

The efficacy of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist treatment before hysteroscopic myomectomy for large-sized submucosal leiomyoma

Mia Park, MD^a, Min Soon Song, MD^a, Byung Hun Kang, MD, PhD^b, Soo Youn Song, MD, PhD^b, Geon Woo Lee, MD^a, Ye Won Jung, MD^b, Won Kyo Shin, MD^b, Young Bok Ko, MD, PhD^b, Ki Hwan Lee, MD, PhD^b, Heon Jong Yoo, MD, PhD^{b,*}

Abstract

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of a gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist for treating large-sized submucosal leiomyoma before hysteroscopic myomectomy.

The data were retrospectively collected from patients who underwent a hysteroscopic myomectomy for a submucosal leiomyoma >3.5 cm in size from January 2009 to December 2018. The patients were divided into the GnRH group and the control group according to whether they were pretreated before surgery.

A total of 61 patients were included in the study, 31 in the GnRH agonist group and 30 in the control group. At diagnosis, the maximum leiomyoma diameter was similar between the 2 groups $(4.67 \pm 0.6 \text{ cm} \text{ in the GnRH} \text{ agonist group vs } 3.82 \pm 0.6 \text{ cm} \text{ in the control group}$, P = .061). After pretreatment with the GnRH agonist, the maximum diameter was significantly smaller in the GnRH agonist group compared to the control group $(3.82 \pm 0.6 \text{ vs } 4.33 \pm 0.8 \text{ cm}, \text{ respectively}, P = .004)$. The leiomyoma volume in the GnRH agonist group decreased by 55.6%, from 41.68 ± 15.7 to $23.19 \pm 10.4 \text{ cm}^3$, which led to significant differences in leiomyoma volume between the 2 groups $(23.19 \pm 10.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ in the GnRH} \text{ agonist group vs } 33.22 \pm 24.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ in the control group}$, P = .042). The GnRH agonist group showed a shorter operation time (37.7 vs 43.9 minutes, P = .040) and less uterine distention media was used (6800 vs 9373.3 mL, P = .037) compared to the control group. Postoperative complications such as estimated blood loss, remnant leiomyoma, and recurrence were similar between the 2 groups.

Treatment with a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy for large submucosal leiomyoma might decrease the volume of the leiomyoma, reduce operation time, and the amount of uterine-distension media used without surgical complications.

Abbreviations: GnRH = gonadotropin-releasing hormone, Hb = hemoglobin.

Keywords: gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist, hysteroscopic myomectomy, large-sized submucosal leiomyoma

1. Introduction

Uterine leiomyomas are the most common benign tumors in the female genital tract. Especially, submucosal leiomyomas are estimated to be the cause of 10% of cases of abnormal uterine bleeding, dysmenorrhea, and infertility.^[1,2] Hysteroscopic myomectomy is preferred over the abdominal approach in cases of submucosal leiomyomas because of the shorter duration of hospital stay, and reduced chances of endometrial damage and cesarean section after pregnancy.^[3] However, hysteroscopic myomectomy can be difficult when leiomyomas are large and numerous.^[4]

Gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) agonist treatment prior to surgery might reduce operative risks by decreasing

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

^a Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, Chungnam National University School of Medicine, Daejeon, Korea, ^b Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chungnam National University School of Medicine, Chungnam National University Hospital, Jung-gu, Deajeon, Republic of Korea.

*Correspondence: Heon Jong Yoo, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Chungnam National University School of Medicine, Chungnam National University leiomyoma size and vascularization of the leiomyoma.^[5] GnRH agonists are approved for the pretreatment of leiomyomas in myomectomy. Pretreatment with GnRH agonist improves pre and postoperative hemoglobin (Hb) levels and reduces the uter-ine and leiomyoma volume.^[6]

However, there is a conflict of opinion regarding pretreatment with GnRH agonist for hysteroscopic myomectomy. Some studies revealed that treatment with GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy could reduce the size of submucosal leiomyomas, endometrial thickness, and mucous debris which help clear the vision of the surgical field, resulting in decreased surgical morbidity including bleeding, operation time.^[7–9] In contrast, some studies showed that treatment with GnRH agonists

Sejong Hospital, 20, Bodeum 7 ro, Sejong 30099, Republic of Korea (e-mail: bell4184@gmail.com).

Copyright © 2022 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial License 4.0 (CCBY-NC), where it is permissible to download, share, remix, transform, and buildup the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be used commercially without permission from the journal.

How to cite this article: Park M, Song MS, Kang BH, Song SY, Lee GW, Jung YW, Shin WK, Ko YB, Lee KH, Yoo HJ. The efficacy of gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonist treatment before hysteroscopic myomectomy for large-sized submucosal leiomyoma. Medicine 2022;101:31(e29726).

Received: 21 December 2021 / Received in final form: 10 May 2022 / Accepted: 17 May 2022

http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.000000000029726

MP, MSS, and BHK contributed equally to this work.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chungnam National University Hospital (IRB no. 2020-05-092). The need for informed consent was waived due to the retrospective nature of this study. All information obtained from the patients' medical records was anonymized and de-identified prior to analysis.

before hysteroscopic myomectomy caused serious bleeding, prolonged operation time and reduced myometrium thickness, which could lead to uterine perforation.^[10-13]

Unfortunately, most studies have included patients with relatively small-sized leiomyomas, and only a few studies using GnRH agonist treatment before the hysteroscopic myomectomy of large-sized leiomyomas have been reported.^[14] There are studies that even large-sized submucosal leiomyomas are contraindicated in hysteroscopic myomectomy.^[11,15,16] Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the efficacy and safety of using a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy in patients with large-sized submucosal leiomyomas with a diameter >3.5 cm.

2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective study was conducted at Chungnam National University Hospital and was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the hospital (IRB no. 2020-05-092). The data were collected from patients who underwent a hysteroscopic myomectomy for a submucosal leiomyoma from January 2009 to December 2018. Submucosal leiomyoma was diagnosed based on the patient's symptoms, physical examination, and ultrasonography. Transvaginal ultrasound examinations were performed to measure the maximal diameter of the leiomyoma and identify the location, number, and type of leiomyoma at the first visit and just before surgery. Submucosal leiomyomas were divided according to the Wamsteker classification and the classification of Lasmar.^[17,18] Leiomyoma volume was calculated by multiplying all 3 measures by a constant of 0.52 (length × anteroposterior diameter × transverse diameter × 0.52).^[19]

All patients were counseled about the potential benefits and risks of hysteroscopic myomectomy. The inclusion criteria were reproductive age of 18 to 50 years, a submucosal leiomyoma >3.5 cm in the maximum longitudinal diameter on ultrasound, and at least 1 clinical symptom such as hypermenorrhea or dysmenorrhea or infertility. The exclusion criteria were age >50 years or postmenopausal status, age <18 years, the presence of >2 submucosal leiomyomas, concomitant laparoscopic myomectomy, previous hysteroscopic myomectomy history, and the presence of malignancy confirmed by histopathologic examination. Of the 61 patients, 31 underwent GnRH agonist treatment before hysteroscopic myomectomy. The decision to treat with a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy was made preoperatively based on the clinical characteristics of the patient with abundant hypermenorrhea and abnormal uterine bleeding for >7 days.

Demographic information on the patient, obstetric history, characteristics of the leiomyoma (size, location, and weight), preoperative and postoperative symptoms, surgical data (surgical apparatus (bipolar or monopolar), input and output volume of uterine-distending media), short-term outcomes (operation time, estimated blood loss, complications, and duration of hospital stay), and long-term outcomes (symptom scores for 2 years, presence of remnant leiomyoma, recurrence, and pregnancy) were reviewed. For patients in the GnRH agonist group, GnRH agonist (Zoladex®) was administered at 3.75 mg by subcutaneous injection twice before surgery at 4-week intervals. The patients in the control group underwent hysteroscopic myomectomy without any kind of pretreatment. Surgery was performed either under spinal or general anesthesia according to the anesthesiologist's decision. Four skilled senior gynecologic surgeons conducted the surgeries. Surgery was performed using monopolar or bipolar resectoscopes according to the individual surgeons' judgment. The uterine-distending media was Urosol® solution (a mixture of 2.7% sorbitol and 0.54% mannitol) in cases with a monopolar resectoscope and normal saline solution for a

bipolar resectoscope. The input and output uterine-distending media volume was checked after surgery. The patients were followed up at 1, 6, and 12 months during the first year after hysteroscopic myomectomy, followed by annual visits thereafter. Each time, the patient's history was obtained and physical examination and transvaginal ultrasonography were performed.

The distribution of the patient characteristics between the GnRH agonist and control groups was compared using the 2-sample *t* test for continuous variables (or the Wilcoxon rank test when the expected frequency within any cell was <5) and the χ^2 test (or Fisher exact test when the expected frequency within any cell was <5) for the categorical variables. Multivariate linear regression analysis was used to determine the factors affecting operative time for hysteroscopic myomectomy.

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software version 24.0 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL) and a *P* value of <.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Eighty-four eligible patients underwent hysteroscopic myomectomy. Twenty-three patients were excluded because they had more than 2 submucosal leiomyomas (n = 14), underwent concomitant laparoscopic myomectomy (n = 8), or were diagnosed with malignancy by histopathologic examination (n = 1). Of the 61 patients included in this study, 31 were treated with a GnRH agonist prior to surgery and 30 were not (Fig. 1). The demographic characteristics, preoperative white blood cell count, Hb level, and type, size, and volume of the leiomyomas in the 2 groups are given in Table 1. There was a statistically significant difference between the 2 groups only at the Hb level (P = .020).

As shown in Table 2, the mean maximum diameter of the leiomyomas in the GnRH agonist group decreased from 4.67 ± 0.6 to 3.82 ± 0.6 cm after pretreatment with the GnRH agonist. As a result, the leiomyoma diameters were significantly different between the 2 groups $(3.82 \pm 0.6$ cm in the GnRH agonist group vs 4.33 ± 0.8 cm in the control group, P = .004).

Likewise, the leiomyoma volume in the GnRH agonist group decreased by 55.6%, from 41.68 ± 15.7 to 23.19 ± 10.4 cm³ after pretreatment with GnRH agonist, which led to significant differences in leiomyoma volume between the 2 groups $(23.19 \pm 10.4 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ in the GnRH agonist group vs } 33.22 \pm 24.7 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ in the control group, } P = .042$; Fig. 2).

These differences, which were diagnosed by ultrasonography, were confirmed by comparing the weight of the removed leiomyoma after surgery between the 2 groups $(22.32 \pm 11.9g$ in the GnRH agonist group vs $33.20 \pm 25.0g$ in the control group, P = .033).

Surgical outcomes were compared between the 2 groups (Table 2). The operation time was shorter in the GnRH agonist group compared to the control group $(37.71 \pm 10.2 \text{ vs} 43.97 \pm 12.9 \text{ minutes}$, respectively, P = .040). The input volume was smaller, and the imbalance (input minus output) in uterine-distending media was less in the GnRH agonist group compared to the control group (P = .037 and P = .005, respectively). Neither the estimated blood loss nor the Hb decrease was significantly different between the 2 groups.

In the 2 groups, there was no significant increase before and after surgery in white blood cell count, and there was no significant difference between the 2 groups in the duration of hospital stay.

Uterine balloon tamponade was maintained in 3 patients in the GnRH agonist group and 1 patient in the control group due to intraoperative bleeding. There were no complications such as uterine perforation or intravasation of the fluid during surgery. Remnant leiomyomas were only present in cases where uterine



Figure 1. Flow diagram outlining selection of patients. GnRH = gonadotropin-releasing hormone.

	16 I
1.1	

Baseline characteristics in	2 aroups at the f	irst visit.
-----------------------------	-------------------	-------------

	Control group	GnRH agonist group	
Characteristics	(n = 30)	(n = 31)	P value
Age (yr)	42.07 ± 5.1	41.19 ± 5.7	.530
Diabetes mellitus	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.2%)	1.000
Hypertension	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	1.000
WBC count (/µL)	6094.67 ± 2172.4	5712.90 ± 1424.0	.419
Hb level (g/dL)	11.01 ± 1.5	10.15 ± 1.2	.018
Gravidity	3.13 ± 1.7	2.48 ± 1.4	.106
Parity(%)			.105
0	0 (0%)	4 (12.8%)	
1	5 (16.7%)	3 (9.7%)	
≥2	25 (83.3%)	24 (77.4%)	
Wamsteker score			.870
GO	9 (30.0%)	10 (32.3%)	
G1	8 (26.7%)	8 (25.8%)	
G2	13 (43.3%)	13 (41.9%)	
Lasmar score, 0–9	5.79 ± 1.8	6.31 ± 1.6	.244
Size of leiomyoma at the first visit	t		
Maximum diameter (cm)	4.33 ± 0.8	4.67 ± 0.6	.061
Maximum diameter group			<.001
3.5–3.9 cm	9 (30.0%)	5 (16.1%)	
4–4.9 cm	17 (56.7%)	14 (45.2%)	
5–5.9 cm	2 (6.7%)	11 (35.5%)	
≥6 cm	2 (6.7%)	1 (3.2%)	
Volume (cm ³)	33.22 ± 24.7	41.68 ± 15.7	.114

GnRH = gonadotropin-releasing hormone, Hb = hemoglobin, WBC = white blood cell.

balloon tamponade was performed. Recurrence occurred in 2 patients in the GnRH agonist group and 1 patient in the control group. Five patients in the GnRH agonist group and 2 patients

in the control group achieved spontaneous pregnancy during the 2-year follow-up period.

Using Pearson correlation analysis, we determined that longer operation time was significantly correlated with other adverse surgical outcomes such as greater fluid imbalance, more blood loss, and longer hospital stay. Therefore, a predictive model for operation time was developed using multiple linear regression analysis (Table 3). Operation time was determined to be significantly related to the posttreatment volume of the leiomyoma, and a regression-based equation to predict operation time was obtained as follows: predicted operation time = $0.250 \times \text{post-treatment}$ myoma volume + 33.753

4. Discussion

In the present study, treatment with a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy for a large submucosal leiomyoma with a diameter >3.5 cm decreased the size of the leiomyoma, and reduced operation time and the amount of uterine-distension media used. Indman^[11] reported a large-sized leiomyoma as a contraindication for GnRH agonist pretreatment in hysteroscopic surgery because of the possibility of severe hemorrhaging. Other authors suggested pretreatment with GnRH agonists particularly for fibroids with a diameter of >3 cm as well as for patients with secondary anemia.^[20-22] In the present study, the administration of a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy significantly reduced the size of the leiomyoma, operation time, and input volume of uterine-distending media without increasing surgical morbidity. These results should be further verified in studies based on a large prospective cohort.

The size of the leiomyoma was reported to be one of the crucial factors in 1-step hysteroscopic myomectomy.^[23] According to a previous study, 1-step hysteroscopic myomectomy was curative

Table 2		
Perioperative	lata and surgical outcome	es.

		GnRH agonists	
	Control group	group	Р
	(n = 30)	(n = 31)	value
Size of leiomyoma at the time			
of surgery			
Maximum diameter (cm)	4.33 ± 0.8	3.82 ± 0.6	.004
Volume (cm ³)	33.22 ± 24.7	23.19 ± 10.4	.042
Weight (g)	33.20 ± 25.0	22.32 ± 11.9	.033
Type of anesthesia			.202
Spinal	14 (46.7%)	20 (64.5%)	
General	16 (53.3%)	11 (35.5%)	
Surgical apparatus			1.000
Bipolar	10 (33.3%)	11 (35.5%)	
Monopolar	20 (66.7%)	20 (64.5%%)	
Type of media			1.000
Urosol	20 (66.7%)	20 (64.5%)	
Normal saline	10 (33.3%)	11 (35.5%)	
Operation time (min)	43.97 ± 12.9	37.71 ± 10.2	.040
Uterine-distending media (mL)			
Input	9373.33 ± 5657.8	6800.00 ± 3552.4	.037
Output	8723.33 ± 5453.9	6432.26 ± 3266.1	.050
Imbalance (input – output)	650.00 ± 318.1	367.74 ± 430.0	.005
Estimated blood loss (mL)	27.03 ± 42.1	25.84 ± 42.0	.914
Perioperative WBC count			
Preoperative WBC count (/µL)	6094.67 ± 2172.4	5712.90 ± 1424.0	.419
Postoperative WBC count (/µL)	6449.33 ± 1744.9	6500.97 ± 1792.56	.910
Perioperative Hb level			
Preoperative Hb level (g/dL)	11.01 ± 1.5	10.15 ± 1.2	.018
Postoperative Hb level (g/dL)	10.83 ± 1.5	10.07 ± 1.3	.039
Hemoglobin decrease (g/dL)	0.18 ± 1.2	0.09 ± 0.8	.682
Duration of hospital stay (d)	3.50 ± 1.1	3.13 ± 0.3	.080
Uterine balloon tamponade	1 (3.3%)	3 (9.7%)	.612
Remnant leiomyoma	1 (3.3%)	3 (9.7%)	.612
Recurrence	1 (3.3%)	2 (6.5%)	1.00
Pregnancy	2 (6.7%)	5 (16.1%)	.425

 ${\sf GnRH} = {\sf gonadotropin-releasing \ hormone, \ Hb} = {\sf hemoglobin, \ WBC} = {\sf white \ blood \ cell}.$

for only 61.9% of the patients with leiomyomas with a diameter >3 cm.^[24] In the present study, nearly all leiomyomas with a diameter of >3.5 cm were treated with 1-step hysteroscopy except for 3 cases. All 3 hysteroscopic myomectomy patients had leiomyomas with a diameter of >5 cm (3 in the GnRH agonist group and 1 in the control group). The high success rate of 1-step hysteroscopic myomectomy in the patients with largesized leiomyomas in our study might indicate the role of GnRH agonists in such cases by decreasing the size of the leiomyomas.

The intravasation of fluid is an important complication when performing hysteroscopic myomectomy.^[25] Excessive fluid absorption occurs through the open veins of the leiomyoma and through transperitoneal absorption from possible retrograde flow through the fallopian tubes. The influencing factors include the size of the leiomyoma,^[26,27] duration of the operation, and total inflow volume.^[28] In the present study, pretreatment with a GnRH agonist reduced the size and volume of the leiomyoma, led to shorter operation times, and subsequently, reduced the amount of total inflow volume. As a result, none of the patients experienced excessive intravasation of the uterine-distending media. Pretreatment with GnRH agonists might be an effective strategy to reduce the rate of intravasation during hysteroscopic myomectomy in patients who have risk factors for intravasation such as large uterine leiomyomas.

Our study had several limitations. First, there was a possibility of selection bias because of the retrospective nature of the study. Second, the volume of the leiomyomas was estimated using a formula rather than by direct measurement of the volume using magnetic resonance imaging or 3-dimensional ultrasound. Third, there were no data comparing the administration of 1 or 2 injections of the GnRH agonist. Despite these limitations, this was the first study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of GnRH agonist pretreatment in patients with large submucosal leiomyomas with a mean diameter >3.5 cm, who are expected to derive the maximum benefit from preoperative GnRH agonist.



Table 3

Univariate and multivariate analyses of operation time in hysteroscopic surgery.

	Univariate			Multivariate		
Variable	Regression coefficient	SE	P value	Regression coefficient	SE	P value
Intercept				33.753	2.501	.000*
Age	-0.567	0.280	.047*	-0.294	0.288	.311
Gravidity	1.012	0.982	.307			
Parity	1.283	2.203	.562			
Lasmar score	1.654	0.946	.086	0.889	1.048	.400
Posttreatment leiomyoma volume	0.250	0.073	.001*	0.250	0.073	.001*
Leiomyoma weight	0.208	0.072	.006*	0.043	0.147	.769

Adjusted R² = 0.150, P = .001. Dubin-Watson = 1.717.

SE = standard error.

*P value <.05.

In conclusion, in cases of large submucosal leiomyomas with a diameter of >3.5 cm, administering a GnRH agonist before hysteroscopic myomectomy might reduce the volume of the leiomyoma, which leads to shorter operation time and the use of less uterine-distension solution without surgical complications.

References

- [1] Boosz AS, Reimer P, Matzko M, et al. The conservative and interventional treatment of fibroids. Dtsch Arztebl Int. 2014;111:877–83.
- [2] Wallach EE, Vlahos NF. Uterine myomas: an overview of development, clinical features, and management. Obstet Gynecol. 2004;104:393–406.
- [3] Capmas P, Levaillant JM, Fernandez H. Surgical techniques and outcome in the management of submucous fibroids. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2013;25:332–8.
- [4] Namkung J, Kang SY, Chung YJ, et al. Multidisciplinary approach in large-sized submucosal myoma: hysteroscopic myomectomy after uterine artery embolization. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2019;26:643–7.
- [5] de Milliano I, Huirne JAF, Thurkow AL, et al. Ulipristal acetate vs gonadotropin-releasing hormone agonists prior to laparoscopic myomectomy (MYOMEX trial): short-term results of a double-blind randomized controlled trial. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2020;99:89–98.
- [6] Lethaby A, Vollenhoven B, Sowter M. Pre-operative GnRH analogue therapy before hysterectomy or myomectomy for uterine fibroids. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 0547;2001:CD00.
- [7] Di Spiezio Sardo A, Mazzon I, Bramante S, et al. Hysteroscopic myomectomy: a comprehensive review of surgical techniques. Hum Reprod Update. 2008;14:101–19.
- [8] Mavrelos D, Ben-Nagi J, Davies A, et al. The value of pre-operative treatment with GnRH analogues in women with submucous fibroids: a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. Hum Reprod. 2010;25:2264–9.
- [9] Muzii L, Boni T, Bellati F, et al. GnRH analogue treatment before hysteroscopic resection of submucous myomas: a prospective, randomized, multicenter study. Fertil Steril. 2010;94:1496–9.
- [10] Bradley LD. Complications in hysteroscopy: prevention, treatment and legal risk. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 2002;14:409–15.
- [11] Indman PD. Hysteroscopic treatment of menorrhagia associated with uterine leiomyomas. Obstet Gynecol. 1993;81(5 Pt 1):716–20.
- [12] Campo S, Campo V, Gambadauro P. Short-term and long-term results of resectoscopic myomectomy with and without pretreatment with GnRH analogs in premenopausal women. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2005;84:756–60.
- [13] Favilli A, Mazzon I, Grasso M, et al. Intraoperative effect of preoperative gonadotropin-releasing hormone analogue administration in

women undergoing cold loop hysteroscopic myomectomy: a randomized controlled trial. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2018;25:706–14.

- [14] Camanni M, Bonino L, Delpiano EM, et al. Hysteroscopic management of large symptomatic submucous uterine myomas. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2010;17:59–65.
- [15] Donnez J, Polet R, Smets M, et al. Hysteroscopic myomectomy. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol. 1995;7:311–6.
- [16] Wang C-J, Yu H-T, Wu P-J, et al. Laparoscopic myomectomy instead of hysteroscopic myomectomy for large submucous fibroids. 2013;2:93–5.
- [17] Wamsteker K, Emanuel MH. de Kruif JH. Transcervical hysteroscopic resection of submucous fibroids for abnormal uterine bleeding: results regarding the degree of intramural extension. Obstet Gynecol. 1993;82:736–40.
- [18] Lasmar RB, Barrozo PR, Dias R, et al. Submucous myomas: a new presurgical classification to evaluate the viability of hysteroscopic surgical treatment—preliminary report. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2005;12:308–11.
- [19] Zivkovic N, Zivkovic K, Despot A, et al. Measuring the volume of uterine fibroids using 2- and 3-dimensional ultrasound and comparison with histopathology. Acta Clin Croat. 2012;51:579–89.
- [20] Romer T. Benefit of GnRH analogue pretreatment for hysteroscopic surgery in patients with bleeding disorders. Gynecol Obstet Invest. 1998;45(Suppl 1):12–20.
- [21] Romer T, Schmidt T, Foth D. Pre- and postoperative hormonal treatment in patients with hysteroscopic surgery. Contrib Gynecol Obstet. 2000;20:1–12.
- [22] Valle RF. Development of hysteroscopy: from a dream to a reality, and its linkage to the present and future. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2007;14:407–18.
- [23] Saccardi C, Conte L, Fabris A, et al. Hysteroscopic enucleation in toto of submucous type 2 myomas: long-term follow-up in women affected by menorrhagia. J Minim Invasive Gynecol. 2014;21:426–30.
- [24] Keskin M, Çakmak D, Yarcı Gürsoy A, et al. Single-step hysteroscopic myomectomy for submucous leiomyoma. Turk J Obstet Gynecol. 2020;17:139–42.
- [25] Murakami T, Tachibana M, Hoshiai T, et al. Successful strategy for the hysteroscopic myomectomy of a submucous myoma arising from the uterine fundus. Fertil Steril. 2006;86:1513e19–22.
- [26] Maher PJ, Hill DJ. Transcervical endometrial resection for abnormal uterine bleeding--report of 100 cases and review of the literature. Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol. 1990;30:357–60.
- [27] Loffer FD. Preliminary experience with the versapoint bipolar resectoscope using a vaporizing electrode in a saline distending medium. J Am Assoc Gynecol Laparosc. 2000;7:498–502.
- [28] Corson SL, Brooks PG, Serden SP, et al. Effects of vasopressin administration during hysteroscopic surgery. J Reprod Med. 1994;39:419–23.