



Original Article

Association of Lipids, Lipoproteins, and Apolipoproteins with Stroke Subtypes in an International Case Control Study (INTERSTROKE)

Martin J. O'Donnell,^{a,b} Matthew McQueen,^a Allan Sniderman,^c Guillaume Pare,^a Xingyu Wang,^d Graeme J. Hankey,^e Sumathy Rangarajan,^a Siu Lim Chin,^a Purnima Rao-Melacini,^a John Ferguson,^b Denis Xavier,^f Liu Lisheng,^d Hongye Zhang,^g Prem Pais,^f Patricio Lopez-Jaramillo,^h Albertino Damasceno,ⁱ Peter Langhorne,^j Annika Rosengren,^k Antonio L. Dans,¹ Ahmed Elsayed,^m Alvaro Avezum,ⁿ Charles Mondo,^o Conor Judge,^b Hans-Christoph Diener,^p Danuta Ryglewicz,^q Anna Czlonkowska,^r Nana Pogosova,^s Christian Weimar,^p Romana Iqbal,^t Rafael Diaz,^u Khalid Yusoff,^v Afzalhussein Yusufali,^w Aytekin Oguz,^x Ernesto Penaherrera,^y Fernando Lanas,^z Okechukwu S. Ogah,^{aa} Adesola Ogunniyi,^{bb} Helle K. Iversen,^{cc} German Malaga,^{dd} Zvonko Rumboldt,^{ee} Shahram Oveisgharan,^{ff} Fawaz Al Hussain,^{gg} Yongchai Nilanont,^{hh} Salim Yusuf,^a on Behalf of the INTERSTROKE Investigators ^aPopulation Health Research Institute, McMaster University and Hamilton Health Sciences, Hamilton, ON, Canada ^bDepartment of Medicine, HRB-Clinical Research Facility, NUI Galway, Galway, Ireland ^cDivision of Cardiology, McGill University Health Center, Montreal, QC, Canada ^dNational Center of Cardiovascular Disease, Beijing, China ^eSchool of Medicine and Pharmacology, The University of Western Australia, Perth, Australia ^fSt John's Medical College and Research Institute, Bangalore, India ⁹Beijing Hypertension League Institute, Beijing, China ^hFundacion Oftalmologica de Santander-Clinica Carlos Ardila Lulle (FOSCAL), Bucaramanga, Colombia ⁱFaculty of Medicine, Eduardo Mondlane University, Maputo, Mozambigue ^jDepartment of Geriatric Medicine, Glasgow Roval Infirmary, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK ^kDepartment of Molecular and Clinical Medicine, Sahlgrenska Academy and University Hospital, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden ¹College of Medicine, University of Philippines, Manila, Philippines ^mDepartment of Surgery, Al Shaab Teaching Hospital, Khartoum, Sudan ⁿInternational Research Center, Hospital Alemão Oswaldo Cruz, Sao Paulo, Brazil ^oDepartment of Cardiology, Kiruddu National Referral Hospital, Kampala, Uganda PInstitute for Medical Informatics, Department of Biometry and Epidemiology, University of Duisburg-Essen, Essen, Germany ^qInstitute of Psychiatry and Neurology, Warsaw, Poland ^rDepartment of Neurology, Military Institute of Aviation Medicine, Warsaw, Poland ^sNational Research Center for Preventive Medicine, Ministry of Healthcare of the Russian Federation, Moscow, Russia ^tDepartment of Medicine, Aga Khan University Hospital, Karachi, Pakistan "Estudios Clínicos Latino America (ECLA), Instituto Cardiovascular de Rosario (ICR), Rosario, Argentina ^vUniversity College Sedaya International (UCSI) University, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia ^wDepartment of Medicine, Hatta Hospital, Dubai Health Authority, Dubai Medical College, Dubai, United Arab Emirates *Department of Medicine, Istanbul Medenivet University, Istanbul, Turkey ^yDepartment of Cardiology, Hospital Luis Vernaza, Guayaguil, Ecuador ^zFaculty of Medicine, University of La Frontera, Temuco, Chile ^{aa}Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria ^{bb}Department of Medicine, University College Hospital, Ibadan, Nigeria ^{cc}Rigshospitalet, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark dd Cayetano Heredia University, Lima, Peru eeDepartment of Medicine, University of Split, Split, Croatia ^{ff}Department of Neurology, Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Chicago, IL, USA 99King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia ^{hh}Neurology Division, Department of Medicine, Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Copyright © 2022 Korean Stroke Society

Background and Purpose The association of dyslipidemia with stroke has been inconsistent, which may be due to differing associations within etiological stroke subtypes. We sought to determine the association of lipoproteins and apolipoproteins within stroke subtypes.

Methods Standardized incident case-control STROKE study in 32 countries. Cases were patients with acute hospitalized first stroke, and matched by age, sex and site to controls. Concentrations of total cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), apolipoprotein A1 (apoA1), and apoB were measured. Non-HDL-C was calculated. We estimated multivariable odds ratio (OR) and population attributable risk percentage (PAR%). Outcome measures were all stroke, ischemic stroke (and subtypes), and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH).

Results Our analysis included 11,898 matched case-control pairs; 77.3% with ischemic stroke and 22.7% with ICH. Increasing apoB (OR, 1.10; 95% confidence interval [Cl], 1.06 to 1.14 per standard deviation [SD]) and LDL-C (OR, 1.06; 95% Cl, 1.02 to 1.10 per SD) were associated with an increase in risk of ischemic stroke, but a reduced risk of ICH. Increased apoB was significantly associated with large vessel stroke (PAR 13.4%; 95% Cl, 5.6 to 28.4) and stroke of undetermined cause. Higher HDL-C (OR, 0.75; 95% Cl, 0.72 to 0.78 per SD) and apoA1 (OR, 0.63; 95% Cl, 0.61 to 0.66 per SD) were associated with ischemic stroke (and subtypes). While increasing HDL-C was associated with an increased risk of ICH (OR, 1.20; 95% Cl, 1.14 to 1.27 per SD), apoA1 was associated with a reduced risk (OR, 0.80; 95% Cl, 0.75 to 0.85 per SD). ApoB/A1 (OR, 1.38; 95% Cl, 1.32 to 1.44 per SD) had a stronger magnitude of association than the ratio of LDL-C/HDL-C (OR, 1.26; 95% Cl, 1.21 to 1.31 per SD) with ischemic stroke (*P*<0.0001).

Conclusions The pattern and magnitude of association of lipoproteins and apolipoproteins with stroke varies by etiological stroke subtype. While the directions of association for LDL, HDL, and apoB were opposing for ischemic stroke and ICH, apoA1 was associated with a reduction in both ischemic stroke and ICH. The ratio of apoB/A1 was the best lipid predictor of ischemic stroke risk.

Keywords Stroke; Risk factor; Dyslipidemia; Lipoproteins; Apolipoproteins; Case-control

Correspondence: Martin J. O'Donnell Department of Medicine, HRB-Clinical Research Facility, NUI Galway, Newcastle Rd., Galway, Ireland Tel: +353-91-494-098 Fax: +353-905-297-3781 E-mail: martin.odonnell@nuigalway.ie https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7347-7761

Received: June 16, 2021 Revised: April 4, 2022 Accepted: April 11, 2022

Introduction

The association of lipids, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins with stroke is more complex than that reported for acute myocardial infarction (AMI), with inconsistent findings among epidemiological studies.¹ In studies that do report an association of lipoproteins and apolipoproteins with stroke, the magnitude of the association is lower than reported for AMI²⁻⁶ and appears to differ by stroke etiology.7-23 Meta-analyses of observational studies report opposing directions of association of total cholesterol, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) with ischemic stroke compared to intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH); for example, increasing LDL-C is associated with a higher risk of ischemic stroke but an inverse association with ICH.²⁴ These opposing associations may explain null associations in stroke studies that did not complete routine neuroimaging, required for valid distinction between ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke.⁷ Within ischemic stroke subtypes, there is also some evidence to suggest there may be differing associations of lipoproteins within etiological ischemic subtypes with some studies suggesting a stronger magnitude of association for large vessel atherosclerotic stroke, compared to small vessel or cardioembolism.²⁵⁻²⁸ These differences may have clinically relevant implications for tailoring prevention strategies by ischemic stroke subtype, and, may contribute to global variations in frequency of stroke subtypes.

The INTERSTROKE study is the largest international epidemiologic study to evaluate the association of cholesterol, lipoproteins lipids and apolipoproteins with stroke categorized by routine neuroimaging.²⁹ We have previously reported that the apolipoprotein B (apoB)/apoA1 ratio was associated with 34% of the population attributable risk (PAR) for ischemic stroke.²⁹ In the current report, we describe the comparative association of cholesterol, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins within stroke subtypes.

O'Donnell et al. Lipids and Stroke Risk

Methods

Study design/population

INTERSTROKE is a large international case-control study³⁰ conducted from March 2007 to September 2015 among 142 centers in 32 countries in Asia, Africa, Europe, North America, Middle East, Australia, and South America. Participants (n=26,919) were recruited, comprising 13,447 cases of acute first stroke and 13,472 controls (Appendix 1).

Cases were patients with acute first stroke admitted to hospital (within 72 hours) and within 5 days of symptom onset. Stroke was defined using the World Health Organization (WHO) clinical criteria for stroke.³¹ Neuroimaging was completed in 99.9% of cases. Proxy respondents were used when patients were unable to communicate adequately. Controls were either community-based (54.7%) or hospital-based (45.3%). Specific approaches to identifying sources of community-based controls were not prespecified, as standardized approaches may not be feasible in all settings. However, each control was matched for sex and age $(\pm 5 \text{ years})$ with cases, extended to +10 years for those >90 years. Eligibility criteria and sampling approach included in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2.³⁰ Patients with ischemic stroke were classified etiologically according to the Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment (TOAST) criteria^{32,33} (large vessel, small vessel, cardioembolism, or undetermined) and reported by the local investigators 1 month after stroke, based on combined clinical assessment and results of available diagnostic tests.^{34,35} We did not mandate other etiologic investigations (except electrocardiogram), as it may have resulted in a selection bias due to regional variations in availability of diagnostic testing. The modified Rankin Scale³⁶ was used to measure stroke severity. The study was approved by the ethics committees in all participating centers. All participants, or their proxy, provided informed written consent before taking part in the study.

Measurement of risk factors

Structured questionnaires were administered, and physical examinations were undertaken, in the same manner in cases and controls. Key vascular risk factors were measured in a manner consistent with the INTERHEART study.³⁷ These have been described in detail previously and included in the Appendix 2 for this paper. Blood samples were obtained and centrifuged within 24 hours of recruitment (78.0% of samples were collected within 48 hours of admission to hospital), and then separated immediately and frozen at -20° C or -70° C, dependent on the availability of specific freezers. Samples were periodically shipped in nitrogen vapour tanks for storage at -80° C in China, -80°C in India, -80°C in Turkey, or -160°C in Canada. All reagents and kits used in each laboratory are reported in the Appendix 2. Concentrations of total cholesterol, HDL-C, LDL-C, apoA1, and apoB were measured with local analysers in each of four core laboratories. Concentrations of non-HDL-C were calculated as total cholesterol minus HDL-C.

Statistical analysis

Means and medians were calculated to summarise continuous variables and were compared by paired t-tests or appropriate paired non-parametric tests. Categorising of data by tertiles was based on control data. We used restricted cubic spline plots to explore the pattern of association of cholesterol, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins with ischemic stroke, ischemic stroke etiological subtypes, and ICH. We fitted a restricted cubic spline function with four knots (5th, 35th, 65th, and 95th centiles), and completed a likelihood ratio test for non-linearity (included in figure legends). We used conditional logistic regression for all analyses of association of lipid fraction variables with stroke outcomes. All analyses were adjusted for age, hypertension, smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, cardiac risk factors (including atrial fibrillation), pre-admission statin use, and alcohol intake. To optimize power to detect associations for the analyses of the ICH subgroups, we supplemented the control group with controls for ischemic stroke to match additional controls to ICH cases (using the same matching criteria). Estimates of odds ratios (ORs) and accompanying 95% confidence intervals (CIs) are presented for every risk factor and their combinations. We estimated PAR% using the method described by Benichou and Gail.³⁸ CI calculations were based on this method using a logit transformation approach, apart from when PAR estimates were negative, in which case, conventional Wald type Cls were used. We tested P-interaction among lipid fractions (e.g., apoB vs. LDL-C) to evaluate differences in magnitude of association with ischemic stroke. Statistical analyses and graphics were produced with SAS version 9.2 (SAS, Cary, NC, USA) and TIBCO Spotfire S-Plus version 8.2 for Windows (Insightful, Seattle, WA, USA).

Data sharing statement

Data from INTERSTROKE are not available for public use.

Results

Participant characteristics

The mean \pm standard deviation (SD) age was 62.2 \pm 13.6 years. Non-fasting blood samples were obtained from 11,898 matched case-control pairs (n=23,796). Supplementary Table 3 reports baseline characteristics, proportion of stroke subtypes and diagnostic work-up, by region. Supplementary Table 4 reports the mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apolipoproteins, and their ratios by sex and region in controls. Supplementary Table 5 report mean cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, non-HDL-C, apoA1, apoB, non-HDL/HDL ratio, and apoB/A1 ratio in cases and controls. Patients with ischemic stroke had higher mean apoB, and lower apoA1 and HDL-C, than cases with ICH or controls. Mean total cholesterol was lower in cases, of both ischemic and ICH, than controls (Supplementary Table 5). Pre-admission statin use was reported in 11.2% of cases and 9.2% of controls.

Cholesterol, lipoproteins, and stroke

Total cholesterol had an inverse association with ischemic stroke (OR, 0.91; 95% Cl, 0.87 to 0.95 per SD) and ICH (OR, 0.81; 95% Cl, 0.77 to 0.86 per SD) (Figure 1, Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). Increasing LDL-C was associated with an increase in risk of ischemic stroke (OR, 1.06; 95% Cl, 1.02 to 1.10 per SD), with an apparent threshold effect above approximately 3.5 mmol/L (Figure 2A) and associated with reduced risk of ICH (OR, 0.91; 95% Cl, 0.86 to 0.96 per SD) (Figure 1). Increasing HDL-C was associated with a reduction in risk of ischemic stroke (OR, 0.75; 95% Cl, 0.72 to 0.78 per SD) but increased risk of ICH (OR, 1.20; 95% Cl, 1.14 to 1.27 per SD) (Figure 1).

Within ischemic stroke subtypes, higher LDL-C levels were significantly associated with large vessel ischemic stroke (OR, 1.12; 95% Cl, 1.02 to 1.23 per SD), ischemic stroke of undetermined cause (OR, 1.10; 95% Cl, 1.02 to 1.19 per SD) but not significantly associated with other ischemic stroke subtype (Figures 1 and 3A). Increasing HDL-C was associated with a reduction in odds of all ischemic stroke subtypes (Figures 1 and 3B).

Apolipoproteins and stroke

Increasing apoB was associated with an increase in risk of ischemic stroke (OR, 1.10; 95% Cl, 1.06 to 1.14), with an apparent threshold effect over approximately 1.0 g/L and associated with a reduced risk of ICH (OR, 0.88; 95% Cl, 0.83 to 0.93 per SD) (Figures 1 and 2B). ApoB was a stronger predictor of ischemic stroke than LDL-C (P<0.0001) or non-HDL-C (P<0.0001). Increasing apoA1 was associated with a lower risk of both ischemic stroke (OR, 0.63; 95% Cl, 0.61 to 0.66 per SD) and ICH (OR, 0.80; 95% Cl, 0.75 to 0.85 per SD), and did not have significantly stronger association than HDL-C (Figures 1 and 2B). Within ischemic stroke subtypes, higher levels of apoB were associated with a higher risk of large vessel and undetermined etiology, but not significantly associated with small vessel or cardioembolism (Figure 1). ApoA1 was associated a lower risk of all ischemic stroke subtypes (Table 1 and Figure 3D).



Figure 1. Association of total cholesterol, lipoproteins and apolipoprotein (Apo), and stroke. Forest plot for association of total cholesterol, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), HDL-C, non-HDL/HDL ratio, LDL/HDL ratio, apoB, apoA1, and apoB/A1 ratio, and ischemic stroke, ischemic stroke subtypes, and intracerebral hemorrhage. Odd ratio and 95% confidence interval (CI) per standard deviation (SD) change.



Figure 2. (A) Association of lipoproteins with ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH). (B) Association of apolipoproteins with ischemic stroke and ICH. Restricted cubic spline plot of association of (A) lipoproteins (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C] and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]) (X-axis) and (B) apolipoproteins (apoB and apoA1) (X-axis) with ischemic stroke and ICH. Spline curve truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet risk score, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, pre-admission statin, and alcohol intake).

Lipoprotein ratio, apolipoprotein ratio, and stroke Increasing LDL-C/HDL-C ratio was associated with a higher risk of ischemic stroke (OR, 1.26; 95% Cl, 1.21 to 1.31 per SD) and a lower risk of ICH (OR, 0.83; 95% CI, 0.78 to 0.88 per SD). The apoB/A1 ratio was associated with a higher risk of ischemic stroke (OR, 1.38; 95% Cl, 1.32 to 1.44) but was not significantly associated with ICH (OR, 1.00; 95% Cl, 0.94 to 1.06 per SD) (Figure 1). The ratio of apoB/A1 had a stronger magnitude of association with ischemic stroke than the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio (P<0.0001) or non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio (P<0.0001), and was consistent among ethnicities (Tables 1 and 2). The PAR for the apoB/A1 ratio was numerically largest for ischemic stroke caused by large vessel disease (47.6%; 95% Cl, 40.1% to 55.2%) (Table 2 and Figure 1). The PAR for the apoB/A1 ratio was more related to the magnitude of association of apoA1 than apoB with ischemic stroke.

Supplementary Figure 3 reports the association of LDL-C/ ApoB and HDL-C/ApoA1 ratio with ischemic stroke and ICH, with LDL-C/ApoB associated with a reduced risk of ischemic stroke and HDL-C/apoB associated with an increased risk of ICH (Supplementary Figure 3). Splines for the association of apoB and apoA1 with ischemic stroke by age are reported in Supplementary Figure 4.

Analysis by region

The pattern of association of lipoproteins and apolipoproteins with ischemic stroke was generally consistent among regions (Supplementary Figures 5 and 6). We found differing patterns of association by region for ICH. Increasing LDL-C and apoB were associated with a lower risk of ICH in China, but there was no association in South Asia, and an association with a higher risk of ICH in other regions. Increasing HDL-C was associated with an increased risk of ICH in all regions (Supplementary Figure 7). Increasing apoA1 was associated with a reduced risk of ICH in China, and all regions other than South Asia, where the risk was increased (Supplementary Figure 8).

Analysis by prior statin use

We report no difference in magnitude of association between apoB/A1 ratio and odds of ischemic stroke in those reporting pre-admission statin use (OR, 1.50; 95% Cl, 1.12 to 1.99 and OR, 2.53; 95% Cl, 1.85 to 3.45 for tertile 2 and 3 vs. tertile 1) and those not reporting pre-admission statin use (OR, 1.31; 95% Cl, 1.16 to 1.48 and OR, 1.93; 95% Cl, 1.71 to 2.18 for tertile 2 and 3 vs. tertile 1).



Figure 3. Association of (A) low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C), (B) high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C), (C) apolipoprotein B (apoB), (D) apoA1 by ischemic stroke subtype. Restricted cubic spline plot of association of (A) LDL-C (X-axis), (B) HDL-C (X-axis), (C) apoB (X-axis), (D) apoA1 (X-axis) with ischemic stroke subtypes. Spline curve truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, pre-admission statin, and alcohol intake).

Discussion

In the INTERSTROKE study, the risk of first-ever ischemic stroke was associated with concentrations of apolipoproteins and lipoproteins. However, the magnitude and pattern of the associations differed amongst different etiological subtypes, with the strongest association of apoB reported for large vessel ischemic stroke, and the weakest for cardioembolic stroke. The ratio of apoB/A1 was associated with a higher OR for risk of ischemic stroke than LDL-C/HDL-C ratio overall, and consistent among different ethnicities. A reversed association of LDL-C, HDL-C, and apoB with ICH, compared to ischemic stroke was identified, but the observations for ICH were inconsistent among different regions. Increasing levels of apoA1 were associated with

Table 1. Association of lipids, l	ipoproteins, apolipoproteir	ns, and their ratios with	ו ischemic stroke, by et	iology				
Dick footor	Large	vessel	Small	vessel	Cardioen	nbolism	Undete	rmined
	OR (95% CI)	PAR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	PAR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	PAR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	PAR (95% CI)
LDL T2 vs. T1	1.02 (0.83–1.25)	5.9 (0.9–31.7)	0.88 (0.76–1.02)	I	1.14 (0.80–1.62)	9.2 (1.4–42.6)	1.07 (0.89–1.28)	10.6 (4.1–24.5)
LDL T3 vs. T1	1.18 (0.96–1.47)		0.89 (0.77–1.04)		1.25 (0.84–1.85)		1.32 (1.09–1.59)	
HDL T2 vs. T1	0.80 (0.66–0.97)	35.7 (27.1–45.3)	0.71 (0.61–0.82)	31.0 (24.6–38.2)	0.74 (0.52–1.05)	13.1 (2.6–45.9)	0.89 (0.74–1.07)	23.1 (15.3–33.4)
HDL T3 vs. T1	0.50 (0.40–0.62)		0.51 (0.44–0.60)		0.70 (0.47–1.05)		0.65 (0.53-0.79)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	1.27 (1.03–1.58)	28.7 (20.3–39.0)	1.29 (1.10–1.51)	23.7 (17.0–31.9)	1.28 (0.90–1.84)	18.1 (7.1–38.7)	1.14 (0.94–1.38)	20.4 (12.7–31.1)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	2.00 (1.61–2.50)		1.61 (1.38–1.88)		1.55 (1.02–2.34)		1.68 (1.39–2.03)	
ApoB T2 vs. T1	1.03 (0.84–1.26)	13.4 (4.3–34.8)	1.06 (0.92–1.22)	5.8 (1.4–20.5)	0.88 (0.61–1.27)	I	1.04 (0.87–1.25)	7.7 (1.3–35.3)
ApoB T3 vs. T1	1.44 (1.18–1.77)		1.14 (0.98–1.32)		1.05 (0.70–1.57)		1.19 (0.99–1.44)	
ApoA1 T2 vs. T1	0.52 (0.42–0.63)	49.5 (39.0–59.9)	0.57 (0.49–0.66)	40.9 (35.2–46.8)	0.74 (0.52-1.07)	43.2 (28.9–58.8)	0.59 (0.49–0.71)	36.8 (29.4–45.0)
ApoA1 T3 vs. T1	0.31 (0.24–0.39)		0.36 (0.31–0.43)		0.43 (0.25–0.74)		0.41 (0.33–0.51)	
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	1.72 (1.37–2.16)	47.6 (40.1–55.2)	1.32 (1.14–1.53)	27.6 (21.6–34.6)	1.34 (0.90–1.98)	32.0 (17.5–51.0)	1.26 (1.04–1.52)	28.6 (20.9–37.8)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	3.10 (2.47–3.89)		1.91 (1.63–2.23)		1.99 (1.32–2.99)		2.02 (1.65–2.46)	
All models adjusted for age, s	ex, geographic region, and	d potential confounder	s (smoking, hypertensi	ion, diabetes mellitus, p	ohysical activity, diet, p	sychosocial factors, w	aist-to-hip ratio, alcoh	iol intake, and cardiad

odds ratio; Cl, confidence interval; PAR, population attributable risk; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein; Apo, apolipoprotein. causes of stroke). For LDL and small vessel ischemic stroke, apoB and cardioembolism, PAR was negative and not reported.

OR,

both a lower risk of ICH, and ischemic stroke, and the only lipid fraction to have a generally consistent association for ischemic and hemorrhagic stroke.

We previously reported that apoB/A1 ratio was associated with 34% of the PAR for ischemic stroke, which is lower than the PAR reported for myocardial infarction in INTERHEART (49%). Ischemic stroke is more etiologically heterogenous than AMI, where large vessel atherosclerosis is the predominant etiology for AMI but accounts for only about 20% of ischemic stroke etiologies. Unlike AMI, where a linear increase in risk is reported with apoB, the association of apoB with ischemic stroke is curvilinear, with an increased risk only becoming evident with apoB levels over 1 g/L, a finding that supports an important role in pathogenesis of ischemic stroke, though less important than for AMI. Within ischemic stroke subtypes, we observed variations in the magnitude of association of apoB and LDL by stroke etiology. The association of apoB and ischemic stroke was strongest for large vessel (PAR of 13.4%) and stroke of undetermined etiology (PAR 7.1%) (Figure 3C). However, the PAR we report for the association of apoB/A1 ratio for large vessel disease (47.6%) is similar to that reported for AMI in INTERHEART (49%), and apoA1 was a greater contributor to PAR than apoB. We found no significant association of apoB with cardioembolic stroke. This is not unexpected, as the predominant etiology for cardioembolic stroke was atrial fibrillation, which is not known to have an association with LDL-C or apoB. We found a similar association of apoB with both large vessel and 'cryptogenic' ischemic stroke, which suggest that large vessel disease, rather than covert atrial fibrillation, is a more likely etiology in patients with 'unexplained' ischemic stroke and may reflect the clinical importance of atherosclerotic disease of <50% stenosis. In a recent Mendelian randomization study, genetically elevated LDL-C was associated with an increase in large vessel ischemic stroke, but not small vessel or cardioembolism.39

Differences in case mix of ischemic stroke subtypes might explain inconsistent findings in prior studies.⁸ For example, in our study, the magnitude of association of apoB with all ischemic stroke in China was lower than for Europe and North America, but small vessel disease accounted for 60% of the stroke subtype in China. Nevertheless, when we compared the association within ischemic stroke subtypes, the patterns of association are similar among regions. These findings are also consistent with some observations from secondary prevention trials of statin therapy. In the Japan Statin Treatment Against Recurrent Stroke (J-STARS) trial⁴⁰ (n=1,578) in Japan, low dose pravastatin did not reduce the overall risk of recurrent ischemic stroke, but, in post hoc analyses, did reduce the risk of recurrent large vessel ischemic stroke. In the Stroke Prevention by Aggressive Reduction in Cholesterol Levels (SPARCL) trial⁴¹ (n=4,731), atorvastatin 80 mg significantly lowered the risk of recurrent ischemic stroke, with a risk reduction of 0.63 (0.46 to 0.87) in those with large vessel disease and 0.89 (0.70 to 1.13) in those with small vessel disease. In the recent trial by Amarenco et al.,⁴² an LDL target of less than 1.8 mmol/L was more effective than an LDL target of 2.3 to 2.6 mmol/L for secondary stroke prevention in patients with atherosclerotic large vessel disease. Overall, however, statin therapy reduces the risk of ischemic stroke, with a similar relative risk reduction in AMI in individuals at increased cardiovascular risk.⁴³

Both apoB and apoA1, and their ratio, had a larger magnitude of association with ischemic stroke than did lipoprotein cholesterol levels, and their ratios. These findings are consistent with those reported for AMI in the INTERHEART study,³⁷ for ischemic stroke in the Apolipoprotein MOrtality RISk (AMORIS) study²³ and for recurrent stroke following transient ischemic attack in the Oxfordshire stroke study.44 By contrast, other studies, including the Emerging Risk Factor Collaboration, reported a similar strength of association of lipoproteins and apolipoproteins with ischemic stroke. The INTERSTROKE and INTERHEART studies were conducted in ethnically diverse populations, while other large studies have been primarily confined to Europe and North America. In INTERSTROKE, the difference in PAR% of apolipoproteins over lipoproteins for stroke risk prediction was least prominent in North America and Europe (Table 2).

Consistent with reports from other epidemiological studies, we found an inverse association of total cholesterol and LDL-C with ICH, while higher levels of HDL-C were associated with a higher risk, although some prior studies report a null association.^{9,45} The underlying pathophysiology to explain these observations is poorly understood, and may relate to the importance of lipoproteins in small vessel structure, as an inverse association of LDL-C with burden of white matter hyperintensities and microbleeds have been reported in some epidemiologic studies.^{46,47} Furthermore, some individual trials (e.g., SPARCL trial⁴¹) have reported an increase risk of ICH with statin therapy, although meta-analyses of statin trials do not report an increased risk of ICH with statin use.^{41,48} A Mendelian randomization study of UK-Biobank study reported an increased risk of ICH associated with HDL-related genetic variants.⁴⁹ While our findings for ischemic stroke were generally consistent by region, we found significant heterogeneity by region in both the magnitude and direction of association of lipoproteins with ICH (Supplementary Figure 8). These inconsistent findings by region may be due to chance, to differences in causal mechanisms for ICH, or differential effect of competing causes of stroke, particularly hypertension. For example, ICH may be a consequence of amyloid angiopathic small vessels, related to either small vessel ischemia (implicating increased apoB) or if apoB is important for maintaining small vessel integrity, lower levels may increase ICH risk. However, these considerations are merely speculative, and require further research, especially genetic studies employing Mendelian randomization approaches. Arguing against a causative role for HDL-C are studies reporting the absence of a cause-specific association of HDL-C with mortality, and complex associations with sociodemographic and lifestyle risk factors.⁵⁰

The only lipid fraction with a consistent association across all stroke subtypes was apoA1, which was associated with a lower risk of both ICH and ischemic stroke, and each of the etiological ischemic stroke subtypes. Unlike HDL-C, apoA1 has a number of functions.⁵¹ and low apoA1 levels have been associated with a higher risk of amyloid angiopathy.⁵² ApoA1 also stimulates cholesteryl ester transfer protein (CETP) and apoE secretion from lipid-loaded macrophages, which may be a mechanism through which it exerts a reduced ICH risk.⁴⁷ In a recent genetic study, candidate-gene CEPT variants that reduce CEPT concentrations were associated with an increased risk of ICH.⁵³ An additional contributor may be the ratio of HDL-C to apoA1, where studies have reported this ratio may affect HDL-C function and increasing HDL-C/apoA1 ratio has been associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease, cancer, and death.⁵⁴ Our analyses of HDL-C/apoA1 ratio demonstrated a marked linear reduction in ICH risk with an increasing ratio, raising the speculative contention that this ratio may be an important determinant of ICH risk. However, the Investigation of Lipid Level Management to Understand its Impact in Atherosclerotic Events (ILLUMINATE) trial,55 in which apoA1 was increased by 22% was not associated with a lower risk of stroke, although participants with the largest increases in apoA1 had lower event rates.

In addition to known limitations inherent in case controls studies (e.g., recall bias, social desirability bias), our study has specific limitation relevant to the current analyses. We relied on clinical assessment for determination of ischemic stroke subtypes, as most cases did not undergo etiologic diagnostic testing, including vascular imaging. At the outset of the INTER-STROKE study, we appreciated the limited availability of a variety of diagnostic modalities and elected to have the stroke physician complete the case report form, for their assessment of most probable etiological source of ischemic stroke, based on clinical assessment when diagnostic tests were unavailable. However, this may be associated with misclassification of ischemic stroke subtype, which can be challenging, even in centers

Table 2. Association of lipoprotein and apolipoprotein ratios with ischemic stroke, by ethnicity

Diale Caratan	Preva	alence	lschemi	c stroke
RISK TACTOR	No. of controls (%)	No. of ischemic (%)	OR (95% CI)	PAR (95% CI)
European				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	924 (36.9)	810 (32.6)	1.33 (1.09–1.60)	32.9 (25.0–41.9)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	796 (31.8)	1,151 (46.4)	2.15 (1.76–2.63)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	811 (32.9)	744 (30.6)	1.28 (1.07–1.53)	23.0 (16.7–30.8)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	543 (22.0)	793 (32.6)	1.99 (1.63–2.43)	
Chinese				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	990 (34.6)	1,047 (36.7)	1.45 (1.25–1.69)	26.1 (20.7–32.4)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	522 (18.2)	824 (28.9)	2.04 (1.71–244)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	1,050 (36.5)	931 (32.6)	1.09 (0.91–1.30)	15.7 (8.2–27.9)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	1,041 (36.2)	1,264 (44.2)	1.41 (1.19–1.68)	
South Asian				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	538 (31.4)	441 (27.3)	1.27 (0.98–1.64)	33.7 (23.4–45.9)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	763 (44.6)	897 (55.6)	2.02 (1.59–2.58)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	450 (28.0)	421 (27.6)	1.35 (1.06–1.74)	27.5 (17.9–39.9)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	666 (41.4)	749 (49.1)	1.71 (1.35–2.16)	
Other Asian				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	145 (35.5)	96 (23.2)	1.54 (0.82–2.90)	55.4 (34.7–74.4)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	167 (40.8)	274 (66.2)	3.51 (1.96–6.28)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	140 (36.0)	118 (28.7)	2.10 (1.14–3.87)	52.3 (32.8–71.1)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	139 (35.7)	229 (55.7)	3.08 (1.71–5.54)	
Latin American				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	315 (30.7)	267 (27.8)	1.48 (1.06–2.07)	33.3 (19.6–50.4)
ApoB/A1 T3 vs. T1	474 (46.1)	542 (56.5)	1.73 (1.27–2.37)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	354 (35.8)	330 (35.0)	1.24 (0.93–1.66)	16.1 (6.3–35.5)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	299 (30.2)	333 (35.3)	1.35 (1.00–1.83)	
African				
ApoB/A1 T2 vs. T1	129 (30.0)	97 (23.2)	1.40 (0.84–2.32)	47.5 (30.4–65.2)
ApoB/Apo-A1 T3 vs. T1	179 (41.6)	263 (62.9)	2.88 (1.76–4.69)	
LDL/HDL T2 vs. T1	138 (32.2)	137 (32.4)	1.55 (1.00–2.40)	32.6 (18.0–51.5)
LDL/HDL T3 vs. T1	130 (30.4)	189 (44.7)	1.89 (1.21–2.93)	

All models adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waistto-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).

OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval; PAR, population attributable risk; Apo, apolipoprotein; LDL, low-density lipoprotein; HDL, high-density lipoprotein.

with routine access to etiological diagnostic testing, since common ischemic stroke etiologies share risk factors, and commonly co-exist. Therefore, we cannot draw definitive conclusions by ischemic stroke subtype. However, this source of bias should diminish, rather than amplify, our ability to detect differences among ischemic stroke subtypes. The case-control design incurred a potential effect of acute phase measurement, which may have also differed by stroke subtype, which is associated with stroke severity. However, in INTERSTROKE, we targeted recruitment of cases shortly after admission to hospital, to reduce this source of bias. Acute phase may have affected levels of lipoproteins in cases, and may contribute to a lower OR reported in our study compared to prospective cohorts studies for the association of LDL-C and risk of ischemic stroke. Alternatively, some,^{7,56} but not all⁵⁷ prospective cohort studies have reported a diminution of risk magnitude with increasing age, which might also be a reason for differences, as our study population was older than most inception cohorts. In 12% of our population, we did not get samples for lipid measurement, as collection of bloods was not practical in two countries included in INTERSTROKE, which may reduce generalisability of our findings, but is not expected to introduce bias as samples were missing in cases and controls from these sites.

Conclusions

The association of cholesterol, lipoproteins, and apolipoproteins with stroke varied by stroke subtype and etiological mechanism. The ratio of apoB/A1 was a better predictor of risk of ischemic stroke than LDL-C/HDL-C ratio. Population-level interventions to reduce LDL-C or apoB, or introduce statin therapy in intermediate and high cardiovascular risk populations,⁵⁷ are expected to have a major impact on the global burden of stroke, but with differing magnitudes of effect on stroke sub-types.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary materials related to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.5853/jos.2021.02152.

Disclosure

The INTERSTROKE study was funded by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada, Canadian Stroke Network, Swedish Research Council, Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, The Health & Medical Care Committee of the Regional Executive Board, Region Vastra Gotaland (Sweden), and through unrestricted grants from several pharmaceutical companies with major contributions from AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim (Canada), Pfizer (Canada), MSD, Swedish Heart and Lung Foundation, Chest, Heart and Stroke Scotland, and The Stroke Association, with support from The UK-Stroke Research Network. The sponsors had no role in data collection, analyses or the decision to submit for publications.

Graeme J. Hankey reports personal fees from Bayer and Medscape, outside of the submitted work. All other authors declare no competing interests.

References

- 1. Thrift AG. Cholesterol is associated with stroke, but is not a risk factor. *Stroke* 2004;35:1524–1525.
- Deedwania PC, Pedersen TR, DeMicco DA, Breazna A, Betteridge DJ, Hitman GA, et al. Differing predictive relationships between baseline LDL-C, systolic blood pressure, and cardiovascular outcomes. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;222:548-556.
- 3. van den Berg MJ, van der Graaf Y, de Borst GJ, Kappelle LJ, Nathoe HM, Visseren FL, et al. Low-density lipoprotein choles-

terol, non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, and apolipoprotein B and cardiovascular risk in patients with manifest arterial disease. *Am J Cardiol* 2016;118:804–810.

- Pérez de Isla L, Alonso R, Mata N, Saltijeral A, Muñiz O, Rubio-Marin P, et al. Coronary heart disease, peripheral arterial disease, and stroke in familial hypercholesterolaemia: insights from the SAFEHEART Registry (Spanish Familial Hypercholesterolaemia Cohort Study). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2016;36:2004–2010.
- Ito T, Arima H, Fujiyoshi A, Miura K, Takashima N, Ohkubo T, et al. Relationship between non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and the long-term mortality of cardiovascular diseases: NIPPON DATA 90. *Int J Cardiol* 2016;220:262–267.
- Imamura T, Doi Y, Ninomiya T, Hata J, Nagata M, Ikeda F, et al. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol and the development of coronary heart disease and stroke subtypes in a general Japanese population: the Hisayama Study. *Atherosclerosis* 2014;233:343–348.
- Prospective Studies Collaboration, Lewington S, Whitlock G, Clarke R, Sherliker P, Emberson J, et al. Blood cholesterol and vascular mortality by age, sex, and blood pressure: a meta-analysis of individual data from 61 prospective studies with 55,000 vascular deaths. *Lancet* 2007;370:1829–1839.
- Holme I, Aastveit AH, Hammar N, Jungner I, Walldius G. Relationships between lipoprotein components and risk of ischaemic and haemorrhagic stroke in the Apolipoprotein MOrtality RISk study (AMORIS). *J Intern Med* 2009;265:275-287.
- Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Di Angelantonio E, Sarwar N, Perry P, Kaptoge S, Ray KK, et al. Major lipids, apolipoproteins, and risk of vascular disease. *JAMA* 2009;302:1993– 2000.
- Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration, Danesh J, Erqou S, Walker M, Thompson SG, Tipping R, et al. The Emerging Risk Factors Collaboration: analysis of individual data on lipid, inflammatory and other markers in over 1.1 million participants in 104 prospective studies of cardiovascular diseases. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2007;22:839–869.
- Chang JG, Paulson CP, Smith RF. Apolipoproteins for cardiovascular risk assessment. Am Fam Physician 2014;89:662A-662B.
- 12. Ma Y, Li Z, Chen L, Li X. Blood lipid levels, statin therapy and the risk of intracerebral hemorrhage. *Lipids Health Dis* 2016; 15:43.
- Lee JS, Chang PY, Zhang Y, Kizer JR, Best LG, Howard BV. Triglyceride and HDL-C dyslipidemia and risks of coronary heart disease and ischemic stroke by glycemic dysregulation status: the Strong Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 2017;40:529–537.
- 14. Glasser SP, Mosher A, Howard G, Banach M. What is the as-

sociation of lipid levels and incident stroke? *Int J Cardiol* 2016; 220:890–894.

- Pikula A, Beiser AS, Wang J, Himali JJ, Kelly-Hayes M, Kase CS, et al. Lipid and lipoprotein measurements and the risk of ischemic vascular events: Framingham Study. *Neurology* 2015;84:472-479.
- Wu J, Chen S, Liu L, Gao X, Zhou Y, Wang C, et al. Non-highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol vs low-density lipoprotein cholesterol as a risk factor for ischemic stroke: a result from the Kailuan study *Neurol Res* 2013;35:505-511.
- 17. Araki A, limuro S, Sakurai T, Umegaki H, lijima K, Nakano H, et al. Non-high-density lipoprotein cholesterol: an important predictor of stroke and diabetes-related mortality in Japanese elderly diabetic patients. *Geriatr Gerontol Int* 2012;12 Suppl 1:18–28.
- Berger JS, McGinn AP, Howard BV, Kuller L, Manson JE, Otvos J, et al. Lipid and lipoprotein biomarkers and the risk of ischemic stroke in postmenopausal women. *Stroke* 2012;43:958-966.
- Mora S, Buring JE, Ridker PM, Cui Y. Association of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol with incident cardiovascular events in women, by low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and apolipoprotein B100 levels: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;155:742-750.
- Yokokawa H, Yasumura S, Tanno K, Ohsawa M, Onoda T, Itai K, et al. Serum low-density lipoprotein to high-density lipoprotein ratio as a predictor of future acute myocardial infarction among men in a 2.7-year cohort study of a Japanese northern rural population. *J Atheroscler Thromb* 2011;18:89-98.
- Willey JZ, Xu Q, Boden-Albala B, Paik MC, Moon YP, Sacco RL, et al. Lipid profile components and risk of ischemic stroke: the Northern Manhattan Study (NOMAS). *Arch Neurol* 2009;66:1400-1406.
- 22. Kurth T, Everett BM, Buring JE, Kase CS, Ridker PM, Gaziano JM. Lipid levels and the risk of ischemic stroke in women. *Neurology* 2007;68:556–562.
- 23. Walldius G, Aastveit AH, Jungner I. Stroke mortality and the apoB/apoA-I ratio: results of the AMORIS prospective study. *J Intern Med* 2006;259:259-266.
- 24. Wang X, Dong Y, Qi X, Huang C, Hou L. Cholesterol levels and risk of hemorrhagic stroke: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Stroke* 2013;44:1833-1839.
- Lv P, Jin H, Liu Y, Cui W, Peng Q, Liu R, et al. Comparison of risk factor between lacunar stroke and large artery atherosclerosis stroke: a cross-sectional study in China. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0149605.
- 26. Kumral E, Evyapan D, Gökçay F, Karaman B, Orman M. Asso-

ciation of baseline dyslipidemia with stroke recurrence within five-years after ischemic stroke. *Int J Stroke* 2014;9 Suppl A100:119-126.

- 27. Laloux P, Galanti L, Jamart J. Lipids in ischemic stroke subtypes. *Acta Neurol Belg* 2004;104:13-19.
- Bang OY, Saver JL, Liebeskind DS, Pineda S, Ovbiagele B. Association of serum lipid indices with large artery atherosclerotic stroke. *Neurology* 2008;70:841–847.
- 29. O'Donnell MJ, Chin SL, Rangarajan S, Xavier D, Liu L, Zhang H, et al. Global and regional effects of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with acute stroke in 32 countries (IN-TERSTROKE): a case-control study. *Lancet* 2016;388:761-775.
- O'Donnell M, Xavier D, Diener C, Sacco R, Lisheng L, Zhang H, et al. Rationale and design of INTERSTROKE: a global case-control study of risk factors for stroke. *Neuroepidemiol*ogy 2010;35:36-44.
- 31. Hatano S. Experience from a multicentre stroke register: a preliminary report. *Bull World Health Organ* 1976;54:541-553.
- 32. Bamford J, Sandercock P, Dennis M, Burn J, Warlow C. Classification and natural history of clinically identifiable subtypes of cerebral infarction. *Lancet* 1991;337:1521–1526.
- Adams HP Jr, Bendixen BH, Kappelle LJ, Biller J, Love BB, Gordon DL, et al. Classification of subtype of acute ischemic stroke: definitions for use in a multicenter clinical trial. TOAST. Trial of Org 10172 in Acute Stroke Treatment. *Stroke* 1993;24:35-41.
- Aerden L, Luijckx GJ, Ricci S, Hilton A, Kessels F, Lodder J. Validation of the Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project syndrome diagnosis derived from a standard symptom list in acute stroke. *J Neurol Sci* 2004;220:55–58.
- 35. Mead GE, Lewis SC, Wardlaw JM, Dennis MS, Warlow CP. How well does the Oxfordshire community stroke project classification predict the site and size of the infarct on brain imaging? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 2000;68:558–562.
- 36. Bonita R, Beaglehole R. Recovery of motor function after stroke. *Stroke* 1988;19:1497–1500.
- Yusuf S, Hawken S, Ounpuu S, Dans T, Avezum A, Lanas F, et al. Effect of potentially modifiable risk factors associated with myocardial infarction in 52 countries (the INTERHEART study): case-control study. *Lancet* 2004;364:937-952.
- Benichou J, Gail MH. Variance calculations and confidence intervals for estimates of the attributable risk based on logistic models. *Biometrics* 1990;46:991-1003.
- 39. Hindy G, Engström G, Larsson SC, Traylor M, Markus HS, Melander O, et al. Role of blood lipids in the development of ischemic stroke and its subtypes: a Mendelian Randomiza-

tion Study. Stroke 2018;49:820-827.

- Hosomi N, Nagai Y, Kohriyama T, Ohtsuki T, Aoki S, Nezu T, et al. The Japan Statin Treatment Against Recurrent Stroke (J-STARS): a multicenter, randomized, open-label, parallel-group study. *EBioMedicine* 2015;2:1071-1078.
- Amarenco P, Bogousslavsky J, Callahan A 3rd, Goldstein LB, Hennerici M, Rudolph AE, et al. High-dose atorvastatin after stroke or transient ischemic attack. *N Engl J Med* 2006;355: 549-559.
- 42. Amarenco P, Kim JS, Labreuche J, Charles H, Abtan J, Béjot Y, et al. A comparison of two LDL cholesterol targets after ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:9.
- 43. Yusuf S, Bosch J, Dagenais G, Zhu J, Xavier D, Liu L, et al. Cholesterol lowering in intermediate-risk persons without cardiovascular disease. *N Engl J Med* 2016;374:2021-2031.
- 44. Bhatia M, Howard SC, Clark TG, Neale R, Qizilbash N, Murphy MF, et al. Apolipoproteins as predictors of ischaemic stroke in patients with a previous transient ischaemic attack. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;21:323-328.
- Holmes MV, Millwood IY, Kartsonaki C, Hill MR, Bennett DA, Boxall R, et al. Lipids, lipoproteins, and metabolites and risk of myocardial infarction and stroke. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2018; 71:620-632.
- 46. Longstreth WT Jr, Arnold AM, Beauchamp NJ Jr, Manolio TA, Lefkowitz D, Jungreis C, et al. Incidence, manifestations, and predictors of worsening white matter on serial cranial magnetic resonance imaging in the elderly: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Stroke* 2005;36:56-61.
- Vernooij MW, van der Lugt A, Ikram MA, Wielopolski PA, Niessen WJ, Hofman A, et al. Prevalence and risk factors of cerebral microbleeds: the Rotterdam Scan Study. *Neurology* 2008;70:1208–1214.
- Collins R, Armitage J, Parish S, Sleight P, Peto R; Heart Protection Study Collaborative Group. Effects of cholesterol-lowering with simvastatin on stroke and other major vascular events in 20536 people with cerebrovascular disease or other high-risk conditions. *Lancet* 2004;363:757-767.

- 49. Allara E, Morani G, Carter P, Gkatzionis A, Zuber V, Foley CN, et al. Genetic determinants of lipids and cardiovascular disease outcomes: a wide-angled Mendelian randomization investigation. *Circ Genom Precis Med* 2019;12:e002711.
- Ko DT, Alter DA, Guo H, Koh M, Lau G, Austin PC, et al. High-density lipoprotein cholesterol and cause-specific mortality in individuals without previous cardiovascular conditions: the CANHEART Study. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2016;68:2073-2083.
- Boyce G, Button E, Soo S, Wellington C. The pleiotropic vasoprotective functions of high density lipoproteins (HDL). J Biomed Res 2017;32:164–182.
- 52. Button EB, Boyce GK, Wilkinson A, Stukas S, Hayat A, Fan J, et al. ApoA-I deficiency increases cortical amyloid deposition, cerebral amyloid angiopathy, cortical and hippocampal astrogliosis, and amyloid-associated astrocyte reactivity in APP/PS1 mice. *Alzheimers Res Ther* 2019;11:44.
- 53. Anderson CD, Falcone GJ, Phuah CL, Radmanesh F, Brouwers HB, Battey TW, et al. Genetic variants in CETP increase risk of intracerebral hemorrhage. *Ann Neurol* 2016;80:730–740.
- 54. Rhee EJ, Byrne CD, Sung KC. The HDL cholesterol/apolipoprotein A-I ratio: an indicator of cardiovascular disease. *Curr Opin Endocrinol Diabetes Obes* 2017;24:148–153.
- 55. Barter PJ, Caulfield M, Eriksson M, Grundy SM, Kastelein JJ, Komajda M, et al. Effects of torcetrapib in patients at high risk for coronary events. *N Engl J Med* 2007;357:2109-2122.
- Brunner FJ, Waldeyer C, Ojeda F, Salomaa V, Kee F, Sans S, et al. Application of non-HDL cholesterol for population-based cardiovascular risk stratification: results from the Multinational Cardiovascular Risk Consortium. *Lancet* 2019;394:2173– 2183.
- Mortensen MB, Nordestgaard BG. Elevated LDL cholesterol and increased risk of myocardial infarction and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease in individuals aged 70-100 years: a contemporary primary prevention cohort. *Lancet* 2020;396:1644-1652.

Supplementary Table 1. Exclusion criteria for cases

1	Age <18 years
2	Patients unable to communicate because of severe stroke, aphasia, or dementia who did not have a valid proxy respondent*
3	Stroke symptoms lasting >120 hours from symptom onset or last seen normal
4	Not first stroke presentation/diagnosis
5	Non-vascular causes of acute presentation (e.g., tumor, abscess, multiple sclerosis, hypoglycemia, seizure etc.)
6	Subdural hemorrhage
7	Current hospitalization for acute coronary syndromes or stroke secondary to endovascular procedure
8	Unable to get consent

*A valid proxy respondent was considered a spouse or first degree relative who was living in the same home or aware of the participant's previous medical history and current therapies.

Supplementary Table 2. Guidance to sites for selection of controls

Selection of controls for INTERSTROKE study

Controls

- 1. Community-based control
- 2. Relative of a patient from a non-cardiac ward
- 3. Unrelated (not first degree relative) visitor of any patient
- 4. Patients attending the hospital or outpatient clinic:
 - Preferred controls from hospital settings: Patients attending the hospital or outpatients clinic for the following reasons
 - 4.1 Refraction and cataracts (excluding those presenting with acute visual loss)
 - 4.2 Physical check-up
 - 4.3 Routine pap smear
 - 4.4 Routine breast exam
 - 4.5 Elective minor surgery for conditions that are not obviously related to stroke or its risk factors
 - 4.6 Elective orthopedic surgery
 - Acceptable controls from hospital settings: Patients attending the hospital or outpatient's clinic for the following reasons
 - 4.7 Outpatient fractures
 - 4.8 Arthritic complaints
 - 4.9 Plastic surgery
 - 4.10 Hemorrhoids, hernias, hydroceles
 - 4.11 Routine colon cancer screening
 - 4.12 Endoscopy
 - 4.13 Minor dermatological disorders

Supplementary Table 3. Dem	ographic and clin	ical characteristics	of cases							
Characteristic	Overall	Western Europe	Eastern and Central Europe	Middle East	Africa	South Asia	China	South East Asia	South America	North America and Australia
Total	13,462	1,503	1,037	357	973	2,865	3,987	855	1,471	414
Age (yr)	62.17±13.58	67.32±13.01	65.98±12.50	57.68±13.9	58.71±15.2	59.61±12.93	61.91±12.46	56.61±2.95	65.84±14.34	64.50±14.5
Women	5,441 (40.4)	621 (41.3)	466 (44.)	90 (25.2)	470 (48.3)	1,024 (35.7)	1,606 (40.3)	352 (41.2)	652 (44.3)	160 (38.7)
ICH stroke	3,060 (22.7)	91 (6.05)	67 (6.46)	50 (14.0)	294 (30.2)	786 (27.4)	1,102 (27.6)	285 (33.3)	348 (23.7)	37 (8.94)
Ischemic stroke	10,402 (77.3)	1,412 (94.0)	970 (93.5)	307 (86.0)	679 (69.8)	2,079 (72.6)	2,885 (72.4)	570 (66.7)	1,123 (76.3)	377 (91.1)
Presumed primary etiology*										
Cardioembolism	1,198 (11.5)	309 (21.9)	231 (23.8)	16 (5.2)	116 (17.1)	105 (5.1)	37 (1.3)	54 (9.5)	225 (20.0)	105 (27.9)
Large vessel	2,119 (20.4)	301 (21.3)	400 (41.2)	43 (14.0)	133 (19.6)	527 (25.4)	438 (15.2)	84 (14.7)	125 (11.1)	68 (18.0)
Small vessel	4,007 (38.5)	416 (29.5)	170 (17.5)	171 (55.7)	179 (26.4)	935 (44.9)	1,620 (56.2)	191 (33.5)	228 (20.3)	97 (25.7)
Other	770 (7.4)	35 (2.5)	11 (1.1)	4 (1.3)	99 (14.6)	149 (7.2)	99 (3.43)	141 (24.7)	215 (19.2)	17 (4.0)
Undetermined	2,308 (22.2)	351 (24.9)	158 (16.3)	73 (23.8)	152 (22.4)	363 (17.5)	691 (24.0)	100 (17.5)	330 (29.4)	90 (23.9)
CT or MRI of brain	13,456 (99.9)	1,503 (100.0)	1,037 (100.0)	357 (100.0)	972 (99.9)	2,860 (99.8)	3,987 (100.0)	855 (100.0)	1,471 (100.0)	414 (100.0)
ECG	13,356 (99.2)	1,495 (99.5)	1,036 (99.9)	355 (99.4)	939 (96.5)	2,835 (98.9)	3,986 (99.9)	855 (100.0)	1,442 (98.0)	413 (99.8)
Vascular imaging*	4,169 (40.1)	1,193 (84.5)	701 (72.3)	128 (41.7)	16 (2.4)	325 (15.6)	1,033 (35.8)	63 (11.1)	398 (35.4)	312 (82.8)
Transthoracic ECHO*	1,191 (11.4)	249 (17.6)	241 (24.9)	18 (5.9)	72 (10.6)	16 (0.7)	280 (9.7)	9 (1.6)	140 (12.5)	166 (44.0)
Holter monitor*	750 (7.2)	302 (21.4)	198 (20.4)	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (0.1)	38 (1.3)	3 (0.5)	67 (6.0)	140 (37.1)
Values are presented as mean <u></u>	standard deviatio	on or number (%).		-	:	:	-			

ICH, intracerebral hemorrhage; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; ECG, electrocardiogram; ECHO, echocardiography. *% out of those who had ischemic stroke.

JoS

https://	/doi.org/1	0 5853/	ios 2021	02152
11(1)3.77	u01.012/1	0.50557	103.2021.	02152

	0
•	5
	Ð
	7
	>
-	0
-	-
	5
	۳
	⊆
	0
	≤
	~
	z
	ā
	2
	2
	č
	5
	-
	2
	₽
	Ξ.
	2
	0
	2
•	-
	3
•	Ĕ.
	,
	-
	1
	Q
-	2
	-
	o
	⊆
	σ
	ñ
	2
•	5
	Ĕ
	õ
	õ
	5
	d
-	Ξ
-	ē
-	llode
-	, apoli
:	s, apoli
:	ins, apoli
•	eins, apoli
-	oteins, apoli
	roteins, apoli
•	proteins, apoli
:	oproteins, apoli
-	ipoproteins, apoli
-	lipoproteins, apoli
:	s, lipoproteins, apoli
-	ids, lipoproteins, apoli
	pids, lipoproteins, apoli
-	lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	† lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
-	n of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	on of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	tion of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ation of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	tration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ntration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	centration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ncentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	oncentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	1 concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	an concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	Viean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	 Wean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	Ie 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	DIC 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	able 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	V lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	Inv lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	tary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ntary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	entary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	mentary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	ementary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	Iementary lable 4. Wean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	plementary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	pplementary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli
	upplementary lable 4. Mean concentration of lipids, lipoproteins, apoli

Variable	Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	HDL-C (mmol/L)	LDL-C (mmol/L)	LDL/HDL ratio	ApoA1 (mg/dL)	ApoB (mg/dL)	ApoB/ApoA1
AII							
Overall	4.65 (4.62–4.68)	1.10 (1.09–1.11)	2.70 (2.67–2.72)	2.44 (2.41–2.46)	1.33 (1.32–1.34)	0.93 (0.92–0.93)	0.70 (0.69–0.71)
Western Europe/North America/Australia	4.88 (4.80–4.95)	1.32 (1.30–1.35)	2.69 (2.62–2.75)	2.01 (1.96–2.06)	1.42 (1.40–1.44)	0.96 (0.95–0.98)	0.68 (0.66–0.69)
E/CE Europe/ME	4.79 (4.70–4.87)	1.13 (1.10–1.15)	2.77 (2.70–2.84)	2.44 (2.37–2.52)	1.27 (1.25–1.30)	0.99 (0.97–1.01)	0.78 (0.76–0.80)
Africa	4.30 (4.19–4.40)	1.04 (1.01–1.08)	2.45 (2.37–2.54)	2.34 (2.24–2.44)	1.26 (1.23–1.30)	0.95 (0.93–0.98)	0.75 (0.73–0.78)
South Asia	3.92 (3.85–3.99)	0.86 (0.84–0.89)	2.29 (2.24–2.35)	2.67 (2.59–2.76)	1.08 (1.07–1.10)	0.83 (0.82–0.85)	0.78 (0.76–0.80)
China	4.96 (4.92–5.00)	1.17 (1.15–1.18)	2.99 (2.95–3.03)	2.56 (2.52–2.60)	1.51 (1.50–1.52)	0.92 (0.91–0.93)	0.61 (0.60–0.62)
South East Asia	4.88 (4.78–4.99)	1.09 (1.06–1.12)	2.82 (2.72–2.91)	2.55 (2.45–2.65)	1.27 (1.24–1.30)	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	0.76 (0.74–0.79)
South America	4.61 (4.53–4.70)	1.08 (1.06–1.10)	2.56 (2.48–2.63)	2.35 (2.28–2.42)	1.26 (1.24–1.28)	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	0.77 (0.75–0.79)
Men							
Overall	4.50 (4.47–4.54)	1.04 (1.03–1.05)	2.61 (2.58–2.64)	2.51 (2.47–2.54)	1.27 (1.26–1.28)	0.91 (0.90–0.92)	0.72 (0.71–0.73)
W Europe/North America	4.64 (4.55–4.73)	1.19 (1.16–1.22)	2.55 (2.47–2.63)	2.12 (2.05–2.19)	1.33 (1.31–1.35)	0.94 (0.92–0.96)	0.71 (0.69–0.73)
E/CE Europe/ME	4.64 (4.53–4.75)	1.05 (1.02–1.08)	2.68 (2.59–2.78)	2.55 (2.45–2.65)	1.19 (1.16–1.22)	0.98 (0.96–1.01)	0.82 (0.79–0.85)
Africa	4.15 (4.01–4.30)	0.99 (0.95–1.04)	2.36 (2.25–2.48)	2.36 (2.22–2.49)	1.22 (1.18–1.26)	0.92 (0.89–0.96)	0.75 (0.72–0.79)
South Asia	3.84 (3.76–3.93)	0.84 (0.81–0.87)	2.25 (2.18–2.31)	2.70 (2.59–2.81)	1.06 (1.03–1.08)	0.83 (0.81–0.84)	0.79 (0.77–0.81)
China	4.84 (4.79–4.89)	1.12 (1.10–1.14)	2.91 (2.86–2.95)	2.59 (2.54–2.65)	1.46 (1.44–1.47)	0.91 (0.90–0.92)	0.62 (0.61–0.63)
South East Asia	4.80 (4.66–4.94)	1.03 (0.99–1.07)	2.78 (2.67–2.91)	2.67 (2.54–2.81)	1.21 (1.17–1.24)	0.97 (0.94–1.00)	0.80 (0.77–0.84)
South America	4.45 (4.34–4.56)	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	2.48 (2.38–2.58)	2.46 (2.36–2.56)	1.19 (1.16–1.22)	0.95 (0.93–0.98)	0.80 (0.78–0.83)
Women							
Overall	4.87 (4.82–4.91)	1.20 (1.19–1.22)	2.82 (2.78–2.86)	2.34 (2.30–2.38)	1.42 (1.41–1.44)	0.95 (0.94–0.96)	0.67 (0.66–0.68)
W Europe/North America	5.25 (5.15–5.36)	1.55 (1.51–1.59)	2.90 (2.80–3.00)	1.87 (1.80–1.94)	1.58 (1.55–1.61)	1.00 (0.97–1.02)	0.63 (0.61–0.65)
E/CE Europe/ME	5.02 (4.89–5.15)	1.26 (1.21–1.30)	2.90 (2.79–3.02)	2.30 (2.20–2.42)	1.41 (1.37–1.45)	1.01 (0.98–1.04)	0.72 (0.69–0.75)
Africa	4.46 (4.31–4.61)	1.10 (1.05–1.15)	2.56 (2.43–2.69)	2.32 (2.19–2.47)	1.31 (1.27–1.36)	0.99 (0.95–1.03)	0.76 (0.72–0.80)
South Asia	4.06 (3.95-4.18)	0.91 (0.87–0.94)	2.38 (2.30–2.48)	2.63 (2.49–2.77)	1.14 (1.10–1.17)	0.85 (0.82–0.88)	0.75 (0.73–0.78)
China	5.15 (5.08–5.22)	1.24 (1.21–1.26)	3.11 (3.05–3.17)	2.51 (2.45–2.58)	1.59 (1.57–1.61)	0.94 (0.92–0.96)	0.59 (0.58–0.60)
South East Asia	5.01 (4.85–5.18)	1.19 (1.14–1.24)	2.87 (2.72–3.03)	2.39 (2.25–2.55)	1.36 (1.31–1.40)	0.97 (0.93–1.01)	0.72 (0.68–0.76)
South America	4.81 (4.68–4.94)	1.18 (1.15–1.22)	2.65 (2.54–2.76)	2.22 (2.12–2.32)	1.35 (1.31–1.39)	0.99 (0.97–1.02)	0.74 (0.71–0.76)
Values are presented as mean (9 sarily symmetric about the mean	5% confidence interval [(CI]) based on log transform	ned data and then back tra	insformed to the original s	scale to reflect skewed dist	ibution of some of the me	easures. Cls are not neces-

Supplementary	Table 5. Adjusted mean	concentration of lipids.	lipoproteins.	apolipoproteins.	and their ratio	s in cases and controls
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Variable	lschemic stroke (n=9,169)	Intracerebral hemorrhage (n=2,729)	Controls (n=11,898)
Total cholesterol (mmol/L)	4.61 (4.55–4.67)	4.56 (4.47–4.64)	4.72 (4.65–4.78)
HDL-C (mmol/L)	1.12 (1.10–1.14)	1.27 (1.24–1.29)	1.20 (1.18–1.22)
Non-HDL-C (mmol/L)	3.49 (3.43–3.55)	3.29 (3.21–3.37)	3.52 (3.46–3.58)
ApoA1 (g/L)	1.27 (1.25–1.29)	1.33 (1.31–1.35)	1.38 (1.36–1.40)
ApoB (g/L)	0.99 (0.97–1.01)	0.95 (0.93–0.97)	0.97 (0.95–0.99)
Total cholesterol/HDL-C ratio	4.53 (4.43-4.63)	3.91 (3.78–4.04)	4.34 (4.23–4.44)
ApoB/apoA1 ratio	0.84 (0.82–0.86)	0.77 (0.75–0.79)	0.76 (0.74–0.78)
Non-HDL-C/HDL-C ratio	3.53 (3.43-3.63)	2.91 (2.78–3.04)	3.34 (3.23–3.44)

Values are presented as least square mean (95% confidence interval) after adjustment for age, sex, region, smoking, waist-to-hip ratio, diabetes, physical activity, alternate healthy eating index, alcohol intake, psychosocial factors, and cardiac risk factors.

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; Apo, apolipoprotein.



Supplementary Figure 1. Association of total cholesterol with (A) ischemic stroke and (B) intracerebral hemorrhage. Restricted cubic spline plots of association of total cholesterol (X-axis) with ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 2. Association of total cholesterol by ischemic stroke subtype. (A) Large vessel, (B) small vessel, (C) cardioembolism, (D) undetermined. Restricted cubic spline plot of association of total cholesterol (X-axis) with ischemic stroke subtypes. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 3. Association of lipoprotein/apolipoproteins ratio with ischemic stroke and intracerebral hemorrhage. (A) Ischemic stroke (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]/apolipoprotein B [apoB]), (B) ischemic stroke (high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]/apoA1), (C) intracerebral hemorrhage (LDL-C/apoB), (D) intracerebral hemorrhage (HDL-C/apoA1). Restricted cubic spline plot of association of apolipoproteins (ApoB and ApoA1) (X-axis) with ischemic stroke, by age cut-point. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 4. Association of (A) apolipoprotein B (apoB) and (B) apoA1 and ischemic stroke subtype by age. Restricted cubic spline plot of association of lipoproteins (low-density lipoprotein cholesterol and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol) (X-axis) with ischemic stroke by China, India, and other regions of the world. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 5. Association of lipoproteins with ischemic stroke (China, India, and all other regions). Restricted cubic spline plot of association of lipoproteins (A: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]; B: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]) (X-axis) with intracerebral hemorrhage by China, India, and other regions of the world. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 6. Association of apolipoproteins with ischemic stroke (China, India, and all other regions). Restricted cubic spline plot of association of apolipoproteins (A: apoB; B: apoA1) (X-axis) with ischemic stroke by China, India, and other regions of the world. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).



Supplementary Figure 7. Association of lipoproteins and intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) (China, India, and all other regions). Restricted cubic spline plot of association of apolipoproteins (A: low-density lipoprotein cholesterol [LDL-C]; B: high-density lipoprotein cholesterol [HDL-C]) (X-axis) with ICH by China, India, and other regions of the world. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).





Supplementary Figure 8. Association of apolipoproteins with intracerebral hemorrhage (ICH) (China, India, and all other regions). Restricted cubic spline plot of association of (A) apoB and (B) apoA1 (X-axis) with ischemic stroke and ICH. Spline curves truncated at highest and lowest 2% of values. Light blue shading denotes 95% confidence interval. All splines adjusted for age, sex, geographic region, and potential confounders (smoking, diabetes mellitus, physical activity, diet, psychosocial factors, waist-to-hip ratio, and alcohol intake).

Appendix 1. INTERSTROKE project office staff, national coordinators, investigators and key staff

Project office staff (Population Health Research Institute, Hamilton Health Sciences and McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, Canada): Coordination and Data Management: M. O'Donnell, S. Yusuf (Principal Investigators) S. Rangarajan (Project Manager); P. Rao-Melacini, X. (Michelle) Zhang, S. Islam, C. Kabali, A. Casanova (Statisticians); S.L. Chin, J. DeJesus (Study Coordinator), M. Dehghan (Nutritionist), S. Agapay.

Core laboratories: M. McQueen, K. Hall, J. Keys (Hamilton), X. Wang (Beijing, China), A. Devanath (Bangalore, India), R. Gupta, D. Prabhakaran (New Delhi, India)

Argentina: R. Diaz*, P. Schygiel, M. Garrote, M.A. Rodriguez, A. Caccavo, R.G. Duran, L. Sposato, J. Molinos, P. Valdez, C.M. Cedrolla, P.G. Nofal, M.F. Huerta, P.M. Desmery, M.C. Zurru, B. Della Vedova; Australia: J. Varigos*, G. Hankey*, T. Kraemer, P. Gates, C. Bladin, G. Herkes; Brazil: A. Avezum*, M.P. Pereira, L. Minuzzo, L. Oliveira, M. Teixeira, H. Reis, A. Carvalho, S. Ouriques Martins, J.J. Carvalho, O. Gebara, C. Minelli, D.C. Oliveira, A.C. Sobral Sousa, A.C. Ferraz de Almeida, M.E. Hernandez, M. Friedrich, D.M. Mota, L.E. Ritt, D. Correa Vila Nova; Canada: M. O'Donnell*, S. Yusuf, P. Teal, D. Gladstone, A. Shuaib, F. Silver, D. Dowlatshahi, J. DeJesus, S. Rangarajan, S. Agapay, S.L. Chin; Chile: F. Lanas*, D. Carcamo, C. Santibañez, E. Garces; China: L.S. Liu*, H.Y. Zhang*, H.P. Fang, M.F. Lian, F. Shen, F.X. Luo, X.X. Wen, Z.Q. Xu, Z.Z. Liu, W. Yan, J.F. Yu, W.K. Wang, L.H. Liu, Y.H. Sun, L.C. Zhou, Z.F. Zhang, J. Lv, C.S. Zhang, G. Chen, H.L. Wang, Y. Chen, H. Zheng, J.J. Huang, W.Z. Li, L.J. Wang, J.X. Shi, C.Y. Hu, H.F. Song, R.Y. Ji, D.L. Wang, L.H. Meng, Q.W. Meng, L.J. Duan, H.F. Liu, Y.C. Luo, Q.Y. Zhang, Y.B. Wu, C.R. Wang, J.G. Zhao, S.G. Liu, C.L. Shi, X.Y. Wang; Colombia: P. Lopez-Jaramillo*, A. Martinez, G. Sanchez-Vallejo, D.I. Molina, T. Espinosa, H. Garcia Lozada, D. Gomez-Arbelaez, P.A. Camacho; Croatia: Z. Rumboldt*, I. Lusic; Denmark: H.K. Iversen*, T. Truelsen, C. Back, M.M. Pedersen; Ecuador: E. Peñaherrera*, Y.C. Duarte, S. Cevallos, D. Tettamanti, S. Caceres; Germany: H.C. Diener*, C. Weimar, A. Grau, J. Rother, M. Ritter, T. Back, Y. Winter; India: P. Pais*, D. Xavier* (co-principal investigator for INTERSTROKE), A. Sigamani, N. Mathur, P. Rahul, A. Murali, A.K. Roy, G.R.K. Sarma, T. Matthew, G. Kusumkar, K.A. Salam, U. Karadan, L. Achambat, Y. Singh, J.D. Pandian, R. Verma, V. Atam, A. Agarwal, N. Chidambaram, R. Umarani, S. Ghanta, G.K. Babu, G. Sathyanaraya-

na, G. Sarada, S. Navya Vani, R. Sundararajan, S.S. Sivakumar, R.S. Wadia, S. Bandishti, R. Gupta, R.R. Agarwal, I. Mohan, S. Joshi, S. Kulkarni, S. Partha Saradhi, P. Joshi, M. Pandharipande, N. Badnerkar, R. Joshi, S.P. Kalantri, S. Somkumar, S. Chauhan, H. Singh, S. Varma, H. Singh, G.K. Sidhu, R. Singh, K.L. Bansal, A. Bharani, S. Pagare, A. Chouhan, B.N. Mahanta, T.G. Mahanta, G. Rajkonwar, S.K. Diwan, S.N. Mahajan, P. Shaikh, H.R. Devendrappa, B.K. Agrawal, A. Agrawal, D. Khurana, S. Thakur, V. Jain; Iran: S. Oveisgharan*, A. Bahonar, R. Kelishadi, A. Hossienzadeh, M. Raeisidehkordi, H. Akhavan; Ireland: M. O'Donnell*, T. Walsh; Kuwait: O. Albaker*; Malaysia: K. Yusoff*, A. Chandramouli, S. Shahadan, Z. Ibrahim, A. Husin; Mozambigue: A. Damasceno*, V. Lobo, S. Loureiro, V.A. Govo; Nigeria: O.S. Ogah*, A. Ogunnivi*, R.O. Akinyemi, M.O. Owolabi, M.U. Sani, L.F. Owolabi; Pakistan: R. Iqbal*, M. Wasay, A. Raza; Peru: G.G. Malaga*, M. Lazo-Porras, J.D. Loza-Herrera, A. Acuña-Villaorduña, D. Cardenas-Montero; Philippines: A. Dans*, E. Collantes, D. Morales, A. Roxas, M.V.C. Villarruz-Sulit; Poland: A. Czlonkowska*, D. Ryglewicz*, M. Skowronska, M. Restel, A. Bochynska, K. Chwojnicki, M. Kubach, A. Stowik, M. Wnuk; Russia: N. Pogosova*, A. Ausheva, A. Karpova, V. Pshenichnikova, A. Vertkin; A. Kursakov, S. Boytsov; Saudi Arabia: F. Al-Hussain*; South Africa: L. DeVilliers*, D. Magazi, B. Mayosi; Sudan: A.S.A. Elsayed*, A. Bukhari, Z. Sawaraldahab, H. Hamad, M. ElTaher, A. Abdelhameed, M. Alawad, D. Alkabashi, H. Alsir; Sweden: A. Rosengren*, M. Andreasson, J. Kembro Johansson, B. Cederin, C. Schander, A.C. Elgasen, E. Bertholds, K. Boström Bengtsson; Thailand: Y. Nilanont*, S. Nidhinandana*, P. Tatsanavivat, N. Prayoonwiwat, N. Poungvarin, N.C. Suwanwela, S. Tiamkao, R. Tulyapornchote, S. Boonyakarnkul, S. Hanchaiphiboolkul, S. Muengtaweepongsa, K. Watcharasaksilp, P. Sathirapanya, P. Pleumpanupat; Turkey: A. Oguz*, A.A. Akalin, O.T. Caklili, N. Isik, B. Caliskan, B. Sanlisoy, E. Balkuv, H. Tireli, V. Yayla, M. Cabalar, A. Culha, S. Senadim, B. Arpaci, C. Dayan, T. Argun, S. Yilmaz, S. Celiker, A. Kocer, T. Asil, G. Eryigit, Uganda: C. Mondo*, J. Kayima, M. Nakisige, S. Kitoleeko; United Arab Emirates: A.M. Yusufali*, B.J. Zuberi, H.Z. Mirza, A.A. Saleh, J.M. BinAdi, F. Hussain; United Kingdom: P. Langhorne*, K. Muir, M. Walters, C. McAlpine, S. Ghosh, M. Barber, N. Hughes, M.J. MacLeod, S. Ghosh, A. Doney, S. Johnston, P. Mudd, T. Black, P. Murphy, D. Jenkinson, D. Kelly, R. Whiting, D. Dutta, L. Shaw, C. Mcfarlane, E. Ronald, K. Mc-Burnie.

^{*}National coordinator.

Appendix 2. INTERSTROKE (methods, risk factor measurement)

Analysis was performed in Canada using the Beckman coulter Unicel® DxC600 Synchron® Clinical System and Beckman reagents All reagents were manufactured by Beckman Coulter (Brea, CA, USA). The Beckman cholesterol method is a colorimetric assay using cholesterol esterase, cholesterol oxidase, peroxidase, 4-aminoantipyrine and phenol. The Beckman high-density lipoprotein (HDL) method is a direct, colorimetric assay using a detergent to selectively solubilize HDL lipoprotein particles. The HDL cholesterol released reacts with cholesterol esterase and cholesterol oxidase in the presence of chromogens. The Beckman apolipoprotein A1 (apoA1) and apoB methods are Immunoturbidimetric assay using goat antibody monospecific for human apoA or human apoB. In order to standardize the results quality control samples and reference pools that had previously been analysed in the central core laboratory in Canada were sent to India, China, and Turkey.

Waist and hip circumference were measured in the standing and supine positions in cases and controls. If cases were unable to stand, these measurements were then completed in the supine position only. Standing waist and hip measurements were used in analyses when available. For cases with only supine estimates, we used the supine measures in the matched control. For waist-to-hip ratio and body mass index, tertiles by sex were calculated based on the overall control data. Physically active individuals were defined as being regularly involved in moderate leisure activity (walking, cycling, or gardening) or strenuous exercise (jogging, football, and vigorous swimming) for 4 hours or more a week. Alcohol use was categorized into never/former, low intake (1 to 7/week), moderate intake (7 to 14/week for women and 7 to 21/week for men), high intake (>14/month for women and >21/week for men), and episodic heavy drinking (>5 drinks a day at least once a month). For psychosocial factors, we used a combined measure of psychosocial stress employed in INTERHEART,³⁷ which combines measures of stress (home and work), life events and depression (defined as feeling sad, blue or depressed for 2 or more consecutive weeks over the past 12 months).

Blood pressure of cases were recorded at three time-points in the acute phase of stroke: at the time of admission (from patient's medical notes), the morning after admission (from patient's medical notes), and at the time of interview (conducted by research personnel). Hypertension was defined by self-reported history of hypertension or the composite of self-reported hypertension or blood pressure of 140/90 mm Hg or higher. We selected blood pressure measured at interview in cases and controls, as it was completed in a standardized manner in cases and control, by trained research personnel at site and required lower adjustment that admission blood pressure (as only intracerebral hemorrhage cases required adjustment). To estimate preadmission blood pressure in cases, we used adjusted blood pressure readings at the time of interview; the adjustment was based on data reported in the Oxford Vascular Study (OXVASC) and Oxfordshire Community Stroke Project (OCSP) prospective cohort studies,³⁷ which evaluated the relationship between premorbid blood pressure and acute post-stroke blood pressure. We calculated 'estimated' preadmission systolic blood pressure in cases with adjusted blood pressure at the time of interview, adjusted for the ratio of mean pre-morbid systolic blood pressure (most recent) to mean first post-event systolic blood pressure by study neurologist (median time from symptom onset to blood pressure measurement was 2 days) reported in OCSP cohort (adjusted for intracerebral hemorrhage only, as there was no difference in pre-morbid and post-event mean values for ischemic stroke reported).³⁷ In our primary report, we used adjusted blood pressure at the time of admission. We calculated the agreement between these two approaches for diagnosis of hypertension (blood pressure $\geq 140/90$ mm Hg), which was 88.4% (95% confidence interval [CI], 87.9 to 89.0). However, for the current analysis of blood pressure, we identified a clustering of systolic blood pressure measurements at 120, 130, and 140 mm Hg, and for diastolic blood pressure at 80 and 90 mm Hq, which was due to a rounding-up or rounding-down issue, and was more common in lower income regions, likely due to higher use of manual sphygmomanometers. Applying the adjustment of blood pressure on admission resulted in an imbalance between cases and controls, which was minimised when using the blood pressure at time of admission in cases. However, estimates for odds ratios and population attributable risks (PARs) were consistent using both estimates. For hypertension definition used in primary analyses, compared to definition used in current analyses, we derived dds ratios (ORs) for hypertension of 2.98 versus 2.68 overall, 2.28 versus 1.92 for high income countries (HIC), 2.43 versus 2.29 for upper middle income countries-1 (UMIC-1), 3.01 versus 2.76 for UMIC-2, and 3.79 versus 3.27 for lower middle income countries (LMIC)/lower income countries (LIC). For PAR, we derived PARs for hypertension of 47.9% versus 47.6% overall, 42.1% versus 36.3% for HIC, 47.4% versus 46.3% for UMIC-1, 47.4% versus 47.2% for UMIC-2, and 49.8% versus 52.5% for LMIC/ LICs.

To ensure standardized measurements, and high quality of

data, we used a comprehensive operations manual, periodical training workshops, and regular communication with study personnel. We entered all data in a customized database programmed with range and consistency checks and transmitted electronically to the Project Office at the Population Health Research Institute in Hamilton (ON, Canada) where further quality control measures were implemented.

Country lab	Reagent manufacturer	Instrumentation
Canada	Beckman Coulter Synchron®Systems	Beckman Coulter DXC600
China	Roche Diagnostics	Hitachi 7060c (2009–2010) Hitachi 7100 (2014)
India	Roche Diagnostics, Switzerland method	C311, ROCHE
Turkey	Roche Diagnostics	Cobas 6000 C501

Reagent manufacturer and instrumentation