RESEARCH



Open Access

Detection of local recurrent prostate cancer after radical prostatectomy in terms of salvage radiotherapy using dynamic contrast enhanced-MRI without endorectal coil

Hans Christian Rischke^{1*}, Arnd O Schäfer², Ursula Nestle¹, Natalja Volegova-Neher¹, Karl Henne¹, Matthias R Benz³, Wolfgang Schultze-Seemann⁴, Mathias Langer² and Anca L Grosu¹

Abstract

Purpose: To evaluate the value of dynamic contrast enhanced Magnetic Resonance Imaging (DCE-MRI) without endorectal coil (EC) in the detection of local recurrent prostate cancer (PC) after radical prostatectomy (RP).

Material and methods: Thirty-three patients with recurrent PC underwent DCE-MRI without EC before salvage radiotherapy (RT). At median 15 (mean 16±4.9, range 12–27) months after completion of RT all patients showed complete biochemical response. Additional follow up post RT DCE-MRI scans were available. Prostate specific antigen (PSA) levels at the time of imaging were correlated to the imaging findings.

Results: In 22/33 patients (67%) early contrast enhancing nodules were detected in the post-prostatectomy fossa on pre-RT DCE-MRI images. The average pre-RT PSA level of the 22 patients with positive pre-RT DCE-MRI findings was significantly higher (mean, 0.74 ± 0.64 ng/mL) compared to the pre-RT PSA level of the 11 patients with negative pre-RT DCE-MRI (mean, 0.24 ± 0.13 ng/mL) (p<0.001). All post-RT DCE-MRI images showed complete resolution of initial suspicious lesions. A pre-RT PSA cut-off value of ≥ 0.54 ng/mI readily predicted a positive DCE-MRI finding.

Conclusions: This is the first study that shows that DCE-MRI without EC can detect local recurrent PC with an estimated accuracy of 83% at low PSA levels. All false negative DCE-MRI scans were detected using a PSA cut-off of ≥0.54 ng/mL.

Keywords: Prostate cancer, PSA recurrence, Salvage radiotherapy, Dynamic contrast enhanced MRI, Gross tumor volume

Background

Salvage radiotherapy (RT) of the prostate fossa is considered the standard therapeutic intervention that offers a potential of cure for patients with post-radical prostatectomy (RP) local recurrence [1]. Serum prostate specific antigen (PSA) kinetic is the most accurate and early index for detecting prostate cancer (PC) recurrence after RP [2,3]. Dependent on initial tumor stage, PSA level,

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Freiburg, Robert Koch Str. 3, Freiburg 79106, Germany

Gleason-score and resection status, 10 to 53% of patients develop a biochemical relapse following RP [4].

Local PC recurrence can most accurately be detected on Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) [5,6]. The sensitivity and specificity of this imaging test was further improved by the introduction of dynamic contrast enhanced (DCE)-MRI with endorectal coil (EC) [7,8]. DCE-MRI visualizes tumor neo-vascularisation, which is typically found in PC, showing rapid enhancement in the first 90 seconds after intravenous administration of gadolinium contrast agent [9-11].

Dose escalation in salvage radiation therapy has been shown to improve PSA relapse free rates [12-14].



© 2012 Rischke et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

^{*} Correspondence: hans.christian.rischke@uniklinik-freiburg.de

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

However, higher radiation dose delivery to the prostate fossa, the target volume in clinical practice, increases the risk of acute and chronic side effects to adjacent organs at risk, predominantly rectal wall, bladder, and bowel loops located in the pelvis [15].

A recently published regression meta-analysis of twenty five published reports about the outcome of salvage RT comprised a total of 3828 patients [16]. In this study Ohri et al. identified increased salvage RT dose and decreased pre-salvage RT PSA level as independent predictors of improved 5-year biochemical free survival. Salvage RT dose was also identified as an independent predictor of both late gastrointestinal and late genitourinary toxicity. They generated tumor control probabilities (TCP) and normal tissue complication probabilities (NTCP) models that can be used to predict rates of disease control and severe late toxicity. For example with a pre-salvage RT PSA-level of 0.4 ng/mL an approximately 50% chance of 5-year biochemical free survival can be achieved with a salvage RT dose of 60 Gy. Severe late toxicity rates with this dose are on the order of 1%. If the PSA level before salvage RT is 1.0 ng/mL, than dose of approximately 70 Gy may be required to achieve the same probability of disease control, but severe late toxicity rates at that dose level may reach 10%, if those patients will be treated with conventional RT-technique. The model by Ohri et al. demonstrated that the gain from dose escalation is greater when PSA is high (that means PSA of 1.5 ng/mL).

MRI with endorectal coil is superior over computer tomography (CT) in detecting local recurrent PC. However, MRI with endorectal coil causes a distortion of local anatomy and therefore does not allow image fusion with RT-planning CT to define a gross tumor volume (GTV) for targeted dose escalation.

An Imaging modality to identify and correctly localize local PC recurrence without induced distortion of the local anatomy in the small pelvis is therefore strongly desirable and may offer the opportunity to reduce acute and late toxicities.

Therefore, the aims of this retrospective study were threefold. First, we determined the accuracy of DCE-MRI without endorectal coil in the detection of local recurrent PC at low PSA levels. Secondly, we assessed the predictability of a false negative DCE-MRI without EC. Thirdly, we correlated enhancing tumor nodule size to pre-RT PSA-level.

Patients and methods

Inclusion criteria

The database of the University Hospital of Freiburg was retrospectively analysed for post-RP patients that were treated by salvage RT of the whole fossa prostatica for local recurrent PC between January 2007 and January 2010. Of these patients, only those who showed complete biochemical response ≥ 12 months after completion of salvage RT, defined by a PSA below detection level, and those who underwent a DCE-MRI without EC of the pelvis before the initiation of salvage RT were included in this study. Additionally metastatic disease was ruled out using Choline-PET or Choline-PET/CT imaging in all patients. Patients who underwent antiandrogen therapy before or after salvage RT were excluded from this analysis. Follow up DCE-MRI without EC had to be performed ≥ 12 months after completion of salvage RT and was used as internal reference to specify whether contrast enhancing tumor lesions in the prostate fossa show complete response in accordance to complete biochemical response.

For this retrospective study, the University of Freiburg Institutional Review Board waived the consent requirements.

DCE-MRI technique

DCE-MRI scans were acquired on 1.5-Tesla systems (Magnetom Avanto, Espree or Symphony, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany), each equipped with surface phased array (Body Matrix, Siemens Medical Solutions). MRI acquisition parameters differed between scanners. Imaging of the post-prostatectomy fossa was performed by acquiring turbo spin echo (TSE) T2weighted sequences in the axial, sagittal and coronal planes (repetition time [TR], 9200–9500 ms; echo time [TE], 119–128 ms; flip angle 150–160; field of view 150– 300 mm; thickness 3 mm; section gap 0; matrix, 192 x 192 to 512 x 512).

An axial turbo spin echo (TSE) T1-weighted series of the whole pelvis was then obtained with the following parameters: repetition time [TR], 798 ms; echo time [TE], 9,8 ms; flip angle 150; field of view 380 mm; thickness 3 mm; section gap 0; matrix, 384 x 288. The last series performed was a 3D, fast low-angle shot (FLASH), T1-weighted spoiled gradient-echo sequence in axial plane (TR, 4.3 - 5.41 ms; TE, 1.82-2.39 ms; flip angle 10-15, field of view 380 mm, thickness 2 mm; section gap 0; matrix, 384 x 264 - 384 x 288) to perform 4-10 measurements in rapid succession, immediately following completion of an intravenous bolus injection of 0.15 ml/kg gadopentetate dimeglumine (Multihance, Bracco) using a power injector (Medtron) at 2 ml/s followed by a 30 ml saline flush. Therefore time resolution varied between 20 and 40 seconds.

Image analysis

A board certified radiologist with 8 years of experience in urogenital MRI imaging (HCR) who was blinded to clinical and biochemical information analysed all preand post-RT DCE-MRI scans. The lesion location was recorded and the perpendicular diameter was calculated as follows: $4/6\pi$ xyz, while x, y and z are perpendicular diameters.

Pre- and post-RT DCE-MRI images of the prostatic bed were analysed according to following classifications:

True positive DCE-MRI: Suspicious early contrast enhancing lesion (visual determined peak enhancement within 90 seconds post injection) in the postprostatectomy fossa on pre-RT DCE-MRI scan and elevated PSA level (≥0.08 ng/mL).

False positive DCE-MRI: Suspicious early contrast enhancing lesion (visual peak enhancement within 90 seconds post injection) in the post-prostatectomy fossa on pre-RT DCE-MRI scan and elevated PSA level (≥0.08 ng/mL), that shows no significant morphologic changes on post-RT DCE-MRI while PSA remission is detected.

False negative DCE-MRI: Elevated PSA level (≥0.08 ng/mL) but absence of a suspicious contrast enhancing lesion in the post-prostatectomy fossa on pre RT DCE MRI scan.

True negative DCE-MRI: Absence of a suspicious contrast enhancing lesion in the post-prostatectomy fossa on DCE-MRI scan, and PSA below detection level. Because all 33 patients showed a complete biochemical response, all post RT-DCE-MRI scans were used as an internal negative control in this setting. This is based on the fact that radiotherapy is a highly effective treatment and on the consideration that RT has the potential to entirely degrade tumor nodules including their neo-vascularization leading to nonenhancing post-RT-fibrosis similar to non-enhancing post-surgical fibrosis in the post prostatectomy fossa [8,17].

Treatment characteristics

All patients received salvage RT of the whole fossa prostatica with 63.0 to 70.2 Gy (mean 68.1, median 68.2 Gy), 5×1.8 Gy/week. Dose escalation to 70.2 Gy was performed, when both diagnostic methods, DCE-MRI as well as Choline-PET detected a finding suspicious of local recurrence in the fossa prostatica.

Statistical analysis

Quantitative data are presented as median, mean \pm SD and range. The Mann–Whitney test was used for unpaired comparisons between quantitative parameters. The Spearman rank test was used for correlations between PSA levels and tumor volume/diameters. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS[®] software for Windows[®] (version 14.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA) and JMP[®] software for Windows[®] (release 5.0.1.2).

Results

Patient characteristics

Between January 2007 and January 2010, 54 patients underwent post-RP salvage RT for local recurrent prostate cancer in our institution. Thirty-three of the 54 consecutive patients (61%) met the above listed inclusion/ exclusion criteria.

The time interval between RP and PSA recurrence averaged 46 ± 41.6 months (median, 35 months: range, 4-204 months). Salvage RT was initiated 2.7 ± 2.2 weeks (median, 2 weeks; range, 1-12 weeks) after the pre-RT DCE-MRI. The post-RT DCE-MRI was performed at median 15 months (mean 16 ± 4.9 , range, 12-27 months) following RT.

PSA levels

The PSA level averaged 0.57 ± 0.58 ng/ml (median, 0.34 ng/mL; range, 0.08-2.38 ng/mL) at the time of local recurrence. All patients exhibited a complete biochemical response following RT, PSA was then below detection level (detection cut off level varied between different laboratory tests, mean, 0.02 ± 0.03 ng/mL; median, 0.00 ng/mL; range 0.00 - 0.07 ng/mL) (Table 1).

DCE-MRI findings

In 22 of the 33 patients (67%) a total of 24 suspicious early contrast enhancing nodules were detected, located in the post-prostatectomy fossa on pre-RT DCE-MRI images. The lesion's characteristics are summarized in Table 2. Lesions exhibited a complete morphologic response along with a complete biochemical response post-RT, and were therefore classified as true positive.

All but two lesions were located between the 1 and 10 o'clock position in relation to the vesicourethral anastomosis, most frequently around the posterior and lateral aspects. One lesion was located between the 10 and 12 o'clock, and another one between the 11 and 1 o'clock position, respectively.

The maximum pre-RT tumor diameter in the 24 lesions averaged 1.1 ± 0.4 cm (median, 1.0 cm; range, 0.5 - 2.0 cm). The average tumor volume measured 0.4 ± 0.4 mL (median 0.2 mL; range, 0.1 - 1.5 mL) (Table 2).

Figure 1a and b show a pre-RT and post-RT DCE-MRI without EC of a patient classified as true positive.

Eleven of the 33 patients (33%) did not exhibit a suspicious lesion on the pre-RT DCE-MRI scans, but elevated pre-RT PSA levels (mean 0.24±0.13 ng/mL; median, 0.22 ng/mL; range, 0.08 - 0.53 ng/mL), with post-RT showing decrease below detection levels (complete PSA remission). Therefore, 11 patients were classified as false negative.

All post-RT DCE-MRI showed absence of suspicious contrast enhancing lesions together with complete PSA remission post-RT. Therefore, the post-RT DCE-MRI findings were used as a negative control to calculate the

Table 1 Patient characteristics (n=33)

Characteristics	
Age (years) at PSA recurrence	
Median (range)	69 (55 – 80
T-stage at surgery	
pT4	3 (9)
pT3a/b	11 (33)
pT2a-c	19 (58)
Gleason-Score at surgery	
6	1 (3)
7	24 (73)
8	6 (18)
9	2 (6)
Surgical margins	
Positive	11 (33)
Negative	15 (46)
Unknown	7 (21)
PSA level prior to surgery (ng/mL)	
Median	9.8
Mean±SD	11.4±6.0
Range	2.9-25
PSA level at recurrence (ng/mL)	
Median	0.34
Mean±SD	0.57±0.58
Range	0.08-2.38
PSA level at follow-up-MRI (ng/mL)	
Median	0.00
Mean±SD	0.02±0.03
Range	0.00-0.07

specificity as well as the positive (PPV), the negative predictive value (NPV), and the accuracy of DCE-MRI without EC. The sensitivity and specificity of DCE-MRI without endorectal coil in detecting local recurrent PC was 67% and 100%, respectively. The PPV, NPV, and the accuracy was 100%, 75% and 83%, respectively.

Correlation between tumor volume/size and PSA level

The pre-RT tumor diameters and tumor volumes of the 22 patients with positive pre-RT DCE-MRI findings were significantly correlated to pre-RT PSA levels (Spearman rank correlation coefficient for correlation between tumor volume and PSA level = 0.83, p<0.001 (Figure 2a); Spearman rank correlation coefficient for correlation between tumor diameter and PSA level = 0.47, p=0.03 (Figure 2b)).

Predictability of a positive DCE-MRI finding

The average pre-RT PSA levels of the 22 patients with positive pre-RT DCE-MRI findings were significantly

Table 2 Characteristics of lesions classified as true positive

Characteristics	n (%)
Number of suspicious lesions per patient	
unifocal	20 (91)
bifocal	2 (9)
Location of suspicious lesions*	
perianastomotic	19
retrovesical	4
other (peribulbic)	1
Shape of suspicious lesions	
round	18
planelike, elliptic	4
irregular	2
Diameter of suspicious lesions (mm)	
Median	10
Mean	11±/4.4
Range	5-20
Volume of suspicious lesions (mL)	
Median	0.2
Mean	0.4±0.4
Range	0.1-1.5

*Two patients had bifocal lesions, one of them in two different locations therefore the numbers add up to 24.

higher (mean, 0.74±0.64 ng/mL; median, 0.51 ng/mL, range, 0.11-2.38) compared to the pre-RT PSA levels of the 11 patients with negative pre-RT DCE-MRI (mean, 0.24±0.13 ng/mL; median, 0.22 ng/mL, range, 0.08-0.53) (p<0.001) (Figure 3). A pre-RT PSA cut-off value of \geq 0.54 ng/ml readily predicted a positive DCE-MRI finding (Figure 3).

Characterization of a common DCE-MRI pattern

We observed in 27 of the 33 patients (82%) an unequivocal contrast enhancement in the diaphragma urogenitale at the anterior aspect of the proximal urethra immediately below the vesicourethral anastomosis, with no obvious change on post-RT DCE-MRI demonstrating no obvious change following RT (Figure 4a and b). In 6 of the 33 patients (18%) no enhancement of the proximal urethra was evident. The periurethral enhancement was located at midline with a lobulated or circular aspect of symmetrical shape. The diameter averaged 1.0 ± 0.3 cm (median, 1.0 cm; range, 0.5 - 1.9 cm).

Discussion

To the best of our knowledge this is the first study that describes the detectability of recurrent PC using DCE-MRI without EC in the setting of very low PSA-levels.

DCE-MRI without EC detected recurrent PC with an accuracy of 83%. The pre-RT tumor volumes of the 22 patients with positive pre-RT DCE-MRI findings showed high correlation to pre-RT PSA levels. Our results also indicate a correlation between lesion detectability and increasing PSA-level. A PSA cut-off of \geq 0.54 ng/mL detected all lesions positive on DCE-MRI as true positive. We also alert radiation oncologists to acknowledge a commonly observed unequivocal contrast enhancement at the anterior aspect of the proximal urethra immediately below the vesicourethral anastomosis, which represents physiological contrast enhancement.

Modern three-dimensional RT-planning enables the delineation of a GTV for targeted dose escalation on RT-planning CT scans. However, recurrent PC is usually missed on RT-planning CT due to tumor densities equal to the surrounding tissues. Therefore, local recurrent PC is managed so far by delivering RT to the entire fossa prostatica, knowing that local recurrences tend to be located around the vesicourethral anastomosis, but usually without having precise information where the recurrence is located and furthermore without having the possibility to fuse a precise image-based information with the RT-planning CT [15].

DCE-MRI in combination with EC was recently validated for the detection of local recurrent prostate cancer. The reported sensitivities and specificities ranged between 71 – 88%, and 94 – 100%, respectively [7,8,18]. Nevertheless, since endorectal coil placement does not allow image fusion with RT-planning CT, we assessed the sensitivity and specificity of DCE-MRI without EC for the detection of local recurrent prostate cancer.



^{3.0}] **a**

2.5

2.0

1.5 1.0 0.5

0.0

^{3.0}] **b**

2.5

2.0

1.5

1.0

0.5 0.0

0.47; p=0.03)

0.0

5.0

PSA [ng/mL]

0.0

0.2

0.4

0.6

10.0

(Spearman correlation coefficient, 0.83; p<0.0001). (b) Correlation between tumor size and PSA (Spearman correlation coefficient,

Figure 2 (a) Correlation between tumor volume and PSA

0.8

Tumor Volume [mL]

1.2

1.4

1.6

25.0

1.0

15.0

Tumor Size [mm]

20.0

PSA [ng/mL]



In the normal post-surgical anatomy of the male pelvis following radical prostatectomy variable degrees of postsurgical fibrosis may be present [19,20]. However, no enhancement of the prostatic bed in the arterial phase after administration of i.v. gadolinium had been described by Allen et al. [17] or had been found in the control group of Sciarra et al. [8]. In addition to these results we describe a frequent contrast enhancement inferior of the vesicourethral anastomosis, anterior to the proximal urethra in 27 of the 33 post-RP patients (82%) with distinctive morphologic characteristics as described above (Figure 4a and b). This finding would be familiar to radiologists with thorough experience of prostate- and urogenital MRI-imaging representing physiological periurethral



vascular elements in the region of the diaphragma urogenitale, which can be seen regularly in contrast enhanced MRI of the male pelvis without prior surgery [17,21,22]. Six of the 33 patients (18%) did not exhibit these characteristic changes. This might be explained by varying resection margins during RP. To avoid false positive readings knowledge of this non-disease-specific structure is crucial for correct image interpretation. Local recurrent tumor nodules tend to be located most frequently around the posterior and lateral aspects of the vesico-urethral anastomosis and rather rarely in the anterior aspects as we found in our cohort which is in concordance with previous studies [15].

Limitations of the study

First, this is a retrospective study and the results need to be confirmed in future prospective clinical trials.

Second, histopathologic confirmation of the DCE-MRI findings was not available. However, detection rates using ultrasound or MRI guided biopsy are reported to be low (range, 30 - 66%), with a positive biopsy of less than 30% in patients with PSA levels <1 ng/mL [5,8,23-25].

We used the post-RT DCE-MRI (which was performed at median 15 months after salvage RT) and complete PSA response as a negative control. This is justifiable, since the combination of a negative post-RT DCE-MRI and unremarkable PSA levels rule out macroscopic disease. Whether the high true negative rate reported in this study stands up

to a control group of post-RP patients without local recurrent PC needs further investigation, however, our high true negative rate confirms reports of other authors [8,17].

Conclusions

DCE-MRI without endorectal coil may detect local recurrent PC at low PSA levels with acceptable accuracy. All false negative DCE-MRI scans were detected using a PSA cut-off of \geq 0.54 ng/mL. This image modality may therefore be considered in radiation oncology as a tool to more accurately define the gross tumor volume to increase the efficacy of salvage radiation treatment and to decrease radiation side effects.

Competing interests

The authors' declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

HCR carried out imaging analyses, the drafting of the manuscript and partially the analysis and interpretation of the data. AOS carried out the design of the MRI scans. HCR and UN carried out the design of the study. NV and KH participated in the analysis of the data. MRB participated in the analysis and statistics of the data. WSS, ML and ALG participated in the methodological design. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Freiburg, Robert Koch Str. 3, Freiburg 79106, Germany. ²Department of Diagnostic Radiology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany. ³Department of Molecular and Medical Pharmacology, University of California in Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA. ⁴Department of Urology, University of Freiburg, Freiburg, Germany.



Received: 24 August 2012 Accepted: 26 October 2012 Published: 31 October 2012

References

- Choo R, Hruby G, Hong J, Bahk E, Hong E, Danjoux C, et al: (IN)-efficacy of salvage radiotherapy for rising PSA or clinically isolated local recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2002, 53(2):269–276. Epub 2002/05/23. PubMed PMID: 12023129.
- Freedland SJ, Sutter ME, Dorey F, Aronson WJ: Defining the ideal cutpoint for determining PSA recurrence after radical prostatectomy. Prostatespecific antigen. Urology 2003, 61(2):365–369. 01/02/25. PubMed PMID: 12597949.
- Polascik TJ, Oesterling JE, Partin AW: Prostate specific antigen: a decade of discovery--what we have learned and where we are going. J Urol 1999, 162(2):293–306. Epub 1999/07/20. PubMed PMID: 10411025.
- Han M, Partin AW, Zahurak M, Piantadosi S, Epstein JI, Walsh PC: Biochemical (prostate specific antigen) recurrence probability following radical prostatectomy for clinically localized prostate cancer. J Urol 2003, 169(2):517–523. doi:10.1097/01.ju.0000045749.90353.c7.
- Sella T, Schwartz LH, Swindle PW, Onyebuchi CN, Scardino PT, Scher HI, et al: Suspected local recurrence after radical prostatectomy: endorectal coil MR imaging. *Radiology* 2004, 231(2):379–385. doi:10.1148/ radiol.2312030011.
- Silverman JM, Krebs TL: MR imaging evaluation with a transrectal surface coil of local recurrence of prostatic cancer in men who have undergone radical prostatectomy. AJR Am J Roentgenol 1997, 168(2):379–385. Epub 1997/02/01. PubMed PMID: 9016212.
- Casciani E, Polettini E, Carmenini E, Floriani I, Masselli G, Bertini L, *et al*: Endorectal and dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI for detection of local recurrence after radical prostatectomy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2008, 190(5):1187–1192. doi:10.2214/AJR.07.3032.
- Sciarra A, Panebianco V, Salciccia S, Osimani M, Lisi D, Ciccariello M, et al: Role of dynamic contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance (MR) imaging and proton MR spectroscopic imaging in the detection of local recurrence after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer. Eur Urol 2008, 3:589–600. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2007.12.034.
- Buckley DL, Roberts C, Parker GJ, Logue JP, Hutchinson CE: Prostate cancer: evaluation of vascular characteristics with dynamic contrast-enhanced T1weighted MR imaging--initial experience. *Radiology* 2004, 233(3):709–715. doi:10.1148/radiol.2333032098.
- Futterer JJ, Engelbrecht MR, Huisman HJ, Jager GJ, Hulsbergen-van De Kaa CA, Witjes JA, *et al*: Staging prostate cancer with dynamic contrastenhanced endorectal MR imaging prior to radical prostatectomy: experienced versus less experienced readers. *Radiology* 2005, 237(2):541–549. doi:10.1148/radiol.2372041724.
- Futterer JJ, Heijmink SW, Scheenen TW, Jager GJ, de Kaa CA H-V, Witjes JA, et al: Prostate cancer: local staging at 3-T endorectal MR imaging–early experience. Radiology 2006, 238(1):184–191. doi:10.1148/radiol.2381041832.
- Bernard JR Jr, Buskirk SJ, Heckman MG, Diehl NN, Ko SJ, Macdonald OK, et al: Salvage radiotherapy for rising prostate-specific antigen levels after radical prostatectomy for prostate cancer: dose-response analysis. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010, 76(3):735–740. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.049.
- King CR, Kapp DS: Radiotherapy after prostatectomy: is the evidence for dose escalation out there? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008, 71(2):346–350. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.10.008.
- King CR, Spiotto MT: mproved outcomes with higher doses for salvage radiotherapy after prostatectomy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2008, 71(1):23–27. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2007.09.047.
- Miralbell R, Vees H, Lozano J, Khan H, Molla M, Hidalgo A, et al: Endorectal MRI assessment of local relapse after surgery for prostate cancer: a model to define treatment field guidelines for adjuvant radiotherapy in patients at high risk for local failure. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007, 67(2):356–361. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.08.079.
- Ohri N, Dicker AP, Trabulsi EJ, Showalter TN: Can early implementation of salvage radiotherapy for prostate cancer improve the therapeutic ratio? A systematic review and regression meta-analysis with radiobiological modelling. Eur J Cancer 2011. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2011.08.013.
- Allen SD, Thompson A, Sohaib SA: The normal post-surgical anatomy of the male pelvis following radical prostatectomy as assessed by magnetic resonance imaging. *Eur Radiol* 2008, 18(6):1281–1291. doi:10.1007/s00330-008-0867-3.

- Cirillo S, Petracchini M, Scotti L, Gallo T, Macera A, Bona MC, et al: Endorectal magnetic resonance imaging at 1.5 Tesla to assess local recurrence following radical prostatectomy using T2-weighted and contrast-enhanced imaging. Eur Radiol 2009, 19(3):761–769. doi:10.1007/ s00330-008-1174-8.
- Wasserman NF, Kapoor DA, Hildebrandt WC, Zhang G, Born KM, Eppel SM, et al: Transrectal US in evaluation of patients after radical prostatectomy. Part I. Normal postoperative anatomy. *Radiology* 1992, 185(2):361–366. Epub 1992/11/01. PubMed PMID: 1410339.
- Wasserman NF, Reddy PK: Use of transrectal ultrasound in follow-up of postradical prostatectomy. Urology 1993, 41(1 Suppl):52–56. Epub 1993/01/ 01. PubMed PMID: 8420096.
- McLaughlin PW, Evans C, Feng M, Narayana V: Radiographic and anatomic basis for prostate contouring errors and methods to improve prostate contouring accuracy. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010, 76(2):369–378. doi:10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.019.
- McLaughlin PW, Troyer S, Berri S, Narayana V, Meirowitz A, Roberson PL, et al: Functional anatomy of the prostate: implications for treatment planning. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2005, 63(2):479–491. doi:10.1016/j. ijrobp.2005.02.036.
- Leventis AK, Shariat SF, Slawin KM: Local recurrence after radical prostatectomy: correlation of US features with prostatic fossa biopsy findings. *Radiology* 2001, 219(2):432–439. Epub 2001/04/27. PubMed PMID: 11323468.
- Ornstein DK, Colberg JW, Virgo KS, Chan D, Johnson ET, Oh J, et al: Evaluation and management of men whose radical prostatectomies failed: results of an international survey. Urology 1998, 52(6):1047–1054. Epub 1998/12/04. PubMed PMID: 9836553.
- Saleem MD, Sanders H, Abu El Naser M, El-Galley R: Factors predicting cancer detection in biopsy of the prostatic fossa after radical prostatectomy. Urology 1998, 51(2):283–286. Epub 1998/03/12. PubMed PMID: 9495712.

doi:10.1186/1748-717X-7-185

Cite this article as: Rischke *et al.*: **Detection of local recurrent prostate** cancer after radical prostatectomy in terms of salvage radiotherapy using dynamic contrast enhanced-MRI without endorectal coil. *Radiation Oncology* 2012 **7**:185.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit