphology	6
MP:0004599	abnormal vertebral arch morphology	6
MP:0013996	abnormal vertebral artery origin	6

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0013849	absent abducens nerve	6
MP:0000520	absent kidney	6
MP:0009725	absent lens vesicle	6
MP:0006093	arteriovenous malformation	6
MP:0010412	atrioventricular septal defect	6
MP:0013932	fragmented Meckel's cartilage	6
MP:0000963	fused dorsal root ganglion	6
MP:0005157	holoprosencephaly	6
MP:0000480	increased rib number	6
MP:0013992	persistent dorsal ophthalmic artery	6
MP:0013952	retro-esophageal left subclavian artery	6
MP:0004160	retroesophageal right subclavian artery	6
MP:0004158	right aortic arch	6
MP:0020301	short tongue	6
MP:0002989	small kidney	6
MP:0013852	abnormal Mullerian duct topology	5
MP:0010595	abnormal aortic valve cusp morphology	5
MP:0000297	abnormal atrioventricular cushion morphology	5
MP:0013186	abnormal basilar artery morphology	5
MP:0002152	abnormal brain morphology	5
MP:0013874	abnormal ductus venosus topology	5
MP:0013945	abnormal elbow joint morphology	5
MP:0000559	abnormal femur morphology	5
MP:0006063	abnormal inferior vena cava morphology	5
MP:0002135	abnormal kidney morphology	5
MP:0001879	abnormal lymphatic vessel morphology	5
MP:0005236	abnormal olfactory nerve morphology	5
MP:0000150	abnormal rib morphology	5
MP:0004539	absent maxilla	5
MP:0003451	absent olfactory bulb	5
MP:0001014	absent superior cervical ganglion	5
MP:0014003	additional anastomosis between intracranial vertebral arteries	5
MP:0012548	myelocele	5
MP:0000273	overriding aortic valve	5
MP:0000964	small dorsal root ganglion	5
MP:0000694	spleen hypoplasia	5
MP:0013928	thin motoric part of trigeminal nerve	5

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0002199	abnormal brain commissure morphology	4
MP:0006065	abnormal heart position or orientation	4
MP:0002249	abnormal larynx morphology	4
MP:0009820	abnormal liver vasculature morphology	4
MP:0005105	abnormal middle ear ossicle morphology	4
MP:0004164	abnormal neurohypophysis morphology	4
MP:0013994	abnormal parasellar internal carotid artery branch morphology	4
MP:0000633	abnormal pituitary gland morphology	4
MP:0013980	abnormal pulmonary artery origin	4
MP:0011655	abnormal systemic artery morphology	4
MP:0011513	abnormal vertebral artery morphology	4
MP:0013855	absent celiac artery	4
MP:0013833	absent olfactory nerve	4
MP:0013362	absent pineal gland	4
MP:0014006	absent posterior communicating artery	4
MP:0013913	absent rib-vertebral column attachment	4
MP:0004846	absent skeletal muscle	4
MP:0004603	absent vertebral arch	4
MP:0010440	anomalous pulmonary venous connection	4
MP:0010530	cerebral arteriovenous malformation	4
MP:0010589	common truncal valve	4
MP:0003924	diaphragmatic hernia	4
MP:0003253	dilated bile duct	4
MP:0013879	duplication of ductus venosus	4
MP:0008534	enlarged fourth ventricle	4
MP:0004612	fusion of vertebral bodies	4
MP:0001914	hemorrhage	4
MP:0003262	intestinal/bowel diverticulum	4
MP:0010404	ostium primum atrial septal defect	4
MP:0013917	persistent right 6th pharyngeal arch artery	4
MP:0000562	polydactyly	4
MP:0001088	small nodose ganglion	4
MP:0013827	thin oculomotor nerve	4
MP:0013858	abnormal azygos vein topology	3
MP:0002928	abnormal bile duct morphology	3

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0008026	abnormal brain white matter morphology	3
MP:0004607	abnormal cervical atlas morphology	3
MP:0000820	abnormal choroid plexus morphology	3
MP:0013873	abnormal ductus venosus morphology	3
MP:0010439	abnormal hepatic vein morphology	3
MP:0000823	abnormal lateral ventricle morphology	3
MP:0000598	abnormal liver morphology	3
MP:0000897	abnormal midbrain morphology	3
MP:0013861	abnormal pancreas topology	3
MP:0000613	abnormal salivary gland morphology	3
MP:0013943	abnormal ureter topology	3
MP:0001100	abnormal vagus ganglion morphology	3
MP:0014002	absent extracranial vertebral artery segment	3
MP:0013929	absent eye muscles	3
MP:0003722	absent ureter	3
MP:0000138	absent vertebrae	3
MP:0000640	adrenal gland hypoplasia	3
MP:0005262	coloboma	3
MP:0010433	double inlet heart left ventricle	3
MP:0001785	edema	3
MP:0000274	enlarged heart	3
MP:0006203	eye hemorrhage	3
MP:0005244	hemopericardium	3
MP:0013843	hepatic portal vein stenosis	3
MP:0011659	interrupted aortic arch, type b	3
MP:0013948	intraembryonal intestine elongation	3
MP:0013963	jugular vein stenosis	3
MP:0000692	small spleen	3
MP:0001093	small trigeminal ganglion	3
MP:0013828	thin facial nerve	3
MP:0004057	thin myocardium compact layer	3
MP:0003617	urinary bladder hypoplasia	3
MP:0013851	abnormal Wolffian duct topology	2
MP:0013857	abnormal abdominal muscle morphology	2
MP:0004113	abnormal aortic arch morphology	2
MP:0002747	abnormal aortic valve morphology	2
MP:0004181	abnormal carotid artery morphology	2
MP:0013978	abnormal carotid artery origin	2

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0013975	abnormal coronary sinus connection	2
MP:0002279	abnormal diaphragm morphology	2
MP:0013815	abnormal digastric muscle morphology	2
MP:0013865	abnormal dorsal pancreas topology	2
MP:0000961	abnormal dorsal root ganglion morphology	2
MP:0013950	abnormal dorsal root ganglion topology	2
MP:0006011	abnormal endolymphatic duct morphology	2
MP:0013918	abnormal endolymphatic sac topology	2
MP:0006033	abnormal external auditory canal morphology	2
MP:0000266	abnormal heart morphology	2
MP:0003056	abnormal hyoid bone morphology	2
MP:0013966	abnormal infrahyoid muscle morphology	2
MP:0000489	abnormal large intestine morphology	2
MP:0008986	abnormal liver parenchyma morphology	2
MP:0001175	abnormal lung morphology	2
MP:0000458	abnormal mandible morphology	2
MP:0003632	abnormal nervous system morphology	2
MP:0001330	abnormal optic nerve morphology	2
MP:0002177	abnormal outer ear morphology	2
MP:0000492	abnormal rectum morphology	2
MP:0002428	abnormal semicircular canal morphology	2
MP:0002746	abnormal semilunar valve morphology	2
MP:0000496	abnormal small intestine morphology	2
MP:0005107	abnormal stapes morphology	2
MP:0003230	abnormal umbilical artery morphology	2
MP:0002725	abnormal vein morphology	2
MP:0009707	absent external auditory canal	2
MP:0013987	absent intrahepatic inferior vena cava segment	2
MP:0009771	absent optic chiasm	2
MP:0013999	absent parasellar internal carotid artery	2
MP:0013809	absent pectinate muscle	2
MP:0004571	absent vagus nerve	2
MP:0000140	absent vertebral pedicles	2

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0003130	anal atresia	2
MP:0010463	aorta stenosis	2
MP:0004055	atrium hypoplasia	2
MP:0010406	common atrium	2
MP:0003586	dilated ureter	2
MP:0013981	double lumen aortic arch	2
MP:0014018	embryo tumor	2
MP:0010200	enlarged lymphatic vessel	2
MP:0008536	enlarged third ventricle	2
MP:0002015	epithelioid cysts	2
MP:0004201	fetal growth retardation	2
MP:0010977	fused right lung lobes	2
MP:0010728	fusion of atlas and occipital bones	2
MP:0013982	inverse situs of great intrathoracic arteries	2
MP:0010647	left atrium hypoplasia	2
MP:0000600	liver hypoplasia	2
MP:0000618	small salivary gland	2
MP:0001102	small superior vagus ganglion	2
MP:0000706	small thymus	2
MP:0011249	abdominal situs inversus	1
MP:0000639	abnormal adrenal gland morphology	1
MP:0010592	abnormal atrioventricular septum morphology	1
MP:0002745	abnormal atrioventricular valve morphology	1
MP:0001614	abnormal blood vessel morphology	1
MP:0000494	abnormal cecum morphology	1
MP:0013862	abnormal cecum position	1
MP:0010744	abnormal cervical flexure morphology	1
MP:0003048	abnormal cervical vertebrae morphology	1
MP:0009495	abnormal common bile duct morphology	1
MP:0012729	abnormal common carotid artery morphology	1
MP:0013930	abnormal digastric muscle connection	1
MP:0004252	abnormal direction of heart looping	1
MP:0014022	abnormal duodenum topology	1
MP:0013924	abnormal dural venous sinus morphology	1
MP:0013927	abnormal facial nerve topology	1
MP:0006107	abnormal fetal atrioventricular canal morphology	1

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0000828	abnormal fourth ventricle morphology	1
MP:0005084	abnormal gallbladder morphology	1
MP:0003105	abnormal heart atrium morphology	1
MP:0003922	abnormal heart right atrium morphology	1
MP:0013814	abnormal hepatic portal vein connection	1
MP:0013853	abnormal hepatic portal vein formation	1
MP:0010668	abnormal hepatic portal vein morphology	1
MP:0013973	abnormal hepatic vein connection	1
MP:0005296	abnormal humerus morphology	1
MP:0009913	abnormal hyoid bone greater horn morphology	1
MP:0013824	abnormal hypoglossal canal morphology	1
MP:0002859	abnormal inner ear canal fusion	1
MP:0009804	abnormal interventricular foramen morphology	1
MP:0000281	abnormal interventricular septum morphology	1
MP:0000477	abnormal intestine morphology	1
MP:0013976	abnormal left vena cava superior connection	1
MP:0004881	abnormal lung size	1
MP:0013841	abnormal lymphatic vessel topology	1
MP:0003792	abnormal major salivary gland morphology	1
MP:0000455	abnormal maxilla morphology	1
MP:0000452	abnormal mouth morphology	1
MP:0002108	abnormal muscle morphology	1
MP:0004056	abnormal myocardium compact layer morphology	1
MP:0005269	abnormal occipital bone morphology	1
MP:0013818	abnormal oral cavity morphology	1
MP:0014011	abnormal ovary tissue architecture	1
MP:0004509	abnormal pelvic girdle bone morphology	1
MP:0002748	abnormal pulmonary valve morphology	1
MP:0009571	abnormal right lung accessory lobe morphology	1
MP:0009688	abnormal spinal cord central canal morphology	1
MP:0008023	abnormal styloid process morphology	1
MP:0013979	abnormal subclavian artery origin	1
MP:0001011	abnormal superior cervical ganglion morphology	1

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0000787	abnormal telencephalon morphology	1
MP:0005272	abnormal temporal bone morphology	1
MP:0000826	abnormal third ventricle morphology	1
MP:0002368	abnormal thymus capsule morphology	1
MP:0002282	abnormal trachea morphology	1
MP:0001065	abnormal trigeminal nerve morphology	1
MP:0010667	abnormal umbilical vein morphology	1
MP:0000534	abnormal ureter morphology	1
MP:0013925	abnormal vascular plexus formation	1
MP:0000137	abnormal vertebrae morphology	1
MP:0005274	abnormal viscerocranium morphology	1
MP:0010666	abnormal vitelline vein morphology	1
MP:0014004	absent basilar artery segment	1
MP:0008129	absent brain internal capsule	1
MP:0013998	absent canalicular internal carotid artery segment	1
MP:0008460	absent dorsal root ganglion	1
MP:0013880	absent ductus venosus	1
MP:0013914	absent intracranial segment of vertebral artery	1
MP:0013937	absent lobe of thyroid gland	1
MP:0000629	absent mammary gland	1
MP:0013926	absent neurohypophysis	1
MP:0013988	absent portal vein segment	1
MP:0013850	absent posterior commissure	1
MP:0000614	absent salivary gland	1
MP:0013823	absent segment of anterior cerebral artery	1
MP:0000690	absent spleen	1
MP:0008386	absent styloid process	1
MP:0002728	absent tibia	1
MP:0009905	absent tongue	1
MP:0001064	absent trochlear nerve	1
MP:0013595	absent vomeronasal organ	1
MP:0013860	anastomosis between common carotid and vertebral artery	1
MP:0014009	anastomosis between middle cerebral arteries	1
MP:0001293	anophthalmia	1
MP:0003387	aorta coarctation	1
MP:0006135	artery stenosis	1
MP:0000705	athymia	1
MP:0010403	atrial septal defect	1

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0013935	basal brain tissue herniation	1
MP:0010527	bicuspid pulmonary valve	1
MP:0011797	blind ureter	1
MP:0010607	common atrioventricular valve	1
MP:0004686	decreased length of long bones	1
MP:0009532	decreased parotid gland size	1
MP:0004648	decreased thoracic vertebrae number	1
MP:0011965	decreased total retina thickness	1
MP:0001247	dermal cysts	1
MP:0000825	dilated lateral ventricles	1
MP:0009144	dilated pancreatic duct	1
MP:0004938	dilated vasculature	1
MP:0011380	enlarged brain ventricles	1
MP:0013864	enlarged paraumbilical vein	1
MP:0003595	epididymal cyst	1
MP:0002947	increased hemangioma incidence	1
MP:0001634	internal hemorrhage	1
MP:0011974	intestinal stenosis	1
MP:0001916	intracerebral hemorrhage	1
MP:0003178	left pulmonary isomerism	1
MP:0013953	left sided brachiocephalic trunk	1
MP:0003327	liver cysts	1
MP:0003888	liver hemorrhage	1
MP:0000162	lordosis	1
MP:0010854	lung situs inversus	1
MP:0005287	narrow eye opening	1
MP:0004442	occipital bone foramen	1
MP:0000565	oligodactyly	1
MP:0006221	optic nerve hypoplasia	1
MP:0013933	short Meckel's cartilage	1
MP:0002766	situs inversus	1
MP:0002768	small adrenal glands	1
MP:0001306	small lens	1
MP:0013923	small prevertebral sympathetic ganglia	1
MP:0006254	thin cerebral cortex	1
MP:0013829	thin splanchnic nerve	1
MP:0013832	thin vagus nerve	1
MP:0003499	thyroid hypoplasia	1
MP:0009904	tongue hypoplasia	1
MP:0011697	vacuolated lens	1
MP:0013831	vagus nerve compression	1
MP:0004609	vertebral fusion	1

showing abnormal topology or unusual thinness of the nerve (13 and 9 lines respectively). Overall, scored phenotypes affected all the major organ systems at E14.5 (Figure 5A) and multiple organs or tissues were frequently affected within individual embryos, or collectively within a mutant line (Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure 2). The complete listing of scored phenotypes is presented in Supplementary Table 4, organised according to the MP ontology slims adopted by the DMDD, with data ranked according to prevalence in mutant lines.

Individual phenotypes show highly variable penetrance

Perhaps the most striking finding of the DMDD study is the almost complete absence of any fully penetrant abnormalities. Amongst lines for which more than a single embryo was analysed, only three phenotypes showed 100% penetrance: abnormal perichondrial ossification (1 line; 10 mutant embryos), small nodose ganglion (1 line; 4 embryos) and small trigeminal ganglion (1 line, 3 embryos). Furthermore, most defects showed surprisingly low penetrance. A penetrance greater than 75% within the line was only found for 7% of detected phenotypes. In contrast, over half (55%) of the scored abnormalities had a penetrance of 25% or less (Table 4). This is graphically illustrated in Figure 5A, in which the scored phenotypes are clustered according to high level MP ontology terms (broadly reflecting distinct organ systems, tissues or body regions) and the prevalence of each in the 42 mutant lines categorised by penetrance. All phenotypes show a broad range of penetrance, about half showing roughly symmetrical distribution of penetrance, with similar numbers of lines both above and below 50%. Interestingly, it is possible also to distinguish several phenotypes where penetrance is noticeably skewed. Abnormalities affecting the cardiovascular system, nervous system and skeleton all affected a relatively large number of lines and each showed a striking bias towards higher penetrance values. A second group of abnormalities encompassing liver/biliary, respiratory, renal and hearing systems showed a converse bias to penetrance values below 50% (Figure 5A).

When grouped into such high level MP ontology terms, the most common group of abnormalities are those affecting the cardiovascular system, examples of which affect embryos in every single mutant line studied. Almost as prevalent are nervous system phenotypes, which are detected in 80% of the lines studied. Re-plotting the data summarised by intermediate level MP term slim provides a more detailed view of the prevalence and variability in penetrance of phenotypes (Figure 5B). At this level of resolution, for example, cardiovascular defects are subdivided into two broad categories; those encompassing abnormalities in blood vessel morphology or topology ("abnormal blood vessel morphology" and most phenotypes within "abnormal cardiovascular development") and those affecting the heart and its great vessels ("abnormal heart morphology"). Viewed in this way, it is clear that detection of cardiovascular defects in all lines examined results from the presence of phenotypes in the vasculature. These range from relatively major defects such as absence of the ductus venosus, interrupted aortic arch or arterial stenosis, to more minor alterations in vascular topology in different regions of the embryo. Cardiac abnormalities nevertheless remain prevalent, affecting almost two thirds (27/42) of the



Figure 1. Multiple abnormalities are evident in homozygous mutant embryos. The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology terms scored for (A) each embryo, and (B) each line were normalised to remove duplicate ontology terms. The number of distinct phenotypes scored that fell into categories with a window width of 10 were plotted to show the total number of embryos and lines respectively in each category.

Table 2B. Frequency of phenotypes identified in wild type embryos. The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology terms describing phenotypes observed in each embryo were normalised to remove duplicates and the list then ranked in descending order by frequency of embryos exhibiting each phenotype.

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0002169	no abnormal phenotype detected	78
MP:0013971	blood in lymph vessels	5
MP:0011493	double ureter	4
MP:0013852	abnormal Mullerian duct topology	3
MP:0000783	abnormal forebrain morphology	3
MP:0013876	absent ductus venosus valve	3
MP:0013840	absent segment of posterior cerebral artery	3
MP:0011803	double kidney pelvis	3
MP:0003826	abnormal Mullerian duct morphology	2
MP:0013877	abnormal ductus venosus valve morphology	2
MP:0006063	abnormal inferior vena cava morphology	2
MP:0014003	additional anastomosis between intracranial vertebral arteries	2
MP:0003586	dilated ureter	2
MP:0011683	dual inferior vena cava	2

MP ID	MP term	Frequency
MP:0014021	heterochrony	2
MP:0013851	abnormal Wolffian duct topology	1
MP:0010595	abnormal aortic valve cusp morphology	1
MP:0002092	abnormal eye morphology	1
MP:0003686	abnormal eye muscle morphology	1
MP:0000559	abnormal femur morphology	1
MP:0013853	abnormal hepatic portal vein formation	1
MP:0000703	abnormal thymus morphology	1
MP:0013970	absent connection between subcutaneous lymph vessels and lymph sac	1
MP:0013835	absent hypoglossal nerve	1
MP:0000520	absent kidney	1
MP:0014006	absent posterior communicating artery	1
MP:0003722	absent ureter	1
MP:0006093	arteriovenous malformation	1
MP:0010530	cerebral arteriovenous malformation	1
MP:0013813	dilated hepatic portal vein	1
MP:0000602	enlarged liver sinusoidal spaces	1
MP:0002989	small kidney	1

Table 3. Overlap of identified phenotypes between homozygous mutant and wild type embryos within each line. Mutant lines showing a phenotype shared by at least one homozygous mutant and one wild type embryo are listed, along with the MP term, its MP ID and it penetrance amongst the mutant and wildtype embryos. For each line where an overlap is identified, the ratio of shared phenotypes to the total number of unique phenotypes identified in mutant embryos is also presented.

Allele	Phenotypes shared by homozygous mutants and wild type embryos	MP ID	Penetrance in mutants	Penetrance in wild types	Ratio of shared: total mutant phenotypes
Adamts3 <tm1b(komp)wtsi></tm1b(komp)wtsi>	abnormal forebrain morphology	MP:0000783	2/7	1/3	2/44
	abnormal Mullerian duct topology	MP:0013852	1/7	1/3	
Adcy9 <tm1b(eucomm)wtsi></tm1b(eucomm)wtsi>	abnormal Mullerian duct morphology	MP:0003826	1/8	1/3	2/20
	blood in lymph vessels	MP:0013971	1/8	1/3	
Celf4 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	blood in lymph vessels	MP:0013971	1/5	1/3	1/7
Chtop <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	abnormal forebrain morphology	MP:0000783	4/4	1/3	1/95
Cir1 <tm3a(komp)wtsi></tm3a(komp)wtsi>	additional anastomosis between intracranial vertebral arteries	MP:0014003	1/3	1/2	1/29
Nsun2 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	absent ductus venosus valve	MP:0013876	1/6	1/2	1/37
Psph <tm1a(eucomm)hmgu></tm1a(eucomm)hmgu>	blood in lymph vessels	MP:0013971	1/8	1/3	1/109
Tcf7l2 <tm1a(eucomm)wtsi></tm1a(eucomm)wtsi>	absent ductus venosus valve	MP:0013876	2/5	1/4	3/32
	enlarged liver sinusoidal spaces	MP:0000602	2/5	1/4	
	abnormal eye muscle morphology	MP:0003686	3/5	1/4	
Traf6 <tm2a(eucomm)wtsi></tm2a(eucomm)wtsi>	blood in lymph vessels	MP:0013971	4/9	1/5	1/39
Unk <tm1a(komp)wtsi></tm1a(komp)wtsi>	absent ureter	MP:0003722	2/5	1/2	2/10
	absent kidney	MP:0000520	2/5	1/2	



Figure 2. Individual mutant embryos show overlapping but distinct spectra of phenotypes. The phenotypes annotated for individual embryos were normalised to remove duplicate ontology terms. The distinct terms for each homozygous mutant embryo from four lines were then mapped onto the broad set of ontology categories defined in the high level DMDD slim. The presence or absence of phenotype annotation within each of the high level categories was plotted for each embryo analysed.

mutant lines. These encompass malformations in all regions of the four-chambered heart and its great vessels, including both atrial and ventricular septal defects, atrioventricular septal defects, common arterial trunk, double outlet right ventricle, transposition of the great arteries, bicuspid aortic valve, common truncal valve and abnormally thin myocardium. After blood vessel and cardiac abnormalities, the third most prevalent group of phenotypes detected were those affecting brain morphology (Figure 5B), most commonly the forebrain (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 1A).

In order to assess the relative significance of each phenotype in the context of variable penetrance, we re-examined their ranking distribution after weighting each phenotype according to its individual prevalence. This provides a plot of cumulative line penetrance for each of the 70 intermediate level MP term slim (Figure 7). Whilst

abnormalities in blood vessel morphology and structure of the heart remain amongst the most prevalent phenotypes, weighting by penetrance has a significant impact on the ranking of other phenotypes. Notably, the relative ranking of "abnormal brain morphology" and "abnormal somatic nervous system morphology" is increased, with both now lying in the five most prevalent abnormalities scored. This change is largely driven by the relatively high prevalence associated with abnormalities in forebrain morphology and hypoglossal nerve structure or presence, respectively.

Phenotype penetrance is affected by allele type

Of the 42 mutant lines studied, 22 contained the tm1a insertion allele, compared with 20 containing exon deletions (19 tm1b and 1 CRISPR). With either group, blood vessel, heart and brain morphology remain amongst the most commonly observed



Figure 3. Examples of frequently observed abnormalities in mutant embryos. A–C. Subcutaneous edema. Original HREM sections showing a massive (asterisk) (A), mild (B), and unilaterally located subcutaneous edema (C). Note the shrinkage artefacts in B and C, which complicate post mortem diagnosis. D–F. Perimembraneous septal defect. Normal situation in a control (D) as appearing in an original HREM section. Defect (asterisk) as appearing in an original HREM section (E) and a 3D volume model (F). G–I. Fusion of vertebral arches. Normal situation in a control (G) as appearing in a sagittal section. Fused articular processes (arrowheads) of subsequent vertebrae in a sagittal (H) and a coronal section (I). J–L. Abnormal eye muscle morphology as appearing in original HREM sections. Normal situation in a control (J). Thinning of the lateral rectus muscle (Irm) (K). Absence of the lateral rectus muscle (Irm) (L). da, descending aorta; e, esophagus; g, adrenal gland; hb, hyoid bone; i, intestine; k, kidney; I, lung; Ia, left atrium; Ie, lens; Ii, liver; Irm, lateral rectus muscle; Iv, left ventricle; Ix, larynx; mrm, medial rectus muscle; oc, optic cup; on, optic nerve; ra, right atrium; rv, right ventricle; sc, spinal chord; t, tongue; tr, trachea; v, body of vertebra; va, arch of vertebra. Scale bars: 1 mm.



Figure 4. Other frequently observed abnormalities in mutant embryos. A and B. Abnormal hypoglossal nerve in original HREM sections through the head of $Prrc2b^{+}$ (A) and a $Polb^{+}$ (B) embryo. Note the missing right hypoglossal nerve (arrowhead, inlay) in A and the thinning of both hypoglossal nerves (hn) in B. C–E. Abnormalities that also occur in controls. Persisting craniopharnygeal duct (arrowhead) as appearing in sagittal sections (C). Split tip of tail featured by volume models (D) and vesicles (arrowheads) in the lens (le) as appearing in an original HREM section (E).

abnormalities. There is however a clear difference in phenotype penetrance between the two groups: phenotypes are significantly less penetrant with tm1a alleles (compare Figure 5B with Figure 8A and B).

Phenotyping embryos required new MP terms

Adoption of a formal, standardised ontology for scoring abnormalities provides an essential framework for analysing the data and facilitating structured search enquiries. However, during the course of the DMDD programme and its pilot study⁹, it became clear that additional terms were required in order to adequately describe abnormalities in embryo, as opposed to adult structures. A further outcome of the DMDD study has therefore been the creation of 142 new MP terms to accommodate the range of abnormalities we have observed (Table 7). These include, for example, thin motoric part of the trigeminal nerve (MP:0013928; http://www.ontobee. org/ontology/MP?iri=http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/MP_0013928), blood in lymph vessels (MP:0013971; http://www.ontobee.org/ ontology/MP?iri=http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/MP_0013971), double lumen aortic arch (MP:0013981; http://www.ontobee.org/ ontology/MP?iri=http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/MP_0013981), abnormal elbow joint morphology (MP:0013945; http://www. ontobee.org/ontology/MP?iri=http://purl.obolibrary.org/obo/ MP_0013945), and intramural bleeding in blood vessel wall (MP:0014020; http://www.ontobee.org/ontology/MP?iri=http:// purl.obolibrary.org/obo/MP_0014020) (Figure 9).

Discussion

Since approximately one third of gene knockouts in the mouse prove to be embryonic or perinatal lethal^{1–3}, further study of such lines offers a unique opportunity to better understand the genetic regulation of embryo development and identify genetic determinants of congenital abnormalities. The data accumulated during three years of the DMDD programme provide the first opportunity to study in detail the identity, range and prevalence of morphological abnormalities in such mutants and offer a window on the opportunities (and pitfalls) such systematic studies present.

The current analysis is restricted to a single developmental stage (E14.5) when most organ systems of the embryo have developed their definitive fetal appearance and the body plan is broadly similar to that of the adult mouse. Whilst this provides obvious practical advantages for a systematic, high throughput phenotyping programme, it is of course an arbitrary choice with respect to the time course of individual gene function and the consequences of gene ablation. Indeed, about 60% of the lethal lines entering



Figure 5. Variable prevalence and penetrance of individual phenotypes in mutant embryos. Data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms (see Materials and Methods) was plotted to show the number of lines falling into each of the observed phenotype categories. The colours indicate the number of lines falling into each of the distinct penetrance categories. The data was ordered according to line frequency, and subsequently by the numbers seen in the penetrance categories. (**A**) shows the phenotype annotations summarised using the high level DMDD ontology slim, (**B**) shows the phenotype annotations summarised using the intermediate level DMDD ontology slim.

Table 4. Variability in mutant phenotype penetrance. Every distinct phenotype scored in each line was listed along with its penetrance (i.e. the number of embryos showing the phenotype divided by the total number of embryos analysed for that line). Scored phenotypes were then ranked by penetrance value to obtain the proportions falling within the four ranges shown. (Note that all data from the lines *Otud7b*, *Npat* and *Dhx35* were removed from the analysis, since in each case, these were obtained from examination of a single embryo).

Penetrance range	Phenotypes scored (homozygous mutants)	%
<25%	673	55.21%
26–50%	343	28.14%
51-75%	118	9.68%
>75%	85	6.97%

the DMDD pipeline fail to provide homozygous mutant offspring by E14.5, with half of those causing lethality prior to E9.5 [see also 2]. The data here therefore comes from a subset of lethal lines. Furthermore, phenotypes observed at a single time point most likely combine more immediate consequences of individual gene loss with more distant or secondary consequences. Teasing out the role of regulative or compensatory changes from primary effects of gene loss is likely to be difficult. Despite these caveats, there are, nevertheless, several striking findings that emerge from detailed phenotype analysis.

Our finding that some manifestation of edema (generally subcutaneous) is the most common phenotype could indicate an unappreciated complexity in the genetic controls regulating fluid balance or tissue integrity of vascular or lymphatic components. Edema may also represent a common outcome for a wide range of pathophysiological perturbations, as has been proposed for the association of non-immune hydrops fetalis with human fetal loss^{11,12}. The prevalence of cardiovascular defects is also consistent with the well established finding that cardiac abnormalities are the most common congenital defect in human newborns¹³. Some caution is necessary in considering the mouse data, since as we have shown, a significant proportion of cardiovascular phenotypes comprise apparently minor alterations in blood vessel topology, the impact of which on normal development remains unclear. However, in addition to these, the lines we have studied show a range of severe abnormalities in cardiac structure that are both relatively prevalent and mirror the range of congenital abnormalities seen in humans. Despite the largely random selection of genes studied in screens such as DMDD, their identification as embryonic lethal therefore provides a dramatic enrichment for potential cardiac developmental disease alleles.

Phenotypes affecting neural tissue also prove to be relatively prevalent in mutant embryos. We are limited in the present analysis to identifying a subset of neural deficits readily identified from HREM imaging. This restricts identifiable phenotypes to relatively gross alterations in brain and neural tube morphology, or changes Table 5. High level MP ontology slim used by DMDD. Alist of the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology IDs and namesof terms selected as the high level ontology slim.

MP:0002169	no abnormal phenotype detected
MP:0005375	adipose tissue phenotype
MP:0005386	behavior/neurological phenotype
MP:0005385	cardiovascular system phenotype
MP:0005384	cellular phenotype
MP:0005382	craniofacial phenotype
MP:0005381	digestive/alimentary phenotype
MP:0005380	embryogenesis phenotype
MP:0005379	endocrine/exocrine gland phenotype
MP:0005378	growth/size/body region phenotype
MP:0005377	hearing/vestibular/ear phenotype
MP:0005397	hematopoietic system phenotype
MP:0005376	homeostasis/metabolism phenotype
MP:0005387	immune system phenotype
MP:0010771	integument phenotype
MP:0005371	limbs/digits/tail phenotype
MP:0005370	liver/biliary system phenotype
MP:0010768	mortality/aging
MP:0005369	muscle phenotype
MP:0003631	nervous system phenotype
MP:0001186	pigmentation phenotype
MP:0005367	renal/urinary system phenotype
MP:0005389	reproductive system phenotype
MP:0005388	respiratory system phenotype
MP:0005390	skeleton phenotype
MP:0005394	taste/olfaction phenotype
MP:0002006	tumorigenesis
MP:0005391	vision/eve phenotype

affecting major nerves. Amongst the latter, the frequency with which abnormalities affecting the hypoglossal nerve have been detected is perhaps not so surprising, since these (like abnormalities detected in the motoric portion of the trigeminal nerve) may compromise suckling and lead to perinatal lethality.

The multiplicity of phenotypes frequently detected in individual mutant embryos is not unexpected, given the nature of a single time point screening procedure, combined with the likely pleiotropic effects of individual gene loss. However, the most striking and surprising finding to emerge from the DMDD phenotype data is that virtually all phenotypes are incompletely (and frequently poorly) penetrant, despite the use of the isogenic C57BL/6N mouse strain. Combined with the observation of overlapping but distinct spectra of phenotypes between individual embryos from a single



Figure 6. Abnormal brain morphology phenotypes. A and **B**. Tissue protrusion (pr) into the 3rd ventricle (III) in an original HREM-section (**A**) and a volume model (**B**). Inlay in **B** shows normal situation in a control. **C**. Irregular tissue protrusions (arrowheads) on the brain surface in a *4933434E20Rik*^{-/-} embryo. **D**. Abnormal tissue (arrowhead) at the cortex near the lateral sulcus in a *Polb*^{-/-} embryo. **E**. Abnormal frontal wall of the lateral ventricles in a *H13*^{-/-} embryo. **F**. Abnormal morphology and tissue architecture (arrowhead) of the frontal forebrain in a *Chtop*^{-/-} embryo. **G**. Abnormal morphology of the wall of the 3rd ventricle and protrusions (arrowhead) on the surface of the diencephalon in a *Brd2*^{-/-} embryo. ah, adenohypophysis; f, forebrain; h, hindbrain; ie, inner ear; oc, optic cup; pr, tissue protrusion; tg, trigeminal ganglion; III, 3rd ventricle; Scale bars 1 mm.

line, these findings are challenging to understand, and at a minimum point towards unknown stochastic components affecting the etiology of each phenotype or the compensatory responses they elicit². They also demonstrate that efforts to identify linkage between mouse embryo phenotypes and human developmental disease are likely to require sophisticated bioinformatic analysis beyond the obvious issues raised by species differences in anatomy and physiology.

The observation of a small number of phenotypes amongst the wild type litter mates of the homozygous mutants raises the important question: why are phenotypes detected in genetically wild type embryos? We think there are several possible explanations. One possibility is that the C57BL/6N mouse strain used for engineering knockout lines carries a "background load" of abnormalities, previously unappreciated. Ours is the first systematic study on sufficiently large scale and employing sufficiently high-resolution imaging to detect such abnormalities. None of the phenotypes we have identified show a high penetrance across both mutants and wild types of a mutant line and do not therefore suggest themselves as strain-specific abnormalities. Another possible explanation is that abnormalities arise as a consequence of *de novo* mutation. Lastly, at least with the less profound abnormalities, it is possible that some

phenotypes may prove to be outliers on spectrum of normal morphological variation and should not be considered genuine abnormalities. This highlights an important issue confronting phenotyping studies: the dearth of large-scale and systematic studies examining normal embryo morphology that can set a reliable benchmark for distinguishing abnormalities from normal variation. In this light, phenotype data may need revision as cumulative experience with the C57BL/6N and other mouse strains improves our ability to distinguish abnormalities from normal variation amongst wild types.

Our study has identified a small number of apparent abnormalities common to both homozygous mutant embryos and wildtype controls from the C57BL/6N mouse strain and which have therefore been excluded from the phenotyping procedure. These include splitting of the tail tip, persistence of the craniopharyngeal duct with associated fenestration of head bones and the presence of vesicles in the lens of the eye (Figure 4, panels C–E). Apart from these, our data offers no clear evidence for other "background" phenotypes associated with either the C57BL/6N genetic background or with individual mutant lines. Overall, we consider that neither the frequency, prevalence nor nature of the phenotypes identified in wild type embryos impact significantly on



Figure 7. Cumulative penetrance of individual phenotypes in mutant embryos. Data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms (see Materials and Methods) was plotted to show the cumulative penetrance score for each of the phenotype categories observed (i.e. the overall sum of the penetrance scores recorded for the lines showing the phenotype). The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology terms assigned during embryo phenotyping were summarised using the intermediate level DMDD ontology slim, and the data was ordered according to the cumulative penetrance score. The colours indicate the contribution of lines falling into each of the distinct penetrance categories to the cumulative penetrance score.



Figure 8. Influence of allele type on prevalence and penetrance of individual phenotypes in mutant embryos. Data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms shown in Figure 5A was subdivided by allele type to compare tm1a (Figure 8A) and tm1b (Figure 8B) alleles. Data is summarised using the intermediate level ontology slim and colours indicate the number of lines falling into each of the distinct penetrance categories. The data was ordered according to line frequency and subsequently by numbers seen in the penetrance categories.

 Table 6. Intermediate level MP ontology slim used by DMDD. A

 list of the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology IDs and names of terms

 selected as the intermediate level ontology slim.

MP:000001	mammalian phenotype
MP:0002873	normal phenotype
MP:0002169	no abnormal phenotype detected
MP:0005375	adipose tissue phenotype
MP:000003	abnormal adipose tissue morphology
MP:0005666	abnormal adipose tissue physiology
MP:0004924	abnormal behavior
MP:0020222	abnormal alertness
MP:0011275	abnormal behavioral response to light
MP:0009745	abnormal behavioral response to xenobiotic
MP:0001502	abnormal circadian rhythm
MP:0002069	abnormal consumption behavior
MP:0002572	abnormal emotion/affect behavior
MP:0001440	abnormal grooming behavior
MP:0010698	abnormal impulsive behavior control
MP:0002063	abnormal learning/memory/conditioning
MP:0002066	abnormal motor capabilities/coordination/ movement
MP:0002067	abnormal sensory capabilities/reflexes/ nociception
MP:0011396	abnormal sleep behavior
MP:0002557	abnormal social/conspecific interaction
MP:0001529	abnormal vocalization
MP:0002822	catalepsy
MP:0002899	fatigue
MP:0002064	seizures
MP:0002127	abnormal cardiovascular system morphology
MP:0001614	abnormal blood vessel morphology
MP:0002925	abnormal cardiovascular development
MP:0000266	abnormal heart morphology
MP:0003279	aneurysm
MP:0013332	peliosis
MP:0001544	abnormal cardiovascular system physiology
MP:0002128	abnormal blood circulation
MP:0010695	abnormal blood pressure regulation
MP:0000249	abnormal blood vessel physiology
MP:0004039	abnormal cardiac cell glucose uptake
MP:0002972	abnormal cardiac muscle contractility
MP:0004084	abnormal cardiac muscle relaxation
MP:0011926	abnormal cardiac valve physiology
MP:0011390	abnormal fetal cardiomyocyte physiology

MP:0011925	abnormal heart echocardiography feature
MP:0008775	abnormal heart ventricle pressure
MP:0004085	abnormal heartbeat
MP:0003137	abnormal impulse conducting system conduction
MP:0020095	abnormal mean heart rate adaptation
MP:0004215	abnormal myocardial fiber physiology
MP:0003547	abnormal pulmonary pressure
MP:0020092	abnormal susceptibility to aortic cartilaginous metaplasia
MP:0020098	abnormal susceptibility to diet-induced aortic fatty streak lesions
MP:0000230	abnormal systemic arterial blood pressure
MP:0004484	altered response of heart to induced stress
MP:0000343	altered response to myocardial infarction
MP:0005330	cardiomyopathy
MP:0006138	congestive heart failure
MP:0001853	heart inflammation
MP:0003328	portal hypertension
MP:0005384	cellular phenotype
MP:0000358	abnormal cell morphology
MP:0005621	abnormal cell physiology
MP:0013258	abnormal extracellular matrix morphology
MP:0003121	genetic imprinting
MP:0005382	craniofacial phenotype
MP:0000428	abnormal craniofacial morphology
MP:0002116	abnormal craniofacial bone morphology
MP:0003935	abnormal craniofacial development
MP:0003743	abnormal facial morphology
MP:0011495	abnormal head shape
MP:0002177	abnormal outer ear morphology
MP:0005381	digestive/alimentary phenotype
MP:0000462	abnormal digestive system morphology
MP:0001663	abnormal digestive system physiology
MP:0005380	embryogenesis phenotype
MP:0001672	abnormal embryogenesis/development
MP:0002084	abnormal developmental patterning
MP:0001697	abnormal embryo size
MP:0002085	abnormal embryonic tissue morphology
MP:0008926	abnormal anterior definitive endoderm morphology
MP:0013230	abnormal cervical sinus morphology
MP:0003085	abnormal egg cylinder morphology
MP:0010115	abnormal embryonic cloaca morphology

MP:3000001	abnormal gastrula morphology
MP:0011411	abnormal gonadal ridge morphology
MP:0011257	abnormal head fold morphology
MP:0011260	abnormal head mesenchyme morphology
MP:0012187	abnormal intraembryonic coelom morphology
MP:0005650	abnormal limb bud morphology
MP:0006301	abnormal mesenchyme morphology
MP:0008487	abnormal mesonephros morphology
MP:0011256	abnormal neural fold morphology
MP:0005657	abnormal neural plate morphology
MP:0002151	abnormal neural tube morphology/ development
MP:0002825	abnormal notochord morphology
MP:0002884	abnormal pharyngeal arch morphology
MP:0013231	abnormal pharyngeal groove morphology
MP:0013232	abnormal pharyngeal membrane morphology
MP:0006031	abnormal pharyngeal pouch morphology
MP:0012496	abnormal pleuropericardial membrane morphology
MP:0002399	abnormal pluripotent precursor cell morphology/development
MP:0013217	abnormal posterior definitive endoderm morphology
MP:0003885	abnormal rostral-caudal body axis extension
MP:0012252	abnormal septum transversum morphology
MP:0001688	abnormal somite development
MP:0002861	abnormal tail bud morphology
MP:0011258	abnormal tail fold morphology
MP:0001674	abnormal triploblastic development
MP:0011835	abnormal urogenital fold morphology
MP:0011853	abnormal urorectal septum morphology
MP:0003988	disorganized embryonic tissue
MP:0013241	embryo tissue necrosis
MP:0008932	abnormal embryonic tissue physiology
MP:0003890	abnormal embryonic-extraembryonic boundary morphology
MP:0002086	abnormal extraembryonic tissue morphology
MP:0001726	abnormal allantois morphology
MP:0005029	abnormal amnion morphology
MP:0011199	abnormal amniotic cavity morphology
MP:0002836	abnormal chorion morphology
MP:0011202	abnormal ectoplacental cavity morphology
MP:0003396	abnormal embryonic hematopoiesis
MP:0011200	abnormal extraembryonic coelom morphology

MP:0010736	abnormal extraembryonic ectoderm morphology
MP:0001724	abnormal extraembryonic endoderm formation
MP:0006323	abnormal extraembryonic mesoderm development
MP:0011203	abnormal parietal yolk sac morphology
MP:0001711	abnormal placenta morphology
MP:0011197	abnormal proamniotic cavity morphology
MP:0001725	abnormal umbilical cord morphology
MP:0011201	abnormal visceral yolk sac cavity morphology
MP:0001718	abnormal visceral yolk sac morphology
MP:0003229	abnormal vitelline vasculature morphology
MP:0002582	disorganized extraembryonic tissue
MP:0004264	abnormal extraembryonic tissue physiology
MP:0004966	abnormal inner cell mass proliferation
MP:0009781	abnormal preimplantation embryo development
MP:0011186	abnormal visceral endoderm morphology
MP:0012028	abnormal visceral endoderm physiology
MP:0001730	embryonic growth arrest
MP:0003984	embryonic growth retardation
MP:0005379	endocrine/exocrine gland phenotype
MP:0002163	abnormal gland morphology
MP:0002164	abnormal gland physiology
MP:0005378	growth/size/body region phenotype
MP:0009701	abnormal birth body size
MP:0005451	abnormal body composition
MP:0003385	abnormal body wall morphology
MP:0004134	abnormal chest morphology
MP:0000432	abnormal head morphology
MP:0012719	abnormal neck morphology
MP:0002089	abnormal postnatal growth/weight/body size
MP:0004196	abnormal prenatal growth/weight/body size
MP:0001270	distended abdomen
MP:0004133	heterotaxia
MP:0013328	visceromegaly
MP:0005377	hearing/vestibular/ear phenotype
MP:0002102	abnormal ear morphology
MP:0003938	abnormal ear development
MP:0000026	abnormal inner ear morphology
MP:0000049	abnormal middle ear morphology
MP:0002177	abnormal outer ear morphology
MP:0003878	abnormal ear physiology
MP:0003878	abnormal ear physiology

MP:0005397	hematopoietic system phenotype
MP:0002396	abnormal hematopoietic system morphology/ development
MP:0002429	abnormal blood cell morphology/development
MP:0002398	abnormal bone marrow cell morphology/ development
MP:0004808	abnormal hematopoietic stem cell morphology
MP:0000689	abnormal spleen morphology
MP:0000703	abnormal thymus morphology
MP:0001545	abnormal hematopoietic system physiology
MP:0005376	homeostasis/metabolism phenotype
MP:0001764	abnormal homeostasis
MP:0005266	abnormal metabolism
MP:0008872	abnormal physiological response to xenobiotic
MP:0005164	abnormal response to injury
MP:0000604	amyloidosis
MP:0013027	wounding
MP:0005387	immune system phenotype
MP:0000685	abnormal immune system morphology
MP:0000716	abnormal immune system cell morphology
MP:0002722	abnormal immune system organ morphology
MP:0001879	abnormal lymphatic vessel morphology
MP:0001790	abnormal immune system physiology
MP:0010771	integument phenotype
MP:0010678	abnormal skin adnexa morphology
MP:0010680	abnormal skin adnexa physiology
MP:0002060	abnormal skin morphology
MP:0005501	abnormal skin physiology
MP:0001968	abnormal touch/nociception
MP:0005371	limbs/digits/tail phenotype
MP:0002109	abnormal limb morphology
MP:0000572	abnormal autopod morphology
MP:0000550	abnormal forelimb morphology
MP:0000556	abnormal hindlimb morphology
MP:0002115	abnormal limb bone morphology
MP:0006279	abnormal limb development
MP:0012000	abnormal limb position
MP:0000549	absent limbs
MP:0008985	hemimelia
MP:0013069	limb wound
MP:0000548	long limbs
MP:0013133	pale limbs
MP:0000547	short limbs

MP:0020288	supernumerary limbs
MP:0002111	abnormal tail morphology
MP:0005370	liver/biliary system phenotype
MP:0002138	abnormal hepatobiliary system morphology
MP:0005083	abnormal biliary tract morphology
MP:0003943	abnormal hepatobiliary system development
MP:0000598	abnormal liver morphology
MP:0010040	abnormal oval cell morphology
MP:0002139	abnormal hepatobiliary system physiology
MP:0010768	mortality/aging
MP:0005369	muscle phenotype
MP:0002108	abnormal muscle morphology
MP:0002106	abnormal muscle physiology
MP:0003631	nervous system phenotype
MP:0003632	abnormal nervous system morphology
MP:0002751	abnormal autonomic nervous system morphology
MP:0002152	abnormal brain morphology
MP:0002653	abnormal ependyma morphology
MP:0003634	abnormal glial cell morphology
MP:0002184	abnormal innervation
MP:0005623	abnormal meninges morphology
MP:0003861	abnormal nervous system development
MP:0000778	abnormal nervous system tract morphology
MP:0002882	abnormal neuron morphology
MP:0002752	abnormal somatic nervous system morphology
MP:0000955	abnormal spinal cord morphology
MP:0008493	alpha-synuclein inclusion body
MP:0003329	amyloid beta deposits
MP:0012260	encephalomeningocele
MP:0002229	neurodegeneration
MP:0003012	no phenotypic analysis
MP:0005395	other phenotype
MP:0001186	pigmentation phenotype
MP:0005367	renal/urinary system phenotype
MP:0000516	abnormal renal/urinary system morphology
MP:0011782	abnormal internal urethral orifice morphology
MP:0002135	abnormal kidney morphology
MP:0005187	abnormal penis morphology
MP:0000534	abnormal ureter morphology
MP:0011487	abnormal ureteropelvic junction morphology
MP:0011488	abnormal ureterovesical junction morphology

MP:0000537	abnormal urethra morphology
MP:0000538	abnormal urinary bladder morphology
MP:0003942	abnormal urinary system development
MP:0003630	abnormal urothelium morphology
MP:0003129	persistent cloaca
MP:0005360	urolithiasis
MP:0005502	abnormal renal/urinary system physiology
MP:0003633	abnormal nervous system physiology
MP:0005389	reproductive system phenotype
MP:0002160	abnormal reproductive system morphology
MP:0001119	abnormal female reproductive system morphology
MP:0001929	abnormal gametogenesis
MP:0005149	abnormal gubernaculum morphology
MP:0003673	abnormal inguinal canal morphology
MP:0001145	abnormal male reproductive system morphology
MP:0003315	abnormal perineum morphology
MP:0003936	abnormal reproductive system development
MP:0002210	abnormal sex determination
MP:0000653	abnormal sex gland morphology
MP:0013055	genital wound
MP:0001919	abnormal reproductive system physiology
MP:0005388	respiratory system phenotype
MP:0002132	abnormal respiratory system morphology
MP:0002249	abnormal larynx morphology
MP:0001175	abnormal lung morphology
MP:0002233	abnormal nose morphology
MP:0002240	abnormal paranasal sinus morphology
MP:0002234	abnormal pharynx morphology
MP:0010820	abnormal pleura morphology
MP:0012684	abnormal pleural cavity morphology
MP:0010942	abnormal respiratory epithelium morphology
MP:0003115	abnormal respiratory system development
MP:0002282	abnormal trachea morphology
MP:0002133	abnormal respiratory system physiology
MP:0005390	skeleton phenotype
MP:0005508	abnormal skeleton morphology
MP:0009250	abnormal appendicular skeleton morphology
MP:0002114	abnormal axial skeleton morphology
MP:0003795	abnormal bone structure
MP:0000163	abnormal cartilage morphology

MP:0011849	abnormal clitoral bone morphology
MP:0002932	abnormal joint morphology
MP:0005504	abnormal ligament morphology
MP:0006322	abnormal perichondrium morphology
MP:0002113	abnormal skeleton development
MP:0005503	abnormal tendon morphology
MP:0000566	synostosis
MP:0001533	abnormal skeleton physiology
MP:0005394	taste/olfaction phenotype
MP:0005500	abnormal gustatory system morphology
MP:0001002	abnormal taste bud morphology
MP:0001985	abnormal gustatory system physiology
MP:0005499	abnormal olfactory system morphology
MP:0006292	abnormal nasal placode morphology
MP:0008789	abnormal olfactory epithelium morphology
MP:0012067	abnormal olfactory gland morphology
MP:0001983	abnormal olfactory system physiology
MP:0002006	tumorigenesis
MP:0005391	vision/eye phenotype
MP:0002092	abnormal eye morphology
MP:0005193	abnormal anterior eye segment morphology
MP:0001286	abnormal eye development
MP:0001299	abnormal eye distance/position
MP:0003686	abnormal eye muscle morphology
MP:0001324	abnormal eye pigmentation
MP:0002697	abnormal eye size
MP:0001340	abnormal eyelid morphology
MP:0008968	abnormal lacrimal apparatus morphology
MP:0010030	abnormal orbit morphology
MP:0005195	abnormal posterior eye segment morphology
MP:0002698	abnormal sclera morphology
MP:0005197	abnormal uvea morphology
MP:0001293	anophthalmia
MP:0006209	calcified intraocular region
MP:0013146	eye lesions
MP:0009859	eye opacity
MP:0013170	eye swellings
MP:0006225	ocular rupture
MP:0001788	periorbital edema
MP:0005254	strabismus
MP:0005253	abnormal eye physiology



Figure 9. Examples of new MP phenotypes. A–C. "Thin motoric part of trigeminal nerve". Original HREM sections through the head of a *Polb*^{2/-} embryo (**A**, **B**) and a control (**C**). Box in **A** indicates section displayed in **B**. **D** "Blood in lymph vessels", as appearing in an original HREM section through the neck of a *1700067K01Rik*^{2/-} embryo. Note the blood filled left lymph sac (asterisk). Use the right sided lymph sac (rls) as a control. **E**. Double lumen aortic arch. Surface model of the great intrathoracic arteries on top of an original HREM section of a *Pdzk1*^{2/-} embryo. (Compare with 17). **F**. "Intramural bleeding in blood vessel wall" (arrowhead) in the descending aorta (da) of an *Akap9*^{-/-} embryo from the DMDD pilot study⁹. Coronal section through a volume model. **G–H**. "Abnormal elbow joint morphology" Sagittal sections. Normal situation in a control (**G**). Fusion of humerus (h) und ulna material (u) in an *Atp11a*^{-/-} embryo. aa, aortic arch; ah, adenohypophysis; bt, brachiocephalic trunk; da, descending aorta; dlaa, double lumen aortic arch; e, esophagus; h, humerus; l, lung; la, left atrium; lcc, left common carotid artery; le, lens; lsa, left subclavian artery; lx, larynx; mp, motoric part of trigeminal nerve; nc, nasal cavity; oc, optic cup; r, radius; ra, right atrium; rcc, right common carotid artery; rv, right ventricle; sc, spinal chord; tg, trigeminal ganglion; u, ulna; v, vertrebral body; III, 3rd ventricle; Scale bars: 1 mm.

the assignation of phenotypes amongst the homozygous mutant embryos.

Two other factors in our study might affect interpretation of the mutant phenotype data. 11 of the 42 lines examined in our study were judged subviable at weaning, rather than lethal. This number is too small to support meaningful comparison of the phenotypic spectrum between subviables and lethals. It is tempting to speculate that a difference in phenotype penetrance might underlie the difference in viability between the two groups, but there is no evidence to support this from the DMDD study so far (see Supplementary Figure 4). Even if a difference in penetrance was detected between lethal and subviable lines, interpreting its significance is far from simple as it raises an important and unresolved question: which phenotypes are responsible for embryo death? Many profound abnormalities that we detect may be compatible with life; equally, lethality may result from subtle structural changes. Without knowing which of the scored phenotypes are likely to cause lethality, it will be difficult, if not impossible, to establish if differences in their penetrance distinguish subviable from lethal lines. Add to this the additional difficulty that dams have a propensity to eat newborns that are not thriving well and there is a further complication in interpreting the data. Table 7. New MP terms derived from embryo phenotyping. Alist of the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology IDs along with theircorresponding term name. These have been added to the ontologyto allow annotation of abnormalities observed in the embryos whichcould not be adequately described by existing terms.

MP:0013809	absent pectinate muscle
MP:0013810	absent brachiocephalic trunk
MP:0013812	enlarged orbital veins
MP:0013813	dilated hepatic portal vein
MP:0013814	abnormal hepatic portal vein connection
MP:0013816	absent digastric muscle
MP:0013817	absent nasal cavity
MP:0013818	abnormal oral cavity morphology
MP:0013819	abnormal acromioclavicular joint morphology
MP:0013820	absent optic cup
MP:0013823	absent segment of anterior cerebral artery
MP:0013825	small hypoglossal canal
MP:0013826	absent hypoglossal canal
MP:0013827	thin oculomotor nerve
MP:0013828	thin facial nerve
MP:0013829	thin splanchnic nerve
MP:0013830	abnormal intrathoracic topology of vagus nerve
MP:0013831	vagus nerve compression
MP:0013832	thin vagus nerve
MP:0013833	absent olfactory nerve
MP:0013834	thin hypoglossal nerve
MP:0013835	absent hypoglossal nerve
MP:0013836	abnormal hypoglossal nerve topology
MP:0013837	abnormal vagus nerve topology
MP:0013838	small caudate nucleus
MP:0013840	absent segment of posterior cerebral artery
MP:0013841	abnormal lymphatic vessel topology
MP:0013842	ductus venosus stenosis
MP:0013843	hepatic portal vein stenosis
MP:0013844	abnormal perichondrial ossification
MP:0013845	abnormal eye muscle topology
MP:0013846	retropharyngeal edema
MP:0013847	retropleural edema
MP:0013848	subcutaneous edema
MP:0013849	absent abducens nerve
MP:0013850	absent posterior commissure
MP:0013851	abnormal Wolffian duct topology
MP:0013852	abnormal Mullerian duct topology
MP:0013853	abnormal hepatic portal vein formation
MP:0013855	absent celiac artery

MP:0013857	abnormal abdominal muscle morphology
MP:0013858	abnormal azygos vein topology
MP:0013859	abnormal vitelline vein connection
MP:0013860	anastomosis between common carotid and vertebral artery
MP:0013861	abnormal pancreas topology
MP:0013862	abnormal cecum position
MP:0013864	enlarged paraumbilical vein
MP:0013865	abnormal dorsal pancreas topology
MP:0013868	abnormal ventral pancreas topology
MP:0013869	vascular diverticulum
MP:0013870	absent proximal internal carotid artery segment
MP:0013871	abnormal stapedial artery topology
MP:0013873	abnormal ductus venosus morphology
MP:0013874	abnormal ductus venosus topology
MP:0013875	trigeminal neuroma
MP:0013876	absent ductus venosus valve
MP:0013877	abnormal ductus venosus valve morphology
MP:0013878	abnormal ductus venosus valve topology
MP:0013879	duplication of ductus venosus
MP:0013880	absent ductus venosus
MP:0013913	absent rib-vertebral column attachment
MP:0013914	absent intracranial segment of vertebral artery
MP:0013915	abnormal brachial plexus formation
MP:0013916	decreased intestine length
MP:0013917	persistent right 6th pharyngeal arch artery
MP:0013918	abnormal endolymphatic sac topology
MP:0013923	small prevertebral sympathetic ganglia
MP:0013924	abnormal dural venous sinus morphology
MP:0013925	abnormal vascular plexus formation
MP:0013926	absent neurohypophysis
MP:0013927	abnormal facial nerve topology
MP:0013928	thin motoric part of trigeminal nerve
MP:0013929	absent eye muscles
MP:0013930	abnormal digastric muscle connection
MP:0013931	abnormal olfactory bulb position
MP:0013932	fragmented Meckel's cartilage
MP:0013933	short Meckel's cartilage
MP:0013934	supratentorial ventricles enlargement
MP:0013935	basal brain tissue herniation
MP:0013936	abnormal thymus topology
MP:0013937	absent lobe of thyroid gland
MP:0013938	abnormal esophagus topology
MP:0013943	abnormal ureter topology

MP:0013944	persistent cloacal membrane	Μ
MP:0013945	abnormal elbow joint morphology	N
MP:0013946	abnormal perirectal tissue morphology	
MP:0013947	abnormal paraaortic body morphology	N
MP:0013948	intraembryonal intestine elongation	N
MP:0013949	fusion of axis and occipital bones	N
MP:0013950	abnormal dorsal root ganglion topology	N
MP:0013951	abnormal descending aorta topology	M
MP:0013952	retro-esophageal left subclavian artery	
MP:0013953	left sided brachiocephalic trunk	M
MP:0013963	jugular vein stenosis	N
MP:0013964	absent tongue muscles	N
MP:0013965	abnormally deep median sulcus of tongue	N
MP:0013967	abnormal infrahyoid muscle connection	N
MP:0013968	multiple persisting craniopharyngeal ducts	
MP:0013969	reduced sympathetic cervical ganglion size	N
MP:0013970	absent connection between subcutaneous lymph vessels and lymph sac	
MP:0013971	blood in lymph vessels	N
MP:0013972	occipital vertebra	M
MP:0013973	abnormal hepatic vein connection	M
MP:0013974	abnormal coronary vein connection	
MP:0013975	abnormal coronary sinus connection	IV
MP:0013976	abnormal left vena cava superior connection	IV
MP:0013977	symmetric azygos veins	N
MP:0013978	abnormal carotid artery origin	N
MP:0013979	abnormal subclavian artery origin	IV
MP:0013980	abnormal pulmonary artery origin	N
MP:0013981	double lumen aortic arch	N
MP:0013982	inverse situs of great intrathoracic arteries	N
MP:0013984	abnormal superior mesenterial vein connection	N
MP:0013985	abnormal umbilical vein topology	N

MP:0013986	abnormal vitelline vein topology
MP:0013987	absent intrahepatic inferior vena cava segment
MP:0013988	absent portal vein segment
MP:0013989	symmetric hepatic veins
MP:0013991	abnormal common iliac artery origin
MP:0013992	persistent dorsal ophthalmic artery
MP:0013993	anastomosis between basilar artery and common carotid artery
MP:0013994	abnormal parasellar internal carotid artery branch morphology
MP:0013995	abnormal external carotid artery origin
MP:0013996	abnormal vertebral artery origin
MP:0013997	abnormal internal carotid artery topology
MP:0013998	absent canalicular internal carotid artery segment
MP:0013999	absent parasellar internal carotid artery
MP:0014000	anastomosis between internal carotid artery and basilar artery
MP:0014001	abnormal vertebral artery topology
MP:0014002	absent extracranial vertebral artery segment
MP:0014003	additional anastomosis between intracranial vertebral arteries
MP:0014004	absent basilar artery segment
MP:0014006	absent posterior communicating artery
MP:0014008	absent labyrinthine artery
MP:0014009	anastomosis between middle cerebral arteries
MP:0014011	abnormal ovary tissue architecture
MP:0014017	abnormal Wolffian duct connection
MP:0014018	embryo tumor
MP:0014019	embryo cyst
MP:0014020	intramural bleeding in blood vessel wall
MP:0014021	heterochrony
MP:0014022	abnormal duodenum topology

The lines we have studied fall roughly equally between those containing an insertion into the targeted gene (tm1a alleles) and those in which recombination has removed both a gene exon and the neomycin selection cassette (tm1b alleles). Interestingly, our data clearly reveals that tm1b alleles show greater penetrance of phenotypes than those containing the tm1a insertion. This may reflect the potential of tm1a alleles to be hypomorphic, and might also be influenced by their retention of the neo selection cassette.

It is also worth noting the several practical lessons which have become evident through the course of DMDD studies and which may be of value for similar embryo phenotyping programmes. The most pressing of these is basing phenotype detection on comparison of each mutant embryo with an appropriately staged normal counterpart¹⁴. Embryos harvested at E14.5 vary markedly in their developmental progress and many tissues and organs are actively remodelled during this period. This is most obvious for the topology of the intestine, the position of the palatal shelves and the interventricular communication between left and right sides of the heart. Only with precise developmental staging is accurate phenotyping of these features possible⁸.

Whilst the precise range and detail of phenotypes that can be scored will necessarily be dictated by the nature of the imaging

modality and the method of phenotype identification (compare, for example 15,16, with the manual annotation used in the present study), a common challenge is the development of protocols to minimise occurrence or subsequent scoring of apparent abnormalities that are more likely artefacts of sample preparation or processing. These can range from the more obvious ruptures of the embryo skin or damaged external features during dissection, to tissue shrinkage or swelling (causing organ deformation) as a result of dehydration, fixation or embedding. Finally, the power of phenotypic screens such as DMDD to inform our understanding of developmental disease rests heavily on the detail with which abnormalities are scored. However, the very complexity we have seen this generates makes it all the more urgent to distinguish phenotypes not just through the nature of the morphological abnormality, but through its capacity, individually or in concert with others, to compromise subsequent fetal survival.

Data availability

Dataset 1 Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.16350618

Dataset 2 Zenodo: 10.5281/zenodo.26889919

The cumulative list of all scored phenotypes analysed in this study is presented in Dataset 1 (homozygous mutants) and Dataset 2 (wild type embryos). The intermediate and high level slims of the MP ontology used in the analysis are presented in Supplementary table 2 and Supplementary Table 3. All data used in this study is also available from the DMDD web site (https://dmdd.org.uk) where phenotype annotations are available in tabular format by embryo and by line. In addition, they are identified at their appropriate locations within each 3D dataset of embryo images, which can be viewed in all three orthogonal section planes.

Author contributions

RR, JW, CT, CM, ET and AG identified lethal lines and provided embryos; EH, LF, AM, FP and TM carried out HREM imaging, SG, LR, JR, DS and WW identified phenotypes; RW performed data analysis; CMcG and RW designed and maintained the DMDD web portal; JS, ER and DA contributed to the design of the study; RW, SG, WW and TM prepared the manuscript. All authors were involved in the revision of the draft manuscript and have agreed to the final content.

Competing interests

No competing interests were disclosed.

Grant information

This work was supported by the Wellcome Trust [100160], [FC001157], [FC001117]; Cancer Research UK [FC001157], [FC001117]; and the UK Medical Research Council [FC001157], [FC001117].

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful for the contributions made by past and present members of the DMDD consortium and the support of their institutions, without which the DMDD programme would not be possible.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Figure 1: Embryo Homozygous mutant embryo numbers analysed for each mutant line.

The number of annotated embryos scored for each of the 42 lines was used to plot the variation in numbers of embryos analysed per line.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Figure 2: Distribution of homozygous mutant embryo phenotypes amongst DMDD mutant lines (high level MP ontology slim).

Data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms (see Materials and Methods) is plotted to show the penetrance of phenotypes scored for each line, indicated by a colour gradient from light yellow (no penetrance) to dark red (100% penetrance). Each line is labelled after the symbol of the gene disrupted (see also Table 1), and the number of homozygous mutant embryos analysed for each line is shown. Phenotype annotations are summarised using the high level DMDD ontology slim.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Figure 3: Distribution of homozygous mutant embryo phenotypes amongst DMDD mutant lines (intermediate level MP ontology slim).

As in Supplementary Figure 2, except that phenotype annotations are summarised using the intermediate level DMDD ontology slim.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Figure 4: Penetrance of mutant embryo phenotypes in lethal and subviable mutant lines.

Data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms (see Materials and Methods) was plotted using embryos of the same genetic background (*C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac*) to show the number of lines falling into each of the observed phenotype categories (using the MP ontology intermediate slim). Each of the distinct penetrance categories is colour coded and data is ordered according to line frequency. (A) shows the penetrance distribution in the combination of lethal and subviable lines; (B) shows the equivalent plot for lethal lines alone.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 1A and 1B: Embryo phenotypes, organised by frequency.

The data from the global analysis of the frequency of phenotype terms (see Materials and methods) is presented in a structured fashion showing the relationship between Mammalian Phenotype Ontology terms included in the DMDD high level ontology slim, the DMDD intermediate level ontology slim, and the original annotation terms. The first three columns list the ID, term and frequency of DMDD high level ontology slim terms, columns 4–6 list the ID, term and frequency of the intermediate level ontology slim terms that cluster under the high level term listed in column 1, and for each intermediate level term the annotation phenotype terms are shown in order of frequency in columns 7–9. Column 10 lists the lines in which the phenotype listed in columns 7 was observed. The table rows are ordered according to the frequency of the high level ontology terms, intermediate ontology terms and original annotation terms. Table 1A: homozygous mutant embryos; Table 1B: wildtype embryos.

Table 1A:

Click here to access the data.

Table 1B:

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 2: High level MP ontology slim used by DMDD.

A list of the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology IDs and names of terms selected as the high level ontology slim.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 3: Intermediate level MP ontology slim used by DMDD.

A list of the Mammalian Phenotype Ontology IDs and names of terms selected as the intermediate level ontology slim.

Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Tables 4 and 5: All embryo phenotypes from lethal and sub-viable lines scored by DMDD to date.

The tables list the annotation data that is the basis of the study. For every annotation the gene symbol, MGI_ID, allele symbol, DMDD_ID, MP term, ID and name is listed. In some cases the same MP term is listed more than once for a specific embryo (DMDD_ID), indicating the phenotypic abnormality was observed more than once in that embryo.

Supplementary Table 4: homozygous mutant embryos Click here to access the data.

Supplementary Table 5: wild type embryos Click here to access the data.

References

- Adams D, Baldock R, Bhattacharya S, et al.: Bloomsbury report on mouse embryo phenotyping: recommendations from the IMPC workshop on embryonic lethal screening. Dis Model Mech. 2013; 6(3): 571–9.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Dickinson ME, Flenniken AM, Ji X, et al.: High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes. Nature. 2016; 537(7621): 508–514.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mohun T, Adams DJ, Baldock R, *et al.*: Deciphering the Mechanisms of Developmental Disorders (DMDD): a new programme for phenotyping embryonic lethal mice. *Dis Model Mech.* 2013; 6(3): 562–6.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mohun TJ, Weninger WJ: Imaging heart development using high-resolution episcopic microscopy. Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2011; 21(5): 573–8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Mohun TJ, Weninger WJ: Embedding embryos for high-resolution episcopic microscopy (HREM). Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2012; 2012(6): 678–80.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Weninger WJ, Geyer SH, Mohun TJ, et al.: High-resolution episcopic microscopy: a rapid technique for high detailed 3D analysis of gene activity in the context of tissue architecture and morphology. Anat Embryol (Berl). 2006; 211(3): 213–21.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Mohun TJ, Weninger WJ: Generation of volume data by episcopic threedimensional imaging of embryos. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2012; 2012(6): 681–2.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Geyer SH, Reissing L, Rose J, et al.: A staging system for correct phenotype interpretation of mouse embryos harvested on embryonic day 14 (E14.5). J Anat. 2017; In press.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Weninger WJ, Geyer SH, Martineau A, et al.: Phenotyping structural abnormalities in mouse embryos using high-resolution episcopic microscopy. Dis Model Mech. 2014; 7(10): 1143–52.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- 10. Smith CL, Eppig JT: The Mammalian Phenotype Ontology as a unifying

standard for experimental and high-throughput phenotyping data. *Mamm Genome*. 2012; **23**(9–10): 653–68. PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text

- Boycott KM, Vanstone MR, Bulman DE, et al.: Rare-disease genetics in the era of next-generation sequencing: discovery to translation. Nat Rev Genet. 2013; 14(10): 681–91.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Shamseldin HE, Tulbah M, Kurdi W, et al.: Identification of embryonic lethal genes in humans by autozygosity mapping and exome sequencing in consanguineous families. Genome Biol. 2015; 16(1): 116.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Hoffman JI, Kaplan S: The incidence of congenital heart disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2002; 39(12): 1890–900.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wong MD, van Eede MC, Spring S, et al.: 4D atlas of the mouse embryo for precise morphological staging. Development. 2015; 142(20): 3583–91.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wong MD, Dorr AE, Walls JR, et al.: A novel 3D mouse embryo atlas based on micro-CT. Development. 2012; 139(17): 3248–56.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wong MD, Maezawa Y, Lerch JP, et al.: Automated pipeline for anatomical phenotyping of mouse embryos using micro-CT. Development. 2014; 141(12): 2533–41.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text | Free Full Text
- Geyer SH, Weninger WJ: Some mice feature 5th pharyngeal arch arteries and double-lumen aortic arch malformations. *Cells Tissues Organs.* 2012; 196(1): 90-8.
 PubMed Abstract | Publisher Full Text
- Wilson R: Table 4: All pheotypes from lethal and subviable lines scored by DMDD to date (Nov 2016) [Data set]. Zenodo. 2016.
 Data Source
- Wilson R: Dataset 2: All wild type embryo phenotypes from lethal and subviable lines scored by DMDD to date (Nov 2016) [Data set]. Zenodo. 2017. Data Source

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status: 🗹 ?

Version 1

Referee Report 12 December 2016

doi:10.21956/wellcomeopenres.10670.r18405

2 Lydia Teboul

The Mary Lyon Centre, Medical Research Council Harwell Institute, Didcot, UK

The Deciphering Mechanisms of Developmental Disorders consortium presents a systematic study of the morphology of mutant embryos from 42 lines developed in the frame of the International Phenotyping Consortium. The lines chosen for this study were selected as they are homozygous lethal or subviable at weaning but viable at E14.5.

The authors employ High Resolution Episcopic Microscopy to capture 3D images of the embryos, providing exquisitely detailed documentation of embryo morphologies. They exploit this rich dataset with a systematic and in depth annotation of morphological defects which they record using appropriate levels of MP terms.

The result is a survey of impressive scope in terms of annotation depth and volume of data, and a superb effort of data organisation and analysis so the great complexity of the dataset can be distilled to overall observations and discussion points. This organisation effort yielded a really useful framework for systematic analysis of the morphology of mouse mutant of that stage.

The authors conclude that a salient point of the work is the great variability of penetrance of the morphological phenotypes they find among these mutant embryos of the same isogenic genetic background.

Although the variable expressivity of phenotype between different individuals of a same mutant line isn't a new concept, the unexpected result of the study is the extend to which phenotypes (even when grouped in broad categories such as "organ affected") vary in penetrance, albeit that these mutants share the broadest of phenotype which is lethality.

However, the authors restrict their analysis to the variability amongst mutants and they mention in the discussion an on-going systematic analysis of WT embryos, which will provide key information to put in context the observations collated in this article.

Whereas the article is an excellent effort of presenting a complex dataset with clarity and granularity and documenting variability of morphology amongst samples, the data presented do not allow the reader to identify the reason(s) of this variability in the absence of key information. Three major points should be addressed:

• The authors made the unusual choice of not presenting baseline data on the morphology of wild-type mutants (littermates) produced in the study. Such data, surveying significant groups of control embryos, would be essential to establish the link between mutations and described

phenotypes. In the absence of this data, any reference to a causal link between phenotypes and mutation should be removed from the article.

- Both targeted traps (tm1a) and null (tm1b and CRISPR induced deletions) alleles are employed in the study. Both the presence of a selection cassette and the unpredictability of efficiency of trapping cassette(s) could form the basis of at least some of the variability shown in this study. An evaluation of variability (particularly using slim terms) within each of these 2 groups of alleles would help to address this point.
- Subviable lines show by definition a partially penetrant phenotype and contribute to a quarter of the mutant studied. An evaluation of variability (particularly using slim terms) within lethal and subviable as separate alleles groups would discriminate whether variability of morphology is particularly occurring among subviable lines.

Minor points:

- Methods should detail information that permit the appraisal of materials used in the study, detailing the genetic background of stem cells and animals employed for germline transmission, and further breeding, including whether homozygotes were used to produce embryos to analyse subviable lines.
- Methods should outline the steps taken to limit manual annotation variability (i.e. secondary calling or benchmarking between annotators).
- All titles and text should precisely detail when lethal or both lethal and subviable mutations are presented.

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however I have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 06 Mar 2017

Tim Mohun, The Francis Crick Institute, UK

The authors made the unusual choice of not presenting baseline data on the morphology of wild-type mutants (littermates) produced in the study. Such data, surveying significant groups of control embryos, would be essential to establish the link between mutations and described phenotypes. In the absence of this data, any reference to a causal link between phenotypes and mutation should be removed from the article.

We have included the wild type phenotype data in the revised version of the manuscript (see the detailed response to Rosenthal/Murray for more details).

Both targeted traps (tm1a) and null (tm1b and CRISPR induced deletions) alleles are employed in the study. Both the presence of a selection cassette and the unpredictability of efficiency of trapping cassette(s) could form the basis of at least some of the variability shown in this study. An evaluation of variability (particularly using slim terms) within each

of these 2 groups of alleles would help to address this point.

The revised manuscript now includes separate analysis of phenotypes for the 22 tm1a alleles compared with 20 complete nulls (19 tm1b and 1 CRISPR). With either allele, blood vessel, heart and brain morphology remain amongst the most commonly observed abnormalities. However, with such relatively small numbers, we feel there is little more that can usefully be concluded from comparison of individual phenotype prevalence, since this will be heavily influenced by the distinct gene identities within each allele group. In contrast, there is a clear difference in phenotype penetrance between the two groups: phenotypes are clearly more penetrant from tm1b alleles (see new Figure 8A and 8B). We presume that this reflects the fact that whilst mutations based on tm1a alleles have the potential to be hypomorphic, those converted from tm1a to tm1b contain an exon deletion (and no longer carry the neo selection cassette).

Subviable lines show by definition a partially penetrant phenotype and contribute to a quarter of the mutant studied. An evaluation of variability (particularly using slim terms) within lethal and subviable as separate alleles groups would discriminate whether variability of morphology is particularly occurring among subviable lines.

We presume that the reviewer is wondering whether the difference between lethal and subviable lines is a result of differing degrees of penetrance of phenotypes that result in embryo death. Answering this point is not as simple as it might appear as it touches on a much more profound issue raised by studies such as ours. Whilst we are able to distinguish a remarkable number of different structural abnormalities by virtue of the resolution HREM imaging affords, it may not be at all clear which of these results in embryo lethality. Many profound abnormalities may be compatible with life and lethality may also result from structurally subtle changes. Without knowing which of the scored phenotypes are likely to cause lethality, it will be difficult if not impossible to establish of differences in their penetrance distinguish subviable from lethal lines. Add to this the additional difficulty that dams have a propensity to eat newborns that are not thriving well and there is a further complication in interpreting the data.

We have nevertheless reexamined the phenotype data in order to compare the results separately for lethal and subviable lines (new Supplementary Figure 4). From this it is clear that there is insufficient data from subviable lines to draw unequivocal conclusions. Overall, the approximate prevalence of particular phenotype terms (using the intermediate slim) appears broadly similar to that of lethals, but for most of these, the numbers of affected lines are too few to make useful estimates of penetrance.

Minor points:

1. Full details of genetic background and mutant allele are now provided for each line (revised Table 1).

2. All phenotyping was performed according to a standardised and sequential procedure, as mentioned in Material and methods. The data from each embryo was independently reviewed by a second anatomist and any discrepancies resolved by joint agreement.

3. We have amended titles and text to ensure that the distinction between lethal and subviable lines is clear where necessary.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 08 December 2016

doi:10.21956/wellcomeopenres.10670.r18334

Nadia Rosenthal¹, Steve Murray²

¹ National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK ² The Jackson Laboratory, Bar Harbor, ME, USA

This manuscript describes the findings of the DMDD consortium, analyzing 42 lethal and subviable genes at E14.5 using high-resolution 3D imaging (HREM) coupled with detailed annotation of the specific phenotypes revealed. The level of granularity in the scoring of the phenotypes is a major strength of the paper, and reflects the deep and unique expertise of the team. This has facilitated the discovery of widespread variable penetrance in mutant embryos at a level of detail not previously described. Furthermore, the effort to organize the MP into a series of "slims" is quite useful for organizing the calls into easier to analyze groups, and such work will likely benefit other groups such as the IMPC.

The manuscript is clearly written and, importantly, goes to great lengths to ensure full access to all data. In addition to minor issues detailed below, there are two major gaps, however, that must be addressed.

- There is no description of the number of control embryos screened or the incidental rate of hits for each phenotype in the DMDD list. Given the focus of the paper on the variability of phenotype penetrance and the number of phenotypes with an "n=1", it is impossible to draw conclusions without this information. While the authors allude to a manuscript in preparation, it is actually essential data for this paper.
- 2. Similarly, there is no description of how the authors account for global developmental delay in mutants, which can lead to many "phenotypes" that are merely the result of slowed/retarded development or variability in developmental timing between litters. For example, at E14.5, one would expect a high rate of cleft palate in mutants that have some level of overall delay, or in entire delayed litters, as the palate is elevating and fusing at that time point. This raises the following questions: are controls from each litter collected? How is uniform staging assured? Are "delayed" embryos compared to a stage-matched control? Again, the authors allude to another manuscript, but some of this information needs to be included here to assure the MP calls do not have trivial explanations.

Minor points:

- 1. While the brief description of the animal resource and use of website citation is acceptable, given the main finding of variable penetrance, the authors should make a point of describing the isogenic genetic background and the nature of the alleles (tm1a or tm1b) in the methods and results.
- 2. It's not entirely clear if this was a set of 42 genes that were lethal/subviable at wean, or if this was a select set of lethal genes that were viable/subviable (present) at E14.5. Given the comments in the discussion about lines lethal at E9.5 or earlier, I assume the latter. This should be spelled out.

- 3. Mouse gene symbols should be italicized.
- 4. Apart from Table 1, the tables are too large and make reading a PDF a somewhat painful process. These might not be easily compressed, so most of the information should be moved to a supplemental file.

We have read this submission. We believe that we have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard, however we have significant reservations, as outlined above.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 06 Mar 2017

Tim Mohun, The Francis Crick Institute, UK

There is no description of the number of control embryos screened or the incidental rate of hits for each phenotype in the DMDD list. Given the focus of the paper on the variability of phenotype penetrance and the number of phenotypes with an "n=1", it is impossible to draw conclusions without this information. While the authors allude to a manuscript in preparation, it is actually essential data for this paper.

The revised manuscript now includes the complete phenotype data obtained for 114 wild type embryos. This comprises 56 phenotype calls, affecting 32 embryos, originating from 28 lines (revised Tables 1, 2B and Supplementary Table 5). 21 of the 56 phenotype calls (38%) are accounted for by only 6 embryos, (indicating the skewing effect of a small number of abnormal embryos), most affected embryos showing only a single phenotype. This is in marked contrast to the finding of many different phenotypes in individual mutant embryos. The phenotypes of wild types vary in character, ranging from apparently minor differences (e.g. in blood vessel morphology) to a few major abnormalities (e.g. absent kidney). Each one is rare amongst the population of wild type embryos analysed and affects only a single wild type embryo within the line. Only 10 phenotypes (15 phenotype calls) overlap between mutant embryos have been assessed (Table 3). As we discuss in the revised Results and Discussion sections, these data raise 3 related questions: Why are phenotypes detected in genetically wild type embryos? Are there "background" phenotypes associated with the C57BL/6N line that contribute to the mutant phenotypes scored? Is there any evidence for "background" phenotypes associated with an individual knockout line?

We think there are several possible explanations for finding phenotypes amongst wild type embryos. One possibility is that the mouse strain that has been used for engineering knockout lines carries a "background load" of abnormalities, previously unappreciated. Ours is the first systematic study on sufficiently large scale and employing sufficiently high resolution imaging to detect such abnormalities. Amongst the phenotypes identified, none shows significant prevalence that might be expected if it was a strain-specific abnormality. Another possible explanation is that abnormalities arise as a consequence of de novo mutation and the frequency we detect reflects the high sensitivity that results from HREM imaging. Lastly, at least with the less apparently severe abnormalities, it is possible that some of these in fact represent outliers on spectrum of normal morphological variation and should not be considered genuine abnormalities. This highlights an important issue confronting phenotyping studies: the dearth of large-scale and systematic studies examining normal embryo morphology that can set a reliable benchmark for distinguishing abnormalities from normal variation. In this light, phenotype data may need revision as cumulative experience improves our ability to distinguish abnormalities from variation amongst wild types.

Whatever the explanation, it is clear that neither the frequency, prevalence nor nature of the phenotypes identified in wild type embryos impact significantly on the assignation of phenotypes amongst the homozygous mutant embryos.

Similarly, there is no description of how the authors account for global developmental delay in mutants, which can lead to many "phenotypes" that are merely the result of slowed/retarded development or variability in developmental timing between litters. For example, at E14.5, one would expect a high rate of cleft palate in mutants that have some level of overall delay, or in entire delayed litters, as the palate is elevating and fusing at that time point. This raises the following questions: are controls from each litter collected? How is uniform staging assured? Are "delayed" embryos compared to a stage-matched control? Again, the authors allude to another manuscript, but some of this information needs to be included here to assure the MP calls do not have trivial explanations.

We believe it is important to distinguish between the effect of precise developmental stage of phenotyping and the issue of developmental retardation or delay. We can now reference the published study we mentioned that addresses these very questions (Geyer et al. 2017, J. Anat. in press). We do indeed collect wild type controls from each litter but our experience has demonstrated that precise stage matching of mutants with controls is essential to underpin accurate phenotyping. To facilitate this, we have analysed a large number of wild type embryos from the same genetic background as the that used for engineering of mutant lines. We have developed a system that can reliably distinguish five sub-stages within the span of Theiler stages 21 to 22 that are collected during E14.5, enabling us to compare each mutant embryo against precise, developmental stage-matched controls. Careful study and comparison of these has identified those changes (such as fusion of palatal shelves) which occur during the window of development that we observe. By combining qualitative comparisons with quantitative morphometry and statistical analysis, we are able to distinguish what can be considered genuine abnormalities from features that show either rapid developmental change or significant variability in the developmental timing of their appearance.

A more precise staging system also allows us to phenotype homozygous mutant embryos accurately, even though they frequently show some developmental delay, since we are able to compare them to controls at the equivalent stage of development. It also allows us to score instances of heterochrony where this affects individual (or a limited subset of) organs or tissues. By analysing a large number of wild type embryos harvested at E14.5, we have identified the spread and distribution of individual developmental sub stages that might be expected, and on this basis have a robust, statistical definition for global developmental retardation. Our studies do not allow us to identify why such retardation is relatively common amongst mutant embryos, but do offer some interesting pointers that we have commented upon. Retardation is, for example, much more common in mutant embryos showing cardiovascular defects (Geyer et al. 2017, J. Anat. in press). Furthermore, a surprisingly large proportion of mutants show abnormalities in their placental structure, and this may perhaps impact on their overall growth and development (unpublished data).

Minor points

1. The genetic background and details of each allele are now included in revised Table 1. 39 of the 42 lines analysed are on an identical background (C57BL/6N;C57BL/6NTac). 22 lines contain the tm1a allele, 19 contain tm1b and 1 line was produced using CRISPR.

2. The "Embryos" section of Materials and Methods details how the 42 lines were designated as lethal or subviable at wean (P14).

3. Mouse gene symbols have been italicised.

4. It was not possible for the larger tables to be moved to supplementary files; this is an unfortunate limitation of the online presentation method. Wellcome Open Research requested that the tables were included as figures rather than supplementary data and we agree that it is helpful for the reader to see the nature of the data. We had hoped that the individual files could also be downloaded in their spreadsheet format to allow full interrogation but the interface does not currently allow this. We have requested this change but in the meantime large tables are now also included in supplemental spreadsheet files to allow the reader to search and filter the data as required.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Referee Report 05 December 2016

doi:10.21956/wellcomeopenres.10670.r17602



David Brook

School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

We live in interesting times. Election outcomes are unpredictable. People are unpredictable and now as Wilson *et al.* report even the consequences of specific mutations are significantly less predictable than we might expect.

The Deciphering the Mechanisms of Developmental Disorders (DMDD) programme aims to analyse 240 embryonic lethal mouse knockout lines over a five-year period to study genes essential for mouse embryonic development and survival. This paper provides the first report on results gathered thus far. Wilson et al performed a detailed assessment of morphological abnormalities at stage E14.5 in 220 embryos from 42 novel mouse gene knockout lines. High Resolution Episcopic Microscopy was used to detect abnormalities at a scale from whole organs and tissues down to individual nerves and blood vessels. They report multiple abnormalities in virtually all of the embryos studied. They generated a wealth of information; in excess of 1.6 million images including more than 700,000 transverse sections to detail the incidence of structural abnormalities in 209 of the 220 embryos analysed. Eleven of the embryos from nine different lines were apparently normal.

To provide systematic phenotypic data Mammalian Phenotype (MP) ontology terms were used to classify abnormalities with high and intermediate levels. This allowed the authors to calculate a penetrance score for the terms in each of the mutant lines and to assign these to a quartile percentage group. Only 3

phenotypes were 100% penetrant and over half of the abnormalities had a penetrance score under 25%.

Approximately one third of mouse gene knockouts are lethal and 60% of lethal lines entering the DMDD programme fail to provide homozygous mutant offspring by E14.5 with half of those being lethal prior to E9.5. Thus, as the authors point out, the data presented are from a subset of lethal lines. However, the most striking aspect of this study is the variability in penetrance of virtually all of the phenotypes analysed.

Recent studies sequencing human exome DNA has identified a high frequency of loss of function mutations. A study by Lek et al 2016¹ examined more than 60,000 human exomes and reported predicted homozygous loss of function genotypes in 1775 genes. On average there are 35 homozygous gene deletions in each human. Thus the comment by Wilson et al in the present paper is particularly pertinent; relating these findings to human developmental disease will require further sophisticated analysis. It would appear that homozygous loss of function mutations are more common than previously realised and, furthermore, the consequences of loss of function mutations are much more variable than previously realised. It will not be trivial to unmask the causes of this variability. We are only just beginning to scratch the surface of understanding the consequences of loss of function mutations in both mice and humans.

I have only one minor suggestion. On p4 3 lines from the bottom, the sentence starting "The Brd2 and Tcf712 alleles showed a similar, but less pronounced, conservation of phenotype.." requires clarification. Do they mean similar to Atp11a, to each other, or to both?

References

1. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, O'Donnell-Luria AH, Ware JS, Hill AJ, Cummings BB, Tukiainen T, Birnbaum DP, Kosmicki JA, Duncan LE, Estrada K, Zhao F, Zou J, Pierce-Hoffman E, Berghout J, Cooper DN, Deflaux N, DePristo M, Do R, Flannick J, Fromer M, Gauthier L, Goldstein J, Gupta N, Howrigan D, Kiezun A, Kurki MI, Moonshine AL, Natarajan P, Orozco L, Peloso GM, Poplin R, Rivas MA, Ruano-Rubio V, Rose SA, Ruderfer DM, Shakir K, Stenson PD, Stevens C, Thomas BP, Tiao G, Tusie-Luna MT, Weisburd B, Won HH, Yu D, Altshuler DM, Ardissino D, Boehnke M, Danesh J, Donnelly S, Elosua R, Florez JC, Gabriel SB, Getz G, Glatt SJ, Hultman CM, Kathiresan S, Laakso M, McCarroll S, McCarthy MI, McGovern D, McPherson R, Neale BM, Palotie A, Purcell SM, Saleheen D, Scharf JM, Sklar P, Sullivan PF, Tuomilehto J, Tsuang MT, Watkins HC, Wilson JG, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG: Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans.*Nature*. 2016; **536** (7616): 285-91 PubMed Abstract I Publisher Full Text

I have read this submission. I believe that I have an appropriate level of expertise to confirm that it is of an acceptable scientific standard.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Author Response 06 Mar 2017

Tim Mohun, The Francis Crick Institute, UK

The sentence on p4 referenced in the reviewer's comment describes a trend in the similarity of phenotypes across all of the embryos within a particular mutant line. So we are not comparing the phenotypes between lines, but whether there is consistency between different embryos within any individual line.

Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.

Page 41 of 41