Atherosclerosis Plus 52 (2023) 23-31

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Atherosclerosis Plus

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/atherosclerosis

# Fibronectin extra domain a limits liver dysfunction and protects mice during acute inflammation \*



Vivek Krishna Pulakazhi Venu <sup>a</sup>, Annalisa Moregola <sup>b</sup>, Lorenzo Da Dalt <sup>b</sup>, Patrizia Uboldi <sup>b</sup>, Fabrizia Bonacina <sup>b</sup>, Andrés Fernando Muro <sup>c</sup>, Giuseppe Danilo Norata <sup>b, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> University of Calgary Cumming School of Medicine, Calgary, AB, T2N 4N1, Canada

<sup>b</sup> Department of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, Università degli Studi di Milano, Milan, Italy

<sup>c</sup> International Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, Trieste, Italy

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 4 April 2023 Received in revised form 16 May 2023 Accepted 22 May 2023 Available online 28 May 2023

Keywords: Fibronectin extra domain A Sepsis Neutrophils Inflammation

#### ABSTRACT

*Background and aim:* The primary transcript of fibronectin (FN) undergoes alternative splicing to generate different isoforms, including FN containing the Extra Domain A (FN\_EDA+), whose expression is regulated spatially and temporarily during developmental and disease conditions including acute inflammation. The role of FN\_EDA+ during sepsis, however, remains elusive.

*Methods:* Mice constitutively express the EDA domain of fibronectin (EDA<sup>+/+</sup>); lacking the FN EDA domain (EDA<sup>-/-</sup>) or with a conditional ablation of EDA + inclusion only in liver produced FN (alb-CRE<sup>+</sup>EDA floxed mice) thus expressing normal plasma FN were used. Systemic inflammation and sepsis were induced by either LPS injection (70 mg/kg) or by cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) Neutrophils isolated from septic patients were tested for neutrophil binding ability.

*Results:* We observed that  $EDA^{+/+}$  were protected toward sepsis as compared to  $EDA^{-/-}$  mice. Also alb-CRE<sup>+</sup>EDA floxed mice presented reduced survival, thus indicating a key role for EDA in protecting toward sepsis. This phenotype was associated with improved liver and spleen inflammatory profile. Ex vivo experiments showed that neutrophils bind to a larger extent to an FN\_EDA + coated surface as compared to FN, thus potentially limiting their over-reactivity.

*Conclusions:* Our study demonstrates that the inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin dampens the nflammatoryi consequences of sepsis.

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

#### 1. Introduction

Sepsis is characterized by a dysregulated inflammatory response to an infection [1,2]. The incidence of sepsis is rising at a rate of 8.8% per year and the number of deaths increases continuously despite the advances in critical care medicine [3]. Although inflammatory cytokines produced by immune cells of both innate and adaptive

\* Corresponding author. Department of Pharmacological and Biomolecular Sciences, University of Milan, Via Balzaretti 9, 20133, Milan, Italy.-

E-mail address: danilo.norata@unimi.it (G.D. Norata).

systems are critical for the host to eradicate the invading pathogens [4,5], their uncontrolled release has been proposed to contribute to sepsis-related over-inflammation. At the same time, in septic patients, neutrophil and macrophage functions are largely impaired, and this can also contribute to bacterial growth [6].

To this aim, corticosteroids, interleukin (IL)-1R antagonists, tolllike receptor (TLR)-antagonists, and tumour necrosis factor (TNF) antagonists were all tested in clinical trials, as potential strategies to limit the overactivation of the inflammatory response during sepsis. However, none of these approaches was successful, suggesting that, beyond uncontrolled inflammation, other pathophysiological mechanisms could contribute to death following severe sepsis [7–12].

Among the acute phase proteins modulated during sepsis, changes in fibronectin (FN) levels were proposed as a prognostic marker of sepsis severity in humans and the injection of the FN fragment was shown to protect from sepsis [13–18]. Previous

#### https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athplu.2023.05.002

2667-0895/© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



<sup>\*</sup> The work of the authors is supported by: Fondazione Cariplo [2019–1560] and Roche Per la Ricerca 2021 to FB; Telethon Foundation [GGP19146], Progetti di Rilevante Interesse Nazionale [PRIN 2017 K55HLC], Ricerca Finalizzata, Ministry of Health [RF-2019-12370896], PNRR Missione 4, [Progetto CN3 - National Center for Gene Therapy and Drugs based on RNA Technology], PNRR Missione 4, [Progetto MUSA- Multilateral urban sustainability action], PNRR-MAD-2022-12375913 to GDN. VKPV was funded by the University of Calgary, Eyes High Fellowship.

Abbreviations and acronyms		
FN	Fibronectin	
CLP	Cecal Ligation and Puncture	
IIICS FDA <sup>+/+</sup>	Type 3 connecting segment	
EDA EDA <sup>-/-</sup>	Constitutive expressing FN + EDA	
EDA <sup>wt/wt</sup> Alb-CRE	Control animals CRE-Recombinase driven under albumin promoter	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

reports have shown that FN or its fragments can potentially interact with host pathogens during normal and pathological conditions [19,20].

FN exists in different isoforms which are generated as a consequence of the alternative splicing of a single primary transcript, with the inclusion of the extra domain A (EDA) being one of the most relevant and resulting in the generation of EDA-containing FN (FN\_EDA+) [21]. The liver is the major contributor of soluble plasma FN, a variant that does not contain the EDA domain [22]. Vice versa, FN produced in other tissues undergoes alternative splicing and represents a key component of the extracellular matrix (ECM) [23]. Interestingly, during sepsis, the balance between the different FN isoforms in ECM is altered with an increase in FN\_EDA+ and a reduction in FN lacking EDA (FN\_EDA-) [17,24]. Whether these changes reflect the adaptation of the ECM to regulate leukocyte *trans*-endothelial migration and tissue penetration and how this contributes to the regulation of infection is debated [25].

In this study, we sought to investigate the role and mechanism of action of FN\_EDA+ in the pathogenesis of polymicrobial sepsis. We took advantage of mouse models constitutively expressing EDA (EDA<sup>+/+</sup>), constitutively lacking EDA (EDA<sup>-/-</sup>), or a conditional knock-out model, in which the liver produces FN\_EDA-, whereas other tissues produce only FN\_EDA+. The latter is an animal model with plasma FN levels similar to control mice but always presenting EDA inclusion in FN produced in peripheral tissues [26,27].

Our study shows that the presence of  $FN\_EDA + protects$  from sepsis by interacting with neutrophils and limiting the uncontrolled inflammatory response.

#### 2. Results

## 2.1. Constitutive inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin decreases mortality in both LPS and CLP models of septic shock

Following the intraperitoneal injection of a sub-lethal dose of LPS, EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice showed an increased survival rate compared to  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  and  $EDA^{-/-}$  animals (Fig. 1A). Of note, mice with liverspecific deletion of the EDA exon (Alb-CRE + EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice produce FN\_EDA-only in the liver and therefore FN\_EDA-is present in the circulation while FN\_EDA + production is maintained in other tissues), had a profile similar to that of EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 1A). This finding suggests that liver-derived circulating FN\_EDA + might protect from sepsis. To further address this hypothesis, the impact of FN\_EDA+ was tested in a second model of sepsis (cecal ligation puncture (CLP)-induced polymicrobial sepsis). Under this experimental condition, EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice presented a significant increase in survival compared to both  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  and  $EDA^{-7}$ mice (Fig. 1B). The survival rate of Alb-CRE +  $EDA^{+/+}$  mice was similar to that of EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 1B), again casting for a role of circulating FN\_EDA+ in protecting from sepsis. To exclude the possibility that the increased survival observed in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice could be the consequence of lower circulating FN levels rather than the peculiar production of FN\_EDA+, we compared the survival of EDA haplodeficient mice (EDA<sup>+/wt</sup>) and EDA<sup>-/wt</sup> mice to CLP-induced polymicrobial sepsis. The two models differ in FN isoforms, with EDA<sup>+/wt</sup> presenting a certain amount of FN\_EDA+ in plasma; of note EDA<sup>+/wt</sup> mice had an increased survival rate when compared to EDA<sup>-/wt</sup> (Fig. 1C).

## 2.2. Constitutive inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin reduces plasma levels of hepatic enzymes

Given that the presence of FN\_EDA + significantly increased the survival rate of EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice, we sought to understand the mechanism beyond this effect. First, we measured the levels of circulating markers of inflammation with a focus on liver enzymes [28]. We found that 24 h after the CLP challenge, plasma levels of creatinine, AST, and ALT were significantly lower in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice than in EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>-/-</sup> mice (Fig. 2A-C). These data are in line with what was reported above and suggest that FN\_EDA + could be associated with reduced organ dysfunction during sepsis. The latter observation prompted us to investigate whether the clearance of bacterial overload could be improved in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice.

### 2.3. Constitutive inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin promotes changes in circulating innate immune cell distribution

To address whether the improved outcome observed in  $EDA^{+/+}$ mice was the consequence of a different immune response, we evaluated possible changes in the blood distribution of immune cells during acute inflammation (Supplemental Fig. 1). Following LPS injection, a general increase in myeloid CD11b + cells was observed in all genotypes, but only EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice showed a significant increase in the prevalence of CD11b + cells compared to the baseline (Fig. 3A). The increased frequency of CD11b + cells in EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice after 24h was mainly related to a relative increase in neutrophils (Fig. 3B), paralleled with a decreased proportion of monocytes (Fig. 3C). Within the monocyte population, a similar distribution of Ly6Chigh, intermediate and low subsets was observed (Fig. 3D-F). These findings are consistent with previous reports displaying an increase in neutrophils during LPS-mediated endotoxemia [29,30] and would support the hypothesis of an involvement of fibronectin in the immune response. Indeed, it has been proposed that fibronectin could stabilize the attachment of the pathogen to the endothelium under flow conditions [25,31,32] favouring leukocyte transmigration.

### 2.4. The inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin increases splenic markers of bacterial clearance

To address whether this could be the case in our experimental setting, we evaluated the role of fibronectin in splenic cellular clearance. First, we profiled changes in key inflammatory markers in the spleen and observed an increased expression of mediators involved in bacterial clearance such as CXCL1, INFgamma or IL4 in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice compared to EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>-/-</sup> while other chemokines and cytokines were not different or reduced like IL6 (Fig. 4A-F). Of note, we did not find any difference in cytokines mainly contributed by macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 2) or eosinophils (Supplementary Fig. 3), thus limiting a role for these cells in promoting survival to polymicrobial sepsis in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> mice. Altogether, these data suggest that splenic acute responses do play a major role in mediating survival.



**Fig. 1. Constitutive inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin increases the survival in murine models of endotoxemia and CLP.** A) Survival curve of  $EDA^{+/+}$ ,  $EDA^{-/-}$ , and  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  mice (N = 6) towards CLP model of polymicrobial sepsis B) Survival curve of  $EDA^{+/+}$ ,  $EDA^{-/-}$ , and  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  mice (N = 6) towards LPS model of polymicrobial sepsis. C) Survival curve of  $EDA^{+/+}$ ,  $EDA^{-/-}$ , and  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  mice (N = 6) towards LPS model of polymicrobial sepsis. C) Survival curve of  $EDA^{+/+}$ ,  $EDA^{-/-}$ , and  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  albere +,  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  albere + animals (N = 6) in CLP model of polymicrobial sepsis. D) Survival curve of  $EDA^{+/+}$  albere +,  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  albere + animals (N = 6) in CLP model of polymicrobial sepsis. Data are expressed in Mean  $\pm$  SEM.\*P < 0.01, one-way ANOVA Comparing  $EDA^{+/+}$  vs  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  and  $EDA^{-/-}$ .

### 2.5. Fibronectin-EDA is affected in during metabolic diseases and increases neutrophil adherence under flow conditions

ScRNAseq data showed that Fibronectin (FN1) expression as well as FN\_EDA + expression is increased during obesity in human liver (Fig. 5A to D) mainly in Cholangiocyte, Hepatocytes and Fibroblast (FN1) and Fibroblast and Endothelial cells (FN\_EDA+) compared to controls. Furthermore, to evaluate the effect of the

EDA domain inclusion in fibronectin on immune response, we used human activated neutrophils isolated from septic shock patients and tested their adherence to  $FN\_EDA + -$  compared to  $FN\_EDA$ -coated surfaces (Fig. 5E). An increased adherence to an  $FN\_EDA + -$ coated surface was observed suggesting that the presence of the EDA domain might favour neutrophil rolling and adhesion.





ALT(U/L

200

100

n



T=24

Time (hours)

Fig. 2. Constitutive inclusion of the EDA domain in fibronectin reduces plasma levels of non-specific enzymes. A) Creatinine, B) alanine transaminase (ALT), and C) aspartate transaminase (AST) are shown in absolute values. Data are expressed in mean  $\pm$  SEM of at least 6 animals per group. \*P < 0.05, one-way ANOVA comparing EDA<sup>+/+</sup>vs EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>-/-</sup>for time point 24 h (T = 24).

#### 3. Discussion

Physiologically, plasma FN lacks the EDA domain and can be deposited in extracellular matrices [26]. Plasma FN levels change under different pathological conditions including atherosclerosis [33], obesity [34], diabetes [35] and sepsis [36]. During sepsis, for instance, a poorer outcome is observed among subjects with the most pronounced plasma FN level reduction [37–42]. These findings raised the question of whether fibronectin could play a role during acute inflammation thanks to its capability to act at the crossroad between the vasculature and the systemic response [18,43–45]. Few studies have shown that plasma fibronectin could be a potential biomarker and a possible opsonin in sepsis [17] however, a clear explanation is still lacking.

Our data indicate that the inclusion of the EDA domain in circulating fibronectin increases the survival in murine models of CLP and LPS-mediated endotoxemia. Liver-specific knock-out of EDA, which results only in circulating FN devoid of EDA, while EDA\_FN+ is produced in peripheral tissues since birth, were not protected from sepsis, thus indicating that plasma EDA\_FN + plays a key role in the observed protective effects. This finding is further supported by the observation in EDA  $\pm$  mice, which present circulating levels of fibronectin similar to a WT mouse but have higher plasma levels of FN\_EDA + than WT, are more protected from CLP and LPS-mediated endotoxemia and mortality.

In humans, although contradictory findings have been reported, a general reduction of FN plasma levels was observed during acute inflammation associated with sepsis [13] coupled with increased production of circulating FN containing EDA [46,47]. Despite this, the concentration of plasma FN\_EDA+ in patients with sepsis who survived was significantly lower than that in non-survivors [13]. Whether this is indirectly marking the ability of FN\_EDA + to opsonize bacteria and favour the elimination of the complex or increased deposition in tissues during acute and severe inflammation is unclear.

Interestingly we observed that neutrophils appeared to adhere more strongly to cellular FN (which contains EDA) as compared to plasma fibronectin (which does not contain EDA). This observation is in line with the available findings suggesting that the presence of EDA confers the ability to interact with different integrins and redirect leukocyte-matrix interaction [48].

Notably, FN\_EDA+ was proposed to activate TLR-4 and to promote the expression of genes involved in the inflammatory response [49]. This response was shown to be critical in improving the response to infection and indeed inhibiting the TLR-4 response results in reduced bacterial clearance and augment sepsis [50]. Such increase in FN\_EDA + -mediated responses could be beneficial under severe and acute infections.

Is this mechanism relevant for vascular disorders such as atherosclerosis? We have previously shown that FN\_EDA+, although it did not impact on atherosclerotic plaque area in apolipoprotein E and LDL-receptor-deficient mice, resulted in a more stable atherosclerotic plaque phenotype. This highlights the relevance of FN\_EDA + as an isoform at the crossroad between plaque burden and stability [27]. On the same line, the observation in the context of acute inflammation following sepsis in this work highlights the role of alternative splicing of FN as a mechanism finely tuned to balance physiopathological responses under different vascular acute and chronic inflammatory conditions.

In summary, our study suggests that the presence of FN\_EDA+ in plasma increases survival during severe sepsis-related inflammation. The possibility that this phenotype could depend on the increased neutrophil retention and increased expression of splenic cell markers facilitating the clearance of bacterial load should be explored further.

Atherosclerosis Plus 52 (2023) 23-31



**Fig. 3. Characterization of systemic inflammatory cells after LPS injection.** A) Characterization of monocytes and neutrophils in  $EDA^{+/+}$ ,  $EDA^{wt/wt}$  and  $EDA^{-/-}$  mice at time zero and after challenge with LPS. B) CD11b positive cells out of Leukocytes. C) Neutrophil percentage identified as LY6C + Ly6G + cells. D) Monocyte percentage identified as Ly6C + Ly6G-cells). E-G) Monocytes respectively low, intermediate and high based on the positivity to Ly6C. Data are presented as mean  $\pm$  SEM, n = 2-7,\*P < 0.05.

#### 4. Materials and methods

#### 4.1. Animal models and reagents

The mouse models were generated as described previously [51,52]. The liver-specific knock-out of fibronectin containing the EDA domain was generated as described [23]. EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice were used as controls. All the animals were on a C57BL/6 background. Heterozygous mice EDA<sup>+/wt</sup>, EDA<sup>-/wt</sup> and EDA<sup>±</sup> were also used. The amount of total fibronectin and EDA domain-including fibronectin produced in these animal models has been described previously [23]. All experiments were performed using age-matched littermate controls. The investigation conforms to the European Commission Directive 2010/63/EU and was approved by the local authorities (Progetto di Ricerca Protocollo 2009/3 and 2012/2). Animal studies are reported in compliance with the ARRIVE guidelines [53,54]. Mice were housed in Tecniplast ventilated cage systems under specific pathogen-free conditions (standard 12 h light/dark cycle), with wood shaving-based bedding, free access to chow and autoclaved water, and housed with no more than five animals per cage. All mice were randomly allocated to cages designated for specific treatment groups by vivarium staff, upon transfer from the breeding barrier unit into the animal housing room. All mice purchased from vendors or transferred from our breeding barrier unit were acclimatized in the animal housing room for 7 days before commencing experiments.

#### 4.2. Sepsis models

Two models of sepsis were used. Model 1: mice aged 8–10 weeks were injected intraperitoneally with LPS (70 mg/kg of body weight, Sigma Aldrich) to induce endotoxemia. Animals were monitored for up to 100 h. Model 2: Cecal ligation and puncture (CLP) procedure was used as a confirmatory model, as described previously [55]. Post-operative survival was monitored as described [55].

#### 4.3. Plasma transaminase profiling

Plasma levels of alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) were analyzed with a colorimetric method using Cobas Mira Plus analyzer (Horiba®, ABX, France) 0 and 24 h after CLP.

#### 4.4. Flow cytometric analysis

Red blood cells were lysed using Lysing Buffer (Becton Dickinson, Italy) [54]. Monocytes and neutrophils counts were assessed by flow cytometry with a NovoCyte cytometer (ACEA) using the combination of the following antibodies: antiCD11b-PE, antiLy6C-FITC, and antiLy6G-PerCP antibodies from eBioscience [56]. A representative panel of the gating strategy is presented in the supplemental section. Α

С

Ε





IL-6









**Fig. 4. Markers of bacterial clearance were upregulated in the spleen of EDA**<sup>+/+</sup> **mice.** A) CXCL1, B) IFN- $\gamma$ , C) IL-4, D) IL-6, E) TNF- $\alpha$ , and F) IL-10 levels were evaluated in all three genotypes. Splenic caspase 3 levels were lower in EDA<sup>+/+</sup> and EDA<sup>wt/wt</sup> mice compared to EDA<sup>-/-</sup> mice signifying an improved cell survival. \*P < 0.05, Student T-test vs time point 24h of respective genotype.



**Fig. 5. Fibronectin expression is affected by obesity and when presenting EDA contribute to neutrophil adhesion.** A-B) FN1 expression on hepatic subpopulation. C-D) Eda expression on hepatic subpopulation Data obtained from publicly available datasets at https://www.livercellatlas.org/download.php. E) Isolated neutrophils from human septic shock patients adhered to EDA + fibronectin more compared to EDA-fibronectin or Gelatin. \*P < 0.05, One-way ANOVA comparing EDA<sup>+/+</sup> vs EDA<sup>-/-</sup> and gelatin n = 4.

#### 4.5. Tissue cytokine array

The spleen from the different experimental models was harvested 24 h after the LPS injection. Total protein was extracted by adding 50 µl of ice-cold lysis buffer (20 mm Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 100 mm NaCl, 10 mm MgCl2, 1 mm EDTA, 1 mm EGTA, 0.5% Nonidet P-40, 2.5 mm sodium pyrophosphate, 1 mm  $\beta$ -glycerophosphate, 1 mm Na3VO4, 25 mm NaF, 1 µg/ml of leupeptin, 1 µg/ml of aprotinin, 1 mm PMSF, and 1 mm DTT) to each sample. The cell lysate was transferred to a 1.5-ml microcentrifuge tube, debris was pelleted by centrifugation (10,000 rpm for 10 min), then protein levels were quantified by the Lowry method. Protein concentration was normalized to 1  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l 25  $\mu$ l of the extract was diluted in 25  $\mu$ l PBS and analyzed for the following cytokines: eotaxin, G-CSF, GM-CSF, IFN-γ, IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-7, IL-9, IL-10, IL-12 (p40), IL-12 (p70), IL-13, IL-15, IL-17A, IP-10, KC, LIF, LIX, MCP-1, M-CSF, MIG, MIP-1a, MIP-1β, MIP-2, RANTES, TNF-alpha, and VEGF using Eve Technologies, (University of Calgary).

#### 4.6. Neutrophil flow chamber experiment

Neutrophils were isolated from patients with sepsis as described before [57]. Patient score details and source of infection

are reported in Supplemental Table 1. Neutrophils were allowed to run on a flow chamber coated with either fibronectin + EDA or fibronectin-EDA at 0.5 dyne/cm<sup>2</sup> as described previously [58]. Cell attachment was recorded and plotted for a flow of 0.5 dyne/cm<sup>2</sup>cr<sup>i</sup>.

#### 4.7. Analyses of single cell transcriptome data

Publicly available datasets were analyzed in this study. These data retrieved from the GEO repository under accession numbers GSE192742. All data can be found here: https://www.livercellatlas.org/download.php. The data for DEGs are derived from public dataset [59].

#### 4.8. Statistics

All data are represented as mean  $\pm$  standard error (SEM) unless stated otherwise. Each experiment was performed at least a minimum of 4 times and statistical significance was assessed using Oneway ANOVA (Graph Pad PRISM 7). For some experiments, additional tests such as Student paired T-test and Tukey Comparison among the groups were performed. P-values <0.05 were used where appropriate.

#### Author contribution

Participated in research design: VKPV, PU, GDN, AFM; performed experiments, data analysis: VKPV, PU, AM, LDD, FB, AD; wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript: VKPV, GDN, AFM.

#### **Declaration of competing interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

#### Acknowledgements

We acknowledge Jose Wong, Critical Care Epidemiological and Biological Tissue Resource (CCEPTR) and the Snyder Biobanking Resource Laboratory (SBRL).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.athplu.2023.05.002.

#### References

- [1] Cohen J. The immunopathogenesis of sepsis. Nature 2002;420:885–91.
- [2] Noursadeghi M, Cohen J. Immunopathogenesis of severe sepsis. J R Coll Phys. Lond 2000;34:432–6.
- [3] Martin GS, Mannino DM, Eaton S, et al. The epidemiology of sepsis in the United States from 1979 through 2000. N Engl J Med 2003;348:1546–54.
- [4] Hotchkiss RS, Monneret G, Payen D. Immunosuppression in sepsis: a novel understanding of the disorder and a new therapeutic approach, the Lancet. Infect Dis 2013;13:260–8.
- [5] Angus DC, van der Poll T. Severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med 2013;369:840-51.
- [6] Hotchkiss RS, Karl IE. The pathophysiology and treatment of sepsis. N Engl J Med 2003;348:138–50.
- [7] Opal SM, Fisher Jr CJ, Dhainaut JF, et al. Confirmatory interleukin-1 receptor antagonist trial in severe sepsis: a phase III, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicenter trial. The Interleukin-1 Receptor Antagonist Sepsis Investigator Group. Crit Care Med 1997;25:1115–24.
- [8] van den Berg JW, van der Zee M, de Bruin RW, et al. Mild versus strong antiinflammatory therapy during early sepsis in mice: a matter of life and death. Crit Care Med 2011;39:1275–81.
- [9] Fisher Jr CJ, Dhainaut JF, Opal SM, et al. Recombinant human interleukin 1 receptor antagonist in the treatment of patients with sepsis syndrome. Results from a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Phase III rhIL-1ra Sepsis Syndrome Study Group. JAMA 1994;271:1836–43.
- [10] Fisher Jr CJ, Agosti JM, Opal SM, et al. Treatment of septic shock with the tumor necrosis factor receptor:Fc fusion protein. The Soluble TNF Receptor Sepsis Study Group. N Engl J Med 1996;334:1697–702.
- [11] Reinhart K, Karzai W. Anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy in sepsis: update on clinical trials and lessons learned. Crit Care Med 2001;29:S121–5.
- [12] Opal SM, Laterre PF, Francois B, et al. Effect of eritoran, an antagonist of MD2-TLR4, on mortality in patients with severe sepsis: the ACCESS randomized trial. JAMA 2013;309:1154–62.
- [13] Geng H, Wu Y, Chen Y. C-terminal fibronectin exerts beneficial effects in reducing tissue damage and modulating macrophage function in a murine septic model. J Inflamm Res 2023;16:1509–21.
- [14] Satoi S, Kitade H, Hiramatsu Y, et al. Increased extra domain-A containing fibronectin and hepatic dysfunction during septic response: an in vivo and in vitro study. Shock 2000;13:492–6.
- [15] Muro AF, Chauhan AK, et al. Regulated splicing of the fibronectin EDA exon is essential for proper skin wound healing and normal lifespan. J Cell Biol 2003;162(1):149–60.
- [16] Hesselvik JF. Plasma fibronectin levels in sepsis: influencing factors. Crit Care Med 1987;15:1092–7.
- [17] Ruiz Martin G, Prieto Prieto J, Veiga de Cabo J, et al. Plasma fibronectin as a marker of sepsis. Int J Infect Dis : IJID : Off Publ Int Soc Infect Dis 2004;8: 236–43.
- [18] Grossman JE. Plasma fibronectin and fibronectin therapy in sepsis and critical illness. Rev Infect Dis 1987;9(Suppl 4):S420–30.
- [19] Niddam AF, Ebady R, Bansal A, et al. Plasma fibronectin stabilizes <em>Borrelia burgdorferi</em>-endothelial interactions under vascular shear stress by a catch-bond mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:E3490–8.
- [20] Birdsall HH, Porter WJ, Green DM, et al. Impact of fibronectin fragments on the

transendothelial migration of HIV-infected leukocytes and the development of subendothelial foci of infectious leukocytes. J Immunol 2004;173:2746–54.

- [21] Baralle FE, Giudice J. Alternative splicing as a regulator of development and tissue identity. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2017;18:437–51.
- [22] Dave U, Thursz MR, Ebrahim HY, et al. Distribution of laminins in the basement membranes of the upper gastrointestinal tract and Barrett's oesophagus. J Pathol 2004;202:299–304.
- [23] Moretti FA, Chauhan AK, Iaconcig A, et al. A major fraction of fibronectin present in the extracellular matrix of tissues is plasma-derived. J Biol Chem 2007;282:28057–62.
- [24] Bonnans C, Chou J, Werb Z. Remodelling the extracellular matrix in development and disease. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2014;15:786–801.
- [25] Niddam AF, Ebady R, Bansal A, et al. Plasma fibronectin stabilizes Borrelia burgdorferi-endothelial interactions under vascular shear stress by a catchbond mechanism. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2017;114:E3490–8.
- [26] Moretti FA, Chauhan AK, Iaconcig A, et al. A major fraction of fibronectin present in the extracellular matrix of tissues is plasma-derived. J Biol Chem 2007;282:28057–62.
- [27] Pulakazhi Venu VK, Uboldi P, Dhyani A, et al. Fibronectin extra domain A stabilises atherosclerotic plaques in apolipoprotein E and in LDL-receptordeficient mice. Thromb Haemostasis 2015;114:186–97.
- [28] Nesseler N, Launey Y, Aninat C, et al. Clinical review: the liver in sepsis. Crit Care 2012;16. 235-235.
- [29] Hickey MJ, Kubes P. Intravascular immunity: The host-pathogen encounter in blood vessels. Nat Rev Immunol 2009;9:364–75.
- [30] Kolaczkowska E, Kubes P. Neutrophil recruitment and function in health and inflammation. Nat Rev Immunol 2013;13:159–75.
- [31] Odekon LE, Frewin MB, Del Vecchio P, et al. Fibronectin fragments released from phorbol ester-stimulated pulmonary artery endothelial cell monolayers promote neutrophil chemotaxis. Immunology 1991;74:114–20.
- [32] Wang Y, Reheman A, Spring CM, et al. Plasma fibronectin supports hemostasis and regulates thrombosis. J Clin Investig 2014;124:4281–93.
- [33] Orem C, Durmus I, Kilinc K, et al. Plasma fibronectin level and its association with coronary artery disease and carotid intima-media thickness. Coron Artery Dis 2003;14:219–24.
- [34] Ekaidem IS, Bolarin DM, Udoh AE, et al. Plasma fibronectin concentration in obese/overweight pregnant women: a possible risk factor for preeclampsia. Indian J Clin Biochem 2011;26:187–92.
- [35] Gortan Cappellari G, Barazzoni R, Cattin L, et al. Lack of fibronectin extra domain A alternative splicing exacerbates endothelial dysfunction in diabetes. Sci Rep 2016;6:37965.
- [36] Lemanska-Perek A, Krzyzanowska-Golab D, Skalec T, et al. Plasma and cellular forms of fibronectin as prognostic markers in sepsis. Mediat Inflamm 2020;2020:8364247.
- [37] Stathakis NE, Fountas A, Tsianos E. Plasma fibronectin in normal subjects and in various disease states. J Clin Pathol 1981;34:504–8.
- [38] Riordan FA, Bestwick K, Thomson AP, et al. Plasma fibronectin levels in meningococcal disease. Eur J Pediatr 1997;156:451–3.
- [39] Kocak U, Ezer U, Vidinlisan S. Serum fibronectin in neonatal sepsis: is it valuable in early diagnosis and outcome prediction? Acta Paediatr Japonica Overseas Ed 1997;39:428–32.
- [40] Stevens LE, Clemmer TP, Laub RM, et al. Fibronectin in severe sepsis. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1986;162:222–8.
- [41] Proctor RA, Mosher DF, Olbrantz PJ. Fibronectin binding to Staphylococcus aureus. J Biol Chem 1982;257:14788–94.
- [42] Bibel DJ, Aly R, Shinefield HR, et al. The Staphylococcus aureus receptor for fibronectin. J Invest Dermatol 1983;80:494–6.
- [43] Cavaliere TA. Pharmacologic treatment of neonatal sepsis: antimicrobial agents and immunotherapy. J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs : J Obstet Gynecol Neonatal Nurs 1995;24:647–58.
- [44] Lundsgaard-Hansen P, Doran JE, Rubli E, et al. Purified fibronectin administration to patients with severe abdominal infections. A controlled clinical trial. Ann Surg 1985;202:745–59.
- [45] Daci A, Da Dalt L, Alaj R, et al. Rivaroxaban improves vascular response in LPSinduced acute inflammation in experimental models. PLoS One 2020;15: e0240669.
- [46] Matuskova J, Chauhan AK, Cambien B, et al. Decreased plasma fibronectin leads to delayed thrombus growth in injured arterioles. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2006;26:1391–6.
- [47] Prakash P, Kulkarni PP, Lentz SR, et al. Cellular fibronectin containing extra domain A promotes arterial thrombosis in mice through platelet Toll-like receptor 4. Blood 2015;125:3164–72.
- [48] Lemanska-Perek A, Adamik B. Fibronectin and its soluble EDA-FN isoform as biomarkers for inflammation and sepsis. Adv Clin Exp Med 2019;28:1561–7.
- [49] Okamura Y, Watari M, Jerud ES, et al. The extra domain A of fibronectin activates Toll-like receptor 4. J Biol Chem 2001;276:10229–33.
- [50] van Lieshout MH, van der Poll T, van't Veer C. TLR4 inhibition impairs bacterial clearance in a therapeutic setting in murine abdominal sepsis. Inflamm Res : Off J Eur Histamine Res Soc 2014;63:927–33 [et al.].
- [51] Chauhan AK, Iaconcig A, Baralle FE, et al. Alternative splicing of fibronectin: a mouse model demonstrates the identity of in vitro and in vivo systems and the processing autonomy of regulated exons in adult mice. Gene 2004;324: 55–63.
- [52] Muro AF, Chauhan AK, Gajovic S, et al. Regulated splicing of the fibronectin EDA exon is essential for proper skin wound healing and normal lifespan.

#### V.K.P. Venu, A. Moregola, L. Da Dalt et al.

#### Atherosclerosis Plus 52 (2023) 23-31

J Cell Biol 2003;162:149-60.

- [53] Kilkenny C, Browne W, Cuthill IC, et al. Animal research: reporting in vivo experiments: the ARRIVE guidelines. Br J Pharmacol 2010;160:1577–9.
- [54] McGrath JC, Lilley E. Implementing guidelines on reporting research using animals (ARRIVE etc.): new requirements for publication in BJP. Br J Pharmacol 2015;172:3189–93.
- [55] Rittirsch D, Huber-Lang MS, Flierl MA, et al. Immunodesign of experimental sepsis by cecal ligation and puncture. Nat Protoc 2009;4:31–6.
- [56] Nour J, Moregola A, Svecla M, et al. Mannose receptor deficiency impacts bone

marrow and circulating immune cells during high fat diet induced obesity. Metabolites 2022;12.

- [57] Oh H, Siano B, Diamond S. Neutrophil isolation protocol. J Vis Exp 2008.
- [58] Au Ganguly A, Au Zhang H, Au Sharma R, et al. Isolation of human umbilical vein endothelial cells and their use in the study of neutrophil transmigration under flow conditions. JoVE 2012:e4032.
- [59] Guilliams M, Bonnardel J, Haest B, et al. Spatial proteogenomics reveals distinct and evolutionarily conserved hepatic macrophage niches. Cell 2022;185:379–96. e338.