Research Article

Enhanced Suppressive Activity of Regulatory T Cells in the Microenvironment of Malignant Pleural Effusions

Joanna Budna⁽⁾,¹ Mariusz Kaczmarek,² Agata Kolecka-Bednarczyk,² Łukasz Spychalski,³ Piotr Zawierucha,^{1,4} Joanna Goździk-Spychalska,⁵ Michał Nowicki,¹ Halina Batura-Gabryel,⁵ and Jan Sikora²

¹Department of Histology and Embryology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

²Department of Clinical Immunology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

³Department of Oncology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

⁴Department of Anatomy, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

⁵Department of Pulmonology, Allergology and Respiratory Oncology, Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Poznan, Poland

Correspondence should be addressed to Joanna Budna; joanna.budna@wp.pl

Received 24 August 2017; Revised 20 December 2017; Accepted 11 January 2018; Published 26 March 2018

Academic Editor: Eyad Elkord

Copyright © 2018 Joanna Budna et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Cancer metastatic spread to serous cavity causes malignant pleural effusions (MPEs), indicating dismal prognosis. Tumor microenvironment can implement suppressive activity on host immune responses. Thus, we investigated the prevalence of Tregs and the relationship between them and TGF- β and IL-10 concentrations and measured expression of *FOXP3*, *CTLA-4*, *CD28*, and *GITR* genes, as well as protein expression of selected genes in benign effusions and MPEs. The percentage of Tregs was determined by means of multicolor flow cytometry system. TGF- β and IL-10 concentrations were measured using human TGF- β 1 and IL-10 ELISA kit. Relative mRNA expression of studied genes was analyzed by real-time PCR. The frequency of Tregs was comparable, and no correlation between concentrations of TGF- β and IL-10 and percentage of Tregs was observed. Relative mRNA expression of all the genes was higher in CD4⁺CD25⁺ compared to CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells. In CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells from MPEs, relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CTLA-4*, and *CD28* genes was significantly higher than in benign effusions; however, the level of CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells in analyzed groups showed no significant differences. We found numerous genes correlations in an entire CD4⁺CD25⁺ cell subset and CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells from MPEs. Enhanced suppressive activity of Tregs is observed in the microenvironment of MPEs. Understanding of relations between cellular and cytokine immunosuppressive factors in tumor microenvironment may determine success of anticancer response.

1. Introduction

Cancer metastatic spread to serous cavity often causes malignant pleural effusions (MPEs), indicates a dismal prognosis, and occurs in 15% of cancer-related deaths [1]. Severity of MPEs is related to the fact that contact between tumorassociated lymphocytes (TALs) and tumor cells is not hindered by connective tissues [2]. Prior studies have noted a strong relationship between tumor progression and T cell functional impairment, which can be explained by tumor's suppressive impact on host immune response [3].

Regulatory T cells (Tregs), a small subset (5–10%) of the overall CD4⁺ lymphocytes population, are defined by high expression of interleukin- (IL-) 2 receptor α chain (CD25), transcription factor FoxP3, a CTL-associated antigen-4 (CTLA-4), CD28, glucocorticoid-induced tumor necrosis factor (GITR), CD45RO, CD39, and CD73 [4]. Among them, FoxP3 seems to be the most relevant marker, since its

presence and upregulated expression are required for Tregs development and function, preventing autoimmune diseases [5, 6]. However, its expression is not a unique feature of this subpopulation, since it can be found on CD4⁺⁻ CD25⁻⁻ effector T cells [7], suppressor Tr1 and Th3 cells [8], or cancer cells [9].

It is widely known that Tregs, expressing FoxP3, are vital for self-tolerance, thus maintaining balance of immunological defense, by inhibiting effector T cells (Tef). This process occurs in two ways, by cell-to-cell direct contact or by secretion of inhibitory cytokines, like interleukin-10 (IL-10) and transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) [10]. Both cytokines, acting as negative regulators, can lead to tumor progression [11, 12], since Tregs-mediated immunosuppression appears to be a crucial mechanism of tumor evasion, contributing to lack of response to immunotherapy in cancer patients [13]. Furthermore, it has been reported that Treg frequencies in patients with solid tumors and hematologic malignancies were higher than those in healthy controls [14]; however, the percentage of Tregs may vary between patients and type of cancer [15].

Tregs differentiate mainly in the thymus, but this process occurs also in the periphery. It is suggested that TGF- β is involved in this process, inducing differentiation of FoxP3⁺ Tregs from naive precursors [16]. In inflammatory effusions, pleural mesothelial cells play a key role in TGF- β synthesis, while in malignant effusions, TGF- β is produced mainly by tumor malignant cells [17].

Relationships between CTLA-4, CD28, and GITR receptors are considered to be responsible for Treg activity and suppressive function as they influence antigenpresenting cells (APC) stimulatory capacity [15]. Thus, the ability to control the suppressive function and/or number of Tregs in the cancer microenvironment has a promising therapeutic approach.

The present study investigates the prevalence of Tregs in malignant and benign pleural effusions, evaluates the relationship between them and TGF- β and IL-10 concentrations, and measures relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CTLA-4*, *CD28*, and *GITR* genes, as well as protein expression of selected genes.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Patients. Pleural effusion samples, obtained by thoracocentesis from 76 patients admitted to the Greater Poland Centre of Pulmonology and Thoracic Surgery, were subjected to a routine laboratory diagnosis and analyzed by conventional cytology. Smears were fixed and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Slides were evaluated as being negative or positive for malignant cells. Biological materials were divided into three groups: MPE with malignant cells (30, group I), effusions from patients with malignancy but without malignant cells in effusions (21, group II), and nonmalignant pleural effusions (25, group III). The last group consisted of tuberculosis and parapneumonic effusions.

In all patients, cytological diagnosis was confirmed by histology and clinical data. All malignant patients displayed effusions related to lung adenocarcinoma. None of the patients with MPE received any anticancer therapy. Among 51 patients with lung carcinoma, all were diagnosed as stage IV of the disease. The degree of advanced disease was established according to the 7th IASLC edition of TNM lung cancer classification.

Results of blood tests, including WBC, neutrophils, and monocytes counts, erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), and CRP level, were collected for each patient.

2.2. Flow Cytometry Staining, Acquisition, and Analysis. The Treg levels (%) were measured in 76 PEs by flow cytometry with a use of Human Treg Flow™ Kit FoxP3 Alexa Fluor® 488/CD4 PE-Cy5/CD25 PE (BioLegend®, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, cells were stained with combinations of the anti-CD4 PE-Cy5 and anti-CD25-PE antibodies. Samples were fixed and permeabilized. For intracellular staining of transcription factor FoxP3, Alexa Fluor 488 antihuman FoxP3 antibody or Alexa Fluor 488 mouse IgG1, k isotype control was used. Additionally, cells were stained with anti-CTLA-4-PerCP antibody. Data acquisition and analysis were performed on 5×10^4 cells in samples using the FACSCanto[™] II flow cytometry system and FACSDiva[™] software (BD Biosciences) with a standard 6-color filter configuration. Lymphocytes were identified based on cell characteristic properties in the forward (FSC) and side (SSC) scatter and calculated based on staining with CD14PE/CD45FITC antibodies (Becton Dickinson, USA). For additional analyses, gates were restricted to the CD4⁺, CD4⁺CD25⁺, CD4⁺CD25⁺ FoxP3⁺, and CD4⁺⁻ CD25⁺CTLA-4⁺ cells.

2.3. Measurement of TGF- β 1 and IL-10 Concentrations in Pleural Effusions. The TGF- β 1 and IL-10 concentrations were measured in 76 samples with the use of enzymelinked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit (Quantikine Human TGF- β 1 and Quantikine Human IL-10, R&D Systems, USA). Before measurement, pleural effusions were centrifuged at 200×g for 10 min at 4°C. Supernatants were collected at kept in -80°C until ELISA was performed. The TGF- β 1 and IL-10 concentrations were evaluated according to the manufacturer's instructions. Optical density of each well was determined within 30 min after blocking enzymatic reaction, using a microplate reader set to 450 nm with wavelength correction set to 540 nm. Measurements were carried out in duplicates, and results were calculated with a use of a standard curve.

2.4. Magnetic Isolation of $CD4^+CD25^+$ Regulatory T Cells from Pleural Effusions. The isolation of $CD4^+CD25^+$ regulatory T cells was performed on 33 samples with a use of $CD4^{+-}$ $CD25^+$ Regulatory T cell Isolation Kit human (MACS, Miltenyi Biotec, USA) and performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, separation was carried out in a two-step procedure. First, the $CD4^-$ cells were indirectly magnetically labeled with a cocktail of biotin-conjugated antibodies, and then antibiotin monoclonal antibodies conjugated to MicroBeads. Subsequently, labeled $CD4^-$ cells were depleted on a column and placed in the magnetic field. In the second step, preenriched $CD4^+$ cells were labeled with Journal of Immunology Research

Transcript		Sequence (5'-3' direction)	Gene accession no.	Product size
E D2	F	AGGAGGATGGACGAACAGG	NIM 014000 2	76 h
FOXP3	R	CACATCCAGGGCCTATCATC	NM_014009.5	76 bp
CD29	F	CATGGCCCAAGTCTGTCTTT	NM_014009.3 NM_006139.3 NM_005214.4 NM_004195.2	63 bp
CD28	R	TGTATGTCGGGCATGCTACT		
	F	CCGTGCCCAGATTCTGAC	NIN4 005214 4	60 bp
CILA-4	R	AAACAACCCCGAACTAACTGC	NM_006139.3 NM_005214.4 NM_004195.2	
CITD	F	GACCGAAGACGCCAGAAG	NIM 004105 2	95 bp
GIIK	R	CTCACACCCACAGGTCTCC	NM_006139.3 NM_005214.4 NM_004195.2 NM_002046.5	
GAPDH	F	GCATCCTGGGCTACACTGA	NIM 002046 5	79 bp
	R	CCAGCGTCAAAGGTGGAG	11111_002040.5	

TABLE 1: Oligonucleotide sequences used for Q-PCR analysis.

anti-CD25 antibody conjugated to MicroBeads, isolated by positive selection on a column, and placed in the magnetic field. The positive selection step was repeated twice to increase the purity of the fraction containing the CD4⁺⁻ CD25⁺ regulatory T cells. The unlabeled CD4⁺CD25⁻ cell effluent was also collected as a reference group.

2.5. RNA Isolation, Reverse Transcription, and Q-PCR Analysis. Total RNA was isolated from CD4⁺CD25⁺ white blood cells based on modified Chomczyński-Sacchi method using TRIzol® Reagent (Life Technologies, USA). Additionally, in order to purify probes, RNA was centrifuged on spin columns (Spin Cartridge and Collection Tube, Life Technologies, USA). The RNA samples were resuspended in $30 \,\mu$ l of RNase-free water and stored in -70°C for further analysis. In the next step, isolated and purified RNA samples were used to carry out reverse transcription reaction using Transcriptor First Strand cDNA Synthesis Kit (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). To carry out Q-PCR, $5 \mu l$ of reverse-transcribed cDNA was used in combination with $10\,\mu$ l Light Cycler 480[®] Probes Master Mix (Roche Diagnostics, Germany), $2\,\mu$ l primers (Table 1), and $0.2\,\mu$ M probes (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) with addition of $3 \mu l H_2O$ (Roche Diagnostics, Germany). Q-PCR was conducted in a Rotor Gene 6000 system (Corbett Research, Australia). To quantify changes in gene expression, the relative quantification method $(\tilde{2}^{-\Delta\Delta Ct})$ method) has been used. GAPDH was used as housekeeping gene, and CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells were considered as the calibrator (reference) group. In order to eliminate technical issues, all samples were carried out in duplicates (technical repeats).

2.6. Statistical Analysis. The data were tabulated and analyzed using the STATISTICA 6.0 (StatSoft Inc., USA). To express the variability of data, standard deviation (SD) was used. In statistical analysis, we used nonparametric tests because of the nonnormal distribution of the data. The results were compared using the Mann–Whitney test to verify differences between two groups when 2 out of 3 analyzed groups were taken together, giving a total number of 2 compared groups, and the Kruskal-Wallis test with Dunn post hoc test to verify differences between more than two groups (cytometry analysis, ELISA test, and blood parameters, each consisting of 3 biological groups). To analyze relative gene expression between two compared groups of pleural effusions, the Mann–Whitney U test was used.

Spearman's rank correlation coefficient and its significance were used to assess correlations between percentage of CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells, TGF- β and IL-10 concentrations, and blood parameters, as well as correlation between analyzed gene expression changes.

3. Results

3.1. Flow Cytometry Analysis of Pleural Effusion Lymphocytes. Pleural effusions were analyzed by flow cytometry for phenotypic evidence of CD4⁺CD25⁺ FoxP3⁺ Tregs. After data acquisition, lymphoid cells were gated on FSC/SSC and analyzed for coexpression of CD4, CD25, and FoxP3 (Figure 1(a)). The final effect of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ Treg enrichment by magnetic separation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells was evaluated using a flow cytometry (Figure 1(b)).

We found statistically higher frequency of CD4⁺CD25⁺ FoxP3⁺ T cells in MPE with malignant cells (I) than in nonmalignant pleural effusions (III) ($3.29\% \pm 2.99\%$ versus $0.82\% \pm 0.66\%$, p = 0.000009) and in MPE without malignant cells (II) compared to nonmalignant pleural effusions (III) ($2.46\% \pm 2.53\%$ versus $0.82\% \pm 0.66\%$, p = 0.0015). The prevalence of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ T cells in MPE containing malignant cells (I) and in MPE without malignant cells (II) was not significantly different ($3.29\% \pm 2.99\%$ versus $2.46\% \pm$ 2.53%, p > 0.05). Furthermore, the percentage of Tregs in both MPE taken together (I + II) was significantly higher than in nonmalignant pleural effusions (III) ($2.92\% \pm 2.80\%$ versus $0.82\% \pm 0.66\%$, p = 0.000002) (Figure 2). *Result already published in other aspects [18].

The frequency of lymphocytes in all effusion cells, and CD4⁺ lymphocytes and CD4⁺/CD25⁺ cells within lymphocytes, was evaluated. No significant differences were observed between these two populations. However, we found statistically significant differences in percentage of Tregs in lymphocytes between groups I and III (p = 0.006), in percentage of Tregs in CD4⁺ cells between groups I and III (p = 0.0018), and in percentage of Tregs in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells between groups I and III (p = 0.004) (Figure 3).



FIGURE 1: Scheme of immunophenotyping of the Tregs present in pleural effusions: (a) Tregs in pleural effusions; (b) Tregs in pleural effusions after magnetic separation of CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells.



FIGURE 2: Frequencies of Tregs in three cytologically determined groups of pleural effusions: malignant effusions with malignant cells (I), malignant effusions without malignant cells (II), and nonmalignant pleural effusions (III).



FIGURE 3: Frequencies of Tregs within all lymphocytes and CD4⁺ and CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells in three cytologically determined groups of pleural effusions: malignant effusions with malignant cells (I), malignant effusions without malignant cells (II), and nonmalignant pleural effusions (III). **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001

There was no statistically significant difference between percentage of CD4⁺CD25⁺CTLA-4⁺ cells in any of analyzed groups (I versus III, p = 0.5640; II versus III, p = 0.6643, I + II versus III, p = 0.5468; and I versus II, p = 0.8395) (Figure 4).

3.2. $TGF-\beta 1$ and IL-10 Concentrations in Pleural Effusions. Average TGF- $\beta 1$ concentrations in MPE with malignant cells were 2857 pg/ml, in MPE without malignant cells 2999 pg/ ml, and in benign pleural effusions 2745 pg/ml. Statistical analysis showed no significant differences between MPE with and without malignant cells and benign pleural effusions (p = 1.00), between both malignant groups (p = 1.00), and between both MPE taken together and benign effusions (p = 0.9702). Results are presented in Figure 5(a).

Average IL-10 concentrations in MPE with malignant cells were 35 pg/ml, in MPE without malignant cells 23 pg/ml, and in benign pleural effusions 39 pg/ml. Similarly, statistical analysis showed no significant differences between both malignant groups (p = 0.6908), and group of MPE without malignant cells and benign effusions (p = 0.0903). However, between MPE with malignant cells and benign pleural effusions (p = 0.0286), and between both MPE taken together and benign effusions (p = 0.0279), differences were statistically significant. Results are presented in Figure 5(b).

3.3. Correlation between Frequency of Tregs and TGF- β 1 and *IL-10 Concentrations in Pleural Effusions*. Statistical analysis (Spearman correlation coefficient) showed no correlation between frequency of Tregs and concentration of TGF- β 1 in any group of pleural effusions separately (MPEs with malignant cells: p = 0.105; MPEs without malignant cells: p = 0.369) (Figure 6(a)).

Similarly, there was no significance in correlation between frequency of Tregs and concentration of IL-10 in any group of pleural effusions separately (MPEs with malignant cells: p = 0.227; MPEs without malignant cells: p = 0.193; nMPEs: p = 0.364). Among tested groups, only MPEs without malignant cells presented a positive correlation trend.

3.4. Relationship between Frequency of Tregs and/or TGF- β 1 Concentrations and Concomitant Immune Activation in Pleural Effusions. Statistical analysis (Spearman correlation coefficient) showed no correlation between frequency of Tregs and potential immune activation reflected by WBC, neutrophils, and monocytes counts, ESR, and CRP level, within tested groups of effusions. However, we found a statistically significant correlation between TGF- β 1 concentration versus monocytes count and TGF- β versus ESR in MPEs with malignant cells ($r_s = 0.69$ and p = 0.006; $r_s =$ 0.53 and p = 0.049, resp.), and in nMPEs ($r_s = 0.67$ and p =0.045; $r_s = 0.87$ and p = 0.0009, resp.).

Comparing factors reflecting immune activation between groups, we found statistically significant differences in monocytes count between MPEs with malignant cells and nMPEs (p = 0.0072), and in ESR between MPEs with malignant cells and MPEs without malignant cells, as well as between the latter group and nMPEs (p = 0.037 for both). Furthermore, we found statistically significant differences



FIGURE 4: Frequencies of CD4⁺CD25⁺CTLA-4⁺ cells in three cytologically determined groups of pleural effusions: malignant effusions with malignant cells (I), malignant effusions without malignant cells (II), and nonmalignant pleural effusions (III).

in WBC, neutrophils, and monocytes counts between both MPEs taken together (I + II) and nMPEs (III) (p = 0.022; p = 0.037; p = 0.0055, resp.).

3.5. Relative mRNA Expression of FOXP3, CD28, CTLA-4, and GITR Genes in $CD4^+CD25^+$ Cells from Pleural Effusions. The level of expression was evaluated in $CD4^{+-}$ $CD25^+$ cells in relation to $CD4^+CD25^-$ cells isolated from pleural effusions. We observed increased expression of all four genes in $CD4^+CD25^+$ cells in comparison to expression in $CD4^+CD25^-$ cells, which was taken as 1. The level of FOXP3 expression increased 21-fold (median 9-fold), CTLA-4 21-fold (median 8-fold), GITR 23-fold (median 8-fold), and CD28 8-fold (median 3-fold) (Figure 7).

The relative mRNA expression of all four genes in CD4⁺⁻ CD25⁺ cells in relation to CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells was compared between MPE with malignant cells and benign pleural effusions. For FOXP3 gene, average was 30 (median 15) in MPE with malignant cells and 9 (median 7) in benign pleural effusions; for CTLA-4 gene, 32 (median 19) and 7 (median 5), respectively; for CD28 gene, 12 (median 4) and 2 (median 1), respectively; and for GITR gene, 35 (median 9) and 7 (median 7), respectively. A statistically significant increase was observed in MPE with malignant cells in comparison to benign effusions for FOXP3 (p =0.047), CTLA-4 (p = 0.009), and CD28 (p = 0.017) genes. There was no increase observed for *GITR* gene (p = 0.43)as far as median values were concerned; however, average values were considerably different. Lack of statistically significant differences was caused by great dispersion of results (1-270 in MPEs with malignant cells and 0.5-16 in benign effusions) (Figure 8).

3.6. Correlations between Relative mRNA Expression of FOXP3, CD28, CTLA-4, and GITR Genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Cells Isolated from Pleural Effusions and TGF- β Concentration. Statistical analysis (Spearman correlation coefficient) showed no correlation between relative mRNA expression of FOXP3, CD28, CTLA-4, and GITR genes and concentration of TGF- β 1 in all pleural effusions (p = 0.13, p = 0.32, p = 0.50, and p = 0.88, resp.) (Figure 6(b)).



FIGURE 5: TGF- β 1 (a) and IL-10 (b) concentrations in three cytologically determined groups of pleural effusions: malignant effusions with malignant cells (I), malignant effusions without malignant cells (II), and nonmalignant pleural effusions (III).



FIGURE 6: Correlation between TGF- β 1 concentration and (a) frequency of Tregs in MPEs-malignant pleural effusions with malignant cells, MPEs-malignant pleural effusions without malignant cells, and nMPEs-nonmalignant pleural effusions and (b) relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CD28*, *CTLA-4*, and *GITR* genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells isolated from all pleural effusions.

3.7. Correlations between Relative Expression Levels of FOXP3, CD28, CTLA-4, and GITR Genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ Cells Isolated from Pleural Effusions. The correlation analysis included CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells from all collected pleural effusions. Results indicate that among all genes, only CD28 and GITR genes' relative expression levels did not correlate. The rest was statistically significant (Table 2, PEs column).

A subsequent correlation analysis included CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells from malignant pleural effusions with malignant cells. Similar to previous results, only *CD28* and *GITR* genes' relative expression levels did not correlate. The rest was statistically significant (Table 2, MPEs column).

The last correlation analysis included CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells from nonmalignant pleural effusions. We observed a statistically significant correlation between *FOXP3* and *CD28*, *FOXP3* and *CTLA-4*, and *CD28* and *CTLA-4* genes' expression levels, whereas *FOXP3* and *GITR*, *CD28* and *GITR*, and *CTLA-4* and *GITR* genes' relative expression levels did not correlate (Table 2, nMPEs column).

4. Discussion

Studies conducted on MPEs enable one to better understand the mechanisms governing human immune response in tumor microenvironment. Since the majority of cancers are characterized by increased frequency of Tregs, it is assumed that selective in vivo elimination of these cells would enhance antitumor response. Some attempts have already been made in studies with animal models, where addition of anti-CD25 monoclonal antibodies significantly increased antitumor response [19].

Increased Treg frequency in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) was first shown in ovarian and non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) by Woo et al. [20]. Many other authors



FIGURE 7: Relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CD28*, *GITR*, and *CTLA-4* genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells in relation to CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells from all pleural effusions.



FIGURE 8: Relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CTLA-4*, *CD28*, and *GITR* genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells in relation to CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells from malignant and benign pleural effusions.

TABLE 2: Correlations between analyzed genes in three groups of pleural effusions.

	PEs	MPEs	nMPEs
FOXP3/CD28	$r_s = 0.794452$	$r_s = 0.828070$	$r_s = 0.652747$
	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.05
FOXP3/GITR	$r_s = 0.386698$	$r_s = 494737$	$r_s = 0.116484$
	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p = 0.6916
FOXP3/CTLA-4	$r_s = 0.749332$	$r_s = 0.743860$	$r_s = 0.665934$
	p < 0.0001	p < 0.001	p < 0.05
CD28/GITR	$r_s = 0.233957$	$r_s = 0.412281$	$r_s = -0.468132$
	p = 0.19	p = 0.079	p = 0.0913
CD28/CTLA-4	$r_s = 0.892714$	$r_s = 0.868421$	$r_s = 0.617582$
	p < 0.0001	p < 0.0001	p < 0.05
GITR/CTLA-4	$r_s = 0.495655$	$r_s = 0.650877$	$r_s = 0.178022$
	p < 0.05	p < 0.05	p = 0.5425

Correlations between relative mRNA expression of *FOXP3*, *CD28*, *CTLA-4*, and *GITR* genes in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells isolated from: PEs = all pleural effusions; MPEs = malignant pleural effusions with malignant cells; and nMPEs = nonmalignant pleural effusions.

reported similar findings both in peripheral blood of cancer patients and in tumor microenvironment [21–24]. Interestingly, no differences in Treg frequencies among squamous cell carcinoma and adenocarcinoma were found, which suggested that the mechanism triggering Treg expansion is cancer histological type-independent [25]. On the other hand, Treg counts differ among cancer types. DeLong et al. found a significantly higher percentage of functional CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells in PEs caused by NSCLC and breast cancer, compared to mesothelioma [26].

Similarly, we found a statistically significant increase in CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ frequency in MPEs over the course of lung cancer, with the highest Treg percentage in MPEs with malignant cells. Furthermore, we observed higher percentage of Tregs within all lymphocytes, and CD4⁺ and CD4⁺CD25⁺ lymphocytes in MPEs with malignant cells, compared to benign effusions. This observation suggests that malignant cells play a substantial role in enhanced induction, proliferation, and/or migration of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ cells from the periphery to tumor microenvironment. Especially higher percentage of Tregs within activated Tef in MPEs with malignant cells than in benign effusions confirms this hypothesis. Moreover, our previous studies correlating Treg

frequency with patient survival showed that patients with lower percentage of Tregs lived longer did than those with higher Treg incidence; however, this finding was not statistically significant [18].

Among T cells present in MPE, Tregs comprise up to 30% [27]. It was shown that elevated Treg counts are caused by de novo proliferation instead of migration from secondary lymphoid organs [28]. Additionally, tumor microenvironment, being also inflammatory, contains substantial amount of TGF- β cytokine associated with ability to convert CD4⁺CD25⁻ into CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ cells. Importantly, induced Tregs (iTregs) presented full suppressive activity resembling nTregs that has arisen in the thymus, demonstrated by diminished proliferation and IFN- γ production by CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells [29].

Atanackovic et al. found a 5-fold higher TGF- β level in MPEs in patients suffering from breast, esophageal, and pancreatic cancer and sarcoma compared to nonmalignant PEs. Furthermore, a higher TGF- β concentration in tumor microenvironment was related to higher frequency of CD4⁺CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ cells, compared to peripheral blood of the same individual [30]. Previously, Sikora et al. analyzed malignant and benign PEs and showed significantly increased concentration of TGF- β in the first group [31].

Contrary to expectations, this study did not find significant differences between groups of malignant (with or without malignant cells) and benign PEs. Moreover, no correlations were observed between frequency of CD4⁺⁻ CD25⁺FoxP3⁺ and TGF- β concentration in particular groups. It remained in accordance with observation made by other groups [32, 33]. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that the level of active TGF- β in vivo correlates with Treg incidence. This inconsistency may be due to the fact that according to the ELISA procedure, latent TGF- β (LAP and LTBP complexes) is activated, and as a result, we obtain total TGF- β concentrations, including primarily inactive, which does not take part in cell conversion in vivo. Moreover, apart from free form, Tregs can present membrane-bound TGF- β , which can effectively mediate a subsequent Treg conversion. Finally, in some types of cancer, like small cell lung cancer (SCLC), tumor cells do not produce TGF- β , which was shown by the lack of mRNA in these cells [34]. In this case, high and comparable levels of TGF- β can be caused by macrophages, abundantly present in all types of effusions [35].

Our present study supported indirectly this theory, since we correlated increase of TGF- β concentration and precursors of macrophages-blood monocytes in MPEs with malignant cells and nMPEs. Moreover, ESR, known as a marker of immune activation, also correlated with antiinflammatory TGF- β . We assume this phenomenon can be explained by the starting phase of negative feedback loop, when advanced inflammation increases concentration of TGF- β , essential for following immune reaction attenuation. It clearly indicates that inflammation present in the microenvironment of PEs even has a systemic range.

The above theory can be also implied to clarify our observation of surprisingly a higher IL-10 level in nMPEs. Previous findings showed significantly higher concentration

of IL-10 in MPEs, compared to nMPEs [36], as well as no differences between groups [37-39]. A comparable, or even higher, level of IL-10 in nMPEs can be also caused by the fact that pathogens, including Mycobacterium tuberculosis, supposedly present in our benign effusions, selectively upregulate IL-10 production. This mechanism, exploiting immunosuppressive properties of IL-10, creates a more advantageous environment for pathogens' survival. The mentioned Mycobacterium tuberculosis was found to regulate TLR4-mediated LPS signaling, resulting in downregulation of TNF α expression but profuse IL-10 production [40]. Alternative mechanism included modulation of TLR2 signaling, enhancement of IL-10-producing abilities of myeloid cells (i.e., abundant in nMPEs macrophages), and the induction of IL-10-secreting Tregs, thus impairing antimicrobial control [41]. Importantly, in the group of malignant PEs, with lower IL-10 level, we found a trend towards correlation between Treg percentage and IL-10 concentration, which is in agreement with general knowledge and proves that activated Tregs produce IL-10.

Although factors triggering and governing inflammation in cancerous and noncancerous diseases are considerably different, substantial immune activation appears in both conditions [42]. We observed that blood parameters, especially monocytes, and ESR significantly differed between PEs groups. Higher values of all parameters in malignant groups (separately and taken together) compared to the nonmalignant group showed that higher Treg frequency was convergent with concomitant immune activation. Increased values, reflecting chronic inflammation in cancer, seem to favor Treg accumulation in PE, possibly via previously mentioned TGF- β abundance.

Since all our pleural effusions contained TGF- β , we can assume that a great part of Tregs was induced (iTregs). It was shown that the Treg activation mechanism triggered by TGF- β includes epigenetics, that is, demethylation of CpG islands in the first intron of *FOXP3* gene, and participation of TGF- β -activated transcription factor Smad3 in *FOXP3* gene expression, supporting the idea of TGF- β -dependent *FOXP3* expression in iTregs [43].

In this study, FOXP3 expression was significantly upregulated in CD4⁺CD25⁺ group compared to CD4⁺CD25⁻, which lets us assume that CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells were predominantly Tregs [44]. Moreover, CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells isolated from MPEs expressed FOXP3 substantially stronger than did those from benign effusions, which was confirmed by protein level measured by flow cytometry. It can imply that both higher expression of FoxP3 protein and stronger inhibitory activity of these cells in tumor microenvironment, since upregulated expression of FoxP3, correlated with decreased level of proinflammatory cytokines, like tumor necrosis factor (TNF), IL-2, or granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and on the other hand with increased expression of immunosuppressive cytokines, like IL-10 or TGF- β [45]. Nevertheless, a higher FOXP3 level can also be an effect of higher frequency of FoxP3⁺ cells in CD4⁺CD25⁺ subpopulation in MPEs, which we determined previously. Additionally, some reports suggest that Tregs can lose FoxP3 expression triggered by proinflammatory cytokines, that is, IL-6 [46]. Since nonmalignant PEs were mainly inflammatory, it can explain decreased expression of *FOXP3* gene in this group.

Correlation between upregulated *FOXP3* expression, cancer stage, shorten progression-free survival, and poor prognosis was observed in breast [47], ovarian [48], and stomach cancers [49]. In addition, analysis of Treg frequency and *FOXP3* mRNA level in patients with esophageal cancer showed a decrease of both factors after chemotherapy, suggesting that FoxP3 inhibition can be an effective strategy in cancer therapy [50].

Although CTLA-4 expression is restricted only to activated Tef, it is constitutively expressed in Tregs. As the result of this study, we found significantly higher CTLA-4 expression in $CD4^+CD25^+$ cells than in $CD4^+CD25^-$ cells, which correlated with *FOXP3* increased expression. Similarly, Zheng et al. found the same relation between both proteins [51], who suggested that FoxP3 together with other transcription factors, that is, NFAT, can regulate transcription of CTLA-4 gene; however, cells transfected with CTLA-4 not always presented FoxP3 expression [52]. Thus, the role of FoxP3 in CTLA-4 expression is still controversial, especially that the latest data indicate that on the contrary, CTLA-4 may be responsible for FoxP3⁺ Treg occurrence [53].

Furthermore, we observed considerably higher CTLA-4 expression in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells derived from MPEs than in benign effusions. So far, there is no information about this phenomenon in lung cancer; however, there exist some data from breast and colon cancer, which are consistent with ours. Jaberipour et al. found an increased level of both FOXP3 and CTLA-4 gene transcript and correlation between them in PBMC of breast cancer patients compared to a control group of healthy women. The high level of transcripts in the early stages of the disease implies that Tregs play a key role in cancer expansion from the beginning [54]. CTLA-4 expression was associated also with breast cancer stage [55] and was confirmed by protein expression in cervical cancer [56]. Unfortunately, we were unable to show differences in CTLA-4 protein level measured by flow cytometry. Conversely, Lee et al. observed decreased CTLA-4 expression in patients suffering from colon cancer in all stages of the disease in comparison to that in the control group [57].

Unlike CTLA-4, CD28 expression in Tef is not restricted to activated cells. Thus, common expression of CD28 in both effector and regulatory T cells can explain smaller differences in transcript level between $CD4^+CD25^+$ and $CD4^+CD25^$ cells observed in our study. Nevertheless, differences were significant and could be caused by the presence of Tregs from MPEs, with functions enhanced by higher CD28 expression. Moreover, there was a clear relation between *CD28* and *CTLA-4* gene increase, indicating that in Tregs from PEs, the level of activation is associated with improved suppression ability.

Studies including whole population of peripheral or tumor-infiltrating T cells showed that in NSCLC [58] and breast [59], cervical [56], and colon cancers [57], CD28 was downregulated while apoptotic receptor CD95 was upregulated. All these indicate that CD28 along with CD95 plays an essential role in lung cancer progression; however, decrease in CD28 expression was possibly the result of activation loss among Tef (especially $CD3^+$ and $CD8^+$), not Tregs, which especially in elderly people can lead to impaired immune response and tumor spread. We found a very substantial difference in *CD28* expression between $CD4^+CD25^+$ cells isolated from malignant versus benign PEs. Although our results of higher *CD28* expression in cancerous compared to benign group of effusions differ from those mentioned above, a possible explanation for this might be the higher Treg activation in tumor microenvironment. In contrast to the malignant group, in the benign group, the same correlation was weaker, which may be explained by Tregs' lower activation or lower frequency among $CD4^+CD25^+$ cells.

Interestingly, Lee et al. observed a significantly higher *CD28* mRNA level compared to *CTLA-4* in patients with lymph node metastasis versus metastasis-free group in colon cancer, which, along with immunohistochemical analysis, might be a promising diagnostic tool for determining cancer progression [57].

It is known that GITR is present on Treg surface as well as to some extent on naive T cells, where it is upregulated after activation [60]. Our studies support this theory, since *GITR* mRNA level was much higher in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells compared to CD4⁺CD25⁻ cells. Furthermore, we found a strong correlation between increase of *GITR*, *FOXP3*, and *CTLA-4* transcripts, pointing to the considerable Treg contribution in the CD4⁺CD25⁺ subset. Similar to *CTLA-4*, the presence of *GITR* in CD4⁺CD25⁻ subset can be related to its activation state.

We observed higher *GITR* expression in CD4⁺CD25⁺ cells recruited from MPEs compared to benign; however, the difference was not significant. Additionally, in the malignant group, correlations between *GITR*, *FOXP3*, and *CTLA-4* transcripts were parallel to those in total CD4⁺CD25⁺ subpopulation, suggesting substantial share of cells with FoxP3, CTLA-4, and GITR coexpression in cells from the malignant cohort.

Baltz et al. noticed that GITR-L is present on many cancer cell lines, including lung cancer. Thus, Tregs and malignant cells coincidence in tumor microenvironment would favor the Treg-suppressive activity. Moreover, GITR-L presence in cancer cells was associated with strengthened TGF- β production, also supporting Treg expansion [61].

5. Conclusions

We observed enhanced suppressive activity of Tregs in the microenvironment of MPEs. Understanding the relations between cellular and cytokine immunosuppressive factors provides new insight into mechanisms of Treg activation in tumor microenvironment and their role in anticancer response. A key to successful anticancer immunotherapy is selective abrogation of tumor immunotolerance, while maintaining tolerance for host antigens. Thus, not only Treg elimination but also blocking/activation of their receptors, and immunosuppressive cytokine deprivation, can be implemented in a variety of therapies.

Ethical Approval

All procedures followed were in accord with the ethical standards and approved by the local committee of ethics at the Poznan University of Medical Sciences.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors have no conflict of interest to report in relation to this article.

Authors' Contributions

Joanna Budna and Mariusz Kaczmarek contributed equally to this work.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Science Centre (grant number N N402 428839).

References

- T. Ebata, Y. Okuma, Y. Nakahara et al., "Retrospective analysis of unknown primary cancers with malignant pleural effusion at initial diagnosis," *Thoracic Cancer*, vol. 7, no. 1, pp. 39–43, 2016.
- [2] S. Ferrone and T. L. Whiteside, "Tumor microenvironment and immune escape," *Surgical Oncology Clinics*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 755–774, 2007.
- [3] T. Whiteside, "Immune suppression in cancer: effects on immune cells, mechanisms and future therapeutic intervention," *Seminars in Cancer Biology*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 3–15, 2006.
- [4] P. Li, C. Liu, Z. Yu, and M. Wu, "New insights into regulatory T cells: exosome- and non-coding RNA-mediated regulation of homeostasis and resident Treg cells," *Frontiers in Immunol*ogy, vol. 7, p. 574, 2016.
- [5] D. J. Campbell and S. F. Ziegler, "FoxP3 modifies the phenotypic and functional properties of regulatory T cells," *Nature Reviews Immunology*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 305–310, 2007.
- [6] Y. Y. Wan and R. A. Flavell, "TGF-β and regulatory T cell in immunity and autoimmunity," *Journal of Clinical Immunol*ogy, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 647–659, 2008.
- [7] J. Wang, A. Ioan-Facsinay, E. I. van der Voort, T. W. Huizinga, and R. E. Toes, "Transient expression of FoxP3 in human activated nonregulatory CD4⁺ T cells," *European Journal of Immunology*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 129–138, 2007.
- [8] Y. Carrier, J. Yuan, V. K. Kuchroo, and H. L. Weiner, "Th3 cells in peripheral tolerance. I. Induction of FoxP3-positive regulatory T cells by Th3 cells derived from TGF-β T cell-transgenic mice," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 178, no. 1, pp. 179–185, 2007.
- [9] V. Karanikas, M. Speletas, M. Zamanakou et al., "FoxP3 expression in human cancer cells," *Journal of Translational Medicine*, vol. 6, no. 1, p. 19, 2008.
- [10] S. Gregori, R. Bacchetta, L. Passerini, M. K. Levings, and M. G. Roncarolo, "Isolation, expansion, and characterization of human natural and adaptive regulatory T cells," *Methods in Molecular Biology*, vol. 380, pp. 83–105, 2007.

- [11] A. L. Smith, T. P. Robin, and H. L. Ford, "Molecular pathways: targeting the TGF- β pathway for cancer therapy," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 18, no. 17, pp. 4514–4521, 2012.
- [12] Hamidullah, B. Changkija, and R. Konwar, "Role of interleukin-10 in breast cancer," *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 11–21, 2012.
- [13] X. Chen, Y. Du, X. Lin, Y. Qian, T. Zhou, and Z. Huang, "CD4 +CD25+ regulatory T cells in tumor immunity," *International Immunopharmacology*, vol. 34, pp. 244–249, 2016.
- [14] Y. Takeuchi and H. Nishikawa, "Roles of regulatory T cells in cancer immunity," *International Immunology*, vol. 28, no. 8, pp. 401–409, 2016.
- [15] L. Strauss, C. Bergmann, and T. L. Whiteside, "Functional and phenotypic characteristics of CD4⁺CD25^{high}Foxp3⁺ Treg clones obtained from peripheral blood of patients with cancer," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 121, no. 11, pp. 2473–2483, 2007.
- [16] E. M. Shevach, T. S. Davidson, E. N. Huter, R. A. Dipaolo, and J. Andersson, "Role of TGF-β in the induction of Foxp3 expression and T regulatory cell function," *Journal of Clinical Immunology*, vol. 28, no. 6, pp. 640–646, 2008.
- [17] D. Cheng, Y. C. Lee, J. T. Rogers et al., "Vascular endothelial growth factor level correlates with transforming growth factor- β isoform levels in pleural effusions," *Chest*, vol. 118, no. 6, pp. 1747–1753, 2000.
- [18] J. Budna, Ł. Spychalski, M. Kaczmarek et al., "Regulatory T cells in malignant pleural effusions subsequent to lung carcinoma and their impact on the course of the disease," *Immunobiology*, vol. 222, no. 3, pp. 499–505, 2017.
- [19] C. Pasare and R. Medzhitov, "Toll pathway-dependent blockade of CD4⁺CD25⁺ T cell-mediated suppression by dendritic cells," *Science*, vol. 299, no. 5609, pp. 1033–1036, 2003.
- [20] E. Y. Woo, H. Yeh, C. S. Chu et al., "Cutting edge: regulatory T cells from lung cancer patients directly inhibit autologous T cell proliferation," *Journal of Immunology*, vol. 168, no. 9, pp. 4272–4276, 2002.
- [21] H. Kawaida, K. Kono, A. Takahashi et al., "Distribution of CD4(+)CD25^{high} regulatory T-cells in tumor-draining lymph nodes in patients with gastric cancer," *The Journal of Surgical Research*, vol. 124, no. 1, pp. 151–157, 2005.
- [22] M. Viguier, F. Lemaitre, O. Verola et al., "FoxP3 expressing CD4⁺CD25^{high} regulatory T cells are overrepresented in human metastatic melanoma lymph nodes and inhibit the function of infiltrating T cells," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 173, no. 2, pp. 1444–1453, 2004.
- [23] A. M. Miller, K. Lundberg, V. Ozenci et al., "CD4⁺CD25^{high} T cells are enriched in the tumor and peripheral blood of prostate cancer patients," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 177, no. 10, pp. 7398–7405, 2006.
- [24] C. Schaefer, G. G. Kim, A. Albers, K. Hoermann, E. N. Myers, and T. L. Whiteside, "Characteristics of CD4+CD25+ regulatory T cells in the peripheral circulation of patients with head and neck cancer," *British Journal of Cancer*, vol. 92, no. 5, pp. 913–920, 2005.
- [25] C. Mao, S. Wang, Q. Jiang et al., "Increased CD4⁺CD25⁺⁻ FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cells in cancer patients from conversion of CD4⁺CD25⁻⁻ T cells through tumor-derived factors," *Onkologie*, vol. 31, no. 5, pp. 243–248, 2008.
- [26] P. DeLong, R. G. Carroll, A. C. Henry et al., "Regulatory T cells and cytokines in malignant pleural effusions secondary to

mesothelioma and carcinoma," *Cancer Biology & Therapy*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 342–346, 2005.

- [27] A. M. Wolf, D. Wolf, M. Steurer, G. Gastl, E. Gunsilius, and B. Grubeck-Loebenstein, "Increase of regulatory T cells in the peripheral blood of cancer patients," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 9, no. 2, pp. 606–612, 2003.
- [28] D. Wolf, H. Rumpold, C. Koppelstatter et al., "Telomere length of in vivo expanded CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T-cells is preserved in cancer patients," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 55, no. 10, pp. 1198–1208, 2006.
- [29] H. B. Park, D. J. Paik, E. Jang, S. Hong, and J. Youn, "Acquisition of anergic and suppressive activities in transforming growth factor-β-costimulated CD4⁺CD25⁻ T cells," *International Immunology*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1203–1213, 2004.
- [30] D. Atanackovic, Y. Cao, J. W. Kim et al., "The local cytokine and chemokine milieu within malignant effusions," *Tumour Biology*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 93–104, 2008.
- [31] J. J. Sikora, G. T. Dworacki, M. T. Kaczmarek, R. E. Jenek, and J. O. Zeromski, "Immunosuppressive mechanisms in the microenvironment of malignant pleural effusions," *Cancer Detection and Prevention*, vol. 28, no. 5, pp. 325–330, 2004.
- [32] B. B. Ceyhan, E. Demiralp, Z. L. Karakurt, S. Karakurt, and M. Sungur, "Transforming growth factor beta-1 level in pleural effusion," *Respirology*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 321–325, 2003.
- [33] B. C. Duysinx, J. L. Corhay, L. Hubin, D. Nguyen, M. Henket, and R. Louis, "Diagnostic value of interleukine-6, transforming growth factor-beta 1 and vascular endothelial growth factor in malignant pleural effusions," *Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 102, no. 12, pp. 1708–1714, 2008.
- [34] J. Maeda, N. Ueki, T. Ohkawa et al., "Local production and localization of transforming growth factor-beta in tuberculous pleurisy," *Clinical & Experimental Immunology*, vol. 92, no. 1, pp. 32–38, 1993.
- [35] L. Li, L. Yang, L. Wang et al., "Impaired T cell function in malignant pleural effusions is caused by TGF-β derived predominantly from macrophages," *International Journal of Cancer*, vol. 139, no. 10, pp. 2261–2269, 2016.
- [36] G. Yang, H. Li, Y. Yao, F. Xu, Z. Bao, and J. Zhou, "Treg/Th17 imbalance in malignant pleural effusion partially predicts poor prognosis," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 478–484, 2015.
- [37] C. S. Yang, J. S. Lee, H. M. Lee et al., "Differential cytokine levels and immunoreactivities against *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* antigens between tuberculous and malignant effusions," *Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 102, no. 2, pp. 280–286, 2008.
- [38] M. Kaczmarek, A. Nowicka, M. Kozłowska, J. Zurawski, H. Batura-Gabryel, and J. Sikora, "Evaluation of the phenotype pattern of macrophages isolated from malignant and nonmalignant pleural effusions," *Tumour Biology*, vol. 32, no. 6, pp. 1123–1132, 2011.
- [39] D. W. Wu, W. A. Chang, K. T. Liu, M. C. Yen, and P. L. Kuo, "Vascular endothelial growth factor and protein level in pleural effusion for differentiating malignant from benign pleural effusion," *Oncology Letters*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 3657–3662, 2017.
- [40] C. Othieno, C. S. Hirsch, B. D. Hamilton, K. Wilkinson, J. J. Ellner, and Z. Toossi, "Interaction of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*-induced transforming growth factor β1 and interleukin-10," *Infection and Immunity*, vol. 67, no. 11, pp. 5730– 5735, 1999.
- [41] S. Jang, S. Uematsu, S. Akira, and P. Salgame, "IL-6 and IL-10 induction from dendritic cells in response to *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* is predominantly dependent on TLR2-mediated

recognition," The Journal of Immunology, vol. 173, no. 5, pp. 3392–3397, 2004.

- [42] Y. Meirow and M. Baniyash, "Immune biomarkers for chronic inflammation related complications in non-cancerous and cancerous diseases," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 66, no. 8, pp. 1089–1101, 2017.
- [43] L. Xu, A. Kitani, and W. Strober, "Molecular mechanisms regulating TGF-β-induced FoxP3 expression," *Mucosal Immunology*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 230–238, 2010.
- [44] J. D. Fontenot, M. A. Gavin, and A. Y. Rudensky, "FoxP3 programs the development and function of CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory T cells," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 330–336, 2003.
- [45] N. Ohkura, M. Hamaguchi, and S. Sakaguchi, "FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cells: control of FoxP3 expression by pharmacological agents," *Trends in Pharmacological Sciences*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 158–166, 2011.
- [46] X. O. Yang, R. Nurieva, G. J. Martinez et al., "Molecular antagonism and plasticity of regulatory and inflammatory T cell programs," *Immunity*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 44–56, 2008.
- [47] K. Matsuura, Y. Yamaguchi, A. Osaki et al., "FoxP3 expression of micrometastasis-positive sentinel nodes in breast cancer patients," *Oncology Reports*, vol. 22, no. 5, pp. 1181–1187, 2009.
- [48] D. Wolf, A. M. Wolf, H. Rumpold et al., "The expression of the regulatory T cell-specific forkhead box transcription factor FoxP3 is associated with poor prognosis in ovarian cancer," *Clinical Cancer Research*, vol. 11, no. 23, pp. 8326–8331, 2005.
- [49] X. L. Yuan, L. Chen, M. X. Li et al., "Elevated expression of FoxP3 in tumor-infiltrating Treg cells suppresses T-cell proliferation and contributes to gastric cancer progression in a COX-2-dependent manner," *Clinical Immunology*, vol. 134, no. 3, pp. 277–288, 2010.
- [50] T. Xu, Q. Duan, G. Wang, and B. Hu, "CD4+CD25^{high} regulatory T cell numbers and FoxP3 mRNA expression in patients with advanced esophageal cancer before and after chemotherapy," *Cell Biochemistry and Biophysics*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 389– 392, 2011.
- [51] S. G. Zheng, J. H. Wang, W. Stohl, K. S. Kim, J. D. Gray, and D. A. Horwitz, "TGF-β requires CTLA-4 early after T cell activation to induce FoxP3 and generate adaptive CD4⁺CD25⁺ regulatory cells," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 176, no. 6, pp. 3321–3329, 2006.
- [52] Y. Wu, M. Borde, V. Heissmeyer et al., "FoxP3 controls regulatory T cell function through cooperation with NFAT," *Cell*, vol. 126, no. 2, pp. 375–387, 2006.
- [53] J. Karman, J. L. Jiang, N. Gumlaw et al., "Ligation of cytotoxic T lymphocyte antigen-4 to T cell receptor inhibits T cell activation and directs differentiation into FoxP3⁺ regulatory T cells," *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, vol. 287, no. 14, pp. 11098–11107, 2012.
- [54] M. Jaberipour, M. Habibagahi, A. Hosseini, S. R. Habibabad, A. Talei, and A. Ghaderi, "Increased CTLA-4 and FoxP3 transcripts in peripheral blood mononuclear cells of patients with breast cancer," *Pathology & Oncology Research*, vol. 16, no. 4, pp. 547–551, 2010.
- [55] M. Ohara, Y. Yamaguchi, K. Matsuura, S. Murakami, K. Arihiro, and M. Okada, "Possible involvement of regulatory T cells in tumor onset and progression in primary breast cancer," *Cancer Immunology, Immunotherapy*, vol. 58, no. 3, pp. 441–447, 2009.

- [56] A. Kosmaczewska, D. Bocko, L. Ciszak et al., "Dysregulated expression of both the costimulatory CD28 and inhibitory CTLA-4 molecules in PB T cells of advanced cervical cancer patients suggests systemic immunosuppression related to disease progression," *Pathology & Oncology Research*, vol. 18, no. 2, pp. 479–489, 2012.
- [57] H. Lee, J. H. Kim, S. Y. Yang et al., "Peripheral blood gene expression of B7 and CD28 family members associated with tumor progression and microscopic lymphovascular invasion in colon cancer patients," *Journal of Cancer Research and Clinical Oncology*, vol. 136, no. 9, pp. 1445–1452, 2010.
- [58] L. Wang, X. D. Pan, Y. Xie et al., "Altered CD28 and CD95 mRNA expression in peripheral blood mononuclear cells from elderly patients with primary non-small cell lung cancer," *Chinese Medical Journal*, vol. 123, no. 1, pp. 51–56, 2010.
- [59] I. V. Gruber, S. El Yousfi, S. Durr-Storzer, D. Wallwiener, E. F. Solomayer, and T. Fehm, "Down-regulation of CD28, TCR-zeta (ζ) and up-regulation of FAS in peripheral cytotoxic T-cells of primary breast cancer patients," *Anticancer Research*, vol. 28, no. 2A, pp. 779–784, 2008.
- [60] J. Shimizu, S. Yamazaki, T. Takahashi, Y. Ishida, and S. Sakaguchi, "Stimulation of CD25⁺CD4⁺ regulatory T cells through GITR breaks immunological self-tolerance," *Nature Immunology*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 135–142, 2002.
- [61] K. M. Baltz, M. Krusch, A. Bringmann et al., "Cancer immunoediting by GITR (glucocorticoid-induced TNF-related protein) ligand in humans: NK cell/tumor cell interactions," *The FASEB Journal*, vol. 21, no. 10, pp. 2442–2454, 2007.