



Differential effects of stress and African ancestry on preterm birth and related traits among US born and immigrant Black mothers

Hui-Ju Tsai, MPH, PhD^{a,b,c,*}, Pamela J. Surkan, ScD^{d,e}, Stella M. Yu, ScD^f, Deanna Caruso, MS^e, Xiumei Hong, PhD^e, Tami R. Bartell, BS^g, Anastacia D. Wahl, MD^h, Claire Sampankanpanich, MD^e, Anne Reily, PhD^e, Barry S. Zuckerman, MD^h, Xiaobin Wang, MD, ScD^{e,i}

Abstract

Preterm birth (PTB, <37 weeks of gestation) is influenced by a wide range of environmental, genetic and psychosocial factors, and their interactions. However, the individual and joint effects of genetic factors and psychosocial stress on PTB have remained largely unexplored among U.S. born versus immigrant mothers.

We studied 1121 African American women from the Boston Birth Cohort enrolled from 1998 to 2008. Regression-based analyses were performed to examine the individual and joint effects of genetic ancestry and stress (including lifetime stress [LS] and stress during pregnancy [PS]) on PTB and related traits among U.S. born and immigrant mothers.

Significant associations between LS and PTB and related traits were found in the total study population and in immigrant mothers, including gestational age, birthweight, PTB, and spontaneous PTB; but no association was found in U.S. born mothers. Furthermore, significant joint associations of LS (or PS) and African ancestral proportion (AAP) on PTB were found in immigrant mothers, but not in U.S. born mothers.

Although, overall, immigrant women had lower rates of PTB compared to U.S. born women, our study is one of the first to identify a subset of immigrant women could be at significantly increased risk of PTB and related outcomes if they have high AAP and are under high LS or PS. In light of the growing number of immigrant mothers in the U.S., our findings may have important clinical and public health implications.

Abbreviations: AAP = African ancestral proportion, AIM = ancestry informative marker, BMI = body mass index, LBW = low birthweight, LS = lifetime stress, PS = stress during pregnancy, PTB = preterm birth, SES = socioeconomics.

Keywords: genetic ancestry, preterm birth, stress

Funding/support: The study was supported in part by the March of Dimes PERI grants (20-FY02-56; #21-FY07-605), the NIEHS (R21 ES011666), the Maternal and Child Health Bureau (R40MC27443), and the NICHD (2R01 HD041702, R21HD066471, R21HD085556; R21 HD085556).

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

^a Division of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Institute of Population Health Sciences, National Health Research Institutes, Zhunan, ^b Department of Public Health, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan., ^c Department of Pediatrics, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, ^d Department of International Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, ^e Center on the Early Life Origins of Disease, Department of Population, Family and Reproductive Health, Bloomberg School of Public Health, Bloomberg School of Leader, School of Medicine, Chicago, IL, ^h Department of Pediatrics, Boston University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD, USA.

* Correspondence: Hui-Ju Tsai, Division of Biostatistics and Bioinformatics, Institute of Population Health Sciences, National Health Research Institutes, Zhunan, Taiwan

(e-mail: tsaihj@nhri.org.tw; h-tsai@northwestern.edu).

Copyright © 2017 the Author(s). Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc.

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Medicine (2017) 96:5(e5899)

Received: 14 January 2016 / Received in final form: 13 December 2016 / Accepted: 23 December 2016 http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000005899

Editor: Kimon Chatzistamatiou.

Authorship: All of the authors' contributions are listed as follow. Drs HJT and XW conceptualized, designed, and supervised the study and secured funding for the study. Drs HJT, PS, SMY, and XW interpreted the results and drafted and revised the manuscript. Ms DC, Dr XH, Ms AW, and Ms TB assisted in data collection, interpreted the results, and participated in drafting and revising the manuscript. Dr AR, Dr CS, and Dr BZ provided intellectual input, assisted in data interpretation, and participated in drafting and revising the manuscript.

Details of ethics approval: The Institutional Review Boards of Boston University Medical Center, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health approved the study protocol, and all participants gave written informed consent.

1. Introduction

Preterm birth (PTB) is commonly defined as a baby born before 37 weeks of gestation.^[1] In spite of unprecedented advancements in biomedical research, the rate of PTB remains high in the U.S. at about 12.5%.^[2] PTB threatens our children across racial groups, particularly African Americans (17.8% compared to 11.5% in non-Hispanic Whites). PTB is the major cause of neonatal mortality and postnatal morbidity, with an annual societal economic burden in the U.S. estimated to be at least \$26.2 billion in 2005.^[2]

As underscored by the Institute of Medicine report in 2006 and demonstrated by ours and other groups,^[3,4] PTB is the final outcome of multiple pathogenic pathways, including intrauterine infection/inflammation, uteroplacental thrombosis and intrauterine vascular lesions associated with fetal stress or decidual hemorrhage, uterine over-distension, and cervical insufficiency. These potential pathogenic pathways may individually and/or jointly affect PTB.

There is also compelling evidence that PTB is influenced by a wide range of environmental, genetic and social factors, and their interactions.^[1,5–7] For many years, research on the causative factors of PTB has primarily focused on demographic, social-behavioral, and environmental risk factors.^[2] Among those, stress is one of the known environmental risk factors associated with PTB. For example, previous results from animal studies have demonstrated that chronic stress in pregnant rats can lead to precursors of PTB such as weight loss and increased blood pressure, etc.^[8] Furthermore, observational studies have suggested that depression, anxiety, and other psychopathologies during pregnancy are positively associated with an increased risk of PTB.^[9,10]

In addition to stress, numerous studies, including our work and the work of other groups, have documented that genetics play a role in influencing the development of PTB.^[7,11,12] For example, Tsai et al^[6] found that a higher proportion of African ancestry is associated with an elevated risk of PTB and related adverse pregnancy outcomes in African American mothers. In addition, Manuck et al^[13] applied an admixture mapping approach and identified a susceptibility locus residing on chromosome 7 among African American mothers with spontaneous PTB. However, the effects of genetic ancestry, stress, and their interaction on PTB have remained largely unexplored. Furthermore, limited research has focused on determining the interplay of ancestry and stress on PTB and adverse pregnancy outcomes among U.S. born and immigrant Black women, separately.

In the present study, we analyzed 1121 African American women (628 U.S. born and 493 immigrant Black women), a subset of the Boston Birth Cohort with available data on African ancestry proportion. We aimed to examine the individual effect of genetic ancestry and stress and their joint effect on PTB and related adverse outcomes on U.S. born and immigrant Black women.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study population and data collection

We included 1121 African American mothers (628 U.S. born mothers and 493 immigrant Black mothers) who were part of the Boston Birth Cohort enrolled from October 1998 to February 2008.^[11] PTB case mothers were defined as those who delivered singleton, live births occurring at less than 37 weeks of gestation; and term controls were defined as mothers delivering at greater than or equal to 37 weeks of gestation with birthweight appropriate for gestational age as defined by the National Center for Health Statistics/CDC guidelines (birthweight between 2500 and 4000g).^[14] Of note, PTB case mothers and controls were matched by maternal age (± 5 years). In this study, there were 72 term babies whose birthweights were less than 2500g and 49 term babies whose birthweights were more than 4000g. We did not exclude them from subsequent analyses. Pregnancies resulting in multiple births and newborns with major birth defects were excluded. Epidemiologic data and clinical data were collected using a standardized questionnaire. In detail, epidemiologic data were collected based on a standardized questionnaire, including various aspects, for example, demographic information and socioeconomic status; information about this index pregnancy; and information about the allergic history of the baby's father and mother, reproductive history, daily physical activity, lifetime stress (LS) and stress during pregnancy (PS), home environment, smoking, alcohol drinking and drug use, dietary history, and history of medication use. We also collected venous blood samples among study participants. A detailed description of the study population and previous findings in publications derived from the same study population are described elsewhere.^[6,7,11,15] The Institutional Review Boards of Boston Medical Center, the Massachusetts Department of Public Health, Ann & Robert H. Lurie Children's Hospital of Chicago, and the Johns Hopkins Bloomberg School of Public Health approved the study protocol, and all participants gave written informed consent.

2.2. Definition of preterm birth and other key related subgroups

PTB: PTB was evaluated as a binary outcome (<37 weeks of gestation vs \geq 37 weeks of gestation). In particular, gestational age was assessed using an algorithm based on last menstrual period and the result of an early ultrasound (<20 weeks of gestation). The last menstrual period estimate was used only if confirmed by ultrasound within 7 days or if no ultrasound estimate was obtained; otherwise, the ultrasound estimate was used. This approach has been used in previous studies.^[11,16]

PTB-related subgroups investigated in this study were defined as follows:

- (1) By mode of delivery: we categorized PTB cases as spontaneous PTB (delivered vaginally or by Cesarean section) if they occurred secondary to documented active preterm labor (uterine contractions with cervical effacement and dilation at <37 weeks), preterm premature rupture of membranes (<37 weeks without uterine contractions), or by both uterine contractions and preterm premature rupture of membranes occurring simultaneously, or as medically induced PTB, defined as a delivery (vaginally or by Cesarean section) that was not preceded by the presence of uterine contractions and/ or rupture of membranes.
- (2) By degree of prematurity: in this study, we used a cut point of <32 weeks to define very PTB and 34 0/7–36 6/7 weeks to define late PTB, which has been used by other groups.^[17,18]
- (3) By major pregnancy complications: because of sample size constraints, we focused on 2 relatively common pregnancy complications: PTB with intrauterine infection/inflammation using placental histologic chorioamnionitis as a proxy – detailed description of placental collection, pathological methods, definition of maternal and fetal inflammatory responses, and quality control has been published previously;^[19] and PTB complicated by maternal hypertensive disorders – this group

consisted of PTB cases with an accompanying diagnosis of maternal preeclampsia, eclampsia, gestational hypertension, or HELLP syndrome as defined in our previous publication,^[20] with or without a history of chronic hypertension.

Of note, all of the above PTB-related key subgroups were defined by physician diagnosis and confirmed by a review of prenatal care records in accordance with published clinical studies.^[21] In addition, low birthweight (LBW) was defined as infant birthweight less than 2500 g.

2.3. Lifetime stress and stress during pregnancy

The examined stress related variables included: LS and PS, respectively. Information about LS and PS was collected using a standardized questionnaire. In detail, LS and PS were defined according to the following 2 questions: "How would you characterize the amount of stress in your life in general?" and "How would you characterize the amount of stress in your life during pregnancy?" Three response options to these 2 questions were provided to participating mothers: "Not stressful," "Average stressful," and "Very stressful." We coded "Not stressful" and "Average stressful" as low stress, and "Very stressful" as high stress in the subsequent analyses.

2.4. Genotyping

We applied the Illumina (San Diego, CA) African American Panel (http://support.illumina.com/array/array_kits/african_america n_admixture_panel.htm), which consists of 1509 ancestry informative markers (AIMs) identified as highly informative between West African and European ancestry,^[22,23] as the genotyping platform for 1130 African American mothers (460 PTB cases and 670 controls). For quality control, 4 duplicate DNA samples were randomly selected and placed on each 96-well plate. The concordance rate of these duplicate samples was >99.5%. In addition, 48 AIMs with low call rates were excluded from the subsequent ancestral estimation. Furthermore, we examined whether the 1509 AIMs were under Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; 20 AIMs that were out of Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium (P < 0.01) were excluded. As a result, a total of 1441 AIMs were used for ancestral estimation.

2.5. Statistical analysis

We computed and compared the distributions of the demographic and clinical characteristics of our study participants, stratified by U.S. born and immigrant mothers, respectively. Specifically, the examined demographic and clinical characteristics were listed as follows: age, prepregnancy body mass index (BMI), gestational age, infants' birthweight, educational levels (primary school, middle school, high school, some college and college degree, or above), marital status (married, unmarried, and other), parity (0 and >=1), maternal smoking status (yes/no), maternal illicit drug use (yes/no), and maternal alcohol use (yes/ no), separately. Of note, maternal smoking status, maternal illicit drug use, and maternal alcohol use were defined as binary variables and adjusted in the subsequent analyses.

Next, we estimated African ancestral proportion (AAP) for each subject using genotyping data from AIMs and the Structure program,^[24,25] and examined the distribution's equivalence of AAP between U.S. born and immigrant mothers using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov statistic, a nonparametric method for testing the equality of underlying probability distributions in 1 sample or between 2 samples.^[26] We applied linear and logistic

regression models, separately, to test the association of AAP, LS, and PS, individually, on PTB and related traits, stratified by U.S. born and immigrant mothers and with and without covariate adjustment. Due to the highly skewed distribution of AAP, we recoded AAP into quartiles and treated AAP as an ordinal variable in the model. To examine the joint effect between LS and AAP, we first included a product term of LS and AAP into the regression models. Next, an ordinal variable for joint association between LS and AAP was coded: low versus low, low versus high, or high versus low, and high versus high. We then performed regression models to test joint association between LS and AAP on PTB and related traits, stratified by U.S. born and immigrant mothers, with and without covariate adjustment. Of note, the group of "low versus low" was treated as the reference group in the model. Similarly, we repeated the analyses for assessing the joint association between PS and AAP. The list of adjusted covariates included maternal age, baby's sex, parity, educational levels, marital status, smoking status, maternal illicit drug use, maternal alcohol use, and prepregnancy BMI, respectively.

All data analyses were conducted with R project software, version 3.1.1 (http://www.r-project.org/) and STATA 11.0 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX). *P* values less than 0.05 were declared to be statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics and African ancestral proportion of study participants

A total of 1121 African American mothers (628 U.S. born mothers and 493 immigrant Black mothers) were included in this study. Table 1 presents the demographic and clinical data examined in this study, stratified by U.S. born and immigrant mothers. Of note, the rates of unmarried status, maternal smoking, maternal illicit drug use, maternal alcohol use, PTB, and LBW in U.S. born mothers were higher than those in immigrant mothers (Table 1). In addition, Fig. 1 shows that the distribution of AAP in U.S. born mothers was significantly different to the distribution in immigrant mothers ($P < 10^{-3}$).

3.2. Association of stress and African ancestral proportion with PTB and related traits

We investigated the associations of LS, PS, and AAP, respectively, with PTB and related traits across the total study population of U. S. born and immigrant mothers (Table 2). The results in Table 2 show significant inverse associations between LS and PTB and related traits among all study participants, including gestational age and birthweight; and positive association between LS and PTB, and very PTB. Similarly, significant inverse associations between LS and PTB, and very PTB. Similarly, significant inverse associations between LS and PTB and related traits in immigrant mothers, including gestational age and birthweight; and positive association between LS and PTB, and very PTB. Similarly, significant inverse associations between LS and PTB and related traits in immigrant mothers, including gestational age and birthweight; and positive association between LS and PTB and related traits in PTB, spontaneous PTB, and late PTB. No associations were found in U.S. born mothers.

Similarly, when examining the association of PS with PTB and related traits, significant associations of PS with gestational age, birthweight, PTB, spontaneous PTB, induced PTB, very PTB, and late PTB were observed among the total study population. Significant associations of PS with gestational age, birthweight, PTB, induced PTB, and late PTB were also observed in immigrant mothers, but no association was found for U.S. born mothers (Table 2). Moreover, when assessing the association of AAP with PTB and related adverse pregnancy outcomes, significant Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of the study population, stratified by U.S. born versus immigrant mothers.

Variable	U.S. born mothers	Immigrant mothers	P [*]
N	628	493	
Maternal age, years (mean \pm SD)	25.81 <u>+</u> 6.49	30.18 ± 6.33	<0.001
Prepregnancy BMI, kg/m ² (mean \pm SD)	26.70 ± 6.94	26.21 ± 5.53	0.20
Gestational age, weeks (mean \pm SD)	36.46 ± 4.01	37.54 ± 3.73	<0.001
Birthweight, g (mean \pm SD)	2606.81 ± 840.66	2881.29 ± 845.20	<0.001
Highest education completed, n, %			< 0.001
Primary school	6 (1.0)	21 (4.3)	
Middle school	194 (31.0)	95 (19.4)	
High school	255 (40.8)	167 (34.1)	
Some college	140 (22.4)	127 (25.9)	
College degree or above	30 (4.8)	80 (16.3)	
Marital status, n, %	() ()		<0.001
Married	66 (10.6)	223 (45.5)	
Unmarried	551 (88.6)	255 (52.0)	
Other	5 (0.8)	12 (2.5)	
Parity, n. %			0.04
0	242 (38.5)	192 (38.9)	
>=1	386 (61.5)	301 (61.1)	
Maternal smoking, n. %			< 0.001
Never	369 (58.8)	460 (93.3)	
Quit	96 (15.2)	17 (3.5)	
Current	163 (26.0)	16 (3.2)	
Maternal illicit drug use. n. %			<0.001
No	450 (72.0)	477 (97.0)	
Yes	175 (28.0)	15 (3.0)	
Maternal alcohol use. n. %			<0.001
No	570 (91.0)	483 (98.0)	
Yes	56 (9.0)	10 (2.0)	
Preterm birth, n. %	()	(=)	< 0.001
No	327 (52.2)	336 (68.2)	
Yes	300 (47.9)	157 (31.8)	
Low birthweight, n. %	(/		<0.001
No	365 (58.1)	361 (73.2)	
Yes	263 (41.9)	132 (26.8)	

BMI = body mass index, SD = standard deviation.

* *P* value < 0.05 is in bold.

associations of AAP with PTB, spontaneous PTB, late PTB, and LBW, separately, were observed in immigrant mothers. A significant association was also found between AAP and LBW but only among the total study population; no association was found for U.S. born mothers (Table 2). Of note, approximately half of the significant associations became nonsignificant after



Figure 1. Distribution of African ancestral proportion in U.S. born and immigrant mothers.

adjusting the following covariates: maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, marital status, parity, educational level, baby's sex, illicit drug use, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use.

3.3. Joint association of lifetime stress and African ancestral proportion with PTB and related traits

Table 3 shows the joint association of LS and AAP with PTB and related traits in U.S. born and immigrant mothers, respectively. In the group of immigrant mothers, a significant interaction of LS and AAP was found with birthweight (P=0.02), PTB (P=0.004), spontaneous PTB (P=0.001), late PTB (P=0.009), and LBW (P=0.009). Specifically, participants with high LS and high AAP had a significantly increased risk of developing PTB, spontaneous PTB, late PTB, and LBW, and had significantly lower birthweight compared to those with low LS and low AAP. In contrast, no significant joint associations for LS and AAP with PTB and related traits were found in U.S. born mothers (Table 3).

3.4. Joint association of stress during pregnancy and African ancestral proportion with PTB and related traits

We also investigated the joint association of PS and AAP with PTB and related traits in U.S. born and immigrant mothers. The

The association of I	-S, PS, and African ancestra	al proportion, individually, wit	th preterm birth-related tra	lits.		
	Total study p	oopulation	U.S. born	mothers	Immigrant	mothers
IS	Crude B [SE]/P	Adjusted B [SEJ/P ^{*,*}	Crude B [SE]/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P	Crude B [SEJ/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P
Gestational age Birthweight	-0.75 (0.17)/<10 ⁻³ -186.34 (37.54)/<10 ⁻³	−0.54 (0.20)/8 × 10 ^{−3} −131.71 (43.94)/3 × 10 ^{−3}	-0.55 (0.24)/0.02 -130.85 (50.68)/0.01	—0.41 (0.28)/0.14 —95.99 (57.52)/0.10	$-0.70~(0.25)/6 imes 10^{-3}$ $-176.96~(57.72)/2 imes 10^{-3}$	-0.62 (0.30)/0.04 146.90 (69.20)/0.03
-	COR [95% C]/P	AOR [95% CI]/P	COR [95% CI]/P	AOR [95% CIJ/ <i>P</i>	COR [95% CI]/P	AOR [95% CI]/P
Preterm birth No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.44 [1.20–1.72]/<10 ^{–3}	1.34 [1.08–1.66]/7 \times 10 ^{–3}	1.20 [0.95–1.53]/0.13	1.19 [0.90–1.58]/0.23	$1.50 [1.12-2.00]/6 \times 10^{-3}$	1.56 [1.10–2.20]/0.01
Spontaneous preterm birth						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.45 [1.19–1.78]/<10 ^{–3}	1.30 [1.02–1.66]/0.03	1.23 [0.94–1.61]/0.13	1.13 [0.82–1.55]/0.45	1.45 [1.03–2.03]/0.03	1.57 [1.05–2.36]/0.03
Induced preterm birth	9- L	7-0	9- L	9 - C	9 - C	9 - C
N0 Vec	Hei 1 42 14 08-1 851/0 01	Her 1 42 11 02-1 051/0 02	Hei 1 17 [n 81_1 681/n 10	Her 1 3/1 [n 87_7 n81/n 10	Her 1 gn [1 052 13]/n n3	Hei 1 60 10 06_0 751/0 07
163 Vlanv nratarm hirth				1.04 [0.01-2.00]/0.13		1.02 [0.30-2.10] 1.01
very preterm unur No	Ref	Bef	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.64 [1.29–2.09]/<10 ^{–3}	1.46 [1.10–1.93]/8 $ imes$ 10 ^{–3}	1.46 [1.07–2.00]/0.02	1.38 [0.96–2.00]/0.09	1.59 [1.07–2.36]/0.02	1.56 [0.98–2.47]/0.06
Late preterm birth						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.31 [1.05–1.63]/0.02	1.23 [0.95–1.60]/0.12	1.05 [0.79–1.40]/0.75	1.02 [0.72–1.45]/0.90	1.44 [1.01–2.04]/0.04	1.67 [1.08–2.58]/0.02
rreecialiipsia No	Ref	Bef	Raf	Raf	Rof	Ref
Yes	1.35 [1.04–1.77]/0.03	1.30 [0.96–1.77]/0.09	0.98 [0.69–1.39]/0.92	1.10 [0.74–1.65]/0.64	2.01 [1.30–3.11]/2 \times 10 ⁻³	1.59 [0.96–2.62]/0.07
Infection						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.01 [0.78–1.32]/0.92	0.82 [0.60–1.13]/0.22	0.91 [0.65–1.28]/0.61	0.71 [0.48–1.06]/0.10	0.99 [0.63–1.54]/0.95	0.97 [0.58–1.62]/0.90
Low birthweight No	Rof	Raf	Rof	Raf	Baf	Raf
Yes	1.35 [1.13–1.63]/10 ^{–3}	1.16 [0.93–1.44]/0.19	1.15 [0.90–1.46]/0.26	1.03 [0.77–1.38]/0.82	1.39 [1.03–1.87]/0.03	1.21 [0.84–1.72]/0.31
PS						
	Crude B [SE]/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P	Crude B [SE]/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P	Crude B [SE]/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P
Gestational age Birthwainht	-0.55 (0.16)/10 ⁻⁰ 136.05 /24.14//~10 ⁻³	-0.43 (0.19)/0.02 107 08 /40 66\/8 ~ 10 ⁻³	-0.35 (0.22)/0.12	-0.18 (0.26)/0.4/ 5/ 38 /52 76//0 30	-0.47 (0.23)/0.05 120 87 /52 86/0 02	-0.60 (0.29)/0.04 124 58 /65 71//0 04
	COR [95% CI]/P	AOR [95% CI]/P	COR [95% C]/P	AOR [95% CIJ/P	COR [95% CI]/P	AOR [95% CI]/P
Preterm birth						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.41 [1.20–1.66]/<10~	1.38 [1.13–1.68]/10 ⁻³	1.28 [1.03–1.60]/0.03	1.24 [0.95–1.60]/0.11	1.32 [1.02–1.72]/0.04	1.51 [1.09–2.11]/0.01
Spontaneous preterm birth	9 ⁻ C		9- C	9- U	9 - L	- U
N0 Voc	Her 1 20 11 15 - 561/10 ⁻³	Her 1 22 11 Ac 1 Gel A A1		Her 1 20 [0 00 1 60]/0 21	Her 118 fo 87 1 611/0 20	Het 1 an in de 2 deivn an
Induced preterm birth						
No	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Yes	1.47 [1.15–1.88]/2 $ imes$ 10 ^{–3}	1.49 $[1.11-2.01]/8 imes 10^{-3}$	1.28 [0.92–1.78]/0.15	1.31 [0.88–1.95]/0.19	1.59 [1.09–2.32]/0.02	1.82 [1.10–2.99]/0.02
Very preterm birth	J.C.	βαζ	y~ C	μοf	₹~ C	Dof
Ves Yes	пеі 1.44 [1.16–1.79]/10 ^{–3}	1.31 [1.01–1.70]/0.04	иы 1.30 [0.97-1.75]/0.08	иы 1.13 [0.81–1.60]/0.47	nei 1.35 [0.94–1.94]/0.10	ны 1.54 [0.99–2.38]/0.06
3						the second second second

Table 2

www.md-journal.com

(continued)

5

Table 2 (continued).						
	Total study	y population	U.S. born	mothers	Immigrant	mothers
Late preterm birth No Yes	Ref 1.39 [1.15–1.69]/10 ^{–3}	Ref 1.41 [1.11–1.80]/5 × 10 ^{–3}	Ref 1.27 [0.98–1.65]/0.07	Ref 1.30 [0.95–1.78]/0.10	Ref 1.30 [0.94–1.78]/0.11	Ref 1.57 [1.04–2.36]/0.03
reeciampsia No Yes	Ref 1.26 [0.99–1.61]/0.06	Ref 1.17 [0.88–1.56]/0.27	Ref 0.94 [0.69–1.29]/0.71	Ref 0.93 [0.65–1.35]/0.72	Ref 1.74 [1.17–2.60]/6 × 10 ⁻³	Ref 1.54 [0.96–2.46]/0.07
Infection No Yes	Ref 0.95 [0.75–1.21]/0.70	Ref 0.76 [0.57–1.02]/0.06	Ref 0.88 [0.64–1.20]/0.41	Ref 0.68 [0.47-1.00]/0.05	Ref 0.90 [0.59–1.37]/0.61	Ref 0.90 [0.54–1.49]/0.68
Low birthweight Yes	Ref 1.29 [1.09–1.52]/3 × 10 ^{–3}	Ref 1.21 [0.99–1.48]/0.07	Ref 1.10 [0.88–1.37]/0.40	Ref 1.03 [0.79–1.34]/0.85	Ref 1.30 [0.99–1.71]/0.06	Ref 1.30 [0.92–1.82]/0.14
ANP Gestational age Birthweight	Crude β [SE]/P 0.02 (0.10/0.87 -3.87 (22.78)/0.87 COR [95% CJ/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P -0.08 (0.13)/0.53 -36.30 (27.91)/0.19 AOR [95% CI]/P	Crude B [SE]/P -0.06 (0.14)/0.66 -38.08 (29.95)/0.20 COR [95% C]/P	Adjusted B [SE/ <i>P</i> 0.02 (0.17)/0.92 -13.38 (34.43)/0.70 AOR [95% CJ/ <i>P</i>	Crude β [SE]/P 0.06 (0.15/0.69 7.86 (34.39/0.82 COR [95% CI]/P	Adjusted B [SE]/P -0.16 (0.19)/0.40 -52.02 (43.22)/0.23 AOR [95% C]/P
Preterm birth No Yes	Ref 1.03 [0.92–1.14]/0.61	Ref 1.05 [0.92–1.20]/0.46	Ref 1.02 [0.89–1.18]/0.73	Ref 0.95 [0.80-1.13]/0.58	Ref 1.21 [1.02–1.44]/0.03	Ref 1.29 [1.04–1.61]/0.02
Spontaneous preterm bli No Yes	rtn Ref 1.04 [0.92–1.17]/0.55	Ref 1.13 [0.97–1.32]/0.13	Ref 1.06 [0.91–1.23]/0.47	Ref 1.01 [0.84-1.22]/0.91	Ref 1.32 [1.08–1.62]/8 \times 10^3	Ref 1.49 [1.15–1.93]/3 × 10 ^{–3}
Induced preterm birth No Yes	Ref 1.01 [0.86–1.18]/0.93	Ref 0.90 [0.74–1.10]/0.32	Ref 0.95 [0.76-1.17]/0.61	Ref 0.81 [0.62–1.06]/0.12	Ref 1.04 [0.81–1.34]/0.74	Ref 0.99 [0.72–1.36]/0.94
Very preterm birth No Yes	Ref 1.04 [0.90–1.19]/0.62	Ref 1.06 [0.89–1.26]/0.53	Ref 1.02 [0.85–1.22]/0.85	Ref 0.92 [0.73–1.14]/0.45	Ref 1.13 [0.89–1.42]/0.33	Ref 1.16 [0.87–1.56]/0.31
Late preterrit birtun No Yes	Ref 1.02 [0.90-1.16]/0.77	Ref 1.04 [0.88–1.22]/0.66	Ref 1.03 [0.87–1.22]/0.71	Ref 0.96 [0.78–1.17]/0.68	Ref 1.28 [1.03–1.58]/0.02	Ref 1.39 [1.06–1.82]/0.02
Preeclampsia No Yes	Ref 1.05 [0.89–1.23]/0.56	Ref 1.01 [0.83–1.23]/0.93	Ref 1.00 [0.81–1.22]/0.97	Ref 0.97 [0.76–1.24]/0.81	Ref 0.98 [0.75–1.28]/0.87	Ref 0.81 [0.59–1.11]/0.19
IIIIecuori No Yes	Ref 0.94 [0.80–1.10]/0.41	Ref 1.00 [0.82–1.22]/0.99	Ref 1.03 [0.85-1.26]/0.75	Ref 1.03 [0.81–1.31]/0.79	Ref 1.10 [0.83–1.44]/0.52	Ref 1.15 [0.82–1.62]/0.42
Low birtiweignt Yes	Ref 1.08 [0.97–1.21]/0.15	Ref 1.18 [1.03-1.36]/0.02	Ref 1.11 [0.96–1.27]/0.17	Ref 1.08 [0.90–1.28]/0.41	Ref 1.22 [1.02–1.47]/0.03	Ref 1.38 [1.09–1.73]/7 × 10 ^{–3}
AOR=adiusted odds ratio. I	BMI=body mass index. COB = crude od	dds ratio. LS = lifetime stress. PS = stress durir	na preanancy. SE=standard error.			

Tsai et al. Medicine (2017) 96:5

ADR=adjusted odds rato, bm=uuuy mass much, vuune was and a status, parity, educational level, baby's sex, illicit drug use, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use. * Adjusted variables included: maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, marital status, parity, educational level, baby's sex, illicit drug use, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use.

Table 3

	U.S. born mothers			Immigrant mothers		
Birthweight	Low/high or high/low Adjusted β [SE]/ $P^{*,\dagger}$ 28.58 [125.46]/0.82	High/high Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> 57.81 [129.29]/0.66	P _{interaction} 0.66	Low/high or high/low Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> -100.18 [124.26]/0.42	High/high Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> -387.67 [144.59]/8 × 10 ⁻³	P _{interaction} 0.02
Preterm birth Spontaneous preterm birth	0.79 [0.43–1.46]/0.46	0.80 [0.43–1.51]/0.50	0.48	2.36 [1.14–4.86]/0.02	3.87 [1.74–8.58]/10 ⁻³ 5.18 [1.99–13.48]/10 ⁻³	4×10^{-3} 10^{-3}
Late preterm birth Low birthweight	0.81 [0.39–1.70]/0.58 0.82 [0.44–1.54]/0.54	0.83 [0.39–1.78]/0.64 0.75 [0.39–1.42]/0.38	0.78 0.84	3.32 [1.19–9.29]/0.02 1.14 [0.57–2.26]/0.71	5.48 [1.82–16.52]/2 × 10 ⁻³ 2.19 [1.03–4.68]/0.04	9×10 ⁻³ 9×10 ⁻³

Joint associations of lifetime stress and African ancestral proportion with preterm related traits among U.S. born and immigrant mothers.

Participants with low lifetime stress and low African ancestral proportion were used as the reference group. AOR=adjusted odds ratio, BMI=body mass index, CI=confidence interval, SE=standard error. * P value <0.05 is in bold.

⁺ Adjusted variables included: maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, marital status, parity, educational level, baby's sex, illicit drug use, maternal smoking and maternal alcohol use.

results in Table 4 show that among immigrant mothers, a significant interaction of PS and AAP was observed with birthweight (P=0.02), PTB (P=0.005), spontaneous PTB (P=0.003), late PTB (P=0.003), and LBW (P=0.003). Specifically, participants with high PS and high AAP had a significantly elevated risk of developing PTB, spontaneous PTB, late PTB, and LBW; and they had significantly LBW compared to those with low PS and low AAP. Similar to the results shown in Table 3, no significant joint association of PS and AAP with PTB and related traits was found in U.S. born mothers (Table 4).

In addition, maternal controls with 72 term babies whose birthweights less than 2500g and with 49 term babies whose birthweights more than 4000g were recruited in the present study. We did not exclude them in primary analyses. Moreover, when we excluded those subjects and repeated the analyses, we found the results were comparable to the analyses without excluding the subjects.

4. Discussion

Racial disparities in PTB have long been observed in the U.S., especially among African American women who experience the highest rates of PTB (17.8%) among various ethnic groups.^[27] To our knowledge, the present study is one of the first to date to investigate the interplay of genetic ancestry and stress on PTB and related traits in a sample of 1121 Black women (628 U.S. born Black women and 493 immigrant Black women). Our sample has the strength of a uniquely large cohort of immigrant Black women. The findings from this study suggest that African ancestry and maternal stress (both LS and PS) are individually

associated with PTB and related traits in immigrant Black women. Furthermore, a joint association of African ancestry and maternal stress with PTB and related adverse pregnancy outcomes was also observed in immigrant Black women. In contrast, neither individual associations nor joint associations of African ancestry and maternal stress with PTB and related traits were found in U.S. born Black women.

Previous PTB-related studies have mainly focused on Black/ White racial disparities, but have rarely investigated the influence of PTB and related traits between U.S. born and immigrant Black women.^[28–30] For example, David and Collins^[31] examined birthweights among infants from different racial backgrounds: African born Blacks, U.S. born Blacks, and U.S. born Whites, separately. Consistent with our results, they found that infants of U.S. born Black mothers tended to have LBWs than infants born to African born Black mothers. Moreover, Howard et al^[32] investigated the risk of LBW and PTB among U.S. born and immigrant Black women. They concluded that birthweight was lower, but the occurrence of PTB was higher among U.S. born Black mothers than among Black mothers born outside of the U. S., which was similar to the findings in this study.

Several possible explanations might help to elucidate the observed differential effect of stress and African ancestry on PTB and related outcomes. First, previous studies have documented that maternal age is one of the predicting factors for LBW, but an association between age and PTB has been found to be more profound among Black women than White women.^[33,34] Consistently, we found that immigrant Black women gave birth at older ages than U.S. born Black women in the present study. Second, although not explored in this study, poor social support

Table 4

Joint associations of stress during pregnancy and African ancestral proportion with preterm related traits among U.S. born and immigrant mothers.

	U.S. born mothers			Immigrant mothers		
Birthweight	Low/high or high/low Adjusted β [SE]/P ^{*,†} -47.59 [126.27]/0.71 Δ0Β [95% CI)/P	High/high Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> 4.27 [131.18]/0.97 ΔΟΒ [95% CI/ <i>P</i>	P _{interaction} 0.77	Low/high or high/low Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> -171.21 [118.83]/0.15 ΔOB [05% CI/ <i>P</i>	High/high Adjusted β [SE]/ <i>P</i> 389.93 [136.42]/5 × 10⁻³ ΔΟΒ [05% CI/ <i>P</i>	P _{interaction} 0.02
Preterm birth	1.20 [0.65–2.23]/0.56	1.03 [0.54–1.97]/0.92	0.58	2.78 [1.39–5.55]/4 × 10 ⁻³	3.71 [1.74–7.92]/10 ⁻³	5×10^{-3}
Spontaneous preterm birth	1.17 [0.58-2.35]/0.67	1.07 [0.52-2.21]/0.86	0.99	2.94 [1.25-6.94]/0.01	4.55 [1.82–11.35]/10 ⁻³	3×10^{-3}
Late preterm birth Low birthweight	1.35 [0.63–2.89]/0.44 1.07 [0.57–2.03]/0.83	1.20 [0.54–2.67]/0.65 0.94 [0.48–1.81]/0.85	0.98 0.60	3.61 [1.38–9.44]/9 × 10^{−3} 1.44 [0.73–2.85]/0.29	4.89 [1.75–13.68]/2x10 ⁻³ 2.80 [1.34–5.85]/6 \times 10 ⁻³	$\begin{array}{c} 0.01\\ 3\times10^{-3}\end{array}$

Participants with low lifetime stress and low African ancestral proportion were used as the reference group. AOR=adjusted odds ratio, BMI=body mass index, CI=confidence interval, SE=standard error. * P value <0.05 is in bold.

⁺Adjusted variables included: maternal age, prepregnancy BMI, marital status, parity, educational level, baby's sex, illicit drug use, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use.

among immigrant Black women might be one of the elements leading to the observed differential effect of stress on PTB and related adverse pregnancy outcomes. Previous reports have provided strong evidence for the role of social relationships in buffering potentially deleterious health effects such as psychosocial stress, or moderating health outcomes such as complications of pregnancy and LBW.^[35–37] In addition, results from Almeida et al^[38] suggest that the association between social support and depression might differ between foreign-born and U.S. born individuals. Third, numerous studies have documented that genetics play a role in the development of PTB.^[12,13,15] Given the significant difference in African ancestry between U.S. born and immigrant Black women, susceptible genetic background might partially explain the observed differential genetic effect on PTB and related outcomes in the present study.

Several limitations of this study should be noted. First, our stress indicator might be subject to recall bias since LS and PS were recalled by participating mothers. Second, LS and PS were classified based on data collected in response to 2 individual items on the questionnaire; these data might only partially reflect a woman's stress level, as compared to other more comprehensive indicators or biological indicators such as allostatic load. However, the questions used in this study have been employed in other studies that have shown that exposure to stress was associated with an increased risk of several diseases, for example, cancer, dementia, and hypertension.^[39-41] Third, although we adjusted for several PTB-related risk factors such as parity, maternal smoking, and maternal alcohol use in the models, there remains the possibility of residual confounding by unmeasured factors, for example, history of previous PTB. In addition, the mean age of the study population was 27.7 years, and the majority of maternal participants did not have a history of diabetes or hypertension. Thus, we did not adjust for diabetes and hypertension in the models. Fourth, we did not evaluate the potential influence of socioeconomics and overall psychological status on PTB and related outcomes between U.S. born and immigrant Black women. Further investigation would be warranted to assess the impact of socioeconomics and overall psychological status. As such, the results should be interpreted with caution.

Taken together, the findings from the present study provide suggestive evidence of the differential individual and/or joint effects of stress and genetic ancestry on PTB and related outcomes between U.S. born and immigrant Black women. Currently, early screening cervical length and/or progesterone treatment during pregnancy have remained controversial and inconclusive in clinical practice. It is of importance to identify women at high risk of PTB. Further research is needed to better understand the underlying mechanisms that are causing the observed differential effects on PTB; a better understanding potentially could have a major impact on decreasing the burden of PTB, particularly in high-risk Black women. Given the findings, public health and clinical interventions to address the impact of individual and joint effects of stress and genetic ancestry on PTB might be beneficial among immigrant Black women, especially in areas with high population concentrations.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the study participants and the BMC Labor and Delivery Nursing Staff for their support and help with the study. The authors also thank the field team led by Colleen Pearson at the Department of Pediatrics, Boston University School of Medicine for their dedication and hard work.

References

- Tsai HJ, Liu X, Mestan K, et al. Maternal cigarette smoking, metabolic gene polymorphisms, and preterm delivery: new insights on GxE interactions and pathogenic pathways. Hum Genet 2008;123:359–69.
- [2] Preterm birth: cause, consequences, and prevention. Washington, D.C.: Institute of medicine of the national academies (Committee on understanding premature birth and assuring healthy outcomes board on health sciences policy); 2006.
- [3] Wang X, Zuckerman B, Kaufman G, et al. Molecular epidemiology of preterm delivery: methodology and challenges. Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol 2001;15(Suppl 2):63–77.
- [4] Esplin MS. Preterm birth: a review of genetic factors and future directions for genetic study. Obstet Gynecol Surv 2006;61:800–6.
- [5] Ruiz RJ, Saade GR, Brown CE, et al. The effect of acculturation on progesterone/estriol ratios and preterm birth in Hispanics. Obstet Gynecol 2008;111:309–16.
- [6] Tsai HJ, Yu Y, Zhang S, et al. Association of genetic ancestry with preterm delivery and related traits among African American mothers. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2009;201:e1–0.
- [7] Yu Y, Tsai HJ, Liu X, et al. The joint association between F5 gene polymorphisms and maternal smoking during pregnancy on preterm delivery. Hum Genet 2009;124:659–68.
- [8] Takiuti NH, Kahhale S, Zugaib M. Stress in pregnancy: a new Wistar rat model for human preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2002;186: 544–50.
- [9] Orr ST, James SA, Blackmore Prince C. Maternal prenatal depressive symptoms and spontaneous preterm births among African-American women in Baltimore, Maryland. Am J Epidemiol 2002;156:797–802.
- [10] Orr ST, Reiter JP, Blazer DG, et al. Maternal prenatal pregnancy-related anxiety and spontaneous preterm birth in Baltimore, Maryland. Psychosom Med 2007;69:566–70.
- [11] Wang X, Zuckerman B, Pearson C, et al. Maternal cigarette smoking, metabolic gene polymorphism, and infant birth weight. JAMA 2002;287:195–202.
- [12] Bezold KY, Karjalainen MK, Hallman M, et al. The genomics of preterm birth: from animal models to human studies. Genome Med 2013;5:34.
- [13] Manuck TA, Lai Y, Meis PJ, et al. Admixture mapping to identify spontaneous preterm birth susceptibility loci in African Americans. Obstet Gynecol 2011;117:1078–84.
- [14] Hamill PV, Drizd TA, Johnson CL, et al. Physical growth: National Center for Health Statistics percentiles. Am J Clin Nutr 1979;32:607–29.
- [15] Tsai HJ, Hong X, Chen J, et al. Role of African ancestry and geneenvironment interactions in predicting preterm birth. Obstet Gynecol 2011;118:1081–9.
- [16] Kramer MS, Platt R, Yang H, et al. Secular trends in preterm birth: a hospital-based cohort study. JAMA 1998;280:1849–54.
- [17] Mathews TJ, Menacker F, MacDorman MF. Infant mortality statistics from the 2002 period: linked birth/infant death data set. Natl Vital Stat Rep 2004;53:1–29.
- [18] Engle WA, Tomashek KM, Wallman C. "Late-preterm" infants: a population at risk. Pediatrics 2007;120:1390–401.
- [19] Gupta M, Mestan KK, Martin CR, et al. Impact of clinical and histologic correlates of maternal and fetal inflammatory response on gestational age in preterm births. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2007;20:39–46.
- [20] Wang L, Feng Y, Zhang Y, et al. Prolylcarboxypeptidase gene, chronic hypertension, and risk of preeclampsia. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2006;195: 162–71.
- [21] Levine RJ, Maynard SE, Qian C, et al. Circulating angiogenic factors and the risk of preeclampsia. N Engl J Med 2004;350:672–83.
- [22] Freedman ML, Haiman CA, Patterson N, et al. Admixture mapping identifies 8q24 as a prostate cancer risk locus in African-American men. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2006;103:14068–73.
- [23] Reich D, Patterson N, Ramesh V, et al. Admixture mapping of an allele affecting interleukin 6 soluble receptor and interleukin 6 levels. Am J Hum Genet 2007;80:716–26.
- [24] Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics 2000;155:945–59.
- [25] Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data: linked loci and correlated allele frequencies. Genetics 2003;164:1567–87.
- [26] Massey FJ. Jr. The Kolmogorov–Smirnov test for goodness of fit. J Am Stat Assoc 1967;46:68–78.
- [27] Preterm birth: cause, consequences, and prevention. Washington, D.C.: Institute of medicine of the national academies (Committee on understanding premature birth and assuring healthy outcomes board on health sciences policy); 2006

- [28] Kistka ZA, Palomar L, Lee KA, et al. Racial disparity in the frequency of recurrence of preterm birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2007;196:e1–6.
- [29] Dunlop AL, Kramer MR, Hogue CJ, et al. Racial disparities in preterm birth: an overview of the potential role of nutrient deficiencies. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2011;90:1332–41.
- [30] Nyarko KA, Lopez-Camelo J, Castilla EE, et al. Explaining racial disparities in infant health in Brazil. Am J Public Health 2013;103:1675–84.
- [31] David RJ, Collins JWJr. Differing birth weight among infants of U.S.born blacks, African-born blacks, and U.S.-born whites. N Engl J Med 1997;337:1209–14.
- [32] Howard DL, Marshall SS, Kaufman JS, et al. Variations in low birth weight and preterm delivery among blacks in relation to ancestry and nativity: New York City, 1998–2002. Pediatrics 2006;118:e1399–405.
- [33] Rich-Edwards JW, Buka SL, Brennan RT, et al. Diverging associations of maternal age with low birthweight for black and white mothers. Int J Epidemiol 2003;32:83–90.
- [34] Rich-Edwards JW, Grizzard TA. Psychosocial stress and neuroendocrine mechanisms in preterm delivery. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2005;192:S30–5.
- [35] House JS, Landis KR, Umberson D. Social relationships and health. Science 1988;241:540–5.

- [36] Schulz AJ, Kannan S, Dvonch JT, et al. Social and physical environments and disparities in risk for cardiovascular disease: the healthy environments partnership conceptual model. Environ Health Perspect 2005;113:1817–25.
- [37] Zelner JL, Trostle J, Goldstick JE, et al. Social connectedness and disease transmission: social organization, cohesion, village context, and infection risk in rural Ecuador. Am J Public Health 2012;102: 2233–9.
- [38] Almeida J, Subramanian SV, Kawachi I, et al. Is blood thicker than water? Social support, depression and the modifying role of ethnicity/ nativity status. J Epidemiol Community Health 2011;65:51–6.
- [39] Eriksson H, Svardsudd K, Larsson B, et al. Risk factors for heart failure in the general population: the study of men born in 1913. Eur Heart J 1989;10:647–56.
- [40] Helgesson O, Cabrera C, Lapidus L, et al. Self-reported stress levels predict subsequent breast cancer in a cohort of Swedish women. Eur J Cancer Prev 2003;12:377–81.
- [41] Johansson L, Guo X, Waern M, et al. Midlife psychological stress and risk of dementia: a 35-year longitudinal population study. Brain 2010;133:2217–24.