

*Plant Pathol. J. 38(6) : 637-645 (2022)* https://doi.org/10.5423/PPJ.OA.09.2022.0130 eISSN 2093-9280

**Research Article** Open Access

# Evaluation of Barley and Wheat Germplasm for Resistance to Head Blight and Mycotoxin Production by *Fusarium asiaticum* and *F. graminearum*

Seul Gi Baek, Jin Ju Park, Sosoo Kim, Mi-Jeong Lee, Ji-Seon Paek, Jangnam Choi, Ja Yeong Jang, Jeomsoon Kim, and Theresa Lee 💿 \*

Microbial Safety Division, National Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Wanju 55365, Korea

(Received on September 9, 2022; Revised on October 17, 2022; Accepted on October 17, 2022)

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is one of the most serious diseases in barley and wheat, as it is usually accompanied by the production of harmful mycotoxins in the grains. To identify FHB-resistant breeding resources, we evaluated 60 elite germplasm accessions of barley (24) and wheat (36) for FHB and mycotoxin accumulation. Assessments were performed in a greenhouse and five heads per accession were inoculated with both Fusarium asiaticum (Fa73, nivalenol producer) and F. graminearum (Fg39, deoxynivalenol producer) strains. While the accessions varied in disease severity and mycotoxin production, four wheat and one barley showed <20% FHB severity repeatedly by both strains. Mycotoxin levels in these accessions ranged up to 3.9 mg/ kg. FHB severity was generally higher in barley than in wheat, and Fa73 was more aggressive in both crops than Fg39. Fg39 itself, however, was more aggressive toward wheat and produced more mycotoxin in wheat than in barley. FHB severity by Fa73 and Fg39 were moderately correlated in both crops (r = 0.57/0.60 in barley and 0.42/0.58 in wheat). FHB severity and toxin production were also correlated in both crops, with a stronger correlation for Fa73 (r = 0.42/0.82 in barley,

\*Corresponding author. Phone) +82-63-238-3401, FAX) +82-63-238-3840 E-mail) tessyl1@korea.kr ORCID Theresa Lee https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8230-650X

Handling Editor : Ki Woo Kim

Articles can be freely viewed online at www.ppjonline.org.

#### 0.70 in wheat) than for Fg39.

*Keywords* : barley, Fusarium head blight, germplasm, mycotoxin, wheat

Fusarium head blight (FHB) is one of the most critical diseases of small-grain cereals such as wheat and barley. It causes not only damaged grains and yield loss, but also mycotoxin accumulation in infected grains. Fusarium asiaticum and F. graminearum, which belong to Fusarium graminearum species complex (FGSC), are two major contributors to FHB in these crops, particularly in Korea (Del Ponte et al., 2022; Jang et al., 2019; Lee et al., 1985; Shin et al., 2018). To date, five members (F. graminearum, F. asiaticum, F. vorosii, F. boothii, and F. meridionale) of the FGSC have been reported to be present in Korea with F. asiaticum predominant (Lee et al., 2016). These species can produce various mycotoxins including deoxynivalenol (DON) and its acetyl derivatives, nivalenol (NIV) and its acetyl derivative, zearalenone (ZEN), etc. (Desjardins, 2006; Lee et al., 2016). Unlike F. graminearum, which is widespread worldwide and mostly produces DON, F. asiaticum produces mostly NIV and is distributed with regional limits (van der Lee et al., 2015) and/or in relation to climate and cropping system (Lee et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2021).

In Korea, *F. asiaticum* with NIV chemotype is dominant in barley and wheat fields along with *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* with DON chemotype. Barley and wheat are mostly cultivated consecutively with rice, allowing these crops to share FHB inocula for years and become conducive to infection. FHB severity can be managed by applying host resistance, chemical fungicides, biological control, or cultural practices (Buerstmayr et al., 2020; Chen et al., 2019). FHB resistance is an important agronomic

<sup>©</sup> This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

traits for breeding barley and wheat (Steiner et al., 2017). However, few barley and wheat varieties exhibit strong and stable resistance in the fields (Bai and Shaner, 2004; Chen et al., 2019). Those developed for FHB resistance appeared to lose their resistance quickly over time, or many germplasms identified turned to variable and susceptible to FHB (Ma et al., 2020). In Korea, only a few cultivars are known to be resistant to FHB (Han and Kim, 2005; Kim et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2020; Park et al., 2008), and none of them impart satisfactory FHB or mycotoxin resistance.

In this study, to aid the selection of resources resistant to FHB, we evaluated barley and wheat germplasm accessions by testing FHB severity and mycotoxin accumulation. In the FHB assays, we used both *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* as inocula to investigate whether they could produce similar levels of FHB in barley and wheat because FHB assessment for resistance is usually done with a single species. Here, we report the results of an evaluation of 60 germplasm accessions and a comparison of the FHB severity and mycotoxin production between *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum*.

## **Materials and Methods**

Germplasm selection. Sixty germplasm accessions were selected from barley and wheat from the National Agrobiodiversity Center at the Rural Development Administration based on their agronomic traits. The selection was made among those with rapid heading, rapid ripening, and short stems. Additional traits were less cold damage and no lodging for barley, and short awns, high processing suitability, and resistance to FHB, powdery mildew, and leaf rust for wheat.

FHB assay. For the FHB assessment, the seeds of each accession were sown in peat soil and vernalized for 4 weeks at 5°C. The seedlings were transplanted into larger pots (25 cm in diameter) in a greenhouse. When the heads started to flower, five heads of each accession were inoculated by spraying (~2 ml/head) with conidia  $(1 \times 10^5)$ of F. asiaticum #73 (Fa73) and F. graminearum Z3639 (Fg39) (McCormick and Alexander, 2002), as previously described (Baek et al., 2020). Fa73 was a strain isolated from Korean rice in 2015 and confirmed for NIV production. These strains were selected based on their ability to produce enough number of conidia and pathogenicity from our laboratory collection. Both strains were maintained at -80°C until use. Sterile water was used as negative control. The inoculated heads were covered with a plastic bag for humidity for three days and, then uncovered. Three weeks

after inoculation, treated heads were harvested and scored for FHB severity. The assay was repeated twice during different seasons (March-May 2020 and November 2020-May 2021). FHB severity (%) was calculated as the mean of [(number of grains with FHB symptoms/total number of grains in a head)  $\times$  100]. Some heads that turned white after inoculation were excluded from FHB scoring.

Mycotoxin analysis. Harvested grains were completely dried in a hood and ground in a mixer mill (Retsch MM 400, Haan, Germany) for analysis of DON, NIV, 3-acetyl DON (3-ADON), 15-acetyl DON (15-ADON), or ZEN. Toxin analysis was performed as previously described with modifications (Lee et al., 2013). One gram of the ground sample was mixed with 8 ml of a solution of 1% acetic acid in acetonitrile (ACN) and extracted with shaking at 300 rpm for 1 h. After adding 0.25 g NaCl and 1 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, the extract was centrifuged at 3,600 rpm for 10 min. The supernatant was mixed with 0.15 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 0.05 g endcapped C18, and 0.05 g primary secondary amine then centrifuged again under the same condition. The supernatant was dried under N<sub>2</sub> gas and the residue was reconstituted with 20% ACN. For liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (Waters e2695 separation module, Waters 3100 MS detector, Milford, MA, USA) analysis, a Zorbax SB A1 C18 (4.6 × 150 mm, 5 µm, Agilent, Palo Alto, CA, USA) column was used. The flow solvent was a water mixture containing 0.2% formic acid and 5 mM ammonium formate in DW (A) and methanol (B) in a gradient. The mass spectrometer was operated in single ion recording (SIR mode), both in electrospray ionization positive and negative. The mobile phase flow rate was 0.3 ml/min and the injection volume was 10 μl.

**Correlation analysis.** Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated using Microsoft Excel for FHB severity and mycotoxin production by *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* in barley and wheat.

#### Results

**FHB severity.** The germplasm accessions tested varied in FHB severity by inoculum or assay. However, FHB severity induced by either strain was greater in barley than in wheat. Fig. 1 shows clearly that the blue box (the result of the first assay with Fa73) in barley panel was greater than one in wheat by the values of mean, median, and maximum severity. Other colors show the same pattern. In the first assay, the means of FHB severity by Fa73 were 46.8% and 33.9%, ranging up to 93.6% and 73.6% in barley and



Fig. 1. Comparison of Fusarium head blight (FHB) severity and mycotoxin production by *Fusarium asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* in barley and wheat. Upper plots for FHB severity, lower ones for mycotoxin production, left plots for wheat, and right ones for barley. The numbers after isolate name or mycotoxin indicate assay order. The boxplots were generated with Microsoft Excel.

wheat, respectively (Tables 1 and 2). The means of FHB severity by Fg39 were 22.7% and 18.3%, and up to 77.1% and 73.5% in barley and wheat, respectively. In the second assay, both strains produced much lower means of FHB severity than in the first, and Fg39 produced slightly higher means (18.0% in barley and 11.7% in wheat) than Fa73 (16.2% in barley and 9.3% in wheat). The inoculated heads of some accessions (especially 33% of barley) turned white in the second assay, showing the sensitivity of this assay (Table 1).

The lowest FHB severity was found in one barley (b32) (mean 10.5%, ranging from 8.0% to 15.3%) (Table 1). In wheat, the lowest were two (w49, w51) with means of 11.4% and 4.6%, ranging from 0.9% to 18.2% and from 0 to 18.2%, respectively (Table 2). There were two more wheat accessions with the highest FHB severity of 19.0% (w3) and 19.5% (w12) (Table 2). The rest showed >20% FHB severity. Among the 19 barley accessions with severity values, 18 (95%) showed higher severity by Fa73 than by Fg39 in the first assay, and 14 of them (74%) showed >30% FHB severity by Fa73 (Table 1). Only four of them showed >30% FHB severity by Fg39. In the second assay,

six accessions were consistent with higher severity by Fa73 (Table 1), whereas six others turned to the opposite with higher severity by Fg39. Only two and three accessions showed >30% FHB severity by Fa73 and Fg39, respectively. One accession (b60) was consistent with a higher severity of Fg39. In wheat, 28 (85%) of 33 accessions with severity values showed higher severity for Fa73 than for Fg39 in the first assay (Table 2). Nineteen of the 33 accessions (58%) showed >30% FHB severity by Fa73, and four of them had >30% FHB severity by Fg39. In the second assay, 10 accessions maintained higher severity by Fa73, whereas 12 others turned to the opposite with higher severity by Fg39. Only two and four accessions showed >30% FHB severity by Fa73, more of the wheat accessions were consistently susceptible to Fg39.

**Mycotoxin production.** Both NIV and DON were detected in most of the accessions analyzed with greater mycotoxin accumulation by Fg39 (Fig. 1). In the first assay, Fa73 produced means of 2.1 and 3.1 mg/kg NIV in barley and wheat, respectively, ranging from 1.1 to 3.0 mg/kg in barley, and from 0.3 to 4.0 mg/kg in wheat (Tables 1 and

Table 1. F	HB se	verity and my	ycotoxin proc	luction in bai	·ley <sup>a</sup>								
			FHB seve	erity (%)					Mycotox	in (mg/kg) <sup>c</sup>			
No <sup>b</sup>	Serial	1st a	tssay	2nd a	assay	1st as	say			2nd a	ıssay		
.001	.04	Fa73-1	Fg39-1	Fa73-2	Fg39-2	NIV-1	DON-1	NIV-2	DON-2	3-ADON-2	15-ADON-2	DON total-2	ZEN-2
291150	b24	$44.6 \pm 21.6$	$18.4\pm9.6$	$26.8\pm13.1$	$21.8 \pm 20.1$	$1.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.9 \pm 0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.0$	1.4	$0.0\pm0.0$
291515	b25	$60.1\pm20.4$	$11.1 \pm 7.5$	$6.9 \pm 7.4$	$1.8 \pm 4.1$	$2.0\pm0.0$	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	0.3	$0.0\pm0.0$
291563	b26	wh	wh	$6.8\pm7.2$	$13.5\pm13.1$	$2.3\pm0.0$	$3.0\pm0.1$	$0.9 \pm 0.1$	$13.6\pm11.8$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$3.7 \pm 3.2$	17.4	$0.0\pm0.0$
291699	b27	$14.7\pm6.3$	$3.8\pm5.6$	wh	wh	$1.8\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.3$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0	$0.0\pm0.0$
291746	b28	wh	wh	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$2.4 \pm 0.1$	$2.9\pm0.6$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	0.3	$0.0\pm0.0$
291749	b29	wh	wh	na	na	$2.4\pm0.3$	$2.7\pm0.9$	ı	I	ı	ı	ı	·
306775	b31	$39.5\pm22.7$	$21.4\pm16.8$	na	na	$1.6\pm0.1$	$2.3\pm0.2$	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	
315405	b32	$15.3\pm13.0$	$8.0\pm12.9$	$8.3\pm6.9$	$10.3\pm14.0$	$1.2\pm0.0$	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0	$0.0\pm0.0$
319783	b33	$31.1\pm14.8$	$14.1 \pm 14.7$	wh	wh	$1.8\pm0.0$	$2.6\pm0.2$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.9\pm0.8$	1.1	$0.0\pm0.0$
319785	b34	$25.7\pm24.5$	$16.7\pm26.0$	wh	wh	$1.1\pm0.0$	$2.3\pm0.3$	$0.2\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.8\pm0.1$	1.0	$0.0\pm0.0$
320146	b35	$91.5\pm2.4$	$77.1 \pm 7.1$	$11.4 \pm 14.1$	$11.3\pm5.4$	$2.2\pm0.2$	$3.0\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$2.7 \pm 4.7$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.4$	3.0	$0.0\pm0.0$
320183	b36	$93.6\pm3.2$	$38.3\pm16.9$	wh	wh	$2.3\pm0.0$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.1$	0.3	$0.0\pm0.0$
320301	b37	$78.7\pm30.8$	$4.8\pm6.7$	$28.4 \pm 23.8$	$8.7 \pm 8.9$	$2.1\pm0.1$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.1 \pm 0.2$	$1.4 \pm 1.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.4$	1.8	$0.1\pm0.2$
320302	b38	$56.9\pm32.7$	$24.1\pm27.6$	$39.3\pm15.2$	$32.6\pm32.6$	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.6\pm0.4$	$1.9 \pm 3.2$	$0.0\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.5$	2.2	$0.0\pm0.0$
320541	b39	$31.2\pm14.5$	$15.5\pm17.8$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$1.3 \pm 2.3$	$2.6\pm0.2$	$3.7\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.7$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.4	$0.0\pm0.0$
320564	b40	wh	wh	$1.1 \pm 2.5$	$12.3 \pm 13.1$	$1.5\pm0.1$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$1.6\pm0.1$	$2.5 \pm 4.4$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.5$	2.9	$0.0\pm0.0$
320582	b41	$35.3\pm30.4$	$5.6\pm5.2$	$61.0\pm9.8$	$68.3\pm7.5$	$2.8\pm0.1$	$3.6\pm0.2$	$12.4 \pm 1.4$	$120.5\pm3.2$	$1.9\pm0.0$	$11.0\pm0.8$	133.5	$0.0\pm0.0$
320584	b42	$53.7\pm8.6$	$27.6\pm17.3$	wh	wh	ı	ı	$1.9\pm0.5$	$6.1\pm0.2$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.0$	6.8	$0.0\pm0.0$
320833	b43	wh	wh	wh	wh	$3.0\pm0.0$	$3.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0	$0.0\pm0.0$
320840	b44	$77.6 \pm 12.4$	$26.7 \pm 12.1$	wh	$0.0\pm0.0$	$2.2 \pm 0.1$	$3.6\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.0$	0.7	$0.0\pm0.0$
320859	b45	$1.7 \pm 3.3$	$0.0\pm0.0$	wh	wh	ı	ı	$2.2\pm0.3$	$4.4\pm0.1$	$0.1\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.0$	4.9	$0.0\pm0.0$
327830	b58	$73.4\pm13.3$	$43.3\pm16.2$	$27.7 \pm 24.0$	$24.7 \pm 11.7$	$2.7 \pm 0.1$	$3.6\pm0.1$	$2.1 \pm 0.2$	$21.4 \pm 2.1$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$0.8\pm0.0$	22.7	$0.1\pm0.1$
331194	b59	$27.7 \pm 33.1$	$21.1 \pm 27.8$	$8.8 \pm 7.7$	$4.4 \pm 3.9$	$2.3\pm0.0$	$3.4\pm0.2$	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$1.8\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.4\pm0.0$	2.2	$0.0\pm0.0$
331562	b60	$44.6 \pm 49.3$	$71.8\pm41.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$59.2\pm22.3$	$2.4\pm0.2$	$3.6\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$18.6\pm3.4$	$0.4\pm0.0$	$1.8\pm0.3$	20.9	$0.0\pm0.0$
Dahyang		$38.9 \pm 18.1$	$3.8\pm5.2$	$69.1\pm23.5$	na	$1.1 \pm 0.1$	$3.5\pm0.2$	ı	I	I	ı	I	ı
Mean <sup>d</sup>		46.8	22.7	16.2	18.0	2.1	3.0	1.3	9.0	0.2	1.1	10.2	0.0
Median <sup>d</sup>		44.6	18.4	8.5	11.3	2.2	3.2	0.2	1.2	0.0	0.4	1.8	0.0
Max <sup>d</sup>		93.6	77.1	61.0	68.3	3.0	3.7	12.4	120.5	1.9	11.0	133.5	0.1
$Min^d$		1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FHB, Fusa <sup>a</sup> Values are <sup>brr</sup> M <sub>2</sub>	rium he preser	ad blight; NF ited as mean :	V, nivalenol; L ± standard dev	ON, deoxyni viation.	valenol; 3-ADC	N, 3-acetyl D	ON; 15-ADO	N, 15-acetyl I	JON; ZEN, zea	ralenone; wh, w	/hite head; na, n	ot assessed; -, no	ot analyzed.
DON total	ms = 1	level of DO	N, 3-ADON,	and 15-ADO	N. Limit of det	ection of myc	otoxins (mg/k	cg): 0.02, 0.01	15, 0.03, 0.04, 0	0.003 for NIV,	DON, 3-ADOI	N, 15-ADON, Z	EN, respec-
tively. <sup>d</sup> Calculatio	n was e	lone with ger	rmplasm data c	only.									
		)	-	,									

640

Table 2. F	HB sever	rity and mycoto.	xin production ii	n wheat <sup>a</sup>								
			FHB sev	erity (%)				Myco	toxin (mg/kg)	0		
IT	Serial	1st a	Issay	2nd a	ssay	1st a	ssay			2nd assay		
No. <sup>b</sup>	No.	Fa73-1	Fg39-1	Fa73-2	Fg39-2	NIV-1	DON-1	NIV-2	DON-2	3-ADON-2	15-ADON-2	DON total-2
15872	w1	$73.6 \pm 12.4$	$68.1 \pm 18.2$	$23.2 \pm 1.6$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$3.7\pm0.3$	$2.9 \pm 2.9$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
15996	w2	$63.4\pm11.2$	$29.1\pm28.7$	$14.0\pm20.5$	$1.4 \pm 1.9$	$2.1 \pm 1.2$	$3.8\pm0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16036	w3	$19.0\pm21.9$	$11.7\pm10.2$	$2.3\pm3.2$	$7.4 \pm 7.1$	$3.0\pm0.4$	$3.9\pm0.0$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$1.5\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.0$	1.9
16069	w4	$23.3\pm14.8$	$8.0 \pm 7.4$	$17.8\pm5.0$	$0.8\pm1.4$	$2.9 \pm 0.3$	$4.1\pm0.3$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16077	w5	$32.1\pm5.8$	$28.2\pm11.0$	$6.7 \pm 2.7$	$5.1\pm 8.7$	$2.9 \pm 0.5$	$4.0\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm 0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16251	9M	$9.6 \pm 19.6$	$22.3 \pm 16.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$2.3\pm0.2$	$4.2\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16252	Γw	$13.3\pm6.4$	$3.4 \pm 4.8$	$38.5\pm26.4$	$20.2\pm11.3$	$3.3 \pm 0.4$	$1.8\pm0.1$	$15.1\pm0.6$	$19.4 \pm 1.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$5.9\pm0.6$	25.6
16253	w8	$58.7\pm6.9$	$38.6\pm22.4$	$24.2\pm14.4$	$1.9 \pm 3.1$	$3.4\pm0.0$	$4.0\pm0.2$	$3.4\pm0.3$	$2.0\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.6\pm0.0$	2.7
16254	6m	$41.5\pm8.4$	$12.7 \pm 9.2$	$24.4 \pm 7.9$	$10.9\pm7.7$	$2.8\pm0.3$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$5.4\pm0.8$	$24.4\pm0.9$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$7.1\pm0.7$	31.8
16261	w10	$57.9 \pm 25.9$	$26.9 \pm 9.9$	$18.8\pm21.4$	$5.2 \pm 5.1$	$2.8\pm0.2$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$7.7 \pm 1.6$	$0.1\pm0.1$	$3.2 \pm 1.0$	11.0
16459	w11	$32.3\pm8.6$	$39.2\pm19.1$	$7.8 \pm 2.7$	$3.6\pm5.4$	$3.0\pm0.2$	$3.6\pm0.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16552	w12	$7.4 \pm 8.3$	$19.5\pm9.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$2.1 \pm 1.3$	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
16575	w13	$47.0\pm22.9$	$21.7 \pm 5.3$	$15.1\pm16.4$	$3.3 \pm 3.3$	$3.1 \pm 0.2$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$1.0\pm0.0$	$10.3\pm0.3$	$0.1\pm0.0$	$2.3 \pm 0.1$	12.7
16666	w14	$26.5\pm11.1$	$9.2\pm13.4$	$23.9\pm15.4$	$56.3\pm24.0$	$3.4\pm0.2$	$3.9 \pm 0.1$	$2.8\pm0.4$	$40.1\pm3.9$	$0.9\pm0.1$	$13.2\pm1.3$	54.1
198184	w15	wh	wh	$2.9 \pm 2.6$	$7.4 \pm 2.5$	$3.2\pm0.1$	$3.5\pm0.1$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$25.4\pm3.0$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$6.2\pm0.5$	31.8
198249	w16	wh	wh	wh	wh	ı	ı	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.7\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.0$	1.3
205581	w17	$40.6\pm32.3$	$4.8\pm8.2$	$12.5\pm14.6$	$15.3 \pm 9.8$	I	ı	$0.4\pm0.0$	$29.0 \pm 2.9$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$6.2\pm0.8$	35.5
210438	w18	wh	wh	wh	wh	$0.3\pm0.0$	$3.8\pm0.0$	$1.6\pm0.2$	$2.3 \pm 2.0$	$1.0\pm0.1$	$4.3\pm0.2$	7.6
210451	w19	$35.6\pm16.0$	$15.1 \pm 10.9$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
210452	w20	$33.1 \pm 4.4$	$19.1 \pm 12.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$4.4\pm8.8$	$2.8\pm0.3$	$3.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.1\pm0.2$	0.2
210484	w21	$27.4 \pm 12.0$	$4.2 \pm 9.4$	$2.6 \pm 1.8$	$16.8\pm12.0$	$3.2\pm0.1$	$4.0\pm0.1$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$51.2\pm0.8$	$0.2\pm0.0$	$4.1\pm0.3$	55.5
214604	w22	$31.3\pm19.3$	$26.0\pm10.7$	$12.3\pm8.3$	$57.8\pm34.0$	$3.5\pm0.3$	$4.0\pm0.1$	$1.3 \pm 0.1$	$61.8\pm3.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$17.5\pm0.6$	79.7
269367	w23	$32.4 \pm 17.5$	$6.1 \pm 4.2$	$3.9 \pm 3.3$	$13.7\pm10.5$	$3.6\pm0.3$	$4.1\pm0.1$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$8.7\pm0.8$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$1.6\pm0.4$	10.2
301705	w30	$54.2\pm14.0$	$18.0\pm34.6$	$54.1 \pm 28.2$	$80.5\pm15.6$	I	I	$2.1 \pm 0.0$	$40.3\pm1.2$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$13.6 \pm 1.0$	54.2
323562	w46	$26.5\pm6.8$	$9.5 \pm 7.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.4\pm0.4$	$3.8\pm0.2$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$6.1\pm0.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.9\pm0.6$	10.0
323778	w47	$20.4\pm19.8$	$19.8\pm28.4$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.5\pm0.2$	$3.9\pm0.6$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$54.9\pm9.2$	$0.4\pm0.1$	$10.3\pm2.3$	65.6
324305	w48	$27.3\pm16.8$	$4.8\pm8.7$	$2.6 \pm 3.6$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.4\pm0.3$	$3.3 \pm 1.1$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
324467	w49	$18.2\pm11.9$	$12.7 \pm 12.3$	$0.9\pm1.9$	$13.9\pm27.8$	$3.8\pm0.0$	I	$0.0\pm0.0$	$41.1\pm0.6$	$0.3\pm0.0$	$10.7 \pm 1.0$	52.1
325290	w50	$33.8 \pm 24.2$	$73.5\pm10.9$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	I	I	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
325587	w51	$18.2\pm8.3$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	I	I	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
325689	w52	$35.9\pm31.0$	$27.4 \pm 30.9$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.5\pm0.5$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
325968	w53	$39.2\pm25.0$	$3.9\pm6.7$	$4.4\pm9.8$	$13.7 \pm 15.0$	$4.0\pm0.0$	$4.4\pm0.1$	$0.4\pm0.4$	$35.0\pm1.5$	$0.4\pm0.0$	$13.5\pm0.4$	48.8
326055	w54	$62.3\pm13.4$	$2.6\pm5.8$	$4.4 \pm 3.7$	$38.3\pm22.3$	$4.0\pm0.3$	$4.1\pm0.0$	$0.5\pm0.1$	$97.4\pm1.6$	$1.1\pm0.1$	$33.8\pm0.1$	132.3
326070	w55	$26.6\pm6.5$	$11.0\pm 8.5$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.3 \pm 0.9$	ı	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0

Table 2. Coi	ntinued											
			FHB seve	erity (%)				Myco	otoxin (mg/kg)°			
IT	Serial	lst	assay	2nd s	Issay	1st as	ssay			2nd assay		
No. <sup>b</sup>	No.	Fa73-1	Fg39-1	Fa73-2	Fg39-2	I-VIN	DON-1	NIV-2	DON-2	3-ADON-2	15-ADON-2	DON total-2
326236	w56	$22.4 \pm 7.5$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$3.4\pm0.5$	$3.8\pm0.9$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	0.0
326672	w57	$30.7\pm6.2$	$12.2 \pm 12.3$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$19.2\pm34.7$	$3.9\pm0.3$	$4.3\pm0.0$	$0.0\pm0.0$	$22.0\pm1.5$	$0.1\pm0.0$	$5.1\pm0.5$	27.2
Geumgang		$32.2\pm9.0$	$12.3 \pm 10.1$	$30.5\pm13.5$	na	$3.9\pm0.2$	$4.3 \pm 0.1$	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı
Mean <sup>d</sup>		33.9	18.3	9.3	11.7	3.1	3.7	1.2	16.2	0.2	4.6	20.9
Median <sup>d</sup>		32.1	12.7	3.4	4.0	3.2	3.8	0.3	2.2	0.0	1.1	5.1
Max <sup>d</sup>		73.6	73.5	54.1	80.5	4.0	4.4	15.1	97.4	1.1	33.8	132.3
Min <sup>d</sup>		7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
FHB, Fusarii	un head	blight; NIV, ni	ivalenol; DON, de	oxynivalenol; 3-,	ADON, 3-acetyl I	DON; 15-ADO	N, 15-acetyl DC	DN; wh, white	head; na, not as	ssessed; -, not a	nalyzed.	

"IT No., accession number designated by National Agrobiodiversity Center. Values are presented as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation.

"DON total = sum level of DON, 3-ADON, and 15-ADON. Limit of detection of mycotoxins (mg/kg): 0.02, 0.015, 0.03, 0.04 for NIV, DON, 3-ADON, 15-ADON, respectively.

<sup>4</sup>Calculation was done with germplasm data only.

barley and wheat, respectively, ranging from 0.3 to 3.7 mg/kg in barley, and up to 4.4 mg/kg in wheat. In the second assay, Fa73 produced decreased level of NIV than the first but with increase in some accessions up to 12.4 mg/kg (barley) and 15.1 mg/kg NIV (wheat) (means of 1.3 mg/ kg and 1.2 mg/kg in barley and wheat). In contrast, Fg39 produced increased level of DON up to 120.5 mg/kg and 97.4 mg/kg in barley and wheat, respectively (means of 9.0 mg/kg and 16.2 mg/kg in barley and wheat). However, all medians were much lower than the first. It is notable that the highest DON and NIV levels were detected from the very same accession with >60% FHB severity (b41) (Table 1), indicating the susceptibility of this accession especially to mycotoxin accumulation. Regardless of the FHB severity of the accessions, the level of DON detected was higher than that of NIV in most accessions. Additional analysis of the acetyl derivatives of DON in the second assay showed that both 3-ADON and 15-ADON were produced in 29% and 75% of barley, and in 42% and 61% of wheat, respectively. Between 3-ADON and 15-ADON, the levels of 15-ADON were higher than 3-ADON levels in all accessions with one exception. The accessions with >10 mg/kg DON also accumulated 15-ADON up to 33.8 mg/kg, ranging in sum production of DON and acetyl DONs from 12.7 to 132.3 mg/kg. The five accessions with <20% FHB severity accumulated either NIV or DON up to 3.9 mg/kg at maximum, except one (w49) with accumulation of 41.1 mg/kg of DON and 10.7 mg/kg of 15-ADON. ZEN was detected in only 8% of barley (2/22), with mean of 0.1 mg/kg (Table 1).

2). Fg39 produced means of 3.0 and 3.7 mg/kg DON in

Correlation between FHB severity and mycotoxin levels in barley and wheat. To investigate the associations between FHB severity and toxin level by F. asiaticum and F. graminearum, correlation was analyzed between disease severities by both strains in each crop, toxin levels by both strains in each crop, and between disease severity and toxin level by each strain in each crop. Firstly, FHB severities between two strains (Fa73 and Fg39) were moderately correlated in both crops (r = 0.57 and 0.60 in barley, 0.42 and 0.58 in wheat) (P < 0.05) (Table 3). Second, mycotoxins between the two strains (NIV by Fa73 and DON by Fg39) were highly correlated in barley (r = 0.69 and 0.91), but not in wheat (r = -0.08 and 0.06). Third, FHB severity by either strain was correlated with mycotoxin levels, especially in barley. In barley, the correlation between FHB and NIV was moderately high (r = 0.42 and 0.82), whereas that between FHB and DON was less consistent (r = 0.22 and 0.76). In wheat, however, the correlations were high only

# Baek et al.

Cron		Barley			Wheat	
Стор	FHB by Fg39	NIV by Fa73	DON by Fg39	FHB by Fg39	NIV by Fa73	DON by Fg39
FHB by Fa73	0.57*/0.60*	0.42*/0.82*	0.48*/0.70*	0.42*/0.58*	0.049/0.70*	0.086/0.14
FHB by Fg39		0.31/0.70*	0.22/0.76*		-0.33/0.20	-0.077/0.66*
NIV by Fa73			0.69*/0.91*			-0.080/0.060

 Table 3. Correlation coefficients calculated among FHB severity and mycotoxin production by *Fusarium asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* in barley and wheat

FHB, Fusarium head blight; NIV, nivalenol; DON, deoxynivalenol. \*P < 0.05.

in the second assay (r = 0.76 by Fa73, 0.66 by Fg39). Due to the different numbers of datasets, disease severity or mycotoxin levels between wheat and barley were not analyzed.

## Discussion

Germplasm accessions showed diversity in FHB resistance and mycotoxin accumulation. Most accessions (>91%) showed  $\geq 20\%$  FHB severity caused by either F. asiaticum or F. graminearum, and none of the accessions were free from mycotoxin accumulation. Among the five accessions with <20% FHB severity, barley (b32) was associated with the lowest level of mycotoxin (up to 2.2 mg/kg), suggesting the possibility of double FHB resistance (type II-resistance to spread and type III-resistance to toxin accumulation) (Chen et al., 2019). These results demonstrate that such FHB resistance can be secured and that FHB resistance is better regarded not as a single disease resistance but as a double resistance to FHB and mycotoxins. The resistant accessions revealed in this study can be useful resources for breeders. Both FHB and mycotoxin production are significantly affected by environmental and experimental conditions. Such effects of plant conditions and microenvironments can cause inconsistent evaluations. Although we tried to keep the assay conditions such as inoculum concentration, pathogen viability and plant conditions consistent, there could be variations in the temperature and humidity of the greenhouse between experiments as the accessions were tested in several months during a season. Thus, higher FHB severity should be counted, even with discrepancies between assays, because they reflect the susceptibility of a tested variety or accession under given environments.

Comparison of FHB severity by both *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* was conducted in the germplasm for the first time in this study. The barley and wheat accessions showed different responses to *F. asiaticum* and *F. graminearum* under the test conditions. Our results suggest to use both

species for FHB assessment in barley and wheat in Korea. FHB severity was generally higher in barley than in wheat in both strains, showing that barley was more susceptible to FHB, confirming our previous results (Baek et al., 2020). Of these two species, NIV-producing F. asiaticum was more aggressive than DON-producing F. graminearum in both crops. However, the latter had a tendency to be more aggressive toward wheat. Although the pathogenicity of Fa73 appeared to be higher than that of Fg39, the absolute amount of mycotoxins produced was greater by Fg39. The mycotoxin levels were higher in wheat for both DON and NIV, indicating that wheat was more prone to mycotoxin accumulation. These results differ from those of a previous study where DON levels were not significantly different between barley and wheat, and DON-producing F. graminearum was less aggressive than NIV-producing F. asiaticum in wheat (Baek et al., 2020). This work, however, was based on a lower number (14 in total) of cultivars, making our results more representative. Other studies reported that NIV-producing F. asiaticum and DONproducing F. graminearum were not significantly different on virulence in wheat cultivars (Jang et al., 2019; Shin et al., 2018). However, these results were based on a single cultivar or on a single pathogenicity test, respectively. Notably, DON production is accompanied by acetyl derivatives such as 3-ADON and 15-ADON. The toxicity of these acetyl DON derivatives is less than that of DON, but they still contribute to total toxicity (Knutsen et al., 2017). However, little information is available on the occurrence of acetyl DON derivatives in Korean cereals. Although Korean cereals are prevalent in NIV-producing F. asiaticum, they remain contaminated with DON, indicating that acetyl DON derivatives co-occur, as shown in this study. For food safety purposes, it is necessary to monitor DON derivatives in cereals and cereal-based products. Differences in FHB severity between F. asiaticum and F. graminearum and the superiority of F. graminearum in mycotoxin production (Gale et al., 2011; Jang et al., 2019) should be considered, especially for wheat breeding, as this crop is challenged

more by *F. graminearum*. As wheat cultivation is currently encouraged in Korea to increase wheat self-sufficiency (Kim et al., 2020), it is important to secure wheat breeding resources that are resistant to both FHB and mycotoxins.

Our analyses confirmed previous results that FHB severity and toxin production are correlated in wheat and barley (Choo et al., 2004; Geddes et al., 2008; He et al., 2015; Yan et al., 2022). Unlike the previous studies, we used both F. asiaticum and F. graminearum at the same time and were able to compare these species. The highest levels of DON and NIV were associated with high FHB severity in both crops, especially F. graminearum (accessions b41 and w54). However, it is controversial to conclude because some studies found no correlation between FHB and DON concentration in these crops (Gilbert et al., 2002; Khanal et al., 2021) and all test conditions were different. The strong correlation between DON and NIV levels only in barley, and higher correlation between FHB severity and toxin production with F. asiaticum in barley accessions than wheat or with F. graminearum might be another proof of the co-evolution of F. asiaticum and barley for a longer period than wheat, as speculated previously (Baek et al., 2020). Further studies are necessary to elucidate the basis of this association between barley and F. asiaticum.

# **Conflicts of Interest**

No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported.

#### Acknowledgments

This study was carried out with the support of "Research Program for Agricultural Science & Technology Development (Project No. PJ014895)", National Institute of Agricultural Sciences, Rural Development Administration, Republic of Korea.

# References

- Baek, S. G., Kim, S., Jang, J. Y., Kim, J. and Lee, T. 2020. Ferulic acid content of barley and wheat grains and head blight resistance. *Res. Plant Dis.* 26:250-255 (in Korean).
- Bai, G. and Shaner, G. 2004. Management and resistance in wheat and barley to fusarium head blight. *Annu. Rev. Phytopathol.* 42:135-161.
- Buerstmayr, M., Steiner, B. and Buerstmayr, H. 2020. Breeding for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat: progress and challenges. *Plant Breed*. 139:429-454.
- Chen, Y., Kistler, H. C. and Ma, Z. 2019. Fusarium graminearum trichothecene mycotoxins: biosynthesis, regulation, and man-

agement. Annu. Rev. Phytopathol. 57:15-39.

- Choo, T. M., Vigier, B., Shen, Q. Q., Martin, R. A., Ho, K. M. and Savard, M. 2004. Barley traits associated with resistance to fusarium head blight and deoxynivalenol accumulation. *Phytopathology* 94:1145-1150.
- Del Ponte, E. M., Moreira, G. M., Ward, T. J., O'Donnell, K., Nicolli, C. P., Machado, F. J., Duffeck, M. R., Alves, K. S., Tessmann, D. J., Waalwijk, C., van der Lee, T., Zhang, H., Chulze, S. N., Stenglein, S. A., Pan, D., Vero, S., Vaillancourt, L. J., Schmale, D. G., 3rd, Esker, P. D., Moretti, A., Logrieco, A. F., Kistler, H. C., Bergstrom, G. C., Viljoen, A., Rose, L. J., van Coller, G. J. and Lee, T. 2022. *Fusarium graminearum* species complex: a bibliographic analysis and web-accessible database for global mapping of species and trichothecene toxin chemotypes. *Phytopathology* 112:741-751.
- Desjardins, A. E. 2006. Fusarium mycotoxins: chemistry, genetics and biology. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul. MN, USA. 268 pp.
- Gale, L. R., Harrison, S. A., Ward, T. J., O'Donnell, K., Milus, E. A., Gale, S. W. and Kistler, H. C. 2011. Nivalenol-type populations of *Fusarium graminearum* and *F. asiaticum* are prevalent on wheat in southern Louisiana. *Phytopathology* 101:124-134.
- Geddes, J., Eudes, F., Tucker, J. R., Legge, W. G. and Selinger, L. B. 2008. Evaluation of inoculation methods on infection and deoxynivalenol production by *Fusarium graminearum* on barley. *Can. J. Plant Pathol.* 30:66-73.
- Gilbert, J., Abramson, D., McCallum, B. and Clear, R. 2002. Comparison of Canadian *Fusarium graminearum* isolates for aggressiveness, vegetative compatibility, and production of ergosterol and mycotoxins. *Mycopathologia* 153:209-215.
- Han, O.-K. and Kim, J.-G. 2005. Establishment of artificial screening methods and evaluation of barley germplasms for resistance to *Fusarium* head blight. *Korean J. Crop Sci.* 50:191-196 (in Korean).
- He, X., Osman, M., Helm, J., Capettini, F. and Singh, P. K. 2015. Evaluation of Canadian barley breeding lines for Fusarium head blight resistance. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 95:923-929.
- Jang, J. Y., Baek, S. G., Choi, J.-H., Kim, S., Kim, J., Kim, D.-W., Yun, S.-H. and Lee, T. 2019. Characterization of nivalenolproducing *Fusarium asiaticum* that causes cereal head blight in Korea. *Plant Pathol. J.* 35:543-552.
- Khanal, R., Choo, T. M., Xue, A. G., Vigier, B., Savard, M. E., Blackwell, B., Wang, J., Yang, J. and Martin, R. A. 2021. Response of barley genotypes to Fusarium head blight under natural infection and artificial inoculation conditions. *Plant Pathol. J.* 37:455-464.
- Kim, K.-M., Kang, C.-S., Kim, Y.-K., Kim, K.-H., Park, J.-H., Yoon, Y.-M., Park, H.-H., Jeong, H.-Y., Choi, C.-H., Park, J., Kim, Y.-J., Cheong, Y.-K., Han, O.-K. and Park, T.-I. 2020. Past and current status, and prospect of winter cereal crops research for food and forage in Korea. *Korean J. Breed. Sci.* 52:73-92 (in Korean).
- Knutsen, H. K., Alexander, J., Barregård, L., Bignami, M.,

Brüschweiler, B., Ceccatelli, S., Cottrill, B., Dinovi, M., Grasl-Kraupp, B., Hogstrand, C., Hoogenboom, L. R., Nebbia, C. S., Oswald, I. P., Petersen, A., Rose, M., Roudot, A. C., Schwerdtle, T., Vleminckx, C., Vollmer, G., Wallace, H., De Saeger, S., Eriksen, G. S., Farmer, P., Fremy, J. M., Gong, Y. Y., Meyer, K., Naegeli, H., Parent-Massin, D., Rietjens, I., van Egmond, H., Altieri, A., Eskola, M., Gergelova, P., Ramos Bordajandi, L., Benkova, B., Dörr, B., Gkrillas, A., Gustavsson, N., van Manen, M. and Edler, L. 2017. Risks to human and animal health related to the presence of deoxynivalenol and its acetylated and modified forms in food and feed. *EFSA J.* 15:e04718.

- Lee, J., Chang, I.-Y., Kim, H., Yun, S.-H., Leslie, J. F. and Lee, Y.-W. 2009. Genetic diversity and fitness of *Fusarium graminearum* populations from rice in Korea. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 75:3289-3295.
- Lee, S., Lee, T., Kim, M., Yu, O., Im, H. and Ryu, J.-G. 2013. Survey on contamination of *Fusarium* mycotoxins in 2011-harvested rice and its by-products from rice processing complexes in Korea. *Res. Plant Dis.* 19:259-264 (in Korean).
- Lee, T., Paek, J.-S., Lee, K. A., Lee, S., Choi, J.-H., Ham, H., Hong, S. K. and Ryu, J.-G. 2016. Occurrence of toxigenic *Fusarium vorosii* among small grain cereals in Korea. *Plant Pathol. J.* 32:407-413.
- Lee, U. S., Jang, H. S., Tanaka, T., Hasegawa, A., Oh, Y. J. and Ueno, Y. 1985. The coexistence of the *Fusarium* mycotoxins nivalenol, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone in Korean cereals harvested in 1983. *Food Addit. Contam.* 2:185-192.
- Ma, Z., Xie, Q., Li, G., Jia, H., Zhou, J., Kong, Z., Li, N. and Yuan, Y. 2020. Germplasms, genetics and genomics for better control of disastrous wheat Fusarium head blight. *Theor: Appl. Genet.* 133:1541-1568.

- McCormick, S. P. and Alexander, N. J. 2002. Fusarium Tri8 encodes a trichothecene C-3 esterase. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 68:2959-2964.
- Park, C. S., Heo, H.-Y., Kang, M.-S., Lee, C.-K., Park, K.-G., Park, J.-C., Kim, H.-S., Kim, H.-S., Hwang, J.-J., Cheong, Y.-K. and Kim, J.-G. 2008. A new white wheat variety, "Jeokjoong" with high yield, good noodle quality and moderate to scab. *Korean J. Breed. Sci.* 40:308-313.
- Shin, S., Son, J.-H., Park, J.-C., Kim, K.-H., Yoon, Y.-M., Cheong, Y.-K., Kim, K.-H., Hyun, J.-N., Park, C. S., Dill-Macky, R. and Kang, C.-S. 2018. Comparative pathogenicity of *Fusarium graminearum* isolates from wheat kernels in Korea. *Plant Pathol. J.* 34:347-355.
- Steiner, B., Buerstmayr, M., Michel, S., Schweiger, W., Lemmens, M. and Buerstmayr, H. 2017. Breeding strategies and advances in line selection for Fusarium head blight resistance in wheat. *Trop. Plant Pathol.* 42:165-174.
- van der Lee, T., Zhang, H., van Diepeningen, A. and Waalwijk, C. 2015. Biogeography of *Fusarium graminearum* species complex and chemotypes: a review. *Food Addit. Contam. Part A Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess.* 32:453-460.
- Xu, F., Liu, W., Song, Y., Zhou, Y., Xu, X., Yang, G., Wang, J., Zhang, J. and Liu, L. 2021. The distribution of *Fusarium graminearum* and *Fusarium asiaticum* causing Fusarium head blight of wheat in relation to climate and cropping system. *Plant Dis.* 105:2830-2835.
- Yan, Z., Chen, W., van der Lee, T., Waalwijk, C., van Diepeningen, A. D., Feng, J., Zhang, H. and Liu, T. 2022. Evaluation of Fusarium head blight resistance in 410 Chinese wheat cultivars selected for their climate conditions and ecological niche using natural infection across three distinct experimental sites. *Front. Plant Sci.* 13:916282.