Canadian surveillance study of complex regional pain syndrome in children

Krista Baerg^{a,b,*}, Susan M. Tupper^c, Luan Manh Chu^a, Nicole Cooke^d, Bruce D. Dick^{e,f}, Marie-Joëlle Doré-Bergeron⁹, Sheri Findlay^h, Pablo M. Ingelmoⁱ, Christine Lamontagneⁱ, Giulia Mesaroli^{k,I}, Tim F. Oberlander^m, Raju Poolacherlaⁿ, Adam Oscar Spencer^o, Jennifer Stinson^{p,q}, G. Allen Finley^r

Abstract

This study describes the minimum incidence of pediatric complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS), clinical features, and treatments recommended by pediatricians and pain clinics in Canada. Participants in the Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program reported new cases of CRPS aged 2 to 18 years monthly and completed a detailed case reporting guestionnaire from September 2017 to August 2019. Descriptive analysis was completed, and the annual incidence of CRPS by sex and age groupings was estimated. A total of 198 cases were reported to the Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program, and 168 (84.8%) met the case definition. The minimum Canadian incidence of CRPS is estimated at 1.14/100,000 (95% confidence interval 0.93-1.35/100,000) children per year. Incidence was highest among girls 12 years and older (3.10, 95% confidence interval 2.76-3.44/100,000). The mean age of CRPS diagnosis was 12.2 years (SD = 2.4), with the mean time from symptom onset to diagnosis of 5.6 months (SD = 9.9) and no known inciting event for 19.6% of cases. Most cases had lower limb involvement (79.8%). Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (82.7%) and acetaminophen (66.0%) were prescribed more commonly than antiepileptic drugs (52.3%) and antidepressants (32.0%). Referrals most commonly included physical therapy (83.3%) and multidisciplinary pain clinics (72.6%); a small number of patients withdrew from treatment because of pain exacerbation (5.3%). Pain education was recommended for only 65.6% of cases. Treatment variability highlights the need for empiric data to support treatment of pediatric CRPS and development of treatment consensus guidelines.

Keywords: Complex regional pain syndrome, Incidence, Population surveillance, Pediatrics, Pain management

1. Introduction

Complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) is a severe pain condition characterized by pain intensity out of proportion to the inciting trauma or stimulus and associated with a wide variety of autonomic, trophic, and motor changes.¹⁶ Pain with CRPS is often not responsive to common analgesic medications or opioids.^{6,8} As with other chronic pain syndromes, complex biopsychosocial factors can have an impact on how children recover from CRPS, placing them at risk of poor long-term physical and psychological health outcomes.^{6,22,29,35} Children with CRPS are particularly vulnerable because of stressful life

events and display more somatic symptoms than children with other pain conditions.²² A delay in diagnosis and access to appropriate treatment can further affect recovery. A shorter time to diagnosis is associated with more favorable outcomes in children.²⁶ Prompt referral to physiotherapy and initiation of multidisciplinary treatment relies on a high index of suspicion.²¹

The International Association for the Study of Pain adopted the Budapest Criteria for diagnosis of CRPS and use has been adopted internationally; however, this has not been validated in pediatric populations.^{13,16} Some case series report the complex diagnostic and interventional histories of children with CRPS.^{3,4,9,26,37} However, little is known about the epidemiology

Copyright @ 2021 The Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Pain. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.000000000002482

Sponsorships or competing interests that may be relevant to content are disclosed at the end of this article.

^a Department of Pediatrics, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada, ^b Department of Pediatrics, Saskatchewan Health Authority, Saskatoon, Canada, ^c Department of Clinical Excellence, Quality, Safety & Strategy, Saskatchewan Health Authority, Saskatoon, Canada, ^d Patient Partner, Regina, Canada, ^e Departments of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Psychiatry & Pediatrics, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, ^f Faculties of Medicine and Dentistry & Rehabilitation Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, ⁹ Department of Paediatrics, Faculty of Medicine, Université de Montréal, Montréal, Canada, ^h Department of Pediatrics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada, ¹ Chronic Pain Service, Montreal Children's Hospital, McGill University Health Center, Montréal, Canada, ¹ Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Canada, ^k Department of Rehabilitation, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada, ^I Department of Physical Therapy, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, " Complex Pain Service, BC Children's Hospital, Vancouver, Canada, " Department of Anesthesia and Perioperative Medicine and Department of Pediatrics, Children's Hospital London Health Sciences Centre, London, Canada, ° Department of Pediatric Anesthesia, University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, Canada, ^p Child Health Evaluative Sciences, The Hospital for Sick Children, Toronto, Canada, ^q Lawrence S. Bloomberg Faculty of Nursing, University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada, ^r Departments of Anesthesia & Psychology, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Canada

^{*}Corresponding author. Address: 103 Hospital Dr, Saskatoon, SK S7N 0W8, Canada. Tel.: 306-844-1076; fax: 306-844-1531. E-mail address: dr.kbaerg@usask.ca (K. Baerg). PAIN 163 (2022) 1060-1069

of pediatric CRPS. A Scottish surveillance study described 26 children and reported an estimated annual pediatric CRPS incidence of 1.2 per 100,000 using the Budapest Criteria; the youngest case reported was age 5.5 years.² Previous population-based studies include 14 cases in total, and they reported an incidence of 1.58 to 5.2 per 100,000 children.^{27,32} Cases were primarily Anglo-American in the American population-based studies, ethnicity was not specified.^{2,27} In adults, CRPS has incidence rates ranging from 2.7 to 16.8 new cases per 100,000 annually.^{27,32}

The primary objective of this surveillance study was to determine the minimum incidence of CRPS in Canadian children and youth. Secondary outcomes include describing pathways of referral, clinical presentation, diagnostic interventions, and treatments used by Canadian pediatricians, pediatric subspecialists, and pain specialists.

2. Methods

The Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program (CPSP), established in 1996, is a joint project of the Public Health Agency of Canada and the Canadian Paediatric Society. The CPSP contributes to the improvement of the health of children and youth in Canada by national surveillance and research into low frequency childhood disorders associated with high disability, morbidity, and economic costs to society. Approximately 2800 Canadian pediatricians and pediatric subspecialists participate in active monthly surveillance capturing all settings participating in CPSP, including primary care, subspecialty care, and inpatient care.⁷ During the study period, multidisciplinary pediatric pain clinics at tertiary care hospitals across Canada were included in the surveillance and site champions were identified to optimize reporting. Through the established methodology of the CPSP, participating pediatricians and pain clinics received a monthly email from September 2017 to August 2019 asking them to notify the program if they encounter new cases of CRPS or if they have nothing to report. Participants who identified cases were asked to complete a detailed case report form.⁷ During the 2-year surveillance study, the CPSP reported an average monthly response rate of 81% and case report form response rate of 89%.7

In accordance with CPSP protocols, this study was approved by the University of Saskatchewan (REB 17-169) and IWK Health Centre (REB #1022863) Research Ethics Boards and by the institutional review boards at collaborating centers in accordance with site-specific protocols. In accordance with CPSP procedures, case reports are deidentified at the clinic level with a minimum aggregate reporting of 5 when fewer cases are reported.

2.1. Case definition

Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program participants were instructed to report any new patient presenting between the ages of 2 and 18 years (up to the 18th birthday) with a new diagnosis of CRPS, meeting the Budapest Criteria.¹⁶ The *case definition* was met if at least 3 of 4 symptom categories (ie, sensory, vasomotor, sudomotor or edema, and motor or trophic) and at least 2 of 4 sign categories (ie, sensory, vasomotor, sudomotor or edema, and motor or trophic) were present.¹⁶ The sensitivity and specificity of the Budapest Criteria have been reported as 0.99 and 0.79, respectively, in an adult patient population.¹⁴ However, a recent review demonstrates variability

with sensitivity is 0.45 to 0.99 and specificity is 0.68 to 0.85 in adult populations.²⁵ Specificity may be lower in pediatric CRPS.¹¹

2.2. Case report form

The case report form includes minimal nonnominal patient information, such as the month and year of birth and sex of the child to enable identification of duplicate cases by the CPSP. Demographic information, clinical presentation, pain impact, health utilization and treatments since symptom onset, adverse outcomes, medical history, and follow-up plan are included in the case report form. To assess the distribution of symptoms and signs, at the item and category level, each CRPS symptom and sign was included with 3 response options (yes, no, or unknown). The case report form and study protocol are available at https:// www.cpsp.cps.ca/surveillance/concluded-studies.

2.3. Data analysis

Analyses were conducted with IBM SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) Statistics Version 27.0.¹⁷ The number of cases meeting the case definition was calculated from clinical decision rules. Cases meeting the case definition were defined as *confirmed cases* and those that remained were defined as *nonconfirmed cases*. Descriptive analyses were conducted on demographic, clinical presentation, and treatment data. We further examined the distribution of symptoms and signs at category levels among the cases reported that did not meet the case definition.

By examining the total number of people at risk in the study population during the 2-year surveillance study and the number of new cases during this time, we calculated the crude incidence rate (per 100,000 person-years) and 95th confidence interval (CI) for all cases and by sex and age groups. Cases with a date of diagnosis outside the 2-year surveillance period or a past history of CRPS were excluded from the incidence calculation. The denominator was defined as the total number of people at risk (children and youth aged 2–18 years) according to the population estimation on July 1 from Statistics Canada.³⁴

3. Results

During the study period, 236 cases were reported to the CPSP and 198 case report forms were returned for analysis (see **Fig. 1** for the study population). Of these, 84.8% (168/198) met the case definition.¹⁴ We assessed the distribution of case reporting over the 24-month reporting period; 97 case report forms were returned for year 1 of the study and 101 forms for year 2 of the study.

Most confirmed cases were females (83.3%), with a mean age at diagnosis of 12.2 years. A single site was affected in 89.3%, most frequently in a lower limb (79.8%). Musculoskeletal trauma or injury was an inciting event for most cases (65.5%), with 19.6% of cases reporting no known inciting event. Further characteristics of the study population are reported in **Table 1**.

The most common symptoms in each symptom category of the Budapest Criteria were skin color changes (86.2%), allodynia (83.9%), decreased range of motion (83.3%), and edema (77.4%). The most common signs in each sign category were decreased range of motion (86.3%), allodynia with light touch (81.5%), skin color changes (66.7%), and edema (50.6%). The presence or absence of each sign and symptom in the Budapest Criteria is reported for confirmed and total cases in **Table 2**.

Sixty-one percent of confirmed cases had visited the emergency department since the onset of symptoms (60.7%). The most common special investigation patients received for CRPS was plain radiographs (83.3%). Most confirmed cases (79.7%) reported 3 or more specialist referrals. Treatment focused on pain education (65.6%), psychological strategies (62.4%), desensitization (59.5%), and fitness or exercise (54.1%). Additional health utilization and treatments since the onset of symptoms are reported for confirmed and total cases in **Table 3**.

Among the 30 nonconfirmed cases, 73.3% were females compared with 83.3% in the 168 confirmed cases, which was not statistically different. Of the nonconfirmed reported cases, 14 had 2 or more signs of CRPS but did not meet the symptom criteria. The values of mean and median of age or time from onset to CRPS diagnosis were not statistically different between these nonconfirmed cases compared with the confirmed cases.

3.1. Incidence

After exclusion of 7 cases with a history of CRPS and 4 cases with diagnosis outside the 2-year surveillance period, 157 cases remained for calculation of the estimated minimum incidence rate. According to the 2017 Statistics Canada census, the Canadian population between 2 and 18 years of age was 6,786,484 people.³⁴ The minimum CRPS incidence was 1.14 (0.93-1.35) per 100,000 Canadian children aged 2 to 18 years. The incidence for all ages by sex and age groups is reported in **Table 4**.



4. Discussion

We report a minimum CRPS incidence of 1.14 per 100.000 (95%) CI 0.93-1.35/100,000) Canadian children aged 2 to 18 years, with rising incidence after age 12 years. Incidence was highest among girls 12 years and older (3.10, 95% CI 2.76-3.44/ 100,000). These findings are consistent with the Scottish surveillance study.² The current study may represent a more diverse study population than has been previously reported, with 15.5% of confirmed cases of non-White or mixed ethnicity. As would be expected, CRPS symptoms were typically associated with moderate-to-severe pain. Age at diagnosis, median time to diagnosis, female predominance, and lower limb predominance are similar to previously published case series.^{2,26} Reports of movement disorders (dystonia, 8% and tremor, 8%) and multisite involvement (9%) were less common than previous reports. Among previously published case series, movement disorders were reported in 25% to 38% of cases.^{1,2,26} In the Scottish surveillance study, 38% of cases had secondary site involvement.²

A milestone paper by Wilder et al.³⁷ described clinical characteristics of 70 children with CRPS; the average time from the initial injury to the diagnosis was 1 year, suggesting CRPS was underrecognized in pediatric patients. In a more recent retrospective review of children with CRPS, children with favorable outcomes had significantly shorter time to diagnosis and lower mean symptom duration of 8.5 months compared with patients who had unfavorable outcomes.²⁶ The mean time to diagnosis in the current study was approximately 6 months, but some children experienced delayed diagnosis. Given that pediatric patients with delayed diagnosis may be more likely to have poor outcomes,³³ professional education and clinical treatment pathways are recommended. Given the frequency that diagnosis is made by pediatricians, pediatric subspecialists, and orthopedic surgeons, it is reasonable to ensure that pediatric CRPS is included in professional education for these professions, as well as primary care practitioners.

A small number of cases met the Budapest Criteria for clinical diagnosis during the study period but were excluded from the incidence calculation because of personal history of CRPS. Two recent retrospective chart reviews reported complex diagnostic and interventional histories of children with CRPS, with poor outcomes and rates of recurrence ranging from 10% to 55%.^{4,9,26} Longitudinal studies are required to improve the understanding of pediatric CRPS including risk of relapse, persistence, spread to secondary sites, and chronic pain in adulthood. Because algorithms to identify patients with CRPS demonstrate low sensitivity and limit the utility of administrative data for studying CRPS, pediatric registry data and multicenter trials may be most promising.¹⁸

Complex regional pain syndrome is commonly linked with high health utilization and functional disability, including impact on school attendance and achievement.^{4,9} We have captured some data on health utilization that reveals the significant burden of CRPS including health visits, special investigations, medication use, and specialist referrals, most commonly to physical therapists and multidisciplinary pain clinics typically comprised of a nurse, physical therapist, physician, and psychologist. Functional impact since symptom onset was common in all domains; 24.9% missed more than 2 weeks of school, and 6% enrolled in online school because of pain.

Complex regional pain syndrome treatment recommendations typically include physical therapy and intensive cognitive behavioral therapy with pharmacotherapy and referral to

Table 1

Characteristics of the study population.

	Confirmed cases (n = 168)	Total (n = 196
	n (%)	n (%)
Social characteristics		
Sex		
Male	28 (16.7)	36 (18.2)
Female	140 (83.3)	162 (81.8)
Location of residence	00 (40 7)	
British Columbia	23 (13.7)	29 (14.6)
Quebec	33 (19.6)	35 (17.8)
Ontario	85 (50.6)	98 (49.5)
Prairie provinces (AB, SK, and MB)	22 (13.1)	28 (14.1)
Atlantic provinces (NB, NS, PE, and NL)	5 (3.0)	8 (4.0)
Ethnicity White	106 (75 0)	151 (76.0)
Non-White	126 (75.0) 26 (15.5)	151 (76.3) 28 (14.1)
Unknown	16 (9.5)	19 (9.6)
Clinical features		X Y
Age at diagnosis, y		
Mean (SD)	12.2 (2.4)	12.8 (2.5)
Median (min-max)	12.9 (7.0-18.0)	12.9 (7.0-19)
Onset to diagnosis, mo		
Mean (SD)	5.6 (9.9)	5.6 (10.0)
Median (IQR)	2.0 (4.0)	2.0 (4.0)
Diagnosing professional		· · ·
General pediatrician	22 (13.1)	27 (13.6)
Pain clinic team members	55 (32.7)	63 (31.8)
Pediatric rheumatologist	23 (13.7)	28 (14.1)
Orthopedic surgeon	20 (11.9)	25 (12.6)
General or pediatric emergency physician	13 (7.7)	14 (7.1)
Others	35 (20.8)	41 (20.7)
Localization at diagnosis (more than one possible)		
Right	74 (44.0)	86 (43.4)
Left	78 (46.4)	87 (43.9)
Bilateral	13 (7.7)	18 (9.0)
Upper limb	36 (21.4)	43 (21.7)
Lower limb	134 (79.8)	153 (77.2)
Others or unknown	<5	<5
Number of sites affected		
Single site	150 (89.3)	171 (86.3)
Multiple sites	15 (8.9)	22 (11.1)
Others or unknown	<5	7 (3.5)
Inciting or triggering event		
None	33 (19.6)	40 (20.2)
Trauma or injury	110 (65.5)	128 (64.6)
Operation or surgery	12 (7.1)	14 (7.1)
Others	6 (3.6)	8 (4.0)
Unknown	7 (4.2)	8 (4.0)
Family history	~ 5	~F
CRPS affecting the first degree relative	<5	<5
Pain intensity	C (2 C)	10 (0 1)
Mild	6 (3.6)	12 (6.1)
Moderate	52 (31.0) 97 (57.7)	59 (29.8) 100 (55.1)
Severe Missing	97 (57.7) 12 (7.7)	109 (55.1)
Missing	13 (7.7)	18 (9.1)
unctional impact of symptoms		
Impact on the following (yes)	157 (02.5)	190 (01 0)
Physical activity Sleep	157 (93.5) 91 (54.2)	182 (91.9) 103 (52.0)
School achievement	54 (32.1)	61 (30.8)
School activities	91 (54.2)	104 (52.5)
Family function	68 (40.5)	77 (38.9)
Mood	68 (40.5) 84 (50.0)	98 (49.5)
		98 (49.5) 64 (32.3)
High level sport	53 (31.5) 10 (6 0)	
Enrolled in home or cyber or online school as a result of pain	10 (6.0)	11 (5.6)

Confirmed cases ($n = 168$)	Total (n = 198)	
n (%)	n (%)	
47 (28.0)	53 (26.8)	
43 (25.6)	47 (23.7)	
16 (9.5)	20 (10.1)	
14 (8.3)	16 (8.1)	
12 (7.1)	15 (7.6)	
36 (21.4)	47 (23.6)	
<5	<5	
<5	<5	
5 (3.0)	5 (2.5)	
7 (4.1)	8 (4.0)	
9 (5.3)		
13 (7.7)	15 (7.6)	
18 (10.7)	20 (10.1)	
20 (11.9)	24 (12.1)	
42 (25.0)	51 (25.8)	
9 (5.3)	10 (5.1)	
6 (3.5)	7 (3.5)	
5 (3.0)	6 (3.0)	
11 (6.5)	13 (6.5)	
20 (11.9)	21 (10.6)	
9 (5.3)	9 (4.6)	
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
<5	5 (2.5)	
0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)	
	$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	

0 (0.0)

ADHD, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder; CRPS, complex regional pain syndrome; IQR, interquartile range.

Use of street drugs (eg, cannabis and gabapentin)

specialist care. Mirror therapy or graded motor imagery, as a component of physical therapy, has also been recommended.^{12,19,20,33,38} It is reassuring that therapy referrals in this study most commonly included physical therapy (83.3%) and multidisciplinary pain clinics (72.6%). A small number of patients withdrew from physical treatments because of pain exacerbation (5.3%). Pain education was recommended for only 65.6% of cases. To date, there are no specific pharmacological treatments recommended for pediatric CRPS and no large scale clinical trials have been conducted.³⁶ Although efficacy data are limited for pediatric CRPS, standard treatments for both nociceptive and neuropathic pain (eg, tricyclic antidepressants and gabapentinoids) are commonly used to support engagement in physical therapy and target functional goals.^{5,12,16,23,30} In this study, topical treatments were more common than opioids, perhaps representing a change in prescribing patterns. Topical prescribing has not been reported in past studies.^{2,26} Analgesic use was similar in the Scottish surveillance study, but in that study, more than 60% of patients received pain-modulating agents.² Previous adult research has reported limited effect from common analgesics such as acetaminophen and ibuprofen.^{6,8} In this study, analgesics (eg, acetaminophen or nonsteroidal antiinflammatory agents) were more commonly prescribed than antiepileptic drugs or antidepressants, and graded motor imagery was not used commonly, which raises concerns as to whether clinicians are relying on ineffective treatments for children.

At the present time, there may be children who would benefit from similar multimodal treatment approaches, even if they are not formally classified as CRPS. Although the Budapest Criteria are used clinically, validation in pediatric CRPS populations is required.²⁵ Children may present with fewer signs and symptoms than adults and diagnosis of pediatric CRPS solely on Budapest Criteria may be inadequate.¹¹ Some studies suggest CRPS may be milder in children, and the diagnostic threshold should be lowered.²⁴ In this study, a subgroup of children, reported as cases of CRPS by healthcare providers, did not fulfill the Budapest Criteria (n = 30). Of these, 14 children had 2 or more signs, but symptoms in 3 or more categories were not confirmed. Difficulty expressing children's symptoms may have contributed to missing data along with inconsistent assessment and documentation practices. Further research is needed to determine if modification of diagnostic criteria for children and youth may be warranted (eg, 2 symptoms and 2 signs) or if a diagnostic screening tool might support clinical decision-making.²⁵ Some ambiguities related to the Budapest Criteria (eq. spreading) have been clarified recently, and categorization of cases as CRPS unspecified may be considered when no better explanation of features is available.¹³ Diagnostic tools that support symptom reporting (child self-report and parent-proxy report) and further clarification of CRPS taxonomy (eg, recurrence, relapse, and remission) would be helpful. Systematically asking patient about symptoms at each encounter is recommended.¹³ To support standardized assessment of signs and symptoms at diagnosis and follow-up visits, modification of a checklist, such as the CRPS Severity Score,¹⁵ may prove helpful for pediatricians.

4.1. Limitations

The pediatric incidence rate reported may be lower than the true incidence. Furthermore, some children may experience a relapsing and remitting course and, at the time of evaluation, may

0 (0.0)

Table 2

Reports of symptoms and signs.

	Confirmed cases ($n = 168$)		Total (n = 198)			
	Present, n (%)	Absent, n (%)	Unknown/missing, n (%)	Present, n (%)	Absent, n (%)	Unknown/missing, n (%)
Symptom						
Sensory	167 (99.4)			182 (91.9)		
Hyperesthesia	118 (70.2)	21 (12.5)	29 (17.3)	139 (70.2)	24 (12.1)	35 (17.7)
Allodynia	141 (83.9)	14 (8.3)	13 (7.7)	160 (80.8)	19 (9.6)	19 (9.6)
Vasomotor	158 (94.0)			168 (84.8)		
Temperature asymmetry	114 (67.9)	36 (21.4)	18 (10.7)	122 (61.6)	47 (23.8)	29 (14.6)
Skin color changes	144 (86.2)	18 (10.7)	6 (3.6)	155 (78.3)	29 (14.6)	14 (7.1)
Skin color asymmetry	128 (76.2)	31 (18.5)	9 (5.4)	139 (70.2)	42 (21.2)	17 (8.6)
Sudomotor or edema	142 (84.5)			143 (72.2)		
Edema	130 (77.4)	33 (19.6)	5 (3.0)	137 (69.2)	47 (23.7)	14 (7.1)
Sweating changes	19 (11.3)	111 (66.1)	38 (22.6)	21 (10.6)	125 (63.1)	52 (26.2)
Sweating asymmetry	15 (8.9)	113 (67.3)	40 (23.8)	16 (8.1)	127 (64.1)	55 (27.8)
Motor or trophic	151 (89.9)			179 (90.4)		
Decreased range of motion	140 (83.3)	17 (10.1)	11 (6.5)	158 (79.8)	24 (12.1)	16 (8.1)
Weakness	122 (72.6)	26 (15.5)	20 (11.9)	139 (70.2)	31 (15.7)	28 (14.1)
Tremor	18 (10.7)	126 (75.0)	23 (14.3)	19 (9.6)	140 (70.7)	39 (19.7)
Dystonia	17 (10.1)	132 (78.6)	18 (11.3)	18 (9.1)	146 (73.7)	34 (17.2)
Trophic change—hair, skin, or nails	32 (19.0)	106 (63.1)	30 (17.9)	35 (17.7)	120 (60.6)	43 (21.7)
Sign						
Sensory	168 (100.0)			182 (91.9)		
Hyperesthesia	98 (58.3)	23 (13.7)	47 (28.0)	108 (54.5)	31 (15.7)	59 (29.8)
Allodynia with light touch	137 (81.5)	27 (16.1)	<5	150 (75.8)	34 (17.2)	14 (7.0)
Allodynia with temperature	40 (23.8)	34 (20.2)	94 (56.0)	42 (21.2)	40 (20.2)	118 (58.6)
Allodynia with deep somatic pressure		22 (13.1)	45 (26.8)	108 (54.5)	28 (14.1)	62 (31.4)
Allodynia with joint movement	135 (80.4)	19 (11.3)	14 (8.3)	148 (74.7)	25 (12.6)	25 (12.6)
Vasomotor	140 (83.3)	- (- /	()	145 (73.2)	- (- /	
Temperature asymmetry	76 (45.2)	65 (38.7)	27 (16.1)	82 (41.4)	79 (39.9)	37 (18.7)
Skin color changes	112 (66.7)	51 (30.4)	5 (3.0)	117 (59.1)	69 (34.9)	12 (6.0)
Skin color asymmetry	100 (59.5)	61 (36.3)	7 (4.2)	106 (53.5)	78 (39.4)	14 (7.1)
Sudomotor or edema	96 (57.1)		. ()	92 (46.5)	()	(/
Edema	85 (50.6)	78 (46.4)	5 (3.0)	86 (43.4)	98 (49.5)	14 (7.1)
Sweating changes	12 (7.1)	135 (80.4)	21 (12.5)	12 (6.0)	155 (78.3)	31 (15.7)
Sweating asymmetry	12 (7.1)	135 (80.4)	21 (12.5)	13 (6.6)	154 (77.8)	31 (15.6)
Motor or trophic	163 (97.0)	100 (001 1)	21 (1210)	176 (88.9)		
Decreased range of motion	145 (86.3)	20 (11.9)	<5	158 (79.9)	29 (14.6)	11 (5.5)
Weakness	127 (75.6)	24 (14.3)	17 (10.1)	139 (70.2)	33 (16.7)	26 (13.1)
Tremor	14 (8.3)	138 (82.1)	16 (9.5)	14 (7.1)	157 (79.3)	27 (13.6)
Dystonia	13 (7.7)	138 (82.1)	17 (10.1)	14 (7.1)	156 (78.8)	28 (13.1)
Trophic change—hair, skin, or nails	33 (19.6)	121 (72.0)	14 (8.3)	34 (17.2)	140 (70.7)	24 (12.1)

not have met diagnostic criteria.¹³ As this is a clinical surveillance program, we relied on clinician reports of symptoms and signs. Two studies reported good agreement (51%-96% agreement) between physician examination and objective measures.^{28,31} The usual limits of retrospective chart review apply; when responses were reported as "unknown," it is not known if these items were not assessed or not recorded in the medical chart; some of these cases may have fulfilled criteria, but we excluded cases from the incidence calculation when symptoms and signs criteria were absent or unknown. Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program strives to optimize the response rate by sending regular reminders to participants. Owing to the voluntary nature of the surveillance program, cases may have been missed. Furthermore, other professions who diagnose CRPS would not be captured unless they referred to a pediatrician or pediatric pain clinic (eg, physical therapists, family physicians, and nonpediatric specialists such as orthopedic surgeons), as well as regions of Canada without access to pediatric specialist care or pediatric pain clinics. Clinical characteristics such as disease severity, time to diagnosis, treatments, and medication use may differ among

these patients. We asked clinicians to categorize pain subjectively because the variable use of pain intensity scales was expected. We are also unable to determine if some cases had discrete peripheral nerve damage to suggest CRPS type II. Electrodiagnostic testing was uncommon, and the number of cases with results available did not meet our threshold for minimum aggregate reporting. Although CRPS type II was typically classed as neuropathic pain previously,¹⁰ a recent consensus article states it should not be.¹³ The clinical relevance of this subgrouping remains unclear.¹³ Finally, a change in Quebec legislation midway through the study limited case reporting by primary pediatricians and subspecialist practicing outside of tertiary care.⁷ Because collaborating sites in academic centers had project-specific ethics approval, this change did not affect reporting from collaborating pediatric pain clinics in Quebec.

5. Conclusions

We report a minimum CRPS incidence rate of 1.14 per 100,000 person-years in Canadian children aged 2 to 18 years, with rising

Table 3

Health utilization and treatments since onset of symptoms.

	Confirmed cases ($N = 168$)	Total (N = 198)
Health visits (more than one possible)		
Psychiatric admission	0 (0)	<5
Pediatric or hospital admission	19 (11.3)	23 (11.6)
Transfer to tertiary care	39 (23.2)	46 (23.2)
Emergency department	102 (60.7)	117 (59.1)
Blood work received		
Complete blood count		
Yes or ordered	57 (33.9)	67 (34.0)
Unknown	26 (15.5)	31 (15.7)
No CRP	85 (50.6)	99 (50.3)
Yes or ordered	53 (31.5)	61 (31.0)
Unknown	29 (17.3)	34 (17.2)
No	86 (51.2)	102 (51.8)
ESR		
Yes or ordered	38 (22.6)	46 (23.4)
Unknown	30 (17.9)	35 (17.8)
No	100 (59.5)	116 (58.9)
Special investigations patients received for this problem (more than one possible) $\!\!\!\!^\star$		
CT of the brain	<5	<5
MRI of the brain	12 (7.1)	13 (6.6)
CT of the limb	13 (7.7)	17 (8.6)
Nerve conduction studies	15 (8.9)	18 (9.1)
Bone scan Ultrasound	35 (20.8) 35 (20.8)	36 (18.2) 42 (21.2)
MRI of the limb	86 (51.2)	98 (48.5)
Radiographs	140 (83.3)	163 (82.3)
Number of specialist referrals for this problem	- ()	
1	13 (7.7)	16 (8.1)
2	21 (12.5)	27 (13.6)
3	43 (25.6)	49 (24.7)
4	49 (29.2)	55 (27.8)
5	22 (13.1)	29 (14.6)
≥6	20 (11.9)	22 (11.1)
Specialist referrals for this problem (more than one possible)*		
Psychiatry	<5	<5
Counselor (social work or school counselor)	<5	5 (2.5)
Others or unspecified	<5 <5	< 5 < 5
Intensive pain rehab program Sports medicine or general practitioner with specialization	7 (4.2)	7 (3.5)
Surgical specialist (plastic surgeon, surgeon, neurosurgeon, or neurovascular)	10 (5.9)	12 (6.0)
Interventional anaesthesiologist or anesthesiologist	<5	<5
Internal medicine subspecialist (rheumatologist, neurologist, dermatologist, or	<5	<5
bone specialist)		
Physiatry or rehabilitation medicine	21 (12.5)	22 (11.1)
Occupational therapist	21 (13.2)	26 (13.1)
Pediatric neurology	22 (13.1)	30 (15.2)
Orthopedics	27 (16.1)	31 (15.7)
Pediatric rheumatology	42 (25.3) 54 (22.1)	53 (26.8)
General pediatrician Psychologist	54 (32.1) 59 (35.7)	66 (33.3) 66 (33.3)
Pediatric orthopedics	60 (36.3)	68 (34.3)
Multidisciplinary pain clinic, transition clinic, or somatic rehabilitation clinic	122 (72.6)	137 (69.2)
Physical therapist	140 (83.3)	165 (83.3)
Pain medications and adjuvants (more than one possible)*		
SNRIs	5 (2.9)	6 (3.0)
Sodium channel agents	5 (2.9)	6 (3.0)
Bisphosphonates	5 (2.9)	5 (2.5)
Medical cannabis	5 (2.9)	6 (3.0)
Ketamine infusion	6 (3.6)	6 (3.0)
Regional block SSRIs	8 (4.7) 9 (5.3)	9 (4.5)
Tramadol		9 (4.5) 15 (7.6)
11 a11auvi	14 (7.7)	10 (7.0)

	Confirmed cases ($N = 168$)	Total (N = 198)	
Other opioids	20 (11.9)	25 (12.6)	
Compounded topical	20 (11.9)	30 (15.1)	
Tricyclic antidepressants	35 (20.8)	41 (21.2)	
Topicals	39 (22.2)	46 (23.2)	
Gabapentinoids	88 (52.3)	96 (48.4)	
Acetaminophen	111 (66.0)	133 (67.1)	
Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatories	139 (82.7)	164 (82.8)	
Complementary medicine seen or advised for this problem (more than one			
possible)*			
Naturopath or reflexologist	5 (2.9)	5 (2.5)	
Acupuncture	12 (7.1)	12 (6.1)	
Massage therapist	13 (7.7)	15 (7.6)	
Chiropractor	23 (13.7)	26 (13.1)	
Exercise therapist	28 (17.8)	30 (15.1)	
Treatments initiated for this problem (more than one possible)*			
Botox injections	<5	<5	
Yoga	<5	<5	
TENS	25 (14.8)	28 (14.1)	
Pool hydrotherapy	34 (20.3)	37 (18.7)	
Bracing or AFO or boot; cast	57 (33.9)	58 (29.2)	
Orthotic or shoe inserts	15 (8.9)	18 (9.0)	
Graded motor imagery	65 (28.7)	71 (35.8)	
Psychological strategies	88 (62.4)	99 (50.0)	
Fitness exercise	91 (54.1)	107 (54.0)	
Desensitization	100 (59.5)	109 (55.0)	
Pain education	110 (65.6)	125 (63.1)	
Nutritional supplements initiated for this problem (more than one possible)*			
Vitamin C	37 (22.0)	40 (20.2)	
Omega 3	7 (4.2)	9 (4.5)	
Magnesium	11 (6.5)	12 (6.0)	
Others	5 (2.9)	5 (2.5)	

Table 3 (continued)

* Affirmative responses included "yes" and "ordered."

AFO, ankle foot orthosis; CRP, C-reactive protein; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CT, computed tomography; MRI, magnetic resonance imaging; SNRI, serotonin–norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor; SSRI, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor; TENS, transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation.

incidence after age 12 years. Most cases were female, had lower limb involvement, single site involvement, and trauma or injury as an inciting event. This 2-year surveillance study provides foundational data to describe pathways of referral for children with CRPS, their clinical presentation, and the diagnostic interventions and treatments used by Canadian pediatricians and pain specialists. Variability in reporting may represent geographic variation in referral patterns or underrecognition of CRPS. Asking systematically about CRPS symptoms may improve pediatric diagnostic yield with the Budapest Criteria.

Table 4

Incidence rate (pe	er 100,000 perso	on-years) of	complex regional
pain syndrome by	y sex and age g	roups (N =	157).

	Incidence	Lower 95% Cl	Upper 95% Cl
All ages			
All	1.14	0.93	1.35
Males	0.39	0.26	0.51
Females	1.93	1.65	2.19
<12 years old			
All	0.73	0.56	0.89
Males	0.20	0.14	0.28
Females	1.28	1.06	1.5
\geq 12 years old			
All	1.88	1.61	2.15
Males	0.73	0.56	0.89
Females	3.10	2.76	3.44

CI, confidence interval.

Treatment variability exists highlighting the need for empiric data to inform treatment of pediatric CRPS. Further research is needed to determine if modification of diagnostic criteria or a screening tool would improve identification of pediatric CRPS. Longitudinal studies are required to better understand the natural history of pediatric CRPS.

Conflict of interest statement

K. Baerg reports royalties from Brush Education and serves on the Board of Directors, Saskatchewan Pain Society Inc., outside the submitted work. S. M. Tupper serves as the Chair, Board of Directors, Saskatchewan Pain Society Inc., outside the submitted work. L. M. Chu reports he is a paid employee in the Department of Pediatrics, related to the submitted work. N. Cooke has nothing to disclose. B. D. Dick has nothing to disclose. M.-J. Doré-Bergeron has nothing to disclose. S. Findlay serves as Co-Chair, Ontario Chronic Pain Network, outside the submitted work. P. M. Ingelmo has nothing to disclose. C. Lamontagne has nothing to disclose. G. Mesaroli reports funding from RESTRA-COMP Clinician Scientist Training Program and Frederick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship (CGS-M) from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR), outside the submitted work. T. F. Oberlander has nothing to disclose. R. Poolacherla has nothing to disclose. A. O. Spencer has nothing to disclose. J. Stinson has nothing to disclose. G. A. Finley serves on the Executive Board, Canadian Pain Society, outside the submitted work.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the contribution of the collaborating Canadian pediatric pain clinics, the CPSP participants, and the CPSP. The authors express gratitude to Casey McMahon for her work supporting the study team and manuscript preparation, Melanie Laffin for project management support at CPSP, Dr. Félix Levesque for his work translating the clinical guestionnaires received in French, and Roya Gavanii for her work on preliminary analysis. The authors thank Stephanie Blackman, Adena Cox, Lauren Harris, Kathleen Lynch, Dr Nada Mohamed, and Maliha Muneer for their project coordination support at collaborating pediatric pain clinics and Kathy Reid for her contribution during the initial planning period of the study. This project was funded by the Chronic Pain Network, a Canadian Institutes for Health Research Patient Oriented Research Chronic Disease Network (reference #SCA-145102).K. Baerg reports funding from the Chronic Pain Network, Saskatchewan Health Research Foundation, University of Saskatchewan College of Medicine, and Jim Pattison Children's Hospital Foundation, related to the submitted work. B. D. Dick reports funding from the Chronic Pain Network and University of Alberta Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, related to the submitted work. P. M. Ingelmo reports funding from Montreal Children's Hospital Foundation and the Louis and Alan Edwards Foundation, related to the submitted work. C. Lamontagne reports funding from CHEO Development and Rehabilitation, related to the submitted work. J. Stinson reports funding from the Chronic Pain Network and The Hospital for Sick Children Department of Anesthesia and Pain Medicine, related to the submitted work. G. A. Finley reports funding from the Chronic Pain Network and Dalhousie University Department of Anesthesia, related to the submitted work. K. Baerg primarily conceived the study, in collaboration with S. M. Tupper and G. A. Finley. K. Baerg drafted the article, and all of the authors revised it critically for important intellectual content. N. Cooke, C. Lamontagne, and J. Stinson contributed to the study design. K. Baerg, B. D. Dick, M.-J. Doré-Bergeron, S. Findlay, P. M. Ingelmo, C. Lamontagne, G. Mesaroli, T. F. Oberlander, R. Poolacherla, A. O. Spencer, and G. A. Finley contributed to acquisition of data for the work. All authors contributed to analysis or interpretation of data for the work, approved the final version to be published, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Article history:

Received 20 March 2021 Received in revised form 28 August 2021 Accepted 7 September 2021 Available online 13 September 2021

References

- Abu-Arafeh H, Abu-Arafeh I. Complex regional pain syndrome in children: a systematic review of clinical features and movement disorders. Pain Manag 2017;7:133–40.
- [2] Abu-Arafeh H, Abu-Arafeh I. Complex regional pain syndrome in children: incidence and clinical characteristics. Arch Dis Child 2016;101:719–23.
- [3] Agrawal SK, Rittey CD, Harrower NA, Goddard JM, Mordekar SR. Movement disorders associated with complex regional pain syndrome in children. Dev Med Child Neurol 2009;51:557–62.
- [4] Bayle-Iniguez X, Audouin-Pajot C, Sales de Gauzy J, Munzer C, Murgier J, Accadbled F. Complex regional pain syndrome type I in children. Clinical description and quality of life. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2015; 101:745–8.
- [5] Brown S, Johnston B, Amaria K, Watkins J, Campbell F, Pehora C, McGrath P. A randomized controlled trial of amitriptyline versus gabapentin for complex regional pain syndrome type I and neuropathic pain in children. Scand J Pain 2016;13:156–63.

- [7] Canadian Paediatric Surveillance Program. CPSP 2019 results. 2020. p. 52. Available at: https://www.cpsp.cps.ca/uploads/publications/ CPSPResults2019.pdf. Accessed July 21, 2021.
- [8] Davis KD, Moayedi M. Central mechanisms of pain revealed through functional and structural MRI. J Neuroimmune Pharmacol 2013;8: 518–34.
- [9] Dietz FR, Compton SP. Outcomes of a simple treatment for complex regional pain syndrome type I in children. Iowa Orthop J 2015;35:175–80.
- [10] Fitzcharles MA, Cohen SP, Clauw DJ, Littlejohn G, Usui C, Häuser W. Nociplastic pain: towards an understanding of prevalent pain conditions. Lancet 2021;397:2098–110.
- [11] Friedrich Y, Zurakowski D, Sieberg C, Logan D, Sethna N. Evaluation of the Budapest criteria. International Symposium on Pediatric Pain, Basel, 2019. Available at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/333904220_ Diagnosing_Complex_Regional_Pain_Syndrome_CRPS_in_Children_ Evaluation_of_the_Budapest_Criteria. Accessed March 22, 2021.
- [12] Goebel A, Barker CH, Turner-Stokes L, et al. Complex regional pain syndrome in adults: UK guidelines for diagnosis, referral and management in primary and secondary care. 2018:97. Available at: https://www.rcplondon.ac.uk/guidelines-policy/complex-regional-painsyndrome-adults. Accessed July 21, 2021.
- [13] Goebel A, Birklein F, Brunner F, Clark JD, Gierthmühlen J, Harden N, Huygen F, Knudsen L, McCabe C, Lewis J, Maihöfner C, Magerl W, Moseley GL, Terkelsen A, Thomassen I, Bruehl S. The Valencia consensus-based adaptation of the IASP complex regional pain syndrome diagnostic criteria. PAIN 2021;162:2346–8.
- [14] Harden RN, Bruehl S, Perez RSGM, Birklein F, Marinus J, Maihofner C, Lubenow T, Buvanendran A, Mackey S, Graciosa J, Mogilevski M, Ramsden C, Chont M, Vatine J-J. Validation of proposed diagnostic criteria (the "budapest criteria") for complex regional pain syndrome. PAIN 2010;150:268–74.
- [15] Harden RN, Maihofner C, Abousaad E, Vatine J, Kirsling A. Validation of the complex regional pain syndrome severity score. PAIN 2017;158: 1430–6.
- [16] Harden RN, Oaklander AL, Burton AW, Perez RSGM, Richardson K, Swan M, Barthel J, Costa B, Graciosa JR, Bruehl S. Complex regional pain syndrome: practical diagnostic and treatment guidelines, 4th edition. Pain Med 2013;14:180–229.
- [17] IBM Corp. IBM Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) statistics for Windows, version 27.0. 2020. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp.
- [18] Lacasse A, Ware MA, Dorais M, Lanctôt H, Choinière M. Is the Quebec provincial administrative database a valid source for research on chronic non-cancer pain? Pharmacoepidemiol Drug Saf 2015;24:980–90.
- [19] Lascombes P, Mamie C. Complex regional pain syndrome type I in children: what is new? Orthop Traumatol Surg Res 2017;103:S135–42.
- [20] Lee BH, Scharff L, Sethna NF, McCarthy CF, Scott-Sutherland J, Shea AM, Sullivan P, Meier P, Zurakowski D, Masek BJ, Berde CB. Physical therapy and cognitive-behavioral treatment for complex regional pain syndromes. J Pediatr 2002;141:135–40.
- [21] Liossi C, Clinch J, Howard R. Need for early recognition and multidisciplinary management of paediatric complex regional pain syndrome. BMJ 2015;351:h4748.
- [22] Logan DE, Williams SE, Carullo VP, Claar RL, Bruehl S, Berde CB. Children and adolescents with complex regional pain syndrome: more psychologically distressed than other children in pain? Pain Res Manag 2013;18:87–93.
- [23] Low AK, Ward K, Wines AP. Pediatric complex regional pain syndrome. J Pediatr Orthop 2007;27:567–72.
- [24] Mesaroli G, Cullen-dean G, Munns C, Brown S. Diagnostic delay in pediatric complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS): current problem and potential solutions. Pediatr Pain Lett 2021;23:1–5.
- [25] Mesaroli G, Hundert A, Birnie KA, Campbell F, Stinson J. Screening and diagnostic tools for complex regional pain syndrome: a systematic review. PAIN 2020;162:1295–304.
- [26] Mesaroli G, Ruskin D, Campbell F, Kronenberg S, Klein S, Hundert A, Stinson J. Clinical features of pediatric complex regional pain syndrome: a 5-year retrospective chart review. Clin J Pain 2019;35: 933–40.
- [27] de Mos M, de Bruijn AGJ, Huygen FJPM, Dieleman JP, Stricker BHC, Sturkenboom MCJM. The incidence of complex regional pain syndrome: a population-based study. PAIN 2007;129:12–20.
- [28] Oerlemans HM, Oostendorp RA, de Boo T, Perez RS, Goris RJ. Signs and symptoms in complex regional pain syndrome type I/reflex sympathetic dystrophy: judgment of the physician versus objective measurement. Clin J Pain 1999;15:224–32.

- [29] Palermo TM. Impact of recurrent and chronic pain on child and family daily functioning: a critical review of the literature. J Dev Behav Pediatr 2000; 21:58–69.
- [30] Pedemonte Stalla V, Medici Olaso C, Kanopa Almada V, Gonzalez Rabelino G. Complex regional pain syndrome type i. An analysis of 7 cases in children. Neurologia 2015;30:347–51.
- [31] Perez RSGM, Burm PET, Zuurmond WWA, Bezemer PD, Brink HEJ, de Lange JJ. Physicians' assessments versus measured symptoms of complex regional pain syndrome type 1: presence and severity. Clin J Pain 2005;21:272–6.
- [32] Sandroni P, Benrud-Larson LM, McClelland RL, Low PA. Complex regional pain syndrome type I: incidence and prevalence in Olmsted county, a population-based study. PAIN 2003;103:199–207.
- [33] Scottish Government. Management of chronic pain in children and young people: a national clinical guideline. Scottish Government, 2018. Available at: http://www. gov.scot/Resource/0053/00533194.pdf. Accessed March 20, 2021.

- [34] Statistics Canada. Table 17-10-0005-01 Population estimates on July 1st, by age and sex. n.d. Available at: https://doi.org/10.25318/ 1710000501-eng. Accessed March 20, 2021.
- [35] Wager J, Brehmer H, Hirschfeld G, Zernikow B. Psychological distress and stressful life events in pediatric complex regional pain syndrome. Pain Res Manag 2015;20:189–94.
- [36] Weissmann R, Uziel Y. Pediatric complex regional pain syndrome: a review. Pediatr Rheumatol 2016;14:1–10.
- [37] Wilder RT, Berde CB, Wolohan M, Vieyra MA, Masek BJ, Micheli LJ. Reflex sympathetic dystrophy in children. Clinical characteristics and follow-up of seventy patients. J Bone Joint Surg Am 1992;74:910–19.
- [38] World Health Organization. WHO guideline for the management of chronic pain in children. 2020. Available at: https://www.who.int/maternal_child_ adolescent/guidelines/development/ch-management-of-chronic-painguideline-in-children/en/. Accessed September 26, 2020.