www.bjcancer.com

# Cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan in relapsed stage IV cutaneous malignant melanoma patients

## J Atzpodien<sup>\*,1,2,3</sup>, K Terfloth<sup>1</sup>, M Fluck<sup>1</sup> and M Reitz<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Fachklinik Hornheide an der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Dorbaumstr. 300, Münster 48157, Germany; <sup>2</sup>Europäisches Institut für Tumor Immunologie und Prävention (EUTIP), Bad Honnef, Germany

To evaluate the efficacy of cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan (CGT) in 91 patients with pretreated relapsed AJCC stage IV cutaneous malignant melanoma. Patients in relapse after first-, second-, or third-line therapy received  $40 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$  intravenous (i.v.) cisplatin,  $1000 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$  i.v. gemcitabine, and  $2500 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$  i.v. treosulfan on days I and 8. Cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan therapy was repeated every 5 weeks until progression of disease occurred. A maximum of 11 CGT cycles (mean, two cycles) was administered per patient. Four patients (4%) showed a partial response; 15 (17%) patients had stable disease; and 72 (79%) patients progressed upon first re-evaluation. Overall survival of all 91 patients was 6 months (2-year survival rate, 7%). Patients with partial remission or stable disease exhibited a median overall survival of 11 months (2-year survival rate, 36%), while patients with disease progression upon first re-evaluation had a median overall survival of 5 months (2-year survival rate, 0%). Treatment with CGT was efficient in one-fifth of the pretreated relapsed stage IV melanoma patients achieving disease stabilisation or partial remission with prolonged but limited survival.

British Journal of Cancer (2007) **97,** 1329–1332. doi:10.1038/sj.bjc.6604045 www.bjcancer.com Published online 30 October 2007 © 2007 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: melanoma; cisplatin; gemcitabine; treosulfan

The prognosis for malignant melanoma patients with distant metastases is poor. Even though a small proportion of patients can attain long-term survival with systemic therapy, the median survival of advanced melanoma patients is about 6 months. While interferon- $\alpha$  continues to be standard in the adjuvant therapy of resected high-risk melanoma (Hillner et al, 1997), dacarbazine (DTIC) has been the most widely used agent in the first-line treatment of stage IV metastatic melanoma, yielding a response rate of up to 20% (Serrone et al, 2000). Although several DTICbased chemotherapy and chemoimmunotherapy combinations have been reported with response rates between 34 and 53% (Richards et al, 1992; Huncharek et al, 2001; Atzpodien et al, 2002; Stein et al, 2002), these regimens have not yielded a significant survival advantage. Once DTIC-based therapy has failed, no standard systemic treatment has been available for relapsed IV-stage malignant melanoma patients.

Preclinical studies on the chemosensitivity of metastatic melanoma cells to cytotoxic agents identified sensitivity while using combinations of gemcitabine with treosulfan and gemcitabine with cisplatin (Cree *et al*, 1999; Ugurel *et al*, 2003). First

<sup>3</sup>The first author has been supported by grants of the Deutsche Krebshilfe, Wilhelm Sander-Stiftung, and Deutsche Gesellschaft zur Förderung immunologischer Krebstherapien e.V.

Received 10 May 2007; revised 6 September 2007; accepted 26 September 2007; published online 30 October 2007

results of a phase II trial of 24 metastatic uveal melanoma patients treated with gemcitabine and treosulfan showed a prolonged progression-free survival and a slight increase in tumour responses, when compared to 24 patients treated with treosulfan alone (Schmittel *et al*, 2006).

The goal of our present analyses was to evaluate the efficacy of combined cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan in pretreated relapsed stage IV malignant melanoma patients.

## PATIENTS AND METHODS

#### Patients

Between February 2001 and August 2006, 91 relapsed stage IV cutaneous melanoma patients received a combination treatment with cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan (CGT). At start of CGT therapy, patients showed one metastatic site (n = 46), two metastatic sites (n = 29), three metastatic sites (n = 13), and four metastatic sites (n = 2), respectively. Pretreatment serum lactate dehydrogenase level was elevated in 51% of the patients.

Criteria for entry into the study were: systemically pretreated relapsed AJCC stage IV cutaneous malignant melanoma; white blood cell count >3500  $\mu l^{-1}$ ; platelet count >100 000  $\mu l^{-1}$ ; haematocrit >30%; serum creatinin and bilirubin <1.5 of the upper normal limit; age between 18 and 80 years, and a life expectancy of >3 months. Progressive CNS metastases were no exclusion criteria. Previous systemic cisplatin failures were not excluded, since cisplatin was used in combination only.

All patients had a Karnofsky performance status >80%.



<sup>\*</sup>Correspondence: Professor Dr med J Atzpodien, Fachklinik Homheide an der Westfälischen Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Dorbaumstr. 300, Münster 48157, Germany; E-mail: SekrProfAtzpodien@yahoo.de

1330

Written informed consent was obtained from all patients prior to therapy.

## Treatment design

Patients in relapse after first-, second-, or third-line therapy received 40 mg m<sup>-2</sup> intravenous (i.v.) cisplatin, 1000 mg m<sup>-2</sup> i.v. gemcitabine, and 2500 mg m<sup>-2</sup> i.v. treosulfan on days 1 and 8. Therapy was repeated every 5 weeks until progression of disease occurred.

Dose and schedule was employed according to Pfohler *et al* (2003) and Schmittel *et al* (2005). Cisplatin was added at a moderate standard dose. Fifty-nine (65%) patients required a dose reduction due to toxicity.

## Response, survival, and toxicity

Response to therapy was evaluated according to World Health Organization (WHO) criteria with regular re-evaluation intervals every 2 months; complete response: disappearance of all signs of disease for a minimum of 2 months; partial response: 50% or more reduction in the sum of products of the greatest perpendicular diameters of measurable lesions, no increase in lesion size, and no new lesions; stable disease: less than a partial response with no disease progression for at least 5 weeks; progressive disease: 25% or more increase in sum of products of the longest perpendicular diameters of measurable lesions or the development of new lesions.

Survival was measured from start of therapy to date of death or to the last known date to be alive.

Maximum toxicity was evaluated according to WHO criteria.

## Statistical analysis

The statistical end points in our analysis were: (1) rate of response or disease stabilisation (primary end point) and (2) overall survival of patients.

The response rate (SD, PR) for patients in relapse after previous systemic chemotherapy was hypothesised to be at least 5%. Using an  $\alpha$  of 0.05 (two-sided), a sample size of 73 patients was needed to have 80% power to statistically establish the assumed response rate. Given the tumour-related patient morbidity, up to 25% dropout rate was estimated.

The probability of overall survival and progression-free survival was plotted over time according to the method of Kaplan and Meier; SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was employed.

## RESULTS

Median follow-up of all patients was 6 months (range: 0-29 months). Patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. The patient group consisted of 59 men and 32 women, at a median age of 58 years. Seventy-two patients had a cutaneous primary, while in 19 patients, the primary was unknown. All patients had failed previous therapy. Stage IV pretreatment consisted of chemotherapy, notably, DTIC, Cisplatin, BCNU/Fotemustine (n = 68); DTIC, BCNU, Hydroxyurea (n = 23); DTIC, Cisplatin + Vinblastine (n = 4); DTIC + Roferon (n = 4); BCNU/Fotemustine + Bleomycin, Vindesine (n = 3); Trofosfamide + Treosulfan, Gemcitabine (n = 3); and Temozolamide (n = 2). Patients received a mean of two CGT cycles (range: 1-11) until progression of disease occurred or until last known date to be alive.

## Outcome

Four patients (4%) reached a partial remission, 15 (17%) patients had stable disease, and 72 (79%) patients exhibited progressive disease upon first re-evaluation (Table 1).

#### Table I Patient characteristics

	CGT
Entered	91
Age (years) Median Range	58  8-80
Sex Male Female	59 32
Primary Cutaneous Unknown	72 19
CGT Second line Third line Fourth line	77 12 2
Stage IV pretreatment <sup>a</sup> DTIC, Cisplatin, BCNU/Fotemustine DTIC, BCNU, Hydroxyurea DTIC,Cisplatin ± Vinblastine DTIC ± Roferon BCNU/Fotemustine ± Bleomycin, Vindesine Trofosfamide ± Treosulfan, Gemcitabine Temozolamide	68 23 4 4 3 3 2
Sites of progressive metastatic disease Skin/soft tissue Lung Visceral Lymph nodes Bone CNS Others	38 33 30 11 4 2
Maximum response Complete remission Partial remission Stable disease Progressive disease	0 4 15 72

Abbreviations: BCNU = carmustine; CGT = cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan; DTIC = dacarbazine <sup>a</sup>Patients may have had more than one pre-treatment.

There was no significant difference in treatment response between cisplatin-pretreated patients (6% PR; 17% SD; 77% PD) and noncisplatin-pretreated patients (13% SD; 87% PD).

At the last follow-up, 3 (3%) of the 91 patients are progression-free (range: 5-26 months). Six-month and 12-month progression-free survival was calculated at 8.7 and 5.8%, respectively.

## Survival

Overall media survival of all 91 patients was 6 months (range: 0-29 months; 1-year survival rate, 17%, 2-year survival rate, 7%) (Figure 1A). Patients achieving a partial remission or stable disease (n = 19) exhibited a median overall survival of 11 months (1 and 2-year survival rate, 36%) (Figure 1), while patients with disease progression (n = 72) upon first evaluation showed a median overall survival rate, 0%) (Figure 1). At the last follow-up, 7 (8%) patients (range: 5-26 months) are alive.

## Treatment toxicity

Cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan therapy was moderateto-well tolerated. No toxic deaths occurred. Most haematologic



**Figure I** Overall survival (Kaplan–Meier estimates) of **(A)** all 91 patients, **(B)** 19 patients with partial remission or stable disease, and **(C)** 72 patients with progressive disease. Patients were treated with cisplatin, gemcitabine, and treosulfan. Survival was measured from start of therapy.

side effects were limited to WHO grades I and II and were experienced in 52% (leukopenia), 50% (anaemia), and 29% (thrombocytopenia) of CGT-treated patients; grade III- or IVrelated haematologic side effects were experienced in 26% (leukopenia), 20% (thrombocytopenia), and 3% (anaemia) of patients (Table 2). Eighty-seven per cent of patients showed no major (WHO grade III/IV) nausea/vomiting, 98% of patients experienced no major (WHO grade III/IV) polyneuropathy, and 78% of patients showed no other significant toxicities according to WHO (grade III/IV).

#### REFERENCES

- Atzpodien J, Neuber K, Kamanabrou D, Fluck M, Brocker EB, Neumann C, Runger TM, Schuler G, von den Driesch P, Muller I, Paul E, Patzelt T, Reitz M (2002) Combination chemotherapy with or without s.c. IL2 and IFN-alpha: results of a prospectively randomized trial of the Cooperative Advanced Malignant Melanoma Chemoimmunotherapy Group (ACIMM). Br J Cancer 86(2): 179-184
- © 2007 Cancer Research UK

 Table 2
 Haematologic toxicity

Haematologic toxicity <sup>a</sup> WHO criteria	% Patients	
	1/11	III/IV
Leukocyte counts Thrombocyte counts Haemoglobin levels	52 29 50	26 20 3

<sup>a</sup>No life-threatening complications and no toxic deaths occurred.

## DISCUSSION

The prognosis of patients with relapsed high-risk melanoma failing standard DTIC-based chemotherapy remains disappointing. However, recent preclinical studies on the chemosensitivity of metastatic melanoma cells to cytotoxic agents identified high sensitivity to cytotoxic single agents including cisplatin, treosulfan, gemcitabine, as well as to combinations of gemcitabine plus treosulfan and gemcitabine plus cisplatin (Cree *et al*, 1999; Ugurel *et al*, 2003, 2006).

Our present analysis of 91 high-risk AJCC stage IV melanoma patients failing previous first-, second-, or third-line therapy, showed a median overall survival of 6 months upon subsequent treatment with the combination of CGT.

While this is the first report on the effect of CGT on metastatic cutaneous melanoma, recent results on CGT-treated metastatic uveal melanoma patients showed a similar median overall survival of 7.7 months (Schmittel *et al*, 2005). Other authors reported that 14 metastatic uveal melanoma patients treated with gemcitabine and treosulfan as first-line therapy (except one) yielded an objective reponse of 28% and a median overall survival of 61 weeks (Pfohler *et al*, 2003).

This present multi-agent chemotherapy regimen led to 21% of patients with stable disease or partial remission, with a prolonged median overall survival of 11 months. This was even more striking given the number of prior therapies and the high percentage of cisplatin-pretreated patients.

However, there was no difference in response between cisplatinpretreated and noncisplatin-pretreated patients suggesting that relapse after previous systemic therapy, that is, prior to the current regimen, may have been cisplatin unrelated.

In the present group of pretreated metastatic cutaneous melanoma patients, median overall survival upon CGT treatment was similar to that reported upon standard DTIC-based therapy, which yielded a median overall survival of 7 months (Chapman *et al*, 1999). Similar historical outcome upon current CGT second-/third-/ fourth-line therapy compared with DTIC-based first-line treatment might be explained by treatment eligibility-related patient selection; thus, only patients with Karnofsky performance status > 80% despite relapse of disease received subsequent CGT therapy, here.

In summary, treatment with CGT was efficient in one-fifth of pretreated relapsed stage IV melanoma patients achieving disease stabilisation or partial remission. In future, it could be beneficial to prospectively identify melanoma patients, who could benefit from selected chemotherapeutic agents after failing first- or second-line standard chemotherapy.

- Chapman PB, Einhorn LH, Meyers ML, Saxman S, Destro AN, Panageas KS, Begg CB, Agarwala SS, Schuchter LM, Ernsthoff MS, Houghton AN, Kirkwood JM (1999) Phase III multicenter randomized trial of the Dartmouth regimen versus dacarbazine in patients with metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 17(9): 2745-2751
- Cree IA, Neale MH, Myatt NE, de Takats PG, Hall P, Grant J, Kurbacher CM, Reinhold U, Neuber K, Mackie RM, Chana J, Weaver PC, Khoury GG,

Sartori C, Andreotti PE (1999) Heterogeneity of chemosensitivity of metastatic cutaneous melanoma. *Anticancer Drugs* **10**(5): 437-444

- Hillner BE, Kirkwood JM, Atkins MB, Johnson ER, Smith TJ (1997) Economic analysis of adjuvant interferon-alfa-2b in high-risk melanoma based on projections from ECOG 1684. J Clin Oncol 15: 2351-2358
- Huncharek M, Cubet JF, McGarry R (2001) Single-agent DTIC versus combination chemotherapy with or without immunotherapy in metastatic melanoma: a meta-analysis of 3273 patients from 20 randomized trials. *Melanoma Res* 11(19): 75-81
- Pfohler C, Cree IA, Ugurel S, Kuwert C, Haass N, Neuber K, Hengge U, Corrie PG, Zutt M, Tilgen W, Reinhold U (2003) Treosulfan and gemcitabine in metastatic uveal melanoma patients: results of a Multicenter Feasibility Study. *Anticancer Drugs* 14(5): 337-340
- Richards JM, Mehta N, Ramming K, Skosey P (1992) Sequential chemoimmunotherapy in the treatment of metastatic melanoma. J Clin Oncol 10(8): 1338-1343
- Schmittel A, Scheulen ME, Bechrakis NE, Strumberg D, Baumgart J, Bornfeld N, Foerster MH, Thiel E, Keilholz U (2005) Phase II trial of cisplatin, gemcitabine and treosulfan in patients with metastatic uveal melanoma. *Melanoma Res* 15(3): 205-207

- Schmittel A, Schmidt-Hieber M, Martus P, Bechrakis N, Schuster R, Siehl J, Foerster M, Thiel E, Keilholz U (2006) A randomized phase II trial of gemcitabine plus treosulfan versus treosulfan alone in patients with metastatic uveal melanoma. Ann Oncol 17(12): 1826-1829
- Serrone L, Zeuli M, Sega FM, Cognetti F (2000) Dacarbazine-based chemotherapy for metastatic melanoma: thirty-year experience overview. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* **19**(1): 21-34
- Stein ME, Bernstein Z, Tsalic M, Drumea K, Steiner M, Sklar Z, Haim N (2002) Chemoimmunohormonal therapy with carmustine, dacarbazine, cisplatin, tamoxifen, and interferon for metastatic melanoma: a prospective phase II study. *Am J Clin Oncol* **25**(5): 460-463
- Ugurel S, Schadendorf D, Pföhler C, Neuber K, Thoelke A, Uhlrich J, Hauschild A, Spieth K, Kaatz M, Rittgen W, Delorme S, Tilgen W, Reinhold U (2006) *In vitro* drug sensitivity predicts response and survival after individualized sensitivity-directed chemotherapy in metastatic melanoma: a multicenter phase II trial of the Dermatologic Cooperative Oncology Group. *Clin Cancer Res* 12(18): 5454-5463
- Ugurel S, Tilgen W, Reinhold U (2003) Chemosensitivity testing malignant melanoma. Recent Results Cancer Res 161: 81-92

1331