



# Genetic Diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* Isolated From Avian and Human Sources in Egypt

Marwa I. Abd El-Hamid<sup>1†‡</sup>, Norhan K. Abd El-Aziz<sup>1\*†‡</sup>, Mohamed Samir<sup>2‡</sup>, El-sayed Y. El-Naenaeey<sup>1</sup>, Etab M. Abo Remela<sup>3,4</sup>, Rasha A. Mosbah<sup>5</sup> and Mahmoud M. Bendary<sup>6‡</sup>

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Stuart A. Thompson, Augusta University, United States

### Reviewed by:

Mohamed K. Fakhr, The University of Tulsa, United States Heriberto Fernandez, Austral University of Chile, Chile

#### \*Correspondence:

Norhan K. Abd El-Aziz norhan\_vet@hotmail.com; nourhan\_vet@zu.edu.eg

<sup>†</sup> These authors have contributed equally to this work as first authors

### <sup>‡</sup>ORCID:

Marwa I. Abd El-Hamid orcid.org/0000-0002-1560-6158 Norhan K. Abd El-Aziz orcid.org/0000-0001-8309-9058 Mohamed Samir orcid.org/0000-0002-1166-0480 Mahmoud M. Bendary orcid.org/0000-0002-1788-0038

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Food Microbiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 26 June 2019 Accepted: 27 September 2019 Published: 18 October 2019

### Citation:

Abd El-Hamid MI, Abd El-Aziz NK, Samir M, El-Naenaeey EY, Abo Remela EM, Mosbah RA and Bendary MM (2019) Genetic Diversity of Campylobacter jejuni Isolated From Avian and Human Sources in Egypt. Front. Microbiol. 10:2353. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2019.02353 <sup>1</sup> Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt, <sup>2</sup> Department of Zoonoses, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Zagazig, Egypt, <sup>3</sup> Department of Bacteriology, Mycology and Immunology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafrelsheikh, Egypt, <sup>4</sup> Department of Biology, College of Science, Taibah University, Medina, Saudi Arabia, <sup>5</sup> Fellow Pharmacist at Zagazig University Hospital, Zagazig, Egypt, <sup>6</sup> Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Port Said University, Port Said, Egypt

Campylobacter jejuni (C. jejuni) are able to colonise and infect domestic poultry and also pose a risk for humans. The aim of this study was to determine the extent of genotypic diversity among C. jejuni isolates recovered from avian and human sources in Egypt. Furthermore, the short variable region (SVR) of flagellin A (flaA) gene was analysed for the presence of allelic variants. Our results showed that C. jejuni isolates differ in their capacity to harbour each of the virulence genes alone or when present in various combinations. The flaA gene was detected in all C. jejuni strains and none of the strains had all the studied virulence genes together. When considering C. jejuni strains from the investigated sources, the *cdtC* gene was the most similar, while the cdtB and iam genes were the most dissimilar. We could identify 13 novel alleles in the analysed strains. The analyses of virulence gene patterns, flaA gene sequences and allelic variants showed that C. jejuni strains from different sources overlapped largely suggesting potential involvement of poultry in transmitting C. jejuni to humans. We also found that the strains isolated from the same host were highly heterogeneous, with chicken strains exhibiting the highest diversity. Moreover, the human strains were clustered closer to chicken ones than to those from pigeon. The results of this study should be taken into consideration when assessing the epidemiology and risk potential of Egyptian C. jejuni not only in poultry, but also in humans.

Keywords: alleles, C. jejuni, flaA typing, humans, poultry, virulence

# INTRODUCTION

*Campylobacter jejuni* (*C. jejuni*) is one of the most frequent bacterial causes of foodborne gastroenteritis worldwide (European Food Safety Authority, 2014). It has been isolated from chickens, turkeys, pigeons and quails (Vazquez et al., 2010; Kovacic et al., 2013; Ramees et al., 2015), where they colonise the intestinal tract and could contaminate the carcasses during processing (e.g., defeathering and evisceration) (Hermans et al., 2011). Human infection occurs commonly through consumption of contaminated poultry meat (Humphrey et al., 2007).

Many studies addressed the virulence characteristics of *C. jejuni* (Frazão et al., 2017; Maansi et al., 2018). In Egypt, limited studies have focussed on the genotypic diversity (Ahmed et al., 2015) and the antimicrobial resistance of *C. jejuni* (Abd El-Tawab et al., 2018), yet none of these studies explored the virulence patterns of *C. jejuni* among different sources, particularly pigeons.

It is well established that *C. jejuni* exhibits high diversity with regard to the presence of virulence and/or pathogenicity traits including adherence (Coote et al., 2007), invasion (Zheng et al., 2006), toxicity (Abuoun et al., 2005), and molecular mimicry (Datta et al., 2003). Determining the extent of genetic heterogeneity of *C. jejuni* will tell about the disease burden in certain populations and will aid in predicting the potential source of infection (McCarthy et al., 2007); all are valuable information that can be delivered to surveillance and control programmes with an overarching goal of reducing the disease in poultry and its transmission to humans.

The genomic instability of the flagellin gene, due to frequent occurrence of genomic recombination (Harrington et al., 1997), makes it a good candidate for studying the genetic diversity of C. jejuni. Therefore, molecular typing of flaA gene, and in particular its short variable region (SVR;  $\sim$ 150 base pairs), has been widely used in studying the genotypic diversity of such bacteria (Meinersmann et al., 1997, 2005; Wardak and Jagielski, 2009). flaA typing represents a convenient and cost-effective typing-scheme, which suits the situation in developing countries, particularly when quick characterisation of the strains is needed. Previous studies have demonstrated that direct sequencing of PCR-amplified flaA-SVRs is useful for Campylobacter genotyping, particularly in short-term and localised epidemiological investigations, allowing similar or higher discriminatory power than multilocus sequence typing (MLST). Furthermore, MLST is unable to distinguish closely related strains in small-scale outbreak investigations and additional methods like *flaA* typing may be required in order to obtain sufficient resolution (Meinersmann et al., 1997; Sails et al., 2003).

*Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from humans and poultry are known to be genetically diverse (Ramonaite et al., 2017). Although *C. jejuni* in Egypt is widely distributed in avian hosts (Rahimi and Ameri, 2011; Abd El-Tawab et al., 2018) and humans (Sainato et al., 2018), there are limited studies that addressed the genetic heterogeneity among Egyptian *C. jejuni*.

To unravel the extent of genetic diversity of Egyptian *C. jejuni*, we analysed both virulence gene profiles and *flaA* allelic variants in *C. jejuni* isolated from chickens, pigeons and humans. The knowledge gained from this study is highly relevant to the epidemiology and control efforts geared toward reducing *C. jejuni* infection in Egypt.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Samples

The study was conducted during the period from 2015–2018. A total of 270 samples were collected from individual broiler

chickens (n = 90) [chicken meat (n = 65) and cloacal swabs (n = 25)] and fresh pigeon droplets (n = 180) of different ages from 10 retail outlets in Zagazig city, Sharkia Governorate, Egypt. Moreover, 270 human stool samples were collected from gastroenteritis patients attending Zagazig University hospital located in the same city, Zagazig. The collected samples were transported in an ice box within 3 h to the laboratory for bacteriological analysis. The animal study was approved by the committee of Animal Welfare and Research Ethics, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University. Regarding the human study, it was approved by the research ethical committee of Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University and the work was carried out in accordance with the Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki) for studies involving humans. Written informed consents were obtained from the patients participating in the research study after a full description of the study purpose.

## Isolation and Identification of C. jejuni

For the isolation of Campylobacter species, samples were enriched in Preston Campylobacter selective enrichment broth (Oxoid, United Kingdom) at 42°C for 48 h. The enrichment cultures were first streaked onto modified charcoal cefoperazone deoxycholate agar (mCCDA; Oxoid, United Kingdom), then transferred onto Columbia agar (Oxoid, United Kingdom) plates supplemented with 5% sterile defibrinated horse blood. The plates were incubated at 42°C in darkness for 48 h under microaerobic conditions (Vandepitte et al., 2003). The presumptive isolates were confirmed as C. jejuni by biochemical tests including catalase, oxidase, hippurate and indoxyl acetate hydrolyses, and susceptibility to cephalothin and nalidixic acid (30 µg/disc, each) (Quinn et al., 1994). Genomic DNA was extracted from fresh C. jejuni cultures using the QIAamp DNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR)based amplification of 23S rRNA and hipO genes was done to confirm the identification of C. jejuni isolates (Wang et al., 2002).

# PCR-Based Detection of Virulence Genes

*Campylobacter jejuni* isolates were screened for the presence of 7 virulence genes namely *flaA*, *virB11*, *wlaN*, *iam*, *cdtA*, *cdtB* and *cdtC*. All PCR assays were performed in a 25  $\mu$ L reaction mixture containing 12.5  $\mu$ L of EmeraldAmp Max PCR Master Mix (Takara, Japan), 1  $\mu$ L of each primer (20 pM; Metabion, Germany), 6  $\mu$ L of purified *C. jejuni* DNA and 4.5  $\mu$ L of nuclease-free water. Primers used for PCR assays are listed in **Table 1**.

# FlaA-SVR Sequencing and Allelic Typing

Sequencing of internal 402 bp fragments of the *flaA*-SVR amplicons was performed using the previously described primer pair Fla242FU and Fla625RU (Meinersmann et al., 1997). The *flaA* amplicons were purified using the QIAquick PCR purification kit (Qiagen, United States) and sequenced in an ABI 3130 automated DNA Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, United States) using the BigDye<sup>®</sup> Terminator v3.1 Cycle

Target gene	Primer name	Oligonucleotide sequence (5' $\rightarrow$ 3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
23S rRNA	23SF	TATACCGGTAAGGAGTGCTGGAG	650	Wang et al., 2002
	23SR	ATCAATTAACCTTCGAGCACCG		
hipO	CJF	ACTTCTTTATTGCTTGCTGC	323	Wang et al., 2002
	CJR	GCCACAACAAGTAAAGAAGC		
cdtA	GNW	GGAAATTGGATTTGGGGGCTATACT	165	Wieczorek et al., 2012
	IVH	ATCACAAGGATAATGGACAAT		
cdtB	VAT2	GTTAAAATCCCCTGCTATCAACCA	495	Wieczorek et al., 2012
	WMI-R	GTTGGCACTTGGAATTTGCAAGGC		
cdtC	WMI-F	TGGATGATAGCAGGGGATTTTAAC	555	Wieczorek et al., 2012
	LPF-X	TTGCACATAACCAAAAGGAAG		
flaA	FLA242FU	CTATGGATGAGCAATT(AT)AAAAT	402	Meinersmann et al., 1997
	FLA625RU	CAAG(AT)CCTGTTCC(AT)ACTGAAG		
virB11	virB-232	TCTTGTGAGTTGCCTTACCCCTTTT	494	Datta et al., 2003
	virB-701	CCTGCGTGTCCTGTGTTATTTACCC		
wlaN	wlaN DL-39	TTAAGAGCAAGATATGAAGGTG	672	Kordinas et al., 2005
	wlaN DL-41	CCATTTGAATTGATATTTTTG		
iam	IAME	GCGCAAATATTATCACCC	518	Wieczorek et al., 2012
	IAMR	TTCACGACTACTACTATGCGG		

TABLE 1 Oligonucleotide primers used for the amplification of genus, species and virulence genes of C. jejuni isolates.

Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, United States). flaA-SVR sequences were compared with those previously published at GenBank using Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLAST) available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)<sup>1</sup>. To investigate the genetic relatedness of C. jejuni isolates, a phylogenetic tree was built based on *flaA*-SVR sequences using neighbour-joining method (Saitou and Nei, 1987) and the Kimura's two-parameter method (Kimura, 1980) as an estimator for the evolutionary distance among strains. The topology of the tree was evaluated by 1,000 iterations of a bootstrap analysis. This analysis was done using MEGA software (v. 5) (Tamura et al., 2011). A quantitative assessment of the similarity among the analysed sequences was done by running a Clustal W multiple alignment (Thompson et al., 1994) of all sequences. This enabled the generation of percent identity among pairs of *flaA*-SVR gene sequences without accounting for phylogenetic relationships. This analysis was done using the MegAlign software in the Lasergene (v. 7.1.0) package (DNASTAR, Madison, WI, United States).

To retrieve the allelic variants of *C. jejuni* strains, all nucleotide and peptide sequences of *flaA*-SVRs, using their accession numbers as queries, were used as inputs in *Campylobacter* pubMLST *flaA* Oxford database<sup>2</sup>; these were then compared against reference *C. jejuni* strains deposited in this database. The allelic variants of *flaA*-SVR nucleotides and peptides of *C. jejuni* strains were assigned accordingly.

### **Bioinformatics and Data Analyses**

PC-ORD Software (v. 5, Oregon, United States), the webbased tools MetaboAnalyst (Chong and Xia, 2018), heatmapper

<sup>1</sup>www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/

<sup>2</sup>http://pubmlst.org/campylobacter/

(Babicki et al., 2016) and SPSS software (v. 25, IBM, United States) were used for bioinformatics and statistical analyses of the data. To analyse the overall clustering pattern of C. jejuni strains, the frequency of each virulence gene, scored as binary data (present = 1, absent = 0), was used as input in a non-metric multidimensional scaling (nMDS) analysis based on the Euclidean distance. To visualise the association between the studied virulence genes and thus their contribution to strain clustering pattern, we performed a hierarchical clustering using the virulence genes scored as binary data. To determine the relationship between the strain sources, a hierarchical clustering, based on Euclidean distances, was generated using the frequency of the presence of each virulence gene in each source as inputs. The estimated Euclidean distances were used to assess the degree of convergence among strain sources. To visualise the association between the strain sources and the virulence genes, a 2-D correspondence analysis was done, which explained 96% of the variance in the data. A third dimension was not used as its contribution was very low (proportion of inertia = 0.4). To determine the degree of similarity of each virulence gene among strains isolated from various hosts, we applied a random forest non-parametric classification method as described previously (Babicki et al., 2016). The degree of similarity of each gene among C. jejuni strains was determined considering the following isolation sources: human, chicken meat, chicken cloacal swabs and pigeon; chicken (meat and cloacal swabs together), human and pigeon; chicken (meat and cloacal swabs together) and human; human and pigeon; human and birds (chicken and pigeon). In this approach, the frequency of each virulence gene was firstly used to build up random forest classification model (the model prediction is based on the majority vote of an ensemble of 500 tree trial; out of bag error, OOB error = 0.6) in the respective source comparison. The degree of similarity of each gene was then evaluated by measuring the increase of the OOB error when the respective gene is permuted. To quantify the discriminatory power of each gene, a classic univariate receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was created using inputs similar to that described for the random forest classification. This enabled estimating the area under the curve (AUC) for each gene, which ranges from 0 to 1 (0 = least discriminatory power; 1 = highest discriminatory power). It was only technically possible to run the ROC analysis on the virulence genes comparing human and bird isolates.

### Genotypic Diversity of C. jejuni Strains

Both Simpson's (D<sub>1</sub>) (Simpson, 1949) and Shannon's (H) (Shannon, 1948) diversity indices were used to assess the diversity of *C. jejuni* strains among various sources and within each source using the virulence genes profile as input data. Simpson's diversity index was calculated based on the equation: 1-D. In this equation,  $D = \sum \frac{n(n-1)}{N(N-1)} =$ , where  $\Sigma =$  summation, n = total number of bacterial strains showing a particular gene profile and N = total number of bacterial strains in the respective source (e.g., in human strains). Simpson's index has a range of 0–1, with 0 indicates identical bacterial strains and 1 indicates the highest diverse bacterial strains. Shannon's diversity index (H)

was calculated using the following equation:  $H = -\sum_{i=1}^{s} Pi \ln p_i$ ,

where pi is the proportion of bacterial strains expressing a particular gene profile divided by the total number of bacterial strains in the respective source, *In* is the natural log of the pi,  $\Sigma$  is the summation, and *s* is the number of species. The concordance of the two indices was determined by calculating the Pearson correlation (*r*). This analysis was done using the Past3 software (v. 3.23), Oslo (Hammer et al., 2001).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis of the frequencies of virulence genes within *C. jejuni* strains isolated from different hosts was assessed by Fisher's exact test considering *P*-value < 0.05 as a cut off level. Data was analysed using Stata statistical software version 12 (TX, United States).

## Nucleotide Sequence Accession Numbers

The *flaA*-SVR nucleotide sequences generated in this study have been deposited into the GenBank database with accession

numbers KX066127-KX066135, MG677923-MG677934 and MK281494-MK281513.

# RESULTS

# Prevalence of *C. jejuni* in Chickens, Pigeons and Humans

The overall prevalence of C. jejuni in avian samples (i.e., chicken and pigeon samples) was 11.11% (30/270). Fourteen out of 90 (15.56%) chicken samples were positive for C. jejuni; 10 from 65 chicken meat (15.38%) and 4 from 25 cloacal swabs (16%), while the isolation rate of C. jejuni in pigeon droplets was 8.89% (16/180). Moreover, 11 C. jejuni were isolated from 270 human stool samples (4.07%). Typical C. jejuni colonies were small, shiny, round, and grey on mCCDA agar medium. No haemolysis on Columbia blood agar and positive reactions for catalase, oxidase and hippurate and indoxyl acetate hydrolyses presumptively identified C. jejuni isolates. Besides, the analysed isolates were typically sensitive to nalidixic acid and resistant to cephalothin as measured by the inhibition zones around the relevant antibiotic discs. The genus and species identification of the isolates were further confirmed by PCR detection of 23S rRNA and hipO genes, respectively.

# Frequency of Virulence Genes in *C. jejuni* Isolates

As depicted in **Table 2**, the *flaA* gene was present in all analysed *C. jejuni* strains (n = 41). The *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* toxin genes were similarly detected in *C. jejuni* strains (80.49%, each), whereas the three CDT toxin genes were simultaneously found in 58.54% of the analysed strains. Only two subunits of the CDT toxin gene (e.g., *cdtBC*, *cdtAC*, and *cdtAB*) were detected in 3 (7.32%), 3 (7.32%) and 6 (14.63%) of the strains, respectively. Moreover, 3 *C. jejuni* strains (7.32%) harboured the *cdtC* gene only and 2 strains (4.88%) had no CDT toxin genes at all (**Supplementary Table S1**). Besides, *iam*, *wlaN* and *virB11* genes were found in 34.15, 12.20, and 9.76% of *C. jejuni* strains, respectively.

The presence of the *cdtB* gene in human and pigeon strains was significantly (P = 0.0001) higher (100%, each) than in chicken ones (42.86%). Additionally, *iam* gene was significantly

#### **TABLE 2** | Occurrence of virulence genes in C. jejuni strains under study.

Source	No. of <i>C. jejuni</i> strains	Frequency of virulence genes, no. (%)							
		cdtA	cdtB	cdtC	flaA	virB11	wlaN	iam	
Pigeon	16	14 (87.50)	16 (100.00)	14 (87.50)	16 (100.00)	1 (6.25)	0 (0.00)	8 (50.00)	
Chicken	14	9 (64.29)	6 (42.86)	10 (71.43)	14 (100.00)	2 (14.29)	3 (21.43)	6 (42.86)	
Human	11	10 (90.91)	11 (100.00)	9 (81.82)	11 (100.00)	1 (9.09)	2 (18.18)	0 (0.00)	
Total	41	33 (80.49)	33 (80.49)	33 (80.49)	41 (100.00)	4 (9.76)	5 (12.20)	14 (34.15)	
P-value*		0.173	0.000*	0.545	1.000	0.763	0.164	0.021*	

\*Statistical significance was calculated using Fisher's exact test (P-value < 0.05).



described in the "Materials and Methods" section.

(P = 0.021) more frequently detected in pigeon strains (50%) when compared to chicken (42.86%) and human ones (0%).

The random forest classification analysis showed that *cdtC* gene exhibited the highest similarity (the least mean decrease accuracy) when considering C. jejuni isolated from human and birds (chicken meat, chicken cloacal swabs and pigeon together) (Figure 1A). This gene was also the most similar when considering the following strain sources: (i) human, chicken meat, chicken cloacal swabs and pigeon, (ii) human and chicken (meat and cloacal swabs together), (iii) human and pigeon and (iv) human, chicken and pigeon (Supplementary Figure S1). On contrary, *iam* was the most dissimilar gene when considering C. jejuni strains from (i) human and birds (chicken meat, chicken cloacal swabs and pigeon together) and (ii) human and pigeon. The *cdtB* gene was the most dissimilar one when considering C. jejuni strains from (i) human, chicken meat, chicken cloacal swabs and pigeon, (ii) human, chicken (meat and cloacal swabs together) and pigeon and (iii) chicken (meat and cloacal swabs together) and humans. ROC-curve analysis (Figure 1B) on the human and bird strains revealed that the cdtC gene had lower AUC value (0.5) than that of the iam gene (0.7).

The results showed that none of the *C. jejuni* strains harboured all the seven virulence genes together. More than half of the strains (87.80%) possessed three or more virulence genes (**Table 3**). Irrespective of the strain source, *cdtABC*, *flaA* 

combination dominated the overall gene profiles, being encoded by 10 strains (24.39%), followed by *cdtABC*, *flaA*, *iam* pattern (7/41, 17.07%) (**Supplementary Table S1** and **Table 4**).

The strains from pigeon and human sources (n = 27) harbored at least 3–6 virulence genes. In contrast, 50% of the chicken strains were positive for up to 3 virulence genes (**Table 3**). As shown in **Supplementary Table S1** and **Table 5**, *cdtABC*, *flaA* dominated the gene profiles in human strains (5/11, 45.45%), was not detected at all in chicken strains and was the second frequent pattern in pigeon strains (5/16, 31.25%). While, *cdtABC*, *flaA*, *iam* pattern was the most frequent in pigeon (6/16, 37.50%), it was not detected in any of human strains and was found in only one chicken strain (1/14, 7.14%). The *cdtC*, *flaA* was the dominant pattern in chicken strains (3/14, 21.43%), but none of human or pigeon strains possessed this pattern.

## Clustering Pattern of the Virulence Genes and Their Association With *C. jejuni* Strains

Considering the 41 *C. jejuni* strains separately (**Figure 2B**) and when grouped by their sources (**Figure 3A**), the virulence genes formed two separate clusters. The first cluster contained *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* genes and the second one included *iam*, *virB11* and *wlaN* genes. The *flaA* gene was not included in this analysis as it was detected in 100% of the strains. By running a corresponding

No. of identified virulence genes	Human (n = 11)	Chicken* ( <i>n</i> = 14)	Pigeon ( <i>n</i> = 16)	Human and pigeon ( <i>n</i> = 27)	Human and chicken* ( <i>n</i> = 25)	Chicken <sup>*</sup> and pigeon (n = 30)	Human and chicken* and pigeon (n = 41)
1	0 (0.00)	2 (14.29)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	2 (8.00)	2 (6.67)	2 (4.88)
2	0 (0.00)	3 (21.43)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	3 (12.00)	3 (10.00)	3 (7.32)
3	3 (27.27)	2 (14.29)	3 (18.75)	6 (22.22)	5 (20.00)	5 (16.67)	8 (19.51)
4	5 (45.45)	2 (14.29)	6 (37.50)	11 (40.74)	7 (28.00)	8 (26.67)	13 (31.71)
5	3 (27.27)	2 (14.29)	6 (37.50)	9 (33.33)	5 (20.00)	8 (26.67)	11 (26.83)
6	0 (0.00)	3 (21.43)	1 (6.25)	1 (3.70)	3 (12.00)	4 (13.33)	4 (9.76)
7	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)
Simpson diversity	0.64	0.82	0.67	0.6722	0.80	0.82	0.77
Shannon diversity	1.06	1.77	1.223	1.18	1.71	1.7	1.60

Numbers of strains and percentages in parentheses indicate the proportion of those carrying the respective virulence genes. \*Chicken strains refer to those isolated from chicken meat + chicken cloacal swabs.

analysis (**Figure 3B**), it was evident that *cdtA*, *cdtB*, *cdtC*, and *flaA* genes were more associated with *C. jejuni* strains from humans, chicken meat and pigeons. The remaining genes (i.e., *iam*, *virB11* and *wlaN*) showed a scattered pattern, being more associated with the chicken swab strains.

# Genetic Diversity of *C. jejuni* Strains Based on Their Virulence Genes Profiles

The nMDS and the dendogram analyses (**Figures 2, 3A**) of *C. jejuni* strains (n = 41) showed a non-consistent clustering pattern and high diversity among the analysed strains. The human and bird strains overlapped largely. A similar overlap was observed when analysing the 41 strains grouped by their sources (**Figure 3A**). The dendogram analysis showed that the human strains were genetically closer to those from chickens (Euclidean distance = 0.19) than to those from pigeons (Euclidean distance = 0.26) (**Figure 3A**). As shown in **Table 3**, irrespective of the strain source, the overall Simpson's and Shannon's diversity indices of all strains were 0.77 and 1.60, respectively. The highest diversity was observed in *C. jejuni* strains isolated from chickens, followed by those from pigeons and humans. Overall, the results of both diversity indices were highly positively correlated in a significant manner (Pearson correlation, r = 0.9; P = 0.001).

# Genetic Diversity of *C. jejuni* Based on *flaA*-SVR Gene Sequences

Using the BLAST tool, it was found that our *flaA*-SVR sequences and the flanking regions had 92–99% similarity with those of the previously published *C. jejuni* strains.

The phylogenetic analysis (**Figure 4**) and the proximity matrix (**Supplementary Table S2**) revealed sequence heterogeneity among our *C. jejuni* strains. While pigeon strains were phylogenetically related, some of them were clustered close to (e.g., strain No. 13) or shared the same lineage with (e.g., strain No. 12) chicken or human strains. The proximity matrix (**Supplementary Table S2**) indicated that the bacterial population within chickens and pigeons had less similarity on average (average similarity, each = 86.9%) than those within humans (average similarity = 93.4%).

# Allelic Diversity of C. jejuni

We identified 17 and 12 allele variants at nucleotide and peptide levels, respectively (Table 4 and Supplementary Table S1) with 13 novel alleles at the nucleotide level (Bold numbers in Supplementary Table S1 and Table 4). Irrespective of the strain source, allele 177 was the most frequently identified nucleotide variant (13/41, 31.71%), followed by allele 288 (n = 4, 9.76%). The *flaA*-SVR nucleotide allele 177 was the dominant one in human (6/11, 54.55%) and chicken (6/14, 42.86%) strains, whereas alleles 718, 38 and 1118 were the most frequent in pigeon strains (2/16, 12.5%, each). Certain allele variants were not identified in human and chicken strains, yet were detected in pigeon strains (Supplementary Table S1). At the peptide level, allele 74 was the most frequently identified variant (13/41, 31.71%), followed by allele 92 (7/41, 17.07%) and allele 239 (4/41, 9.76%). The flaA-SVR peptide allele 74 was the most prevalent in human (6/11, 54.55%) and chicken (6/14, 42.86%) strains, whereas allele 239 predominated in pigeon strains (4/16, 25%). The nMDS plots that was built based on all identified alleles (Supplementary Figures S2A,B) indicated that C. jejuni strains isolated from humans and birds were highly diverse and overlapped largely. Considering all identified alleles (at both the nucleotide and peptide levels), human strains were clustered with chicken strains and were positioned apart from pigeon ones (Supplementary Figures S2C,D).

### DISCUSSION

*Campylobacter jejuni* can colonise and infect domestic poultry and pose a risk for humans. In the current study, we analysed the genetic heterogeneity of Egyptian *C. jejuni* isolates recovered from avian and human sources. Characterisation of *C. jejuni* isolates from different sources is vital for a better understanding of the impact of multiple sources on the disease burden. The current study revealed low overall prevalence rates of *C. jejuni* in chicken and human samples (15.56 and 4.07%, respectively). Similar prevalence rates have been reported in a recent study conducted in Egypt (18.12 and 4.1%, respectively) (Ahmed et al., 2015). Moreover, the carriage rate of *C. jejuni* 

### TABLE 4 | Virulence gene patterns, allelic variants and mutations in flaA-SVR of C. jejuni isolated from avian and human sources.

Isolate code no.	Source	Virulence genes pattern	<i>flaA</i> -SVR nucleotide allele (No. of variations)	<i>flaA</i> -SVR peptide allele (No. of variations)	**Translation variation reference $\rightarrow$ clone	Accession no.
1	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, virB11, iam	<b>781</b> (30)	191 (16)		KX066127.1
2	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	<b>731</b> (32)	191 (16)		KX066128.1
3	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	940*	58 (10)	$ \begin{array}{l} {}^{49}\mathrm{I} \rightarrow {}^{26}\mathrm{T}, {}^{77}\mathrm{L} \rightarrow {}^{54}\mathrm{V}, {}^{84}\mathrm{G} \rightarrow {}^{61}\mathrm{A}, \\ {}^{86}\mathrm{I} \rightarrow {}^{63}\mathrm{S}, {}^{89}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow {}^{66}\mathrm{R}, {}^{93}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{70}\mathrm{A}, \\ {}^{94}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{71}\mathrm{V}, {}^{96}\mathrm{L} \rightarrow {}^{73}\mathrm{V}, {}^{99}\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow {}^{76}\mathrm{F}, \\ {}^{101}\mathrm{G} \rightarrow {}^{78}\mathrm{A} \end{array} $	KX066129.1
4	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	38 (17)	5 (7)		KX066130.1
5	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	<b>1118</b> (18)	239 (13)		KX066131.1
6	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	<b>1275</b> (19)	209 (13)	$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{57}\text{A} \rightarrow {}^{35}\text{S},  {}^{62}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{40}\text{I},  {}^{64}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{42}\text{I}, \\ {}^{68}\text{G} \rightarrow {}^{46}\text{A},  {}^{70}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{48}\text{S},  {}^{71}\text{Q} \rightarrow \\ {}^{49}\text{H},  {}^{72}\text{S} \rightarrow {}^{50}\text{T},  {}^{75}\text{I} \rightarrow {}^{53}\text{M},  {}^{94}\text{L} \rightarrow \\ {}^{72}\text{V},  {}^{96}\text{L} \rightarrow {}^{74}\text{F},  {}^{99}\text{Y} \rightarrow {}^{77}\text{D},  {}^{102}\text{I} \rightarrow \\ {}^{80}\text{K},  {}^{104}\text{D} \rightarrow {}^{82}\text{V} \end{array} $	KX066132.1
7	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA	<b>186</b> (25)	356 (17)		KX066134.1
8	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA	9 (26)	60 (15)		KX066135.1
9	Pigeon droplets	cdtAB, flaA	<b>781</b> (31)	191 (14)		MK281505
10	Pigeon droplets	cdtAB, flaA, iam	<b>1118</b> (17)	239 (13)		MK281506
11	Pigeon droplets	cdtBC, flaA	<b>938</b> (19)	209 (12)		MK281507
12	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA	177 (10)	74 (5)		MK281508

(Continued)

### TABLE 4 | Continued

Isolate code no.	Source	Virulence genes pattern	<i>flaA-</i> SVR nucleotide allele (No. of variations)	<i>flaA</i> -SVR peptide allele (No. of variations)	**Translation variation reference $\rightarrow$ clone	Accession no.
13	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA, iam	<b>1486</b> (11)	92 (8)		MK281509
14	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA	<b>756</b> (26)	58 (9)	$ \begin{array}{l} {}^{49}\mathrm{I} \rightarrow {}^{92}\mathrm{GT}, {}^{74}\mathrm{K} \rightarrow {}^{51}\mathrm{N}, {}^{86}\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \\ {}^{63}\mathrm{S}, {}^{89}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow {}^{66}\mathrm{R}, {}^{94}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{71}\mathrm{V}, {}^{96}\mathrm{L} \\ \rightarrow {}^{73}\mathrm{V}, {}^{99}\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow {}^{76}\mathrm{F}, {}^{101}\mathrm{G} \rightarrow {}^{78}\mathrm{A}, \\ {}^{106}\mathrm{K} \rightarrow {}^{83}\mathrm{P} \end{array} $	MK281510
15	Pigeon droplets	cdtBC, flaA	38 (18)	239 (5)	$      ^{49}I \rightarrow {}^{27}T, {}^{74}K \rightarrow {}^{52}N, {}^{89}S \rightarrow $	MK281511
16	Pigeon droplets	cdtABC, flaA	1183*	239 (15)		MK281512
17	Chicken meat	cdtABC, flaA, wlaN, iam	177 (8)	74 (5)	$^{32}\text{I} \rightarrow ^{38}\text{T},^{36}\text{T} \rightarrow ^{42}\text{A},^{58}\text{S} \rightarrow ^{64}\text{G},^{71}\text{Q} \rightarrow ^{77}\text{L},^{102}\text{I} \rightarrow ^{108}\text{L}$	MG677923.1
18	Chicken meat	cdtABC, flaA, virB11, iam	177 (9)	74 (5)	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{c} {}^{10}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{10}\mathrm{E},  {}^{23}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{23}\mathrm{Y},  {}^{39}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow \\ {}^{39}\mathrm{D},  {}^{76}\mathrm{G} \rightarrow {}^{76}\mathrm{D},  {}^{89}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow {}^{89}\mathrm{L} \end{array}$	MG677924.1
19	Chicken meat	cdtABC, flaA, iam	<b>1486</b> (11)	92 (7)		MG677925.1
20	Chicken meat	cdtAB, flaA, iam	177 (12)	74 (8)		MG677926.1
21	Chicken cl. swab	cdtAB, flaA	526*	211 (13)		KX066133.1
22	Chicken cl. swab	cdtABC, flaA, virB11, wlaN	177 (9)	74 (6)		MK281494
23	Chicken cl. swab	cdtAC, flaA, wlaN, iam	177 (12)	74 (8)		MK281513
24	Chicken cl. swab	flaA	288*	92 (9)	$ \begin{array}{l} {}^{10}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{8}\mathrm{E}, {}^{23}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{21}\mathrm{Y}, {}^{26}\mathrm{M} \rightarrow \\ {}^{24}\mathrm{I}, {}^{39}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{37}\mathrm{D}, {}^{63}\mathrm{V} \rightarrow {}^{61}\mathrm{S}, {}^{76}\mathrm{G} \\ \rightarrow {}^{74}\mathrm{D}, {}^{80}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{78}\mathrm{I}, {}^{87}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{85}\mathrm{L}, \\ {}^{89}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow {}^{87}\mathrm{L} \end{array} $	MK281495
25	Chicken meat	cdtC, flaA	<b>1486</b> (12)	92 (7)		MK281496
26	Chicken meat	flaA	177 (5)	74 (2)	$^{39}N \rightarrow {}^{15}D, {}^{80}F \rightarrow {}^{56}I$	MK281497
27	Chicken meat	cdtC, flaA	<b>288</b> (8)	92 (4)	$^{26}M \rightarrow ^{21}I, ^{63}V \rightarrow ^{58}M, ^{87}F \rightarrow ^{82}L, ^{104}D \rightarrow ^{99}E$	MK281498
28	Chicken meat	cdtAC, flaA, iam	9 (27)	211 (15)	$ \begin{array}{c} {}^{33}\text{A} \rightarrow {}^{8}\text{T}, {}^{62}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{37}\text{I}, {}^{67}\text{I} \rightarrow {}^{42}\text{L}, \\ {}^{72}\text{S} \rightarrow {}^{47}\text{T}, {}^{74}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{49}\text{I}, {}^{80}\text{F} \rightarrow \\ {}^{55}\text{V}, {}^{82}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{57}\text{R}, {}^{85}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{60}\text{S}, {}^{86}\text{I} \\ \rightarrow {}^{61}\text{V}, {}^{94}\text{F} \rightarrow {}^{69}\text{A}, {}^{95}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{70}\text{A}, \\ {}^{96}\text{L} \rightarrow {}^{71}\text{H}, {}^{99}\text{Y} \rightarrow {}^{74}\text{A}, {}^{102}\text{I} \rightarrow \\ {}^{77}\text{V}, {}^{103}\text{D} \rightarrow {}^{78}\text{G} \end{array} $	MK281499
29	Chicken meat	cdtC, flaA	<b>526</b> (23)	211 (13)		MK281500

(Continued)

### TABLE 4 | Continued

Isolate code no.	Source	Virulence genes pattern	<i>flaA-</i> SVR nucleotide allele (No. of variations)	<i>flaA</i> -SVR peptide allele (No. of variations)	**Translation variation reference $\rightarrow$ clone	Accession no.
30	Chicken meat	cdtAC, flaA	526*	60 (14)		MK281501
31	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA, virB11	177 (8)	74 (5)		MG677927.1
32	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA	239 (10)	9 (8)	$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} {}^{1}\mathrm{K} \rightarrow {}^{7}\mathrm{R}, {}^{12}\mathrm{L} \rightarrow {}^{18}\mathrm{S}, {}^{27}\mathrm{E} \rightarrow {}^{33}\mathrm{D}, \\ {}^{36}\mathrm{T} \rightarrow {}^{42}\mathrm{S}, {}^{42}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{48}\mathrm{H}, {}^{73}\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \\ {}^{79}\mathrm{A}, {}^{92}\mathrm{V} \rightarrow {}^{98}\mathrm{D}, {}^{98}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{104}\mathrm{S} \end{array}$	MG677928.1
33	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA, wlaN	<b>288</b> (11)	92 (7)	$\label{eq:barrier} \begin{array}{l} {}^{8}\mathrm{D} \rightarrow {}^{14}\mathrm{A}, {}^{26}\mathrm{M} \rightarrow {}^{32}\mathrm{I}, {}^{29}\mathrm{L} \rightarrow {}^{35}\mathrm{V}, \\ {}^{63}\mathrm{V} \rightarrow {}^{69}\mathrm{M}, {}^{71}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{77}\mathrm{L}, {}^{87}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow \\ {}^{93}\mathrm{L}, {}^{104}\mathrm{D} \rightarrow {}^{110}\mathrm{E} \end{array}$	MG677929.1
34	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA	177 (15)	74 (7)		MG677930.1
35	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA, wlaN	<b>1064</b> (10)	267 (10)	$  \label{eq:approx_state} \begin{array}{l} {}^{4}\mathrm{Q} \rightarrow {}^{10}\mathrm{P}, {}^{17}\mathrm{M} \rightarrow {}^{23}\mathrm{L}, {}^{31}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{37}\mathrm{T}, \\ {}^{38}\mathrm{F} \rightarrow {}^{44}\mathrm{I}, {}^{50}\mathrm{N} \rightarrow {}^{56}\mathrm{Y}, {}^{66}\mathrm{T} \rightarrow {}^{72}\mathrm{I}, \\ {}^{79}\mathrm{R} \rightarrow {}^{85}\mathrm{I}, {}^{91}\mathrm{V} \rightarrow {}^{97}\mathrm{A}, {}^{102}\mathrm{I} \rightarrow \\ {}^{108}\mathrm{L}, {}^{107}\mathrm{Y} \rightarrow {}^{113}\mathrm{L} \end{array} $	MG677931.1
36	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA	239 (9)	9 (8)	${}^{8}\text{D} \rightarrow {}^{14}\text{E}, {}^{10}\text{Q} \rightarrow {}^{16}\text{H}, {}^{19}\text{Q} \rightarrow {}^{25}\text{K}, {}^{39}\text{N} \rightarrow {}^{45}\text{Y}, {}^{46}\text{G} \rightarrow {}^{52}\text{R}, {}^{62}\text{T} \rightarrow {}^{68}\text{P}, {}^{74}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{80}\text{Q}, {}^{106}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{112}\text{Q}$	MG677932.1
37	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA	177 (14)	74 (9)	$\label{eq:transform} \begin{array}{l} {}^{3}T \rightarrow {}^{3}I, {}^{19}Q \rightarrow {}^{19}E, {}^{34}N \rightarrow {}^{34}Y, \\ {}^{52}E \rightarrow {}^{52}D, {}^{70}T \rightarrow {}^{70}S, {}^{77}V \rightarrow \\ {}^{77}A, {}^{96}I \rightarrow {}^{96}V, {}^{101}G \rightarrow {}^{101}S, {}^{106}K \\ \rightarrow {}^{106}E \end{array}$	MG677933.1
38	Human stool	cdtABC, flaA	<b>288</b> (7)	92 (5)	${}^{9}\text{G} \rightarrow {}^{2}\text{V}, {}^{34}\text{N} \rightarrow {}^{27}\text{S}, {}^{58}\text{S} \rightarrow {}^{51}\text{T},$ ${}^{63}\text{V} \rightarrow {}^{56}\text{M}, {}^{106}\text{K} \rightarrow {}^{99}\text{R}$	MG677934.1
39	Human stool	cdtAB, flaA	177 (8)	74 (5)		MK281502
40	Human stool	cdtBC, flaA	177 (8)	74 (4)		MK281503
41	Human stool	cdtAB, flaA	177 (13)	74 (8)		MK281504

SVR, short variable region; cl. swab, cloacal swabs; A, alanine; R, arginine; N, asparagine; D, aspartic acid; C, cysteine; E, glutamic acid; Q, glutamine; G, glycine; H, histidine; I, isoleucine; L, leucine; K, lysine; M, methionine; F, phenylalanine; P, proline; S, serine; T, threonine; Y, tyrosine; V, valine. \* Nucleotide alleles with asterisks have frameshift rather than point mutations. Novel allelic variants identified in this study are shown in bold. \*\*The information to the left of the arrow refer to the identity and the position on the reference strains (deposited on http://pubmlst.org/campylobacter/) and the information to the right of the arrow show the corresponding identity and position on the query strains.

in pigeon droplets (8.89%) was found to be much lower than that in chickens. A previous Canadian study reported also a low infection rate of *C. jejuni* in pigeons (9.1%) (Gabriele-Rivet et al., 2016).

The observation that the *flaA* gene was encoded by all analysed strains suggests the importance of this gene as a virulence marker in our Egyptian *C. jejuni* strains and possibly other *C. jejuni*. Our results corporate previous reports (e.g., Datta et al., 2003; Talukder et al., 2008; Rizal et al., 2010; Wieczorek et al., 2012; Shyaka et al., 2015), which also identified *flaA* gene in 100% of *C. jejuni* strains. However, a lower prevalence of *flaA* gene (75.5%) was reported in *C. jejuni* isolated from chicken meat in Brazil (Melo et al., 2013). This difference may be attributed to the isolate source, sample type and geographical location (Corcoran et al., 2006).

The *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* were detected in the analysed strains by the same percentage (80.49%), and more than half (58.54%) of the strains possessed the three CDT toxin genes together. Previous studies (Datta et al., 2003; Rozynek et al., 2005) reported that the prevalence of each of *cdtA*, *cdtB*, or *cdtC* genes in *C. jejuni* isolated from poultry and humans exceeded 80%. The high frequency of the co-existence of these subunits and their close genotypic association (**Figures 2B**, **3A**) was previously reported in Poland (70.8%) (Wieczorek and Osek, 2011) indicating that they are highly likely to co-express during an infection event. This is supported by the knowledge that these three subunits are required for full CDT toxin activity (Martinez et al., 2006).

The random forest classification and ROC analyses applied herein showed that the *cdtC* gene exhibited the highest similarity and thus the least discriminatory power (low AUC values) among

TABLE 5 | Distribution of virulence associated genes and identified allele numbers (at both nucleotide and peptide levels) among the entire collection of C. jejuni strains.

Isolate source	Virulence gene pattern*	flaA-SVR types (alleles)		
		Nucleotide	Peptide	
Human (n = 11)	cdtABC, flaA (5); cdtAB, flaA (2); cdtABC, flaA, wlaN (2); cdtBC, flaA (1); cdtABC, flaA, virB11 (1)	177 (6); 288 (2); 239 (2); 1064 (1)	74 (6); 92 (2); 9 (2); 267 (1)	
Chicken (n = 14)	cdtC, flaA (3); flaA (2); cdtABC, flaA, iam (1); cdtABC, flaA, virB11, iam (1); cdtAB, flaA (1); cdtAB, flaA, iam (1); cdtABC, flaA, wlaN, iam (1); cdtABC, flaA, virB11, wlaN (1); cdtAC, flaA, wlaN, iam (1); cdtAC, flaA, iam (1); cdtAC, flaA (1)	177 (6); 526 (3); 288 (2); 1486 (2); 9 (1)	74 (6); 92 (4); 211 (3); 60 (1)	
Pigeon ( <i>n</i> = 16)	cdtABC, flaA, iam (6); cdtABC, flaA (5); cdtBC, flaA (2); cdtABC, flaA, virB11, iam (1); cdtAB, flaA (1); cdtAB, flaA, iam (1)	781 (2); 38 (2); 1118 (2); 731 (1); 940 (1); 1275 (1); 186 (1); 9 (1); 938 (1); 177 (1); 1486 (1); 756 (1); 1183 (1)	239 (4); 191 (3); 58 (2); 209 (2); 5 (1); 356 (1); 60 (1); 74 (1); 92 (1)	

SVR, short variable region. \*Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of strains containing the respective virulence genes combination.



*C. jejuni* strains from all examined sources, whereas *iam* and *cdtB* were the highest dissimilar genes among strains from different sources and that *iam* gene has relatively high discriminatory power (high AUC value) than *cdtC* gene. It is plausible to assume that the genes (e.g., *cdtC*), which have similar frequency and low discriminatory power among strains isolated from different

hosts could infer about the potential sources of infection if their expression potential was tracked back after an outbreak. However, the genes (e.g., *iam* and *cdtB*), which tend to have dissimilar frequencies and have high discriminatory power could differentiate among infection sources. While this result was based on a small data set, it suggests the potential importance of certain



genes in tracking and differentiating the sources of *C. jejuni* infection in human in small outbreaks. An additional wide scale profiling that includes more virulence genes in a large number of strains is needed to generalise these data.

In the current study, we analysed both virulence gene patterns and *flaA*-SVR sequences and alleles to better understand the extent of genetic diversity of *C. jejuni* strains among and within each host. Irrespective of the strain sources, we found a clear overlap among human and bird strains. In support of this, the overall Simpson's and Shannon's diversity indices were relatively high (**Table 3**). There was also a random distribution of the virulence gene patterns across the strains. Similar variabilities in the prevalence of virulence genes among *C. jejuni* have been reported previously (Abu-Madi et al., 2016). Taken together, these data reflect the high genetic diversity of our Egyptian *C. jejuni* strains.

Sequence-based *flaA*-SVR typing has been reported as a reliable genotyping method yielding reproducible results (Acke et al., 2010; El-Adawy et al., 2013). In the current study, the distribution of *flaA*-SVR alleles highlighted the diversity of the studied Egyptian *C. jejuni* strains when compared to those described previously in the PubMLST database. The variability in *flaA*-SVR gene sequences and alleles (**Supplementary Figure S2**) supported the impression from the virulence gene heterogeneity and further indicated the genotypic diversity of *C. jejuni*. The observed variation in the alleles/peptides might be due to the occurrence of distinct mutations (e.g., transition, transversion, additions or deletions). These genetic alterations could afford a survival advantage of the bacteria, which in turn might lead to evasion of the host immune response (Jerome et al., 2011). A similar heterogeneity was also obtained by Corcoran et al.

who studied 41 *C. jejuni* strains from humans and poultry (Corcoran et al., 2006). Moreover, Ramees et al. (2015) reported a diversity of 65% among 32 analysed *C. jejuni* strains irrespective of their sources.

The current analysis showed that C. jejuni strains isolated from the same host did not reveal a similar frequency or distribution of both virulence genes and the *flaA* allelic variants (Supplementary Table S1), suggesting that C. jejuni strains studied here are not host-specific. Similar diversity was also shown previously (Corcoran et al., 2006) after analysing flaA gene of C. jejuni isolated from humans and poultry. Moreover, Vidal et al. (2016) reported a high genotypic diversity of C. jejuni isolated from a chicken broiler flock. The particular distribution of certain strains in certain niche could be attributed to differential response to environmental factors and/or management practices. This, in turn, will modulate the bacterial phenotypic properties (e.g., infectivity, survival and pathogenicity) and ultimately the colonisation in the host (Ahmed et al., 2002; Vidal et al., 2016). Taken together, these results indicate the existence of C. jejuni as quasi-species colonising the same host, which demonstrates the low host adaptability and the weak clonality of these C. jejuni strains as was suggested previously (Corcoran et al., 2006).

As evidenced by the measurement of diversity indices, virulence gene patterns and the average similarity of *flaA*-SVR sequences, chicken strains were found to be highly diverse relative to those from human or pigeon. The rationale behind the high diversity of *C. jejuni* in this particular host is not yet clear. However, the uniqueness of the chicken as a host for such bacteria (Awad et al., 2018) and the high load of *C. jejuni* ( $10^6 - 10^8$  colony forming units/g) (Beery et al., 1988) in chicken gut might



account for this heterogeneity, which usually stems from frequent recombination and plasmid transfer among strains in the same niche. These data demonstrate the importance of chickens in the epidemiology and infection dynamics of *C. jejuni* in Egypt.

Applying a hierarchical clustering on the frequency of the presence of each virulence gene (Figure 3A) and allelic/peptide variants (Supplementary Figures S2C,D) in *C. jejuni* strains revealed that human strains were genotypically clustered close to chicken strains rather than to pigeon ones. While this needs to be interpreted using large studies, it suggests the importance of chicken as a source of human infection with *C. jejuni* as was stated previously (Oh et al., 2017).

A shortcoming of this study is due to the limited funding resources. Therefore, we described the diversity of *C. jejuni* depending on sequence-based typing of *flaA*-SVR gene, which is less costly and thus fits more the situation in developing countries, notably Egypt. While this approach is convenient for small-scale investigations, using advanced techniques such as pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) and MLST would have added more sensitivity and accuracy to the results and would allow comparing different typing methods at once. Future studies are warranted in this direction. In conclusion, this is the first report in Egypt that describes the extent of genetic diversity

of *C. jejuni* isolated from chickens, pigeons and humans. It suggests the possible role of poultry, particularly chickens, in the transmission of *C. jejuni* to humans. It is recommended to consider these observations in the future epidemiological evaluation and risk assessment of *C. jejuni* not only in poultry, but also in humans.

# DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study can be found in the GenBank database with accession numbers KX066127–KX066135, MG677923–MG677934, and MK281494–MK281513.

# ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was approved by the committee of Animal Welfare and Research Ethics of Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University. The study involving human participants was reviewed and approved by the research ethical committee of Faculty of Medicine, Zagazig University. The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

MA, NA, and EA designed the study. MA and NA carried out the molecular analyses and participated in the data analysis. MS performed the bioinformatics and statistical analyses of the data. EE, MB, and RM conceived the study and participated in the design. MA, NA, and MS wrote the initial draft of the manuscript. All authors approved the final manuscript.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors express their deep gratitude to Dr. Ahmed Hefny (Veterinary Hospital, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Zagazig University, Egypt) for his assistance during the collection of chicken samples and isolation of the bacteria. The authors are thankful to Dr. Hazem Ramadan (Hygiene and Zoonosis Department, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Mansoura University, Egypt) for his critical reading of the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Abd El-Tawab, A. A., Ammar, A. M., Ahmed, H. A., Ei Hofy, F. I., and Hefny, A. A. (2018). Fluoroquinolone resistance and gyrA mutations in *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolated from chicken in Egypt. J. Glob. Antimicrob. Resist. 13, 22–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2018. 02.019
- Abu-Madi, M., Behnke, J. M., Sharma, A., Bearden, R., and Al-Banna, N. (2016). Prevalence of virulence/stress genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* from chicken meat sold in Qatari retail outlets. *PLoS One* 11:e0156938. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0156938
- Abuoun, M., Manning, G., Cawthraw, S. A., Ridley, A., Ahmed, I. H., Wassenaar, T. M., et al. (2005). Cytolethal distending toxin (CDT)-negative *Campylobacter jejuni* strains and anti-CDT neutralizing antibodies are induced during human infection but not during colonization in chickens. *Infect. Immun.* 73, 3053–3062. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.5.3053-3062.2005
- Acke, E., McGill, K., Lawlor, A., Jones, B. R., Fanning, S., and Whyte, P. (2010). Genetic diversity among *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from pets in Ireland. *Vet. Rec.* 166, 102–106. doi: 10.1136/vr.c357
- Ahmed, H. A., El Hofy, F. I., Ammar, A. M., Abd El Tawab, A. A., and Hefny, A. A. (2015). ERIC-PCR genotyping of some *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates of chicken and human origin in Egypt. *Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis.* 15, 713–717. doi: 10.1089/vbz.2015.1836
- Ahmed, I. H., Manning, G., Wassenaar, T. M., Cawthraw, S., and Newell, D. G. (2002). Identification of genetic differences between two *Campylobacter jejuni* strains with different colonization potentials. *Microbiol.* 148, 1203–1212. doi: 10.1099/00221287-148-4-1203
- Awad, W. A., Hess, C., and Hess, M. (2018). Re-thinking the chicken-Campylobacter jejuni interaction: a review. Avian. Pathol. 47, 352–363. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2018.1475724
- Babicki, S., Arndt, D., Marcu, A., Liang, Y., Grant, J. R., Maciejewski, A., et al. (2016). Heatmapper: web-enabled heat mapping for all. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 44, W147–W153. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkw419
- Beery, J. T., Hugdahl, M. B., and Doyle, M. P. (1988). Colonization of gastrointestinal tracts of chicks by *Campylobacter jejuni*. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 54, 2365–2370.
- Chong, J., and Xia, J. (2018). MetaboAnalystR: an R package for flexible and reproducible analysis of metabolomics data. *Bioinformatics* 34, 4313–4314. doi: 10.1093/bioinformatics/bty528
- Coote, J. G., Stewart-Tull, D. E., Owen, R. J., Bolton, F. J., Siemer, B. L., Candlish, D., et al. (2007). Comparison of virulence-associated *in vitro* properties of typed

## SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb. 2019.02353/full#supplementary-material

**FIGURE S1** | Degree of similarity of analysed virulence genes across the sources of *C. jejuni* strains. The figures show random forest classification with the predictive accuracy of each of the studied genes plotted on the *X*-axis against the respective gene name on the *Y*-axis. The mini heat maps show the frequency distribution of each gene in the respective strain sources.

**FIGURE S2** | Clustering patterns and diversity of *C. jejuni* strains based on frequency of *flaA*-SVR alleles. **(A,B)** Show a non-metric multidimensional scaling of the 41 analysed strains based on the presence and absence of all alleles of the *flaA*-SVR nucleotides **(A)** and proteins **(B)**. **(C,D)** Show clustering pattern of *C. jejuni* strain sources (based on Euclidean distance) using the frequency of alleles at the nucleotide **(C)** and protein **(D)** levels as input data.

**TABLE S1** | Frequency of virulence gene combinations, and nucleotide and peptide alleles among 41*C. jejuni* strains from different sources.

**TABLE S2 |** Distance matrix showing the percentage of similarity between each pair of the strains based on the alignment of *flaA*-SVR sequences. HU: humans, PI: pigeon, CK: chicken. \*\*\* indicates 100% similarity.

strains of *Campylobacter jejuni* from different sources. J. Med. Microbiol. 56, 722–732. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.47130-0

- Corcoran, D., Quinn, T., Cotter, L., Whyte, P., and Fanning, S. (2006). Antimicrobial resistance profiling and *fla*-typing of Irish thermophillic *Campylobacter* spp. of human and poultry origin. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 43, 560–565. doi: 10.1111/j.1472-765X.2006.01987.x
- Datta, S., Niwa, H., and Itoh, K. (2003). Prevalence of 11 pathogenic genes of *Campylobacter jejuni* by PCR in strains isolated from humans, poultry meat and broiler and bovine faeces. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 52, 345–348. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0. 05056-0
- El-Adawy, H., Hotzel, H., Tomaso, H., Neubauer, H., Taboada, E. N., Ehricht, R., et al. (2013). Detection of genetic diversity in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from a commercial turkey flock using *flaA* typing, MLST analysis and microarray assay. *PLoS One* 8:e51582. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0051582
- European Food Safety Authority. (2014). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2012. *EFSA J.* 12, 99–107. doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3547
- Frazão, M. R., Medeiros, M. I., Duque, S. D., and Falcão, J. P. (2017). Pathogenic potential and genotypic diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni*: a neglected foodborne pathogen in Brazil. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 66, 350–359. doi: 10.1099/jmm. 0.000424
- Gabriele-Rivet, V., Fairbrother, J. H., Tremblay, D., Harel, J., Côté, N., and Arsenault, J. (2016). Prevalence and risk factors for *Campylobacter* spp., *Salmonella* spp., *Coxiella burnetii*, and Newcastle disease virus in feral pigeons (Columba livia) in public areas of Montreal, Canada. *Can. J. Vet. Res.* 80, 81–85.
- Hammer, Ø, Harper, D. A. T., and Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: paleontological statistics software package for education and data analysis. *Palaeontol. Electronica* 4, 4–9.
- Harrington, C. S., Thomson-Carter, F. M., and Carter, P. E. (1997). Evidence for recombination in the flagellin locus of *Campylobacter jejuni*: implications for the flagellin gene typing scheme. J. Clin. Microbiol. 35, 2386–2392.
- Hermans, D., Van Deun, K., Martel, A., Van Immerseel, F., Messens, W., Heyndrickx, M., et al. (2011). Colonization factors of *Campylobacter jejuni* in the chicken gut. *Vet. Res.* 42:82. doi: 10.1186/1297-9716-42-82
- Humphrey, T., O'Brien, S., and Madsen, M. (2007). Campylobacters as zoonotic pathogens: a food production perspective. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 117, 237–257. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.01.006
- Jerome, J. P., Bell, J. A., Plovanich-Jones, A. E., Barrick, J. E., Brown, C. T., and Mansfield, L. S. (2011). Standing genetic variation in contingency loci drives the rapid adaptation of *Campylobacter jejuni* to a novel host. *PLoS One* 6:e16399. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0016399

- Kimura, M. (1980). A simple method for estimating evolutionary rates of base substitutions through comparative studies of nucleotide sequences. J. Mol. Evol. 16, 111–120. doi: 10.1007/bf01731581
- Kordinas, V., Nicolaou, C., Ioannidis, A., Papavasileiou, E., John Legakis, N., and Chatzipanagiotou, S. (2005). Prevalence of four virulence genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* determined by PCR and sequence analysis. *Mol. Diagn.* 9, 211–215. doi: 10.1007/bf03260094
- Kovacic, A., Listes, I., Vucica, C., Kozacinski, L., Tripkovic, I., and Sisko-Kraljevic, K. (2013). Distribution and genotypic characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry in Split and Dalmatia County. *Croatia. Zoonoses Public Health* 60, 269–276. doi: 10.1111/j.1863-2378.2012.01519.x
- Maansi, N. R., Kumar, D., and Upadhyay, A. K. (2018). Virulence typing and antibiotic susceptibility profiling of thermophilic campylobacters isolated from poultry, animal, and human species. *Vet. World* 11, 1698–1705. doi: 10.14202/ vetworld.2018.1698-1705
- Martinez, I., Mateo, E., Churruca, E., Girbau, C., Alonso, R., and Fernandez-Astorga, A. (2006). Detection of *cdtA*, *cdtB*, and *cdtC* genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* by multiplex PCR. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* 296, 45–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm. 2005.08.003
- McCarthy, N. D., Colles, F. M., Dingle, K. E., Bagnall, M. C., Manning, G., Maiden, M. C., et al. (2007). Host-associated genetic import in *Campylobacter jejuni*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* 13, 267–272. doi: 10.3201/eid1302.060620
- Meinersmann, R. J., Helsel, L. O., Fields, P. I., and Hiett, K. L. (1997). Discrimination of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates by *fla* gene sequencing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 35, 2810–2814.
- Meinersmann, R. J., Phillips, R. W., Hiett, K. L., and Fedorka-Cray, P. (2005). Differentiation of *Campylobacter* populations as demonstrated by flagellin short variable region sequences. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 71, 6368–6374. doi: 10.1128/AEM.71.10.6368-6374.2005
- Melo, R. T., Nalevaiko, P. C., Mendonça, E. P., Borges, L. W., Fonseca, B. B., Beletti, M. E., et al. (2013). *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from chicken meat harbor several virulence factors and represent a potential risk to humans. *Food Control* 33, 227–231. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2013.02.032
- Oh, J. Y., Kwon, Y. K., Wei, B., Jang, H. K., Lim, S. K., Kim, C. H., et al. (2017). Epidemiological relationships of *Campylobacter jejuni* strains isolated from humans and chickens in South Korea. J. Microbiol. 55, 13–20. doi: 10.1007/ s12275-017-6308-8
- Quinn, P. J., Carter, M. E., Markey, B. K., and Carter, G. R. (eds) (1994). "*Campylobacter* species," in *Clinical Veterinary Microbiology*, (London: Mosby-Year Book Europe Limited), 268–272.
- Rahimi, E., and Ameri, M. (2011). Antimicrobial resistance patterns of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from raw chicken, turkey, quail, partridge, and ostrich meat in Iran. *Food Control* 22, 1165–1170. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2011. 01.010
- Ramees, T. P., Suman Kumar, M., Dubal, Z. B., Sivakumar, A. M., Gupta, S., Dhama, K., et al. (2015). Genotyping of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* from different sources and human by ERIC-PCR. J. Vet. Public Health 13, 93–98.
- Ramonaite, S., Tamuleviciene, E., Alter, T., Kasnauskyte, N., and Malakauskas, M. (2017). MLST genotypes of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from broiler products, dairy cattle and human campylobacteriosis cases in Lithuania. *BMC Infect. Dis.* 17:430. doi: 10.1186/s12879-017-2535-1
- Rizal, A., Kumar, A., and Vidyarthi, A. S. (2010). Prevalence of pathogenic genes in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from poultry and human. *Internet J. Food Saf.* 12, 29–34.
- Rozynek, E., Dzierzanowska-Fangrat, K., Jozwiak, P., Popowski, J., Korsak, D., and Dzierzanowska, D. (2005). Prevalence of potential virulence markers in Polish *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates obtained from hospitalized children and from chicken carcasses. *J. Med. Microbiol.* 54, 615–619. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0.45988-0
- Sails, A. D., Swaminathan, B., and Fields, P. I. (2003). Clonal complexes of *Campylobacter jejuni* identified by multilocus sequence typing correlate with strain associations identified by multilocus enzyme electrophoresis. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 41, 4058–4067. doi: 10.1128/jcm.41.9.4058-4067.2003
- Sainato, R., ElGendy, A., Poly, F., Kuroiwa, J., Guerry, P., Riddle, M. S., et al. (2018). Epidemiology of *Campylobacter* infections among children in Egypt. *Am. J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 98, 581–585. doi: 10.4269/ajtmh.17-0469

- Saitou, N., and Nei, M. (1987). The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 4, 406–425. doi: 10.1093/ oxfordjournals.molbev.a040454
- Shannon, C. A. (1948). Mathematical theory of communication. *Bell Syst. Tech. J.* 27, 379–423. doi: 10.1002/j.1538-7305.1948.tb01338.x
- Shyaka, A., Kusumoto, A., Chaisowwong, W., Okouchi, Y., Fukumoto, S., Yoshimura, A., et al. (2015). Virulence characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* isolated from resident wild birds in Tokachi area, Japan. *J. Vet. Med. Sci.* 77, 967–972. doi: 10.1292/jvms.15-0090
- Simpson, E. H. (1949). Measurement of diversity. *Nature* 163:688. doi: 10.1038/ 163688a0
- Talukder, K. A., Aslam, M., Islam, Z., Azmi, I. J., Dutta, D. K., Hossain, S., et al. (2008). Prevalence of virulence genes and cytolethal distending toxin production in *Campylobacter jejuni* isolates from diarrheal patients in Bangladesh. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 46, 1485–1488. doi: 10.1128/JCM.019 12-07
- Tamura, K., Peterson, D., Peterson, N., Stecher, G., Nei, M., and Kumar, S. (2011). MEGA5: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis using maximum likelihood, evolutionary distance, and maximum parsimony methods. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 28, 2731–2739. doi: 10.1093/molbev/msr121
- Thompson, J. D., Higgins, D. G., and Gibson, T. J. (1994). CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple sequence alignment through sequence weighting, position-specific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 22, 4673–4680. doi: 10.1093/nar/22.22.4673
- Vandepitte, J., Verhaegen, J., Engbaek, K., Rohner, P., Piot, P., and Heuck, C. (2003). Basic Laboratory Procedures in Clinical Bacteriology, 2nd Edn. Geneva: World Health Organization.
- Vazquez, B., Esperon, F., Neves, E., Lopez, J., Ballesteros, C., and Munoz, M. J. (2010). Screening for several potential pathogens in feral pigeons (*Columba livia*) in Madrid. Acta Vet. Scand. 52:45. doi: 10.1186/1751-0147-52-45
- Vidal, A. B., Colles, F. M., Rodgers, J. D., McCarthy, N. D., Davies, R. H., Maiden, M. C. J., et al. (2016). Genetic diversity of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from conventional broiler flocks and the impacts of sampling strategy and laboratory method. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 82, 2347–2355. doi: 10.1128/AEM.03693-15
- Wang, G., Clark, C. G., Taylor, T. M., Pucknell, C., Barton, C., Price, L., et al. (2002). Colony multiplex PCR assay for identification and differentiation of *C. jejuni*, *C. coli*, *C. lari*, *C. upsaliensis* and *C. fetus* subsp. fetus. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* 40, 4744–4747.
- Wardak, S., and Jagielski, M. (2009). Evaluation of genotypic and phenotypic methods for the differentiation of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* clinical isolates from Poland. II. PFGE, ERIC-PCR, PCR-*flaA*-RFLP and MLST. *Med. Dośw. Mikrobiol.* 61, 63–77.
- Wieczorek, K., and Osek, J. (2011). Molecular characterization of *Campylobacter* spp. isolated from poultry faeces and carcasses in Poland. *Acta Vet. Brno* 80, 19–27. doi: 10.2754/avb201180010019
- Wieczorek, K., Szewczyk, R., and Osek, J. (2012). Prevalence, antimicrobial resistance, and molecular characterization of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* isolated from retail raw meat in Poland. *Vet. Med.* 57, 293–299. doi: 10.17221/ 6016-vetmed
- Zheng, J., Meng, J., Zhao, S., Singh, R., and Song, W. (2006). Adherence to and invasion of human intestinal epithelial cells by *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli* isolates from retail meat products. J. Food Prot. 69, 768–774. doi: 10.4315/0362-028x-69.4.768

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2019 Abd El-Hamid, Abd El-Aziz, Samir, El-Naenaeey, Abo Remela, Mosbah and Bendary. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.