RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Influence of developmental stage and genotype on liver mRNA levels among wild, domesticated, and hybrid rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)

Samantha L White¹, Dionne Sakhrani¹, Roy G Danzmann² and Robert H Devlin^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Release of domesticated strains of fish into nature may pose a threat to wild populations with respect to their evolved genetic structure and fitness. Understanding alterations that have occurred in both physiology and genetics as a consequence of domestication can assist in evaluating the risks posed by introgression of domesticated genomes into wild genetic backgrounds, however the molecular causes of these consequences are currently poorly defined. The present study has examined levels of mRNA in fast-growing pure domesticated (D), slow-growing age-matched pure wild (Wa), slow-growing size-matched pure wild (Ws), and first generation hybrid cross (W/D) rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) to investigate the influence of genotype (domesticated vs. wild, and their interactions in hybrids) and developmental stage (age- or size-matched animals) on genetic responses (i.e. dominant vs. recessive) and specific physiological pathways.

Results: Highly significant differences in mRNA levels were found between domesticated and wild-type rainbow trout genotypes (321 mRNAs), with many mRNAs in the wild-domesticated hybrid progeny showing intermediate levels. Differences were also found between age-matched and size-matched wild-type trout groups (64 mRNAs), with unique mRNA differences for each of the wild-type groups when compared to domesticated trout (Wa: 114 mRNAs, Ws: 88 mRNAs), illustrating an influence of fish developmental stage affecting findings when used as comparator groups to other genotypes. Analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs (found for both wild-type trout to domesticated comparisons) among the genotypes indicates that 34.8% are regulated consistent with an additive genetic model, whereas 39.1% and 26.1% show a recessive or dominant mode of regulation, respectively. These molecular data are largely consistent with phenotypic data (growth and behavioural assessments) assessed in domesticated and wild trout strains.

Conclusions: The present molecular data are concordant with domestication having clearly altered rainbow trout genomes and consequent phenotype from that of native wild populations. Although mainly additive responses were noted in hybrid progeny, the prevalence of dominant and non-additive responses reveals that introgression of domesticated and wild genotypes alters the type of genetic control of mRNA levels from that of wild-type, which may lead to disruption of gene regulation systems important for developing phenotypes for optimal fitness in nature. A clear influence of both fish age and size (developmental stage) on mRNA levels was also noted in this study, which highlights the importance of examining multiple control samples to provide a comprehensive understanding of changes observed between strains possessing differences in growth rate.

Keywords: Genomics, Domestication, Growth, Rainbow trout, Age-matched, Size-matched

* Correspondence: Robert.Devlin@dfo-mpo.gc.ca

¹Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 4160 Marine Drive, West Vancouver, BC V7V 1N6, Canada

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 White et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

Domestication is a natural process that occurs in organisms subjected to rearing in animal husbandry, horticulture and aquaculture, adapting them to artificial environmental conditions which differ from those their progenitor wild strains evolved within. Domestication is often coupled with selective breeding to further accentuate desired phenotypic traits such as enhanced growth rate [1-3]. Salmonids (salmon, trout and their relatives) provide an ideal model for domestication due to variability between individual fish for many desirable phenotypic traits, and, unlike for many domesticated agricultural species, in most cases wild strains remain as comparators to the domesticated strains [4-8]. This difference in phenotype between wild and domesticated strains has been found by quantitative genetic studies to be determined mainly by additive genetic differences that accentuate phenotype in domesticated strains relative to the wild parental line [4-6,9,10]. Our understanding of both undirected and directed selection occurring during domestication is based mainly on observations of the phenotypic characteristics of the animal (e.g. body size at maturation), with knowledge regarding the specific underlying genetic and physiological features under selection still poorly understood. Improving our understanding of the molecular genetic basis of domestication will assist in identifying loci involved in control of phenotypic traits, both those desirable for the culture environment and those that may pose risks to wild strains. Indeed, of major concern to fish biologists is the intentional or unintentional release of domesticated fish stocks. Introgression of domesticated and wild genomes may result in hybrid progeny with reduced fitness for their environment [11-14]. However, a recent study by Skaala et al. has demonstrated improved survival of hybrid progeny (some year classes) in the wild [15]. To assist in addressing such concerns, it would be beneficial to understand genetic changes occurring during domestication as well as the effects of interacting genomes on gene regulatory processes. This knowledge would aid in the prediction of the detrimental outcomes of such crosses [12,16,17].

Inter-breeding between distinct populations can lead to different results depending on genetic and environmental factors. Hybridization of strains with low genetic variation and phenotypic range (e.g. from inbreeding or bottlenecks) may in theory yield hybrid progeny with desirable phenotypes through heterosis, where the offspring possess a more favourable phenotype than their parental strains [18]. Out-breeding depression can also occur where the off-spring express a non-favourable phenotype relative to parental strains, leading to reduced fitness of the hybrid progeny and the potential for negative impacts for wild stocks [16,19]. Phenotype-genotype relationships have been examined for traits such as survival, aggression, predator evasion, and feeding motivation [5,6,14,19-24], and for growth potential of wilddomesticated hybrid progeny in relation to their parental strains [4,5,7,8,25]. The overall findings of these studies suggested that domestication-induced traits are regulated mainly by additive genetic variation. Out-breeding with continually backcrossing of hybrid progeny into a wild genome may result in dilution of the domesticatedinduced phenotype and a reversion to wild phenotype [26,27]. While these studies have focused mainly on the effect of domestication through quantification of the phenotypic trait in the hybrid relative to both parents, the advent of microarray technology has enabled exploration at the mRNA level. Research on the relationship between mRNA levels and phenotypic traits has been reported in mouse [28,29] and Drosophila sp [30-33]. However, several studies have also applied this technology to explore the genetic variation arisen through domestication in rainbow trout [34], Atlantic salmon [35-37], coho [38], brook charr [39,40] and lake whitefish [41] salmonid species.

The present study uses microarray technology to screen for differences in mRNA abundance levels between fastgrowing pure domesticated, slow-growing pure wild, and wild-domesticated hybrid (intermediate growth) rainbow trout in liver tissue. Domesticated strains used within this study have been under selection for enhanced growth rate for greater than 30 years and show highly different growth rates relative to their wild comparators (leading to > 25-fold weight difference in domesticated compared to wild-type trout after 14 months), and are comparable to growth rates seen for growth hormone transgenic fish [42]. The main aims of this study were to (1) investigate changes in mRNA levels that have arisen through domestication, and relate these findings to growth and other physiological changes, (2) investigate the relationship among genotypes regarding their effects on mRNA levels, specifically that for wilddomesticated hybrids relative to parental strains, and (3) investigate the effect of developmental stage on mRNA levels by inclusion of both age-matched and size-matched wildtype reference groups. Previous studies of domestication [34,36,38] have tended to size and stage-match wild reference groups to domesticated populations in attempts to control for either developmental (body size, or stage) or environmental (rearing time) variance between groups due to size and stage differences. This study included both sizematched and age-matched wild groups as comparators.

Methods

Strains and fish culture

Strains of rainbow trout (*O. mykiss*) utilized in the present study were a wild strain (W; normally slow-growing) derived from Pennask Lake, British Columbia and a fast-growing domesticated (D) strain which has undergone selection for enhanced growth rate for over 30 years. The domesticated trout are derived from Campbell Lake Trout Farms (Little Fort, BC), a commercial strain used in aquaculture in British

Columbia. In addition to the pure strains, wild-domesticated F_1 hybrids (W/D) were also generated. These three genotypes of fish grow at very different rates, and thus to facilitate comparisons, wild strain trout were analyzed as age-matched (Wa) individuals and, using stock from the previous year, as individuals size-matched (Ws) to the D strain trout. Strains were generated and reared at the Fisheries and Oceans Canada Centre for Aquaculture and Environmental Research (CAER) in West Vancouver, BC. In June 2007, a series of crosses were performed to assess phenotypic and genetic relationships between the D and W strains. For the molecular analysis described here, a single cross was selected to minimize complications arising from allelic differences that may exist among individuals within each of the strains. Thus, groups were derived from 1) one female D trout crossed with a D male to generate pure domestic (D) trout, 2) the same D female was crossed with a W male to generate W/D hybrid progeny, and 3) to generate pure W trout, one W female was crossed with the same W male used to generate the W/D progeny. In the previous year (2006), W trout were selected from a large, randomly mated set of crosses from fish from the same wild source as for 2007 broods (Pennask Lake). All families (including size-matched wild-type trout) were reared individually under the same culture conditions in fresh aerated well water (10°C) at a density of less than 5 kg/m³ under simulated natural lighting and photoperiod (latitude 49°13′). Fish were fed stage-appropriate artificial salmon diets (Sketting, Canada Ltd.) to satiation three times per day for the wild-type, domesticated and F_1 hybrid groups. All experiments were approved by the DFO Pacific Regional Animal Care Committee and adhered to Guidelines of the Canadian Council for Animal Care.

Sampling

For analysis, six fish were selected from each of the groups (Wa, Ws, D and W/D) during February 2008. At this time, the domesticated strain individuals had grown to a body size that closely matched that of the 2006 W strain fish (Figure 1). Liver tissue was sampled from six individual fish from each experimental group; Wa, Ws, D and W/D rainbow trout. Lengths and weights (Figure 1) at the time of sampling varied between all groups. In terms of fish weight, domesticated and size-matched wilds were the largest in terms of mass relative to wild-domesticated hybrid and age-matched wild groups. Prior to tissue sampling no food was provided to the fish for a 24 hr period. Fish were terminated by over-anaesthetization with 200 mg/L tricaine methane sulfonate plus 400 mg/L sodium bicarbonate (1:2 ratio), followed by decapitation.



RNA extraction

Liver tissue was excised and placed immediately in RNAlater as specified by the manufacturer (Ambion) and stored at -80°C until analysis. Total RNA was extracted from individual liver tissues using TRIzol reagent (Invitogen) by pellet pestle homogenization (Kontes) and purified using Qiagen's RNeasy MinElute Cleanup kit. Quality and quantity of RNA was examined spectrophotometrically at 260 nm and 280 nm and RNA purity estimated using 260/280 ratio \geq 1.8.

Microarray hybridization

Microarray experiments were designed to comply with Minimum Information About a Microarray Experiment (MIAME) guidelines [43] and all scanned images and quantified raw data files have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus [44] with GEO platform accession number [GSE45151]. All hybridizations were carried out using 44K salmonid oligonucleotide arrays containing four arrays per slide, provided by the consortium for Genomics Research on All Salmon Project (cGRASP) [45,46]. A reference design was used in all hybridizations, composed of pooled total RNA from wild sizematched rainbow trout (n = 6). This pooled sample served as the Cy3 labelled control (see below) to which each individual fish was compared. Each experimental group (D, W/D, Wa and Ws) included 6 individual fish. Thus, in total 24 44K oligo-arrays were utilised during this study. Total liver RNA for individual fish from each experimental group was hybridized to one of four arrays per individual slide. For each experimental group the positioning on the slide was: D, W/D, Wa and Ws.

Total RNA (100 ng) for both reference and experimental samples was converted to cDNA and cRNAs derived therefrom were labelled with the appropriate fluorescent dye using the Agilent Low Input Quick Amp (LIQA) kit, following manufacturer's instruction. Agilent Spike A control and Spike B control were added to reference (Cy3) and experimental (Cy5) samples, respectively. Following denaturation (65°C for 10 min) and cRNA synthesis (40°C for 2 hr) steps, the reaction was heated at 70°C for 15 min and, then placed directly on ice to inactivate the AffinityScript enzyme. cRNA was then labelled with the appropriate fluorescent dye by the addition of 6 µL of Transcription Master Mix Cocktail containing either Cy3 or Cy5. The reaction mix was incubated at 40°C for 2 hr and, subsequently stored at -80°C until use. Labelled cRNA samples were thawed and then purified using Qiagen's RNeasy mini spin columns. Labelled cRNA samples were quantified spectrophotometrically at 260 nm, incorporation of Cy dyes and specific activity of each sample were calculated as per manufacture's guidelines (Agilent). Hybridizations were carried out in accordance with LIQA kit protocol, using 825 ng of experimental and reference pool cRNA. 100 μ L of this hybridization reaction mix was loaded in order D, W/D, Wa and Ws to each of the respective individual grids on the 44K oligo-array using Agilent's SureHyb enabled Hybridization reaction chamber and gasket slides. Hybridization was carried out at 65°C for 17 hr in a rotary hybridization oven at 10 rpm. Following hybridization, slides were washed as per manufacture's protocol. Slides were scanned immediately using ScanArray Express (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA, USA) scanner at 5 μ m resolution at 90% laser power, with PMT values of 85 for Cy3 channel and 70 for Cy5 channel.

Data analysis

Scanned arrays were quantified using Imagene 9.0 (BioDiscovery, EL Segundo, CA, USA) and data files processed prior to import into GeneSpring 12.0 (Agilent Technologies, Inc, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Data was imported into GeneSpring under the following conditions: raw data was converted to a threshold value of 1.0, raw data was log₂ transformed, and LOWESS normalisation and baseline transformation to the median value of all samples was performed. As a quality control, data for each slide was examined using scatter plot and MA-plot to examine dye bias and technical variation between slides for each biological replicate.

Of the 43,689 entities (oligos spotted on the array), 9,386 were present in this study and this list was used for the final statistical tests. This entity list was created by filtering based on expression (lower intensity cut-off \leq 300) and flags to account for background, false positives and absent spots. Statistical analysis was performed using a one-way ANOVA assuming equal variance with Tukey's post-hoc and Benjamini Hochberg (FDR) multiple corrections. Significance was determined using *P* values \leq 0.05 or \leq 0.01. Figure 2 details the number and type of expression found to differ between all pairwise comparisons of Wa, Ws, D and W/D-hybrid, and a list of annotated entities can be found in the additional files provided (Additional files 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6).

Hierarchical clustering was performed on all mRNAs with signals above background on the array and on significant mRNAs found during analysis, using Euclidian distance measurement and clustering based on condition (genotype) and mRNA level (GeneSpring). It should be noted however that hierarchical clustering in reference to its use here is not a statistical analysis method, and in this case was only used as a means of visually inferring relationships among genotypes. As an additional quality control, a 3D-PCA plot was examined to assess group cohesiveness (within and between) each of the genotypes (Wa, Ws, D and W/D). Clustering according to geno-type was evident, with all individuals within a genotype clustering together. Some overlapping of individuals

within the D and W/D groupings was noted. Following statistical analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs, all replicates were removed from mRNA entity lists. For replicate removal of same named mRNAs, in each case the first mRNA within each replicate group was selected. This was done consistently for removal of same name replicates from each entity list. Venn diagrams were employed during analysis to determine the proportion of mRNAs that were mutually expressed in comparisons of D to wild-type groups and those unique to Wa or Ws groups relative to D groups. This was performed in order to draw some conclusions with regards the relevance and or necessity for size matching fish during experimental design. Venn diagram breakdown was also used in this study to examine mutually expressed mRNAs found on comparison of wild-domesticated hybrid trout in relation to all other groups.

Examination of mRNA regulation patterns between genotypes was performed using SPSS software version 18.0 (IBM). One-way ANOVA assuming equal variance with Tukey's post-hoc and Benjamini Hochberg (FDR) multiple corrections was performed on a combined list of mRNAs found to be significantly different for pairings of D to wild-type trout during microarray statistical testing ($P \le 0.05$ and fold change ≥ 2). Statistical testing was performed on normalized log expression values from all individual fish within the D, W/D and Wa and Ws groups and differences were noted as significant with a Pvalue \leq 0.05. Regulation of mRNAs was defined as additive if significant differences were noted for comparisons of both W/D to W and W/D to D. Regulation of mRNAs was defined as non-additive if the W/D-hybrid was significantly different from one but not the other parental strains. Regulation of mRNAs was defined as



D-dominant (in reference to D) if W/D was significantly different from the wild-type but not from the D parental strain. Regulation of mRNAs was defined as D-recessive (in reference to D) if W/D was significantly different from D but not the W parental strain. During analysis, there were some cases where a mRNA resembled additive effect but was not significantly different from both parents. To confirm an additive effect or no effect (Ne) of genotype for these mRNAs linear regression analysis was used. Linear regression analysis was performed for each individual mRNA. Expression values (non-log expression values) for an individual mRNA were plotted across the three genotype groups and the slope of the line determined (SPSS version 18.0, IBM). The effect of genotype on mRNA regulation was classified as resembling additive (and included in the additive category) if the slope of the line was significantly different from zero $(P \le 0.05)$; if the slope was not significantly different from zero, mRNA regulation was classified as no effect (Ne) of genotype.

Functional pathways were assigned where possible to the significant mRNAs found in comparison between all group pairings using UniProt Knowledgebase [47], EMBL-EBI [48], Gene Ontology (GO) information provided in array annotation file and an in-house database.

Results

Entity list generation and statistical analysis

Levels of liver mRNAs were analyzed using a 44K oligoarray (consortium for Genomics Research on All Salmon Project; cGRASP) for four groups of rainbow trout (fastgrowing domesticated (D), wild-domesticated hybrid (W/ D), slow-growing age-matched wild-type (Wa), and slowgrowing size-matched wild-type (Ws)). Comparisons among these groups allowed assessment of the influence both of genotype (domesticated vs. wild, and hybrid) and developmental stage (body size and age) on mRNA levels. Following data normalisation and quality control filtering a total of 9,386 out of 43,689 oligos spotted on the array platform (21.5% of those on the array) were found to be present within the confines of this experiment. Significant entity lists were generated for each group pairing and were also further filtered to examine differences greater than 2 fold to focus on mRNAs with major differences in expression. Figure 2 details the number of mRNAs found significant within each pairing, before (Figure 2a) and after (Figure 2b) filtering on fold change, and with replicate same named mRNAs entities removed. Statistical analysis using a one-way ANOVA was also performed with significance levels adjusted to $P \leq 0.01$ for more stringent analysis (Additional file 7) which in most groups reduced the number of significant mRNAs to nearly half. In total, 733 or 7.8% (same named replicates removed) of all entities expressed on the array were deemed to be significantly different (ANOVA, $P \le 0.05$) in terms of mRNA levels among any of the different rainbow trout group pairings (Figure 2a). mRNAs with major changes were defined as those having a greater than 2-fold difference (condition 1/condition 2) in mRNA level between any of the group pairings. This focus decreased the number of mRNAs to 351 (3.7%) of all expressed entities on the array (Figure 2b) and will be referred to as the comprehensive significant entity list.

Differences in mRNA levels found for W (wild-type), D (Domesticated), and W/D (wild-domesticated hybrid) rainbow trout

Figure 2b details the number of mRNAs found to differ significantly among the various comparisons of D, W/D, Wa, and Ws trout. The largest proportion of mRNAs showing significant differences were noted for D when compared to W-type trout groups (greater than 200 mRNAs), with similar numbers found with comparisons to either age-matched or size-matched wild-type groups. Comparison of the W/D group relative to Wa and to D groups showed similar amounts of differential mRNA expression, whereas comparison of W/D to Ws showed higher amounts of mRNAs with different levels of expression. Some mRNAs (64) were also found to differ between the two wild-type groups (Wa and Ws).

Wa relative to Ws trout

Differences in liver mRNA levels were noted between Wa relative to Ws-type trout ($P \le 0.05$). Of the comprehensive significant entity list, 18.2% (64) differed in mRNA expression between Wa relative to Ws groups (Figure 2b). When visualized via heat maps of mRNA levels, individual mRNA expression patterns appeared consistent for all individual fish within each group but differed between the two wild-type groups (Figure 3). Expression profiles within this pairing show similar levels of up or down mRNA regulation (Figure 2b). Note that prior to the application of a fold change ≥ 2 (Figure 2a), Wa differed quite substantially in term of mRNA levels (229 mRNAs) in comparison to Ws-type trout.

D relative to Wa and Ws trout groups

The largest proportion of differences in mRNA levels in liver was found in comparisons of D relative to either wild-type group. Of the comprehensive significant entity list, 233 (66.4%) and 207 (58.9%) mRNAs differed significantly between D and Wa and Ws, respectively (Figure 2b). Venn diagram analysis of both significant entity lists showed 119 of these mRNAs were shared in comparisons of D to Wa and to Ws-type trout (Figure 4a). Figure 2a and 2b detail the proportion of up and down mRNA regulation for all significant mRNAs found between pairings of D relative to W-type trout. For D





relative to Wa similar levels of up and down mRNA regulation are noted, whereas for D relative to Ws a higher proportion of mRNAs were up-regulated as opposed to down-regulated (Figure 2b). Hierarchical clustering of those mRNAs found common to both wild-type in comparisons to D produced two cluster groups, with domesticated (D) and wild/domesticated (W/D) rainbow trout groups clustering together and, age-matched (Wa) and size-matched (Ws) wild-type rainbow trout clustering together (Figure 5a). Examination of mRNA expression levels (normalised expression value and fold change, see Additional files 1 and 2) and type (up or down regulation) were found to be very similar for both wild-type groups relative to D (Figure 5a). However, the unique differences in mRNA levels found here in comparison of D relative to Wa and D relative to Ws (Figure 4a) further emphasises the difference between wild reference groups. Individual variation (n = 24) within and between groups was examined using a 3D-PCA plot (Figure 5b). All samples within each genotype were found to cluster together within the PCA plot, with some overlap seen for D and W/D hybrids as expected.

W/D hybrid relative to D and wild-type trout

W/D hybrid group were compared to Wa, Ws and D groups. The largest degree of variation in mRNA levels was found in comparison of W/D with Ws, with 113 mRNAs (32.2%) from the comprehensive significant entity list differing in this pairing. Similar proportions of differences in mRNA levels were found in comparison of W/D relative Wa and D groups (76 or 21.6%, and 79 or 22.5%, respectively; Figure 2b). A greater proportion of mRNAs were up-regulated in W/D relative to W-type fish, while similar levels of up/down regulation were noted for those mRNAs significant in W/D relative to D fish (Figure 2b). Venn diagram analysis of significant entity lists for each W/D pairing, show very few (n = 4)mRNAs to be common between all groups (Figure 4b). However, 31 mRNAs were shared between pairings of W/D to Wa and Ws-type trout (Figure 4b).

Relationship of genotype and mRNA levels

Those mRNAs (321) found to be statistically different ($P \leq$ 0.05 and fold change \geq 2) between D relative to W-type rainbow trout during microarray analysis were used to determine the influence of genotype (domesticated vs. wild, and hybrid) and developmental stage on mRNA regulation. One-way ANOVA was perform on normalised log intensity values for D, W-type and W/D hybrid groups, to determine additive (a), D-recessive (r), or D-dominant (d) genotype effects for the 321 mRNAs (Table 1). Examples of each genotype effect are shown in Figure 6a. This list of differentially expressed mRNAs between D relative to W-type can be divided into three groups. Group A represents differentially expressed mRNAs found between D and both wild-type groups, group B represents mRNAs that differed significantly only between D relative to Wa type trout, and group C represent mRNAs that differed significantly only between D relative to Ws type trout. For group A, similar levels of additive, D-dominant and D-recessive genotype control were noted, however a tendency for increased prevalence of additive and D-recessive model can be seen (Table 1). Within this group it was found that a D-dominant genetic variation was more prominent for up-regulated as opposed to downregulated mRNAs (data not shown). Table 1 shows a large proportion of mRNAs within group A that were regulated in a D-recessive or D-dominant manner relative to domesticated fish. These results indicate a strong influence of both the wild and the domesticated genome in mRNA regulation. High levels of concordance (84% of all mRNAs within group A), for additive, D-recessive and D-dominant effects, was found when using either Wa or Ws type trout for comparisons. This implies that for group A, mRNA regulation is most likely due to the effect of genotype



and not a result of age or stage differences between D and wild fish.

Table 1 shows that for groups B and C the greatest degree of concordance when analysing the effect of genotype (between Wa and Ws groups) on mRNAs abundance was seen for D-recessive regulation. A significant proportion of mRNAs within these groups were unaffected by genotype (Ne). For example, mRNAs that displayed a D-dominant response when comparing W/D to D and Wa groups, showed either no effect (Figure 6b) or were consistent with additive effects when comparing the same mRNA expression for W/D to D and Ws trout groups. These results indicate that the controlling factor for differences in these mRNAs is most likely dictated by differences in fish developmental stage or life history between domesticated and wild rainbow trout rather than by genotype. Examples of additive (a), D-recessive (r) and D-dominant (d) genotype effects are shown in Figure 6a, along with illustrations of the effect of development stage on expression levels (Figure 6b) and those resembling additive (Figure 6c).

Physiological differences in liver for Wa, Ws, D and W/D rainbow trout

In order to determine which physiological pathways differ between the domesticated and wild-type strains examined, functional pathways were assigned where possible to the comprehensive significant entity list (351 mRNAs) identified from all group comparisons. The functional pathways were categorised under 16 umbrella terms (see Additional files 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6). Physiological pathways which showed alterations between groups were primarily associated with the following groups: response to stimulus (including stress/immune response and oxidation-reduction), cell/tissue structure and development (including cell adhesion, muscle and cytoskeletal development), and transport (primarily in oxygen and metabolite transport).

Differences in physiological processes between Wa and Ws type trout groups were primarily in cell/tissue structure and development, response to stimulus, lipid metabolism, and transport (Figure 7a). In terms of expression profiles, it was noted that the majority of mRNAs which showed upregulation in Wa relative to Ws trout were associated with metabolic pathways, mainly lipid metabolism, transport systems and response to stimulus (oxidation-reduction reactions). Down-regulated mRNAs within this pairing related mostly to cell/tissue structure and development (skeletal muscle development) and response to stimulus (Figure 7a).

The main physiological pathways which differed between D to W-type groups were found in transport, response to stimulus, cell/tissue structure and development, metabolism (combined metabolic pathways) and protein synthesis (in Figure 7b only mRNAs commonly affected in Wa and Ws are shown). Of the mRNAs within this group, 15% had unknown functions and therefore could not be assigned to any physiological pathway group. For mRNAs which demonstrated unique differences in mRNA levels in D relative to

Figure 5 (See legend on next page.)

Expression Bar



(See figure on previous page.)

Figure 5 Hierarchical clustering of mRNA expression profiles in liver tissue of all individual fish, including 3D-PCA plot. a) hierarchical clustering using Euclidian distance measurement on all mRNAs with significantly different expression ($P \le 0.05$ and fold change ≥ 2 , 165 mRNAs total, includes replicates) common between D relative to W groups. The X-axis is clustered by group (condition) and the Y-axis by normalised mRNA expression values. Clustering was done using all individual samples for age-matched wilds (Wa), size-matched wilds (Ws), domesticated (D) and wild-domesticated hybrid (W/D). The expression bar indicates the normalised expression level for each mRNA (intensity), which are represented by various colour intensities. b) 3D-PCA plot showing variation based on biological variables. Three-dimensional principal component plot with each axis explaining a certain percentage of variation among all samples (n = 24). X-axis: 12.91% Y-axis: 9.47% and Z-axis: 6.47%. Samples are coloured according to genotype assignment with age-matched wild (grey), size-matched wild (red), domesticated (blue) and wild-domesticated hybrid (reddish brown).

Wa or D relative to Ws, the proportion of mRNAs and types of physiological pathways were similar for both groups, but the specific mRNAs and mRNA expression profiles (up/ down regulation) differed (see Additional file 8). Some physiological pathways which differed in D relative to Wa, but not Ws, were homeostasis, protein metabolism, translation and apoptosis.

Figure 7c details the physiological pathways which differed between W/D hybrid relative to W-type and D-type trout (only shared mRNAs are shown for both W-types). Although few mRNAs were shared between W-type trout and D when either was compared to W/D (Figure 4b), some similarities were noted in the types of altered physiological pathways. While a large proportion of mRNAs within these pairings were unknowns, the main pathways identified were transport, response to stimulus, cell/tissue structure and development and protein synthesis. Difference in physiological pathways for W/D relative to D, in relation to W/D relative to

	Table 1	Relationship	between	genotype	and	mRNA	levels
--	---------	--------------	---------	----------	-----	------	--------

Genotype effect									
Group A: Shared differences in mRNA D relative to W-type									
	r	d	a*	Ne					
Wa	40	26	48	1					
Ws	44	32	36	0					
Concordance	36	24	32	0					
Group B: Unique difference in mRNA D relative to age-matched Wilds									
Wa	39	41	27	0					
Ws	37	3	32	36					
Concordance	32	3	13	0					
Group C: Unique differences in mRNA D relative to size-matched Wilds									
Wa	11	7	37	30					
Ws	10	54	20	0					
Concordance	10	7	12	0					

Table shows the number of mRNAs from the D relative to W-type entity list that showed additive (a), D-recessive (r) or D-dominant (d) mRNA regulation patterns relative to the domesticated parent. Included are those mRNAs that were found to differ significantly in D relative to Wa only and D relative to Ws only. Included in the table are those mRNAs that showed no effect (Ne) of genotype on mRNA regulation.

*a: additive (includes mRNAs that resemble additive effect), r: D-recessive (relative to domesticated), d: D-dominant (relative to domesticated) and Ne: no effect of genotype.

W-type, were in signalling, generation of precursor metabolites and energy, nucleotide, protein and carbohydrate metabolism. Figure 4b details the number of mRNAs that differ in expression levels for W/D relative to Wa only and Ws only.

Discussion

To assess the genetic and physiological transformations that occur as part of the domestication process, the present study measured differences in mRNA levels between slowgrowing wild and fast-growing domesticated strains of rainbow trout, and analyzed the influence of combining domesticated and wild genomes in F_1 wild-domesticated hybrid progeny. This research also assessed the effect of comparing mRNA levels of domesticated and hybrid genotypes to two different wild-type control groups that were either sizematched or age-matched to the domesticated genotype.

Substantial significant differences in mRNA expression were found for fast-growing domesticated rainbow trout relative to the slow-growing wild trout, with 5.4% of all detected mRNAs differentially expressed between these genotypes. Further, significant differences were also observed for both of these parental strains relative to their wild-domesticated hybrid F1 progeny, although to a lesser extent than between parental groups. Among all detected mRNAs, hybrids possessed 3.3% and 2.7% of mRNAs with different expression levels relative to sizematched and age-matched wild trout (respectively), and 2.9% of mRNAs differed when compared to domesticated trout. The lowest proportion of differentially expressed mRNAs was noted in comparison of agematched and size-matched wild rainbow trout, with 2.4% of all detected mRNAs differing in this pairing. A related study comparing wild rainbow trout and a domesticated strain (different from the strain used in the present study) found similar results, where 6% of all detected mRNA differed in liver tissue [34]. Previous work on brook charr found 4.16% of all detected liver mRNAs differed between domesticated and wild populations at the juvenile stage [39]. Studies with Atlantic salmon whole fry found that 1.4 -1.6% of all detected mRNAs differed in hybrid progeny with respect to their parental populations [37], whereas 6.4% of all detected mRNAs differed in second generation farmed wild

backcross relative to wilds [17]. Similar results for hybrid relative to parental populations were observed in a study of normal and dwarf lake whitefish [41]. Slight differences among these data sets likely arise by differences in experimental design (microarray platform, specific tissues vs. whole fry, developmental stages assessed) and variation due to the salmon species under investigation.

Similar proportions of mRNAs are up- vs. downregulated in domesticated fish relative to their wild counterparts (a slightly higher proportion of up-regulation was seen in the comparison with Ws as opposed to Wa trout). These results are different from the findings of Tymchuk et al. [34] who found a higher representation of down-regulated mRNAs in the liver tissue of domesticated relative to size-matched wild rainbow trout. In the present study, many of the same mRNAs were found to be concordantly regulated in domesticated trout relative both to age-matched and size-matched wild trout. A concordant response is consistent with differences between slow-growing wild and fast-growing domesticated strains being changes that are stable across developmental stages and rearing conditions, and thus may be critical changes that have arisen during the domestication process. It is important to consider that although the domesticated strain used in this study has undergone selection for enhanced growth performance and shows vastly different growth rates to their wild counter parts, not all genetic differences between the strains will have arisen from domestication selection and not all will be related to growth. Other unintentional differences in behaviour, morphology and physiology likely have also arisen and could account for some of the genetic differences noted here. Between wild-domesticated hybrids and parental groups, proportionally more mRNAs were upregulated relative to age- and size-matched wild trout, whereas similar levels of up and down mRNA regulation were observed relative to domesticated trout.

The analysis of hybrid progeny in conjunction with the pure domesticated and wild parental strains allowed



Additive, Dominant and Recessive Genotype Effect

a



examination of the influence of genotype on mRNA regulation. Specifically, co-dominant expression is occurring if the level of mRNA product in the hybrid is intermediate between the parental strains, D-dominant expression if levels resemble the domesticated strain (equivalent to W-recessive with respect to the wild strain), D-recessive if levels resemble the wild-type strain (equivalent to W-dominant with respect to the wild strain), and over- or under-dominant if levels are respectively greater or lower than all the strains. For those mRNAs that showed concordant responses between domesticated and both wild-type groups (Wa and Ws), hybrid inheritance patterns showed similar levels of additive, D-dominant and D-recessive control. However, a slightly higher proportion of additive and D-recessive modes of regulation can be seen. These findings are concordant with a previous study examining mRNA abundance differences between wild and domesticated strains of Atlantic salmon from two environments [35]. The large proportion of mRNAs showing recessive and dominant

genotype regulation suggests specific genetic influences of both the wild and domesticated genome in mRNA regulation in hybrids. The prevalence of dominant and non-additive responses reveals that introgression between domesticated and wild populations considerably alters the genetic control of mRNA levels from that evolved in wild individuals, and therefore may disrupt gene regulatory systems important for developing phenotypes for optimal fitness in nature. In contrast to the responses seen for mRNA levels, previous research examining the influence of genotype on selected traits such as growth and behaviour found mainly additive regulation [5-8,25]. In such cases where F₂ progeny have been examined, it is anticipated that the backcrossing of F_1 hybrid genome combination to wild-type would further disrupt mRNA regulatory systems via outbreeding depression. In contrast, numerous studies examining the influence of genotype on mRNA levels have found mainly nonadditive, dominant or transgressive modes of regulation

[17,36,40,41]. If we consider that the expression of a particular phenotypic trait such as growth rate or maturity are a result of complex networks of genes working in unison, as opposed to a single gene, it is possible that non-additive genotype effects noted in gene regulation responses are masked when gene complexes are formed to give rise to the visible phenotype [32]. Based on the combined results of the current and many previous studies, we agree with the conclusion of Normandeau et al. [36] and Roberge et al. [17] that the consequences of hybridization on both mRNA regulation and phenotype expression are highly dependent on the specific genetic architecture of the crossed populations and therefore, highly unpredictable. The number of fish per genotype (n = 6) used in the present analysis of genotype effects on mRNAs regulation may have generated levels of variance that would prevent identification of differences among the groups for specific genes, and as such, actual differences may be somewhat greater. It is also possible that some of the effects seen may be a result of strain variation within each group which could generate non-homogeneity of genotype among the W/D hybrid fish selected, despite each showing intermediate growth rate and body size relative to the parental strains. Although the issue of within and between genotype variation was examined as part of this study and, little variation between individuals was noted, further study including analysis of additional individuals and strains would be beneficial.

To assess whether specific biological pathways were being influenced, functional assignments for differentially regulated mRNAs were determined for each of the genotypes. Differences between domesticated and wild rainbow trout showed a high representation of mRNAs involved in transport, metabolism, response to stimulus, cell/tissue structure and development, protein synthesis and transcription. The majority of mRNAs involved in these processes had higher mRNA levels in domesticated trout. Examination of alteration in fish physiology for domesticated and growth hormone (GH) transgenic coho [38] and domesticated rainbow trout [34] observed similar changes in stress and immune response, cell and tissue structure, energy production and protein synthesis physiological pathways. Comparable results for alterations in fish physiology have also been seen for brook charr [39] and Atlantic salmon [17,37]. The combination of these results suggests that similar biological pathways are altered in multiple species of domesticated fish, as well as GH transgenic fish, in order to support faster rates of growth, and that these changes may be both causal of or responsive to the underlying genetic variation that has led to altered phenotypes in fast-growing strains [34].

Specifically, in terms of metabolism, energy acquisition and utilisation, mRNAs displaying the highest level of fold change between domesticated and wild strains were Apo-A1-2 precursor, ELOVL FA elongase 6, FAA and protein canopy homolog 2 precursor, NADH-ubiquinonereductase chain 2, cytochrome C and COX IV-2, with under expression of ACBP. The elevated levels of many mRNAs involved in lipid metabolism may reflect the greater demand in domesticated fish for growth-related resources necessary to support increased growth performance [1,40]. Additionally, many mRNAs associated with protein synthesis were overexpressed in domesticated trout. Protein synthesis plays a strong role in fish growth through mediation of many growth related pathways [1,49]. mRNAs which displayed the highest level of over-expression were 40S ribosomal proteins (S5 and S21) and superoxide dismutase. Although superoxide dismutase has been linked to other roles which include antioxidant defence, it is included within this group for its role in protein biosynthetic process and growth regulation. Given that the liver tissue is highly involved in protein turnover, amino acid metabolism and lipid metabolism for its role in protein biosynethic during periods of accelerated growth the elevation of mRNAs within these pathways for the domesticated population was not unexpected.

During this study many mRNAs associated with oxygen and macromolecule transport were found to be expressed at a high level in domesticated relative to wild trout. mRNAs involved in these pathways may show increased levels due to the higher metabolic rate of the domesticated trout, required for increased growth and nutritional absorption. Notable with respect to transport, mRNAs involved in ion transport were found to be down-regulated in domesticated fish. mRNAs which displayed the highest level of over-expression were, solute carrier family 28 member 3, hemoglobin subunits beta (β and β -1,-2) and alpha (α and α -4) and vitellogin-3 precursor. Similar results of increased expression of hemoglobin beta and alpha subunits in liver tissue were reported by Rise et al. [50] for examination of GH transgenic coho expression. Tymchuk et al. [34] observed different results to those described above, with increased expression of hemoglobin found only in the brain tissue of domesticated rainbow trout. Differences seen between studies maybe due to, strain selection, variance in fish growth rate, or, perhaps to differences in the vascular circulatory systems in the liver tissue.

Results of differential mRNAs expression in liver tissue showed over-expression in domesticated trout of many mRNAs involved in the activation and regulation of the complement pathway and innate immunity. Increased expression in domesticated trout was noted for lectin precursor, Complement C3-1, Fucolectin 6 precursor, Complement factor b precursor, C-type lectin domain family 4 member m and decreased expression of primary defence mechanisms exemplified by Ig mu chain C region membrane bound form. These results are contradictory to the findings of Tymchuk et al. [34] who described down-regulation of many mRNAs involved in the stress and immune system, and attributed this fact to tradeoffs incurred by domesticated trout in order to sustain increased growth rates. Debes et al. [35] also noted down-regulation of some immune related mRNAs in domesticated Atlantic salmon. However, up-regulation of CD59 and MHC class II combined with higher levels of lysozyme C transcript was also noted, and is different from the findings of Tymchuk et al. [34]. It was suggested that elevated levels of these mRNAs may relate to domesticated fish displaying a higher resistance towards vibriosis, a common bacterial disease in aquaculture relative to wild populations [51]. It is possible that increased expression of mRNAs involved in the complement pathway may be explained by an increased host defence system in domesticated rainbow trout, an acute phase response prior to sampling, or alternative uses for these mRNAs in regulatory pathways in domesticated trout.

An objective of this study was to investigate changes in mRNA levels in domesticated trout using different wild-type comparators. Whenever two strains with different growth rates (e.g. wild and domesticated) are being compared, they will, at a specific age, naturally have different body sizes if provided with satiating levels of food. Since body size is linked to developmental stage in many fishes, differences in mRNA levels are anticipated between such groups simply due to the fish being developmentally distinct, rather than due directly to genetic differences causal of the domestication phenotype. To date, studies investigating the effect of wild reference group selection have focused mainly on wild populations from different geographical location [36,37], population groups [40], life stages [39,45,52] and environmental treatment [35]. Bougas et al. [40] clearly demonstrated the influence of wild population selection on changes in mRNA expression by assessing two wild populations from different river systems. Further studies by Debes et al. [35] illustrated the effect of wild rearing environment on differential mRNA expression when either wild population was paired to domesticated populations. The present study aimed to extend previous experiments by our group and others by examining differences that occur within a specific strain reared in the same environment, with one wild group a year older than the other. Domesticated populations were matched to wild-type fish of either the same age or the same size (year older) in order to distinguish alterations in mRNA expression that have arisen due to differences in fish developmental stage rather than due to domestication.

Significant differences in mRNA expression were noted for age-matched relative to size-matched wild-type trout, with 0.68% of all detected mRNAs differing greater than 2-fold (2.4% for all differentially detected mRNAs). When domesticated trout were compared to wild-type trout groups, unique differences in mRNA levels were noted. This comparison reveals how developmental stage and/or age, can alone cause differential mRNA levels, independent of effects arising from domestication. Further confirmation of these findings was found upon analysis of the effect of genotype on mRNA regulation comparing parental to hybrid groups. Unique mRNA expression patterns were observed between domesticated and either age-matched or size-matched wild-type trout, consistent with a clear effect of fish development stage on mRNA levels. The biological pathways influenced by these mRNAs in both groups of wild-type trout also differed, primarily with respect to transport, response to stimulus, and, most strongly, cell/tissue structure and development, and lipid metabolism. The manner in which wilds differed in terms of physiology strongly supports the case of difference due to age, development, and life history. These results suggest that caution should be applied in interpreting data where only one control group (age- or size-matched) is selected in experiments comparing fish with different growth rates.

Conclusion

The present study has shown that considerable differences in genetics and physiology are associated with strains of domesticated and wild-type rainbow trout. Assessment of genotype effects demonstrated mainly additive and Drecessive mRNA regulation in hybrid progeny. To better understand the consequences of hybridization (and effects on phenotype and risks of introgression of domesticated strains into native populations), further study would be beneficial, including assessing additional strains and species, allelic variation among individuals within strains, and second generation hybrid crosses to identify specific quantitative trait loci influencing morphology, physiology (e.g. growth), and mRNA levels (eQTL analysis). The mRNAs within the D-recessive class may be of particular interest in this regard as we predict that these genomic blocks may show highly significant eQTL associations with growth. The present study revealed the importance of assessing the effect of developmental stage on differential mRNA levels between fastgrowing domesticated and slow-growing wild-type groups. Differences in mRNA levels (from that seen in domesticated trout) were more prominent for age-matched as opposed to size-matched wild-type controls, suggesting that matching groups by size (e.g. developmental stage) may provide more biologically meaningful data indicative of genetic differences between strains.

Availability of supporting data

The data set supporting the results of this article is available in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) repository [GEO; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/] with GEO platform accession number [GSE45151].

Additional files

Additional file 1: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of domesticated relative to age-matched wild-type rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 2: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of domesticated relative to size-matched wild-type rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 3: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of wild-domesticated relative to domesticated rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 4: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of wild-domesticated relative to age-matched wild-type rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 5: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of wild-domesticated relative to size-matched wild-type rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 6: Significant mRNA entity list (including replicate mRNAs) of age-matched wild relative to size-matched wild-type rainbow trout (*P*-value of \leq 0.05 and fold change of \geq 2).

Additional file 7: Figure S1. Significant differences found in mRNA levels between group pairings as determine by ANOVA $P \le 0.01$ with fold change of ≥ 2 . Bar chart shows the amount of up-regulated and down-regulated mRNAs found in each group pairing of fast-growing domesticated (D), slow-growing age-matched wild (Wa), slow-growing size-matched wild (Ws), and first generation hybrid cross (W/D) rainbow trout.

Additional file 8: Figure S2. Physiological pathways found significant in pairings of domesticated (D) and wild-domesticated (W/D) relative to either age- (Wa) or size-matched (Ws) trout populations. S2a.) the physiological pathways up- or down-regulated, unique to D relative to Wa (blue) or Ws (red). S2b.) the physiological pathways up- or down-regulated in W/D relative to Wa (blue), Ws (red) and D (grey) populations.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interest.

Authors' contributions

SLW wrote the manuscript and performed all bioinformatics and statistical analysis. DS produced the British Columbia family crosses and conducted all microarray hybridizations. RHD, RGD and SLW were involved in the design of the study and data interpretation. All authors read and commented on the manuscript.

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank NSERC (Canada) Strategic program (364901) and the Canadian Regulatory System for Biotechnology (R.H.D) for their funding support. The authors would like to thank Rosalind Leggatt for her help in editing the manuscript. Thanks are also extended to Ben Sutherland for all his guidance in the use of Imagene and GeneSpring software's.

Author details

¹Fisheries and Oceans Canada, 4160 Marine Drive, West Vancouver, BC V7V 1N6, Canada. ²Department of Integrative Biology, University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario N1G 2W1, Canada.

Received: 15 April 2013 Accepted: 24 September 2013 Published: 2 October 2013

References

- 1. Blier PU, Pelletier D, Dutil JD: Does aerobic capacity set a limit on fish growth rate? *Rev Fish Sci* 1997, **5**:323–340.
- Weiler U, Claus R, Schnoebeleen-Combes S, Louveau I: Influence of age and genotype on endocrine parameters and growth performance: a comparative study in Wild boars, Meishan and Large White boars. *Livest Prod Sci* 1998, 54:21–31.

- Diamond J: Evolution, consequences and future of plant and animal domestication. *Nature* 2002, 418:700–707.
- Myers JM, Hershberger WK, Saxton AM, Iwamoto RN: Estimates of genetic and phenotypic parameters for length and weight of marine net-pen reared coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch Walbaum). Aquacult Res 2001, 32:277–285.
- Tymchuk WE, Devlin RH: Growth differences among first and second generation hybrids of domesticated and wild rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Aquaculture* 2005, 245:295–300.
- Tymchuk WE, Biagi C, Withler R, Devlin RH: Growth and behavioral consequences of introgression of a domesticated aquaculture genotype into a native strain of coho salmon. *T Am Fish Soc* 2006, 135:442–455.
- Glover KA, Otterå H, Olsen RE, Slinde E, Taranger GL, Skaala Ø: A comparison of farmed, wild and hybrid Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) reared under farming conditions. *Aquaculture* 2009, 286:203–210.
- Solberg MF, Skaala O, Nilsen F, Glover KA: Does domestication cause changes in growth reaction norms? A study of farmed, wild and hybrid Atlantic salmon families exposed to environmental stress. *Plos One* 2013, 8:e54469.
- Iwamoto RN, Saxton AM, Hershberger WK: Genetic estimates for length and weight of coho salmon during freshwater rearing. J Hered 1982, 73:187–191.
- Hershberger WK, Myers JM, Iwamoto RN, Mcauley WC, Saxton AM: Genetic changes in the growth of coho salmon (Oncorhynchus-Kisutch) in marine net-pens, produced by 10 years of selection. *Aquaculture* 1990, 85:187–197.
- Eimum S, Fleming IA: Genetic divergence and interactions in the wild among native, farmed and hybrid Atlantic salmon. J Fish Biol 1997, 50:634–651.
- McGinnity P, Stone C, Taggart JB, Cooke D, Cotter D, Hynes R, McCamley C, Cross T, Ferguson A: Genetic impact of escaped farmed Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.) on native populations: use of DNA profiling to assess freshwater performance of wild, farmed, and hybrid progeny in a natural river environment. *ICES J Mar Sci* 1997, 54:998–1008.
- McGinnity P, Prodöhl P, Ó Maoiléidigh N, Hynes R, Cotter D, Baker N, O'Hea B, Ferguson A: Differential lifetime success and performance of native and non-native Atlantic salmon examined under communal natural conditions. J Fish Biol 2004, 65:173–187.
- Fleming IA, Hindar K, Mjolnerod IB, Jonsson B, Balstad T, Lamberg A: Lifetime success and interactions of farm salmon invading a native population. *Proc Biol sci* 2000, 267:1517–1523.
- Skaala O, Glover KA, Barlaup BT, Svasand T, Besnier F, Hansen MM, Borgstrom R: Performance of farmed, hybrid, and wild Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) families in a natural river environment. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 2012, 69:1994–2006.
- 16. Hutchings JA, Fraser DJ: The nature of fisheries- and farming-induced evolution. *Mol Ecol* 2008, 17:294–313.
- Roberge C, Normandeau E, Einum S, Guderley H, Bernatchez L: Genetic consequences of interbreeding between farmed and wild Atlantic salmon: insights from the transcriptome. *Mol Ecol* 2008, 17:314–324.
- 18. Lynch M, Walsh B: *Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits*. Sunderland, MA: Sinauer Associates; 1998.
- Ayles GB, Baker RF: Genetic differences in growth and survival between strains and hybrids of rainbow trout (Salmo-Gairdneri) stocked in aquaculture lakes in the Canadian Prairies. Aquaculture 1983, 33:269–280.
- Dill LM, Fraser AHG: Risk of predation and the feeding behavior of juvenile coho salmon (Oncorhynchus-Kisutch). Behav Ecol Sociobiol 1984, 16:65–71.
- Johnsson JI, Petersson E, Jönsson E, Björnsson BT, Järvi T: Domestication and growth hormone alter antipredator behaviour and growth patterns in juvenile brown trout, Salmo trutta. *Can J Fish Aquat Sci* 1996, 53:1546–1554.
- 22. Sundstrom LF, Lohmus M, Johnsson JI, Devlin RH: Growth hormone transgenic salmon pay for growth potential with increased predation mortality. *Proc Biol sci* 2004, **271** (Suppl 5):S350–352.
- Sundstrom LF, Lohmus M, Devlin RH: Selection on increased intrinsic growth rates in coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch. Evolution 2005, 59:1560–1569.
- 24. Tymchuk WE, Sundstrom LF, Devlin RH: Growth and survival trade-offs and outbreeding depression in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). *Evolution* 2007, 61:1225–1237.

- McClelland EK, Myers JM, Hard JJ, Park LK, Naish KA: Two generations of outbreeding in coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch): effects on size and growth. *CanJ Fish Aquat Sci* 2005, 62:2538–2547.
- Vandersteen W, Biro P, Harris L, Devlin R: Introgression of domesticated alleles into a wild trout genotype and the impact on seasonal survival in natural lakes. Evol Appl 2012, 5:76–88.
- McGinnity P, Prodohl P, Ferguson K, Hynes R, O'Maoileidigh N, Baker N, Cotter D, O'Hea B, Cooke D, Rogan G, et al: Fitness reduction and potential extinction of wild populations of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar, as a result of interactions with escaped farm salmon. P Roy Soc B-Biol Sci 2003, 270:2443–2450.
- Cui X, Affourtit J, Shockley KR, Woo Y, Churchill GA: Inheritance patterns of transcript levels in F1 hybrid mice. *Genetics* 2006, 174:627–637.
- Rottscheidt R, Harr B: Extensive additivity of gene expression differentiates subspecies of the house mouse. *Genetics* 2007, 177:1553–1567.
- Reiland J, Noor MAF: Little qualitative RNA misexpression in sterile male F
 (I) hybrids of Drosophila pseudoobscura and D. persimilis. *BMC Evol Biol* 2002, 2:16.
- 31. Michalak P, Noor MA: Genome-wide patterns of expression in Drosophila pure species and hybrid males. *Mol Biol Evol* 2003, **20**:1070–1076.
- Gibson G, Riley-Berger R, Harshman L, Kopp A, Vacha S, Nuzhdin S, Wayne M: Extensive sex-specific nonadditivity of gene expression in Drosophila melanogaster. *Genetics* 2004, 167:1791–1799.
- Hughes KA, Ayroles JF, Reedy MM, Drnevich JM, Rowe KC, Ruedi EA, Caceres CE, Paige KN: Segregating variation in the transcriptome: cis regulation and additivity of effects. *Genetics* 2006, 173:1347–1355.
- Tymchuk W, Sakhrani D, Devlin R: Domestication causes large-scale effects on gene expression in rainbow trout: analysis of muscle, liver and brain transcriptomes. *Gen Comp Endocrinol* 2009, 164:175–183.
- Debes PV, Normandeau E, Fraser DJ, Bernatchez L, Hutchings JA: Differences in transcription levels among wild, domesticated, and hybrid Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar) from two environments. *Mol Ecol* 2012, 21:2574–2587.
- Normandeau E, Hutchings JA, Fraser DJ, Bernatchez L: Population-specific gene expression responses to hybridization between farm and wild Atlantic salmon. Evol Appl 2009, 2:489–503.
- Roberge C, Einum S, Guderley H, Bernatchez L: Rapid parallel evolutionary changes of gene transcription profiles in farmed Atlantic salmon. *Mol Ecol* 2006, 15:9–20.
- Devlin RH, Sakhrani D, Tymchuk WE, Rise ML, Goh B: Domestication and growth hormone transgenesis cause similar changes in gene expression in coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch). Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2009, 106:3047–3052.
- Sauvage C, Derome N, Normandeau E, St-Cyr J, Audet C, Bernatchez L: Fast transcriptional responses to domestication in the brook charr Salvelinus fontinalis. *Genetics* 2010, 185:105–112.
- Bougas B, Granier S, Audet C, Bernatchez L: The transcriptional landscape of cross-specific hybrids and its possible link with growth in brook charr (Salvelinus fontinalis Mitchill). *Genetics* 2010, 186:97–107.
- Renaut S, Nolte AW, Bernatchez L: Gene expression divergence and hybrid misexpression between lake whitefish species pairs (Coregonus spp. Salmonidae). Mol Biol Evol 2009, 26:925–936.
- Devlin RH, Biagi CA, Yesaki TY, Smailus DE, Byatt JC: Growth of domesticated transgenic fish - A growth-hormone transgene boosts the size of wild but not domesticated trout. *Nature* 2001, 409:781–782.
- Brazma A, Hingamp P, Quackenbush J, Sherlock G, Spellman P, Stoeckert C, Aach J, Ansorge W, Ball CA, Causton HC, et al: Minimum information about a microarray experiment (MIAME)-toward standards for microarray data. Nat Genet 2001, 29:365–371.
- 44. Gene Expression Omnibus. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/.
- Jantzen SG, Sanderson DS, von Schalburg KR, Yasuike M, Marass F, Koop BF: A 44K microarray dataset of the changing transcriptome in developing Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L.). *BMC Res Notes* 2011, 4:88.
- Genomic Research on All Salmon Project. http://web.uvic.ca/grasp/microarray/ array.html.
- 47. UniProt Knowledgebase. http://www.uniprot.org.
- 48. EMBL-European Bioinformatics Institute. http://www.ebi.ac.uk/QuickGO/.
- Leggatt RA, Raven PA, Mommsen TP, Sakhrani D, Higgs D, Devlin RH: Growth hormone transgenesis influences carbohydrate, lipid and protein

metabolism capacity for energy production in coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch). Comp Biochem Phys B 2009, 154:121–133.

- Rise ML, Douglas SE, Sakhrani D, Williams J, Ewart KV, Rise M, Davidson WS, Koop BF, Devlin RH: Multiple microarray platforms utilized for hepatic gene expression profiling of GH transgenic coho salmon with and without ration restriction. J Mol Endocrinol 2006, 37:259–282.
- Lawlor JL, Dacanay A, Hutchings JA, Brown LL, Sperker SA: Differences in pathogen resistance within and among cultured, conservation-dependent, and endangered populations of Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L. Environ Biol Fishes 2008, 84:69–78.
- Sutherland BJ, Jantzen SG, Sanderson DS, Koop BF, Jones SR: Differentiating size-dependent responses of juvenile pink salmon (Oncorhynchus gorbuscha) to sea lice (Lepeophtheirus salmonis) infections. *Comp Biochem Physiol D* 2011, 6:213–223.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-673

Cite this article as: White *et al.*: Influence of developmental stage and genotype on liver mRNA levels among wild, domesticated, and hybrid rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). *BMC Genomics* 2013 14:673.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central