www.bjcancer.com

Prognostic evaluation of febrile neutropaenia in apparently stable adult cancer patients

A Carmona-Bayonas^{*,1}, J Gómez², E González-Billalabeitia¹, M Canteras³, A Navarrete⁴, ML Gonzálvez⁴, V Vicente¹ and F Ayala de la Peña¹

¹Department of Haematology and Medical Oncology, University Hospital Morales Meseguer, Avda Marqués de los Vélez, S/n 30001, Murcia, Spain; ²Department of Infectious Diseases, Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca, Carretera de Madrid-Cartagena, S/n 30120, Murcia, Spain; ³Department of Biostatistics, Faculty of Medicine, University of Murcia, Campus de Espinardo, 30100, Murcia, Spain; ⁴Department of Medical Oncology, Hospital Virgen de la Arrixaca, Carretera de Madrid-Cartagena, S/n 30120, Murcia, Spain

BACKGROUND: Predictive models to identify low-risk febrile neutropaenia (FN) have been developed with heterogeneous samples, which included stable and unstable patients, solid tumours, acute leukaemia and bone marrow transplantation. These models fail to recognise 5-15% of cases with unexpected complications, and literature specifically addressing apparently stable patients (ASPs) is scarce.

METHODS: We reviewed 861 episodes of FN in outpatients with solid tumours, including 692 (80%) episodes with apparent clinical stability. We aimed to investigate the prognosis of this latter group and explore the possibility of stratifying it according to the presenting features. A case–control study was performed and the MASCC index was evaluated.

RESULTS: The rates of complications and bacteraemia in ASPs were 7.3% and 6.2%, respectively. The MASCC index yielded a low sensitivity to detect complications (36%). Prognostic factors were identified: ECOG performance status ≥ 2 , chronic bronchitis, chronic heart failure, stomatitis NCI grade ≥ 2 , monocytes $< 200 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ and stress hyperglycaemia.

CONCLUSION: A very simple assessment is useful to classify the patients with FN according to the risk of complications. A few additional variables may predict the clinical course of the patients. We additionally show that the MASCC index applied to this specific group has a low sensitivity to predict complications.

British Journal of Cancer (2011) **105,** 612–617. doi:10.1038/bjc.2011.284 www.bjcancer.com Published online 2 August 2011

© 2011 Cancer Research UK

Keywords: febrile neutropaenia; apparently stable patients; prognostic model

Febrile neutropaenia (FN) is a common complication in cancer patients treated with chemotherapy. Although most of the cases are successfully solved with antibiotic treatment, a percentage of them can develop serious complications, and mortality is still significant in some settings (Sipsas et al, 2005). The prognostic models of Talcott and MASCC (see Table 1) were developed to predict the clinical outcome of patients with FN (Talcott et al, 1988; Klastersky et al, 2000). The latter model was validated several times and it is more accurate than Talcott's classification (Talcott et al, 1992; Uys et al, 2004). However, some problems still remain in the clinical setting. The derivation and validation of both models involved heterogeneous samples, with solid tumours, acute leukaemia and bone marrow transplantation (BMT), which differ in their baseline characteristics. Therefore, FN is managed differently in each particular scenario (Rossini et al, 1994; Nakagawa et al, 2009).

In the particular setting of Medical Oncology, the most common situations are moderate intensity chemotherapy, ambulatory setting, no profound immunosuppression and clinical stability at the onset (Talcott *et al*, 1988). In this situation, the rate of complications is around 9-15% according to the MASCC score

*Correspondence: Dr A Carmona-Bayonas;

E-mail: alberto.carmonabayonas@gmail.com

(Klastersky *et al*, 2000, 2006). Although this group is less vulnerable, the introduction of home therapy and oral antibiotics can make the consequences of misclassification more relevant.

In addition, the patients enrolled in these studies showed a wide clinical spectrum, from fever without focus to multiorgan dysfunction (Talcott et al, 1988; Klastersky et al, 2000). As a result, the MASCC index includes some factors that define severe sepsis (Nguyen et al, 2006), even though they automatically point to high risk scenarios, thereby making the prediction irrelevant for changing medical decisions. Otherwise, the available literature regarding clinically stable patients is scarce (Moon and Chun, 2009). In this situation, there is also a rationale for incorporating biological variables into risk prediction (Jimeno et al, 2004; Uys et al, 2004). Therefore, it is still important to describe the clinical behaviour of more homogeneous samples of patients and, in particular, those who appear clinically stable at presentation. The primary aim of this study is to provide more data about the clinical features and prognosis of this specific population. The secondary objective is to explore the possibility of stratifying the group according to the presenting clinical features.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

The medical records of all adults (≥ 18 years), outpatients, admitted between 1996 and 2004, with fever ≥ 38 °C over 1 h,

Revised 31 May 2011; accepted 5 July 2011; published online 2 August 2011

Febrile neutropaenia in apparently stable outpatients

A Carmona-Bayonas et al

 Table I
 The MASCC index score

Category	Weight
Burden of illness: no or mild symptoms	5
No hypotension	5
No chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	4
Solid tumour or no previous invasive fungal infection	4
Outpatient status	3
Burden of disease: moderate symptoms	3
No dehydration	3
Aged <60 years	2

Abbreviation: MASCC = Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer. The maximum theoretical score is 26. A MASCC score \geq 21 identifies low-risk patients with a positive predictive value of 91%, specificity of 68% and sensitivity of 71% (Klastersky *et al*, 2000).

neutropaenia $\leq 500 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ (or a count of $\leq 1000 \text{ cells mm}^{-3}$ with a predicted decrease to $\leq 500 \text{ cells mm}^{-3}$), chemotherapy and a solid tumour were reviewed. Patients treated with high-dose chemotherapy (HDC), including induction and intensification for acute leukaemia, BMT, the Magrath regimen and other induction treatments for Burkitt lymphoma, were excluded. The episode was considered valid if the management complied with current IDSA guidelines for FN (Hughes *et al*, 2002). Oral or ambulatory therapy was not offered. We additionally excluded the episodes in which $\geq 10\%$ of the variables tested were missing. In case of multiple episodes for one patient, only the first one was evaluated. The study protocol was approved by the hospital investigational review board, and informed consent was obtained from patients.

Study design

Initial risk stratification We used objective criteria, such as the blood pressure, X-rays and blood tests, to classify the episodes into two categories: apparently stable patients (ASPs) and clearly unstable patients (CUPs). The CUP subgroup included those patients with any of these features at presentation: acute organ dysfunction, septic shock, hypotension, extensive infections (pneumonia, cellulitis > 5 cm, typhlitis, meningitis and pyelone-phritis) or any other acute comorbidity indicating hospital admission by itself. Patients without the attributes that characterised CUPs were considered ASPs. The medical records of the whole ASP group were reviewed to analyse the prevalence of complications that were not present at the diagnosis of FN, which comprised the triage and a basic workup (around 3 h).

Potential risk factors in the ASP group We designed a retrospective case-control study. Both cases and controls were obtained from the same base (ASP group). A case was defined as a patient with an unexpected serious complication after admission. These events were defined according to the MASCC criterion (Klastersky et al, 2000). All cases were included in the analysis. In patients with multiple complications, we considered the most severe one to define the case. A control was defined as a randomly selected patient who was not a case. The whole ASP subgroup was analysed to calculate the prevalence of complications and to provide a completely randomised selection of controls. For the multivariate study, we planned a ratio of 1:2 for cases and controls, plus additional 25% controls to compensate missing values. No matching techniques were used. Selection of prognostic variables was performed after reviewing the literature and considering their availability in the Emergency Room setting. We also omitted the variables that were not accessible at the onset. Burden of disease was evaluated as in Klastersky et al (2000). Constitutional syndrome was defined as the presence of asthenia, anorexia and loss of $\ge 10\%$ of body weight in the previous 3 months. As outlined in Table 2, most of the variables were dichotomic. For continuous variables, cutoffs were

Baseline characteristics and medical history	≥65, <65
Age years	≥65, <65
, igo, jours	
Sex	Male, female
Surgery in the previous month	Yes, no
ECOG performance status	≥2, <2
Antibiotics in the previous month	Yes, no
Constitutional syndrome	Yes, no
COPD	Yes, no
Chronic heart failure	Yes, no
Diabetes mellitus	Yes, no
Lung cancer	Yes, no
Lymphoma	Yes, no
Breast cancer	Yes, no
TNM stage	IV. I–III
Prophylactic GCSE	Yes no
	Yes no
	100,110
Laboratory results	
Albumin, gdl ⁻ '	<3, ≥3
Total proteins, gdl ⁻ '	<6.4, ≥6.4
LDH, mUml ⁻	≥4/5, <4/5
Monocytes at the onset, mm ⁻³	<200, ≥200
Platelets at the onset, mm ⁻³	< 00 000, ≥ 00 000
Haemoglobin at the onset, $g dl_{2}^{-1}$	≼ 9, >9
Neutrophils at the onset, mm ⁻³	≤100, >100
Fibrinogen, mg dl_'	≥450, <450
Uraemia, mg dl ⁻¹	≥30 mg, <30
Stress hyperglycaemia ^a	Yes, no
Characteristics of the febrile neutropaenia episode	
Productive cough	Yes, no
Urinary infection	Yes, no
Fever of unknown origin	Yes, no
Abnormal X-ray without pneumonia at the onset	Yes no
Heart rate >91	Yes no
Respiratory rate >21	Yes no
MASCC score	< 21 > 21
Burden of disease at the onset	Moderate low
Dehydration	Yes no
Chille	Yes no
Tomporature at the operat $^{\circ}C$	> 29 - 29
Stomatitis NCI grade >2	Yes no
	103, 110

Abbreviations: COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; GCSF = granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; MASCC = Multinational Association of Supportive Care in Cancer; NCI = National Cancer Institute; TNM = tumour-node-metastasis. ^aStress hyperglycaemia: $\ge 121 \text{ mg dl}^{-1}$ ($\ge 250 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$ in diabetic patients).

defined according to previous reports (Groeger *et al*, 1998) or, when unavailable, to clinically relevant or NCI toxicity scale-based cutoffs.

Statistical considerations

For the univariate analysis, each potential risk factor was assessed using χ^2 -test or, when appropriate, Fisher exact test. Those variables with a *P*-value <0.20 were eligible for the multivariate analysis. The variables with $\geq 10\%$ of missing values (chills, heart rate, respiratory rate and fibrinogen) were excluded. Clinical redundancy and potential overlapping was also a reason for exclusion. The covariates finally included in the stepwise logistic regression model were: age, sex, ECOG, constitutional syndrome, COPD, albumin, monocytes, platelets, uraemia, stress hyperglycaemia, productive cough, abnormal X-rays without pneumonia, stomatitis, chronic heart failure, burden of disease, lung cancer and breast cancer. Statistical analyses were carried out with the 614

SPSS 15.0 software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All test were twosided, and *P*-values < 0.05 were considered significant.

RESULTS

The medical records of 861 valid episodes of FN were reviewed. We found that in 692 episodes (80%), there were neither clinical findings of instability nor a serious infection at the onset. Hence, according to the medical record, we classified them as ASPs (Figure 1). The other 169 episodes (20%) were classified as CUPs. The most frequent causes for classification as CUP were severe infections, but there were also complications unrelated to neutropaenia, such as embolism or arrhythmia. We analysed what happened with ASPs during the hospital admission. Only 51 patients (7.3%) in the ASP group developed a serious complication, a rate in the range of low-risk MASCC group. The most frequent complications were acute respiratory failure (27 events), hypotension (25 events) and acute renal failure (11 events). Nine patients (1.3%) died after admission and the cause of death was clearly related to infection in seven of them (1%). Other complications were observed at a lower frequency (Table 3).

We observed a 6.2% rate of bacteraemia (43 episodes), which was lower than previously reported (Klastersky *et al*, 2007), a fact that we attributed to the selection of stable patients. We also found 22 cases (3.2%) of delayed lung infiltrates. These categories showed some overlap: 14 patients with bacteraemia and 7 patients with delayed lung infiltrates had serious complications. The main features of the patients and the febrile episodes are shown in Table 4. To determine which prognostic factors were relevant in ASPs, a case-control study was designed.

We performed a univariate analysis as shown on Table 5. The significant factors associated with complications were grouped in three categories: (1) baseline characteristics and comorbidity: age ≥ 65 years, male, ECOG ≥ 2 , lung tumours, absence of breast cancer, COPD and chronic heart failure; (2) biological

variables: albumin $<3 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{dl}^{-1}$, proteins $<6.4 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{dl}^{-1}$, uraemia $>30 \,\mathrm{mg}\,\mathrm{dl}^{-1}$, stress hyperglycaemia, monocytes $<200 \,\mathrm{mm}^{-3}$ and platelets $<100\,000 \,\mathrm{mm}^{-3}$; and (3) clinical characteristics of the febrile episode: stomatitis NCI grade ≥ 2 , productive cough, MASCC score <21 and constitutional syndrome. Interestingly, lung cancer showed an increased risk of complications (OR 3.43), mainly acute respiratory failure, whereas breast cancer was a protective factor (OR 0.34). In the multivariate analysis, six variables constituted independent risk factors: $\mathrm{ECOG} \ge 2$, COPD, chronic heart failure, stomatitis grade ≥ 2 , monocytopenia and stress hyperglycaemia (Table 6).

The absence of a validation set precluded a head-to-head comparison between our model and MASCC, but we analysed the distribution of the MASCC scores in the cases and controls (Table 5). We observed that 85% of the sample had a MASCC index \geq 21. We calculated the following parameters to detect complications: sensitivity 36%, specificity 94%, positive predictive value 32%, negative predictive value 94.9%, positive likelihood ratio 6,

Table	3	Distribution	of acute	serious	complications
	•	Distribution	or acute	30110035	complications

Complication	n (%) ^a
Acute respiratory failure	27 (28)
Hypotension	25 (26)
Acute renal failure	
Death	9 (9.5)
Altered mental status	6 (6.3)
Acute heart failure	4 (4.2)
Serious bleeding	4 (4.2)
Acute abdomen	3 (3.1)
Arrhythmia	2 (2.1)
Disseminated intravascular coagulation	2 (2.1)
Unstable angina	l (l)
Total	94

^aNumber of complications. Multiples complications for one patient are shown here.



Figure I Flowchart summarising the study design.

Table 4 Main features of the patients and the febrile episodes

Characteristic	N (%)
ECOG performance status 0–1	138 (78)
Tumours Breast Lung Lymphoma Sarcoma Colorectal Other solid tumours	53 (30) 41 (23.4) 31 (18) 13 (7.5) 7 (4) 30 (17)
Treatment setting Adjuvant Neoadjuvant Advanced disease/palliative Other	33 (19) 15 (8) 96 (55) 31 (18)
TNM stage IV MASCC≥2I	96 (55) 144 (85)
Cause of the fever Fever of unknown origin Clinically confirmed infection Microbiologically confirmed infection	80 (37) 84 (39) 51 (24)
Days with neutropaenia ≤500 mm ⁻³ Length of admission Rate of unexpected complications	3 (range 1–8) 7.4 days 7.3
Rate of bacteraemia Percentage of Gram negative in blood cultures	6.2 65
Median age years	55 (range 13-85)

Abbreviation: ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group.

negative likelihood ratio 0.6 and correct classification 89%. The application of MASCC reduced the unexpected complications from 7.3 to 5.1%. These calculations suggest a poor performance of the model in stable patients, as 64% of the cases with complications have a MASCC score ≥ 21 . When the variables included in the MASCC score were analysed, we found that no patient had hypotension or previous invasive fungal infection, the in-patient status was 0% and the rate of dehydration was 7% (n=13), as expected. The remaining three variables occurred relatively more often: COPD was present in 20% (n=35), moderate burden of disease in 39% and age >60 yeas in 42% (n=71).

DISCUSSION

Febrile neutropaenia is associated with significant morbidity and mortality in cancer patients. The MASCC index has been validated in the whole spectrum of FN and it is a precise tool for risk stratification (Klastersky *et al*, 2000). However, even in the low-risk group, around 10% of patients develop serious complications (Klastersky *et al*, 2006).

Our study was designed from a pragmatic point of view. We hypothesised that our target population was considerably homogeneous and differed from the samples in which Talcott and MASCC models were developed. We excluded the cases with acute leukaemia, HDC and BMT, which selected for patients with an anticipated short duration of neutropaenia and a lower risk of complications. We also excluded in-patients because this prior status is considered a risk factor for complications according to MASCC and Talcott's models (Talcott *et al*, 1992). Moreover, an ultimate goal of prognostic models of low-risk FN is to identify a

A Carmona-Bayonas et al



Table 5	Predictive	factors	for	serious	complications	in	the	univariate
analysis								

Variables	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	OR	95% CI	P-value
Baseline characte	ristics and med	dical history			
~ge, years <65 ≥65	30 (58.8) 21 (41.2)	93 (78.2) 26 (21.8)	2.5	1.23-5.07	0.010
Sex Female Male	17 (33.3) 34 (66.7)	74 (62.2) 45 (37.8)	3.28	1.65-6.55	0.001
Surgery in the	previous mor	nth	0.6		0.6
Yes	4 (8)	108 (88.5) 14 (11.5)	0.6	0.2-2.1	0.6
ECOG perfor <2 ≥2	mance status 32 (62.7) 19 (37 3)	106 (89.1)	4.84	2.1-10.86	< 0.0001
Antibiotics in	the previous r	nonth 90 (737)	16	0.83-3.35	014
Yes	19 (37.3)	32 (26.3)	1.0	0.05 5.55	0.11
Constitutional No Yes	syndrome 26 (51) 25 (49)	93 (78.2) 26 (21.8)	4.43	1.7-6.92	< 0.0001
Chronic brond No Yes	chitis 28 (54.9) 23 (45.1)	107 (89.9) 12 (10.1)	7.32	3.25–16.5	< 0.0001
Chronic heart No Yes	failure 42 (82.4) 9 (17.6)	115 (97.5) 3 (2.5)	8.21	2.12-31.8	< 0.000
Diabetes mell No Yes	itus 48 (94.1) 3 (5.9)	117 (95) 5 (5)	1.46	0.3-6.3	0.69
Lung cancer No Yes	30 (58.8) 21 (41.1)	99 (83.2) 20 (16.8)	3.43	1.66-7.23	0.01
Breast cancer No Yes	43 (84.3) 8 (15.7)	74 (62.2) 45 (37.8)	0.34	0.13-0.7	0.04
Lymphoma No Yes	42 (82.3) 9 (17.7)	100 (81.9) 22 (18.1)	0.9	0.41-2.29	0.57
TNM stage I–III IV	20 (39.2) 31 (60.8)	59 (48.3) 63 (51.7)	1.45	0.7-2.8	0.31
Prophylactic C No Yes	GCSF 44 (86.2) 7 (13.8)	101 (82.7) 19 (17.3)	0.84	0.3-2.15	0.72
Adjuvant cher No Yes	notherapy 43 (84.3) 8 (15.7)	95 (77.8) 27 (22.2)	0.65	0.2-1.55	0.4
Laboratory results Albumin, mg c ≥3 <3	3 11 ⁻¹ 29 (59.2) 20 (40.8)	91 (78.4) 25 (21.6)	2. 51	1.22-5.16	0.011
Total proteins ≥6.4 <6.4	, mg dl ⁻¹ 7 (14.6) 41 (85.4)	59 (51.3) 56 (58.7)	6.17	2.55–14.8	< 0.0001

 Table 5 (Continued)

Variables	Cases, n (%)	Controls, n (%)	OR	95% CI	P-value
LDH, mU mI ^{−1} <475 ≥475	30 (58.9) 18 (41.1)	81 (66.3) 34 (33.6)	1.42	0.7-2.9	0.35
Haemoglobin at ≥9 <9	t the onset, g 39 (76.4) 12 (23.6)	grdl ⁻¹ 102 (83.6) 19 (16.4)	1.6	0.7-3.7	0.27
Neutrophils at 1 ≥100 <100	the onset, m 28 (54.9) 23 (45.1)	m ⁻³ 71 (58.1) 50 (41.9)	1.1	0.6-2.2	0.73
Monocytes at th ≥200 <200	ne onset, mn 14 (27.5) 37 (72.5)	n ⁻³ 62 (52.1) 57 (47.9)	2.87	1.41-5.86	0.003
Platelets at the ≥ I 00 000 < I 00 000	onset, mm ⁻² 20 (39.2) 31 (60.8)	³ 80 (67.8) 38 (32.2)	3.26	1.65-6.45	0.001
Uraemia, mg dl⁻ ≤30 >30	-1 19 (43.2) 25 (56.8)	94 (80.3) 23 (19.7)	5.37	2.53-11.3	< 0.0001
Stress hyperglyc No Yes	aemia ^a 23 (47.9) 25 (52.1)	94 (80.3) 23 (19.7)	4.42	2.14-9.19	< 0.0001
Characteristics of th	ne febrile neu	tropaenia episo	de		
Abnormal X-ray No Yes	v without pn 19 (37.3) 32 (62.7)	eumonia 88 (73.9) 31 (26.1)	4.78	2.37-9.62	< 0.0001
Stomatitis NCI <2 ≥2	grade 31 (60.8) 20 (39.2)	94 (79.7) 24 (20.3)	2.52	1.23-5.18	0.010
MASCC ≥21 <21	32 (64) 18 (36)	2 (94) 7 (6)	7.9	3-20.5	< 0.0001
Urinary infection No Yes	n 46 (90.1) 5 (9.9)	2 (9 .8) 0 (8.1)	1.2	0.39-3.7	0.7
Productive coug No Yes	gh 34 (66.7) 17 (33.3)	(94.) 7 (5.9)	7.92	3.03-20.7	< 0.0001
Burden of disea Low Moderate	se 18 (35) 33 (65)	107 (69) 48 (31)	4.3	2.15-8	< 0.000

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; GCSF = granulocyte-colony stimulating factor; LDH = lactate dehydrogenase; NCI = National Cancer Institute; OR = odds ratio; TNM = tumour-node-metastasis. ^aStress hyperglycaemia: $\ge 121 \text{ mg dl}^{-1}$ ($\ge 250 \text{ mg m}^{-2}$ in diabetic patients).

group of patients that can be suitable for home treatment, thus making the inclusion of in-patients problematic.

We also reasoned that a prognostic model is more helpful to make meaningful decisions in non-obvious situations, such as the assessment of ASPs with subtle clinical signs. According to this clinically oriented approach, we classified our patients into two subgroups that we called ASPs and CUPs, and focused in the prognosis and traits of the former ones. The ASP subgroup

Variable	OR	95% CI	P-value
ECOG, ≥2	2.40	1.03-5.55	0.041
Chronic bronchitis	4.45	1.95-10.17	< 0.000
Chronic heart failure	6.47	1.60-26.15	0.009
Stomatitis grade, ≥2	2.59	1.15-5.81	0.02
Monocytes, $< 200 \text{ mm}^{-3}$	2.29	1.04-5.07	0.04
Stress hyperglycaemia	3.06	1.43-6.54	0.004

 Table 6
 Predictive factors for serious complications in the multivariate

Abbreviations: CI = confidence interval; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; OR = odds ratio.

represents 80% of our practice in a Medical Oncology unit. We report that this simple classification yields a rate of complications in the range of the MASCC low-risk group. Furthermore, we found that 85% of ASPs were MASCC ≥ 21 (low risk), but this model had a lower sensitivity in our population than previously reported. This low performance was suggested by a 64% of patients with complications showing a MASCC index ≥ 21 . We interpreted this finding as a result of the selection, which defined a different sample to that in which MASCC was validated. The MASCC scale includes eight parameters, and the definition of low risk is a score \geq 21. But when we applied the model to our sample, the reason for the low sensitivity became clear. There are no in-patients, hypotension and invasive fungal infections and the rate of dehydration is low. As a result, these factors become useless to differentiate some cases from others. Only three factors are left to make a prognostic distinction. Although moderate burden of disease, COPD and age >60 years occur more often, they are still relatively infrequent, and thereby they are of limited discriminatory help.

For our second objective, a retrospective case – control study was considered, as complications are rare events in ASPs. For example, the MASCC Study included 1351 patients, but the derivation set only contained 32 low-risk patients with unexpected complications (Klastersky *et al*, 2000). Our aim is not to make a comparison with MASCC, but to assess the possibility to further stratify the ASP group, according to the presenting clinical features. Our hypothesis is that to reduce uncertainty we need to select not only more homogeneous samples but also to consider different types of variables. In fact, the study proposes that a combination of comorbidities, biological variables and toxicities might improve the prognostic evaluation.

The independent variables were ECOG ≥ 2 , COPD, chronic heart failure, stomatitis grade ≥ 2 , monocytes $< 200 \text{ mm}^{-3}$ and hyperglycaemia. These variables should not be adopted until further investigations could confirm the data and expose new sources of risk. However, all of them have a recognised biological substrate. Several studies have reported that a poor performance status is an adverse prognostic trait (Escalante et al, 1996; Sanz et al, 2002; Talcott et al, 2004; Klastersky et al, 2006). COPD is also a wellknown risk factor in FN, as it is associated with serious bacterial infections and acute respiratory failure (Klastersky et al, 2000). The presence of stomatitis can predispose to bacteraemia (Bochud et al, 1994). Moreover, the inability to swallow is one of the causes that justify the hospitalisation (Rubenstein et al, 1993; Mullen et al, 1999; Talcott et al, 2004). Monocytopenia is linked with bacteraemia in children with FN (Rackoff et al, 1996), and early monocytopenia after chemotherapy is correlated with neutropaenia (Oguz et al, 2006). Finally, hyperglycaemia is common in critically ill patients, in which it is a well-known risk factor of complications (Dandona et al, 2003). Moreover, a randomised trial has shown that intensive insulin therapy reduces morbidity and mortality in this setting (Van den Berghe et al, 2001). We believe

that the evaluation of biological variables is interesting in FN. Particularly, some reports have found that acute-phase reactants are useful as adjuvants to the clinical models (Kern *et al*, 2001; Nijhuis *et al*, 2005). Finally, our model has some other limitations beyond the requirement of validation. The selection of variables was based on easy availability, which excluded some potentially informative factors. Furthermore, all our patients were admitted to the hospital

REFERENCES

- Bochud PY, Eggiman P, Calandra T, Van Melle G, Saghafi L, Francioli P (1994) Bacteremia due to viridans streptococcus in neutropenic patients with cancer: clinical spectrum and risk factors. *Clin Infect Dis* 18: 25-31
- Dandona P, Aljada A, Bandyopadhyay A (2003) The potential therapeutic role of insulin in acute myocardial infarction in patients admitted to intensive care and in those with unspecified hyperglycemia. *Diabetes Care* 26: 516-519
- Escalante CP, Rubenstein EB, Rolston KV (1996) Outpatient antibiotic treatment in low-risk febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Support Care Cancer 4: 358-363
- Groeger JS, Lemeshow S, Price K (1998) Multicenter outcome study of cancer patients admitted to the intensive care unit: a probability of mortality model. *J Clin Oncol* 16: 761-770
- Hughes WT, Armstrong D, Bodey GP (2002) Guidelines for the use of antimicrobial agents in neutropenic patients with cancer. *Clin Infect Dis* **34:** 730-751
- Jimeno A, García-Velasco A, del Val O (2004) Assessment of procalcitonin as a diagnostic and prognostic marker in patients with solid tumors and febrile neutropenia. *Cancer* **100**: 2462–2469
- Kern WV, Heiss M, Steinbach G (2001) Prediction of gram-negative bacteremia in patients with cancer and febrile neutropenia by means of interleukin-8 levels in serum: targeting empirical monotherapy versus combination therapy. *Clin Infect Dis* 32: 832-835
- Klastersky J, Ameye L, Maertens J (2007) Bacteraemia in febrile neutropenic cancer patients. Int J Antimicrob Agents **30:** 51–59
- Klastersky J, Paesmans M, Georgala A (2006) Outpatient oral antibiotics for febrile neutropenic cancer patients using a score predictive for complications. J Clin Oncol 24: 4129-4134
- Klastersky J, Paesmans M, Rubenstein EB, Boyer M, Elting L, Feld R, Gallagher J, Herrstedt J, Rapoport B, Rolston K, Talcott J (2000) The multinational association for supportive care in cancer risk index: a multinational scoring system for identifying low-risk febrile neutropenic cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 18: 3038-3051
- Moon JM, Chun BJ (2009) Predicting the complicated neutropenic fever in the emergency department. *Emerg Med J* 26: 802-806
- Mullen CA, Petropoulos D, Roberts WM (1999) Outpatient treatment of fever and neutropenia for low risk pediatric cancer patients. *Cancer* 86: 126-134
- Nakagawa Y, Suzuki K, Masaoka T (2009) Evaluation of the risk factors for febrile neutropenia associated with hematological malignancy. J Infect Chemother 15: 174–179

and received intravenous treatment, which might compromise the applicability of the model in the ambulatory setting. As a conclusion, we have shown that a very simple assessment

(ASP vs CUP) can classify cancer patients with FN according to the risk of complications. A few additional variables predict the clinical course of this population. Finally, the MASCC index applied to this specific group has a low sensitivity to predict complications.

- Clinical Studies
- Nijhuis CO, Kamps WA, Daenen SM (2005) Feasibility of withholding antibiotics in selected febrile neutropenic cancer patients. J Clin Oncol 23: 7437-7444
 Oguz A, Karadeniz C, Ckitak EC, Cil V (2006) Which one is a risk factor for

Nguyen HB, Emanuel PR, Fredrick MA (2006) Severe sepsis and septic

guidelines. Ann Emerg Med 48: 54

shock: review of the literature and emergency department management

- Oguz A, Karadeniz C, CKIAK EC, CII V (2006) Which one is a risk factor for chemotherapy-induced febrile neutropenia in childhood solid tumors: early lymphopenia or monocytopenia? *Pediatr Hematol Oncol* 23: 143–151
- Rackoff WR, Gonin R, Robinson C, Kreissman SG, Breitfeld PB (1996) Predicting the risk of bacteremia in children with fever and neutropenia. *J Clin Oncol* 14: 919–924
- Rossini F, Pioltelli P, Mingozzi S (1994) Amikacin and ceftazidime as empirical antibiotic therapy in severely neutropenic patients: analysis of prognostic factors. *Support Care Cancer* **2:** 259-265
- Rubenstein EB, Rolston K, Escalante C (1993) Outpatient treatment of febrile episodes in low-risk neutropenic patients with cancer. *Cancer* 71: 3640-3646
- Sanz MA, López J, Lahuerta JJ (2002) Cefepime plus amikacin versus piperacillin-tazobactam plus amikacin for initial antibiotic therapy in haematology patients with febrile neutropenia: results of an open, randomized, multicentre trial. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **50**: 79–88
- Sipsas NV, Bodey GP, Kontoyiannis DP (2005) Perspectives for the management of febrile neutropenic patients with cancer in the 21st century. *Cancer* 103: 1103-1113
- Talcott JA, Finberg R, Mayer RJ, Goldman L (1988) The medical course of cancer patients with fever and neutropenia: clinical identification of a low-risk subgroup at presentation. *Arch Intern Med* **148**: 2561–2568
- Talcott JA, Siegel RD, Finberg R, Goldman L (1992) Risk assessment in cancer patients with fever and neutropenia: a prospective, two-center validation of a prediction rule. *J Clin Oncol* **10**: 316–322
- Talcott JA, Whalen A, Clark J, Rieker PP, Finberg R (2004) Home antibiotic therapy for low-risk cancer patients with fever and neutropenia: a pilot study of 30 patients based on a validated prediction rule. *J Clin Oncol* **12**: 107 114
- Uys A, Rapoport BL, Anderson R (2004) Febrile neutropenia: a prospective study to validate the Multinational Association of Supportive Care of Cancer (MASCC) risk-index score. *Support Care Cancer* **12**: 555-560
- Van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F (2001) Intensive insulin therapy in critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 345: 1359-1367

This work is published under the standard license to publish agreement. After 12 months the work will become freely available and the license terms will switch to a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-Share Alike 3.0 Unported License.