Genetic Susceptibility in Understanding of Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Risk: A Decade-Long Effort of the PANDORA Consortium



Ludmila Vodickova^{1,2,3}, Josef Horak^{1,4}, and Pavel Vodicka^{1,2,3}

ABSTRACT

Pancreatic cancer, a complex disease, emerges as a severe health problem worldwide and it exhibits a poor prognosis and high mortality. Risk factors associated with sporadic pancreatic cancer remain poorly understood, even less is known about disease prognosis due to its rapid progression. The PANcreatic Disease ReseArch (PANDORA) consortium, of which the authors are members, was established to coordinate the efforts of different research groups to uncover new genetic factors for pancreatic cancer risk, response to treatment, and patient survival. PANDORA consortium has contributed to the identification of

Pancreatic Cancer: Importance and Genetics

Pancreatic cancer ranks among frequent malignancies with more than 458,918 new cases in 2018 (http://gco.iarc.fr/today/onlineanalysis-table) worldwide; it exhibits a poor prognosis and high mortality (1). Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC), the most common subtype of pancreatic cancer, is anticipated as the second leading cause of cancer death in the United States by 2030 (2). Less than 10% of PDAC cases are familial: germline mutations in BRCA1/2, ATM, CHEK2, PALB2, and CDKN2A contribute to the mechanisms of malignant transformation. Somatic KRAS mutations occur in a majority of tumors and with mutations in SMAD4, CDKN2A, and TP53 represent the most common genetic changes in sporadic PDAC (3). These genetic alterations along with lowpenetrance loci and other risk factors (obesity, insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes mellitus, smoking, personal history of pancreatitis, age, family history of PDAC or other cancers, exposure to ionizing radiation, environmental and life-style factors), are underlying pancreatic cancer onset (4). The PANcreatic Disease ReseArch

©2022 The Authors; Published by the American Association for Cancer Research

several low-penetrance risk loci for the disease both by candidate variants approach and genome-wide association studies, including those in cell-cycle and DNA damage response, telomere homeostasis, SCL and ABC transporters, *ABO* locus variability, mitochondrial metabolism and it participated on collaborative genome-wide association study approach and implementation of a search for functional-based pancreatic cancer risk loci and long noncoding RNAs. Complex studies covering genetic, environmental and microenvironmental factors in the pancreatic cancer onset, progression and its prognosis are warranted.

(PANDORA) consortium, of which the authors are members, was established to coordinate the efforts of different research groups and strives to uncover new genetic factors for pancreatic cancer risk, response to treatment, and patient survival. The goal is to detect pancreatic cancer while the disease is in its earliest and treatable stages (5).

PDAC Genetics and Disease Risk

A decade-long effort of the PANDoRA consortium resulted in the discovery of mild to low risk associations for variants in several genomic regions, modulating PDAC risk and in minor extent, prognosis; significant results of individual studies are given in Table 1. Because TP53 has a fundamental role in cell cycle and apoptosis and is frequently mutated in solid tumors, we studied, in a population from Czech Republic, whether TP53 polymorphisms modulate the risk of PC. By assessing polymorphisms individually, patients with variant C allele of rs1042522 polymorphism were at an increased risk of PDAC. By comparing with the most common haplotype A1GCG, the A2CCG haplotype was associated with an increased risk and the A1CCG with a reduced risk of PDAC (6). The above haplotypes also affected colorectal and breast cancer risk. In the line of investigating of associations between inherited germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes and the risk of pancreatic cancer a case-control study comprising 3,030 patients with pancreatic cancer is reported by Hu and colleagues (7). The authors observed significant associations between pancreatic cancer and mutations in CDKN2A, TP53, MLH1, BRCA2, ATM, and BRCA1. In this study, mutations in six genes associated with pancreatic cancer were identified in 5.5% of all patients with pancreatic cancer, including 7.9% of those with a family history of pancreatic cancer (7). The manuscript by Amundadottir and colleagues (8) inspired PANDoRA consortium to address the association of pancreatic cancer risk with carriers of the A or B allele of singlenucleotide polymorphisms (SNP). These SNPs ale involved in determining the blood group in comparison with the O allele, which encodes a nonfunctional enzyme. A1 variant carriers were at higher risk of developing PDAC. These data are consistent with higher

¹Department of Molecular Biology of Cancer, Institute of Experimental Medicine of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Prague, Czech Republic. ²Institute of Biology and Medical Genetics, 1st Faculty of Medicine Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic. ³Biomedical Center, Faculty of Medicine in Pilsen, Charles University, Pilsen, Czech Republic. ⁴Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic.

Corresponding Author: Ludmila Vodickova, Institute of Experimental Medicine of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Videnska 1083, Prague 14220, Czech Republic. Phone: 4202-4106-2694; E-mail: ludmila.vodickova@iem.cas.cz

Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2022;31:942-8

doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-21-1340

This open access article is distributed under Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives License 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND).

Number			Localisation (chr:base,				Allele frequency (1000 Genomes		N of cases vs. N of		ž
175 0.756/64 means wheth Exit 00002383058-1215C Exit 00000283056-1315C Exit 00000283056-1315C Exit 00000283056-1315C Exit 000000000000000000000000000000000000			(cidiociinuo	consequence	HOUSE	deapu	naranase)	לוה מרבי אם		INCIE	Vel.
(1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	rs1042522 ^a	TP53	17:7676154	missense variant	ENST00000269305.9:c.215C>G	ENSP00000269305.4:p. Pro72Ara	0.5429	1.73 (1.26–2.39)	240 vs. 1,827		Naccarati et al. Carcinogen
************************************	rs12947788ª		17:7674109	intron variant	ENST0000269305.9:		0.1783	NS			2010
No. x	rs17884306 ^a		17:7668783	3 prime UTR variant	C/02+72C>1 ENST0000269305.9: c³82665∆	×	0.05711	NS			
Nonce x	rs17878362 ^a		×	×		×	×	NS			
Matrix ABO locus 3:33256074 Synonymous variant ENTODODGR116.4:C.654-C.1 Experimoneatants Distance O.270 (0.26-0.9) O.280 (0.26-0.9) 9:3325933 misense variant ENTODODGR16.4:C.93C-A ENSTODOGR15.5.1. 0:1528 0.8 (0.65-0.99) 0.8 (0.65-0.94) 0.8 (0.65-0.94) 9:3327393 minut ENSTODOGR16.4: X 0.1323 0.9 (0.74-0.94) 0.8 (0.65-0.94) <td< td=""><td>A2CCG haplotype</td><td></td><td>× ></td><td>× ></td><td>× ></td><td>× ></td><td>×</td><td>1.39 (1.02–1.88) 0 20 / 0 12–0 76)</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>	A2CCG haplotype		× >	× >	× >	× >	×	1.39 (1.02–1.88) 0 20 / 0 12–0 76)			
913255935 misense wint ENTODODGII6.4.7.93C.A. ENSTODOD4325.5.1 0.153 0.2 (0.65-0.99) 913255936 misense wint ENTODOD6116.4.7.93C.A. ENSTOD04325.5.1 0.132 0.2 (0.65-0.99) 913252913 intron variant ENTODOD6116.4.2.93C.A. ENSTOD04325.5.1 0.132 0.2 (0.65-0.93) 913275913 intron variant ENTOD0005116.4 X X 0.2 (0.71 (0.62-0.93) CMC-PMV 71309573 synonymus variant ENTOD005116.4 X 0.2010.1.1.0 ECMP/CRBV 57329950 synonymus variant ENTOD005116.216.0 2.02010.1.1.0 2.13095.4 ERR 2.0873 138 (1.02-1.0 0.2010.1.1.0 0.2311.1.1.2 2.13095.4 ERR 2.094318 ENTOD0005186.016 ENSTOD0005157.2 0.236 1.6 (1.1-2 2.13095.4 ERR 2.020319 UNCOD005182.010.0 X 0.036 0.16 (1.2 2.13095.4 ERR 2.02032 Intervaluant ENTOD00051866.1 X 2.030 0.16 (1.1-2	rs8176741	ABO locus	9:133256074	synonymous variant	ENST00000611156.4:c.654C>T	ENSP00000483265.1;p.	0.153	0.73 (0.58-0.9)	1,028 vs. 2,257		Rizzato C, et al.
1 193325938 misense venient ENGTO00061185.4: Numeration Numera	rs8176746		9:133255935	missense variant	ENST00000611156.4:c.793C>A	ENSP0000483265.1:p.	0.1528	0.8 (0.65-0.99)			011C01 Kep 2013
	rs8176747		9:133255928	missense variant	ENST00000611156.4:c.800G>C	ENSP0000483265.1:p.	0.1528	0.77 (0.63-0.95)			
LMC-PMT 7/30995762 ron coding transcript ExiST000006473881: x x 0.12 0.79 (0.74-0.84) 7.683 vs. 4.397 2 stages of analysis RCAM/CTBB/ 6/3721053 synonymous variant ExiST000006473881: x 0.12 0.79 (0.74-0.84) 7.683 vs. 4.397 2 stages of analysis RCAM/CTBB/ 15/3210966 uvariant ExiST000006473801: x x 0.016 1.46 (1.30-165) 2 analysis RDM 22/28904318 introvariant EXiT0000055404.: x 0.02039 118 (11-12) analysis RDM 22/28904318 introvariant EXiT0000055401.: x 0.02039 135 (11-12) analysis RDM 22/28904318 introvariant EXiT0000055401.: x 0.02039 0.030 (0.76-0.65) 0.000 (0.76-0.65) analysis RDM 26/2890 (0.75-0.656 RDM0000550150.: 0.1607 0.2039 118 (112-125) introvariant exiSt000005567. LDMC0067 Trand 26/2800 0.2036 0.206 (0.76-0.65) 0.208 (0.76-0.62) <	rs505922		9:133273813	intron variant	ENST00000611156.4:		0.650063	1.18 (0.99-1.40)			
	rs6971499	LINC-PINT	7:130995762	non coding transcript variant	ENST0000647388.1: n.336-11653A>G	×	0.12	0.79 (0.74-0.84)	7,683 vs. 4,397	2 stages of analysis	Wolpin BM, et al. Nat Gen
DD/I 13.2799660 upstreamgenevariant NC_000311;2799660 x 0.2281 115.(1,1-12) TERT 21.290436 intron variant ENT00000546647: x 0.2039 116.(1,1-12) TERT 51.290436 intron variant ENT00000546647: x 0.2039 116.(1,1-12) TERT 51.290436 intron variant ENT0000054651: X 0.00.0 0.00.0 0.00.0 Variant ENT0000054651: X 0.0234 1.26.(1)-1.25) 0.00.0.76-0.85) 0.00.0.76-0.85) Variant ENT0000054651: X 0.0234 0.2656 0.80.(0.76-0.85) 0.80.0.76-0.85) SUGCT 7.40827064 intron variant ENT000005465318: X 0.234 9.955 vs.11569 two-stage SUGST 26/14 intron variant ENT000002647318: X 0.286 (0.80.0.92) 0.86 (0.8-0.92) 1.26 (1)-12) TERT 26/14/1257 Montemengene NC_00000212.967426576 X 0.286 (0.80.0.92) 1.10.0-119) TERT 26/14	rs7190458	BCAR1/CTRB1/ CTRB2		synonymous variant	ENST00000162330.10:c.2361C>T		0.1016	1.46 (1.30–1.65)			107
TERT 5:129391 synonymous variant $C.001+20.252.C.1$ Nonymous variant $C.001+20.252.C.1$ Nonymous variant ENST00000305572.5p. 0.2656 0.80 (0.76-0.85) $L/NC00673$ 17.2404025 non coding transcript ENST00000568631: x 0.2656 $0.80 (0.76-0.85)$ $0.80 (0.76-0.85)$ $Variant variant variant ENST00000356931: x 0.244 1.26 (1.9-1.34) 9.925 vs. 11569 worstage SUGCT 7.40827064 intron variant ENST00000356931: x 0.2344 1.26 (1.9-1.34) 9.925 vs. 11569 worstage FEA1 2.6742637 intron variant ENST0000032647318: x 0.1673 0.28 (0.94-0.22) variant FTAA1 2.67412637 dwarteam gene NC_00000212967412657575 x 0.38 (0.84-0.29) sasociation FTAA1 2.67412637 dwarteam gene NC_000002129674126575757 x 0.3702 1.14 (109-119) s1.04 FTAA1 5.128079 intron variant ENST04000031058110: $	rs9581943 rs16986825	PDX1 ZNRF3	13:27919860 22:28904318	upstream gene variant intron variant			0.3281 0.2039	1.15 (1.1–1.2) 1.18 (1.12–1.25)			
LINCOD673 17:7240.4025 non coding transcript ENST00006486511: x x x x x x x y	rs2736098	TERT	5:1293971	synonymous variant	c.300+20252C>T ENST00000310581.10:c.915G>A	ENSP0000309572.5:p. ΔΙa305%3D	0.2656	0.80 (0.76-0.85)			
SUGCT 7.4082/064 intron variant ENST000033563.59. x 0.1673 0.38 (0.34-0.92) mode sascoriation suscention suscention suscention suscention suscention and suscented suscented suscention and suscented suscention and suscented s	rs11655237	LINC00673	17:72404025	non coding transcript variant	ENST00000648631.1: n.763-2604G>A		0.2344	1.26 (1.19–1.34)	9,925 vs. 11,569	two-stage genome-	Childs EJ et al. Nat Genet
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	rs17688601	SUGCT	7:40827064	intron variant	ENST00000335693.9: c 116A - 2225275.A	×	0.1673	0.88 (0.84-0.92)		wide association study	CI07
ETAI 2:67412637 downstream gene NC_0000212;67412637G>T x 0.7302 1.14 (1.09-1.19) TERT 5:1287079 intron variant ENST00000210581.10: x 0.6124 0.85 (0.80-0.90) 5,550 vs. 7,585 2 stages of analysis TERT 5:1286401 intron variant ENST00000310581.10: x 0.6124 0.85 (0.80-0.90) 5,550 vs. 7,585 2 stages of analysis 5:1286401 intron variant ENST00000310581.10: x 0.5154 1.11 (1.02-1.21) analysis 5:128932 downstream gene NC_000051.01248937.C>T x 0.5154 1.11 (1.02-1.21) analysis 5:1299098 ustream gene NC_000051.01248937.C>T x 0.02356 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 0 TERC 3:169714313 synonymous variant NC_000051.0124.2809454 x 0.7342 1.13 (1.04-1.23)	rs9854771	TP63	3:189790682	intron variant	ENST0000264731.8:	×	0.2869	0.89 (0.85-0.93)		21003	
TERT 5:1287079 intron variant ENST00000310581.10: x 0.6124 0.85 (0.80-0.90) 5.550 vs. 7,585 2 stages of analysis 5:1286401 intron variant C.1574-4455C>T 0.5154 1.11 (1.02-1.21) analysis 5:1286401 intron variant ENST00000310581.10: x 0.5154 1.11 (1.02-1.21) analysis 5:1248932 downstream gene NC_000051.01248931.C>T x 0.02356 1.31 (1.1-1.55) variant S.1299098 upstream gene variant NC_000051.01248931:C>T x 0.02356 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 5.1299098 upstream gene variant NC_000051.0124.1280098A>G x 0.7342 1.13 (1.04-1.23) 5.1299098 upstream gene variant NC_0000051.0128C>T x 0.02766 0.78 (0.69-0.89)	rs1486134	ETAA1	2:67412637	downstream gene variant	NC_000002.12:9.67412637G>T	×	0.7302	1.14 (1.09–1.19)			
5:1286401 intron variant ENST00000310581.10: x 0.515.4 1.11 (1.02-1.21) 5:1248932 downstream gene NC_0000510:1248931:C>T x 0.02356 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 5:129098 upstream gene variant NC_0000510:1289098A>G x 0.7342 1.13 (1.0-1.21) 5:129098 upstream gene variant NC_0000510:1248931:C>T x 0.02356 1.31 (1.1-1.55) 7ERC 3:169774313 synonymous variant ENST000003498.41.10:c.18C>T x 0.2706 0.78 (0.69-0.89)	rs2853677	TERT	5:1287079	intron variant	ENST00000310581.10: c.1574-4455C>T	×	0.6124	0.85 (0.80-0.90)	5,550 vs. 7,585	2 stages of analysis	Campa et al. Int J Cancer,
5:1248932 downstream gene NC_000005.10:1248931:C>T x 0.02356 variant S:1299098 upstream gene variant NC_000005.10:9.1299098A>G x 0.7342 7ERC 3:169774313 synonymous variant ENST00000349841.10:C:18C>T x 0.2706	rs2736100		5:1286401	intron variant	ENST00000310581.10: c 1574- 377765T	×	0.5154	1.11 (1.02–1.21)			6107
5:1299098 upstream gene variant NC_00005.10;9:1299098A>G x 0.7342 7ERC 3:169774313 synonymous variant ENST00000349841.10:c.18C>T x 0.2706	rs4583925		5:1248932	downstream gene	NC_000005.10:1248931:C>T	×	0.02356	1.31 (1.1–1.55)			
	rs2735948 rs10936599	TERC	5:1299098 3:169774313	upstream gene variant synonymous variant		× ×	0.7342 0.2706	1.13 (1.04-1.23) 0.78 (0.69-0.89)			

Table 1. Gene variants significantly associated with the risk of PDAC.

Genetic Susceptibility in Pancreatic Cancer Risk

CI ANS	Gene	Localisation (chr:base, GRCh38.p13)	Consequence	HGVSc	dSVDH	Allele frequency (1000 Genomes database)	OR (95% CI)	N of cases vs. N of controls	Note	Ref.
rs3217992	CDKNZB	9:22003224	3 prime UTR variant	ENST00000276925.7: c.ª2763G>A	×	0.3482	ORhet: 1.14 (1.01-1.27) ORhom: 1.30, (1.12-1.51)	2,857 vs. 6,111		Campa D, et.al, Oncotarget 2016
rs2816938 rs10094872	RNU6-609P CASCII	1:200016240 8:127707639	upstreamgene variant non coding transcript variant	NC_00000111;9,200016240T>A ENST00000502463.7: n.144-15773T>A	× × = :	0.3207 0.2806	1.23 (1.15–1.31) 1.18 (1.11–1.25)		GWAS study Gene expression study	Zhang M, et al. Oncotarget 2016
rs10273639	IEKI PRSSI-PRSS2	8526221:5 7:142749077	upstreamgene variant. upstreamgene variant	NG_008307.3;9.4594T>C	× ×	0.394	U.11U <u>05-U.30)</u> ORhom: 1.19 (1.02-1.38)	2,914 PDAC vs. 356 CPT vs. 5,596 controls	rs11988997, rs379742, rs10273639, rs2995271, rs12688220 risk variants for CPT	Campa et al, Int J Cancer 2018
rs78417682	TNS3	7:47449305	intron variant	ENST00000311160.14:c 75-7250C>G	×	0.1214	0.85 (0.80-0.90)	11,537 vs. 17,107	PanScan	Klein A, et al, Nat Com 2018
rs13303010	NOCZL	1:959193	intron variant	ENST0000327044.7: c 26+22C>T	×	0.6344	1.26 (1.19–1.35)		PanC4	2
rs2941471	HNF4G	8:75558169	intron variant	ENST00000396423.4: c.734-3496>A	×	0.5889	0.89 (0.85-0.93)		Pandora	
rs4795218 rs1517037	HNF1B GRP	17:37718512 18:59211042	intron variant upstream gene variant	ENST00000617811.5: c.1046-7849T>C NC 000018.10:c.59211042C>T	× ×	0.78512 0.2368	0.88 (0.84-0.92) 0.86 (0.80-0.91)			
rs2736100	TERT	5:1286401	intron variant	ENST00000310581.10: c.1574-37776>T	×	0.5154	1.54 (1.35-1.76)	2,374 vs. 4,326		Campa D, et al. Int J Cancer 2019
rs7675998	NAFI	4:163086668	upstream gene variant	NC_000004.12: a.163086668A>G	×	0.8069	0.80 (0.73-0.88)			
rs3740067 rs3740073	ABCC2	10:99844024 10:99817203	intron variant intron variant	ENST00000647814.1: c.3843+124C>G FNST00006478141	××	0.2692 0.6929	HR: 3.29 (1.56-6.97) HR: 3.11 (1.52-6.38)	1,415	prognosis	Gentiluomo M, et al. Carcinori
rs717620		10:99782821	5 prime UTR variant	c.2095-105T>C c.2095-105T>C ENST00000647814.1:c24C>T	: ×	0.135	HR: 2.90 (1.41–5.95)			2019

Vodickova et al.

Table 1. Gene variants significantly associated with the risk of	ants significant	ly associated v		PDAC. (Cont'd)						
ai ans	Gene	Localisation (chr:base, GRCh38.p13)	Consequence	HGVSc	HGVSp	Allele frequency (1000 Genomes database)	OR (95% CI)	N of cases vs. N of controls	Note	Ref.
rs11571833	BRCA2	13:32398489	stop gained	ENST00000380152.8:c.9976A>T	ENSP0000369497.3:p.	0.004393	1.78 (1.26–2.52)	2,935 vs. 5,626		Obazee O, et al,
rs17879961	CHEK2	22:28725099	missense variant	ENST00000404276.6:c.470T>C	Lyssszol er ENSP0000385747.1:p. Ile157Thr	0.000998	1.74 (1.15–2.63)			
rs2328991	LOC107984587	13:76822966	non coding transcript variant	ENST0000648060.1: n.229+130156>C	×	0.0757	1.19 (1.09–1.30)	855 young vs. 4.142 controls	2 stages study	Campa et al. LIC 2020
101 SNPs for mitochondrial and 7,509,345 SNPs for nuclear genomes.							No signif. results	12,884 vs. 42,986	2 stages study	Peduzzi G. et al. CEBP 2021
rs7985480	ZOM1	13:75627328	non coding transcript variant	ENST0000563635.5: n.704+5460T>C	×	0.7530	1.12 (1.07–1.17)	14,062 vs. 11,261	2 stages study	Ye Lu, Front Genet 2021
rs2035875	KRT8	12:52902133	intron variant	ENST0000552551.5: c.325-61T>C	×	0.5669	1.11 (1.08–1.16)	13,713 vs. 43,784	2 stages study	Pistoni L. et al. Carcinog 2021
rs789744	SRGAPI	12:64091580	intron variant	ENST00000355086.8: c.1539+202A>G	×	0.8870	0.90 (0.86-0.94)			
rs353630§§	CD 44	11:35166644	intron variant	ENST00000428726.8: c.68-9931G>A	×	0.2809	HR: 5.01 (1.58–15.88)	1,856	prognosis	Gentiluomo et al. Sci Rep 2021
PRS							2.70 (1.99-3.68)	7,259 vs. 6,929		Galeotti J Med
rs7046076	Inc-SMC2-1	9:104024600	non coding transcript variant	NC_000009.12: 9.104024600T>C	×	0.4097	1.21 (1.10–1.18)	9,893 vs. 9,969		Corradi et al. Int J Cancer 2021
rs2504938	SL C22A3	6:160403722	intron variant	ENST00000275300.3: c.534-3319C>T	×	0.9065	Signif. in discovery, not in validation set	1,518 vs. 3,908		Mohelnikova- Duchonova B, et al. Sci Ren 2017
rs9364554		6:160412632	intron variant	ENST00000275300.3: c.975+1786C>T	×	0.2101				
rs2457571		6:160413796	intron variant	ENST00000275300.3: c.975+2950T>C	×	0.6839				
Abbreviations: CPT, chronic pancreatitis; NS, not significant. ^a SNPs used for haplotype construction (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1.02–1.40).	rronic pancreatitis	;; NS, not significa (OR, 1.19; 95% CI, 1	nt. 1.02–1.40).							

Genetic Susceptibility in Pancreatic Cancer Risk

Vodickova et al.

glycosyltransferase activity for the A1 variant compared with the A2 variant. However, no effect of the genetic variability at the *ABO* locus on pancreatic cancer survival was shown in the study of PANDoRA group (9).

The effort of PanScan/PanC4 has resulted in the identification of eight SNPs that map to three loci on chromosomes 13q22.1, 1q32.1 and 5p15.33 (10). Among these common susceptibility loci identified for pancreatic cancer there is rs401681 in the TERT -CLPTM1 L gene region (chr5p15.33; ref. 11). Due to the low linkage disequilibrium present in this region, additional SNPs have been identified as independent risk factors for PDAC. An analysis of genetic variability of the telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) and the telomerase RNA component (TERC) genes, conducted within the PANDoRA consortium, revealed a significant association between a variant rs2853677in TERT and pancreatic cancer risk (Table 1). Three additional SNPs in TERT, rs2736100, rs4583925, and rs2735948 reached statistical significance after correction for multiple testing (Table 1). The TERT locus is associated with pancreatic cancer risk through several independent variants (12). Interestingly, other studies showed that genetically predicted short telomere length is either not associated with PDAC risk (13) or the association is not consistent (14). One option to tackle these inconsistencies may be the direct measurement of telomere length in blood cells and/or in tumor tissue. However, the experience of the authors introduces additional variables, such as target versus surrogate tissue (15) or complex disease phenotype/tumor heterogeneity (16).

Another gene involved in pancreatic cancer etiology is CDKN2A (p16). Hence, the PANDoRA consortium focused on the common genetic variability in this region and pancreatic cancer risk by genotyping 13 SNPs. The A allele of the rs3217992 SNP was associated with an increased pancreatic cancer risk (Table 1), possibly due to changing the binding site of one or more noncoding RNAs. The novel association in this pleiotropic region CDKN2A/B could represent a genetic link between diabetes and pancreatic cancer risk (17). The study by Zhang and colleagues, in which PANDoRA was part of, disclosed three new pancreatic cancer risk SNPs: rs2816938 at chromosome 1q32.1 (NR5A2), rs10094872 at 8q24.21 (MYC), and rs35226131 at 5p15.33 (CLPTM1L-TERT; ref. 18). The genetic variability in solute carrier transporter SLC22A3 was investigated with pancreatic cancer risk. In summary, common genetic variation in the SLC22A3 gene is unlikely to significantly contribute to pancreatic cancer risk; however, the rs2504938 SNP in SLC22A3 associates with a prognosis of patients with pancreatic cancer (19). PANDoRA did not observe any specific chronic pancreatitis risk loci that would also contribute to PDAC susceptibility (20). Telomere deregulation is a hallmark of cancer and telomere length in lymphocytes (LTL) may represent a risk marker for several cancers. In a study that analyzed ten SNPs (ZNF676rs409627, TERT-rs2736100, CTC1-rs3027234, DHX35-rs6028466, PXK-rs6772228, NAF1-rs7675998, ZNF208-rs8105767, OBFC1rs9420907, ACYP2-rs11125529, and TERC-rs10936599) combined in an LTL genetic score, a statistically significant association was found between genetically determined shorter telomere length and PDAC risk (21). Rare truncating BRCA2 K3326X (rs11571833) and pathogenic CHEK2 I157T (rs17879961) variants have been tested for the risk of sporadic PDAC within PANDoRA consortium (Table 1; ref. 22). Early onset pancreatic cancer (EOPC), a rare disease with a very high mortality rate, has been investigated by genome-wide association study (GWAS) in young patients diagnosed with PDAC. PANDoRA proposed a novel variant rs2328991 to be involved in EOPC risk, despite current difficulty to ascertain a mechanistic link between the variant and the function (23). Since the mitochondrial metabolism has been associated with PDAC risk and a systematic investigation of the genetic variability of mitochondrial genome (mtSNP) and of all the nuclear genes involved in its functioning (n-mtSNPs) is virtually missing, PANDoRA conducted a two-phase association study of mtSNPs and n-mtSNPs to assess their effect on PDAC risk (**Table 1**). In the discovery phase, 49 n-mtSNPs and no mtSNPs associated with PDAC risk were identified, but none replicated in the second phase (24).

GWAS have become a powerful tool for detecting genetic variants associated with complex traits, including pancreatic cancer. The PANDoRA consortium has participated in a multistage GWAS on 7,683 individuals with PC and 4,397 controls of European descent. Four new loci reached GWAS significance: rs6971499 at 7q32.3 (LINC-PINT), rs7190458 at 16q23.1 (BCAR1/CTRB1/CTRB2), rs9581943 at 13q12.2 (PDX1) and rs 16986825 at 22q12.1. (ZNRF3, Table 1). An independent signal in exon 2 of TERT at the region 5p 5.33 (rs2736098) was also identified (25). Three newly associated regions 17q25.1 (LINC00673, rs11655237), 7p13 (SUGCT, rs17688601), and 3q29 (TP63, rs9854771) were identified in a GWAS on cases and controls from North America, Central Europe and Australia (11). Previously reported associations at 9q34.2 (ABO), 13q22.1 (KLF5), 5p15.33 (TERT and CLPTM1), 13q12.2 (PDX1), 1q32.1 (NR5A2), 7q32.3 (LINC-PINT), 16q23.1 (BCAR1) and 22q12.1 (ZNRF3) (25; 11) were also replicated. The study by Klein and colleagues (26) reported the largest GWAS on pancreatic cancer cases of European ancestry. The novel association at rs78417682 (7p12/TNS3) was reported. Replication of 10 promising signals in the PANDoRA set of patients yielded new GWAS significant loci: rs13303010 at 1p36.33 (NOC2L), rs2941471 at 8q21.11 (HNF4G), rs4795218 at 17q12 (HNF1B), and rs1517037 at 18q21.32 (GRP; Table 1). To identify individuals at high risk of developing PDAC a polygenic risk score (PRS) for PDAC risk prediction, combining the effect of known risk SNPs, was computed in the PANDoRA consortium. The scores were significantly associated with increased PDAC risk (Table 1). PRS in assessing PDAC risk represents a useful tool for risk stratification in the population (27).

PANDoRA expanded the knowledge of PDAC genetic heritability by focusing on SNPs that modulate miRNA function. Out of SNPs in 3 prime untranslated regions (3'UTRs) of miRNA target genes, only rs7985480 was consistently associated with PDAC risk (**Table 1**). These results, alongside studies considering expression quantitative traits (eQTL) and those on SNPs in long noncoding RNA, proved the usefulness of functional prioritization to identify PDAC risk-associated genetic polymorphisms (28–30).

The analysis of eQTLs in three independent pancreatic datasets provided molecular support of *NOC2 L* as a PDAC susceptibility gene (26). By exploiting functional and GWAS data, the associations between polymorphisms affecting gene function in the pancreas (eQTLs) and PDAC risk was also investigated in PANDoRA. A genome-wide significant association between the *A* allele of the rs2035875 polymorphism and increased PDAC risk was identified (**Table 1**). This allele is often associated with increased expression of the keratin genes *KRT8* and *KRT18* in the pancreas. In addition, the A allele of the rs789744 variant conferred a decreased risk of PDAC. The A allele is associated with higher *SRGAP1* gene expression, which in turn inactivates the cyclin-dependent protein 42 (*CDC42*) gene expression and decreases the risk of PDAC. Significant associations and plausible biological mechanisms may further add strong candidates to functional-based PDAC risk loci (29). Since long noncoding RNAs (lncRNA) are involved in regulation of key biological processes, by combining GWAS and functional data the genetic variability in all lncRNAs was also investigated and a significant association between the rs7046076 SNP and risk of PDAC (**Table 1**) was observed. This SNP participates in the regulation of several cell cycle genes, such as *CDKN2B*. A possible mode of action could be an imperfect interaction between lncRNA and miRNA (30). Despite the overall effort much of pancreatic cancer heritability remains unexplained (31).

PDAC Genetics and Disease Prognosis

The rs2504938 SNP in solute carrier transporter SLC22A3 significantly associated with a poor prognosis of patients with pancreatic cancer (19). The ATP binding cassette subfamily C member 2 (ABCC2) protein mediates a response to various drugs and is differentially expressed in gemcitabine sensitive and resistant cells. Moreover, SNPs in the gene have been associated with differential outcomes and prognosis in several malignancies. The associations between SNPs in the ABCC2 gene and overall survival (OS) in patients with PDAC were analyzed. The results are presented in Table 1; briefly: whereas no statistically significant associations in patients with more advanced PDAC were observed, rs3740067, rs3740073 and rs717620 could be promising prognostic markers in patients with stage I PDAC (32). In addition, two SNPs (CD44-rs353630 and CHI3L2-rs684559), that were suggested as genetic markers of prognosis, were studied within PANDoRA. They did not show, either individually or combined, any statistically significant association, suggesting that their effect cannot be generalized to all patients with pancreatic cancer (33). The study of Wang and colleagues demonstrated that host genetic variant (rs2057482-CC genotype) alters the regulation of the miR-199a/HIF1A regulatory loop, increases susceptibility to PDAC and is associated with worse prognosis (34). A recent study by Lin and colleagues indicated that regional and ethnic differences in gene variant frequencies and, possibly, different impact of risk factors should be given proper consideration (35). Finally, noncoding RNAs have been suggested as putative prognostic biomarkers for pancreatic cancer prognosis and treatment prediction (36). In the recent reviews the potential of cell-free DNA biomarkers in pancreatic cancer and other gastrointestinal cancer prognosis has been discussed (37, 38).

References

- Gco.iarc.fr [Internet]. Cancer today. 2020 [Accessed 1 March 2022]. Available from: https://gco.iarc.fr/today/fact-sheets-cancers.
- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017;67: 7–30.
- Oldfield LE, Connor AA, Gallinger S. Molecular events in the natural history of pancreatic cancer. Trends Cancer 2017;3:336–46.
- Gentiluomo M, Canzian F, Nicolini A, Gemignani F, Landi S, Campa D. Germline genetic variability in pancreatic cancer risk and prognosis. Semin Cancer Biol 2022;79:105–31.
- Campa D, Rizzato C, Capurso G, Giese N, Funel N, Greenhalf W, et al. Genetic susceptibility to pancreatic cancer and its functional characterisation: the PANcreatic Disease ReseArch (PANDoRA) consortium. Dig Liv Dis 2013;45: 95–9.
- Naccarati A, Pardini B, Polakova V, Smerhovsky Z, Vodickova L, Soucek P, et al. Genotype and haplotype analysis of TP53 gene and the risk of pancreatic cancer: An association study in the Czech Republic. Carcinogenesis 2010;31:666–70.

Conclusions and Perspectives

PANDoRA consortium has contributed to the identification of several low-penetrance risk loci for PDAC, including those in cell cycle and DNA damage response, telomere homeostasis, SCL and ABC transporters, ABO locus variability and mitochondrial metabolism. It has also participated on GWAS approach and implementation of a search for functional-based PDAC risk loci and long noncoding RNAs. However, risk factors associated with sporadic pancreatic cancer remain poorly understood. PANDoRA's effort in disease prognosis was even less satisfactory due to the rapid progression of the disease. To achieve early detection of pancreatic cancer the consortium will aim at addressing genetics in the new traits (e.g., autophagy), deeper understanding of shared traits between the incident type 2 diabetes mellitus, pancreatic cancer, and chronic pancreatitis, and elucidation of telomeric homeostasis and a role of mitochondria in early development of PC. PANDoRA consortium will dedicate its attention to the identification and role of rare variants in pancreatic carcinogenesis. Further, studies on genetic factors affecting prognosis of pancreatic cancer and its treatment are scarce and an effort has to be dedicated to these aspects. Despite emerging and studied risk factors for pancreatic cancer risk (such as tobacco use, diabetes, chronic pancreatitis, particular nutritional deficits, bacterial infections, and psychosocial factors), a little attention is dedicated to interactions of these risk factors in additive or synergistic mode (39) or to gene-environmental interactions. Complex studies covering genetic, environmental and microenvironmental factors and their interactions in the pancreatic cancer onset, progression and therapy outcomes are warranted.

Authors' Disclosures

No disclosures were reported

Acknowledgments

P. Vodicka was awarded by Grant Agency of the Ministry of Health of the Czech Republic NU21-07-00247. L. Vodickova and P. Vodicka acknowledge Charles University Research Centre program UNCE/MED/006 and the Charles University Research Fund - Cooperation no. 43- Surgical Disciplines for providing financial support.

Received November 21, 2021; revised February 8, 2022; accepted March 2, 2022; published first May 4, 2022.

- Hu C, Hart SN, Polley EC, Gnanaolivu R, Shimelis H, Lee KY, et al. Association between inherited germline mutations in cancer predisposition genes and risk of pancreatic cancer. JAMA 2018;319:2401–9.
- Amundadottir L, Kraft P, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Fuchs CS, Petersen GM, Arslan AA, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies variants in the ABO locus associated with susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet 2009;41:986–90.
- Rizzato C, Campa D, Pezzilli R, Soucek P, Greenhalf W, Capurso G, et al. ABO blood groups and pancreatic cancer risk and survival: results from the PANcreatic Disease ReseArch (PANDoRA) consortium. Oncol Rep 2013;29:1637–44.
- Petersen GM, Amundadottir L, Fuchs CS, Kraft P, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Jacobs KB, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies pancreatic cancer susceptibility loci on chromosomes 13q22.1, 1q32.1 and 5p15.33. Nat Genet 2010;42:224–8.
- Childs EJ, Mocci E, Campa D, Bracci PM, Gallinger S, Goggins M, et al. Common variation at 2p13.3, 3q29, 7p13 and 17q25.1 associated with susceptibility to pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet 2015;47:911–6.

Vodickova et al.

- Campa D, Rizzato C, Stolzenberg-Solomon R, Pacetti P, Vodicka P, Cleary SP, et al. TERT gene harbors multiple variants associated with pancreatic cancer susceptibility. Int J Cancer 2015;137:2175–83.
- Antwi SO, Bamlet WR, Broderick BT, Chaffee KG, Oberg A, Jatoi A, et al. Genetically predicted telomere length is not associated with pancreatic cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2017;26:971–4.
- Haycock PC, Burgess S, Nounu A, Zheng J, Okoli GN, Bowden J, et al. Association between telomere length and risk of cancer and non-neoplastic diseases: a mendelian randomization study. JAMA Oncol 2017;3:636–51.
- Kroupa M, Rachakonda SK, Liska V, Srinivas N, Urbanova M, Jiraskova K, et al. Relationship of telomere length in colorectal cancer patients with cancer phenotype and patient prognosis. Br J Cancer 2019;121:344–50;
- Kroupa M, Rachakonda S, Vymetalkova V, Tomasova K, Liska V, Vodenkova, et al. Telomere length in peripheral blood lymphocytes related to genetic variation in telomerase, prognosis and clinicopathological features in breast cancer patients. Mutagenesis 2020;35:491–7.
- Campa D, Pastore M, Gentiluomo M, Talar-Wojnarowska R, Kupcinskas J, Malecka-Panas E, et al. Functional single nucleotide polymorphisms within the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A/2B region affect pancreatic cancer risk. Oncotarget 2016;7:57011–20.
- Zhang M, Wang Z, Obazee O, Jia J, Childs EJ, Hoskins J, et al. Three new pancreatic cancer susceptibility signals identified on chromosomes 1q32.1, 5p15.33 and 8q24.21. Oncotarget 2016;7:66328–43.
- Mohelnikova-Duchonova B, Strouhal O, Hughes DJ, Holcatova I, Oliverius M, Kala Z, et al. SLC22A3 polymorphisms do not modify pancreatic cancer risk, but may influence overall patient survival. Sci Rep 2017;7:43812.
- Campa D, Pastore M, Capurso G, Hackert T, Di Leo M, Izbicki JR, et al. Do pancreatic cancer and chronic pancreatitis share the same genetic risk factors? A PANcreatic Disease ReseArch (PANDoRA) consortium investigation. Int J Cancer 2018;142:290–6.
- Campa D, Matarazzi M, Greenhalf W, Bijlsma M, Saum KU, Pasquali C, et al. Genetic determinants of telomere length and risk of pancreatic cancer: a PANDoRA study. Int J Cancer 2019;144:1275–83.
- Obazee O, Archibugi L, Andriulli A, Soucek P, Małecka-Panas E, Ivanauskas A, et al. Germline BRCA2 K3326X and CHEK2 1157T mutations increase risk for sporadic pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Int J Cancer 2019;145:686–93.
- Campa D, Gentiluomo M, Obazee O, Ballerini A, Vodickova L, Hegyi P, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies an early onset pancreatic cancer risk locus. Int J Cancer 2020;147:2065–74.
- Peduzzi G, Gentiluomo M, Tavano F, Arcidiacono PG, Ermini S, Vodicka P, et al. Genetic polymorphisms involved in mitochondrial metabolism and pancreatic cancer risk. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2021;30:2342–5.
- Wolpin BM, Rizzato C, Kraft P, Kooperberg C, Petersen GM, Wang Z, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies multiple susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. Nat Genet 2014;46:994–1000.

- Klein A, Wolpin BM, Risch HA, Stolzenberg-Solomon RZ, Mocci E, Zhang M, et al. Genome-wide meta-analysis identifies five new susceptibility loci for pancreatic cancer. Nat Commun 2018;9:556.
- Galeotti AA, Gentiluomo M, Rizzato C, Obazee O, Neoptolemos JP, Pasquali C, et al. Polygenic and multifactorial scores for pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma risk prediction. J Med Genet 2021;58:369–77.
- Lu Y, Corradi C, Gentiluomo M, López de Maturana E, Theodoropoulos GE, Roth S, et al. Association of genetic variants affecting microRNAs and pancreatic cancer risk. Front Genet 2021;12:693933.
- Pistoni L, Gentiluomo M, Lu Y, López de Maturana E, Hlavac V, Vanella G, et al. Associations between pancreatic expression quantitative traits and risk of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Carcinogenesis 2021;42: 1037–45.
- Corradi C, Gentiluomo M, Gajdán L, Cavestro GM, Kreivenaite E, Di Franco G, et al., Genome-wide scan of long noncoding RNA single nucleotide polymorphisms and pancreatic cancer susceptibility. Int J Cancer 2021; 148:2779–88.
- Zhong J, Jermusyk A, Wu L, Hoskins JW, Collins I, Mocci E, et al. A transcriptome-wide association study identifies novel candidate susceptibility genes for pancreatic cancer. J Natl Cancer Inst 2020;112:1003–12.
- Gentiluomo M, Puchalt García P, Galeotti AA, Talar-Wojnarowska R, Tjaden C, Tavano F, et al. Genetic variability of the ABCC2 gene and clinical outcomes in pancreatic cancer patients. Carcinogenesis 2019;40:544–50.
- Gentiluomo M, Corradi C, Vanella G, Johansen AZ, Strobel O, Szentesi A, et al. Lack of association of CD44-rs353630 and CHI3L2-rs684559 with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma survival. Sci Rep 2021;11:7570.
- 34. Wang X, Ren H, Zhao T, Ma W, Dong J, Zhang S, et al. Single nucleotide polymorphism in the microRNA-199a binding site of HIF1A gene is associated with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma risk and worse clinical outcomes. Oncotarget 2016;7:13717–29.
- Lin Y, Nakatochi M, Hosono Y, Ito H, Kamatani Y, Inoko A, et al. Genome-wide association meta -analysis identifies GP2 gene risk variants for pancreatic cancer. Nat Commun 2020;11:3175.
- Daoud AZ, Mulholland EJ, Cole G, McCarthy HO., MicroRNAs in pancreatic cancer: biomarkers, prognostic, and therapeutic modulators. BMC Cancer 2019; 19:1130.
- Bunduc S, Gede N, Váncsa S,Lillik V, Kiss S, Dembrovszky F, et al. Prognostic role of cell-free DNA biomarkers in pancreatic adenocarcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol 2022; 169:103548.
- Cervena K, Vodicka P, Vymetalkova V. Diagnostic and prognostic impact of cellfree DNA in human cancers: systematic review. Mutat Res Rev Mutat Res 2019; 781:100–29.
- Principe DR, Rana A. Updated risk factors to inform early pancreatic cancer screening and identify high risk patients. Cancer Lett 2020;485:56–65.