Genomic Signatures Predict Poor Outcome in Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcomas and Leiomyosarcomas

Sara Martoreli Silveira¹, Rolando Andre Rios Villacis¹, Fabio Albuquerque Marchi², Mateus de Camargo Barros Filho¹, Sandra Aparecida Drigo³, Cristovam Scapulatempo Neto⁴, Ademar Lopes⁵, Isabela Werneck da Cunha⁶, Silvia Regina Rogatto^{1,3}*

1 Neogene Laboratory, A. C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 2 Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Inter-Institutional Program on Bioinformatics, USP, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 3 Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, UNESP, Botucatu, São Paulo, Brazil, 4 Department of Pathology, Barretos Cancer Hospital (Pio XII Foundation), Barretos, São Paulo, Brazil, 5 Department of Pelvic Surgery, A. C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil, 6 Department of Pathology, A. C. Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

Abstract

Undifferentiated high-grade pleomorphic sarcomas (UPSs) display aggressive clinical behavior and frequently develop local recurrence and distant metastasis. Because these sarcomas often share similar morphological patterns with other tumors, particularly leiomyosarcomas (LMSs), classification by exclusion is frequently used. In this study, array-based comparative genomic hybridization (array CGH) was used to analyze 20 UPS and 17 LMS samples from untreated patients. The LMS samples presented a lower frequency of genomic alterations compared with the UPS samples. The most frequently altered UPS regions involved gains at 20q13.33 and 7q22.1 and losses at 3p26.3. Gains at 8q24.3 and 19q13.12 and losses at 9p21.3 were frequently detected in the LMS samples. Of these regions, gains at 1q21.3, 11q12.2-q12.3, 16p11.2, and 19q13.12 were significantly associated with reduced overall survival times in LMS patients. A multivariate analysis revealed that gains at 1q21.3 were an independent prognostic marker of shorter survival times in LMS patients (HR = 13.76; P = 0.019). Although the copy number profiles of the UPS and LMS samples could not be distinguished using unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis, one of the three clusters presented cases associated with poor prognostic outcome (P = 0.022). A relative copy number analysis for the *ARNT*, *SLC27A3*, and *PBXIP1* genes was performed using quantitative real-time PCR in 11 LMS and 16 UPS samples. Gains at 1q21-q22 were observed in both tumor types, particularly in the UPS samples. These findings provide strong evidence for the existence of a genomic signature to predict poor outcome in a subset of UPS and LMS patients.

Citation: Silveira SM, Villacis RAR, Marchi FA, Barros Filho MdC, Drigo SA, et al. (2013) Genomic Signatures Predict Poor Outcome in Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcomas and Leiomyosarcomas. PLoS ONE 8(6): e67643. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643

Editor: Gerard Roel Rutteman, Utrecht University, Netherlands

Received November 22, 2012; Accepted May 22, 2013; Published June 25, 2013

Copyright: © 2013 Silveira et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Funding: This work was supported by grants from Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo - FAPESP (1998/14335-2 and 2008/55693-2) and Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico - CNPq. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

* E-mail: silvia.rogatto@cipe.accamargo.org.br

Introduction

Sarcomas are a heterogeneous group of mesenchymal tumors that represent approximately 1% of cancers diagnosed in adults and 15% of childhood tumors [1]. Soft-tissue sarcomas (STSs) are classified into two categories. The first group includes tumors with non-pleomorphic morphologies, which are usually associated with genomic translocations and certain specific mutations, and tumors with pleomorphic morphologies, which are associated with complex chromosomal alterations and genomic instability [2]. Leiomyosarcomas (LMSs) and undifferentiated high-grade pleomorphic sarcomas (UPSs) belong to the second STS group.

UPSs, which have been previously known referred to as malignant fibrous histiocytomas (MFHs), represent 5% of STSs diagnosed in adults [3]. Clinically, these aggressive tumors frequently show local recurrence and can metastasize to distant sites [4]. The absence of the lineage with specific differentiation observed in UPS reflects the difficulty of histopathological classification and the reproducibility of sarcoma diagnosis [5]. However, a number of important signaling pathways required for the maintenance of mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) have been associated with UPS cell tumorigenicity [6,7].

Most UPSs share similar morphologies with undifferentiated and pleomorphic tumor subtypes, particularly LMSs, liposarcomas, and rhabdomyosarcomas [4,8]. LMSs represent more than 20% of STSs. Similar to UPSs, LMSs also display pleomorphic characteristics and often follow an aggressive course [9]. Several studies have evaluated gene-expression profiles from large STS cohorts, and they were unable to distinguish UPSs from LMSs based on hierarchical clustering analysis. However, in some cases, it was possible to identify minor UPS and LMS subgroups with similar gene-expression and/or genomic profiles [10,11,12,13,14].

DNA copy number profiles derived from UPS samples have revealed recurrent genomic alterations that are correlated with morphological subtypes and patient outcome. These genomic imbalances commonly include gains at the 17q locus, which have been associated with longer disease-free survival times and a lower risk of distant metastasis [15]. In addition, losses of 4q31 and 18q22 have been associated with an increased risk of metastasis and favorable prognosis in UPS and LMS, respectively [16]. Gains at 1p33-p32.3 and 1p21.3 in UPS have been recently associated with increased patient survival times [17]. Unfortunately, DNA copy number studies have evaluated small sample sizes. In addition, the majority of these reports have not described whether the evaluated UPS and LMS samples were obtained from treated or untreated patients. Importantly, accurate diagnoses are essential for these cancer types because distinct diagnostic entities may require different treatment strategies [10].

This study was designed to determine the potential of chromosomal imbalance profiles detected with array CGH methods to reveal biomarkers for diagnosis and/or prognosis. Additionally, the study aimed to identify novel putative molecular targets in untreated patients prior to surgery to improve therapies to treat UPS and LMS.

Patients and Methods

Patients

Thirty seven fresh frozen tissue samples (20 UPS and 17 LMS) were obtained from 36 patients who were followed prospectively at either A.C. Camargo Hospital (São Paulo, Brazil) or Barretos Cancer Hospital (Barretos, São Paulo, Brazil) between 2000 and 2010. The procedures were described to all of the patients, after which time they provided written informed consent. This study was approved by the Ethical Committee in Research of the Antonio Prudente Foundation at A.C. Camargo Hospital (Protocol 1105/08) and by the Ethical Committee in Research of the Pius XII Foundation at Barretos Cancer Hospital (Protocol 302/ 2010). The medical records of all of the patients were examined to obtain detailed demographic and clinicopathologic data (Table 1), and all of the cases were evaluated by an expert sarcoma pathologist (IWC). The diagnostic criteria were based on World Health Organization (WHO) recommendations and included both the morphology and expression of specific proteins detected using immunohistochemistry [18]. Histological grades were defined according to the recommendations of the Federation Nationale des Centres de Lutte Contre le Cancer (FNCLCC), which considers the mitotic index, tumor necrosis, and cell differentiation [19].

Twenty six out of 37 tumor samples (20 UPS and 17 LMS) were derived from primary tumors, eight from locally recurrent tumors and three from remnant tumors (derived of the surgical margins expansion from different patients). Two primary tumors (UPS8 and UPS18) were derived from the same patient (patient #8, Table 1). None of the patients had received chemotherapy or radiotherapy treatment prior to sample collection. One patient was diagnosed as a Li-Fraumeni Syndrome carrier (patient #34). The average patient age was 59.3 years (ranging from 4–90 years). The anatomical sites commonly affected were lower extremities (14 cases), retroperitoneum (13 cases), trunk (4 cases), upper extremities (4 cases) and head and neck (2 cases). According to the FNCLCC guidelines, the majority of the cases were classified as high histological grade (G2 or G3), and two of the LMS cases (LMS15 and LMS21) were classified as G1. Fourteen patients received only surgical treatment, six underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by surgery and 15 patients received adjuvant therapy after the surgery (including patient #8). One patient received only chemotherapy without surgery (patient #34).

The mean follow-up time was 29.8 months (ranging from 1-109 months). In three patients distant metastases were detected at diagnosis (patients #8, #23 and #32).

A diverse panel of antibodies was used for the immunohistochemical characterization, including SMA (Cell Marque, clone 1A4), Desmin (Ventana, clone DER11), HHF35 (Cell Margue, clone HHF 35), Caldesmon (Dako, clone h-CD), CD34 (Ventana, clone QBEnd 10), CD31 (Ventana, clone JC70), CD99 (Ventana, clone 0.13), S100 (Ventana, clone PAB), NSE (Ventana, clone E27), AE1/AE3 (Ventana, pool), EMA (Ventana, clone E29), HMB45 (Ventana, clone HMB45), MART-1/MelanA (Ventana, clone A-103), CD45 (LCA) (Ventana, clone RP2/18), CD63 (Cell Marque, clone NK1/C3) and MDM-2 (Neomarkers, polyclonal). These markers were used to confirm or exclude other diagnoses, such as melanoma, lymphoma, or undifferentiated tumors. Cases were considered to be pleomorphic sarcomas when tumors showed pleomorphic morphology and were negative for all of the tested markers or when they presented focal expression of the muscle markers SMA, HHF35, Desmin, and/or Caldesmon. Tumors with spindle cell morphology and diffuse expression of muscle markers (SMA, HHF35, Desmin, or Caldesmon) were considered to be LMSs. Cases showing both spindle cell morphology and pleomorphic morphology in addition to the strong or diffuse expression of muscle markers (SMA, HHF35, Desmin, or Caldesmon) were also considered to be LMSs. The retroperitoneal UPS were carefully revised by two pathologists (IWC and CSN) with the aim to exclude occult cases of undifferentiated liposarcoma. Negative staining for MDM2 at immunohistochemistry and absence of any region with differentiated liposacoma features kept the diagnosis at UPS upon morphological grounds. In addition, FISH analysis was performed to investigate MDM2 amplification in a subgroup of retroperitoneal sarcomas (3 UPS and 3 LMS) (Text S1). None of these cases had detectable MDM2 amplification (Kreatech MDM2/CEN12, Amsterdam, ND) (Figure A and Table A in Text S1).

Array-based Comparative Genomic Hybridization (array CGH)

Genomic DNA was extracted using a standard phenol/ chloroform-based method. Genomic DNA samples from tumors and normal tissue (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) were differentially labeled using the Genomic DNA Enzymatic Labeling Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The hybridizations were performed on Agilent Human CGH 44 K Oligo Microarrays according to the manufacturer's recommendations. The array images were acquired with a DNA microarray scanner using SureScan High-Resolution Technology and the Scan Control (version 8.1) software program (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). The data were analyzed using the Nexus Copy Number (version 6.0, Biodiscovery Inc., El Segundo, CA, USA) software program [20]. The Fast Adaptive States Segmentation Technique 2 (FASST2) algorithm and the Significance Testing for Aberrant Copy number (STAC) statistical method were used to identify non-random genomic copy number alterations [21]. Based on these algorithms, DNA copy number alterations were defined as instances that exceeded a significance threshold of 1×10^{-5} and that contained at least five consecutive altered probes per segment. These parameters were used to define the following: copy number gain (≥ 0.2), high copy number gain (≥ 0.6) , copy number loss (≤ 0.2) , and homozygous loss (≤ -1.0) . Genomic data discussed in this publication have been deposited in NCBI's Gene Expression Omnibus and are accessible through GEO Series accession number GSE45573 (http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc = GSE45573).

Table 1. Clinical and histopathological data from patients (20 UPS and 17 LMS).

| low-up onths) ^c |) (108) | (33) | (22) | (8) | (8) | (44) (44) | (29) | (15) | (2) | (30) | (18) | (30) | (11) | (1) (|) (20) | (33) |) (6) | (3) | (11) | (5) |) (64) | (12) | (54) | (15) |) (45) | (28) | (66) (|) (65) | (601) C | (4) | (36) |) (25) | (10) | 1001 1 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------|------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|
| ment (QT Fol (mc | NEC | DD | DD | DD | DD | NEC | LF (| DD | DD | NEC | DD | NEC | LF (| NEC | NEC | LF (| NEC | DD | AD | AD | NEC | DD | DD | DD | NEC | DD | NEC | NEC | NEC | LF (| AD | NEC | DD | |
| Treati or RT | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | wall (MD)1 | c |
| rence Distance Metastasis | 1 | I | I | I | I | I | ı | I | Lung and adrenal (MD) | I | Lung | I | I | I | I | I | I | I | Lung | Lung and bones | | Lung | Pelvis | Liver (MD) | I | Liver | I | I | I | I | I | I | Lung, liver and abdominal | |
| Local Recu | Presence | Presence | Presence | I | Presence | I | Presence | ı | I | I | Presence | I | Presence | ı | I | I | I | I | Presence | Presence | Presence | Presence | I | Presence | I | I | I | I | Presence | I | Presence | I | I | |
| Grade | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | ≡ | = | = | ≡ | = | = | = | = | = | = | = | ≡ | = | = | = | = | ≡ | = | = | _ | = | = | = | = | ≡ | - |
| MMT | T1aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2aN0M0 | T1aN0M1 | T2bN0M1 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T1aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2aN0M0 | T1aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M1 | T1aN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M0 | T2bN0M1 | TOLATONAC |
| Location | Lower extremity | Retroperitoneum | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Head and Neck | Head and Neck | Lower extremity | Lower extremity | Lower extremity | Trunk | Retroperitoneum | Trunk | Lower extremity | Upper extremity | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Upper extremity | Lower extremity | Upper extremity | Trunk | Trunk | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Lower extremity | Retroperitoneum | Retroperitoneum | Retroperitoneum | Lower extremity | Lower extremity | : |
| Sample origin | ^o rimary tumor | Recurrence | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^{>} rimary tumor | Recurrence | ^{>} rimary tumor | ^{>} rimary tumor | ^{>} rimary tumor | Recurrence | ^o rimary tumor | Recurrence | ^{>} rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^{>} rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | Recurrence | Recurrence | Recurrence | Recurrence | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^{>} rimary tumor | Recurrence | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | ^o rimary tumor | |
| Sex | щ | ш | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | ш | Σ | | Σ | Σ | щ | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | ш | Σ | Σ | ш | щ | ш | ш | Σ | ш | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | Σ | щ | L |
| Age | 51 | 52 | 49 | 06 | 50 | 56 | 58 | 63 | | 72 | 63 | 32 | 80 | 60 | 56 | 77 | 41 | 82 | 78 | 60 | 60 | 89 | 77 | 54 | 37 | 61 | 62 | 49 | 50 | 48 | 61 | 4 | 81 | £ |
| Sample | UPS1 ^d * | UPS2* | UPS3 ^d * | UPS4* | UPS5 ^d | UPS6 | UPS7* | UPS8 ^{a.*} | UPS18 ^a * | UPS9* | UPS13* | UPS14* | UPS15* | UPS16* | UPS17 | UPS19 | UPS20* | UPS21* | UPS22* | UPS23* | LMS3 | LMS4* | LMS5 | LMS6 | LMS7* | LMS8 | LMS9 | LMS15* | LMS16* | LMS17 | LMS18* | LMS19 ^b * | LMS20* | * 10101 |
| Patient | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | ø | | 6 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 | 32 | |

| Patient | Sample | Age | Sex | Sample origin | Location | TNM | Grade | Local Recurre | nce Distance Metastasis | Treatment (QT or RT) | Follow-up (months) ^c |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 35 | LMS23* | 45 | ш | Primary tumor | Retroperitoneum | T2bN0M0 | = | Presence | 1 | 0 | NED (21) |
| 36 | LMS24* | 58 | ш | Primary tumor | Upper extremity | T2aN0M0 | ≡ | I | 1 | 2 | NED (48) |
| Abbreviations - F: | Female, M: Male, | DD: Death | by dise | ase, NED: No evidence | of disease, AD: Alive wit | th disease, LF: Lo | ss of follov | w-up; MD: metast | asis at diagnosis. | | |
| *Selected for gPCF | .nemotherapy; KI: { validation. | Kadiother | apy; 0: 5 | ourgery; 1: Neoadjuvan | it therapy; 2: Adjuvant th | ierapy; 3: Chemo | therapy wi | thout surgery. | | | |
| ^a Samples from the | same patient. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ΰ | | | | | | | | | |

of different patients obtained from expansion of primary tumor surgical (remnant of primary tumor).

⁻Time to last follow-up from diagnosis. ⁴Samples of different patients obtainec

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.t001

Genomic Signatures in Pleomorphic Sarcomas

Quantitative Real-Time PCR (gPCR)

The genomic DNA sequences of candidate regions were obtained from the Ensembl Genome Browser website (GRCh37/hg19 Human Reference Assembly: February 2009). Primer sequences were designed using the Primer-Blast online software tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/tools/primer-blast/). Eight primer pairs (ARNT-P1, ARNT-P2, ARNT-P3, PBXIP1-P1, PBXIP1-P2, SLC27A3-P1, CCND1-P1, and CCND1-P2) were designed to amplify the altered regions detected using array CGH, including the 60-nucleotide probe present on the Agilent platform (Table S1). Standard curves generated to ensure optimal amplification efficiency (90-100%) were created using five template concentrations from four-fold serial dilutions (ranging from 80-0.31 ng). The reactions were carried out by automated pipetting using the QIAgility system (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France) in a total volume of 12.5 µl. Each reaction contained Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA), 20 ng of DNA, and 200 nM of each primer. The reactions were performed in duplicate, and the following PCR cycling conditions were used: an initial hold at 95°C for 10 min and 40 cycles of 95°C for 15 s and 58-59°C for 1 min. A dissociation curve was performed after the amplification cycle using the 7500 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). The specificity of the amplified products was verified by analyzing the dissociation curves and the variation between replicates. Any instances in which the cycle quantification (Ct) values were greater than 0.5 were reassessed.

By qPCR analyses, DNA samples from 10 healthy individuals (reference controls) were compared with 11 LMS and 16 UPS samples (previously evaluated by array CGH). The relative copy numbers were calculated according to the delta-delta Ct model [22] using *GAPDH* as the reference gene. The relative copy number was calculated based on the target gene/*GAPDH* ratio, and this value was defined as a loss when the ratio was <0.55 and as a gain when the ratio was >1.35 (based on reference intervals).

Statistical Analyses

Comparisons between groups with clinicopathological and molecular alterations were performed using the Fisher exact test and Student's t-test. Overall survival (OS) probabilities were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier method and the Log Rank test for significance. The end-point for the OS analysis was restricted to deaths due to cancer. A multivariate analysis was performed using Cox proportional hazards with a model that included significant chromosomal alterations in LMS, tumor size, topography, tumor depth, local recurrence, and treatment (i.e., chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy). Statistical analyses were carried out using the software programs Nexus Copy Number (version 6.0; Biodiscovery Inc., El Segundo, CA, USA), Graphpad Prism 5 (Graphpad Software Inc., La Jolla, CA, USA), and SPSS version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA) for Windows.

Results

Genome-wide Profiling of UPS and LMS

Several genomic changes were detected in the UPS and LMS samples, with UPS showing more complex genomic alterations. Changes in DNA copy number were identified in more than 20% of the UPS and LMS cases (P < 0.05) as shown in Table 2.

The most frequently observed significant alterations in the UPS cases involved gains at 20q13.33 (75% of cases); 1q21.3-q23.1 (60%); 7q22.1 (60%); 9q34.11 and 20p11.21 (45%); and 1q21.1-q21.2, 8p11.21, 11q13.1 and 16p13.3 (40%) (Table 2). Genomic amplification (\log_2 ratio >0.6) was observed at 1q21.1-q21.2

Table 1. Cont

Table 2. Genomic imbalances more frequently detected in UPS and LMS.

| Cytoband location | Start (bp) | Stop (bp) | Size (Mb) | Event | Genes | miRNAs | Frequency (%) | P-Value |
|-------------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|-------|-------|--------|---------------|---------|
| UPS | | | | | | | | |
| 1q21.1-q21.2 | 147,458,669 | 149,236,666 | 1.8 | Gain | 64 | 0 | 40.0 | 0.004 |
| 1q21.3-q23.1 | 151,345,357 | 155,272,136 | 3.9 | Gain | 186 | 5 | 60.0 | 0.004 |
| 2q11.1-q11.2 | 95,562,577 | 98,202,102 | 2.6 | Gain | 53 | 0 | 45.0 | 0.013 |
| 3p26.3 | 0 | 726,469 | 0.7 | Loss | 4 | 0 | 60.0 | 0.015 |
| 3p12.1-p11.2 | 85,966,634 | 87,627,650 | 1.7 | Gain | 7 | 0 | 30.0 | 0.009 |
| 7q22.1 | 99,861,211 | 100,667,677 | 0.8 | Gain | 61 | 0 | 60.0 | 0.042 |
| 8p11.21 | 41,627,121 | 43,175,310 | 1.5 | Gain | 25 | 1 | 40.0 | 0.032 |
| 9q34.11 | 129,506,829 | 130,229,037 | 0.7 | Gain | 49 | 2 | 45.0 | 0.008 |
| 11p15.5 | 0 | 2,197,662 | 2.2 | Gain | 118 | 3 | 45.0 | 0.020 |
| 11q13.1 | 65,171,847 | 66,296,450 | 1.1 | Gain | 60 | 0 | 40.0 | 0.021 |
| 11q13.1-q13.2 | 66,882,158 | 67,549,110 | 0.7 | Gain | 36 | 0 | 30.0 | 0.021 |
| 16p13.3 | 1,062,920 | 1,341,725 | 0.3 | Gain | 14 | 0 | 40.0 | 0.036 |
| 16q24.3 | 87,762,155 | 88,283,196 | 0.5 | Gain | 22 | 0 | 20.0 | 0.020 |
| 18p11.32 | 0 | 846,102 | 0.8 | Gain | 12 | 0 | 35.0 | 0.034 |
| 20p11.21 | 22,798,105 | 23,302,271 | 0.5 | Gain | 10 | 0 | 45.0 | 0.007 |
| 20q13.33 | 60,236,430 | 61,684,607 | 1.4 | Gain | 64 | 3 | 75.0 | 0.004 |
| LMS | | | | | | | | |
| 1q21.3 | 151,256,551 | 151,856,750 | 0.6 | Gain | 27 | 0 | 23.5 | 0.018 |
| 1q21.3-q22 | 152,467,522 | 154,387,590 | 1.9 | Gain | 88 | 2 | 23.5 | 0.018 |
| 6p21.32 | 31,923,769 | 32,132,073 | 0.2 | Gain | 24 | 1 | 23.5 | 0.008 |
| 7q22.1 | 99,647,068 | 100,857,537 | 1.2 | Gain | 78 | 0 | 29.4 | 0.006 |
| 8q24.3 | 143,523,381 | 146,274,826 | 2.8 | Gain | 127 | 4 | 47.0 | 0.045 |
| 9p21.3 | 21,199,776 | 22,226,425 | 1.0 | Loss | 23 | 1 | 41.2 | 0.023 |
| 11p15.5 | 0 | 1,567,792 | 0.9 | Gain | 87 | 1 | 23.5 | 0.011 |
| 11q12.2-q12.3 | 61,352,779 | 62,229,449 | 0.9 | Gain | 37 | 0 | 29.4 | 0.047 |
| 11q13.1-q13.2 | 64,322,265 | 67,979,861 | 3.7 | Gain | 181 | 3 | 29.4 | 0.047 |
| 11q13.2-q13.3 | 68,744,800 | 70,077,870 | 1.3 | Gain | 19 | 1 | 29.4 | 0.047 |
| 14q11.2 | 21,580,291 | 22,230,297 | 0.7 | Gain | 44 | 0 | 23.5 | 0.007 |
| 16p11.2 | 34,059,589 | 34,361,998 | 0.3 | Loss | 1 | 0 | 23.5 | 0.031 |
| 17q25.1 | 68,836,129 | 70,494,896 | 1.7 | Gain | 40 | 0 | 41.2 | 0.050 |
| 19q13.12 | 40,964,925 | 41,016,382 | 0.1 | Gain | 5 | 0 | 52.9 | 0.008 |
| 19q13.43 | 63,347,573 | 63,811,651 | 0.5 | Loss | 36 | 0 | 23.5 | 0.043 |

Legend: UPS - Undifferentiated Pleomorphic Sarcomas; LMS - Leiomyosarcomas. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.t002

(UPS7), 1q21.3-q23.1 (UPS7 and UPS8), 3p12.1-p11.2 (UPS2, UPS9, UPS15, UPS19, and UPS22), 7q22.1 (UPS4), 8p11.21 (UPS22), 11q13.1 (UPS3 and UPS8), 16p13.3 (UPS2), 18p11.32 (UPS13), and 20p11.21 (UPS19 and UPS22). Losses at 3p26.3 were observed in 60% of the cases (Table 2). No homozygous deletions (log₂ ratio<-1) were consistently observed in the UPS cases. None of these UPS alterations were significantly associated with clinical variables.

The LMS samples showed fewer genomic alterations compared with the UPS samples. Fifteen significant genomic imbalances were more frequently observed in the LMS samples (Table 2), the most notable being gains at 19q13.12 (53%) and 8q24.3 (47%). Losses at 9p21.3 (P=0.023) and gains at 17q25.1 (P=0.050) were observed in 41.2% of the samples, including two cases (LMS19 and LMS23) with homozygous deletions (log2 ratio<-1) at 9p21.3.

Gains at 1q21.3, 11q12.2-q12.3, and 19q13.12 were significantly associated with death caused by LMS (Table 3). Furthermore, reduced overall survival time was significantly associated with gains at 1q21.3 (P=0.002), 11q12.2-q12.3 (P=0.005), 16p11.2 (P=0.033), and 19q13.12 (P=0.027) (Figures 1A–D; Table 3). A multivariate analysis indicated that gains at 1q21.3 are an independent prognostic marker for shorter overall survival time (P=0.019; HR = 13.76; CI_{95%} = 1.534 to 123.427).

Although the majority of the UPS and LMS tumors were found in the retroperitoneum and lower extremities, two LMS samples preferentially localized to the trunk were characterized by significant recurrent alterations, including gains at 6p21.32 (P=0.044), 14q11.2 (P=0.044), 17q25.1 (P=0.029), and 19q13.43 (P=0.007).

An unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis could not distinguish between the UPS and LMS samples, nor could it segregate the samples according to anatomical origin; however,



Figure 1. Overall survival curves from LMS patients with specific genomic alterations. Gains at (A) 1q21.3, (B) 11q12.2-q12.3, (C) 16p11.2, and (D) 19q13.12 were associated with shorter survival times. P-values were determined using the Log-rank test. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.q001

three different clusters (1–3) were observed (Figures 2A and B). Similar numbers of genomic alterations were observed in clusters 1 (4 UPS and 7 LMS) and 3 (6 UPS and 4 LMS), whereas cluster 2 (10 UPS and 6 LMS) exhibited a more complex genomic profile (Figure 2B). Furthermore, the chromosomal changes in these three clusters were correlated with the clinicopathological features of each patient (Table 1). The presence of genomic alterations in cluster 2 was significantly correlated with female patients (P=0.020) and with death from UPS or LMS (P=0.022).

Furthermore, cluster 2 included 70% of the cases (5/8 UPS and 4/5 LMS) in which patients died from the disease and 62% (3/ 5 UPS and 2/3 LMS) of the cases in which patients developed metastases during the follow-up period. Cluster 3 was primarily composed of male patients (P=0.023). Interestingly, gains at 1q21.3 were more frequently detected in cluster 2 (62.5%) compared with clusters 3 (30%) and 1 (0%) (P=0.0035).

Table 3. Genomic alterations associated with clinical outcome in LMS patients.

| Chromosome region | Alteration | Prognosis* | Survival** |
|-------------------|------------|---|--|
| 1q21.3 | Gain | Increased risk of death ($P = 0.002$) | Decreased overall survival (P = 0.002) |
| 11q12.2-q12.3 | Gain | Increased risk of death (P=0.0099) | Decreased overall survival (P = 0.005) |
| 16p11.2 | Gain | Increased risk of death (P=0.073) | Decreased overall survival (P = 0.033) |
| 19q13.12 | Gain | Increased risk of death ($P = 0.003$) | Decreased overall survival ($P = 0.027$) |

*P values obtained by Fisher's exact test.

**P values obtained by log-rank test.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.t003

Quantitative Analysis of Copy Number Alterations in UPS and LMS

A subset of the cases (11 LMS and 16 UPS; Table 1) was evaluated by qPCR to confirm the gains at 1q21.1-q21.2 (*ARNT*), 1q21.3 (*PBXIP1* and *SCL27A3*), and 11q13.2-q13.3 (*CCND1*). Eight primer pairs were designed to cover the candidate regions. Three primer pairs covered the same probe sequence included in the Agilent 4×44 K platform (*ARNT*-P1, *PBXIP1*-P2 and *CCND1*-P2), whereas two primer pairs flanked the specific probes to determine the extent of the alteration (*SLC27A3*-P1 and *ARNT*-P2). Additionally, other three primers pairs were also designed in regions of the exon-intron junctions (*ARNT*-P3, *PBXIP1*-P1, and *CCND1*-P1). The ten reference samples isolated from healthy individuals displayed normal copy numbers for each of the sequences evaluated by qPCR.

For *ARNT* region, relative DNA copy number gains were observed in both UPS and LMS, but these genomic alterations were most frequently observed in UPS (10/16 samples) compared with LMS (4/11 samples). Three UPS (UPS7, UPS8, and UPS9) and two LMS (LMS4 and LMS7) samples showed gains over a large region (17 kb) surrounding the *ARNT* gene (for all primer pairs) (Figure 3A, Table S2). In addition, seven UPS and two LMS samples showed gains at two primer sets (Table S2). Similarly, gains at *PBXIP1* were more frequently detected in UPS (6/16) than in LMS (1/11) samples. Two UPS (UPS7 and UPS9) showed gains in both primer sets flanking *PBXIP1*, which span a region covering approximately 2 kb (Table S2). For *SLC27A3* gene, 6 UPS and two LMS cases exhibited increased copy numbers (Figure 3B, Table S2).

The ARNT, PBXIP1 and SCL27A3 genes, which are mapped to 1q21, cover a chromosomal region of approximately 4.12 Mb. Copy number gains involving these three genes were detected in



Figure 2. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering of 20 undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas (UPSs) and 17 leiomyosarcomas (LMSs). (A) In the dendrogram, cluster 1 is shown in green, cluster 2 is shown in blue, and cluster 3 is shown in red. Clusters related to the sites of anatomical origin were not observed for these tumors; origin sites include the following regions: upper extremity (pink), lower extremity (purple), trunk (orange), retroperitoneum (yellow), and head and neck (rose). (B) Genomic alterations were detected in clusters 1 (C1; 11 cases), 2 (C2; 16 cases), and 3 (C3; 10 cases). The top bars (blue) indicate genetic gains, whereas the lower bars (red) indicate genetic losses. The images shown were adapted from the output of the Nexus 6.0 software program. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.q002



Figure 3. Quantification of DNA copy number alterations using qPCR for the *ARNT, PBXIP1, SLC27A3,* **and** *CCND1* **genes.** Eight primer pairs were designed, including (A) three for *ARNT* (ARNT-P1, ARNT-P2, and ARNT-P3); (B) two for *PBXIP1* (PBXIP1-P1 and PBXIP1-P2) and one for *SLC27A3* (SLC27A3-P1); and (C) two for *CCND1* (CCND1-P1 and CCND1-P2). doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067643.g003

two UPS cases (UPS7 and UPS9, Table S2), one of which displayed amplification (UPS9), whereas the other (UPS7) exhibited complex morphology.

Interestingly, the proportion of cases with concordant results of copy number gains in UPS samples detected by both array CGH and qPCR was 83% (5/6) for 1q21.1-q21.2 (*ARNT*) region and 63% (7/11) for 1q21.3 9 (*PBXIP1* and *SCL27A3*). For *CCND1* gene (11q13), copy number gains in both primer sets were detected in three UPS samples (UPS2, UPS20, and UPS22), suggesting that at least 5 kb of genomic sequence was altered in these samples. Two LMS samples showed increased copy number for one or more of the sequences amplified by each primer pair (P1 and P2) (Figure 3C, Table S2).

Discussion

In general, UPSs and LMSs display similar profiles of recurrent chromosomal imbalances even when compared with other sarcoma subtypes [9,12,14,16,17,23,24]. Some studies have suggested that the similarities shared by UPSs and LMSs may indicate a common origin [16,25,26,27]. However, the majority of these studies did not report whether the tested samples were collected from patients who had received either chemotherapy or radiotherapy prior to surgery. In this study, none of the patients had received treatment prior to sample collection, thereby excluding the possibility of treatment-induced genetic changes.

UPS and LMS frequently show complex karyotypes, pleomorphic histology and undifferenciated molecular profiles, thus hindering the correct diagnosis and the development of therapeutic options for these patients. Consequently, studies addressing the identification of molecular events driving oncogenesis in these tumors can ultimately be translated into meaningful biomarkers [18]. To address this challenge, we performed a comparative analysis of genomic copy number profiles for UPS and LMS samples carefully diagnosed by combining histology evaluation, immunohistochemical characterization using a panel of antibodies as well as FISH analysis for MDM2. Although an unsupervised hierarchical clustering analysis could not distinguish between the tumors subtypes, three patient clusters were identified based on patterns of copy number alterations. Of these three clusters, cluster 2 (16 UPS and 10 LMS) was strongly associated with poor prognostic outcome. More specifically, 105 genomic alterations were exclusively observed in cluster-2 cases (>50% of cases), including gains at 1q21.2, 1q21.3, 9q34.11, 11p15.5, 11q13.1, 16p13.3, and 20q13.33. Notably, gains at 1q21.3 in samples from cluster 2 were significantly associated with poor prognostic outcome.

The genetic similarities observed between UPS and LMS may indicate a common origin for these tumor subtypes. This hypothesis has also been raised by other comparative studies that assessed genomic patterns (using chromosomal CGH and array CGH) and gene-expression profiles (using cDNA microarrays and RT-qPCR) in UPS and LMS [16,17,23,24,25]. For example, Kresse et al. [17] compared genomic gains and losses in 33 UPS and 44 LMS samples using array CGH analysis (BAC and PAC arrays of 4.6K). The authors reported seven chromosomal regions (1p36.32-p35.2, 1p21.3-p21.1, 1q32.1-q42.13, 2q14.1-q22.2, 4q33-q34.3, 6p25.1-p21.32, and 7p22.3-p13) that differed significantly between the UPS and LMS tumor subtypes; three of these regions (1p35.1-p36.32, 1q32.1-q42.13, and 7p22.3-p13) were also identified in this study.

In another study using integrative analyses, similar patterns of genomic alterations were observed in 18 UPS and 31 LMS samples taken from tumors of the extremities [16]. The geneexpression analysis revealed nine differentially expressed genes (TAGLN3, D4S234E, KIAA1729, PDLIM5, TEAD3, TPM2, ALDH1B1, TRDMT1, and DHODH) but failed to differentiate between tumor subtypes. In the current study, these genes were not observed among the recurrent genomic regions that were differentially altered. Larramendy et al. [27] analyzed 102 untreated primary UPS samples and 82 LMS samples using chromosomal CGH, and the authors reported similar profiles of genetic alterations between these two tumor subtypes. Although a clustering analysis could not differentiate between the UPS and LMS samples, the authors reported one cluster (2 LMS and 10 UPS) that was characterized by high-level amplifications of the 1p33-p34.3, 17q22-q23, 17q25-qter, 19p, 22p, and 22q loci. Similarly, we observed genetic amplification at 17q25, 19p, and 22q; however, these alterations were not restricted to one specific cluster

Large genomic regions (up to 4 Mb) displaying changes in DNA copy number were detected in both UPS and LMS samples. To better characterize these alterations, four genes (*ARNT*, *PBXIP1*, *SLC27A3*, and *CCND1*) were selected and evaluated using qPCR in a subset of cases. In general, the alterations detected by array CGH in the UPS and LMS groups were confirmed by qPCR. Although *ARNT* copy number gains were observed in both tumor subtypes, these alterations were predominantly observed in the UPS samples (63%). Several studies have demonstrated that amplification of the 1q21-q22 locus occurs in UPS and a variety of

other STSs, including liposarcomas and osteosarcomas [15,17,28,29,30,31,32,33]; however, no specific candidate genes from this region had been studied in the context of UPS. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator (ARNT), which is also known as hypoxia-induced factor-1 beta (HIF-1beta), is constitutively expressed in all normal human tissues with increased expression in the ovary, lung, spleen, testis, and pancreas [34]. ARNT overexpression has been reported in breast cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, and colon carcinoma cell lines [35,36]. PBXIP1 and SLC27A3 copy number gains were also observed in UPS samples (38% of the cases for each). The SLC27A3 gene encodes Acetyl-CoA synthetase, which is important for fatty-acid metabolism, particularly in neoplastic cells [37]. Although the contribution of lipid-metabolic pathways to tumor development is poorly understood, it is known that a high rate of lipid synthesis is necessary for the biogenesis of plasma membranes, which is required for tumor growth [38]. Lipids also play important roles as second messengers, which can be misregulated in tumor cells. Indeed, increases in the levels of specific messenger lipids are often associated with malignant phenotypes [39]. Functional studies have shown SLC27A3 to be an effective therapeutic target in gliomas because it maintains the oncogenic properties of glioma cell lines through the regulation of the AKT protein [37].

Sixteen recurrent genomic changes were identified in UPSs. Although none of these changes were significantly associated with clinical variables, high-level amplification of the 3p12.1-p11.2 locus was observed in five cases. This amplified region spans the seven following genes: *BC040985*, *BC050344*, *CADM2*, *CHMP2B*, *MIR4795*, *POU1F1*, and *VGLL3*. Consistent with our findings, Hallor et al. [40] demonstrated that amplification of the 3p11-12 region was associated with *CHMP2B* and *VGLL3* overexpression in UPS cases with prominent inflammation. In another study, Carneiro et al. [16] identified recurrent amplifications at 3p11-p12 in a subset of UPS and LMS tumors using genomic and transcriptomic analyses. These findings suggest that the 3p11-p12 region, which includes the *CHMP2B* and *VGLL3* genes, may play an important role in UPS and LMS tumors.

Among the 15 minimally recurrent altered regions identified in the LMS samples, four regions showing gains were significantly associated with reduced overall survival time (1q21.3, 11q12.2q12.3, 16p11.2, and 19q13.12). To our knowledge, this signature of poor prognosis has not been previously reported for LMS. In our study, copy number gains at 11q12.2-q12.3 and 19q13.12 were associated with death from LMS. The 11q12.2-q12.3 locus contains 37 genes, including genes related to the processes of chromosome segregation (INCENP), chromatin remodeling and histone deacetylation (MTA2), transcriptional regulation (EEF1G), and RNA processing (TUT1). To date, these genes have not been linked to LMS, and they represent potential targets for further validation. For example, metastatic tumor antigen 2 (MTA2) is a member of the MTA family and is closely associated with tumor progression and metastasis. MTA2 overexpression has been correlated with advanced TNM stages, tumor size, and lymphnode metastasis in non-small-cell lung cancer [41]. Gains at 19q13.12 have been described in sporadic cases using CGH approaches without clinical association [25,42,43]. We report an association between cases with poor prognosis and genomic gains at 19q13.12, indicating that this region may be a useful marker for LMS outcome. Furthermore, this amplified region has been linked to several cancer types, including pancreatic carcinoma [44], ovarian carcinoma [45], and breast cancer [46].

Genomic gains at 1q21.3 were associated with reduced overall survival time in LMS. A multivariate analysis revealed that gains

at the 1q21.3 locus were an independent prognostic marker of shorter survival times for LMS patients. These data suggest that gains at 1q21.3 confer an increased risk of death from the disease compared with other genomic changes and known prognostic factors in STSs. Although increased copy numbers and high-level amplification of 1q21.3 have been frequently observed in chromosomal and array CGH studies of LMS samples [16,28,42,47,48], none have reported an association with poor prognosis. This region covers 27 genes, including MUC1, SPRR1B, SPRR2A, SPRR3, RAB25, and 13 members of the S100 family. Of these genes, low amplification levels of MUC1, SPRR1B, SPRR2A, SPRR3, and S100A6 (mapped to 1q21-q22) have been observed in LMS samples using FISH analysis [28]. In addition to RAB25 amplification, rearrangements and increased expression of the S100A4 gene have been correlated with advanced disease stages and poor survival in other malignancies, such as ovarian osteosarcoma metastasis and ovarian carcinoma [49]. Further analysis of gene-expression profiles and functional data should be conducted to determine whether these genes play a similar role in LMS

In conclusion, we describe a large number of genomic changes observed in UPS and LMS patients who were not previously treated with chemotherapy or radiotherapy. Importantly, a subset of patients with poor prognosis displayed recurrent gains at the 1q21.2, 1q21.3, 9q33.3-q34.11, 11p15.5, 11q13.1, 16p13.3, and 20q13.33 loci. These loci may be useful as diagnostic markers to distinguish between patient outcomes. Three novel candidate genes associated with the amplified 1q21 region, including the *ARNT* gene, were identified in UPS patients. Gains at 1q21.3 were shown to be an independent prognostic marker for shorter survival times in LMS patients, suggesting that genes mapped to this region

References

- Osuna D, de Alava E (2009) Molecular pathology of sarcomas. Rev Recent Clin Trials 4: 12–26.
- Wardelmann E, Schildhaus HU, Merkelbach-Bruse S, Hartmann W, Reichardt P, et al. (2010) Soft tissue sarcoma: from molecular diagnosis to selection of treatment. Pathological diagnosis of soft tissue sarcoma amid molecular biology and targeted therapies. Ann Oncol 21: 265–269.
- Fletcher CD (2006) The evolving classification of soft tissue tumours: an update based on the new WHO classification. Histopathology 48: 3–12.
- Nascimento AF, Raut CP (2008) Diagnosis and management of pleomorphic sarcomas (So-Called "MFH") in adults. J Surg Oncol 97: 330–339.
- Erlandson RA, Antonescu CR (2004) The rise and fall of malignant fibrous histiocytoma. Ultrastruct Pathol 28: 283–289.
- Matushansky I, Hernando E, Socci ND, Mills JE, Matos TA, et al. (2007) Derivation of sarcomas from mesenchymal stem cells via inactivation of the Wnt pathway. J Clin Invest 117: 3248–3257.
- Wang CV, Wei Q, Han I, Sato S, Ghanbari-Azarnier R, et al. (2012) Hedgehog and Notch signaling regulate self-renewal of undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcomas. Cancer Res 72: 1013–1022.
- Rubin BP, Nishijo K, Chen HI, Yi X, Schuetze DP, et al. (2011) Evidence for an unanticipated relationship between undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma and embryonal rhabdomyosarcoma. Cancer Cell 19: 177–191.
- Mills AM, Beck AH, Montgomery KD, Zhu SX, Espinosa I, et al. (2011) Expression of subtype-specific group 1 leiomyosarcoma markers in a wide variety of sarcomas by gene expression analysis and immunohistochemistry. Am J Surg Pathol 35: 583–589.
- Nielsen TO, West RB, Linn SC, Alter O, Knowling MA, et al. (2002) Molecular characterisation of soft tissue tumours: a gene expression study. Lancet 359: 1301–1307.
- Baird K, Davis S, Antonescu CR, Harper UL, Walker RL, et al. (2005) Gene expression profiling of human sarcomas: insights into sarcoma biology. Cancer Res 65: 9226–9235.
- Francis P, Namlos HM, Müller C, Edén P, Fernebro J, et al. (2007) Diagnostic and prognostic gene expression signatures in 177 soft tissue sarcomas: hypoxiainduced transcription profile signifies metastatic potential. BMC Genomics 8: 73.
- Nakayama R, Nemoto T, Takahashi H, Ohta T, Kawai A, et al. (2007) Gene expression analysis of soft tissue sarcomas: characterization and reclassification of malignant fibrous histiocytoma. Mod Pathol 20: 749–759.

may be involved in the aggressiveness of LMS tumors. Therefore, this study describes several novel molecular markers that may be used to identify leiomyosarcoma patients with poor outcomes.

Supporting Information

Table S1 Primer sets used by quantitative real time PCR for confirmation of DNA genomic imbalances in UPS and LMS cases. (DOC)

Table S2 DNA copy number alterations detected by qPCR at *ARNT*, *PBXIP1*, *SLC27A3* and *CCND1* genes in 16 UPS and 11 LMS samples. Legends: DNA copy number alterations are shown in filled boxes, including gains (light gray) and high copy gain (dark gray). Empty boxes represent absence of alteration. (DOC)

Text S1 Fluorescence in situ hybridization for *MDM2/CEN12*. (DOCX)

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledged Dr Ariane Fidelis Busso for their many helpful suggestions throughout the preparation of the experiments and A. C. Camargo Hospital Nucleic Acid Bank.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: SMS IWC SRR. Performed the experiments: SMS RARV. Analyzed the data: SMS FAM MCBF SAD. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: RARV AL. Wrote the paper: SMS SRR SAD. Pathological revision: IWC CSN.

- Gibault L, Pérot G, Chibon F, Bonnin S, Lagarde P, et al. (2010) New insights in sarcoma oncogenesis: a comprehensive analysis of a large series of 160 soft tissue sarcomas with complex genomics. J Pathol 223: 64–71.
- Weng WH, Ahlén J, Lui WO, Brosjö O, Pang ST, et al. (2003) Gain of 17q in malignant fibrous histiocytoma is associated with a longer disease-free survival and a low risk of developing distant metastasis. Br J Cancer 89: 720–726.
- Carneiro A, Francis P, Bendahl PO, Fernebro J, Akerman M, et al. (2009) Indistinguishable genomic profiles and shared prognostic markers in undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma and leiomyosarcoma: different sides of a single coin? Lab Invest 89: 668–675.
- Kresse SH, Ohnstad HO, Bjerkehagen B, Myklebost O, Meza-Zepeda LA (2010) DNA copy number changes in human malignant fibrous histiocytomas by array comparative genomic hybridisation. PLoS One 5: e15378.
- Fletcher CD, Unni KK, Mertens F (2002) World Health Organization classification of tumors. Pathology and genetics of tumours of soft tissue and bone. Lyon: IARC Press.
- Guillou L, Coindre JM, Bonichon F, Nguyen BB, Terrier P, et al. (1997) Comparative study of the National Cancer Institute and French Federation of Cancer Centers Sarcoma Group grading systems in a population of 410 adult patients with soft tissue sarcoma. J Clin Oncol 15: 350–362.
- Abyzov A, Mariani J, Palejev D, Zhang Y, Haney MS, et al. (2012) Somatic copy number mosaicism in human skin revealed by induced pluripotent stem cells. Nature. 20: 438–442.
- Diskin SJ, Eck T, Greshock J, Mosse YP, Naylor T, et al. (2006) STAC: A method for testing the significance of DNA copy number aberrations across multiple array- experiments. Genome Res 16: 1149–1158.
- 22. Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. Methods 25: 402–408.
- Lee YF, John M, Edwards S, Clark J, Flohr P, et al. (2003) Molecular classification of synovial sarcomas, leiomyosarcomas and malignant fibrous histiocytomas by gene expression profiling. Br J Cancer 88: 510–515.
- Chibon F, Lagarde P, Salas S, Pérot G, Brouste V, et al. (2010) Validated prediction of clinical outcome in sarcomas and multiple types of cancer on the basis of a gene expression signature related to genome complexity. Nat Med 16: 781–787.
- Derré J, Lagacé R, Nicolas A, Mairal A, Chibon F, et al. (2001) Leiomyosarcomas and most malignant fibrous histiocytomas share very similar comparative genomic hybridization imbalances: an analysis of a series of 27 leiomyosarcomas. Lab Invest 81: 211–215.

- Chibon F, Mariani O, Mairal A, Derré J, Coindre JM, et al. (2003) The use of clustering software for the classification of comparative genomic hybridization data. an analysis of 109 malignant fibrous histiocytomas. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 141: 75–78.
- Larramendy ML, Gentile M, Soloneski S, Knuutila S, Böhling T (2008) Does comparative genomic hybridization reveal distinct differences in DNA copy number sequence patterns between leiomyosarcoma and malignant fibrous histiocytoma? Cancer Genet Cytogenet 187: 1–11.
- Forus A, Weghuis DO, Smeets D, Fodstad O, Myklebost O, et al. (1995) Comparative genomic hybridization analysis of human sarcomas: I. Occurrence of genomic imbalances and identification of a novel major amplicon at 1q21-q22 in soft tissue sarcomas. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 14: 8–14.
- Larramendy ML, Tarkkanen M, Blomqvist C, Virolainen M, Wiklund T, et al. (1997) Comparative genomic hybridization of malignant fibrous histiocytoma reveals a novel prognostic marker. Am J Pathol 151: 1153–1161.
- Szymanska J, Virolainen M, Tarkkanen M, Wiklund T, Asko-Seljavaara S, et al. (1997) Overrepresentation of 1q21–23 and 12q13–21 in lipoma-like liposarcomas but not in benign lipomas: a comparative genomic hybridization study. Cancer Genet Cytogenet. 99: 14–18.
- Mertens F, Fletcher CD, Dal Cin P, De Wever I, Mandahl N, et al. (1998) Cytogenetic analysis of 46 pleomorphic soft tissue sarcomas and correlation with morphologic and clinical features: a report of the CHAMP Study Group. Chromos Morphlogy Genes Chromos Can 22: 16–25.
- Mairal A, Terrier P, Chibon F, Sastre X, Lecesne A, et al. (1999) Loss of chromosome 13 is the most frequent genomic imbalance in malignant fibrous histiocytomas. A comparative genomic hybridization analysis of a series of 30 cases. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 111: 134–138.
- Louis-Brennetot C, Coindre JM, Ferreira C, Pérot G, Terrier P, et al. (2011) The CDKN2A/CDKN2B/CDK4/CCND1 pathway is pivotal in well-differentiated and dedifferentiated liposarcoma oncogenesis: an analysis of 104 tumors. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 50: 896–907.
- Yamamoto J, Ihara K, Nakayama H, Hikino S, Satoh K, et al. (2004) Characteristic expression of aryl hydrocarbon receptor repressor gene in human tissues: organ-specific distribution and variable induction patterns in mononuclear cells. Life Sci 74: 1039–1049.
- Yamakuchi M, Lotterman CD, Bao C, Hruban RH, Karim B, et al. (2010) P53induced microRNA-107 inhibits HIF-1 and tumor angiogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 107: 6334–6339.
- Oda Y, Nakajima M, Mohri T, Takamiya M, Aoki Y, et al. (2012) Aryl hydrocarbon receptor nuclear translocator in human liver is regulated by miR-24. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 1: 222–231.

- Pei Z, Sun P, Huang P, Lal B, Laterra J, et al. (2009) Acyl-CoA synthetase VL3 knockdown inhibits human glioma cell proliferation and tumorigenicity. Cancer Res 69: 9175–9182.
- Patra SK (2008) Dissecting lipid raft facilitated cell signaling pathways in cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta 1785: 182–206.
- Pei Z, Fraisl P, Berger J, Jia Z, Forss-Petter S, et al. (2004) Mouse very longchain Acyl-CoA synthetase 3/fatty acid transport protein 3 catalyzes fatty acid activation but not fatty acid transport in MA-10 cells. J Biol Chem 279: 54454– 54462.
- Hallor KH, Sciot R, Staaf J, Heidenblad M, Rydholm A, et al. (2009) Two genetic pathways, t(1;10) and amplification of 3p11–12, in myxoinflammatory fibroblastic sarcoma, haemosiderotic fibrolipomatous tumour, and morphologically similar lesions. J Pathol 217: 716–727.
- Liu SL, Han Y, Zhang Y, Xie CY, Wang EH, et al. (2012) Expression of metastasis-associated protein 2 (MTA2) might predict proliferation in non-small cell lung cancer. Target Oncol 7: 135–143.
- Hu J, Rao UN, Jasani S, Khanna V, Yaw K, et al. (2005) Loss of DNA copy number of 10q is associated with aggressive behavior of leiomyosarcomas: a comparative genomic hybridization study. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 161: 20–27.
- 43. Wang R, Lu YJ, Fisher C, Bridge JA, Shipley J (2001) Characterization of chromosome aberrations associated with soft-tissue leiomyosarcomas by twentyfour-color karyotyping and comparative genomic hybridization analysis. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 31: 54–64.
- Curtis LJ, Li Y, Gerbault-Seureau M, Kuick R, Dutrillaux AM, et al. (1998) Amplification of DNA sequences from chromosome 19q13.1 in human pancreatic cell lines. Genomics 53: 42–55.
- Thompson FH, Nelson MA, Trent JM, Guan XY, Liu Y, et al. (1996) Amplification of 19q13.1-q13.2 sequences in ovarian cancer. G-band, FISH, and molecular studies. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 87: 55–62.
- Muleris M, Almeida A, Gerbault-Seureau M, Malfoy B, Dutrillaux B (1995) Identification of amplified DNA sequences in breast cancer and their organization within homogeneously staining regions. Genes Chromosomes Cancer 14: 155–163.
- Yang J, Du X, Chen K, Ylipää A, Lazar AJ, et al. (2009) Genetic aberrations in soft tissue leiomyosarcoma. Cancer Lett 275: 1–8.
- Levy B, Mukherjee T, Hirschhorn K (2000) Molecular cytogenetic analysis of uterine leiomyoma and leiomyosarcoma by comparative genomic hybridization. Cancer Genet Cytogenet 121: 1–8.
- Fujiwara M, Kashima TG, Kunita A, Kii I, Komura D, et al. (2011) Stable knockdown of S100A4 suppresses cell migration and metastasis of osteosarcoma. Tumour Biol 32: 611–622.