### REVIEW

www.nature.com/emi

# Plague vaccines: current developments and future perspectives

Valentina A Feodorova<sup>1</sup> and Vladimir L Motin<sup>2</sup>

Despite many decades of intensive studies of *Yersinia pestis*, the causative agent of plague, there is no safe and efficient vaccine against this devastating disease. A recently developed F1/V subunit vaccine candidate, which relies mainly on humoral immunity, showed promising results in animal studies; however, its efficacy in humans still has to be carefully evaluated. In addition, those developing next-generation plague vaccines need to pay particular attention to the importance of eliciting cell-mediated immunity. In this review, we analyzed the current progress in developing subunit, DNA and live carrier platforms of delivery by bacterial and viral vectors, as well as approaches for controlled attenuation of virulent strains of *Y. pestis*.

Emerging Microbes and Infections (2012) 1, e36; doi:10.1038/emi.2012.34; published online 7 November 2012

Keywords: Plague; protective antigens; vaccine; Yersinia pestis

#### INTRODUCTION

Plague is a devastating infectious disease caused by Yersinia pestis that by estimate has claimed about 200 millions of human lives throughout history.<sup>1</sup> It is a zoonotic disease that remains endemic in many parts of the world, exists in the form of natural reservoirs and causes periodic outbreaks in susceptible rodents.<sup>2</sup> Humans are accidental hosts, because they can contract the disease through bites from fleas containing Y. pestis, by contact with tissue and blood from infected animals, or more rarely by direct transmission through aerosol droplets. The latter type of transmission can result in a highly lethal pneumonic form of plague. The possibility of aerosolization of the agent, including human-to-human spread, is of particular concern in the case of naturally occurring epidemics or acts of bioterrorism and use of Y. pestis as a bioweapon.<sup>3</sup> There is no currently licensed plague vaccine in the Western world, and the countries of the former Soviet Union and China still use a live plague vaccine created in the 1920s to immunize plague workers and populations at risk.<sup>4</sup> If recognized early, plague is treatable with antibiotics, and there have been only two reports referring to the emergence of plasmid-mediated, antibiotic-resistant strains of Y. pestis in Madagascar that have not caused any disease.<sup>5,6</sup> Nevertheless, a recent study of Y. pestis isolates in Mongolia showed the existence of naturally occurring, multi-drug-resistant variants of the plague microbe.<sup>7</sup> Moreover, whole-genome sequencing of the Y. pestis organisms that caused the Black Death 14th century Europe revealed little difference between these and currently circulating strains.<sup>8</sup> Taking into account that the strains responsible for the Black Death likely originated in China in the regions near Mongolia,<sup>9</sup> we certainly need to develop a prophylactic option in the event highly virulent and antibiotic-resistant Y. pestis strains suddenly

emerge. In this respect, creation of an efficient and safe plague vaccine could be considered an immediate priority.

## BRIEF HISTORY OF PLAGUE VACCINES (KILLED WHOLE-CELL (KWC) AND LIVE WHOLE-CELL (LWC))

The search for vaccines to prevent plague began in 1895 when French scientist Alexandre Yersin tested plague immunity in laboratory animals (rabbits, mice, rats) after repeated immunization with either whole-cell, heat-killed, and agar-grown cultures of Y. pestis or live Y. pestis strains which had lost their virulence after multiple in vitro passages.<sup>10</sup> This study prompted the development of two types of plague vaccines, namely, KWC or LWC vaccines derived from virulent Y. pestis strains. The KWC preparations contained microbial cells inactivated by controlled procedures, such as heating or addition of different disinfectants. These vaccines contained no live pathogen, were unconditionally safe, and produced immunity in animal models to bubonic, but not pneumonic, plague after a single injection.<sup>11</sup> Human immunization with the KWC vaccine, such as plague vaccine USP (Cutter Biological Inc., Berkeley, CA, USA) during the Vietnam War indirectly confirmed the efficiency of this vaccine.<sup>12</sup> However, multiple and long-lasting immunization schedules (from months to years) were needed to induce plague immunity in humans with the KWC vaccines. Live attenuated variants of Y. pestis were obtained from wild-type isolates by continuous passage on routine bacteriological media at an ambient temperature and consequently used as the LWC plague vaccines. These vaccines were found to elicit the prompt (over several days) development of plague immunity against both bubonic and pneumonic forms of plague that correlated with the ability of bacteria to colonize and temporarily proliferate in tissues

<sup>1</sup>Saratov Scientific and Research Veterinary Institute of the Russian Academy for Agricultural Sciences, Saratov 410028, Russian Federation and <sup>2</sup>University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555, USA

Correspondence: VA Feodorova; VL Motin

E-mail: feodorovav@mail.ru; vlmotin@utmb.edu

Received 3 July 2012; revised 12 September 2012; accepted 13 September 2012

 $\frac{\mathbf{P}}{2}$ 

and organs of the mammalian host. Therefore, the use of the LWC plague vaccine was generally associated with a risk of the development of an uncontrolled infectious process due to the existence of residual virulence. Hence, fatal cases of plague have been seen in small-animal models and non-human primates following administration of live vaccines.<sup>12,13</sup> Nevertheless, decades of use of live plague vaccines in humans did not result in any reported vaccine-related casualties, although several millions were vaccinated with the LWC by the middle of the twentieth century.<sup>14</sup> Currently, LWC plague vaccine is licensed for human use in the countries of the former Soviet Union and China.<sup>4</sup> The vaccine used for human immunization in these countries is the derivative of the EV76 attenuated strain of Girard and Robic.<sup>10</sup> The major reason for attenuation is the loss of the unstable 102 kb pigmentation locus (Pgm) containing the Ybt (versiniabactin) iron acquisition system.<sup>15,16</sup> Other live plague vaccine candidates selected from virulent Y. pestis strains of different origins (some of them tested in humans) were described in our recent review.<sup>17</sup> Although the efficacy of both KWC and LWC human vaccines has been proven by the decades of their use, a number of local and systemic, vaccine-related side effects were observed in vacinees, such as a strong pain at the site of injection, swelling, local erythema, regional lymphadenopathy, malaise, headache, giddiness, anorexia, weakness and mild fever with an elevated body temperature of up to 38.5-39.5 °C. Both vaccines require annual boosting, prompting the development of less reactogenic, and more safe and efficient vaccines for plague.<sup>4</sup>

#### CURRENT STRATEGIES FOR VACCINE DEVELOPMENT

Progress in recombinant technology has made major protective antigens of *Y. pestis* available in a highly pure form to allow an evaluation of the vaccine potential of the subunit and other types of nextgeneration vaccines. Importantly, these vaccines eliminated risk factors associated with the use of live vaccine and significantly reduced undesirable side effects linked to the massive administration of a mixture of numerous antigens existing in whole-cell killed vaccines. Nevertheless, intensive clinical trials are necessary to prove that these vaccines are safer and can provide better immunity than KWC and especially LWC vaccine.

#### Recombinant subunit vaccines in small animal models

Two antigens, namely, capsular subunit protein F1 and the lowcalcium response V antigen (LcrV) were proven to be best in eliciting protection against plague in different animal models. The recombinant F1 demonstrated similar levels of protection against either subcutaneous or aerosol challenge of mice with the wild-type Y. pestis CO92 in comparison with that of F1 extracted from the plague bacterium.<sup>18</sup> The recombinant V antigen as a fusion of LcrV of Y. pseudotuberculosis with the immunoglobulin G (IgG)-binding domain of staphylococcal protein A provided both passive and active protection against intravenous challenge with the attenuated Pgm<sup>-</sup> strain of Y. pestis KIM.<sup>19,20</sup> A highly purified LcrV antigen expressed as a polyhistidine fusion peptide provided an increased level of protection.<sup>21</sup> The combination of these two antigens provided stronger protection than that elicited with each antigen alone, as well as that induced by killed and live plague vaccines. Moreover, analysis of sera for the IgG subclasses revealed the prevalence of IgG1 over IgG2a directed against both F1 and LcrV.<sup>22</sup> In the past decade, two major formulations of subunit vaccines consisting of F1 and LcrV antigens were developed by such methods as mixing both purified antigens (F1+V) or fusing them together (F1-V). To immunize animals, alhydrogel was most often the adjuvant of choice, although poly-L-lactide microspheres, MPL,

CpG, TiterMax, flagellin, and so forth, were tested as well. Typically, outbred Swiss-Webster or inbred BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice were used to evaluate protection, although other small animal species such as brown Norway rats, Hartley guinea pigs, and New Zealand white rabbits, were used as animal models. Intramuscular (i.m.) and subcutaneous route immunization were the most popular. A table listing vaccine formulation, type of adjuvant, animal model, route of immunization and challenge with Y. pestis was provided in our recent review on this subject.<sup>17</sup> The results of the testing of the F1/V subunit vaccine were described in several reviews<sup>4,17,22–28</sup> that can be summarized in the following statements: (i) the F1/V vaccine provided strong and long-lasting (over one year in mice) protection in small animal species against challenge with several fully virulent strains of Y. pestis administered by the most commonly used routes, such as subcutaneous, intranasal and aerosol; (ii) protection was mediated mostly by humoral immunity, with antibodies to LcrV playing a major role. Usually the addition of F1 improved protection, although, in some studies, the contribution of F1 was negligible; (iii) in many cases, the level of protection correlated with the titers of antigen-specific IgG1. However, generally, the protection was due to a mixed Th1/Th2 immune response; (iv) protection provided by anti-LcrV antibodies relied mostly on blocking the type 3 secretion system (T3SS). In this respect, it was possible to disarm unwanted immunomodulating activity of LcrV;<sup>29–31</sup> and (v) both F1+LcrV and F1–V vaccine candidates are in phase II of clinical trials.

Non-encapsulated strains of *Y. pestis* are still substantially virulent,<sup>4,17</sup> and there is a polymorphism among *lcrV* gene in *Y. pestis.*<sup>32,33</sup> Thus, the addition of other protective antigens to the F1/V subunit vaccine is highly desirable. Among antigens tested in protection stu dies, such as Pla, LPS, PsaA, YadBC, YscF, YpkA, YopH, YopE, YopK, YopM, YopN, YopD and YscC, limited success was achieved with the polymer subunit of T3SS needle YscF, and the T3SS translocator protein YopD. The latter was efficient mainly in protection against capsule-negative variants of *Y. pestis.*<sup>17</sup>

#### Subunit vaccines in non-human primates

The cynomolgus macaque pneumonic plague model is considered standard for testing the development of plague vaccines and therapeutics. In this model, the F1/V subunit vaccines administered i.m. with alhydrogel provided both passive and active protection against aerosol challenge with fully virulent Y. pestis.<sup>34-37</sup> In contrast, this F1-V vaccine protected African green vervets poorly despite eliciting a robust antibody response, although a fusion F1-V with a potent adjuvant flagellin likely can provide better protection in this and the cynomolgus macaque model.<sup>38</sup> The F1/V vaccine was protective against bubonic plague in rhesus macaques<sup>39</sup> and baboons.<sup>40</sup> Importantly, the non-human primate model has allowed the initiation of studies on establishing immune correlates of protection that are crucial for evaluating vaccine efficacy in humans.<sup>28,41</sup> In addition to passive immunity in mice, immune correlates were evaluated by using in vitro assays, such as a competitive enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with protective MAb7.3; also examined were the effects of inhibition of Yersinia-mediated cytotoxicity in macrophages, and the contribution of tumor-necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and interferon- $\gamma$  cytokines in immune defense.36,42-44

#### **DNA** vaccines

The initial attempts to develop DNA plague vaccines had very limited success, generating low-serum titers to the F1 or LcrV. To achieve notable protection, DNA vaccination required boosting with a homo-

logous protein antigen. The inclusion in the constructs of the molecular immunopotentiator interleukin-12, or the use of mucosal adjuvant CT (cholera toxin), did not significantly improve the immunity. Subsequently, Y. pestis antigens were expressed with the signal sequence of tPA (human tissue plaminogen activator) that improved the secretion of soluble proteins. This well-developed system for DNA vaccination with LcrV allowed elicitation of a significant antibody response and provided protection against intranasal challenge with Y. pestis. Similar constructs expressing F1 demonstrated much lower protection.<sup>45</sup> Other antigens, such as Pla, YopB, YopD, YpkaA and YscF, were tested in this system, and several constructs produced modest protection.<sup>46</sup> Importantly, it was possible to improve LcrV DNA vaccine by enhancing its performance with the help of the F1-YscF construct.<sup>47</sup> Our recent review provides a more detailed description of DNA plague vaccines.<sup>17</sup> Overall, the outcome of DNA vaccination was strongly influenced by the DNA vaccine construct, and this perspective technology must be optimized to allow its use in vaccination against plague.

#### Bacterial, viral and plant platforms

Development of plague vaccines based on the expression of protective antigens of *Y. pestis* in live carriers began in parallel with the testing of subunit vaccines. There are obvious limitations to this type of vaccines, since they require a precise level of attenuation, especially when expressing protective antigens, which often are factors of virulence. This is particularly important due to the risk of causing complications in immunocompromised populations. Preparation and storage of the vaccine stockpile also have to be strictly controlled to ensure viability of the delivery vector. However, the beneficial part of the live vaccines is the possibility of large-scale production, low cost, and, most importantly, their capability to elicit robust mucosal and cell-mediated immunity.

Heterologous bacterial delivery systems. A Salmonella-based live carrier platform is one of the most characterized bacterial delivery systems. Not surprisingly, it was used first to express capsular substance F1, LcrV, and then both antigens in attenuated Salmonella enteritica serovars Minnesota, Typhimurium, and Typhi. Oral immunization with these live vaccine candidates elicited predominantly IgG2a antigen-specific antibodies and generally provided only partial protection against Y. pestis. Immunity could be improved by a combination of intranasal immunization and parenteral boosting with purified antigens; however, the level of protection was notably lower than that typically provided by vaccination with recombinant antigens.<sup>17</sup> A new generation of Salmonella vectors with enhanced immunogenicity, defined control of attenuation, increased stability in expression and delayed in vivo synthesis of heterologous antigens has allowed improvements in protective properties of the vaccine primarily based on modified LcrV.<sup>48</sup> This vaccine still has not achieved the level of immunity comparable with that elicited by the subunit F1/V vaccines. Nevertheless, this system is well suited for expression of antigens located on the cell surface of Y. pestis, and some of them, such as outer membrane protein HmuR and PsaA adhesin, have been tested as vaccine candidates.<sup>17</sup> Additional information on the subject could be found in a recent review.<sup>49</sup> Another attempt to use a heterologous delivery system was the expression of LcrV from Y. pseudotuberculosis in the non-invasive, non-colonizing species Lactococcus lactis. This vaccine failed to protect against Y. pestis, although this failure could be explained by the choice of a an incorrect version of LcrV.<sup>50</sup>

Viral delivery of plague antigens. There are several well-established viral delivery platforms that were tested starting from a decade after F1/V subunit vaccine was first described. One of them was a replication-deficient adenoviral vector Ad5 expressing human codon-optimized LcrV in a secreted form (AdsecV). A single administration of AdsecV viral particles in mice by the i.m. route resulted in robust protection in a pneumonic plague model.<sup>51</sup> This is a very promising system, which, however, has one major disadvantage. Thus far, the best adenoviral vectors are based on a virus of serotype 5 to which the majority of the human population has neutralizing antibodies. This can significantly reduce the efficacy of Ad5-derived vaccines. The use of adenoviral vectors of other serotypes that are rarer in humans were less successful due to their reduced expression of foreign antigens. A potential solution may be to develop of efficient Ad vectors from primates. Nevertheless, it was shown recently, that intranasal immunization with Ad5 vectors producing Ebola glycoprotein can bypass pre-existing immunity.<sup>52</sup> Another delivery platform arose from the concept that there are no neutralizing antibodies in the human population to the vesicular stomatitis virus, a natural pathogen of livestock, and to raccoon poxvirus. Consequently, both of these delivery platforms were used to express F1/LcrV antigens that provided a suitable level of protection.<sup>53,54</sup> Recently, secreted F1 and LcrV were expressed in a smallpox-modified vaccinia, Ankara, which protected mice against Y. pestis.<sup>55</sup> Additional details on viral delivery for plague vaccination were provided in two recent reviews.<sup>17,49</sup> Overall, viral platforms probably provide the best possibilities for the creation of next-generation plague vaccines; however, their safety and efficacy will require prolonged testing.

Attenuation of Y. pestis. Y. pestis attenuated strain EV NIIEG has been widely used in Russia as a human live plague vaccine. This strain is a subculture of the original EV76 reference strain that was deposited in the culture collection of the Scientific Research Institute of Epidemiology and Hygiene (Russian abbreviation—NIIEG, Kirov).<sup>56</sup> The vaccine is produced by growing EV NIIEG on routine bacteriological media at 28 °C; it is then lyophilized, and typically applied intradermally by scarification. The undesirable side effects of this vaccination resulted mostly from the massive toxicity from the LPS of dead bacteria in vaccine preparation. To reduce the toxicity, lipid A of EV NIIEG was modified by deleting the *lpxM* gene encoding late acyltransferase to yield a less toxic, penta-acylated form of lipid A. This *lpxM* mutant possessed enhanced protective properties in guinea pigs and outbred and BALB/c mice, due to its prolonged survival in vaccinated animals and the balanced expression of major protective antigens.<sup>57,58</sup> Another approach to vaccine development was introduction of the *lpxL* gene of *Escherichia coli* encoding another late acyltransferase absent in Y. pestis. The resulting strain constitutively produced highly potent hexa-acylated lipid A that seems able to overstimulate innate immunity at an early stage of infection with Y. pestis leading to its elimination.5

Attenuation of the wild-type *Y. pestis* is another approach in the construction of vaccine candidates. For example, inactivation of the *nlpD* and *lpp* genes encoding for outer membrane lipoproteins resulted in the excellent ability of the mutants to elicit protective immunity to *Y. pestis*.<sup>60,61</sup> There were a number of other mutations in the wild-type *Y. pestis* that reduced virulence to a certain degree. Although many of them were not initially created as potential vaccine candidates, the knowledge of mechanisms underlying the pathogenesis of *Y. pestis* can help in creating vaccine candidates with desired properties. Among the mutants tested in protection studies were those

carrying lesions in the following genes: *yopH* for T3SS effector protein, aromatic-dependent *aroA* and guanine nucleotide biosynthesis *guaBA* mutants; *crp* for the cyclic adenosine monophosphate receptor protein; *relA* and *spoT* for the enzymes involved in the synthesis of ppGpp affecting the stringent response; *smpB-ssrA*, encoding housekeeping functions for the translational machinery; and *dam* for DNA adenine methylase. The protective properties of these mutants were described in detail in two recent reviews.<sup>17,49</sup>

*Expression of Y. pestis antigens in plants.* Thus far, plants have been used to express F1/V proteins as an economical way to produce these protective antigens, and these plant-derived plague vaccine candidates were successfully tested in protection experiments in different animal models, including non-human primates. To obtain these antigens, three strategies, such as a plant virus-based expression system, as well as nuclear and chloroplast transformation, were employed. Detailed data for engineering plant-derived vaccines for prevention of bubonic and pneumonic plague were provided in recent reviews.<sup>17,62</sup> We included the plant-derived expression of protective antigens in the carrier platform section of the review, since this approach has the possibility of future development of these vaccines for mucosal delivery in an edible form.

In summarizing the entire section on different delivery platforms, it is necessary to indicate that live vaccines are instrumental in eliciting cellular immunity, the component which is largely missing in the subunit F1/V vaccine candidate that relies primarily on humoral immunity. The necessity of including a dominant protective antigen that can prime Y. pestis-specific memory T cells was proposed in the studies of Steve Smiley et al. Production of type 1 cytokines, such as tumor-necrosis factor- $\alpha$  and interferon- $\gamma$ , contributes significantly to defenses against plague.<sup>43,63–65</sup> In this respect, the most promising strategy for searching for human dominant T-cell proteins/epitope(s) might be that of reverse vaccinology, a high-throughput approach in combination with screening for interferon-y-secreting cells, similar to that described recently for mice immunized with live plague vaccine.<sup>66</sup> Recently, the involvement of the CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-mediated immune response in protection against Y. pestis infection was demonstrated in mice immunized with the LcrV-based DNA vaccine. The unraveling of protective T-cell epitopes within the LcrV antigen is crucial for the creation of a vaccine that can elicit optimized humoral and cellmediated immunities.67

A hallmark of plague is its ability to modulate immune responses at early stages of infection by suppressing the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines.<sup>68</sup> Mechanisms underlying this immunosuppression include such factors as a less stimulatory lipid A structure that interferes with the toll-like receptor pathways,<sup>69,70</sup> action of LcrV and Yop effectors of the T3SS,<sup>29,31</sup> as well as unidentified determinant(s) that can create a localized, dominant anti-inflammatory state in the lungs of infected animals.<sup>71</sup> Thus, a successful vaccination against plague ideally should result in the restoration of early pro-inflammatory responses to infection with *Y. pestis.* 

#### Future prospects

Most likely within the next several years, an F1/V subunit plague vaccine that is delivered by i.m. inoculation with alhydrogel will be available to those having a high risk of exposure to *Y. pestis*. Since this vaccine relies mostly on humoral immunity, it will be necessary to periodically boost vaccinees. It is hoped that by the time this vaccine hits the market, we will know the universally accepted immune correlates of protection. Ideally, the development of personalized medicine

should help us to identify human genetic factors, which may influence the range of the individual response to the vaccine (from high responders to non-responders), as well as those with counter-indications for vaccination. We expect that a direct search or a reverse vaccinology approach will allow us to identify powerful T-cell dominant epitope(s) to be included in an F1/V formulation, or in an improved polyvalent vaccine with additional synergistic protective antigens. One of the more probable scenarios leading to the optimal immunization strategy of next-generation vaccines would be a prime-boost schedule in which DNA or live carrier vaccination are followed by boosts with a polyvalent subunit vaccine.<sup>72</sup> Countries that still use live plague vaccines have a unique opportunity to begin clinical trials of a prime-boost vaccination strategy in the very near future.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the Defense Threat Reduction Agency (HDTRA1-11-1-0032) to Vladimir L. Motin and by a subcontract with the University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston (No 11-082) to Valentina A. Feodorova. We thank Ms Mardelle J Susman for editing the review.

- Perry RD, Fetherston JD. Yersinia pestis—etiologic agent of plague. Clin Microbiol Rev 1997; 10: 35–66.
- 2 Gage KL, Kosoy MY. Natural history of plague: perspectives from more than a century of research. Annu Rev Entomol 2005; 50: 505–528.
- 3 Inglesby TV, Dennis DT, Henderson DA et al. Plague as a biological weapon: medical and public health management. Working Group on Civilian Biodefense. JAMA 2000; 283: 2281–2290.
- 4 Feodorova VA, Corbel MJ. Prospects for new plague vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2009; 8: 1721–1738.
- 5 Galimand M, Guiyoule A, Gerbaud G *et al*. Multidrug resistance in *Yersinia pestis* mediated by a transferable plasmid. *N Eng J Med* 1997; **337**: 677–680.
- 6 Guiyoule A, Gerbaud G, Buchrieser C et al. Transferable plasmid-mediated resistance to streptomycin in a clinical isolate of Yersinia pestis. Emerg Infect Dis 2001; 7: 43– 48.
- 7 Kiefer D, Dalantai G, Damdindorj T et al. Phenotypical characterization of Mongolian Yersinia pestis strains. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis 2012; 12: 183–188.
- 8 Bos KI, Schuenemann VJ, Golding GB et al. A draft genome of Yersinia pestis from victims of the Black Death. Nature 2011; 478: 506–510.
- 9 Morelli G, Song Y, Mazzoni CJ et al. Yersinia pestis genome sequencing identifies patterns of global phylogenetic diversity. Nat Genet 2010; 42: 1140–1143.
- 10 Girard G. [Immunity in plague infection. Results of 30 years of work with the Pasteurella pestis EV strain (Girard and Robic).] *Biol Med (Paris)* 1963; **52**: 631– 731. French.
- 11 Russell P, Eley SM, Hibbs SE, Manchee RJ, Stagg AJ, Titball RW. A comparison of plague vaccine, USP and EV76 vaccine induced protection against *Yersinia pestis* in a murine model. *Vaccine* 1995; **13**: 1551–1556.
- 12 Meyer KF. Effectiveness of live or killed plague vaccines in man. Bull WHO 1970; 42: 653–666.
- 13 Meyer KF, Smith G, Foster L, Brookman M, Sung M. Live, attenuated Yersinia pestis vaccine: virulent in nonhuman primates, harmless to guinea pigs. J Infect Dis 1974; 129(Suppl): S85–S112.
- 14 Korobkova El. Live antiplague vaccine. Moscow: Medgiz, 1956.
- 15 Fetherston JD, Schuetze P, Perry RD. Loss of the pigmentation phenotype in Yersinia pestis is due to the spontaneous deletion of 102 kb of chromosomal DNA which is flanked by a repetitive element. *Mol Microbiol* 1992; 6: 2693–2704.
- 16 Fetherston JD, Perry RD. The pigmentation locus of *Yersinia pestis* KIM6<sup>+</sup> is flanked by an insertion sequence and includes the structural genes for pesticin sensitivity and HMWP2. *Mol Microbiol* 1994; **13**: 697–708.
- 17 Feodorova VA, Motin VL. Plague vaccines. In:Feodorova VA, Motin VL (eds.)Vaccines against bacterial biothreat pathogens. Kerala: Research Signpost, 2011: 176–233.
- 18 Andrews GP, Heath DG, Anderson GW Jr, Welkos SL, Friedlander AM. Fraction 1 capsular antigen (F1) purification from *Yersinia pestis* CO92 and from an *Escherichia coli* recombinant strain and efficacy against lethal plague challenge. *Infect Immun* 1996; **64**: 2180–2187.
- 19 Motin VL, Nakajima R, Smirvov GB, Brubaker RR. Passive immunity to yersiniae mediated by anti-recombinant V antigen and Protein A–V antigen fusion peptide. *Infect Immun* 1994; 62: 4192–4201.
- 20 Nakajima R, Motin VL, Brubaker RR. Suppression of cytokines in mice by Protein A–V antigen fusion peptide and restoration of synthesis by active immunization. *Infect Immun* 1995; 63: 3021–3029.
- 21 Motin VL, Nedialkov YA, Brubaker RR. V antigen-polyhistidine fusion peptide: binding to LcrH and active immunity against plague. *Infect Immun* 1996; 64: 4313–4318.



- 22 Williamson ED, Eley SM, Griffin KF *et al.* A new improved sub-unit vaccine for plague: the basis of protection. *FEMS Immunol Med Microbiol* 1995; **12**: 223–230.
- 23 Quenee LE, Schneewind O. Plague vaccines and the molecular basis of immunity against Yersinia pestis. Hum Vaccin 2009; 5: 817–823.
- 24 Smiley ST. Current challenges in the development of vaccines for pneumonic plague. Expert Rev Vaccines 2008; 7: 209–221.
- 25 Titball RW, Williamson ED. Vaccination against bubonic and pneumonic plague. Vaccine 2001; 19: 4175–4184.
- 26 Titball RW, Williamson ED. Yersinia pestis (plague) vaccines. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2004; 4: 965–973.
- 27 Williamson ED, Titball RW. Vaccines against dangerous pathogens. Br Med Bull 2002; 62: 163–173.
- 28 Williamson ED, Duchars MG, Kohberger R. Predictive models and correlates of protection for testing biodefence vaccines. *Expert Rev Vaccines* 2010; 9: 527–537.
- Brubaker RR. Interleukin-10 and inhibition of innate immunity to *Yersiniae*: roles of Yops and LcrV (V antigen). *Infect Immun* 2003; **71**: 3673–3681.
  DeBord KL, Anderson DM, Marketon MM *et al.* Immunogenicity and protective immunity
- against bubonic plague and pneumonic plague by immunization of mice with the recombinant V10 antigen, a variant of LcrV. *Infect Immun* 2006; **74**: 4910–4914.
- 31 Nedialkov YA, Motin VL, Brubaker RR. Resistance to lipopolysaccharide mediated by the Yersinia pestis V antigen-polyhistidine fusion peptide: amplification of interleukin-10. Infect Immun 1997; 65: 1196–1203.
- 32 Anisimov AP, Dentovskaya SV, Panfertsev EA et al. Amino acid and structural variability of Yersinia pestis LcrV protein. Infect Genet Evol 2010; 10: 137–145.
- 33 Motin VL, Pokrovskaya MS, Telepnev MV et al. The difference in the *lcrV* sequences between *Yersinia pestis* and *Yersinia pseudotuberculosis* and its application for characterization of *Y. pseudotuberculosis* strains. *Microb Pathog* 1992; 12: 165– 175.
- 34 Cornelius CA, Quenee LE, Overheim KA *et al.* Immunization with recombinant V10 protects cynomolgus macaques from lethal pneumonic plague. *Infect Immun* 2008; 76: 5588–5597.
- 35 Fellows P, Adamovicz J, Hartings J *et al.* Protection in mice passively immunized with serum from cynomolgus macaques and humans vaccinated with recombinant plague vaccine (rF1V). *Vaccine* 2010; **28**: 7748–7756.
- 36 Welkos S, Norris S, Adamovicz J. Modified caspase-3 assay indicates correlation of caspase-3 activity with immunity of nonhuman primates to *Yersinia pestis* infection. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2008; 15: 1134–1137.
- 37 Williamson ED, Packer PJ, Waters EL et al. Recombinant (F1+V) vaccine protects cynomolgus macaques against pneumonic plague. Vaccine 2011; 29: 4771–4777.
- 38 Mizel SB, Graff AH, Sriranganathan N et al. Flagellin–F1–V fusion protein is an effective plague vaccine in mice and two species of nonhuman primates. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2009; 16: 21–28.
- 39 Qiu Y, Liu Y, Qi Z et al. Comparison of immunological responses of plague vaccines F1+rV270 and EV76 in Chinese-origin rhesus macaque, Macaca mulatta. Scand J Immunol 2010; 72: 425–433.
- 40 Stacy S, Pasquali A, Sexton VL, Cantwell AM, Kraig E, Dube PH. An age-old paradigm challenged: old baboons generate vigorous humoral immune responses to LcrV, a plague antigen. *J Immunol* 2008; **181**: 109–115.
- 41 Williamson ED, Flick-Smith HC, Lebutt C et al. Human immune response to a plague vaccine comprising recombinant F1 and V antigens. Infect Immun 2005; 73: 3598– 3608.
- 42 Bashaw J, Norris S, Weeks S, Trevino S, Adamovicz JJ, Welkos S. Development of *in vitro* correlate assays of immunity to infection with *Yersinia pestis. Clin Vaccine Immunol* 2007; 14: 605–616.
- 43 Lin JS, Park S, Adamovicz JJ *et al.* TNFalpha and IFNgamma contribute to F1/LcrVtargeted immune defense in mouse models of fully virulent pneumonic plague. *Vaccine* 2010; 29: 357–362.
- 44 Weeks S, Hill J, Friedlander A, Welkos S. Anti-V antigen antibody protects macrophages from Yersinia pestis-induced cell death and promotes phagocytosis. *Microb Pathog* 2002; 32: 227–237.
- 45 Wang S, Heilman D, Liu F *et al*. A DNA vaccine producing LcrV antigen in oligomers is effective in protecting mice from lethal mucosal challenge of plague. *Vaccine* 2004; 22: 3348–3357.
- 46 Wang S, Joshi S, Mboudjeka I *et al.* Relative immunogenicity and protection potential of candidate Yersinia pestis antigens against lethal mucosal plague challenge in Balb/ C mice. Vaccine 2008; 26: 1664–1674.
- 47 Wang Z, Zhou L, Qi Z et al. Long-term observation of subunit vaccine F1-rV270 against Yersinia pestis in mice. Clin Vaccine Immunol 2010; 17: 199–201.
- 48 Torres-Escobar A, Juarez-Rodriguez MD, Gunn BM, Branger CG, Tinge SA, Curtiss R, 3rd. Fine-tuning synthesis of *Yersinia pestis* LcrV from runaway-like replication balanced-lethal plasmid in a *Salmonella enterica* serovar typhimurium vaccine induces protection against a lethal *Y. pestis* challenge in mice. *Infect Immun* 2010; **78**: 2529–2543.

- 49 Sun W, Roland KL, Curtiss R, 3rd. Developing live vaccines against Yersinia pestis. J Infect Dev Ctries 2011; 5: 614–627.
- 50 Daniel C, Sebbane F, Poiret S et al. Protection against Yersinia pseudotuberculosis infection conferred by a Lactococcus lactis mucosal delivery vector secreting LcrV. Vaccine 2009; 27: 1141–1144.
- 51 Chiuchiolo MJ, Boyer JL, Krause A, Senina S, Hackett NR, Crystal RG. Protective immunity against respiratory tract challenge with *Yersinia pestis* in mice immunized with an adenovirus-based vaccine vector expressing Vantigen. J Infect Dis 2006; **194**: 1249–1257.
- 52 Croyle MA, Patel A, Tran KN *et al.* Nasal delivery of an adenovirus-based vaccine bypasses pre-existing immunity to the vaccine carrier and improves the immune response in mice. *PLoS ONE* 2008; **3**: e3548.
- 53 Chattopadhyay A, Park S, Delmas G et al. Single-dose, virus-vectored vaccine protection against Yersinia pestis challenge: CD4<sup>+</sup> cells are required at the time of challenge for optimal protection. Vaccine 2008; 26: 6329–6337.
- 54 Rocke TE, Iams KP, Dawe S et al. Further development of raccoon poxvirus-vectored vaccines against plague (Yersinia pestis). Vaccine 2009; 28: 338–344.
- 55 Brewoo JN, Powell TD, Stinchcomb DT, Osorio JE. Efficacy and safety of a modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA) vectored plague vaccine in mice. *Vaccine* 2010; 28: 5891– 5899.
- 56 Saltykova RA, Faibich MM. [Experience from a 30-year study of the stability of the properties of the plague vaccine strain EV in the USSR.] *Zh Mikrobiol Epidemiol Immunobiol* 1975; 6: 3–8. Russian.
- 57 Feodorova VA, Pan'kina LN, Savostina EP et al. A Yersinia pestis lpxM-mutant live vaccine induces enhanced immunity against bubonic plague in mice and guinea pigs. Vaccine 2007; 25: 7620–7628.
- 58 Feodorova VA, Pan'kina LN, Savostina EP *et al.* Pleiotropic effects of the *lpxM* mutation in *Yersinia pestis* resulting in modification of the biosynthesis of major immunoreactive antigens. *Vaccine* 2009; 27: 2240–2250.
- 59 Montminy SW, Khan N, McGrath S *et al.* Virulence factors of *Yersinia pestis* are overcome by a strong lipopolysaccharide response. *Nat Immunol* 2006; 7: 1066– 1073.
- 60 Sha J, Agar SL, Baze WB *et al.* Braun lipoprotein (Lpp) contributes to virulence of yersiniae: potential role of Lpp in inducing bubonic and pneumonic plague. *Infect Immun* 2008; **76**: 1390–1409.
- 61 Tidhar A, Flashner Y, Cohen S et al. The NIpD lipoprotein is a novel Yersinia pestis virulence factor essential for the development of plague. PLoS ONE 2009; 4: e7023.
- 62 Alvarez ML, Cardineau GA. Prevention of bubonic and pneumonic plague using plantderived vaccines. *Biotechnol Adv* 2010; 28: 184–196.
- 63 Lin JS, Kummer LW, Szaba FM, Smiley ST. IL-17 contributes to cell-mediated defense against pulmonary *yersinia pestis* infection. *J Immunol* 2011; **186**: 1675– 1684.
- 64 Smiley ST. Cell-mediated defense against Yersinia pestis infection. Adv Exp Med Biol 2007; 603: 376–386.
- 65 Smiley ST. Immune defense against pneumonic plague. Immunol Rev 2008; 225: 256–271.
- 66 Li B, Zhou L, Guo J *et al.* High-throughput identification of new protective antigens from a *Yersinia pestis* live vaccine by enzyme-linked immunospot assay. *Infect Immun* 2009; 77: 4356–4561.
- 67 Wang S, Goguen JD, Li F, Lu S. Involvement of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell-mediated immune responses in LcrV DNA vaccine induced protection against lethal *Yersinia pestis* challenge. *Vaccine* 2011; 29: 6802–6809.
- 68 Nakajima R, Brubaker RR. Association between virulence of Yersinia pestis and suppression of gamma interferon and tumor necrosis factor alpha. Infect Immun 1993; 61: 23–31.
- 69 Knirel YA, Dentovskaya SV, Senchenkova SN, Shaikhutdinova RZ, Kocharova NA, Anisimov AP. Structural features and structural variability of the lipopolysaccharide of *Yersinia pestis*, the cause of plague. *J Endotoxin Res* 2006; **12**: 3–9.
- 70 Telepnev MV, Klimpel GR, Haithcoat J, Knirel YA, Anisimov AP, Motin VL. Tetraacylated lipopolysaccharide of *Yersinia pestis* can inhibit multiple Toll-like receptor-mediated signaling pathways in human dendritic cells. *J Infect Dis* 2009; 200: 1694–1702.
- 71 Price PA, Jin J, Goldman WE. Pulmonary infection by Yersinia pestis rapidly establishes a permissive environment for microbial proliferation. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2012; 109: 3083–3088.
- 72 Lu S. Two is better than one. Lancet Infect Dis 2011; 11: 889-891.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivative Works 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0