

ARTICLE

DOI: 10.1038/s41467-018-05487-9

OPEN

$\gamma\delta$ T cells control humoral immune response by inducing T follicular helper cell differentiation

Rafael M. Rezende¹, Amanda J. Lanser¹, Stephen Rubino¹, Chantal Kuhn¹, Nathaniel Skillin¹, Thais G. Moreira¹, Shirong Liu¹, Galina Gabriely¹, Bruna A. David², Gustavo B. Menezes² & Howard L. Weiner¹

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells have many known functions, including the regulation of antibody responses. However, how $\gamma\delta$ T cells control humoral immunity remains elusive. Here we show that complete Freund's adjuvant (CFA), but not alum, immunization induces a subpopulation of CXCR5-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the draining lymph nodes. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells present antigens to, and induce CXCR5 on, CD4 T cells by releasing Wnt ligands to initiate the T follicular helper (Tfh) cell program. Accordingly, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice have impaired germinal center formation, inefficient Tfh cell differentiation, and reduced serum levels of chicken ovalbumin (OVA)-specific antibodies after CFA/OVA immunization. In a mouse model of lupus, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice develop milder glomerulonephritis, consistent with decreased serum levels of lupus-related autoantibodies, when compared with wild type mice. Thus, modulation of the $\gamma\delta$ T cell-dependent humoral immune response may provide a novel therapy approach for the treatment of antibody-mediated autoimmunity.

¹Ann Romney Center for Neurologic Diseases, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115, USA. ² Center for Gastrointestinal Biology, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais 31270-901, Brazil. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.M.R. (email: rmachadorezende@bwh.harvard.edu)

A ntibody production is a multi-step process involving $CD4^+$ T cell activation, their differentiation into T follicular helper (Tfh) cells, germinal center formation, immunoglobulin class switching (also known as isotype switching), affinity maturation, plasma cell development, and memory B cell generation^{1,2}.

Naïve CD4⁺ T cells differentiate into Tfh cells in response to IL-6, inducible costimulator (ICOS), and T cell receptor (TCR) signaling³⁻⁶. Recently, the transcription factor achaete-scute homologue-2 (Ascl2) was shown to initiate the Tfh development⁷. In a mechanism involving the β -catenin pathway, naïve CD4⁺ T cells upregulate Ascl2, thus initiating the Tfh program that involves CXCR5 upregulation, CCR7 downregulation, and Th1 and Th17 gene signature inhibition⁷. However, the source of endogenous β -catenin activation molecules (Wnt agonists) is not known. The Tfh cell program is then maintained by expression of transcription factor B cell lymphoma 6 (Bcl6)¹.

Once differentiated, Tfh cells migrate to the B:T cell border of a lymphoid organ, where they encounter cognate antigen-activated B cells. This Tfh–B cell interaction results in B cell proliferation and differentiation. B cells then migrate to the center of the follicle and give rise to the germinal center where isotype switching and antibody affinity maturation take place².

In the absence of $\alpha\beta$ T cells, B cells are able to expand and secrete copious amounts of T cell-dependent antibodies, which react to self-antigens, mimicking the pathogenesis of systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE)⁸. Thus, "non- $\alpha\beta$ " T cells can mediate immunoglobulin class switching and antigen-dependent antibody production, suggesting that $\gamma\delta$ T cells play an important role in these processes. In fact, it has been shown that γδ T cell deficient $(TCR\delta^{-/-})$ mice, either immunized or not, have reduced serum antibody levels, including IgG1, IgG2b, and IgE^{9,10}. Importantly, some of these antibody subclasses, such as IgG2b and IgG2c were $\alpha\beta$ T cell independent whereas IgG1 and IgE were $\alpha\beta$ T cell dependent. Interestingly, the hypogammaglobulinemia observed in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice depends on the specific γ gene deletion. For example, Vy1 knockout mice have hypogammaglobulinemia, whereas Vy4 and Vy6 double-knockout mice have increased serum antibody levels, particularly IgE, compared to wild-type (WT) mice, an effect likely to be dependent on IL-410. This suggests that yo T cell-dependent antibody production involves both aß T cell dependent and independent pathways and that this effect is controlled by the cross-talk between $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets.

In humans, yo T cells promote B cell somatic hypermutation and isotype switching by expressing several factors: (1) CXCR5¹¹, a chemokine receptor that allows migration toward CXCL13 in the B cell follicle; (2) CD40 ligand (CD40L)¹², crucial for B cell activation, and (3) IL-4 and IL-10 cytokine secretion¹¹, involved in immunoglobulin class switch. Consistent with this, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been implicated in antibody-mediated autoimmune diseases such as SLE. Notably, pathogenic anti-DNA autoantibody-inducing $\gamma\delta$ T cell lines were isolated from patients with active lupus nephritis¹³. Moreover, a subgroup of patients with SLE and Sjogren's syndrome displayed a marked increase in yo T cell numbers that were normalized by immunosuppressant treatment¹⁴. Thus, these studies suggest the involvement of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in antibody-mediated autoimmune conditions. However, the mechanisms underlying yo T cell-dependent humoral immunity remain elusive. For example, whether $\gamma\delta$ "Tfh-like" cells exist or whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells communicate directly with B cells or interfere with Tfh cell development.

Here, we show that upon immunization with CFA, but not Alum, CXCR5 expression is induced on $\gamma\delta$ T cells in a $\gamma\delta$ TCR activation-dependent fashion. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells secrete Wnt ligands that induce CXCR5 expression on CD4⁺ T cells, leading to their differentiation into Tfh cells. Consistent with this, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice show reduced Tfh cell frequencies and germinal center formation and have decreased production of both OVA-specific antibodies and self-reactive antibodies compared to WT mice. Moreover, in a murine model of lupus, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice develop milder glomerulonephritis compared to WT mice. These data advance our understanding of the mechanisms by which $\gamma\delta$ T cells control humoral immune responses and promote antibody-mediated diseases.

Results

Reduced anti-OVA antibodies in CFA-immunized TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. To investigate whether $\gamma\delta$ T cell affected specific antibody production and whether a BT cells were required for the ability of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to control humoral immunity, WT, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$, and TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ mice were immunized with either CFA/OVA or Alum/ OVA at day 0, received an OVA boost at day 14 and sera and feces (for secretory (s)IgA analysis) for OVA-specific antibody measurement were collected at day 21. We found that, upon CFA immunization, serum levels of OVA-specific immunoglobulin levels were reduced in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 1a-h). Importantly, none of the antibodies measured in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice were decreased after Alum immunization (Fig. 1a-h), suggesting that the $\gamma\delta$ T cell control of specific antibody production depends on the adjuvant used for immunization. Furthermore, in the absence of $\alpha\beta$ T cells, $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not restore the diminished OVAspecific antibody in the sera and feces of $TCR\beta^{-/-}$ mice regardless of the type of adjuvant used for immunization (Fig. 1a-h), suggesting that the mechanism by which $\gamma\delta$ T cells regulate humoral immune response is $\alpha\beta$ T cell dependent.

It has been shown that lower antibody levels in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice relates to the absence of the Vy1 subset, which favors humoral immune responses, as opposed to Vy4 subset that suppresses humoral responses 9,10,15,16 . Thus, the balance between Vy1 and $V\gamma4~\gamma\delta$ T cell subset functions determines the level of antibody production following CFA vs. Alum immunization and could explain why $\gamma\delta$ T cells do not participate in antibody production in Alum immunized mice (Fig. 1). To test this, we depleted Vy4 γδ T cells with an anti-Vy4-depleting mAb and found marked increase in all OVA-specific immunoglobulin antibodies, except for sIgA, following CFA immunization (Supplementary Fig. 1ah). Alum immunization however only increased IgM levels in $V\gamma4 \gamma\delta$ T cell-depleted mice (Supplementary Fig. 1b). Thus, even in the absence of the inhibitory effects of Vy4 y δ T cells, Vy1 y δ T cells do not promote antibody production following Alum immunization and an imbalance in Vy1/Vy4 y δ T cell function is unlikely to be responsible for the differential involvement of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in antibody production following CFA vs. Alum immunization.

Reduced autoantibodies in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. It has been shown that in the absence of $\alpha\beta$ T cells, B cells are able to expand and secrete T cell-dependent antibodies, which react to self-antigens⁸. To test whether TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice have decreased autoantibody production, we performed an autoantibody microarray on serum from naïve WT vs. naïve TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. We found that several self-reactive IgM and IgG antibodies were diminished in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice compared to WT mice (Fig. 2a, b; Supplementary Data 1). IgM autoantibodies that were decreased in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice included those reactive to nuclear components (histones, nucleosome antigen, SmD1, U1-snRNP-A, Sm/RNP), complement factors, fibrinogen S, aquaporin 4 (AQP4), myelinassociated glycoprotein (MAG), and muscarinic receptor (Fig. 2a; Supplementary Data 1). Similarly, IgG autoantibodies to nuclear components (ssDNA, histones, U1-snRNP-A), complement factors, C-reactive protein (CRP), fibrinogen S, and AQP4



Fig. 1 OVA-specific antibodies in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. **a-h** Serum and fecal (secretory IgA) OVA-specific total IgG (**a**), IgM (**b**), IgA (**c**), secretory IgA (sIgA; **d**), IgG1 (**e**), IgG2b (**f**), IgG3 (**g**), and IgE (**h**) from WT, TCR $\beta^{-/-}$, and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice 21 days after s.c. immunization with either OVA + CFA or OVA + Alum. Mice received a boost of OVA 14 days after immunization (n = 5-10 mice/group). These data are representative of three independent experiments. Data are shown as mean + SEM. One-way ANOVA was used. NS non-significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001

were decreased in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 2b; Supplementary Data 1).

Because increased levels of serum antibodies reactive to nuclear components and complement factors are known to be involved in the pathogenesis of SLE¹⁷, we investigated whether TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice are protected from pristane-induced lupus. Pristane is an isoprenoid alkane found at high concentration in mineral oil that is known to induce autoantibodies characteristic of lupus in mice¹⁸. We found increased levels of both IgM and IgG autoantibodies 3 months after pristane injection in WT mice (Supplementary Data 1). IgG self-reactive antibodies, including anti-nuclear and anti-complement antibodies, were decreased in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 2c; Supplementary Data 1). Excess autoantibodies deposit in the kidneys and induce glomerulonephritis characterized by enlarged and hypercellular glomeruli after pristane treatment^{18,19}. Five months after pristane injection, we found that TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice had milder signs of glomerulonephritis compared to WT mice (Fig. 2d). Thus, $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice had reduced self-reactive antibody levels and were protected from kidney damage caused by antibody deposition.

Tfh cell induction is impaired in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ **mice**. The impaired antibody production in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice could be related to dysregulated B cells and/or abnormalities in Tfh cells. To investigate these possibilities, we immunized mice with CFA to induce Tfh cells^{20,21} and investigated both peripheral and bone marrow B cell compartments 7 days after immunization. As expected²², no major changes between WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice in both B cell compartments were observed (Supplementary Fig. 2a-c), despite decreased frequencies of activated B cells in the draining lymph node (dLN) of TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Supplementary Fig. 2b), suggesting the involvement of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in B cell activation after antigen exposure. In the bone marrow, we did not observe major differences between WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice and between immunized and non-immunized mice apart from a slight increase in pre-pro B cell frequency and a decrease in long-lived plasma cells 7 days post-immunization (Supplementary Fig. 2c). Thus, the impaired humoral immune response in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice is not due to a defect in the B cell compartment.

We next investigated whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells are involved in Tfh cell differentiation and/or function. For this, we immunized WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice and examined the dLN architecture and cell

composition 1, 3, 5, and 7 days after immunization. We imaged LNs ex-vivo by employing a method we developed that improves the speed, structure preservation, and cell viability compared to conventional immunofluorescence staining (Supplementary Fig. 3a). We found that dLNs from WT mice had a normal structure with well-defined follicles and a T cell zone as compared to TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 3a). Moreover, in WT mice, $\gamma\delta$ T cells were present in the follicles even in non-immunized mice, and $\gamma\delta$ T cell number increased from day 3 after immunization, where they accumulated in the T cell zone and within the follicles (Fig. 3a). Thus, $\gamma\delta$ T cells may play an important role in maintaining the homeostasis of lymphoid organs.

To investigate the ability of $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice to form germinal centers (GC), we measured B220⁺FAS⁺GL7⁺ expression in WT and $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice 7 days after immunization. The frequencies of B220⁺FAS⁺GL7⁺ cells in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice were comparable to those in non-immunized mice and significantly lower than in immunized WT mice (Fig. 3b). We confirmed the reduced GC formation in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice by whole lymph node confocal microscopy (Supplementary Fig. 3b). Thus, $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice have impaired GC formation after immunization.

We then investigated whether TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ cells mice had a defect in the Tfh cell compartment, which could explain their impaired GC formation. We analyzed Tfh cells in the dLNs, non-draining lymph nodes (ndLNs) and spleen of WT vs. TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice 1, 3, 5, 7, and 21 days after immunization. In dLNs, we found that TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice had 50% less CXCR5⁺ expression on CD4⁺ T cells 1, 3, 5 and 7 days post-immunization (Fig. 3c). Consistent with this, CD4⁺CXCR5⁺Bcl6⁺ Tfh cells were 50% less frequent in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ vs. WT mice 7 days post-immunization (Fig. 3d). Of note, Tfh cell frequencies returned to basal levels 21 days after immunization and no difference between WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ was observed at this time (Supplementary Fig. 3c). There was no difference in Tfh cell frequencies in ndLNs (Supplementary Fig. 4a) or spleen (Supplementary Fig. 4b) between nonimmunized and immunized mice. The decrease in Tfh cells in dLNs was confirmed by confocal microscopy, where minimal CXCR5 expression was detected in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (Fig. 3e). Interestingly, we also observed CXCR5 staining in close proximity to γδ-GFP cells by confocal microscopy (Fig. 3e, arrows), suggesting that a subtype of CXCR5-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells may exist. Importantly, in the pristane-induced lupus model, the frequency of Tfh cells was decreased and correlated with lower



Fig. 2 $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice are less affected by pristane-induced lupus. **a-c** Heat map and log_2 ratio of serum levels (p < 0.05) of IgM (**a**), IgG (**b**) autoantibodies from naïve $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ vs. WT mice, and IgG autoantibodies (**c**) 3 months after pristane injection (n = 5 mice/group). An autoantigen microarray super panel (128 autoantigens) were used to generate data. The averaged net fluorescent intensity (NFI) of each autoantigen was normalized to internal controls (IgG or IgM; see Methods). Statistic different autoantigens (p < 0.05, WT vs. $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice) were used to plot data. **d** Representative histologic sections and quantification of glomerulus diameter and number of cells per glomerulus of kidneys from WT and $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice removed 5 months after pristane injection with 5 µm serial sections stained with hematoxylin-eosin. Magnification of 50×. Scale bar = 250 µm (n = 5-8 mice/group). Data are shown as mean + SEM. Student's *t*-test (**a-c**) or One-way ANOVA (**d**) were used. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001

sera autoantibodies in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice 5 months after pristane injection as compared to WT mice (Supplementary Fig. 4c).

TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice immunized with CFA/OVA also had 50% less OVA-specific Tfh cells, as measured by the expression of the OVA-specific TCR V β 5.1/5.2 on CD4⁺CXCR5⁺Bcl6⁺ cells (Supplementary Fig. 5a). Furthermore, because we found that TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice upon Alum immunization had normal levels of antibodies (Fig. 1), we immunized mice with either CFA or Alum and found that, despite a higher frequency of Tfh cells in CFAimmunized mice, no differences between WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice were found after Alum immunization (Supplementary Fig. 5b). Moreover, Foxp3-expressing T follicular regulatory (Tfr) frequency, which has been shown to play an important role in GC control²³, was not different between WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice immunized with CFA, but was decreased compared to nonimmunized mice (Supplementary Fig. 5c).

Importantly, Tfh cells from $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice expressed less CD40L than Tfh cells from WT mice after immunization, although PD-1, ICOS, and IL-21 had similar expression levels (Supplementary Fig. 6a-d). This suggests that Tfh cells from $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice do not provide adequate help to B cells for proper GC formation and subsequent antibody production.

Taken together, these data demonstrate that $\gamma\delta$ T cells are important for CXCR5 induction on CD4⁺ T cells and for the ability of Tfh cells to provide help for B cells. Disruption of this interaction is likely the cause of the impaired humoral immune response in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice.

A subtype of $\gamma\delta$ T cell expresses CXCR5. Because we found $\gamma\delta$ T cells within the follicles, and close proximity of CXCR5 staining

to $\gamma\delta$ -GFP (Fig. 3a, e), we asked whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells express CXCR5. We examined CXCR5 protein expression on γδ T cells in non-immunized and 1, 3, 5, and 7 day-immunized mice. Different from CD4⁺ T cells that showed peak of CXCR5 expression at day 7 post-immunization, the peak of CXCR5 expression on γδ T cells was observed 1 day after immunization and remained constant up to day 7 (Fig. 4a). Importantly, CXCR5 expression was only upregulated on Vy1 y8 T cells after CFA immunization (Fig. 4b), which is consistent with the important role of Vy1 y δ T cells in antibody production control (Supplementary Fig. 1). Furthermore, $\gamma\delta$ T cells from mice with pristane-induced lupus also had increased CXCR5 expression compared to naïve mice (Supplementary Fig. 7a). However, CXCR5 expression on total $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Supplementary Fig. 7b) or Vy1 y δ T cells (Supplementary Fig. 9a) was not upregulated after Alum immunization as compared to mice immunized with CFA. Consistent with the increased levels of OVA-specific immunoglobulins following Vy4 $\gamma\delta$ T cell depletion, we found that Tfh cell frequency was higher in Vy4 yo T cell-depleted mice than in isotype control (IC)treated mice immunized with CFA/OVA, but not after Alum/ OVA immunization (Supplementary Fig. 7c). Importantly, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred with CXCR $5^{-/-}$ y δ T cells had decreased Tfh cell frequencies and did not develop GC following CFA immunization as compared to mice transferred with WT $y\delta$ T cells (Fig. 4c, d), confirming that CXCR5 expression on $y\delta$ T cells is crucial for their ability to induce Tfh cells and to control the humoral immune response.

To investigate whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells shared Tfh cell features, we measured Bcl6 expression in $\gamma\delta$ T cells in non-immunized and 1, 3, 5, and 7 day-immunized mice and found no Bcl6 expression



Fig. 3 TCRδ^{-/-} mice have reduced Tfh cell and GC compartments. **a** Representative confocal microscopy images of whole lymph nodes (dLN) of nonimmunized (NI) TCRγδ-GFP and TCRδ^{-/-} mice and 1, 3, 5, and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi). Scale bar = 100 µm. CD4—blue; B220—red; TCRγδ green. Bar graph represents the number of GFP⁺ cells per mm² (n = 6 mice/group). **b** Frequency of B220⁺ cells expressing FAS and GL7 (indicative of germinal center cells) in the dLNs of non-immunized (NI) WT and TCRδ^{-/-} mice and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi) (n = 4 mice/group). **c** Frequency of CD4⁺ T cells expressing CXCR5 in the dLNs of non-immunized (NI) WT and TCRδ^{-/-} mice and 1, 3, 5, and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi) (n = 6 mice/group). **d** Frequency of CD4⁺ T cells expressing CXCR5 and Bcl6 in dLNs of non-immunized (NI) WT and TCRδ^{-/-} mice and 7 days after CFA immunization (I) (n = 5-8 mice/group). **e** Representative confocal microscopy images of whole lymph nodes (dLN) of non-immunized (NI) TCRγδ-GFP and TCRδ^{-/-} mice, and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi). Scale bar = 100 µm. CD4—blue; B220—red; TCRγδ—green; CXCR5—gray. Yellow arrows indicate γδ T cells and CXCR5 co-localization (n = 6 mice/group). These data are representative of three independent experiments. Data are shown as mean + SEM. One-way ANOVA was used. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001

(Fig. 4e). Moreover, no difference between TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells was observed for PD-1, ICOS, IL-21R, IFN- γ , and IL-17A (Supplementary Fig. 7d-f). Neither TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ nor TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells produced IL-21 or expressed ICOSL (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Both TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells expressed low levels of CD40L (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Importantly, even in the absence of $\alpha\beta$ T cells (TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ mice), TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells did not upregulate CD40L nor IL-21 (Supplementary Fig. 8b), suggesting that $\gamma\delta$ T cells do not provide direct help to B cells in a cell-cell contact fashion.

Thus, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells represent a subtype that does not share common features with Tfh cells and are not a $\gamma\delta$ Tfh cell subset.

γδTCR activation induces CXCR5 expression on γδ T cells. Because CFA, but not Alum, induced CXCR5 expression on γδ T cells (Fig. 5a; Supplementary Fig. 7b), we chose the stimuli that could be involved in CFA stimulation to investigate potential mechanisms by which CXCR5 is induced on γδ T cells. We found that γδTCR, but not TLR1/2 stimulation, found to be highly expressed on both TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ and TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻ cells (Supplementary Data 2), induced CXCR5 on γδ T cells. Addition of either anti-CD28 or TLR1/2 agonist in the culture did not potentiate the CXCR5 expression induced by anti-TCRγδ. Moreover, CXCR5 expression on γδ T cells was also upregulated by *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MT) and this effect was neither blocked by the TLR1/2 antagonist CU-CPT22 nor potentiated by the addition of anti-TCRγδ (Fig. 5b), suggesting that CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells is induced by $\gamma\delta$ TCR activation. Consistent with this, in vivo administration of anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ monoclonal antibody upregulated CXCR5 on $\gamma\delta$ T cells from both spleen and inguinal lymph node (ILN) 24 h after injection (Fig. 5c). Thus, CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells is induced by $\gamma\delta$ TCR activation.

TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells function as antigen-presenting cells. To further characterize TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells, we sorted TCR $\gamma\delta$ $+CXCR5^+$ and $TCR\gamma\delta^+CXCR5^-$ cells and measured gene expression using the Nanostring nCounter mouse immunology codeset. Hierarchical clustering showed that TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ and TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁻ cells are distinct populations (Fig. 6a). Twenty genes were decreased in the TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ vs. TCR $\gamma\delta^+CXCR5^-$ cells (with p < 0.05; Fig. 6a, b; Supplementary Data 2). In contrast, 65 genes were increased in TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ vs. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells with (*p* < 0.05). Among them were those related to antigen presentation, such as MHC class II molecules (H2-Aa, H2-Ab1, H2-Eb1), CD40 and CD86 (Fig. 5a, b; Supplementary Data 2). We confirmed the protein expression of MHC-II, CD40, and CD86 on TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ vs. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells by flow cytometry (Fig. 5c). Consistent with the role of Vy1⁺ y δ T cells in controlling antibody production (Supplementary Fig. 1), high levels of MHC-II were upregulated exclusively on Vy1⁺ y δ T cell following CFA, but not following Alum immunization. Moreover, CXCR5 expression was only observed on Vy1⁺ MHC-II^{high} $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Supplementary Fig. 9a).



Fig. 4 A subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expresses CXCR5. **a** Frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 in the dLN of non-immunized (NI) WT mice and 1, 3, 5, and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi) (n = 6 mice/group). **b** Frequency of V γ 1 and V $\gamma4$ $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 from dLN of non-immunized (NI) WT mice and 3 days after CFA immunization (I) (n = 4 mice/group). **c**, **d** In vivo Tfh cell induction (**c**) and germinal center formation (**d**) in dLNs of TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred intravenously with $5 \times 10^5 \gamma\delta$ T cells from either WT or CXCR $5^{-/-}$ mice. Three days after transfer, recipient mice were immunized or not (WT NI) with CFA and 7 days thereafter sacrificed for flow cytometric analysis (n = 4-5 mice/group, where $\gamma\delta$ T cells are sorted from a pool of 10 mice/ group). **e** Representative FACS plots showing frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 and Bcl6 in dLN of non-immunized (NI) WT and 1, 3, 5, and 7 days post CFA immunization (dpi) (n = 5 mice/group/time point). These data are representative of at least two independent experiments (**a**, **b**, **e**). Data are shown as mean + SEM. One-way ANOVA was used. NS non-significant, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001

To determine whether TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells functioned as APCs, we cultured TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻, or CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (DC) with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated ovalbumin (OVA). TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells took up OVA, but to a lesser extent than CD11c⁺ DCs (21.5% vs. 49.8%, respectively). No OVA uptake was observed in TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells (Fig. 5d). When $OVA_{323-339}$ peptide-pulsed TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells were cultured with naïve CD4+ T cells from OT-IIxFoxp3-GFP (OVA_{323–339}-specific TCR transgenic) mice, responder T cells proliferated in the presence of TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells and to a greater extent in the presence of CD11c⁺ DCs (52.4% and 98%, respectively). However, consistent with results from the uptake assay, no proliferation was observed when responder T cells were co-cultured with TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells, when TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells or $CD11c^+$ DCs were not pulsed with $OVA_{323-339}$ peptide or when TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ and CD11c⁺ DCs were sorted from MHC-II^{-/-} mice (Fig. 5e). Thus, these results demonstrate that CXCR5-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells possess antigen presentation properties. Confirming the importance of antigen presentation ability of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to induce Tfh cell differentiation and GC formation in vivo, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred with MHC-II^{-/-} $\gamma\delta$ T cells had decreased Tfh cell frequencies and did not develop GC following CFA immunization as compared to mice transferred with WT γδ T cells (Fig. 6f, g).

TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ cell-secreted Wnt ligands initiate the Tfh cell program. It has been recently shown that Wnt ligands initiate the Tfh cell program through the upregulation of the transcription factor Ascl2 in naïve CD4⁺ T cells⁷. Because Tfh cell differentiation takes place within a secondary lymphoid organ after antigen exposure, some cell in the T cell zone must release endogenous Wnt ligands. Two possible candidates are DCs and TCRγδ⁺CXCR5 cells, since antigen presentation and CD4⁺ T cell activation is the first step in Tfh cell differentiation. To investigate these possibilities, we first measured *Ascl2* mRNA expression in sorted CD4⁺CD44⁺CD25⁻ T cells from dLNs of WT or TCRδ^{-/-} mice before immunization and 1, 2, 3, and 4 days after immunization. We found that immunized WT mice upregulated *Ascl2*, which peaked at day 3 and decreased at day 4 post-immunization (Fig. 7a). These findings are consistent with the CXCR5 expression kinetics we observed on CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 3c). CD4⁺CD44⁺CD25⁻ T cells from TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice minimally upregulated *Ascl2* (Fig. 7a), consistent with the lower expression of CXCR5 on CD4⁺ T cells in these mice (Fig. 3c).

To determine the source of endogenous Wnt ligands in the lymph node, we sorted $\gamma\delta$ T cells and CD11c⁺ DCs from dLN of WT mice before immunization and 1, 2, 3, and 4 days after immunization and measured the mRNA expression of several Wnt ligands involved in the canonical (β -catenin-dependent), including Wnt1, Wnt2, Wnt3a, Wnt4, Wnt6, Wnt7a, Wnt7b, *Wnt8a*, *Wnt8b*; and non-canonical (β-catenin independent) pathways, such as Wnt5a and Wnt11. We found that Wnt6 was highly expressed in CD11c⁺ DCs from non-immunized mice, but was downregulated from day 1 to 3 after immunization and returned to the basal level at day 4. *Wnt6* was not expressed in $\gamma\delta$ T cells (Fig. 7b). Both Wnt8a and Wnt8b, however, were upregulated in $\gamma\delta$ T cells, but not in DCs, from 3 and 4 dayimmunized mice. When we analyzed Wnt8a and Wnt8b expression in TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ vs. TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁻ cells 4 days after immunization, we found that *Wnt8b* was highly upregulated in TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells as compared to TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁻ cells. Wnt8a was minimally expressed in both $\gamma\delta$ T cell subtypes as compared to Wnt8b (Fig. 7c).

To investigate whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells directly induce *Ascl2* and thus *Cxcr5* mRNA expression in CD4⁺ T cells in vitro, we sorted TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ or CD11c⁺ DCs from WT mice 4 days after immunization, pulsed them with OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide and co-cultured with naïve CD4⁺ T cells from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice. We found that TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells and to a lesser extent CD11c⁺ DCs, but not TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells, induced both *Ascl2* (36 h in co-culture) and *Cxcr5* (72 h in co-culture) mRNA expression in CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 7d). However, CXCR5 protein expression was only observed on CD4⁺ T cells cultured for 4 days in the presence of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells (Fig. 7e). Importantly, this effect was dependent on cell-cell contact because TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells not loaded with OVA peptide or deficient for MHC-II did not induce CXCR5 expression on CD4⁺ T cells (Fig. 7e; Supplementary Fig. 9b).



Fig. 5 Mechanism of CXCR5 induction on $\gamma\delta$ T cells. **a** Frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 in the dLN of non-immunized (NI) WT mice 7 days post either CFA or Alum immunization (n = 4 mice/group). **b** Frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 after 48 h of in vitro culture of 5×10^4 sorted CXCR5- $\gamma\delta$ T cells from a pool of spleen and lymph nodes of 15 naïve WT mice in the presence of medium only (unstimulated); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ monoclonal antibody (aTCR $\gamma\delta$; 2 µg ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + anti-CD28 (aCD28, 5 µg ml⁻¹) monoclonal antibodies; TLR1/2 agonist (TLR1/2 ago, 500 ng ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + anti-CD28 (aCD28, 5 µg ml⁻¹) monoclonal antibodies; TLR1/2 agonist (TLR1/2 ago, 500 ng ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + TLR1/2 agonist (500 ng ml⁻¹); *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (MT, 10 µg ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + MT (10 µg ml⁻¹); TLR1/2 antagonist (TLR1/2 ant, 0.5 µM) or MT (10 µg ml⁻¹); TLR1/2 antagonist (0.5 µM) (n = 3 technical replicates/condition). **c** Frequency of $\gamma\delta$ T cells expressing CXCR5 in the spleen and inguinal lymph node (ILN) from WT mice 24 h after treatment with 500 µg of anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ monoclonal antibody (n = 3 mice/group). These data are representative of two independent experiments. Data are shown as mean + SEM. One-way ANOVA (**a**, **b**) or Student's *t* test (**c**) were used. Conditions were compared with unstimulated cells. NS non-significant, *p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001, ****p < 0.001

To determine whether Wnt ligands were involved in this process, we used the porcupine (PORCN) inhibitor Wnt-C59. PORCN is a membrane-bound O-acyltransferase responsible for endogenous Wnt ligand palmitoylation and its subsequent secretion from the cell²⁴. Sorted TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺, TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁻ or CD11c⁺ DCs received Wnt-C59 at the same time they were pulsed with OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide. In this case, Wnt ligands were not released by the cells and consequently did not bind to their receptors on naïve CD4⁺ T cells, which were added to the culture system on the next day. We found that CD4⁺ T cells cultured in the presence of Wnt-C59-treated TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells did not upregulate Ascl2 or Cxcr5 mRNA (Fig. 6d), and showed a 2-fold reduction in CXCR5 protein expression (Fig. 6e). No changes were observed in CD4⁺ T cells co-cultured with either TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ or CD11c⁺ DCs (Fig. 6d, e). To investigate the role of Wnt8b in CXCR5 expression on CD4 T cells induced by TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells, anti-Wnt8b monoclonal antibody was added together with naïve CD4 T cells. We found that anti-Wnt8b prevented CXCR5 induction on CD4 T in the same extent as did Wnt-C59 (Fig. 7e), confirming the crucial role of Wnt8b in the Tfh cell differentiation induced by TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells. Of note, TCF-1, a key transcription factor downstream of Wnt and β-catenin pathway, was not required for Tfh cell differentiation induced by TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells, because transgenic mice overexpressing TCF-1 and depleted of y\delta T cells still required y\delta T cells for CXCR5 induction on CD4 T cells. In fact, TCF-1 had an opposite effect on Tfh cell differentiation, because its overexpression reduced the frequency of Tfh cells in immunized TCF-1 transgenic mice (Supplementary Fig. 9c).

To further confirm the ability of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells to induce CXCR5 expression on CD4⁺ T cells in vitro, we stimulated TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells with plate-bound anti-CD3/anti-CD28 in the presence or not of Wnt-C59. Three days later, we collected the supernatant and added it to the plate containing naïve CD4⁺ T cells under plate-bound anti-CD3/anti-CD28 stimulation. We found that supernatant from TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells, but not from TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells induced CXCR5 on CD4⁺ T cells. This effect was prevented by adding supernatant from TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells treated with Wnt-C59 (Fig. 8a). Taken together, these data indicate that Wnt/ β -catenin pathway activation underlies the mechanism by which TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells induce Tfh cells.

We next investigated whether $\gamma\delta$ T cell-dependent CXCR5 expression on CD4⁺ T cells occurred in vivo by pharmacologically inhibiting PORCN activity. It has been shown that the plasma concentration of Wnt-C59 after a single in vivo administration remained greater than 10-fold above the in vitro IC₅₀ for at least 16 h²⁵. Thus, we treated naïve WT mice with either 10 mg/kg of Wnt-C59 or vehicle i.p. and 24 h later, total $\gamma\delta$ T cells were isolated from spleen and lymph nodes and adoptively transferred into TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (5 × 10⁵ cells/mouse). Of note, we could not sort enough TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻



Fig. 6 TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells function as antigen-presenting cells. **a** Transcriptional signatures of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells sorted from dLN of WT mice 7 days after CFA immunization. Only statistically different (p < 0.05) mRNA levels are shown (n = pooled cells from 5 mice/column). **b** Log₂ ratio (p < 0.05) of mRNA levels from TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells. Red squares indicate mRNAs related to antigen presentation function. **c** Frequency and median fluorescence intensity (MFI) of MHC-II, CD40, and CD86 expression on TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells from dLN of WT mice before immunization (day 0) and 1, 3, 5, and 7 days after CFA immunization (n = 5 mice/group). **d** Soluble ovalbumin (OVA) coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 (OVA-Alexa Fluor 488) endocytosed by TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and CD11c⁺ dendritic cells after 3 h of culture at 37 °C (n = pooled cells from 12 mice). **e** Proliferation of CellTrace Violet-stained naïve CD4 T cells from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice co-cultured (3 days at 37 °C) with or without OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉-loaded TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ or CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (DC) from dLN of WT or MHC-II^{-/-} mice 4 days after CFA immunization (n = pooled cells from 10 mice/experiment). **f**, **g** In vivo Tfh cell induction (**f**) and germinal center formation (**g**) in dLNs of TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred intravenously with $5 \times 10^5 \gamma\delta$ T cells from either WT or MHC-II^{-/-} mice. Three days after transfer, recipient mice were immunized or not (WT NI) with CFA and 7 days thereafter sacrificed for flow cytometric analysis (n = 4 mice/group, where $\gamma\delta$ T cells are sorted from a pool of 10 mice/ group). These data are representative of two independent experiments (**a-e**). Data are shown as mean + SEM. Pearson's correlation was used for hierarchical clustering in **a**. Student's *t*-test (**a-c**) or one-way ANOVA (**d-g**) were used. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ****p < 0.001

cells to be separately transferred. We then immunized the mice with CFA and measured Tfh cells in the dLNs and OVA-specific antibodies in the sera 7 and 21 days later, respectively. We found that TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred with $\gamma\delta$ T cells from Wnt-C59-treated mice had reduced frequencies of Tfh cells (Fig. 8b) and decreased OVA-specific IgM and IgG (Supplementary Fig. 9d) as compared to TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred with $\gamma\delta$ T cells from vehicle-treated mice. Of note, CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells was similar between groups (Fig. 8c). Thus, these data indicate that Wnt ligands secreted by $\gamma\delta$ T cells are important for the Tfh cell induction after immunization.

Discussion

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells have a wide range of biologic function, from fighting pathogens and tumors²⁶ to regulating mucosal immunity including intestinal inflammation²⁷ and oral tolerance^{28–30}. Importantly, $\gamma\delta$ T cells have also been shown to participate in the humoral immune response by helping B cells form GC and differentiate into plasma cells^{10,31}. However, it is not known whether $\gamma\delta$ "Tfh-like" cells exist or whether $\gamma\delta$ T cells communicate directly with B cells or affect Tfh cell development.

It has been shown that even in the absence of $\alpha\beta$ T cells, B cells can expand and secrete T cell-dependent antibodies that react to self-antigens⁸. Moreover, it is now known that in non-immunized mice or in mouse models of allergic diseases, V γ 1⁺ $\gamma\delta$ T cells favor antibody production whereas V γ 4 $\gamma\delta$ T cells suppress it^{10,15,16}. Accordingly, in the present study, we found that the

participation of yo T cells in antibody production following CFA immunization relies on the crosstalk between Vy1 and Vy4 y δ T cell subsets. Vy1⁺ y δ T cells promoted antibody production, whereas $V\gamma 4^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells suppressed it. Consistent with the role of $V\gamma 1^+ \gamma \delta T$ cells in promoting humoral immune response, we found that Tfh cell frequency was higher in Vy4⁺ y δ T celldepleted mice than in WT mice immunized with CFA/OVA, but not with Alum/OVA. Moreover, CFA, but not Alum, induced the expression of CXCR5 and MHC-II exclusively on Vγ1+ γδ T cells, which we have shown to play a crucial role in Tfh cell differentiation induced by yo T cells. This lack of yo T cell activation following Alum immunization may explain the differential involvement of yo T cells on CFA- vs. Alum-induced antibody production. CFA-activated y8 T cells acquire antigen presentation functions and secrete Wnt ligands in order to initiate the Tfh cell differentiation program.

We found that $\gamma\delta$ T cells did not rescue the hypoglobulinemia observed in TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ mice, indicating that $\gamma\delta$ T cells require $\alpha\beta$ T cells to help B cells produce OVA-specific antibodies. However, because we did not investigate the involvement of V γ 1 and V $\gamma4$ $\gamma\delta$ T cell subsets in a TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ background, we cannot exclude the possibility that immunoglobulin subclasses such as IgM, IgG2b, and IgG3, may be controlled by $\gamma\delta$ T cells in an $\alpha\beta$ T cellindependent fashion, as previously described¹⁰. In this context, the low levels of antibodies observed in TCR $\beta^{-/-}$ mice could be a consequence of the inhibitory effect of V $\gamma4$ $\gamma\delta$ T cells¹⁰.

 $\gamma\delta$ T cells have been shown to participate in the pathogenesis of SLE through their antigen-presenting function, production of



Fig. 7 TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells release Wnt ligands to induce CD4⁺CXCR5⁺ cells. **a** Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *Ascl2* mRNA from dLN-sorted CD4⁺CD44⁺CD25⁻ T cells of WT and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice before immunization (day 0) and 1, 2, 3, and 4 days after CFA immunization (n = pooled cells from 5 mice/group/time point). Data are from three experiments. **b** *Wnt6*, *Wnt8a*, and *Wnt8b* from dLN-sorted $\gamma\delta$ T cells and CD11c⁺ dendritic cells (DC) of WT mice before immunization (day 0) and 1, 2, 3, and 4 days after CFA immunization (n = pooled cells from 5 mice/group/time point). Data are from three experiments. **c** *Wnt8a* and *Wnt8b* from dLN-sorted TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells of WT mice 4 days after CFA immunization (n = pooled cells from 5 mice/group). Data were combined from **b**. **d** Quantitative RT-PCR analysis of *Ascl2* (36 h in co-culture) and *Cxcr5* (72 h in co-culture) mRNAs from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mouse-sorted naive CD4⁺ T cells cultured in the presence of OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉-loaded TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells or CD11c⁺ DCs treated or not with the porcupine inhibitor Wnt-C59 (C59; 1 µM) for 3 days at 37 °C. $\gamma\delta$ T cells and DCs were sorted from WT mice (pooled from 15 mice/experiment) 4 days after CFA immunization. Data are from three experiments. **e** CXCR5 induction on naïve CD4 T cells from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice co-cultured (3 days at 37 °C) with or without OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉-loaded TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ or CD11c⁺ DCs in the presence or not of either 1 µM of Wnt-C59 (C59) or 20 µg ml⁻¹ of anti-Wnt8b monoclonal antibody (aWnt8b) from WT mice 4 days after CFA immunization (n = pooled cells from 15 mice/experiment). Data are from at least two experiments. Data are shown as mean + SEM. Two-way ANOVA (**a**, **b**) or one-way ANOVA (**c-e**) were used. ND not detected, *p < 0.05, **p < 0.00, ****p < 0.001

proinflammatory cytokines, interaction with regulatory T cells, and promoting antibody production by providing B cell help³². Consistent with this, we found a reduction in anti-nuclear and anti-complement antibodies, which are known to participate in the pathogenesis of SLE^{17} , both in naïve and pristane-induced lupus $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice. This correlated with milder glomerulone-phritis in lupus-induced $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice. Importantly, these effects were accompanied by a reduced expression of Tfh cells in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice, suggesting that diminished autoantibody production in these mice relies on their inability to initiate a proper Tfh cell differentiation program.

We hypothesized that $\gamma\delta$ T cells play either a direct role in B cell activation, behaving as yo Tfh-like cells or an indirect role by helping Tfh cell differentiation and function. Our findings demonstrate that although both OVA-specific antibody and autoantibody serum levels were reduced in $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice as compared to WT mice upon CFA immunization, the B cell compartment was intact, suggesting that a direct contact between $\gamma\delta$ T and B cells is unlikely. Consistent with this, even though a subtype of yo T cell expressed CXCR5, PD-1, and ICOS, it failed to express Bcl6, produce IL-21 and had only marginal expression of CD40L, which are crucial factors for direct B cell help³³. TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice showed reduced Tfh cell frequencies upon CFA immunization as compared to WT mice. This led to impaired GC formation. Moreover, Tfh cells from TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice had low expression of CD40L, indicating that the Tfh compartment in immunized TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice is not only reduced, but also dysfunctional in providing help to B cells. Notably, 5 months after pristane injection, TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice showed decreased Tfh cell frequencies as compared to WT mice, which is consistent with the reduced levels of autoantibodies in TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. Interestingly, it has been recently shown that $\gamma\delta$ T cells from zebrafish, by functioning as APCs, similar to what we observed for murine $\gamma\delta$ T cells, activated CD4⁺ T cells that began to express CD40L and help B cells produce antibodies³⁴. Thus, the crosstalk between $\gamma\delta$ T cells and CD4⁺ T cells seems to be a conserved evolutionary mechanism in the context of humoral immunity.

Tfh cell differentiation is a multistage and multifactorial process with several signals provided by APCs. A crucial step in the Tfh cell differentiation is the induction of Bcl6, which maintains the Tfh cell program by avoiding further Tfh cell differentiation into Th1, Th2, or Th17 cells. However, it is not clear how the Tfh cell program begins. In this regard, Liu et al. demonstrated that CXCR5 expression on $CD4^+$ T cells occurs previously to Bcl6 induction and is mediated by the transcription factor Ascl2⁷. Thus, although Bcl6 has been shown to be essential for Tfh cell development, it does not regulate the initial steps of Tfh cell program.

Ascl2 can be induced by Wnt agonists⁷, indicating the involvement of the β -catenin pathway in Tfh cell generation. However, we found that Ascl2 acts independently of TCF-1, a key transcription factor downstream of Wnt and β -catenin pathway, in initiating the Tfh cell program because transgenic mice overexpressing TCF-1 and depleted of $\gamma\delta$ T cells still required $\gamma\delta$



Fig. 8 TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells induce Tfh cells by releasing Wnt ligands. **a** In vitro CXCR5 induction on naïve CD4⁺ T cells cultured in the presence of supernatants from TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ or TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells, treated or not with the porcupine inhibitor Wnt-C59 (C59; 1 µM), sorted from WT mice 4 days after CFA immunization and activated with anti-CD3 ϵ and anti-CD28 (both at 2 µg ml⁻¹) for 3 days at 37 °C. Naïve CD4⁺ T cells, sorted from naïve WT mice, cultured with the described supernatants were also stimulated with anti-CD3 ϵ and anti-CD28 (both at 2 µg ml⁻¹) for 3 days at 37 °C. Naïve CD4⁺ T cells, sorted from naïve WT mice, cultured with the described supernatants were also stimulated with anti-CD3 ϵ and anti-CD28 (both at 2 µg ml⁻¹) for 3 days at 37 °C. (*n* = pooled cells from 15 mice). **b**, **c** In vivo CXCR5 and Bcl6 induction in CD4⁺ T cells (**b**) and CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells (**c**) from dLN of TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice transferred with $\gamma\delta$ T cells from WT mice treated with either 10 mg kg⁻¹ of Wnt-C59 (C59) or vehicle (Veh). WT mice were sacrificed 24 h after Wnt-C59 or vehicle treatment and a total of 5 × 10⁵ $\gamma\delta$ T cells were intravenously injected into TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice, which were immunized with CFA and sacrificed 7 days later (*n* = 6 mice/group, combined from three independent experiments where $\gamma\delta$ T cells are sorted from a pool of 10 mice/group/experiment). These data are representative of two to three independent experiments. Data are shown as mean + SEM. One-way ANOVA was used. **p* < 0.05, ****p* < 0.001

T cells for CXCR5 induction on CD4 T cells. In fact, TCF-1 had an opposite effect on Tfh cell differentiation, because its overexpression reduced the frequency of Tfh cells in immunized TCF-1 transgenic mice. This is consistent with an independent mechanism between TCF-1 and Ascl2 in β-catenin responsive gene activation³⁵. Importantly, we found that CD4⁺CD44⁺ CD25⁻ T cells from immunized TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice had substantially less Ascl2 mRNA as compared to WT mice, suggesting that $y\delta$ T cells are involved in Ascl2 induction in CD4⁺ T cells. Indeed, γδ T cells expressed Wnt8a and Wnt8b, which directly correlated with Ascl2 mRNA induction kinetics in CD4⁺ T cells. We found that these Wnt ligands were increased in a subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells that expressed CXCR5. TCRy δ^+ CXCR5⁺ cells functioned as APCs and directly induced Ascl2 and CXCR5 on naïve CD4⁺ T cell in vitro and Tfh cells (CD4+CXCR5+Bcl6+) in vivo. This effect was mediated by Wnt ligand secretion because inhibiting the enzyme responsible for Wnt release, PORCN, abrogated both Ascl2 and CXCR5 expression in CD4⁺ T cells. Notably, Wnt8b plays a major role in CXCR5 expression on CD4 T cells since blocking Wnt8b with a monoclonal antibody prevented this effect. Thus, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells, by functioning as APCs and releasing Wnt ligands, induce Ascl2 in CD4⁺ T cells that in turn initiates the Tfh cell program. The fact that $\gamma\delta$ T cells control antibody production by presenting antigen and inducing Tfh cell differentiation does not exclude the crucial role of DCs in Tfh cell development. Because TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells do not express ICOSL, they cannot induce Bcl6 in CD4⁺CXCR5⁺ T cells that, in the absence of DCs, would abort Tfh cell differentiation⁵.

CXCR5-expressing $\gamma\delta$ T cells could be observed even in nonimmunized mice, and immunization increased the number of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the T cell zone, but also in the follicles of dLNs, which is consistent with the upregulation of CXCR5 on $\gamma\delta$ T cells. The role of $\gamma\delta$ T cells in the follicle is not known, particularly taking into consideration our findings that $\gamma\delta$ T cells do not express CD40L nor produce IL-21, critical factors for B cell activation and maturation^{36,37}. However, the presence of $\gamma\delta$ T cells within the follicles may be related to their ability to secrete Wnt ligands, which have been shown to protect germinal center B cells from rapid apoptosis³⁸. The frequency of TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells increased 1 day after immunization, which is in contrast with Tfh cells that gradually upregulated CXCR5. This suggests that $\gamma\delta$ T cells have a rapid mechanism for CXCR5 induction. Consistent with this, we found that yoTCR activation, but not toll-like receptor (TLR) 1/2, played a crucial role in CXCR5 upregulation on γδ T cells. CFA, but not Alum, induced CXCR5-expressing γδ T cells in vivo, particularly on the V $\gamma 1^+ \gamma \delta$ T cell subset, and this effect was likely mediated by the M. tuberculosis present in the CFA. Accordingly, MT contains heat shock protein 65 (Hsp65), that can directly activate the $\gamma\delta$ TCR from $V\gamma 1^+ \gamma\delta$ T cells³⁹. Notably, pristane injection also induced CXCR5 upregulation on $\gamma\delta$ T cells, again demonstrating that pathogen recognition receptors (PRRs) other than TLR1/2 may also induce CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells.

In summary, our findings help explain the poorly understood mechanism by which $\gamma\delta$ T cells participate in the humoral immunity. We propose the following: upon arrival in secondary lymphoid organs, antigens directly activate $\gamma\delta$ T cells by binding to TCR and/or PRRs, which induce CXCR5 expression on a subset of $\gamma\delta$ T cells. TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ cells migrate toward the follicle and present antigens to naïve CD4⁺ T cells via MHC-II. Wnt ligands are released during this process to induce Ascl2 and initiate the Tfh cell program. Ascl2 leads to CXCR5 upregulation on CD4⁺ T cells⁷. DCs, which also present antigens to CD4⁺ T cells, provide co-stimulatory signals that lead to Bcl6

expression, which terminates Tfh cell differentiation. These new generated Tfh cells activate cognate antigen-expressing B cells at the B:T cell border by providing CD40L and IL-21 signals, resulting in the generation of high-affinity antibody-secreting plasma cells. Our results raise the possibility that patients affected by antibody-mediated autoimmune conditions may benefit from $\gamma\delta$ T cell modulation as a component for treatment of their disease.

Methods

Mice. Male and female, 6–10 week-old on B6 genetic background mice were used in this study. C57BL/6J wild type, MHC-II^{-/-}, CXCR5^{-/-}, TCR $\beta^{-/-}$, TCR $\gamma^{\delta-}$ GFP, and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory. Tcf7L (TCF-1) Tg mice were kindly provided by Dr. Ana Anderson from Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA. OT-II-F0xp3-GFP mice were housed in a conventional specific pathogen-free facility at the Harvard Institutes of Medicine and/or at the Building for Transformative Medicine according to the animal protocol with the full knowledge and permission of the Standing Committee on Animals at Harvard Medical School and Brigham and Women's Hospital. Three to ten mice were used per group and every experiment was reproduced at least twice, which is commonly used for in vivo studies to alleviate unnecessary animal suffering. No animal was excluded from analysis and no randomization was performed.

FACS and intracellular cytokine staining. In the experiments where sorted cells were required, a pool of cells from inguinal lymph nodes (ILN) of C57BL/6, TCR6-/- or OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice were first enriched using CD4 microbeads or TCRyo isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec). Then, naïve (CD4+CD62L+CD44-Foxp3-) or memory (CD4+CD62L-CD44+CD25-) cells were sorted (FACS Aria II, BD Bioscience) with peridinin chlorophyll protein (PerCP)-conjugated anti-CD4 (RM4-5; 1:250), allophycocyanin (APC)-conjugated anti-CD62L (MEL-14; 1:250) and phycoerythrin (PE)-conjugated anti-CD44 (IM7; 1:500; all from BioLegend). TCRy8+CXCR5+ and TCRy8+CXCR5- cells were sorted with Alexa Fluor 700 (AF700)-conjugated anti-CD3ɛ (eBio500A2; 1:100; eBioscience), APC-conjugated anti-TCRγδ (eBioGL3; 1:100; eBioscience) and PE-Cy7-conjugated anti-CXCR5 (L138D7; 1:100; Biolegend). For TCRγδ cell sorting, AF488-conjugated anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; Biolegend) was used to exclude any remaining CD11c⁺ cells to prevent dendritic cell contamination. Dead cells were also excluded based on 7-AAD (BD Bioscience) staining. In some experiments, antigen-presenting cells were first enriched using CD11c microbeads (Miltenyi Biotec) and sorted for CD11c⁺ cells with exclusion of dead cells based on 7-AAD staining. Gating strategies for cell sorting is shown in Supplementary Fig. 10. For intracellular cytokine staining, cells were first stimulated for 4 h with PMA (phorbol 12-myristate 13-aceate; 50 ng ml-1; Sigma-Aldrich) and ionomycin (1 µM; Sigma-Aldrich) and a protein-transport inhibitor containing monensin $(1 \ \mu g \ ml^{-1} \ GolgiStop; BD \ Biosciences)$ before detection by staining with antibodies. Surface markers were stained for 25 min at 4 °C in Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ free HBSS with 2% FCS, 0.4% EDTA (0.5 M) and 2.5% HEPES (1 M) then were fixed in Cytoperm/ Cytofix (eBioscience), permeabilized with Perm/Wash Buffer (eBiosciences). Flowcytometric acquisition was performed on a Fortessa (BD Biosciences) by using DIVA software (BD Biosciences) and data were analyzed with FlowJo software versions 9.9 or 10.1 (TreeStar Inc.). Intracellular staining antibodies used: BV-421anti-IFN-y (XMG1.2; 1:300; Biolegend), PE-Cy7-anti-IFN-y (XMG1.2; 1:200; eBioscience), FITC-anti-IL-17A (eBio17B7; 1:100; eBioscience), PE-anti-IL-21 (FFA21; 1:100; eBioscience). Other antibodies included: BV605-anti-CD4 (RM4.5; 1:300; BD Bioscience), BV421-anti-CD4 (GK1.5; 1:300; Biolegend), BV421-anti-TCRyδ (GL3; 1:100; Biolegend), PE-anti-TCRyδ (GL3; 1:100; Biolegend); AF700anti-CD19 (eBio1D3; 1:100; eBioscience), AF488-anti-B220 (RA3-6B2; 1:100; BD Biosciences), AF647-anti-B220 (RA3-6B2; 1:100; BD Bioscience), PE-Cy5-anti-B220 (RA3-6B2; 1:100; BD Biosciences), PE-Cy7-anti-IgM (RMM-1; 1:200; Biolegend), APC-anti-IgD (11-26c.2a; 1:200; Biolegend), PE-anti-IgD (11-26; 1:100; BD Biosciences), BV605-anti-CD138 (281-2; 1:100; BD Biosciences), BV421-anti-CD43 (S7; 1:100; BD Biosciences), PE-anti-BP-1 (BP-1; 1:100; BD Biosciences), AF700-anti-CD24 (M1/69; 1:100; BD Biosciences), PE-Cy5-anti-IL-21R (4A9; 1:100; Biolegend), APC-anti-ICOS (C398.4A; 1:100; eBioscience), PE-anti-BCL6 (IG19E/A8; Biolegend), PerCP-efluor710-anti-BCL6 (BCL-DWN; 1:200; eBioscience), APC-anti-CD40L (MR1; 1:100; eBioscience), APC-anti-GL7 (GL7; 1:100; Biolegend), AF488-anti-CD95 (15A7; 1:100; eBioscience), PE-Cy7-anti-I-A/ I-E (M5/114.15.2; 1:200; Biolegend), AF647-anti-I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2; 1:200), BV650-anti-I-A/I-E (M5/114.15.2; 1:200; Biolegend), APC-anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; eBioscience), AF488-anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; Biolegend), PE-Cy7-anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; eBioscience), AF700-anti-CD86 (GL-1; 1:100; Biolegend), AF488-anti-CD86 (GL-1; 1:100; Biolegend), PE-anti-CD40 (3/23; 1:100), PE-anti-TCR Vβ5.1/5.2 (MR9-4; Biolegend), APC-anti-Vγ1.1/Cr4 (2.11; 1:200; Biolegend), PE-anti-Vy2 ("anti-Vy4"; UC3-10A6; 1:200; Biolegend).

Immunization. Mice were immunized subcutaneously (s.c.) in the flanks with 100μ l (per flank) of either Complete Freud Adjuvant (CFA) enriched with 4 mg ml

 $^{-1}$ of *M. tuberculosis* H37RA (Difco) plus PBS or CFA enriched with 4 mg ml $^{-1}$ of *M. tuberculosis* H37RA plus OVA (50 µg per mouse; Sigma). In some experiments mice were also immunized (s.c.) in the flanks (100 µl per flank) or i.p. (200 µl) with OVA (50 µg per mouse) adsorbed in 1 mg of Alum (Aluminum hydroxide hydrate, Sigma). To investigate the involvement of different subtypes of $\gamma\delta$ T cells on their ability to control the humoral immune response, 200 µg of anti-V $\gamma2$ mAb ("anti-V $\gamma4$ "; UC3-10A6; BioXCell) or isotype control (BioXCell) were injected i.p. three days before either CFA or Alum immunization and three days before OVA booster dose.

Autoantibody induction by pristane. Eight-week-old C57BL/6 and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice received a single i.p. injection of 0.5 ml of pristane (Sigma). Sera were collected before pristane injection and 3 months thereafter for autoantibody analysis. Five months after pristane injection, mice were sacrificed and kidneys removed for histopathology investigation as well as spleens taken for FACS analysis.

Serum antibody levels. Eight-week-old C57BL/6, TCR $\beta^{-/-}$, and TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice were first immunized with OVA emulsified in CFA containing 4 mg ml^{-1} of M. tuberculosis H37RA (Difco), or adsorbed in Alum as described above. Fourteen days later, mice received an i.p. injection of OVA (50 μg) in PBS and were sacrificed 7 days thereafter for sera and intestinal lavage fluids collection. Ready-SET-Go! ELISAs (eBioscience) for detecting total IgG antibodies and subclasses were performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sera were added at starting dilution 1:10,000, followed by 2-fold serial dilutions. For secretory IgA (sIgA) detection, intestinal lavage fluids were collected by flushing the colon with cold PBS, spun down for 20 min, 2000 rpm at 4 °C, and supernatants used for sIgA detection with starting dilution 1:100, followed by 2-fold serial dilutions. For anti-OVA antibody titers, 96-well plates (Nunc, Roskild, Denmark) were coated overnight with 20 $\mu g\,ml^{-1}$ OVA solution in coating solution (eBioscience) at 4 °C. Ready-SET-Go! ELISAs (eBioscience) for detecting total IgG antibodies and subclasses were then performed according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sera or intestinal lavage fluids were added at starting dilution 1:100, followed by 2-fold serial dilutions. Samples were read using a Tecan microplate reader (Tecan, Infinite 200 PRO) and processed using i-Control microplate reader software (Tecan).

Autoantigen microarray. Sera collected from both naïve wild type and $TCR\delta^{-/-}$ mice were sent to Genomics and Microarray Core Facility at UT Southwestern Medical Center (Dallas, TX) for analysis, using the autoantigen microarray super panel (128 autoantigens). Briefly, samples were treated with DNAse I, diluted 1:50, and incubated with autoantigen array. The autoantibodies binding to the antigens on the array were detected with Cy3 labeled anti-IgG and Cy5 labeled anti-IgM and the arrays were scanned with GenePix[®] 4400A Microarray Scanner. The images were analyzed using GenePix 7.0 software to generate GPR files. The averaged net fluorescent intensity (NFI) of each autoantigen was normalized to internal controls (IgG or IgM).

 $\gamma\delta$ T cell depletion. $\gamma\delta$ T cells were depleted by injecting a single dose of 250 µg i.p. of anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ depleting antibody (LEAF purified anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$, UC7-13D5; Biolegend).

CXCR5 induction on \gamma\delta T cells. 5×10^4 sorted CXCR5⁻ $\gamma\delta$ T cells from a pool of spleen and lymph nodes of 15 naïve WT mice were cultured for 48 h at 37 °C in the presence of medium only (unstimulated); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ monoclonal antibody (UC7-13D5; Biolegend; 2 µg ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + anti-CD28 (37.52; BD Biosciences; 5 µg ml⁻¹) monoclonal antibodies; TLR1/2 agonist (Pam3CSK4 (Invivogen), 500 ng ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + TLR1/2 ago-nist (500 ng ml⁻¹); *M. tuberculosis* (Difco, 10 µg ml⁻¹); anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ (2 µg ml⁻¹) + MT (10 µg ml⁻¹); TLR1/2 antagonist (CU-CPT22 (Millipore Sigma), 0.5 µM); TLR1/2 agonist (500 ng ml⁻¹) + TLR1/2 antagonist (TLR1/2 ant, 0.5 µM) or MT (10 µg ml⁻¹) + TLR1/2 antagonist (0.5 µM). CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry.

For in vivo study of CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells, 500 µg per mouse of anti-TCR $\gamma\delta$ monoclonal antibody (UC7-13D5; Biolegend) was administered i.p. and 24 h later CXCR5 expression on $\gamma\delta$ T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry.

Uptake and presentation assays. CD11c⁺ dendritic cells and TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺, TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻ cells were first enriched using CD11c microbeads or TCRγδ isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec) and sorted. For TCRγδ sorting, AF488conjugated anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; Biolegend) was used to exclude any remaining CD11c⁺ cells in order to prevent dendritic cell contamination. For uptake assay, TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻, TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ and CD11c⁺ dendritic cells were incubated for 3 h at 37 °C with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of ovalbumin (OVA) coupled to Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) in a 96-well round-bottom plate. After incubation, cells were collected, thoroughly washed and analyzed by flow cytometry. For in vitro presentation assay, cells were first incubated overnight at 37 °C with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of either OVA₃₂₃₋₃₃₉ peptide (Invivogen), OVA protein (Sigma) or medium only (unloaded cells as control) in a 96-well round-bottom plate. On the next day, cells were thoroughly washed and incubated at 1:2 ratio (antigen-presenting cells: responder cells) with sorted naïve (CD4⁺CD62L⁺CD64⁻Foxp3⁻) cells from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice previously stained with CellTrace Violet dye (Invitrogen) for 4 days. Proliferation was then analyzed by flow cytometry.

In vitro CXCR5 induction on CD4⁺ T cells by $\gamma\delta$ T cells. CD11c⁺ dendritic cells, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells from WT mice were first enriched using CD11c microbeads or TCR $\gamma\delta$ isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec) and sorted. For TCR $\gamma\delta$ sorting, AF488-conjugated anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; Biolegend) was used to exclude any remaining CD11c⁺ cells in order to prevent dendritic cell contamination. Cells were then incubated overnight at 37 °C with 50 µg ml⁻¹ of either OVA₃₂₂₋₃₃₉ peptide, OVA protein or medium only (unloaded cells as control) or 50 µg ml⁻¹ of OVA₃₂₂₋₃₃₉ peptide plus either 1 µM of the porcupine (PORCN) inhibitor (Wnt-C59, Tocris) or 20 µg ml⁻¹ of anti-Wnt8b monoclonal antibody (LSBio) in a 96-well round-bottom plate. On the next day, cells were thoroughly washed and incubated at 1:2 ratio (antigen-presenting cells: responder cells) with sorted naïve (CD4⁺CD62L⁺CD44⁻Foxp3⁻) cells from OT-II-Foxp3-GFP mice previously stained with CellTrace Violet dye (Invitrogen) for 4 days. CXCR5 expression on CD4 T cells was then analyzed by flow cytometry.

CXCR5 induction on CD4⁺ T cells by γδ **T cell supernatant.** TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ and TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻ cells from WT mice were first enriched using TCRγδ isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec) and sorted. AF488-conjugated anti-CD11c (N418; 1:100; Biolegend) was used to exclude any remaining CD11c⁺ cells in order to prevent dendritic cell contamination. Cells were then stimulated with platebound anti-CD3 (145–2C11; Biolegend) and anti-CD28 (PV-1; Bioxcell), both at 2 µg ml⁻¹, for 3 days at 37 °C in a 96-well round-bottom plate. Wnt-C59 at 1 µM was added to some wells to prevent Wnt ligand release. At the 3rd day of culture, supernatants were collected and 100 µl added to naïve (CD4⁺CD62L⁺ CD44⁻Foxp3⁻) cells in a 96-well round-bottom plate and stimulated with platebound anti-CD28 (both at 2 µg ml⁻¹) at 37 °C. Three days later, CXCR5 expression on CD4 T cells was analyzed by flow cytometry.

In vivo $\gamma\delta$ T cell transfer. To investigate whether CXCR5 expression and antigen presentation function of $\gamma\delta$ T cells were involved in the ability of $\gamma\delta$ T cells to induce Tfh cell differentiation in vivo, $\gamma\delta$ T cells from spleen and lymph nodes of WT C57BL/6J, CXCR5^{-/-} and MHC-II^{-/-} mice were first enriched using TCR $\gamma\delta$ isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec) and 5×10^5 sorted $\gamma\delta$ T cells were intravenously transferred into TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. Three days after transfer, mice were collected for FACS analysis. To investigate the involvement of Wrt ligands secretion by $\gamma\delta$ T cells on their ability to induce Tfh cell differentiation, WT C57BL/6J mice were treated with either 10 mg/kg of Wnt-C59 (Tocris) or vehicle (3.5% DMSO in PBS) intraperitoneally and 1 day later, animals were sacrificed and spleens and lymph nodes collected. $\gamma\delta$ T cells were first enriched using TCR $\gamma\delta$ isolation kit (all from Miltenyi Biotec) and 5×10^5 sorted $\gamma\delta$ T cells were intravenously transferred into TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice. One day after transfer, mice were collected for FACS analysis.

Whole lymph node confocal microscopy. TCR $\gamma\delta$ -GFP and TCR $\gamma\delta^{-/-}$ mice were injected s.c. in the inner thigh with a mix of 20 µl of BV421-anti-CD4 (GK1.5; 0.2 mg ml⁻¹; Biolegend), 20 µl of AF647-anti-B220 (RA3-6B2; 0.2 mg/ml; BD Bioscience) and PBS in a total volume of 100 µl/thigh. In some experiments, either 20 µl of PE-anti-CXCR5 (L138D7; 0.2 mg ml⁻¹; Biolegend) or 20 µl of PE-anti-GL7 (GL7; Biolegend) were also injected in combination with BV421-anti-CD4 and AF647-anti-B220. Three hours later, mice were sacrificed and inguinal lymph nodes removed for confocal microscopy. Lymph nodes were placed on a glass microscopy slide with PBS and imaged at 10× or 20× with Zeiss 710 confocal microscope.

Histology. Kidneys were excised from animals 5 months after pristane treatment and immediately fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Samples were then embedded in paraffin and 5 µm were cut and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Slides were loaded into an Aperio ScanScope XT (Aperio), scanned via the semiautomated method and checked for image quality using visual inspection. By using the eSlide Manager (Aperio), sections were evaluated for histopathological changes, such as glomerulus enlargement (diameter of 50 glomeruli per mouse were measured) and cellularity (number of nuclei per glomerulus in a total of 50 glomeruli per mouse).

Real-time PCR. Memory CD4⁺CD44⁺CD25⁻ cells, CD11c⁺ dendritic cells, total $\gamma\delta$ T cells, TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁺ and TCR $\gamma\delta^+$ CXCR5⁻ cells were sorted and RNA was extracted with a RNeasy Plus micro kit (Qiagen), then was reverse-transcribed with a high capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems) and analyzed by quantitative RT-PCR with a Vii 7 Real-time PCR system (Applied Biosystems) with the following primers and probes (from Applied Biosystems; identifier in parentheses): *Ascl2* (Mm01268891_g1) *Ifng* (Mm00801778_m1), *Il17a*

(Mm00439619_m1), *Il21* (Mm00517640_m1), *Il4* (Mm00445259_m1), *Il21r* (Mm00600317_m1), *Il6* (Mm00446191_m1), *Il10* (Mm00439616_m1), *Wnt1* (Mm01300555_g1), *Wnt2* (Mm00470018_m1), *Wnt3a* (Mm00437337_m1), *Wnt4* (Mm01194003_m1), *Wnt5a* (Mm00437347_m1), *Wnt6* (Mm00437353_m1), *Wnt7a* (Mm00437356_m1), *Wnt7b* (Mm01301717_m1), *Wnt8a* (Mm01437914_g1), *Wnt8b* (Mm00442108_g1), *Wnt11* (Mm00437328_m1). The comparative threshold cycle method and the internal control *Gapdh* (Mm99999915_g1) was used for normalization of the target genes.

TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ vs. TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻ cell expression analysis. nCounter mouse mRNA Assay Kit (NanoString Technologies) were used to detect mRNA from sorted TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁺ and TCRγδ⁺CXCR5⁻ following the manufacturer's protocol. Assay and spike-in controls were used for normalization based on identical amounts of input RNA (60 ng/sample). The correlation of gene expression among cell types were calculated and plotted as heatmap using the Multi Experimental Viewer (MeV) software. Differentially expressed genes were identified by Student's *t*-test and statically relevant results consisted in *p*-value < 0.05.

Statistics. GraphPad Prism 7.0 was used for statistical analysis (unpaired, two-tailed Student's *t*-test or one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey multiple comparisons). Differences were considered statistically significant with a p value of less than 0.05.

Data availability. The datasets included in this study are available as Supplementary Data 1 and 2.

Received: 7 March 2018 Accepted: 11 July 2018 Published online: 08 August 2018

References

- Crotty, S. T follicular helper cell differentiation, function, and roles in disease. *Immunity* 41, 529–542 (2014).
- Victora, G. D. SnapShot: the germinal center reaction. *Cell* 159, 700–700.e1 (2014).
- Choi, Y. S., Eto, D., Yang, J. A., Lao, C. & Crotty, S. Cutting edge: STAT1 is required for IL-6-mediated Bcl6 induction for early follicular helper cell differentiation. J. Immunol. 190, 3049–3053 (2013).
- Fazilleau, N., McHeyzer-Williams, L. J., Rosen, H. & McHeyzer-Williams, M. G. The function of follicular helper T cells is regulated by the strength of T cell antigen receptor binding. *Nat. Immunol.* 10, 375–384 (2009).
- Choi, Y. S. et al. ICOS receptor instructs T follicular helper cell versus effector cell differentiation via induction of the transcriptional repressor Bcl6. *Immunity* 34, 932–946 (2011).
- Ballesteros-Tato, A. et al. Interleukin-2 inhibits germinal center formation by limiting T follicular helper cell differentiation. *Immunity* 36, 847–856 (2012).
- Liu, X. et al. Transcription factor achaete-scute homologue 2 initiates follicular T-helper-cell development. *Nature* 507, 513–518 (2014).
- Wen, L. et al. Immunoglobulin synthesis and generalized autoimmunity in mice congenitally deficient in alpha beta(+) T cells. *Nature* 369, 654–658 (1994).
- Huang, Y. et al. The influence of IgE-enhancing and IgE-suppressive gammadelta T cells changes with exposure to inhaled ovalbumin. *J. Immunol.* 183, 849–855 (2009).
- Huang, Y. et al. γδ T cells affect IL-4 production and B-cell tolerance. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 112, E39-E48 (2015).
- 11. Caccamo, N. et al. CXCR5 identifies a subset of V 9V 2 T cells which secrete IL-4 and IL-10 and help B cells for antibody production. *J. Immunol.* **177**, 5290–5295 (2006).
- Horner, A. A., Jabara, H., Ramesh, N. & Geha, R. S. Gamma/delta T lymphocytes express CD40 ligand and induce isotype switching in B lymphocytes. *J. Exp. Med.* 181, 1239–1244 (1995).
- Rajagopalan, S., Zordan, T., Tsokos, G. C. & Datta, S. K. Pathogenic anti-DNA autoantibody-inducing T helper cell lines from patients with active lupus nephritis: isolation of CD4-8-T helper cell lines that express the gamma delta T-cell antigen receptor. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 87, 7020–7024 (1990).
- Gerli, R. et al. Analysis of T cells bearing different isotypic forms of the gamma/delta T cell receptor in patients with systemic autoimmune diseases. J. Rheumatol. 18, 1504–1510 (1991).
- 15. Huang, Y. et al. Antigen-specific regulation of IgE antibodies by non-antigen-specific $\gamma\delta$ T cells. J. Immunol. **190**, 913–921 (2013).
- Hahn, Y. S. et al. Different potentials of gamma delta T cell subsets in regulating airway responsiveness: V gamma 1+ cells, but not V gamma 4+ cells, promote airway hyperreactivity, Th2 cytokines, and airway inflammation. J. Immunol. 172, 2894–2902 (2004).

- Walport, M. J. Complement and systemic lupus erythematosus. Arthritis Res. 4(Suppl 3), S279–S293 (2002).
- Satoh, M. et al. Widespread susceptibility among inbred mouse strains to the induction of lupus autoantibodies by pristane. *Clin. Exp. Immunol.* 121, 399–405 (2000).
- Satoh, M., Kumar, A., Kanwar, Y. S. & Reeves, W. H. Anti-nuclear antibody production and immune-complex glomerulonephritis in BALB/c mice treated with pristane. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 92, 10934–10938 (1995).
- Bauquet, A. T. et al. The costimulatory molecule ICOS regulates the expression of c-Maf and IL-21 in the development of follicular T helper cells and TH-17 cells. *Nat. Immunol.* **10**, 167–175 (2009).
- Sage, P. T., Francisco, L. M., Carman, C. V. & Sharpe, A. H. The receptor PD-1 controls follicular regulatory T cells in the lymph nodes and blood. *Nat. Immunol.* 14, 152–161 (2013).
- Huang, Y. et al. γδ T cells shape preimmune peripheral B cell populations. J. Immunol. 196, 217–231 (2016).
- Linterman, M. A. et al. Foxp3+ follicular regulatory T cells control the germinal center response. *Nat. Med.* 17, 975–982 (2011).
- Biechele, S., Cox, B. J. & Rossant, J. Porcupine homolog is required for canonical Wnt signaling and gastrulation in mouse embryos. *Dev. Biol.* 355, 275–285 (2011).
- Proffitt, K. D. et al. Pharmacological inhibition of the Wnt acyltransferase PORCN prevents growth of WNT-driven mammary cancer. *Cancer Res.* 73, 502–507 (2013).
- Hayday, A. C. Gammadelta T cells and the lymphoid stress-surveillance response. *Immunity* 31, 184–196 (2009).
- Rezende, R. M. et al. Identification and characterization of latency-associated peptide-expressing γδ T cells. *Nat. Commun.* 6, 8726 (2015).
- Mengel, J. et al. Anti-gamma delta T cell antibody blocks the induction and maintenance of oral tolerance to ovalbumin in mice. *Immunol. Lett.* 48, 97–102 (1995).
- 29. Fujihashi, K. et al. Gammadelta T cells regulate mucosally induced tolerance in a dose-dependent fashion. *Int. Immunol.* **11**, 1907–1916 (1999).
- Weiner, H. L., da Cunha, A. P., Quintana, F. & Wu, H. Oral tolerance. Immunol. Rev. 241, 241–259 (2011).
- Zheng, B., Marinova, E., Han, J., Tan, T. H. & Han, S. Cutting edge: T cells provide help to B cells with altered clonotypes and are capable of inducing Ig gene hypermutation. *J. Immunol.* 171, 4979–4983 (2003).
- Wu, M., Yang, J., Li, X. & Chen, J. The role of γδ T cells in systemic lupus erythematosus. J. Immunol. Res. 2016, 2932531 (2016).
- Spolski, R. & Leonard, W. J. IL-21 and T follicular helper cells. Int. Immunol. 22, 7–12 (2010).
- 34. Wan, F. et al. Characterization of $\gamma\delta$ T cells from zebrafish provides insights into their important role in adaptive humoral immunity. *Front. Immunol.* 7, 675 (2017).
- Choi, Y. S. et al. LEF-1 and TCF-1 orchestrate T(FH) differentiation by regulating differentiation circuits upstream of the transcriptional repressor Bcl6. *Nat. Immunol.* 16, 980–990 (2015).
- Foy, T. M. et al. gp39–CD40 interactions are essential for germinal center formation and the development of B cell memory. *J. Exp. Med.* 180, 157–163 (1994).

- Zotos, D. et al. IL-21 regulates germinal center B cell differentiation and proliferation through a B cell-intrinsic mechanism. *J. Exp. Med.* 207, 365–378 (2010).
- Kim, J. et al. Wnt5a is secreted by follicular dendritic cells to protect germinal center B cells via Wnt/Ca2+/NFAT/NF-κB-B cell lymphoma 6 signaling. J. Immunol. 188, 182–189 (2012).
- O'Brien, R. L. et al. Heat shock protein Hsp60-reactive gamma delta cells: a large, diversified T-lymphocyte subset with highly focused specificity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 89, 4348–4352 (1992).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NIH (R01 AI43458 to H.L.W.). We thank Deneen Kozoriz for her excellent technical support in cell sorting.

Author contributions

R.M.R. initiated the project, designed the experiments, carried out most of the experiments, and wrote the manuscript. A.L., S.R., C.K., N.S., T.G.M., S.L., B.A.D., and G.G. helped perform the experiments. G.B.M. helped develop the whole lymph node confocal microscopy and provided input for the manuscript. H.L.W. supervised the experiments and the manuscript.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-05487-9.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2018